

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE & MANAGEMENT

I
J
R
C
M



A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

Indexed & Listed at:

Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, ProQuest, U.S.A., EBSCO Publishing, U.S.A., Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A.,

Open J-Gate, India [link of the same is duly available at Infilbnet of University Grants Commission (U.G.C.)],

The American Economic Association's electronic bibliography, EconLit, U.S.A.,

Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland with IC Value of 5.09 & number of libraries all around the world.

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than 4255 Cities in 176 countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

Ground Floor, Building No. 1041-C-1, Devi Bhawan Bazar, JAGADHRI – 135 003, Yamunanagar, Haryana, INDIA

<http://ijrcm.org.in/>

CONTENTS

Sr. No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page No.
1.	FORECAST SALES OF SEMICONDUCTOR INDUSTRY IN TAIWAN <i>CHENG-WEN LEE & TSAI-LUN CHO</i>	1
2.	SUSTAINABLE CONSTRUCTION SOLUTION FOR CHINA'S PUBLIC RENTAL HOUSING: INDUSTRIALIZED BUILDING ADOPTION <i>DR. XIAOBO CHEN</i>	6
3.	ROLE OF MEDIA: A STUDY ON IMPACT OF ATYPICAL MEDIA IN RURAL AREAS OF UTTAR PRADESH, INDIA <i>MOHD SHUAIB SIDDIQUI & DR. AFTAB ALAM</i>	11
4.	SPILL-OVER EFFECTS OF MORTGAGE CREDIT CRISIS IN USA ON EUROPE <i>SANJAY SRIVASTAVA</i>	14
5.	INDIAN REAL ESTATE INDUSTRY: ISSUES AND INITIATIVES <i>G. RAMA PRASAD REDDY & DR. P. MOHAN REDDY</i>	19
6.	CUSTOMER SATISFACTION, LOYALTY AND COMMITMENT IN ORGANISED RETAIL OUTLETS IN CHENNAI - AN EMPIRICAL STUDY <i>DR. BEULAH VIJI CHRISTIANA. M</i>	23
7.	A STUDY ON CONSUMER'S CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES AND ITS MOVEMENT IN INDIA <i>A. NALINI & DR. P. ASOKAN</i>	29
8.	A STUDY OF MICRO, SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES IN BANKURA DISTRICT OF WEST BENGAL <i>KRISHNA SEN & DR. SEIKH SALIM</i>	31
9.	GREEN MARKETING MIX AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT <i>NAMITA PADHY & PRAMA VISHNOI</i>	34
10.	REVIEW OF COMMODITY FUTURES MARKET EFFICIENCY AND RELATED ISSUES <i>P. KARTHIKA & DR. P. KARTHIKEYAN</i>	37
11.	MARKET CONCENTRATION AND EMPLOYMENT ORGANIZED MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES 1999-2013 <i>ASHISH KUMAR SEDA</i>	42
12.	GST IN INDIA CHALLENGES AND PROSPECTUS <i>POONAM</i>	49
13.	CHANGES IN CONSUMER PREFERENCES <i>NAMITA PADHY & PRAMA VISHNOI</i>	51
14.	THE ANTECEDENTS OF COGNITIVE-AFFECTIVE-CONATIVE MODEL OF RESTAURANT IMAGE <i>YU-LING SU</i>	53
15.	CONSUMERS AWARENESS WITH REGARD TO ONLINE SHOPPING: A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF MYSURU (MYSORE) AND RAICHUR DISTRICTS <i>GEETHANJALI & GURUDATT KAMATH B</i>	58
16.	A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF PRODUCTION OF CLOTH IN INDIAN TEXTILES INDUSTRY BETWEEN THE PRE AND POST MFA PHASE-OUT PERIOD <i>DR. SABIHA KHATOON</i>	63
17.	PERFORMANCE OF SUGAR INDUSTRY IN INDIA WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO HARYANA STATE <i>SUMAN DEVI</i>	67
18.	MARKET MICROSTRUCTURE OF STOCK MARKETS: A REVIEW OF LITERATURE <i>NEETI PANWAR</i>	71
19.	REVIEW OF LITERATURE FOR SCALE DEVELOPMENT: E-SERVICE QUALITY <i>RAJANI ROSHAN JOHN</i>	77
20.	FOREIGN DIRECT INVESTMENT IN INDIA; TRENDS AND POLICY: APRIL, 2000 TO MARCH, 2015 <i>RITIKA DONGREY</i>	83
	REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK & DISCLAIMER	90

CHIEF PATRON

PROF. K. K. AGGARWAL

Chairman, Malaviya National Institute of Technology, Jaipur
(An institute of National Importance & fully funded by Ministry of Human Resource Development, Government of India)
Chancellor, K. R. Mangalam University, Gurgaon
Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Faridabad
Founder Vice-Chancellor (1998-2008), Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi
Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

FOUNDER PATRON

LATE SH. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Former State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana
Former Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri
Former President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

FORMER CO-ORDINATOR

DR. S. GARG

Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Business & Management, Urjani

ADVISORS

PROF. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Director A. C. D., School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

PROF. M. N. SHARMA

Chairman, M.B.A., Haryana College of Technology & Management, Kaithal

PROF. S. L. MAHANDRU

Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

EDITOR

PROF. R. K. SHARMA

Professor, Bharti Vidyapeeth University Institute of Management & Research, New Delhi

CO-EDITOR

DR. BHAVET

Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Engineering & Technology, Urjani

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

DR. RAJESH MODI

Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

PROF. SANJIV MITTAL

University School of Management Studies, Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

PROF. ANIL K. SAINI

Chairperson (CRC), Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

DR. SAMBHAVNA

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

DR. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, P.J.L.N.Government College, Faridabad

DR. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE

Asst. Professor, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

PROF. NAWAB ALI KHAN

Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

PROF. ABHAY BANSAL

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity University, Noida

PROF. V. SELVAM

SSL, VIT University, Vellore

PROF. N. SUNDARAM

VIT University, Vellore

DR. PARDEEP AHLAWAT

Associate Professor, Institute of Management Studies & Research, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak

DR. S. TABASSUM SULTANA

Associate Professor, Department of Business Management, Matrusr Institute of P.G. Studies, Hyderabad

DR. JASVEEN KAUR

Asst. Professor, University Business School, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar

FORMER TECHNICAL ADVISOR

AMITA

Faculty, Government M. S., Mohali

FINANCIAL ADVISORS

DICKIN GOYAL

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

NEENA

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

LEGAL ADVISORS

JITENDER S. CHAHAL

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

SUPERINTENDENT

SURENDER KUMAR POONIA

CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to recent developments & practices in the areas of Computer Science & Applications; Commerce; Business; Finance; Marketing; Human Resource Management; General Management; Banking; Economics; Tourism Administration & Management; Education; Law; Library & Information Science; Defence & Strategic Studies; Electronic Science; Corporate Governance; Industrial Relations; and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Rural Economics; Co-operation; Demography; Development Planning; Development Studies; Applied Economics; Development Economics; Business Economics; Monetary Policy; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Political Science; Continuing Education; Labour Welfare; Philosophy; Psychology; Sociology; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law; Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labour Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; International Relations; Human Rights & Duties; Public Administration; Population Studies; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism & Hospitality; Transportation Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic; Web Design and emerging paradigms in allied subjects.

Anybody can submit the **soft copy** of unpublished novel; original; empirical and high quality **research work/manuscript** **anytime** in **M.S. Word format** after preparing the same as per our **GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION**; at our email address i.e. infoijrcm@gmail.com or online by clicking the link **online submission** as given on our website ([FOR ONLINE SUBMISSION, CLICK HERE](#)).

GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT

1. **COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:**

DATED: _____

THE EDITOR

IJRCM

Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF _____.

(e.g. Finance/Mkt./HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, please specify)

DEAR SIR/MADAM

Please find my submission of manuscript entitled ' _____ ' for possible publication in one of your journals.

I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore, it has neither been published elsewhere in any language fully or partly, nor is it under review for publication elsewhere.

I affirm that all the co-authors of this manuscript have seen the submitted version of the manuscript and have agreed to their inclusion of names as co-authors.

Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I agree to comply with the formalities as given on the website of the journal. The Journal has discretion to publish our contribution in any of its journals.

NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR :

Designation :

Institution/College/University with full address & Pin Code :

Residential address with Pin Code :

Mobile Number (s) with country ISD code :

Is WhatsApp or Viber active on your above noted Mobile Number (Yes/No) :

Landline Number (s) with country ISD code :

E-mail Address :

Alternate E-mail Address :

Nationality :

NOTES:

- a) The whole manuscript has to be in **ONE MS WORD FILE** only, which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript. **pdf. version is liable to be rejected without any consideration.**
- b) The sender is required to mention the following in the **SUBJECT COLUMN of the mail:**
New Manuscript for Review in the area of (e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, please specify)
- c) There is no need to give any text in the body of mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any **specific message** w.r.t. to the manuscript.
- d) The total size of the file containing the manuscript is expected to be below **1000 KB**.
- e) **Abstract alone will not be considered for review** and the author is required to submit the **complete manuscript** in the first instance.
- f) **The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email within twenty four hours** and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of manuscript, within two days of submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending a separate mail to the journal.
- g) The author (s) name or details should not appear anywhere on the body of the manuscript, except the covering letter and the cover page of the manuscript, in the manner as mentioned in the guidelines.

2. **MANUSCRIPT TITLE:** The title of the paper should be **bold typed, centered** and **fully capitalised**.
3. **AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS:** Author (s) **name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline number (s), and email/alternate email address** should be given underneath the title.
4. **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:** Acknowledgements can be given to reviewers, guides, funding institutions, etc., if any.
5. **ABSTRACT:** Abstract should be in **fully italicized text**, ranging between **150 to 300 words**. The abstract must be informative and explain the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a **SINGLE PARA. Abbreviations must be mentioned in full.**
6. **KEYWORDS:** Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of **five**. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stop at the end. All words of the keywords, including the first one should be in small letters, except special words e.g. name of the Countries, abbreviations.
7. **JEL CODE:** Provide the appropriate Journal of Economic Literature Classification System code (s). JEL codes are available at www.aeaweb.org/econlit/jelCodes.php, however, mentioning JEL Code is not mandatory.
8. **MANUSCRIPT:** Manuscript must be in **BRITISH ENGLISH** prepared on a standard A4 size **PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER. It should be free from any errors i.e. grammatical, spelling or punctuation. It must be thoroughly edited at your end.**
9. **HEADINGS:** All the headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
10. **SUB-HEADINGS:** All the sub-headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
11. **MAIN TEXT:**

THE MAIN TEXT SHOULD FOLLOW THE FOLLOWING SEQUENCE:**INTRODUCTION****REVIEW OF LITERATURE****NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY****STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM****OBJECTIVES****HYPOTHESIS (ES)****RESEARCH METHODOLOGY****RESULTS & DISCUSSION****FINDINGS****RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS****CONCLUSIONS****LIMITATIONS****SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH****REFERENCES****APPENDIX/ANNEXURE****The manuscript should preferably range from 2000 to 5000 WORDS.**

12. **FIGURES & TABLES:** These should be simple, crystal **CLEAR, centered, separately numbered & self explained, and titles must be above the table/figure. Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure. It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text.**
13. **EQUATIONS/FORMULAE:** These should be consecutively numbered in parenthesis, horizontally centered with equation/formulae number placed at the right. The equation editor provided with standard versions of Microsoft Word should be utilised. If any other equation editor is utilised, author must confirm that these equations may be viewed and edited in versions of Microsoft Office that does not have the editor.
14. **ACRONYMS:** These should not be used in the abstract. The use of acronyms is elsewhere is acceptable. Acronyms should be defined on its first use in each section: Reserve Bank of India (RBI). Acronyms should be redefined on first use in subsequent sections.
15. **REFERENCES:** The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. **The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript** and they are supposed to follow Harvard Style of Referencing. **Also check to make sure that everything that you are including in the reference section is duly cited in the paper.** The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
- All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
 - Use **(ed.)** for one editor, and **(ed.s)** for multiple editors.
 - When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc, in chronologically ascending order.
 - Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
 - The title of books and journals should be in italics. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
 - For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parenthesis.
 - **Headers, footers, endnotes and footnotes should not be used in the document.** However, **you can mention short notes to elucidate some specific point**, which may be placed in number orders after the references.

PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:

BOOKS

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

- Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

- Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

CONFERENCE PAPERS

- Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–23

UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS

- Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

ONLINE RESOURCES

- Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

WEBSITES

- Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 <http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp>

REVIEW OF COMMODITY FUTURES MARKET EFFICIENCY AND RELATED ISSUES

P. KARTHIKA
ASST. PROFESSOR

DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT STUDIES
MPNMJ ENGINEERING COLLEGE
CHENNIMALAI

DR. P. KARTHIKEYAN
ASST. PROFESSOR (SR.GRADE)
SCHOOL OF MANAGEMENT STUDIES
KONGU ENGINEERING COLLEGE
PERUNDURAI

ABSTRACT

The study of market efficiency in commodity futures markets is important to both the government and the producers/marketers in India. In this paper, we review the available literature on commodity futures market efficiency and related issues viz. the effect of seasonality on commodity futures market efficiency, the inflationary impact of commodity futures trading and the impact of commodity futures trading on spot market volatility. The review shows that the results produced in available literature are often conflicting: the efficiency hypothesis is supported only for certain markets and only over some periods. Also there are very few studies on microstructure and macroeconomic issues in commodity futures market, and integration with other international markets. This forms further scope of research in this area.

KEYWORDS

Commodity futures, Efficient Market, Efficiency, Spot Market, Volatility.

INTRODUCTION

Commodity futures market is a place where farmers/producers and traders can reduce their price risk. India has long history of trade in commodity derivatives. Organized commodity derivatives in India started as early as 1875, barely about a decade after they started in Chicago. Since 2003, when commodity a future trading was permitted, commodity futures market in India has experienced an unprecedented boom in terms of the number of modern exchanges, number of commodities allowed for derivatives trading as well as the value of futures trading in commodities. There are 22 Commodity Exchanges (6 National and 16 Regional Exchanges) recognized by FMC in India where 113 commodities are traded. Six electronic multi-commodity national exchanges recognized by the Government of India are MCX Mumbai, NCDEX Mumbai, NMCE Ahmadabad, ICEX Mumbai, ACE Mumbai & UCX Navy Mumbai. National Multi-Commodity Exchange of India, Ahmadabad (NMCE), was the first to trade in futures from November 2002, Multi Commodity Exchange of Nadia Ltd, Mumbai (MCX) and National Commodity and Derivatives Exchange Ltd., Mumbai (NCDEX) started trading a year later. The national exchanges accounted for 99.7% of the turnover of commodity futures contracts traded in India during FY2014-15. MCX alone had a market share of 82.83% in 9M FY2014-15.

EFFICIENT MARKET

An efficient market is one in which prices always “fully reflect” available information and where no traders in the market can make a profit with monopolistically controlled information (Fama, 1970). Another word, an efficient commodity futures market can provide effective signals for the spot market price and eliminates the possibility that profit can be guaranteed as part of the trading process. This price reflects the equilibrium value for suppliers and demanders in the market.

Efficient futures markets provide a mechanism for managing the risk associated with the uncertainty of future events. Out of the various aspects of these markets, futures market efficiency is one of the most extensively researched topics in the empirical literature. Sewell (2011) critically reviews literature available on EMH. The efficient market hypothesis (EMH) asserts that financial markets are efficient. Sewell says “On the one hand, the definitional ‘fully’ is an exacting requirement, suggesting that normal market could ever be efficient, implying that the EMH is almost certainly false. On the other hand, economics is a social science, and a hypothesis that is asymptotically true puts the EMH in contention for one of the strongest hypotheses in the whole of the social sciences. Strictly speaking the EMH is false, but in spirit is profoundly true. Besides, science concerns seeking the best hypothesis, and until a flawed hypothesis is replaced by a better hypothesis, criticism is of limited value”.

Carter (1999) conducted a study on then available literature on different issues in commodity futures market. He pointed out some economic issues which should be addressed. In this research, we have focused on literature available on efficiency and related issues.

LITERATURE REVIEW

There are numerous empirical studies that analyze different aspects of futures markets in developed countries like the US. Out of the various aspects of these markets, futures market efficiency is one of the most extensively researched topics in the empirical literature. Most of the studies investigating the efficiency of commodity futures markets employ a conventional approach of regressing the cash price at maturity on a previous futures price. But, there are studies which used research techniques different from regression. Samuelson (1965) first analyzed the role of futures prices as predictor of future spot prices for a given contract and found that it follows a martingale; in other words, today's futures price is the best unbiased predictor of tomorrow's futures prices. However, Dentine (1978) and Lucas (1978) have both shown theoretically that periodical failure of the martingale property to hold is not evidence of the market inefficiency. Dentine (1978) first criticized Samuelson's (1965) argument that spot commodity prices may not follow a sub-martingale if they vary with such factors as the weather, which may be serially correlated. He went on to develop possible reasons why the link between a martingale process and efficiency in commodity markets could be problematic.

EFFICIENT MARKET

An efficient market is one in which prices always “fully reflect” available information and where no traders in the market can make a profit with monopolistically controlled information (Fama, 1970). Another word, an efficient commodity futures market can provide effective signals for the spot market price and eliminates the possibility that profit can be guaranteed as part of the trading process. This price reflects the equilibrium value for suppliers and demanders in the market.

Efficient futures markets provide a mechanism for managing the risk associated with the uncertainty of future events. Out of the various aspects of these markets, futures market efficiency is one of the most extensively researched topics in the empirical literature. Sewell (2011) critically reviews literature available on EMH. The efficient market hypothesis (EMH) asserts that financial markets are efficient. Sewell says “On the one hand, the definitional ‘fully’ is an exacting

requirement, suggesting that normal market could ever be efficient, implying that the EMH is almost certainly false. On the other hand, economics is a social science, and a hypothesis that is asymptotically true puts the EMH in contention for one of the strongest hypotheses in the whole of the social sciences. Strictly speaking the EMH is false, but in spirit is profoundly true. Besides, science concerns seeking the best hypothesis, and until a flawed hypothesis is replaced by a better hypothesis, criticism is of limited value".

Carter (1999) conducted a study on then available literature on different issues in commodity futures market. He pointed out some economic issues which should be addressed. In this research, we have focused on literature available on efficiency and related issues.

LITERATURE REVIEW

There are numerous empirical studies that analyze different aspects of futures markets in developed countries like the US. Out of the various aspects of these markets, futures market efficiency is one of the most extensively researched topics in the empirical literature. Most of the studies investigating the efficiency of commodity futures markets employ a conventional approach of regressing the cash price at maturity on a previous futures price. But, there are studies which used research techniques different from regression. **Samuelson (1965)** first analyzed the role of futures prices as predictor of future spot prices for a given contract and found that it follows a martingale; in other words, today's futures price is the best unbiased predictor of tomorrow's futures prices. However, **Dentine (1978) and Lucas (1978)** have both shown theoretically that periodical failure of the martingale property to hold is not evidence of the market inefficiency. **Dentine (1978)** first criticized Samuelson's (1965) argument that spot commodity prices may not follow a sub-martingale if they vary with such factors as the weather, which may be serially correlated. He went on to develop possible reasons why the link between a martingale process and efficiency in commodity markets could be problematic.

Rausser and Carter (1983) examined the efficiency of the soybean, soybean oil, and soybean meal futures markets using semi strong form test via structurally based ARIMA models. They emphasized that "unless the forecast information from the models is sufficient to provide profitable trades, then superior forecasting performance in a statistical sense has no economic significance".

To meek (1997) stressed that if the futures market is efficient, then it should be able to out-forecast an econometric model. The development of co-integration theory by **Engle and Granger (1987)** provided a new technique for testing market efficiency. Three different estimation methods, they confirmed the unbiasedness hypothesis. **Fama and French (1987)** examined **Crowder and Hamed (1993), Fortenberry and Zapata (1993), Chowdhury (1991), McKenzie and Holt (2002)** and many others accepted and used co integration theory for testing market efficiency. Later, Johansen co integration technique was developed. **Wang, H. Holly et al. (2005), Bhar and Hamori (2006), Sahi and Raizada (2006), Gupta and Ravi (2013)** and many others have used Johansen co integration to test commodity market efficiency.

However, **Neil Kellard et al. (1999)** investigated the claim whether the co integration existence between commodity spot and lagged futures rates should be accepted as long-run market efficiency. They studied the UK wheat futures contract traded at LIFFE. They concluded that "such tests are not wholly appropriate for evaluating commodity market efficiency".

Since the introduction of futures, there have been two way arguments about the efficiency of futures markets (both financial and commodity futures markets). So the literature has been presented separately on studies supporting efficiency and inefficiency.

LITERATURE ON EFFICIENCY

Goss (1981) examined the unbiasedness hypothesis of futures prices of copper, tin, lead and zinc. The results showed copper and zinc futures markets as efficient. **Goss (1985)** revised his paper by introducing joint tests for the same metals of the LME extending the sample period to 1966-1984. He found contrary results. EMH was not rejected for lead and tin.

Roll (1984) found that price movements in the orange juice futures market could predict freezing temperatures in Florida better than the US national weather service's could. However, *Roll* indicates that a 'puzzle' remains in the orange juices futures market because there is a large amount of inexplicable price volatility.

Canarella and Pollard (1986) tested the hypothesis that the futures price was an unbiased predictor of the future spot price using both overlapping and non-overlapping data for the contracts of copper, lead, tin and zinc covering the period 1975-1983. Using three different estimation methods, they confirmed the unbiasedness hypothesis. **Fama and French (1987)** examined whether the futures prices for copper and other metals contain evidence of forecast power or systematic risk premiums for the period 1967-1984. They showed that the copper futures price contains suggestive evidence of both systematic risk premiums and forecasting power.

MacDonald and Taylor (1988a) tested the EMH for four metals in the LME covering the period 1976-1987. Copper and lead futures markets were found to be efficient. **Gross (1988)** examined unvaried LME prices for the period 1983-84. Based on the mean square error criterion, he provided evidence that the EMH is not rejected for the copper futures market. **Crowder and Hamed (1993)** examined the NYMEX crude oil contracts and concluded that accounting for the co integration between spot and futures, one cannot reject the speculative efficiency hypothesis during the period March 1983 – September 1990.

Furstenberg and Zapata (1993) evaluated the relationship of the North Carolina corn and soybean markets with respect to the CBOT. Co-integration existed between any air of these markets and no strong evidence was found to reject the efficiency hypothesis. **Moore and Callen (1995)** examined the Speculative Efficiency of the LME for six base metals between 1985 and 1989. They showed that the long-run speculative efficiency cannot be rejected for the copper and other three metals. **Aulton, Ennew, and Rayner (1997)** re-investigated the efficiency of UK agricultural commodity futures markets using the co-integration methodology. They found that the market is efficient for wheat. Using monthly data, and the Phillips and Loretan (1991) approach, **Peroni and McNown (1998)** supported the speculative efficiency hypothesis for the WTI for the 1984-1996 periods.

Gulen (2000) found that the futures price of light sweet crude oil traded at NYMEX plays a significant role in price discovery. i.e. the futures price is an unbiased predictor of the spot price. This observation was also supported by the widespread use of the futures price as a benchmark all over the world as well as by the decision of the U.S. Minerals Management Service to switch to the futures price from the posted price as the standard for calculating royalties. However, his paper explicitly dealt with the crash in 1986. **Murry and Zhu (2004)** investigated the impact of the introduction and exit of Enron Online (EOL) on the efficiency of the U.S. natural gas market. Using a conventional EGARCH model, he found little evidence that the introduction of EOL coincided with the reduction in the market price volatility. **Wang and Bingfan (2002)** studied the efficiency of the Chinese wheat and soybean futures markets using Johansen's co integration approach. The results suggest a long-term equilibrium relationship between the futures price and cash price for soybeans and weak short-term efficiency in the soybean futures market. **J B Singh (2004)** attempted to understand the price risk of agricultural and derived commodities for the period 1988-99 in India. He investigated the usefulness of futures market to discover prices, manage uncertainty and risk, and improve the performance of agricultural commodities. He found that among all commodities (pepper, custorseed, potato, gur, turmeric and Hessian), castor seed (Ahmadabad and Mumbai) and pepper futures markets are efficient and unbiased and also perform the role of risk management and hedging effectiveness.

Bhar and Hamori (2006) found that the prices of commodity futures traded on the Tokyo Grain Exchange (TGE) did not move together in the long run. They suggested that the co integrating relation exists among commodity futures contract from 2000 to 2003 but not earlier during the 1990s i.e. the price mechanism works better and the long run relationships among prices becomes more apparent as a market develops. **Bose (2006)** examined the efficiency of commodity futures market in India. He found that notional multi-commodity indices with higher exposure to metals and energy products behaved like the equity indices in terms of efficiency and flow of information. These futures and spot markets help in price discovery.

Lorne N. Switzer and Mario El-Khoury (2006) investigated the efficiency of the NYMEX Division light sweet crude oil futures contract market during recent periods of extreme conditional volatility. Crude oil futures contract prices were found to be co integrated with spot prices, including over the period prior the onset of the Iraqi war and until the formation of the new Iraqi government on April 2005. **Xin Yu, Chen Gongmeng and Firth Michael (2006)** investigated the efficiency of the Chinese metal futures (i.e. copper and aluminum) traded on China's Shanghai Futures Exchange. Using random walk and unbiasedness hypotheses, they concluded that China's copper and aluminum futures markets were efficient during 1999-2004.

Pete Locke (2006) examined the transparency, liquidity and efficiency of the wholesale natural gas market in the United States, both in absolute terms and compared to other commodity markets. He concluded that natural gas futures were efficient. He found that natural gas prices were quick to reflect changes in information, reflecting great efficiency. On the surface, the evolution to market prices might appear to increase volatility. **Lokare (2007)** found an evidence of co-integration in both spot and future prices, showing improved operational efficiency in pepper, mustard, gur, wheat, sugar (S), cotton, sesame seed, gold, copper, lead, tin and bent crude oil, rubber, sesame oil, aluminum, zinc, silver and furnace oil markets in India. **Sahoo and Kumar (2009)** examined the efficiency and futures trading-price nexus for gold, copper, petroleum crude, soya oil, and chana (chickpea) in commodity futures markets in India. They concluded that the commodity futures market is efficient for all five select commodities.

Kaur and Rao (2010) studied the weak form efficiency of guar seed, refined soya oil, chana and pepper futures markets in India. They used run test and autocorrelation analysis for testing weak form of efficiency. Using autocorrelation, they found that that all select commodities but refined soya oil futures markets were efficient. The results of run test showed that futures market for all the select commodities were efficient. **N P Singh (2010)** investigated efficiency of futures market of Guar Gum and Guar Seed in India using Error Correction Mechanism (ECM). He concluded that the futures markets for Guar Gum and Guar Seed were efficient in weak form.

Chakrabarty and Sarkar (2010) analyzed long term equilibrium relationship between the multi commodity spot market index (Comdex spot) and the futures market index (Comdex futures), agricultural commodity spot and futures index, & commodity spot index and Nifty 50. They also examined futures markets of potato, wheat, Masoor Grain and different types of rice at NCDEX. They concluded that commodity spot market indices are co integrated with the futures market indices as well as Nifty. The price of different qualities of rice was found to be dependent on the recent news and not on the old news.

Ali and Gupta (2011) tested the efficiency of the futures market for twelve agricultural commodities traded at NCDEX. They used Johansen's co integration analysis and Granger causality tests. They found that there was a long-term relationship between futures and spot prices for most of the select except for wheat and rice. Moreover, bi-directional relationships was found between spot and futures prices of some of the selected commodities in the short run. **Sehgal, Rajput and Dua (2012)** examined ten agricultural commodities futures market for a period from June 2003-March 2011 on NCDEX. They found that markets were efficient for all but one commodity (Turmeric). Also their results showed bi-directional Granger lead relationship for all select commodities except Turmeric. They concluded that Indian commodity market is still is not competitive for some commodities.

Kristoufek and Vosvrda (2012) examined the market efficiency of 25 commodity futures across various groups like metals, energies, soft's, grains and other agricultural commodities using a proposed efficiency Index. They found that the most efficient of all the analyzed commodities is heating oil, closely followed by WTI crude oil, cotton, wheat and coffee. They also inferred the efficiency for specific groups of commodities viz. energy commodities were found to be the most efficient and the other agricultural commodities the least efficient groups. **Murthy and Reddy (2012)** studied the relationship between the futures price and spot prices and the farmer's participation. For chili and turmeric, they found that futures prices affect spot prices. Also, they found that "majority of the farmers are not aware of the commodity futures trading and hence do not participate in futures trading". **Gupta and Ravi (2013)** explored the efficiency between commodity futures and spot markets at MCX, NMCE and NCDEX for chana, guar seed, wheat, and potato and cotton seed oil cake. They found evidences of efficiency in most of the sample commodities.

LITERATURE ON INEFFICIENCY

Elam (1978) developed a semi-strong test of efficiency. He estimated an econometric model of the US hog market and used it to generate price forecasts. These forecasts were in turn used in a fundamental trading strategy. His trading rule yielded profits over the period studied and led *Elam* to conclude that the hog futures market is not efficient. Similar results were found by **Leuthold and Hartmann (1979)**.

They tested the efficiency of the same market by estimating a simple two-equation, demand-supply model to forecast hog prices.

Goss (1981) examined the hypothesis that futures prices were unbiased predictors of the subsequent spot prices for the markets of copper, tin, lead and zinc, using daily price data from the LME for the period 1971-1978. He rejected the unbiasedness of futures prices for lead and tin. **Goss (1985)** revised his paper by introducing joint tests for the same metals of the LME extending the sample period to 1966-1984. His results showed that the Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH) was rejected for copper and zinc. A simple linear regression model was used by **Bigman, Goldfarb, and Schechtman (1983)** to test the efficiency of wheat, corn and soybean trading at the CBOT. Based on F tests, they conclude that futures prices generally provide inefficient estimates of the spot price at maturity. Later, **Moberly (1985), Elam and Dixon (1988) and Shen and Wang (1990)** pointed out the result is invalid based on such conventional F tests when the prices series are non-stationary.

MacDonald and Taylor (1988a) tested and rejected the EMH for tin and zinc. **Graciela Kaminsky and Manmohan S. Kumar (1989)** used excess returns as a measure of efficiency in seven different commodity markets over the 1976-1988 periods. Their results indicated that it is not possible to make any strong generalizations on the efficiency of the commodity futures market for short-term forecast horizons. For longer periods, however, it does appear that several of the markets may not be fully efficient.

Sephton and Cochrane (1990, 1991) examined the unbiasedness hypothesis in the LME with respect to six metals for the period 1976-1985. They concluded that the LME is not an efficient market.

Chowdhury (1991) pointed out the problems of hypothesis testing in the futures market literature and suggested how the co-integration approach can be used to circumvent some of these difficulties.

Moosa and Al-Loughani (1994) rejected futures market speculative efficiency for the West Tex as Intermediate (WTI) contracts for the period January 1986-July 1990. The same hypothesis was rejected for the copper futures contract traded on the LME by **Beck (1994)**. However, **Peroni and McNown (1998)** noted that the Moosa and Al-Loughani conclusion may be unwarranted, as a result of the short comings of the test statistics employed. **Aulton, Ennew, and Rayner (1997)** found that the market is not efficient for pigment and potatoes.

Kellard, et al. (1999) examined the efficiency of several widely traded commodities in different markets, including soybeans on the CBOT and live hogs and live cattle on the Chicago Mercantile Exchange. The results showed that the long run equilibrium condition holds, but there was evidence of short-run inefficiency for most of the markets studied. The degree of the inefficiency was measured based on the forecast error variances.

Mckenzie and Holt (2002) tested market efficiency and unbiasedness in four agricultural commodity futures markets – live cattle, hogs, corn, and soybean meal – using co integration and error correction models with GARCH-in-mean processes. They found that each market is unbiased in the long run, although cattle, hogs and corn futures markets exhibit short-run inefficiencies and pricing biases. Models for cattle and corn outperform futures prices in out-of-sample forecasting.

Wang and Bingfan (2002) found that the futures market for wheat is inefficient in China, which may be caused by over speculation and government intervention.

Ahmed El H. Mazighi (2003) checked the efficiency of futures markets for natural gas. He found that efficiency is almost completely rejected on the both the International Petroleum Exchange (IPE) in London (UK Market) and the New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX) the US market. **Kenourgios and Samitas (2004)** showed that the copper futures contract market on the LME is inefficient and did not provide unbiased estimates of future copper spot prices. They tested for both long-run and short run efficiency using co integration and error correction model.

J B Singh (2004) found that turmeric markets were inefficient and biased in India for the period 1988-99. **Wang, H. Holly et al. (2005)** studied the efficiency of the Chinese wheat and soybean futures markets. The results of Johansen's co integration approach suggested a long-term equilibrium relationship between the futures price and cash price for soybeans and weak short-term efficiency in the soybean futures market. The over-speculation and government intervention were suggested reasons for wheat futures market inefficiency. **Qingfeng Wilson Liu (2005)** examined the relations among hog, corn, and soybean meal futures price series using the Perron (1997) unit root test and autoregressive multivariate co integration models. Accounting for the significant seasonal factors and time trends, they found that inefficiency exists in these three commodity futures markets.

Easwaran and Ramasundaram (2008) analyzed the efficiency and price volatility of select four commodities (castor, cotton, pepper and soya) on MCX and NCDEX. These markets were found to be inefficient. They explained that the inefficiency was due to several factors like thin volume and **Soni and Singla (2012)** analyzed the market efficiency of Guar gum futures market NCDEX using an error correction model and GARCH-M-ECM. They found Guar gum futures market was inefficient. They suggested over-speculation or market manipulation as the probable reason for inefficiency. **Inoue and Hamori (2012)** tested for weak form efficiency using MCX's spot and futures index Comdex for a period from Jan 2006 to March 2011. They used the dynamic OLS (DOLS) and the fully modified OLS (FMOLS) methods. They found that the commodity futures market was not efficient for the entire sample period but for the sub period July 2009 to March 2011. They concluded that commodity futures market exhibits weak form efficiency as the market size expands. From above presented literature we can say that the results of these studies have been mixed and the efficiency hypothesis is supported only for certain markets and only over some periods. The findings of studies show that some commodity futures markets are efficient while others are inefficient.

LITERATURE ON RELATED ISSUES

There are various issues related to efficiency of commodity futures market. The one of such issues is the effect of seasonality on efficiency. **Newbold et.al. (1999)** investigated the effects of seasonality in testing efficiency over a range of commodities. Using quasi-ECM model, at both short and long forecast horizons they found evidence that the seasonal terms were significant i.e. the market was inefficient. The information about the seasonal pattern was not embodied in the basis and could be used by agents to predict future spot prices movements. **Sorenson** provided a framework for estimating model parameters, and especially seasonal parameters, using both the time-series characteristics and cross-sectional characteristics of agricultural commodity futures prices for the period 1972 to 1997. Estimation results were provided in the case of corn, soybeans, and wheat using weekly panel-data observations of futures prices from CBOT. He found that normal backwardation for soybeans and wheat while the situation for corn was mixed besides the estimated seasonal features. Also, he suggested normal backwardation to be the case for long contract maturities while contango be the case for short contract maturities. The second related issue is inflationary impact of commodity futures.

Sahi and Raizada (2006) investigated the efficiency of wheat futures market at NCDEX and analyzed its effect on social welfare and inflation in the economy. They used Johnson's Co integration approach for different futures forecasting horizons ranging from one week to three months. Wheat futures market was found to be inefficient in short run and social loss statistic also indicated poor price discovery. The growth of commodity futures volume was found to have significant impact on the inflation in the economy.

Sahoo and Kumar (2009) examined the impact of futures trading on inflation. They did not find sufficient evidence for inflationary impact of futures market. **Gupta and Ravi (2013)** explored the efficiency between commodity futures and spot markets at MCX, NMCE and NCDEX for chana, guar seed, wheat, potato and cotton seed oil cake. They found evidences of efficiency in most of the sample commodities. Further they examined the association between the spot price of commodities like Chana, Guar seed, Refsoyaoil, Gold and Silver, and WPI. Spot prices of commodities were found to be responsible for rise in WPI inflation. The effect of commodity futures trading on volatility of commodity spot market is the third issue that has been discussed here.

Weaver and Banerjee (1990) found that that futures trading for cattle and other related commodities did not lead to dynamic instability of cattle price despite the external information's role in determining cattle price. **Antoniou and Foster (1992)** analyzed the effect of futures trading on spot price volatility for Brent crude oil in UK. Using GARCH method they found that there was no volatility spillover from futures to spot market.

J B Singh (2004) examined the hessian spot market price variability before and after (over the period 1988-97) the introduction of futures trading. He investigated the impact of futures market on inter-seasonal and intra-seasonal price volatility. He found that the cash market volatility, mainly inter-seasonal volatility, was has reduced after the introduction of hessian futures market. **Karande (2006)** in his doctoral thesis found that the introduction of castor seed futures market at Mumbai and Ahmadabad has had a beneficial effect on the castor seed spot price volatility. **Bose (2008)** used notional price indices of commodity markets covering metals, energy and agricultural products. Energy and metal indices exhibit informational efficiency of commodity futures market with stabilizing effect on volatility of underlying market.

Nath and Ligareddy (2008) found that futures trading had a destabilizing effect on spot market.

Results of regression, correlation and Granger Causality indicated that introduction of futures trading led to increase in price of urad significantly. Spot prices of these commodities declined after the ban on futures trading was introduced. Price volatility was also increased during the period, when trading in futures was allowed. Wheat price increased in post futures period but the same was also coincided by steep fall in supply. **Dey, Maitra and Roy (2011)** studied co integration and volatility spillover in Indian pepper futures market. They found a unidirectional causality from futures to spot prices of pepper. They concluded that the volatility of one market leads to another market. They found a bidirectional spillover effect under GARCH model but unidirectional under EGARCH i.e. from futures to spot.

SCOPE FOR FURTHER STUDY IN COMMODITY FUTURES MARKET

In view of literature reviewed, various concerns are raised in commodity markets that need to be addressed and investigated through research. Some of the research gaps that have been identified relate to integration with international markets, macroeconomic issues, microstructure issues of commodity futures market etc. These have been discussed below.

- No other market seems to have such extensive international macroeconomic linkages as does the commodity market. When commodities are emerging as an asset class *earning superior risk adjusted returns* compared to stock markets or bond markets (*Doman ski and Heath (2007) and Mishra (2008)*), there is dearth of studies on important issues like integration of Indian commodity market with foreign markets.
- In a developing market like Indian Commodity market, Market Microstructure has been ignored. Some studies were conducted on commodity market microstructure in India in the '60s and '70s. But these studies lacked the analytical quantitative rigor. Out of a few research papers on microstructure, Bhanumurthy (2004) is an important study on Indian foreign exchange market microstructure. Naes and Skjeltorp (2006) studied stock market microstructure. These studies are either in stock market or foreign exchange market. So microstructure research in commodity market in India has great scope.
- Futures market efficiency is one of the most extensively researched topics in the empirical literature. From the government policy point of view, an efficient market means a better alternative to market interventions such as imposing price stabilization policies (*Sahadevan (2002), Thomas (2003), Pavaskar and Ghosh, (2008), Chakrabarti and Ghosh (2009)*). The results produced are often conflicting: the efficiency hypothesis is supported only for certain markets and only over some periods. Although some of the conclusions reached in the literature reflect genuine efficiency or inefficiency, some of them may reflect the lack of attention paid to the institutional aspects governing the functioning of futures markets.
- The most relevant aspect for agro-commodities, although not yet extensively investigated, is seasonality. (*Wang and Bingfan (2002), J B Singh (2004), Wang, H. Holly et al. (2005), (New bold et al., 1999)*). The study of the effect of seasonality on the efficiency of agro commodities futures market becomes even more important in agriculture denominated country like India.
- In a country like India, where there have been allegations of inflation on commodity futures trading, an empirical investigation is utmost important and hence required. For instance, Govt. of India decided to suspend the futures trading in urad, tur and wheat in early 2007 due to the same reason. Moreover, the Expert Committee to study the impact of futures trading on agricultural commodity prices, chaired by *Abhijit Sen (2008)*, failed to arrive at any unanimous conclusion.

CONCLUSION

The study of market efficiency in commodity futures markets is important to both the government and the producers/marketers in India. Moreover there are some other important issues related to market efficiency viz. effect of seasonality in agro-commodities, inflationary impact of commodity futures and volatility

spillover between spot and futures market. So in this paper, we have reviewed the available literature on commodity futures market efficiency and related issues mentioned above. The review showed that the results produced in available literature are often conflicting: the efficiency hypothesis is supported only for certain markets and only over some periods. Also from literature review, some areas have been identified that need attention of researchers. These are commodity market microstructure, macroeconomic issues in commodity futures market, inflationary impact of commodity futures market and integration with other international markets and effect of sub-prime crisis. This forms further scope of research in this area.

REFERENCES

1. Abhijit Sen (2008), "Report on the Expert Committee to study the Impact of Futures Trading on Agricultural Commodity Prices", Ministry of Consumer Affairs, Food & Public Distribution, Govt. of India.
2. Ali J, Gupta K B, (2011) "Efficiency in agricultural commodity futures markets in India: Evidence from co integration and causality tests", *Agricultural Finance Review*, Vol. 71 Iss: 2, pp.162 – 178
3. Antonios Antoniou and Andrew J Foster (June 1992) The effect of futures trading on spot price volatility: evidence for Brent crude oil using GARCH, *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting* 19(4) 473–484
4. Aulton A. J., C.T. Ennew and A.J. Rayner: Efficiency tests of Futures Markets for UK Agricultural Commodities, *Journal of Agricultural Economics*, Vol. 48, No. 3, 1997, pp. 408-423.
5. Beck S E, "Co integration and market efficiency in commodities futures markets", *Applied Economics*, Volume 26, Issue 3, 1994
6. Bhanumurthy N R, "Microstructures in the Indian Foreign Exchange Market", Working paper series 2004, Institute of Economic Growth (India)
7. Bhar, R. and Hamori S., Linkages among Agricultural Commodity Futures Prices: Some further evidence from Tokyo, *Applied Economics Letters*, Vol. 13, 2006, pp. 535-539.
8. Bigman, Goldfarb, and Schechtman, "Futures Market Efficiency and The time Content of the Information Sets", *Journal of Futures Markets*, No. 3, 1982, pp 321-334
9. Bose S, "Commodity Futures Market in India: A Study of Trends in the Notional Multi-Commodity Indices", *ICRA Bulletin-Money and Finance*, May 2008, pp 125-58
10. Canarella, G. and Pollard, S.K. (1986), "The Efficiency of the London Metal Exchange: A Test with Overlapping and Non-Overlapping Data," *Journal of Banking and Finance*, Vol. 10, 1986, p. 575-93
11. Carter C. A., "Commodity Futures Market: A Survey" *The Australian Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics*; 43:2, pp-209-247; 1999
12. Chakrabarti, S. and N. Ghosh (2009): "Intertemporal transfer of News and a Possible Asymmetry: Futures trading in Agro-commodities", Paper presented at the seminar on *Commodity Derivative Markets: Opportunities and Challenges* organized by TAER and ISID, at ISID, New Delhi, October 30.
13. Crowder, W. J., and Hamed, A. (1993): "A Co integration Test for Oil Futures Market Unbiasedness," *Journal of Futures Market*, 13: 933-941.
14. Dentine J P (1978), "Information, Futures Prices and Stabilizing Speculation" *Journal of Economic Theory*, Vol. 17, no.1, pp 79-98
15. Dey K, Maitra D and Roy S (2011), "Price Discovery, Market Efficiency and Volatility Revisited: Anecdotes from Indian Pepper Futures Markets"
16. Doman ski & Heath (2007), "Financial investors and commodity markets", *BIS Quarterly Review*, March 2007, pp 53-67
17. Easwaran R S and Ramasundaram P, "Whether Commodity Futures Market in Agriculture is Efficient in Price Discovery?-An Econometric Analysis", *Agricultural Economics Research Review*, Vol. 21 (Conference Number) 2008, pp 337-344
18. Elam, E and Dixon, B. L., "Examining the validity of a test of futures market efficiency", *Journal of Futures Markets*, 8, pp 365-72
19. Elam, E.(1978), "A Strong Form of the Efficient Market Model Applied to the US. Hog Futures market," Ph. D. Thesis (unpublished), University of Illinois.
20. Engle, R.F. and C.W.J. Granger, Co integration and Error Correction: Representation, Estimation, and Testing, *Econometrica*, 55, 1987, pp 251-276
21. Fama, E. and K. French: Commodity Futures Prices: Some Evidence on Forecast Power, Premiums and the Theory of Storage, *Journal of Business*, 60: 1, Jan. 1987, pp 55-73.
22. Fama, E.F.: Efficient Capital Markets: 11, *Journal of Finance*, 60(5), 1991, pp 1575-1617
23. Forte berry, T.Randall and H.O. Zapata: An Examination of Co integration Relation between Futures and Local Grain Markets, *The Journal of Futures Markets*, 13(8), 1993, pp 921-932.
24. Goss, B.A. (1981), "The Forward Pricing Function of the London Metal Exchange," *Applied Economics*, Vol.13, 1981, p. 133-50.
25. Goss, B.A. (1985), "The Forward Pricing Function of the London Metal Exchange," in B.A. Goss, eds., *Futures Markets: Their Establishment and Performance*, London: Croom and Helm, 1985, p.157-73.
26. Graciela Kaminsky and Manmohan S. Kumar, "Efficiency in Commodity Futures Markets" IMF Working Paper, WP/89/106, 1989
27. Gross, M. (1988), "A Semi-strong Test of the Efficiency of the Aluminum and Copper Markets at the LME," *The Journal of Futures Market*, Vol. 8, 1988, p. 67-77
28. Gulen, S.G., 1998. Efficiency in the crude oil futures market. *Journal of Energy Finance and Development*, 3, 13–21.
29. Gupta and Ravi, "Commodity Futures Market Efficiency in India and Inflationary Impacts", Working Paper, 2013
30. Inoue and Hamori, "Market Efficiency of Commodity Futures in India", IDE Discussion paper No. 370, Oct 2012
31. Karande K, "A Study of Castor seed Futures Market in India", Unpublished Doctoral Thesis 2007
32. Kaur and Rao (2010), "An Empirical study of select Agricultural Derivatives Traded on NCDEX", *Journal of Management Research*, Vol. 10, No. 2, August 2010, pp 116-132
33. Kellard, N., P. Newbold, T. Rayner and C. Ennew (1999), The Relative Efficiency of Commodity Futures Markets, *the Journal of Futures Markets*, Vol.19, pp 413-432.
34. Kenourgios D F, Samitas A G (2004), "Testing Efficiency of the Copper Futures Market: New Evidence from London Metal Exchange", *Global Business and Economics Review*, Anthology 2004, pp. 261-271.
35. Kristoufek L, Vosvrda M (2012), "Commodity futures and market efficiency", *International Journal of Advancements in Research & Technology*, Volume 1, Issue 5, October-2012
36. Kumar, Vashisht and Kalita, "Food Inflation: Contingent and Structural Factors", *Economic & Political Weekly*, Vol - XLV No. 10, March 6, 2010
37. Leuthold, R M and Hartmann P (1979), "A semi strong form evaluation of the efficiency of the hogfutures market", *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 61(3), pp 482-89.
38. Lokare S M, "Commodity Derivatives and Price Risk Management: An Empirical Anecdote from India" Reserve Bank of India Occasional Papers, Vol. 28, No. 2, Monsoon 2007
39. Lucas R E J(1978), "Asset Prices in an Exchange economy", *Econometrica* Vol. 46, no 6, pp 1429-45 Maberly, E.D., Testing Futures Market Efficiency – A Restatement, *The Journal of Futures Markets*, Vol. 5, 1985, pp 425-432.
40. MacDonald, R. and Taylor, M.P., "Metal Prices, Efficiency and Cointegration: Some Evidence from the LME," *Bulletin of Economic Research*, Vol. 40, 1988a, p. 235-39
41. Mazighi A E H (2003), "The efficiency of Natural gas futures markets", *OPEC Review* 2003, 27(2), 143-158

REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

Dear Readers

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce & Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue as well as on the journal as a whole, on our E-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries please feel free to contact us on our E-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-
Co-ordinator

DISCLAIMER

The information and opinions presented in the Journal reflect the views of the authors and not of the Journal or its Editorial Board or the Publishers/Editors. Publication does not constitute endorsement by the journal. Neither the Journal nor its publishers/Editors/Editorial Board nor anyone else involved in creating, producing or delivering the journal or the materials contained therein, assumes any liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information provided in the journal, nor shall they be liable for any direct, indirect, incidental, special, consequential or punitive damages arising out of the use of information/material contained in the journal. The journal, neither its publishers/Editors/Editorial Board, nor any other party involved in the preparation of material contained in the journal represents or warrants that the information contained herein is in every respect accurate or complete, and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such material. Readers are encouraged to confirm the information contained herein with other sources. The responsibility of the contents and the opinions expressed in this journal are exclusively of the author (s) concerned.

ABOUT THE JOURNAL

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active co-operation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.

Our Other Journals

