# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE & MANAGEMENT



A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, ProQuest, U.S.A., EBSCO Publishing, U.S.A., Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A., Google Scholar,

Indian Citation Index (ICI), I-Gage, India Jink of the same is duly available at Inflibnet of University Grants Commission (U.G.C.), Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland with IC Value of 5.09 (2012) & number of libraries all around the world. Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than 5943 Cities in 193 countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis. Ground Floor, Building No. 1041-C-1, Devi Bhawan Bazar, JAGADHRI – 135 003, Yamunanagar, Haryana, INDIA

http://ijrcm.org.in/

# **CONTENTS**

TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOD (S)					
IIILE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (5)					
A COMPREHENSIVE STUDY OF THE HUMAN RESOURCE PRACTICES OF THE	1				
CONSTRUCTION SECTOR IN TAMIL NADU					
Dr. C. S. RAMANIGOPAL, A. MANI, S. VASUDEVAN & S. UGESH KUMAR					
A STUDY ON CURRENCY FLUCTUATIONS IMPACT ON GOLD PRICE DETERMINATION IN	9				
SELECTED COUNTRIES (2005 TO 2015)					
V. PRASHANTH KUMAR & K. SUHRULLEKHA					
DIGITAL MARKETING CONSEQUENCES ON URBAN CONSUMER BEHAVIOR IN NAGPUR	15				
CITY					
SAGAR JADHAV, Dr. DEVENDRA VYAS & Dr. SHRINIVAS MANEKAR					
A STUDY ON JOB SATISFACTION OF EMPLOYEES IN ORGANISED RETAIL WITH SPECIAL	18				
REFERENCE TO BELAGAVI CITY					
S O HALASAGI & ASHWINI M. JAMUNI					
CUSTOMERS' PERCEPTION TOWARDS GST WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO INSURANCE AND					
AUTOMOBILE INDUSTRY IN TIRUPUR DISTRICT					
VIJAY P.					
IMPACT OF CELEBRITY ATTRIBUTES ON CONSUMERS BUYING DECISION WITH SPECIAL	25				
REFERENCE TO TWO WHEELER					
RAJNI					
CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY – A CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK	30				
RR REDDY & Dr. K. KALA					
CONTRIBUTION OF MSME TO ASPECTS OF POVERTY REDUCTION, EMPLOYMENTSHIP	36				
AND INCOME DISTRIBUTION IN PALEMBANG CITY (CASE STUDY IN MSME TANGGA					
BUNTUNG AREA, 30 ILIR)					
DRA. ELVIA ZAHARA, M.M., TITI ANDRIYANI, S.E., M.SI., ESYA ALHADI, S.E., M.M. & GUSTI					
AYU OKA WINDARTI, S.E., M.M.					
DETERMINANTS OF ONLINE PURCHASE INTENTION AMONG YOUTH IN KERALA					
MUHAMMED ARSAL TK					
CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY: DIMENSIONS AND CHALLENGES IN SELECTED	52				
SOFTWARE COMPANIES IN INDIA					
K. S. KHATHEEJA PARVIN					
REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK & DISCLAIMER	59				
	CONSTRUCTION SECTOR IN TAMIL NADU Dr. C. S. RAMANIGOPAL, A. MANI, S. VASUDEVAN & S. UGESH KUMAR A STUDY ON CURRENCY FLUCTUATIONS IMPACT ON GOLD PRICE DETERMINATION IN SELECTED COUNTRIES (2005 TO 2015) V. PRASHANTH KUMAR & K. SUHRULLEKHA DIGITAL MARKETING CONSEQUENCES ON URBAN CONSUMER BEHAVIOR IN NAGPUR CITY SAGAR JADHAV, Dr. DEVENDRA VYAS & Dr. SHRINIVAS MANEKAR A STUDY ON JOB SATISFACTION OF EMPLOYEES IN ORGANISED RETAIL WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO BELAGAVI CITY S O HALASAGI & ASHWINI M. JAMUNI CUSTOMERS' PERCEPTION TOWARDS GST WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO INSURANCE AND AUTOMOBILE INDUSTRY IN TIRUPUR DISTRICT VIJAY P. IMPACT OF CELEBRITY ATTRIBUTES ON CONSUMERS BUYING DECISION WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO TWO WHEELER RAJNI CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY – A CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK RR REDDY & Dr. K. KALA CONTRIBUTION OF MSME TO ASPECTS OF POVERTY REDUCTION, EMPLOYMENTSHIP AND INCOME DISTRIBUTION IN PALEMBANG CITY (CASE STUDY IN MSME TANGGA BUNTUNG AREA, 30 ILIR) DRA. ELVIA ZAHARA, M.M., TITI ANDRIYANI, S.E., M.SI., ESYA ALHADI, S.E., M.M. & GUSTI AYU OKA WINDARTI, S.E., M.M. DETERMINANTS OF ONLINE PURCHASE INTENTION AMONG YOUTH IN KERALA MUHAMMED ARSAL TK CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY: DIMENSIONS AND CHALLENGES IN SELECTED SOFTWARE COMPANIES IN INDIA K. S. KHATHEEJA PARVIN				

# <u>CHIEF PATRON</u>

Prof. (Dr.) K. K. AGGARWAL

Chairman, Malaviya National Institute of Technology, Jaipur (An institute of National Importance & fully funded by Ministry of Human Resource Development, Government of India) Chancellor, K. R. Mangalam University, Gurgaon Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Faridabad Founder Vice-Chancellor (1998-2008), Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

# FOUNDER PATRON

# Late Sh. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Former State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana Former Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri Former President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

# FORMER CO-ORDINATOR

Dr. S. GARG Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Business & Management, Urjani

# <u>ADVISOR</u>

**Prof. S. L. MAHANDRU** Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

# <u>EDITOR</u>

# Dr. A SAJEEVAN RAO

Professor & Director, Accurate Institute of Advanced Management, Greater Noida

# CO-EDITOR

# Dr. BHAVET

Former Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Engineering & Technology, Urjani

# EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

Dr. CHRISTIAN EHIOBUCHE

Professor of Global Business/Management, Larry L Luing School of Business, Berkeley College, USA

# Dr. JOSÉ G. VARGAS-HERNÁNDEZ

Research Professor, University Center for Economic & Managerial Sciences, University of Guadalajara, Gua-

### dalajara, Mexico Dr. M. N. SHARMA

Chairman, M.B.A., Haryana College of Technology & Management, Kaithal

# Dr. TEGUH WIDODO

Dean, Faculty of Applied Science, Telkom University, Bandung Technoplex, Jl. Telekomunikasi, Indonesia

# Dr. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Professor, School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

# Dr. KAUP MOHAMED

Dean & Managing Director, London American City College/ICBEST, United Arab Emirates

# Dr. D. S. CHAUBEY

Professor & Dean (Research & Studies), Uttaranchal University, Dehradun

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories
<u>http://ijrcm.org.in/</u>

# Dr. ANIL K. SAINI

Professor, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi

### Dr. ARAMIDE OLUFEMI KUNLE

Dean, Department of General Studies, The Polytechnic, Ibadan, Nigeria

### Dr. SYED TABASSUM SULTANA

Principal, Matrusri Institute of Post Graduate Studies, Hyderabad

### Dr. MIKE AMUHAYA IRAVO

Principal, Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture & Tech., Westlands Campus, Nairobi-Kenya

# Dr. NEPOMUCENO TIU

Chief Librarian & Professor, Lyceum of the Philippines University, Laguna, Philippines

# Dr. BOYINA RUPINI

Director, School of ITS, Indira Gandhi National Open University, New Delhi

# Dr. ANA ŠTAMBUK

Head of Department of Statistics, Faculty of Economics, University of Rijeka, Rijeka, Croatia

# Dr. FERIT ÖLÇER

Professor & Head of Division of Management & Organization, Department of Business Administration, Faculty of Economics & Business Administration Sciences, Mustafa Kemal University, Turkey

# **Dr. SANJIV MITTAL**

Professor & Dean, University School of Management Studies, GGS Indraprastha University, Delhi Dr. SHIB SHANKAR ROY

Professor, Department of Marketing, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi, Bangladesh

# Dr. NAWAB ALI KHAN

Professor & Dean, Faculty of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

# Dr. SRINIVAS MADISHETTI

Professor, School of Business, Mzumbe University, Tanzania

# Dr. ABHAY BANSAL

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engg. & Tech., Amity University, Noida

# Dr. KEVIN LOW LOCK TENG

Associate Professor, Deputy Dean, Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman, Kampar, Perak, Malaysia

# Dr. OKAN VELI ŞAFAKLI

Professor & Dean, European University of Lefke, Lefke, Cyprus

# Dr. V. SELVAM

Associate Professor, SSL, VIT University, Vellore

# Dr. BORIS MILOVIC

Associate Professor, Faculty of Sport, Union Nikola Tesla University, Belgrade, Serbia

# Dr. N. SUNDARAM

Associate Professor, VIT University, Vellore

# Dr. IQBAL THONSE HAWALDAR

Associate Professor, College of Business Administration, Kingdom University, Bahrain

# Dr. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, Government College, Hodal

# Dr. ALEXANDER MOSESOV

Associate Professor, Kazakh-British Technical University (KBTU), Almaty, Kazakhstan

# **RODRECK CHIRAU**

Associate Professor, Botho University, Francistown, Botswana

# Dr. PARDEEP AHLAWAT

Associate Professor, Institute of Management Studies & Research, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak

# Dr. DEEPANJANA VARSHNEY

Associate Professor, Department of Business Administration, King Abdulaziz University, Saudi Arabia

### Dr. BIEMBA MALITI

Associate Professor, School of Business, The Copperbelt University, Main Campus, Zambia

### Dr. KIARASH JAHANPOUR

Research Adviser, Farabi Institute of Higher Education, Mehrshahr, Karaj, Alborz Province, Iran

### Dr. SAMBHAVNA

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

YU-BING WANG

Faculty, department of Marketing, Feng Chia University, Taichung, Taiwan

### Dr. MELAKE TEWOLDE TECLEGHIORGIS

Faculty, College of Business & Economics, Department of Economics, Asmara, Eritrea

### Dr. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE

Faculty, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

### Dr. THAMPOE MANAGALESWARAN

Faculty, Vavuniya Campus, University of Jaffna, Sri Lanka

### Dr. JASVEEN KAUR

Head of the Department/Chairperson, University Business School, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar SURAJ GAUDEL

BBA Program Coordinator, LA GRANDEE International College, Simalchaur - 8, Pokhara, Nepal Dr. RAJESH MODI

Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

# FORMER TECHNICAL ADVISOR

AMITA

FINANCIAL ADVISORS

# DICKEN GOYAL Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula NEENA Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

# LEGAL ADVISORS

JITENDER S. CHAHAL Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T. CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

# SUPERINTENDENT

SURENDER KUMAR POONIA

v

# CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to the recent developments & practices in the areas of Computer Science & Applications; Commerce; Business; Finance; Marketing; Human Resource Management; General Management; Banking; Economics; Tourism Administration & Management; Education; Law; Library & Information Science; Defence & Strategic Studies; Electronic Science; Corporate Governance; Industrial Relations; and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Rural Economics; Co-operation; Demography: Development Planning; Development Studies; Applied Economics; Development Economics; Business Economics; Monetary Policy; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Political Science; Continuing Education; Labour Welfare; Philosophy; Psychology; Sociology; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law; Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labour Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations: International Relations: Human Rights & Duties: Public Administration: Population Studies: Purchasing/Materials Management: Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism & Hospitality; Transportation Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic; Web Design and emerging paradigms in allied subjects.

Anybody can submit the **soft copy** of unpublished novel; original; empirical and high quality **research work/manuscript anytime** in <u>M.S. Word format</u> after preparing the same as per our **GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION**; at our email address i.e. <u>infoijrcm@gmail.com</u> or online by clicking the link **online submission** as given on our website (*FOR ONLINE SUBMISSION, CLICK HERE*).

# **GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT**

### 1. COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

### THE EDITOR

IJRCM

### Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF

(e.g. Finance/Mkt./HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, please specify)

### DEAR SIR/MADAM

Please find my submission of manuscript titled '\_\_\_\_\_' for likely publication in one of your journals.

I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore, it has neither been published anywhere in any language fully or partly, nor it is under review for publication elsewhere.

I affirm that all the co-authors of this manuscript have seen the submitted version of the manuscript and have agreed to inclusion of their names as co-authors.

Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I agree to comply with the formalities as given on the website of the journal. The Journal has discretion to publish our contribution in any of its journals.

NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR	:
Designation/Post*	:
Institution/College/University with full address & Pin Code	:
Residential address with Pin Code	:
Mobile Number (s) with country ISD code	:
Is WhatsApp or Viber active on your above noted Mobile Number (Yes/No)	:
Landline Number (s) with country ISD code	:
E-mail Address	:
Alternate E-mail Address	:
Nationality	:

\* i.e. Alumnus (Male Alumni), Alumna (Female Alumni), Student, Research Scholar (M. Phil), Research Scholar (Ph. D.), JRF, Research Assistant, Assistant Lecturer, Lecturer, Senior Lecturer, Junior Assistant Professor, Assistant Professor, Senior Assistant Professor, Co-ordinator, Reader, Associate Professor, Professor, Head, Vice-Principal, Dy. Director, Principal, Director, Dean, President, Vice Chancellor, Industry Designation etc. <u>The qualification of</u> <u>author is not acceptable for the purpose</u>.

# NOTES:

- a) The whole manuscript has to be in **ONE MS WORD FILE** only, which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript. <u>*pdf.*</u> <u>version</u> is liable to be rejected without any consideration.
- b) The sender is required to mention the following in the SUBJECT COLUMN of the mail:

**New Manuscript for Review in the area of** (e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, please specify)

- c) There is no need to give any text in the body of the mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any **specific message** w.r.t. to the manuscript.
- d) The total size of the file containing the manuscript is expected to be below 1000 KB.
- e) Only the **Abstract will not be considered for review** and the author is required to submit the **complete manuscript** in the first instance.
- f) The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email within twenty-four hours and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of the manuscript, within two days of its submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending a separate mail to the journal.
- g) The author (s) name or details should not appear anywhere on the body of the manuscript, except on the covering letter and the cover page of the manuscript, in the manner as mentioned in the guidelines.
- 2. MANUSCRIPT TITLE: The title of the paper should be typed in **bold letters**, centered and fully capitalised.
- 3. **AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS**: Author (s) **name**, **designation**, **affiliation** (s), **address**, **mobile/landline number** (s), and **email/alternate email address** should be given underneath the title.
- 4. **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**: Acknowledgements can be given to reviewers, guides, funding institutions, etc., if any.
- 5. **ABSTRACT**: Abstract should be in **fully Italic printing**, ranging between **150** to **300 words**. The abstract must be informative and elucidating the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a **SINGLE PARA**. *Abbreviations must be mentioned in full*.
- 6. **KEYWORDS**: Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of **five**. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stop at the end. All words of the keywords, including the first one should be in small letters, except special words e.g. name of the Countries, abbreviations etc.
- 7. **JEL CODE**: Provide the appropriate Journal of Economic Literature Classification System code (s). JEL codes are available at www.aeaweb.org/econlit/jelCodes.php. However, mentioning of JEL Code is not mandatory.
- 8. **MANUSCRIPT**: Manuscript must be in <u>BRITISH ENGLISH</u> prepared on a standard A4 size <u>PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER</u>. It should be free from any errors i.e. grammatical, spelling or punctuation. It must be thoroughly edited at your end.
- 9. HEADINGS: All the headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
- 10. **SUB-HEADINGS**: All the sub-headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
- 11. MAIN TEXT:

#### THE MAIN TEXT SHOULD FOLLOW THE FOLLOWING SEQUENCE:

INTRODUCTION
REVIEW OF LITERATURE
REVIEW OF LITERATURE
NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY
STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM
OBJECTIVES
HYPOTHESIS (ES)
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY
RESULTS & DISCUSSION
FINDINGS
RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS
LIMITATIONS
SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH
REFERENCES
APPENDIX/ANNEXURE

The manuscript should preferably be in 2000 to 5000 WORDS, But the limits can vary depending on the nature of the manuscript.

- 12. **FIGURES & TABLES**: These should be simple, crystal **CLEAR**, **centered**, **separately numbered** & self-explained, and the **titles must be above the table/figure**. Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure. It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text.
- 13. **EQUATIONS/FORMULAE:** These should be consecutively numbered in parenthesis, left aligned with equation/formulae number placed at the right. The equation editor provided with standard versions of Microsoft Word may be utilised. If any other equation editor is utilised, author must confirm that these equations may be viewed and edited in versions of Microsoft Office that does not have the editor.
- 14. **ACRONYMS:** These should not be used in the abstract. The use of acronyms is elsewhere is acceptable. Acronyms should be defined on its first use in each section e.g. Reserve Bank of India (RBI). Acronyms should be redefined on first use in subsequent sections.
- 15. **REFERENCES**: The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. *The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript* and they may follow Harvard Style of Referencing. Also check to ensure that everything that you are including in the reference section is duly cited in the paper. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
- All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
- Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
- When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc., in chronologically ascending order.
- Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
- The title of books and journals should be in italic printing. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
- For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parenthesis.
- *Headers, footers, endnotes and footnotes should not be used in the document.* However, you can mention short notes to elucidate some specific point, which may be placed in number orders before the references.

#### PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:

### BOOKS

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

### CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

• Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

### JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

• Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

#### **CONFERENCE PAPERS**

Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–23

#### UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS

• Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

### ONLINE RESOURCES

Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

### WEBSITES

Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp

# IMPACT OF CELEBRITY ATTRIBUTES ON CONSUMERS BUYING DECISION WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO TWO WHEELER

### RAJNI ASST. PROFESSOR GOVT. COLLEGE FOR WOMEN GURAWARA

### ABSTRACT

This study aims to finding out whether celebrity attributes influence the buying decision of consumers with special reference to two wheeler. The study is conducted in Rewari District. The Sample size is 200 respondents. The attributes of celebrity, which are selected for the study, are expertise, trustworthiness, similarity, likeability and product match with celebrity. The study found that there is positive impact of celebrity's attributes on the buying decision of consumers about two wheeler.

#### **KEYWORDS**

celebrity endorsement, celebrity attributes, consumer buying behavior.

### JEL CODE

M31

### INTRODUCTION

elebrity endorsements appear to be a very popular advertising tool in the modern day marketing. Advertisement featuring celebrities act as stimuli and attract the attention of consumers which results in recognition of brands, create brand awareness and instant recall and recognition of brands advertised by celebrities and motivates consumers to buy endorsed products (Kulkarni, 2014). Advertisers attempts to steal at least a fraction of a person's time to inform him or her of the amazing and different attributes of the product at hand. Because of the constant media saturation that most people experience daily, they eventually become numb to the standard marketing techniques. The challenge of the marketer is to find a hook that will hold the consumer's attention. The hooks that can hold the consumer's attention are the celebrities (Arjun, Dhandha and Nagra 2012). In India, celebrity endorsements have produced positive impact on consumers buying behaviour by increasing public attention and sales volume of endorsed brands (Priya Chetty, 2011). Aamir Khan is used by Titan to communicate the message that Titan watches are trustworthy as the actor is for his films. This celebrity endorsement has also been quite effective in influencing consumers buying decision. Similarly various endorsements by Shahrukh Khan, Sachin Tendulkar and others have been found to be successful in affecting consumer's buying decision (Joshi and Ahluwalia, 2008).

### **REVIEW OF LITERATURE**

Pradeep Agarwal and S.K Dubey (2012); in their paper entitled "Impact of celebrity endorsement on consumer's buying behaviour" celebrity endorsement is an effective tool to affect positively the consumer's decision towards a product. Male celebrity endorsers are more effective than female celebrity endorser and endorsement by bollywood star are more effective than other celebrities. C.S.Jayanti Prasad (2012); in his paper "Brand Endorsement by Celebrities impact towards consumer satisfaction" concluded that consumers perceive the endorsed brand to be of higher quality and are therefore willing to pay more. Endorser who is viewed positively by consumers and who is known to possess attributes which match up with the attributes of the product, have significantly impact the consumer's perception. Saeed et al. (2014); in their research on "Impact of celebrity and non-celebrity advertisement on consumer perception" found that there is a positive relation of celebrity advertisement and non-celebrity advertisement on consumer perception, but celebrity advertisements because the celebrity has already established a meaning outside the advertisement world. Celebrities have more credibility in conveying a meaningful message and people admire them. D.Ganesan, M.G.Saravanaraj and A.Pughazhendi (2012); in their personality in television commercials and the impact are high. They influenced by attractiveness of celebrity. There is an association between age of viewers and endorsers they like most. Celebrities endorsement by the same celebrities may be avoided to get the message clarity of particular brand. Vipul Jain (2011); His study on "Celebrities endorsement and its impact on sales: A Research Analysis Carried out in India" has a positive inclination towards the belief that people are motivated to buy products as a result of celebrity endorsement. The research also indicates that celebrity endorsement by the same celebrities may be avoided to get the message clarity of particular brand. Vipul Jain (2011); His study on "Cele

### **OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY**

The objective of the study is to study the effect of celebrity's attributes (Expertise, Trustworthiness, Similarity, Likeability, Product match with celebrity) on the buying behavior of consumers with special reference to their demographic characteristics.

### HYPOTHESIS OF THE STUDY

- Ho1: Celebrity's attributes has similar impact on the purchase decision of consumers related to different residential area.
- Ho2: Celebrity's attributes has similar impact on the purchase decision of consumers related to different gender.
- Ho3: Celebrity's attributes has similar impact on the purchase decision of consumers related to different age group.
- Ho4: Celebrity's attributes has similar impact on the purchase decision of consumers related to different educational level.
- Ho5: Celebrity's attributes has similar impact on the purchase decision of consumers related to different occupation.
- Ho6: Celebrity's attributes has similar impact on the purchase decision of consumers related to different income.

### **RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

Research design adopted for this study is **Exploratory** cum **Descriptive**. Population of Rewari district constitutes the universe of the study and only people having two wheeler and users of two wheeler included in the survey and constitute the survey population. The sample size is 200. The sample was collected through Purposive sampling technique. Both primary and secondary data were used for the study. Primary data collected through questionnaire and analyzed by using SPSS. The detail of sample composition show in table 1.

TABLE 1: SAMPLE COMPOSITION					
		RESIDENTIA	L AREA	ROW TOTAL	
GENDER		URBAN	URBAN RURAL		
MALE	AGE 18-25	16 (16.0%)	16 (16.0%)	32 (34.0%)	
	26-35	17 (17.0%)	17 (17.0%)	34 (34.0%)	
	above 35	17 (17.0%)	17 (17.0%)	34 (34.0%)	
COLUMN	I TOTAL	50 (50.0%)	50 (50.0%)	100 (100.0%)	
FEMALE	AGE 18-25	16 (16.0%)	16 (16.0%)	32 (32.0%)	
	26-35	17 (17.0%)	17 (17.0%)	34 (34.0%)	
	above 35	17 (17.0%)	17 (17.0%)	34 (34.0%)	
COLUMN TOTAL		50 (50.0%)	50 (50.0%)	100 (100.0%)	

#### Data Analysis

Respondents were asked to give responses to a list of nine statements. These statements were examining the response of perception of consumers about celebrity endorsement of two wheeler. Chi square test is used to test the hypothesis.

$$\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(O-E)^2}{E}$$

Here:

O= Observed Value

E= Expected Value

Statements Regarding to examine the impact of Celebrity's attributes on Consumer buying decision

Label	Statements
S1	Expertise (level of knowledge, experience) of the celebrity as an attribute that can influence my purchase decision to their endorsed two-wheeler brand.
S2	Trustworthiness/Honesty of the celebrity is an attribute that can influence my purchase decision of two-wheeler.
S3	Similarity between me and the celebrity endorser can influence my purchase decision.
S4	Likeability (level of celebrity's fame in the public opinion and how popular the celebrity is) of the celebrity as an attribute that can influence my purchase
	decision to their endorsed two-wheeler brand.
S5	Match between the celebrity and product can influence my purchase decisions to their endorsed two-wheeler brand.

Ho1: Celebrity's attributes has similar impact on the purchase decision of consumers related to different residential area.

	TABLE 2: TABULAR REPRESENTATION OF HYPOTHESIS 1						
Stat	ement	Agree	Disagree	Total	Significant Value of chi square at 1 df		
S1	Urban	96 (48.0%)	4 (2.0%)	100 (50.0%)	.733 >.05		
	Rural	95 (47.5%)	5 (2.5%)	100 (50.0%)			
	Total	191 (95.5%)	9 (4.5%)	200 (100.0%)			
S2	Urban	95 (47.5%)	5 (2.5%)	100 (50.0%)	.756 >.05		
	Rural	94 (47.0%)	6 (3.0%)	100 (50.0%)			
	Total	189 (94.5%)	11 (5.5%)	200 (100.0%)			
S3	Urban	61 (30.5%)	39 (19.5%)	100 (50.0%)	.014 <.05		
	Rural	77 (38.5%)	23 (11.5%)	100 (50.0%)			
	Total	138 (69.0%)	62 (31.0%)	200 (100.0%)			
S4	Urban	65 (32.5%)	35 (17.5%)	100 (50.0%)	.006 <.05		
	Rural	82 (41.0%)	18 (9.0%)	100 (50.0%)			
	Total	147 (73.5%)	53 (26.5%)	200 (100.0%)			
S5	Urban	97 (48.5%)	3 (1.5%)	100 (50.0%)	.121 >.05		
	Rural	92 (46.0%)	8 (4.0%)	100 (50.0%)			
	Total	189 (94.5%)	11 (5.5%)	200 (100.0%)			

Table No. 2 reveals that chi square value is greater than 0.05 of S1, S2 and S5. Hence the null hypothesis accepted for these statements which means celebrity attributes has similar impact on the buying decision of consumers related to different residential area. But the chi square value is less than 0.05 of S3 and S4. Thus, the null hypothesis rejected for these statements and we can say that celebrity attributes has not similar impact on the buying decision of consumers related to different residential area.

Ho2: Celebrity's attributes has similar impact on the purchase decision of consumers related to different Gender.

#### TABLE 3: TABULAR REPRESENTATION OF HYPOTHESIS 2

	TABLE 5. TABULAR REPRESENTATION OF HTPOTHESIS 2						
Stat	ement	Agree	Disagree	Total	Significant Value of chi square at 1df		
S1	Male	96 (48.0%)	4 (2.0%)	100 (50.0%)	.733 >.05		
	Female	95 (47.5%)	5 (2.5%)	100 (50.0%)			
	Total	191 (95.5%)	9 (4.5%)	200 (100.0%)			
S2	Male	96 (48.0%)	4 (2.0%)	100 (50.0%)	.352 >.05		
	Female	93 (46.5%)	7 (3.5%)	100 (50.0%)			
	Total	189 (94.5%)	11 (5.5%)	200 (100.0%)			
S3	Male	65 (32.5%)	35 (17.5%)	100 (50.0%)	.221 >.05		
	Female	73 (36.5%)	27 (13.5%)	100 (50.0%)			
	Total	138 (69.0%)	62 (31.0%)	200 (100.0%)			
S4	Male	62 (31.0%)	38 (19.0%)	100 (50.0%)	.000 <.05		
	Female	85 (42.5%)	15 (7.5%)	100 (50.0%)			
	Total	147 (73.5%)	53 (26.5%)	200 (100.0%)			
S5	Male	94 (47.0%)	6 (3.0%)	100 (50.0%)	.756 >.05		
	Female	95 (48.0%)	5 (2.5%)	100 (50.0%)			
	Total	189 (94.5%)	11 (5.5%)	200 (100.0%)			

### VOLUME NO. 9 (2018), ISSUE NO. 02 (FEBRUARY)

Table No. 3 reveals that chi square value is greater than 0.05 of S1, S2, S3 and S5. Hence, the null hypothesis accepted for these statements, which means celebrity attributes, has similar impact on the buying decision of both male and female consumers. But the chi square value is less than 0.05 of S3 and S4. Thus, the null hypothesis rejected for these statements and we can say that celebrity attributes has not similar impact on the buying decision of male and female consumers. But the chi square value is less than 0.05 of S3 and S4. Thus, the null hypothesis rejected for these statements and we can say that celebrity attributes has not similar impact on the buying decision of male and female respondents. Ho3: Celebrity's attributes has similar impact on the purchase decision of consumers related to different Age.

	TABLE 4: TABULAR REPRESENTATION OF HYPOTHESIS 3						
Stat	ement	Agree	Disagree	Total	Significant Value of chi square at 2df		
S1	18-25	64 (32.0%)	0 (0.0%)	64 (32.0%)	.05 =.05		
	26-35	62 (31.0%)	6 (3.0%)	68 (34.0%)			
	Above 35	65 (32.5%)	3 (1.5%)	68 (34.0%)			
	Total	191 (95.5%)	9 (4.5%)	200 (100.0%)			
S2	18-25	58 (29.0%)	6 (3.0%)	64 (32.0%)	.136 >.05		
	26-35	64 (32.0%)	4 (2.0%)	68 (34.0%)			
	Above 35	67 (33.5%)	1 (0.5%)	68 (34.0%)			
	Total	189 (94.5%)	11 (5.5%)	200(100.0%)			
S3	18-25	52 (26.0%)	12 (6.0%)	64 (32.0%)	.020 <.05		
	26-35	46 (23.0%)	22 (11.0%)	68 (34.0%)			
	Above 35	40 (20.0%)	28 (14.0%)	68 (34.0%)			
	Total	138 (69.0%)	62 (31.0%)	200 (100.0%)			
S4	18-25	56 (28.0%)	8 (4.0%)	64 (32.0%)	.001 <.05		
	26-35	51 (25.5%)	17 (8.5%)	68 (34.0%)			
	Above 35	40 (20.0%)	28 (14.0%)	68 (34.0%)			
	Total	147 (73.5%)	53 (26.5%)	200 (100.0%)			
S5	18-25	62 (31.0%)	2 (1.0%)	64 (32.0%)	.317 >.05		
	26-35	65 (32.5%)	3 (1.5%)	68 (34.0%)			
	Above 35	62 (31.0%)	6 (3.0%)	68 (34.0%)			
	Total	189 (94.5%)	11 (5.5%)	200 (100.0%)			

#### TABLE 4: TABULAR REPRESENTATION OF HYPOTHESIS

Table No. 4 reveals that chi square value is equal and greater than 0.05 of S1, S2 and S5. Hence, the null hypothesis accepted for these statements, which means celebrity attributes, has similar impact on the buying decision of consumers related to different age group. But the chi square value is less than 0.05 of S3 and S4. Thus, the null hypothesis rejected for these statements and we can say that celebrity attributes has not similar impact on the buying decision of consumers related to different age group.

Ho4: Celebrity's attributes has similar impact on the purchase decision of consumers related to different Education level.

#### TABLE 5: TABULAR REPRESENTATION OF HYPOTHESIS 4

TABLE 5: TABULAR REPRESENTATION OF HYPOTHESIS 4							
Statement Agree		Disagree	Total	Significant Value of chi square at 3df			
10 <sup>th</sup>	21 (10.5%)	1 (0.5%)	22 (11.0%)	.728 >.05			
12 <sup>th</sup>	37 (18.5%)	3 (1.5%)	40 (20.0%)				
UG	69 (34.5%)	2 (1.0%)	71 (35.5%)				
PG	64 (32.0%)	3 (1.5%)	67 (33.5%)				
Total	191 (95.5%)	9 (4.5%)	200 (100.0%)				
10 <sup>th</sup>	22 (11.0%)	0 (0.0%)	22 (11.0%)	.378 >.05			
12 <sup>th</sup>	36 (18.0%)	4 (2.0%)	40 (20.0%)				
UG	68 (34.0%)	3 (1.5%)	71 (35.5%)				
PG	63 (31.5%)	4 (2.0%)	67 (33.5%)				
Total	189 (94.5%)	11 (5.5%)	200 (100.0%)				
10 <sup>th</sup>	10 (5.0%)	12 (6.0%)	22 (11.0%)	.055 >.05			
12 <sup>th</sup>	26 (13.0%)	14 (7.0%)	40 (20.0%)				
UG	53 (26.5%)	18 (9.0%)	71 (35.5%)				
PG	49 (24.5%)	18 (9.0%)	67 (33.5%)				
Total	138 (69.0%)	62 (31.0%)	200 (100.0%)				
10 <sup>th</sup>	12 (6.0%)	10 (5.0%)	22 (11.0%)	.053 >.05			
12 <sup>th</sup>	26 (13.0%)	14 (7.0%)	40 (20.0%)				
UG	56 (28.0%)	15 (7.5%)	71 (35.5%)				
PG	53 (26.5%)	14 (7.0%)	67 (33.5%)				
Total	147 (73.5%)	53 (26.5%)	200 (100.0%)				
10 <sup>th</sup>	19 (9.5%)	3 (1.5%)	22 (11.0%)	.174 >.05			
12 <sup>th</sup>	39 (19.5)	1 (0.5%)	40 (20.0%)				
UG	69 (34.5%)	2 (1.0%)	71 (35.5%)				
PG	62 (31.0%)	5 (2.5%)	67 (33.5%)				
Total	189 (94.5%)	11 (5.5%)	200 (100.0%)				
	10 <sup>th</sup> 12 <sup>th</sup> VG PG 10 <sup>th</sup> 12 <sup>th</sup> UG PG Total 10 <sup>th</sup> 12 <sup>th</sup> UG PG Total 10 <sup>th</sup> 12 <sup>th</sup> UG PG Total 10 <sup>th</sup>	Agree           10 <sup>th</sup> 21 (10.5%)           12 <sup>th</sup> 37 (18.5%)           UG         69 (34.5%)           PG         64 (32.0%)           Total         191 (95.5%)           10 <sup>th</sup> 22 (11.0%)           12 <sup>th</sup> 36 (18.0%)           UG         68 (34.0%)           PG         63 (31.5%)           Total         189 (94.5%)           10 <sup>th</sup> 26 (13.0%)           UG         53 (26.5%)           PG         49 (24.5%)           Total         138 (69.0%)           10 <sup>th</sup> 12 (6.0%)           12 <sup>th</sup> 26 (13.0%)           UG         55 (28.0%)           PG         53 (26.5%)           Total         138 (69.0%)           10 <sup>th</sup> 12 (6.0%)           10 <sup>th</sup> 12 (6.0%)           UG         56 (28.0%)           PG         53 (26.5%)           Total         147 (73.5%)           10 <sup>th</sup> 19 (9.5%)           12 <sup>th</sup> 39 (19.5)           UG         69 (34.5%)           PG         62 (31.0%)	Agree         Disagree           10 <sup>th</sup> 21 (10.5%)         1 (0.5%)           12 <sup>th</sup> 37 (18.5%)         3 (1.5%)           10 <sup>th</sup> 21 (10.5%)         2 (1.0%)           PG         69 (34.5%)         2 (1.0%)           PG         64 (32.0%)         3 (1.5%)           Total         191 (95.5%)         9 (4.5%)           10 <sup>th</sup> 22 (11.0%)         0 (0.0%)           12 <sup>th</sup> 36 (18.0%)         4 (2.0%)           UG         68 (34.0%)         3 (1.5%)           PG         63 (31.5%)         4 (2.0%)           UG         68 (34.0%)         3 (1.5%)           PG         63 (31.5%)         4 (2.0%)           Total         189 (94.5%)         11 (5.5%)           10 <sup>th</sup> 10 (5.0%)         12 (6.0%)           12 <sup>th</sup> 26 (13.0%)         14 (7.0%)           UG         53 (26.5%)         18 (9.0%)           Total         138 (69.0%)         62 (31.0%)           10 <sup>th</sup> 12 (6.0%)         10 (5.0%)           12 <sup>th</sup> 26 (13.0%)         14 (7.0%)           UG         53 (26.5%)         14 (7.0%)           UG         53 (26.5%)	Agree         Disagree         Total           10 <sup>th</sup> 21 (10.5%)         1 (0.5%)         22 (11.0%)           12 <sup>th</sup> 37 (18.5%)         3 (1.5%)         40 (20.0%)           UG         69 (34.5%)         2 (1.0%)         71 (35.5%)           PG         64 (32.0%)         3 (1.5%)         67 (33.5%)           Total         191 (95.5%)         9 (4.5%)         200 (100.0%)           10 <sup>th</sup> 22 (11.0%)         0 (0.0%)         22 (11.0%)           10 <sup>th</sup> 22 (11.0%)         0 (0.0%)         22 (11.0%)           12 <sup>th</sup> 36 (18.0%)         4 (2.0%)         40 (20.0%)           UG         68 (34.0%)         3 (1.5%)         71 (35.5%)           PG         63 (31.5%)         4 (2.0%)         67 (33.5%)           Total         189 (94.5%)         11 (5.5%)         200 (100.0%)           10 <sup>th</sup> 10 (5.0%)         12 (6.0%)         22 (11.0%)           12 <sup>th</sup> 26 (13.0%)         14 (7.0%)         40 (20.0%)           UG         53 (26.5%)         18 (9.0%)         67 (33.5%)           Total         138 (69.0%)         62 (31.0%)         200 (100.0%)           10 <sup>th</sup> 12 (6.0%)         10 (5.0%)			

Table No. 5 reveals that chi square value is greater than 0.05. Hence, the null hypothesis accepted which means celebrity attributes has similar impact on the buying decision of consumers related to different education level.

Ho5: Celebrity's attributes has similar impact on the purchase decision of consumers related to different Occupation.

### VOLUME NO. 9 (2018), ISSUE NO. 02 (FEBRUARY)

	TABLE 6: TABULAR REPRESENTATION OF HYPOTHESIS 5					
Statement Agree		Disagree	Total	Significant Value of chi square at 3df		
S1 Student	60 (30.0%)	0 (0.0%)	60 (30.0%)	.119 >.05		
Business	37 (18.5%)	4 (2.0%)	41 (20.5%)			
Service	67 (33.5%)	3 (1.5%)	70 (35.0%)			
Other	27 (13.5%)	2 (1.0%)	29 (14.5%)			
Total	191 (95.5%)	9 (4.5%)	200 (100.0%)			
S2 Student	54 (27.0%)	6 (3.0%)	60 (30.0%)	.235 >.05		
Business	39 (19.5%)	2 (1.0%)	41 (20.5%)			
Service	67 (33.5%)	3 (1.5%)	70 (35.0%)			
Other	29 (14.5%)	0 (0.0%)	29 (14.5%)			
Total	189 (94.5%)	11 (5.5%)	200 (100%)			
S3 Student	48 (24.0%)	12 (6.0%)	60 (30.0%)	.172 >.05		
Business	26 (13.0%)	15 (7.5%)	41 (20.5%)			
Service	46 (23.0%)	24 (12.0%)	70 (35.0%)			
Other	18 (9.0%)	11 (5.5%)	29 (14.5%)			
Total	138 (69.0%)	62 (31.0%)	200 (100%)			
S4 Student	53 (26.5%)	7 (3.5%)	60 (30.0%)	.002 <.05		
Business	24 (12.0%)	17 (8.5%)	41 (20.5%)			
Service	46 (23.0%)	24 (12.0%)	70 (35.0%)			
Other	24 (12.0%)	5 (2.5%)	29 (14.5%)			
Total	147 (73.5%)	53 (26.5%)	200 (100%)			
S5 Student	58 (29.0%)	2 (1.0%)	60 (30.0%)	.399 >.05		
Business	40 (20.0%)	1 (0.5%)	41 (20.5%)			
Service	65 (32.5%)	5 (2.5%)	70 (35.0%)			
Other	26 (13.0%)	3 (1.5%)	29 (14.5%)			
Total	189 (94.5%)	11 (5.5%)	200 (100.0%)			

Table No. 6 reveals that chi square value is greater than 0.05 of S1, S2, S3 and S5. Hence, the null hypothesis accepted for these statements, which means celebrity attributes, has similar impact on the buying decision of consumers related to different occupation. But the chi square value is less than 0.05 of S4. Thus the null hypothesis rejected for the statements and we can say that celebrity attributes has not similar impact on the buying decision of consumers related to different occupation.

Ho6: Celebrity's attributes has similar impact on the purchase decision of consumers related to different Income (monthly) group.

### TABLE 7: TABULAR REPRESENTATION OF HYPOTHESIS 6

	TABLE 7: TABULAR REPRESENTATION OF HYPOTHESIS 6							
Stat	ement	Agree	Disagree	Total	Significant Value of chi square at 2df			
S1	Below 15000	46 (23.0%)	2 (1.0%)	48 (24.0%)	.969 >.05			
	15000-30000	98 (49.0%)	5 (2.5%)	103 (51.5%)				
	Above 30000	47 (23.5%)	2 (1.0%)	49 (24.5%)				
	Total	191 (95.5%)	9 (4.5%)	200 (100%)				
S2	Below 15000	43 (21.5%)	5 (2.5%)	48 (24.0%)	.179 >.05			
	15000-30000	98 (49.0%)	5 (2.5%)	103 (51.5%)				
	Above 30000	48 (24.0%)	1 (0.5%)	49 (24.5%)				
	Total	189 (94.5%)	11 (5.5%)	200 (100%)				
S3	Below 15000	30 (15.0%)	18 (9.0%)	48 (24.0%)	.511 >.05			
	15000-30000	74 (37.0%)	29 (14.5%)	103 (51.5%)				
	Above 30000	34 (17.0%)	15 (7.5%)	49 (24.5%)				
	Total	138 (69.0%)	62 (31.0%)	200 (100%)				
S4	Below 15000	33 (16.5%)	15 (7.5%)	48 (24.0%)	.547 >.05			
	15000-30000	79 (39.5%)	24 (12.0%)	103 (51.5%)				
	Above 30000	35 (17.5%)	14 (7.0%)	49 (24.5%)				
	Total	147 (73.5%)	53 (26.5%)	200 (100%)				
S5	Below 15000	45 (22.5%)	3 (1.5%)	48 (24.0%)	.877 >.05			
	15000-30000	97 (48.5%)	6 (3.0%)	103 (51.5%)				
	Above 30000	47 (23.5%)	2 (1.0%)	49 (24.5%)				
	Total	189 (94.5%)	11 (5.5%)	200 (100%)				

Table No. 7 reveals that chi square value is greater than 0.05. Hence, the null hypothesis accepted which means celebrity attributes has similar impact on the buying decision of consumers related to different income group.

#### FINDINGS

- Majority of respondent's opinion that expertise of celebrity about two wheelers influences their purchase decision.
- Majority of respondent's opinion that Trustworthiness attribute of celebrity highly influence their purchase decision of two wheelers, particularly above 35 age groups.
- Majority of respondents agreed that credibility of celebrity endorser is very important attribute.
- Majority of respondents found that similarity attribute highly influence their purchase decision, especially rural area and 18-25 age group respondents.
- Majority of respondents have opinion that likeability has highest effect on their purchase decision of two wheelers. Particularly female, students and 18-25 age groups respondents.
- Majority of respondents found that celebrity endorser should be attractive.
- Majority of respondents agreed that match between celebrity and product; influence their purchase decision of two wheelers.

#### REFERENCES

1. Agarwal, Pradeep and Dubey, S.K. (2012), "Impact of Celebrity Endorsement on Consumer's Buying Behaviour", Global Research Analysis, Vol 1, Issue 7, pp 106-108.

http://ijrcm.org.in/

### VOLUME NO. 9 (2018), ISSUE NO. 02 (FEBRUARY)

- Anjum, Bimal Arjun, Dhandha, Sukhvinder Kaur and Nagra, Sumit (2012), "Impact of celebrity endorsed advertisements on consumers", Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Management Review, Vol 1, No.2, pp 22-33.
- 3. Chetty, Priva (2011), "Impact of Celebrity Endorsement", [online] available at www.projectguru.in/publications/impact-of-celebrity-endorsements/
- 4. Ganeshan, D., Saravanaraj, M.G., Pughazhendi, A. (2012), "A Study on Effectiveness of Celebrity Endorsements towards Television Viewers", International Journal of Management, IT and Engineering, Vol 2, Issue 4, pp 42-54.
- 5. Joshi. V. and Ahluwalia, S. (2008), "The impact of celebrity endorsement on consumer brand preferences", [Online] Available at http:// www.indiamba.com/faculty-column/FC 706/ FC 706.html
- 6. Kulkarni, Vijay.R. (2014), "An Empirical Study of The Influence of Celebrity Endorsement on Consumer Buying Behaviour Attitude & Purchase Intention of the Consumer", GE-International Journal of Management Research, Vol 2, Issue 8, pp 186-200.
- Prasad, C.S. Jayanti (2012), "Brand Endorsement by Celebrities impacts towards Consumer Satisfaction" African Journal of Business Management, Vol 7 (35), pp 3630-3635.
- 8. Saheed, Zameer, Naseer, Haider and Naz (2014), "Impact of Celebrity and Non Celebrity Advertisement on Consumer Perception" Applied Science and Business Economics, Vol 1, Issue 3, pp 51-56.

# **REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK**

### **Dear Readers**

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce & Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue, as well as on the journal as a whole, on our e-mail <u>infoijrcm@gmail.com</u> for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries, please feel free to contact us on our e-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward to an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-Co-ordinator

# **DISCLAIMER**

The information and opinions presented in the Journal reflect the views of the authors and not of the Journal or its Editorial Board or the Publishers/Editors. Publication does not constitute endorsement by the journal. Neither the Journal nor its publishers/Editors/Editorial Board nor anyone else involved in creating, producing or delivering the journal or the materials contained therein, assumes any liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information provided in the journal, nor shall they be liable for any direct, indirect, incidental, special, consequential or punitive damages arising out of the use of information/material contained in the journal. The journal, neither its publishers/Editors/ Editorial Board, nor any other party involved in the preparation of material contained in the journal represents or warrants that the information contained herein is in every respect accurate or complete, and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such material. Readers are encouraged to confirm the information contained herein with other sources. The responsibility of the contents and the opinions expressed in this journal are exclusively of the author (s) concerned.

# **ABOUT THE JOURNAL**

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active cooperation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.

Our Other Fournals





