INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE & MANAGEMENT

IJRCM

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

Indexed & Listed at:

Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, ProQuest, U.S.A., EBSCO Publishing, U.S.A., Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A., Google Scholar,

Open J-Gage, India [link of the same is duly available at Inflibnet of University Grants Commission (U.G.C.)],

Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland with IC Value of 5.09 & number of libraries all around the world.

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than **4767 Cities** in **180 countries/territories** are visiting our journal on regular basis.

Ground Floor, Building No. 1041-C-1, Devi Bhawan Bazar, JAGADHRI – 135 003, Yamunanagar, Haryana, INDIA

http://ijrcm.org.in/

CONTENTS

Sr. No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page No.
1.	**UNDERSTANDING SEASONALITY AND ITS IMPACT ON DEMAND FORECASTING: THE CASE OF INDIAN PAINT INDUSTRY** *RAHUL GANDHI BURRA & DR. BHARAT MEGHE*	1
2.	**AN EMPIRICAL INVESTIGATION IN THE ROLE OF MICRO-FINANCE INSTITUTIONS FOSTERING FINANCIAL INCLUSION FOR WOMEN IN HARYANA** *RAVI DUTT & DR. R. K. SHARMA*	6
3.	**DOMESTIC DEBT, EXTERNAL DEBT AND ECONOMIC GROWTH OF JORDAN** *ALI MUSTAFA AL-QUDAH*	11
4.	**EVIDENCE AGAINST EXISTENCE OF SIZE EFFECT IN THE INDIAN CONTEXT** *DR. G. RAGHURAM*	17
5.	**DETERMINANTS OF MOST INFLUENCING REFERENCE GROUP IN BUYING DECISION OF RURAL CONSUMER** *SHWETA & DR. ATUL DHYANI*	23
6.	**DECISION SUPPORT SYSTEM IN SUPPLY CHAIN NETWORKS: A CRITICAL REVIEW** *ARTI KRISHNAWAT & DR. SHANKAR CHAUDHARY*	28
7.	**CONSUMER AWARENESS AND FEEDBACK TOWARDS PURCHASE INTENTION OF CSR RELATED PRODUCTS USING SEM** *R. MANIMALAR & DR. S. SUDHA*	37
8.	**EFFECTS OF IRREGULAR SHIFT WORK ON THE MARITAL LIFE AND PARENTING DIFFICULTIES (A STRUCTURAL EQUATION MODELING)** *FABIAN ANDREW JAMES & DR. S. SUDHA*	41
9.	**MEASURING CORRELATION OF SELECTED DISTRICT CENTRAL CO-OPERATIVE BANK OF GUJARAT STATE** *RAJESH R. DESAI & DR. CHETAN C. PATEL*	47
10.	**INDIAN A STUDY ON ADVERTISING RECALLING ABILITY OF CHILDREN** *D. RENUKA DEVI & DR. P. KANCHANA DEVI*	51
11.	**RETAIL CUSTOMER EXPERIENCE: A RESEARCH AGENDA** *DR. SHILPA BAGDARE*	55
12.	**SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT IN THE GOVERNMENT SECTOR** *DR. SOPNAMAYEE ACHARYA*	59
13.	**ROLE OF LEADERSHIP STYLE ON ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE** *DR. ASHA NAGENDRA & DR. SAFIA FAROOQUI*	65
14.	**A STUDY ON EMPLOYEE RETENTION MEASURES AND ITS IMPACT ON EMPLOYEE MOTIVATION** *DR. MAHESHA KEMPEGOWDA & PURUSHOTHAM.C.J*	68
15.	**A STUDY ON JOB SATISFACTION AMONG UNDERGRADUATE FACULTIES WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO SELF FINANCED INSTITUTIONS, BANGALORE** *USHA SHREE.V*	73
16.	**AN EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS ON DEMOGRAPHIC TRANSITION AND SAVINGS IN INDONESIA** *SARI LESTARI ZAINAL RIDHO, NURLINA TARMIZI, BAMBANG B. SOEBYAKTO & AZWARDI*	83
17.	**METHODOLOGY OF AUDITING PROCESS: THE ALARM TO MALPRACTICES** *KRISHNA A KAPADIA*	86
18.	**GUEST SATISFACTION IN HOTELS OF KASHMIR: THE PERCEPTION OF FOREIGN TOURISTS** *ANJUM ARA*	88
19.	**ADVERTISING ETHICS AND SURROGATE ADVERTISING PRACTICES: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY** *MONIKA BANGARI*	94
20.	**IMPACT OF PROMOTIONAL MIX ELEMENTS ON TOURIST'S SATISFACTION: A CASE STUDY OF MUSSOORIE** *MOHAMMAD SHOAIB KHAN*	98
	REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK & DISCLAIMER	102

CHIEF PATRON

PROF. K. K. AGGARWAL

Chairman, Malaviya National Institute of Technology, Jaipur

(An institute of National Importance & fully funded by Ministry of Human Resource Development, Government of India)

Chancellor, K. R. Mangalam University, Gurgaon

Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Faridabad

Founder Vice-Chancellor (1998-2008), Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi

Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

FOUNDER PATRON

LATE SH. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Former State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana

Former Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri

Former President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

FORMER CO-ORDINATOR

DR. S. GARG

Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Business & Management, Urjani

ADVISORS

PROF. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Director A. C. D., School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

PROF. M. N. SHARMA

Chairman, M.B.A., Haryana College of Technology & Management, Kaithal

PROF. S. L. MAHANDRU

Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

EDITOR

PROF. R. K. SHARMA

Professor, Bharti Vidyapeeth University Institute of Management & Research, New Delhi

CO-EDITOR

DR. BHAVET

Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Engineering & Technology, Urjani

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

DR. RAJESH MODI

Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

PROF. SANJIV MITTAL

University School of Management Studies, Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

PROF. ANIL K. SAINI

Chairperson (CRC), Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

DR. SAMBHAVNA
Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi
DR. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA
Associate Professor, P. J. L. N. Government College, Faridabad
DR. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE
Asst. Professor, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

PROF. NAWAB ALI KHAN
Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.
PROF. ABHAY BANSAL
Head, Department of I.T., Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity University, Noida
PROF. V. SELVAM
SSL, VIT University, Vellore
PROF. N. SUNDARAM
VIT University, Vellore
DR. PARDEEP AHLAWAT
Associate Professor, Institute of Management Studies & Research, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak
DR. S. TABASSUM SULTANA
Associate Professor, Department of Business Management, Matrusri Institute of P.G. Studies, Hyderabad
DR. JASVEEN KAUR
Asst. Professor, University Business School, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar

FORMER TECHNICAL ADVISOR

AMITA
Faculty, Government M. S., Mohali

FINANCIAL ADVISORS

DICKIN GOYAL
Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula
NEENA
Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

LEGAL ADVISORS

JITENDER S. CHAHAL
Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.
CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA
Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

SUPERINTENDENT

SURENDER KUMAR POONIA

CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to recent developments & practices in the areas of Computer Science & Applications; Commerce; Business; Finance; Marketing; Human Resource Management; General Management; Banking; Economics; Tourism Administration & Management; Education; Law; Library & Information Science; Defence & Strategic Studies; Electronic Science; Corporate Governance; Industrial Relations; and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Rural Economics; Co-operation; Demography: Development Planning; Development Studies; Applied Economics; Development Economics; Business Economics; Monetary Policy; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Political Science; Continuing Education; Labour Welfare; Philosophy; Psychology; Sociology; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law; Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labour Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; International Relations; Human Rights & Duties; Public Administration; Population Studies; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism & Hospitality; Transportation Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic; Web Design and emerging paradigms in allied subjects.

Anybody can submit the **soft copy** of unpublished novel; original; empirical and high quality **research work/manuscript** ***anytime*** in ***M.S. Word format*** after preparing the same as per our **GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION**; at our email address i.e. infoijrcm@gmail.com or online by clicking the link **online submission** as given on our website (***FOR ONLINE SUBMISSION, CLICK HERE***).

GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT

1. **COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION**:

DATED: _____________

THE EDITOR

IJRCM

Subject: **SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF _______________________.**

(e.g. Finance/Mkt./HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, please specify)

DEAR SIR/MADAM

Please find my submission of manuscript entitled '____' for possible publication in one of your journals.

I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore, it has neither been published elsewhere in any language fully or partly, nor is it under review for publication elsewhere.

I affirm that all the co-authors of this manuscript have seen the submitted version of the manuscript and have agreed to their inclusion of names as co-authors.

Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I agree to comply with the formalities as given on the website of the journal. The Journal has discretion to publish our contribution in any of its journals.

NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR	:
Designation	:
Institution/College/University with full address & Pin Code	:
Residential address with Pin Code	:
Mobile Number (s) with country ISD code	:
Is WhatsApp or Viber active on your above noted Mobile Number (Yes/No)	:
Landline Number (s) with country ISD code	:
E-mail Address	:
Alternate E-mail Address	:
Nationality	:

NOTES:

a) The whole manuscript has to be in ***ONE MS WORD FILE*** only, which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript. ***pdf. version** is liable to be rejected without any consideration*.

b) The sender is required to mention the following in the **SUBJECT COLUMN of the mail**:

New Manuscript for Review in the area of (e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, please specify)

c) There is no need to give any text in the body of mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any **specific message** w.r.t. to the manuscript.

d) The total size of the file containing the manuscript is expected to be below **1000 KB**.

e) **Abstract alone will not be considered for review** and the author is required to submit the **complete manuscript** in the first instance.

f) ***The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email within twenty-four hours*** and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of manuscript, within two days of submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending a separate mail to the journal.

g) The author (s) name or details should not appear anywhere on the body of the manuscript, except the covering letter and the cover page of the manuscript, in the manner as mentioned in the guidelines.

2. **MANUSCRIPT TITLE:** The title of the paper should be **bold typed**, **centered** and **fully capitalised**.
3. **AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS:** Author (s) **name**, **designation**, **affiliation** (s), **address**, **mobile/landline number** (s), and **email/alternate email address** should be given underneath the title.
4. **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:** Acknowledgements can be given to reviewers, guides, funding institutions, etc., if any.
5. **ABSTRACT:** Abstract should be in **fully italicized text**, ranging between **150** to **300 words**. The abstract must be informative and explain the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a **SINGLE PARA**. ***Abbreviations must be mentioned in full***.
6. **KEYWORDS:** Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of **five**. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stop at the end. All words of the keywords, including the first one should be in small letters, except special words e.g. name of the Countries, abbreviations.
7. **JEL CODE:** Provide the appropriate Journal of Economic Literature Classification System code (s). JEL codes are available at www.aeaweb.org/econlit/jelCodes.php, however, mentioning JEL Code is not mandatory.
8. **MANUSCRIPT:** Manuscript must be in ***BRITISH ENGLISH*** prepared on a standard A4 size ***PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER***. *It should be free from any errors i.e.* ***grammatical, spelling*** *or* ***punctuation.*** *It must be thoroughly edited at your end*.
9. **HEADINGS:** All the headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
10. **SUB-HEADINGS:** All the sub-headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
11. **MAIN TEXT:**

THE MAIN TEXT SHOULD FOLLOW THE FOLLOWING SEQUENCE:

INTRODUCTION

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

OBJECTIVES

HYPOTHESIS (ES)

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

FINDINGS

RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS

CONCLUSIONS

LIMITATIONS

SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

REFERENCES

APPENDIX/ANNEXURE

The manuscript should preferably range from ***2000 to 5000 WORDS***.

12. **FIGURES & TABLES:** These should be simple, crystal **CLEAR**, **centered**, **separately numbered** & self-explained, and **titles must be above the table/figure**. **Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure**. *It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text*.
13. **EQUATIONS/FORMULAE:** These should be consecutively numbered in parenthesis, horizontally centered with equation/formulae number placed at the right. The equation editor provided with standard versions of Microsoft Word should be utilised. If any other equation editor is utilised, author must confirm that these equations may be viewed and edited in versions of Microsoft Office that does not have the editor.
14. **ACRONYMS:** These should not be used in the abstract. The use of acronyms is elsewhere is acceptable. Acronyms should be defined on its first use in each section: Reserve Bank of India (RBI). Acronyms should be redefined on first use in subsequent sections.
15. **REFERENCES:** The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. ***The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript*** and they are supposed to follow Harvard Style of Referencing. **Also check to make sure that everything that you are including in the reference section is duly cited in the paper**. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:

- All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
- Use (**ed.**) for one editor, and (**ed.s)** for multiple editors.
- When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc., in chronologically ascending order.
- Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
- The title of books and journals should be in italics. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
- For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parenthesis.
- ***Headers, footers, endnotes*** *and* ***footnotes*** *should* ***not be used*** *in the document.* However, **you can mention short notes to elucidate some specific point**, which may be placed in number orders after the references.

PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:

BOOKS

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

- Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

- Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

CONFERENCE PAPERS

- Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–23

UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS

- Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

ONLINE RESOURCES

- Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

WEBSITES

- Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp

EVIDENCE AGAINST EXISTENCE OF SIZE EFFECT IN THE INDIAN CONTEXT

DR. G. RAGHURAM
ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR
SYMBIOSIS INSTITUTE OF BUSINESS MANAGEMENT
SYMBIOSIS INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY
PUNE

ABSTRACT

This paper presents evidence against the size effect in the Indian context. The size effect is the phenomenon of small cap stocks generating higher returns than large cap stocks. The size effect can also be stated as the phenomenon of small cap stocks generating returns more than is predicted by their beta (beta of Capital Asset Pricing Model). The study considers the BSE SmallCap index to represent the small cap segment in India. The Jensen's alpha (Jensen, 1968) is found to be statistically insignificant for the BSE SmallCap index. This confirms that the BSE SmallCap index generates returns in conformity with that estimated by the CAPM and is an evidence against the size effect in the Indian context. The Jensen's alpha for the BSE MidCap index (a representative index of the midcap segment in India) is also computed to compare the results with that obtained for BSE SmallCap. The results are same as that for the BSE SmallCap, that is, the Jensen's alpha for the BSE MidCap index too is statistically insignificant. The betas of both these indices, that is, the BSE SmallCap and the BSE MidCap are statistically significant and greater than one implying that their systematic risk is greater than that of the market. And of the small cap and the midcap indices, the beta of the former is greater than that of the latter. The period of the study is April 2003 till March 2015.

KEYWORDS

size effect, BSE SmallCap, BSE MidCap.

INTRODUCTION

The size effect is the phenomenon of small cap stocks generating higher returns than large cap stocks. The size effect can also be stated as the phenomenon of small cap stocks generating returns more than is predicted by their CAPM beta. Since the phenomenon of size effect is unexplained by the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) the phenomenon is considered an anomaly. Since its documentation by Banz (1981), the size effect has received lot of attention in the Finance research literature all over the world. Many authors have presented evidence in support of the size effect in the Indian context too.

In order to test the size effect, it would suffice to test if the returns to the small cap stocks in India are in conformity with that predicted by the CAPM. If the returns to the small cap stocks are in excess to that estimated by the CAPM it can be inferred that the size effect exists in India.

The study considers the BSE SmallCap index of the Mumbai Stock Exchange (BSE) to represent the small cap segment in the Indian context. The BSE MidCap index, the midcap index of the BSE has also been included in the study just to see if size effect is observed in the midcap stocks as midcap stocks are of lower market capitalization than the large cap stocks. Two proxies for the market are considered, one, the BSE Sensex and two, the BSE 500 index. The period of the study is April 2003 till March 2015.

Surprisingly enough, the study finds that for both the market proxies considered the BSE MidCap and the BSE SmallCap do not earn returns in excess of that predicted by the CAPM and that their returns are in conformity with that predicted by the CAPM. This is evidence against the existence of size effect in India. It is found that the betas of both these indices, that is, the BSE SmallCap and the BSE MidCap are statistically significant and above one implying that their systematic risk is greater than that of the market. And of the small cap and the midcap indices, the beta of the former is greater than that of the latter.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Blume and Friend (1974) study common stocks on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) for the period 1928-68 and come to the conclusion that the beta performs relatively better for large capitalization stocks as compared to small capitalization stocks. Levy (1978) after a study of a sample of 101 stocks listed on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) comes to a conclusion consistent with that of Blume and Friend (1974) by stating that for widely held stocks beta seems to provide a better explanation for price behaviour while for stocks that are not held by many investors, variance seems to provide a better explanation for price behaviour. Levy (1978) claims consistency by stating that we could possibly assume large capitalization stocks to be widely held stocks. The time period of the Levy (1978) study is 1948-68.

Banz (1981) and Reinganum (1981 a) document the size effect – that size (measured by market capitalization) and average stock returns were inversely related. They observe that small-capitalization firms generated higher average returns than estimated by the Sharpe-Lintner capital asset-pricing model (CAPM). Banz studies the monthly returns for the period 1931-75 for New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) listed stocks. For this period, the fifty smallest stocks perform better than the fifty largest by an average of one percentage point per month when risk adjusted returns are considered.

Keim (1983) studies the month to month stability of the size effect for the time period 1963-1979 for a sample of NYSE and AMEX stocks. The study gives evidence in support of the size effect and also documents that about fifty percent of the average magnitude of the size effect could be attributed to the January effect - the phenomenon of high abnormal returns in January relative to the rest eleven months.

Schultz (1983) does a decile ranking of NYSE and American Exchange (AMEX) stocks taken together on the basis of market capitalization for the time period 1963 till 1979.The study finds that for holding periods of one year the smallest decile of stocks earn average risk adjusted returns of about 31 percent per year net of transaction costs. So the study concludes that higher transaction costs of small cap stocks cannot explain the size effect.

Fama and French (1993) study all the NYSE Stocks on the CRSP database for the time period 1963 till 1991 to demonstrate that a three factor model (which is popularly called the Fama-French Three Factor Model) with market, size (measured by market capitalization) and value (measured by ratio of book equity to market equity) factors is able to describe stock returns better than the CAPM thus providing additional support for the size effect and also highlighting the inability of the CAPM and beta in describing small capitalization stock returns.

There have been many studies supporting the view that the Fama-French model is a good descriptor of stock returns in the Indian market and other emerging economies. Connor and Sehgal (2001) and Mohanty (2001) state that the Fama-French three factor model well describes the stock returns in the Indian context. Drew (2003) gives evidence supporting the applicability of the Fama-French model in Hong Kong, Korea, Malaysia and the Philippines. Bundoo (2008) states that the Fama-French three factor model is a good descriptor of returns for stocks listed on the Stock Exchange of Mauritius. Tripathi (2008), Bahl (2006) and Taneja (2010) are among the other authors who have given evidence in support of the Fama-French three factor model in the Indian context.

The fact that the Fama-French three factor model has found support in the context of India and other countries implies support for the size effect in these countries.

DATA AND METHODOLOGY

The well-known Sharpe (1964) and Lintner (1965) Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM) is given by

$R_i = R_f + \beta_i (R_m – R_f)$, (1)

where, R_i is the return of the ith stock, R_f is the risk free rate of return, β_i is the beta of the ith stock and R_m is the return of the market.

The excess return earned by a stock, index or a portfolio over and above the return estimated for it by the CAPM can be determined by computing the Jensen's alpha given by Jensen (1968). It is the alpha given in the below equation.

$R_i - R_f = \alpha_i + \beta_i (R_m - R_f)$ (2),

where α_i is the alpha of the ith asset.

Two proxies for the market have been considered, one the BSE Sensex and two, the BSE 500 index. The data for these two market proxies as well as for the BSE SmallCap and BSE MidCap indices have been obtained for the time period April 2003 till March 2015 from the official website of the Mumbai Stock Exchange (BSE), www.bseindia.com. It is to be noted that the BSE website does not have any data for the indices BSE SmallCap and BSE MidCap before April 2003. The interest rates data on Central Government Dated Securities for the time period April 2003 till March 2015 obtained from the official website of the Reserve Bank of India, www.rbi.org.in, have been considered as the proxy for the risk free rate.The monthly percentage returns for the two market proxies, the BSE Sensex and BSE 500 index as well as for the BSE SmallCap and BSE MidCap indices were computed for the time period May 2003 till March 2015 using the monthly closing values of the indices. The annual interest rates obtained from the Reserve Bank of India website were converted to monthly rates.

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

The regression for the Jensen's alpha equation stated earlier is run for the BSE SmallCap and BSE MidCap were run using the EasyReg econometric software (Bierens, 2015) and the Jensen's alpha is obtained for the indices BSE SmallCap and BSE MidCap using both BSE Sensex and BSE 500 as market proxies. Surprisingly, the Jensen's alpha for both the indices BSE SmallCap and BSE MidCap are statistically insignificant for both the market proxies, BSE Sensex and BSE 500. The EasyReg software reports heteroscedasticity consistent t-values, standard errors and p-values (observed significance levels) based on White's heteroscedasticity consistent variance matrix (White, 1980). The EasyReg outputs for the Jensen's alpha equation regression for the indices BSE SmallCap and BSE MidCap for both the market proxies follow.

FIGURE 1: EASYREG OUTPUT FOR BSE SMALLCAP USING BSE SENSEX AS THE MARKET PROXY

```
EasyReg International [May 27, 2015]
Session date: Sunday March 27, 2016
Session time: 13:05:08
----------------------------------------------
Dependent variable:
Y = BSE SmallCap - Rf

Characteristics:
BSE SmallCap - Rf
  First observation = 1
  Last observation  = 143
  Number of usable observations: 143
  Minimum value: -3.3113601E+001
  Maximum value:  5.1331634E+001
  Sample mean:    1.7019129E+000

X variables:
X(1) = Sensex - Rf
X(2) = 1

Model:
Y = b(1)X(1) + b(2)X(2)  + U,
where U is the error term, satisfying
E[U|X(1),X(2)] = 0.

OLS estimation results
Parameters    Estimate     t-value    H.C. t-value
                            (S.E.)     (H.C. S.E.)
                          [p-value]  [H.C. p-value]
b(1)         1.2422606      17.140          14.639
                          (0.07248)       (0.08486)
                          [0.00000]       [0.00000]
b(2)         0.1927963       0.371           0.392
                          (0.51927)       (0.49229)
                          [0.71043]       [0.69533]

Notes:
1: S.E. = Standard error
2: H.C. = Heteroskedasticity Consistent. These t-values and
   standard errors are based on White's heteroskedasticity
   consistent variance matrix.
3: The two-sided p-values are based on the normal approximation
```

FIGURE 2: EASYREG OUTPUT FOR BSE MIDCAP USING BSE SENSEX AS THE MARKET PROXY

```
EasyReg International [May 27, 2015]
Session date: Sunday March 27, 2016
Session time: 13:07:58
----------------------------------------------
Dependent variable:
Y = BSE MidCap - Rf

Characteristics:
BSE MidCap - Rf
  First observation = 1
  Last observation  = 143
  Number of usable observations: 143
  Minimum value: -3.3928456E+001
  Maximum value:  4.3324998E+001
  Sample mean:    1.4954530E+000

X variables:
X(1) = Sensex - Rf
X(2) = 1


Model:
Y = b(1)X(1) + b(2)X(2)  + U,
where U is the error term, satisfying
E[U|X(1),X(2)] = 0.


OLS estimation results
Parameters   Estimate     t-value    H.C. t-value
                           (S.E.)     (H.C. S.E.)
                         [p-value]  [H.C. p-value]
b(1)        1.1525525     23.763          18.934
                         (0.04850)       (0.06087)
                         [0.00000]       [0.00000]
b(2)        0.0953152      0.274           0.286
                         (0.34751)       (0.33274)
                         [0.78387]       [0.77453]

Notes:
1: S.E. = Standard error
2: H.C. = Heteroskedasticity Consistent. These t-values and
   standard errors are based on White's heteroskedasticity
   consistent variance matrix.
3: The two-sided p-values are based on the normal approximation.
```

FIGURE 3: EASYREG OUTPUT FOR BSE SMALLCAP USING BSE 500 AS THE MARKET PROXY

```
EasyReg International [May 27, 2015]
Session date: Sunday March 27, 2016
Session time: 12:45:11
---------------------------------------------
Dependent variable:
Y = BSE SmallCap - Rf

Characteristics:
BSE SmallCap - Rf
  First observation = 1
  Last observation  = 143
  Number of usable observations: 143
  Minimum value: -3.3113601E+001
  Maximum value:  5.1331634E+001
  Sample mean:    1.7019129E+000

X variables:
X(1) = BSE 500 - Rf
X(2) = 1


Model:
Y = b(1)X(1) + b(2)X(2)  + U,
where U is the error term, satisfying
E[U|X(1),X(2)] = 0.


OLS estimation results
Parameters   Estimate    t-value    H.C. t-value
                          (S.E.)    (H.C. S.E.)
                        [p-value]  [H.C. p-value]
b(1)         1.2328445    24.081         19.537
                        (0.05120)       (0.06310)
                        [0.00000]       [0.00000]
b(2)         0.0597305     0.148          0.156
                        (0.40324)       (0.38273)
                        [0.88224]       [0.87598]

Notes:
1: S.E. = Standard error
2: H.C. = Heteroskedasticity Consistent. These t-values and
   standard errors are based on White's heteroskedasticity
   consistent variance matrix.
3: The two-sided p-values are based on the normal approximation.
```

FIGURE 4: EASYREG OUTPUT FOR BSE MIDCAP USING BSE 500 AS THE MARKET PROXY

```
EasyReg International [May 27, 2015]
Session date: Sunday March 27, 2016
Session time: 12:41:16
----------------------------------------------
Dependent variable:
Y = BSE MidCap - Rf

Characteristics:
BSE MidCap - Rf
  First observation = 1
  Last observation  = 143
  Number of usable observations: 143
  Minimum value: -3.3928456E+001
  Maximum value:  4.3324998E+001
  Sample mean:    1.4954530E+000

X variables:
X(1) = BSE 500 - Rf
X(2) = 1


Model:
Y = b(1)X(1) + b(2)X(2)  + U,
where U is the error term, satisfying
E[U|X(1),X(2)] = 0.


OLS estimation results
Parameters     Estimate     t-value    H.C. t-value
                             (S.E.)    (H.C. S.E.)
                           [p-value]  [H.C. p-value]
b(1)          1.1242200      40.334          30.027
                           (0.02787)      (0.03744)
                           [0.00000]      [0.00000]
b(2)         -0.0020385      -0.009          -0.009
                           (0.21954)      (0.21468)
                           [0.99259]      [0.99242]

Notes:
1: S.E. = Standard error
2: H.C. = Heteroskedasticity Consistent. These t-values and
   standard errors are based on White's heteroskedasticity
   consistent variance matrix.
3: The two-sided p-values are based on the normal approximation.
```

The results of the EasyReg outputs for the two indices for both the market proxies are summarized in the table below:

TABLE 1: SUMMARY OF THE EASYREG OUTPUTS FOR THE TWO INDICES

Index	Market Proxy	αi	αi H.C. t-value	αi H.C. p-value	βi	βi H.C. t-value	βi H.C. p-value
BSE SmallCap	BSE Sensex	0.1928	0.3920	0.6953	1.2423	14.6390	0.0000
BSE MidCap	BSE Sensex	0.0953	0.2860	0.7745	1.1526	18.9340	0.0000
BSE SmallCap	BSE 500	0.0597	0.1560	0.8760	1.2328	19.5370	0.0000
BSE MidCap	BSE 500	-0.0020	-0.0090	0.9924	1.1242	30.0270	0.0000

Note: H.C t-value and H.C p-value in the above table refer to heteroscedasticity consistent t-values and heteroscedasticity consistent p-values respectively.

It can be inferred from the above table that for the two indices BSE SmallCap and BSE MidCap, the Jensen's alphas are statistically insignificant even at 10% level of significance for both the market proxies considered.

The betas for both the indices BSE SmallCap and BSE MidCap are statistically significant at 1% level of significance for both the market proxies. It can also be seen that for both the market proxies the betas of both BSE SmallCap and BSE MidCap are greater than one implying that the small cap and the midcap indices have a greater systematic risk than the market. It could also be observed that the beta of the small cap index is greater than the beta of the midcap index.

CONCLUSIONS

It is seen that the Jensen's alpha for the BSE SmallCap index is statistically insignificant implying that the returns of the small cap index are in conformity with that predicted by the CAPM. This is serious evidence against the existence of the size effect in the Indian context. The Jensen's alpha for the BSE MidCap index too is statistically insignificant implying that the returns of the mid cap index too are in conformity with that predicted by the CAPM.

The study finds that the betas of the small cap and the midcap indices are greater than one implying that the small cap and midcap stocks have higher systematic (non-diversifiable) risk than the market. And among the small cap and midcap stocks the small cap stocks have a higher systematic risk (since the beta of the small cap index is greater than the beta of the midcap index).

REFERENCES

1. Bahl, B. (2006), "Testing the Fama and French Three-factor Model and Its Variants for the Indian Stock Returns," Available at SSRN 950899.
2. Banz, R.W. (1981), "The relationship between return and market value of common stocks," Journal of Financial Economics, Vol. 9, No.1, pp.3-18.
3. Bierens, H.J. (2015), "EasyReg International," Pennsylvania State University (http://econ.la.psu.edu/~hbierens/EASYREG.HTM).
4. Blume, M.E. and Friend, I. (1974), "Risk, Investment Strategy and the Long-run Rates of Return," The Review of Economics and Statistics, pp.259-269.
5. Bundoo, S.K. (2008), "An Augmented Fama and French Three-Factor Model: New Evidence From an Emerging Stock Market," Applied Economics Letters, Vol.15, No.15, pp.1213-1218.
6. Connor, G. and Sehgal, S. (2001), Tests of the Fama and French Model in India.
7. Drew, M. (2003), "Beta, Firm Size, Book-to-Market Equity and Stock Returns,' Journal of the Asia Pacific Economy, Vol.8, No.3, pp.354-379.
8. Fama, E.F. and French, K.R. (1993), "Common Risk Factors in the Returns on Stocks and Bonds," Journal of Financial Economics, Vol.33, No.1, pp.3-56.
9. Jensen, M.C. (1968), "The Performance of Mutual Funds in the Period 1945–1964," The Journal of Finance, Vol.23, No.2, pp.389-416.
10. Keim, D.B. (1983), "Size-related Anomalies and Stock Return Seasonality: Further Empirical Evidence," Journal of Financial Economics, Vol.12, No.1, pp.13-32.
11. Levy, H. (1978), "Equilibrium in an Imperfect Market: A Constraint on the Number of Securities in the Portfolio," The American Economic Review, Vol.68, No.4, pp.643-658.
12. Lintner, J. (1965), "The Valuation of Risk Assets and the Selection of Risky Investments in Stock Portfolios and Capital Budgets," The Review of Economics and Statistics, pp.13-37.
13. Reiganum, M.R. (1981a), "Misspecification of Capital Asset Pricing," Journal of Financial Economics, Vol.9, pp.19-46.
14. Schultz, P. (1983), "Transaction Costs and the Small Firm Effect: A Comment," Journal of Financial Economics, Vol.12, No.1, pp.81-88.
15. Sharpe, W.F. (1964), "Capital Asset Prices: A Theory of Market Equilibrium Under Conditions of Risk," The Journal of Finance, Vol.19, No.3, pp.425-442.
16. Taneja, Y.P. (2010), "Revisiting Fama French Three-factor Model in Indian Stock Market," Vision: The Journal of Business Perspective, Vol.14, No.4, pp.267-274.
17. Tripathi, V. (2008), "Company Fundamentals and Equity Returns in India," Available at SSRN 1134651.
18. White, H., 1980. A heteroskedasticity-consistent covariance matrix estimator and a direct test for heteroskedasticity. Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society, pp.817-838.
19. www.bseindia.com
20. www.rbi.org.in

REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

Dear Readers

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce & Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue, as well as on the journal as a whole, on our e-mail **infoijrcm@gmail.com** for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries, please feel free to contact us on our e-mail **infoijrcm@gmail.com**.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward to an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-
Co-ordinator

DISCLAIMER

The information and opinions presented in the Journal reflect the views of the authors and not of the Journal or its Editorial Board or the Publishers/Editors. Publication does not constitute endorsement by the journal. Neither the Journal nor its publishers/Editors/Editorial Board nor anyone else involved in creating, producing or delivering the journal or the materials contained therein, assumes any liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information provided in the journal, nor shall they be liable for any direct, indirect, incidental, special, consequential or punitive damages arising out of the use of information/material contained in the journal. The journal, neither its publishers/Editors/ Editorial Board, nor any other party involved in the preparation of material contained in the journal represents or warrants that the information contained herein is in every respect accurate or complete, and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such material. Readers are encouraged to confirm the information contained herein with other sources. The responsibility of the contents and the opinions expressed in this journal are exclusively of the author (s) concerned.

ABOUT THE JOURNAL

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active co-operation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.

Our Other Journals