INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE & MANAGEMENT



Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, ProQuest, U.S.A., EBSCO Publishing, U.S.A., Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A., Google Scholar

Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland with IC Value of 5.09 (2012) & number of libraries all around the world.

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than 6088 Cities in 195 countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

CONTENTS

Sr.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page
No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	No.
1.	FACTORS INFLUENCING TEACHERS' MOTIVATION AND JOB SATISFACTION	1
	KARUNA, K & Dr. SATYA MOHAN	
2.	DETERMINANTS OF FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE - A STUDY OF NBFC	5
	Dr. VANI. H & Dr. VANDANA.S	
3.	STRATEGIC CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY: COMPANIES' PERSPECTIVE	8
	BEENA LAWANIA & Dr. SHIKHA KAPOOR	
4.	INFLUENCING FACTORS OF TEA PRODUCTION IN ASSAM: AN ANALYTICAL STUDY	13
	NURUJJAMAN LASKAR & Dr. SHANKAR THAPPA	
5.	AN INVESTIGATION ON IMPACT OF GST AND DEMONETIZATION ON BUYING BEHAVIOUR OF COMMON PEOPLE IN UDAIPUR CITY	18
	Dr. HARSHITA BHATNAGAR & Dr. PINKY SONI	
6.	CUSTOMERS PERCEPTION TOWARDS LOYALTY CARDS WITH REFERENCE TO COIMBATORE CITY	22
	Dr. B. ABIRAMI	
7.	EVALUATING THE PERFORMANCE OF SELECTED BANKS: A CAMEL MODEL ANALYSIS	25
	JYOTHI E SINGH	
8.	DEMAND DRIVER OF HOTEL INDUSTRY - A STUDY WITH REFERENCE TO DIMAPUR DISTRICT OF NAGALAND	29
	NIPUL SUTRADHAR & Dr. SUBIT DUTTA	
9.	STEERING THE PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY THROUGH QUALITY DASHBOARD	34
	D. RAGHAVENDRA	
10.	INVESTMENT PATTERN OF LIC: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY OF BHUBANESWAR DIVISION	38
	MANGULU CHARAN DASH	
	REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK & DISCLAIMER	45

CHIEF PATRON

Prof. (Dr.) K. K. AGGARWAL

Chairman, Malaviya National Institute of Technology, Jaipur
(An institute of National Importance & fully funded by Ministry of Human Resource Development, Government of India)
Chancellor, K. R. Mangalam University, Gurgaon

Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Faridabad

Founder Vice-Chancellor (1998-2008), Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

FOUNDER PATRON

Late Sh. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Former State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana Former Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri Former President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

FORMER CO-ORDINATOR

Dr. S. GARG

Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Business & Management, Urjani

ADVISOR

Prof. S. L. MAHANDRU

Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

EDITOR

Dr. A SAJEEVAN RAO

Professor & Director, Accurate Institute of Advanced Management, Greater Noida

CO-EDITOR.

Dr. BHAVET

Former Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Engineering & Technology, Urjani

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

Dr. CHRISTIAN EHIOBUCHE

Professor of Global Business/Management, Larry L Luing School of Business, Berkeley College, USA

Dr. JOSÉ G. VARGAS-HERNÁNDEZ

Research Professor, University Center for Economic & Managerial Sciences, University of Guadalajara, Guadalajara, Mexico

Dr. M. N. SHARMA

Chairman, M.B.A., Haryana College of Technology & Management, Kaithal

Dr. TEGUH WIDODO

Dean, Faculty of Applied Science, Telkom University, Bandung Technoplex, Jl. Telekomunikasi, Indonesia

Dr. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Professor, School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

Dr. KAUP MOHAMED

Dean & Managing Director, London American City College/ICBEST, United Arab Emirates

Dr. D. S. CHAUBEY

Professor & Dean (Research & Studies), Uttaranchal University, Dehradun

Dr. ANIL K. SAINI

Professor, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi

Dr. ARAMIDE OLUFEMI KUNLE

Dean, Department of General Studies, The Polytechnic, Ibadan, Nigeria

Dr. SYED TABASSUM SULTANA

Principal, Matrusri Institute of Post Graduate Studies, Hyderabad

Dr. MIKE AMUHAYA IRAVO

Principal, Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture & Tech., Westlands Campus, Nairobi-Kenya

Dr. NEPOMUCENO TIU

Chief Librarian & Professor, Lyceum of the Philippines University, Laguna, Philippines

Dr. BOYINA RUPINI

Director, School of ITS, Indira Gandhi National Open University, New Delhi

Dr. ANA ŠTAMBUK

Head of Department of Statistics, Faculty of Economics, University of Rijeka, Rijeka, Croatia

Dr. FERIT ÖLÇER

Professor & Head of Division of Management & Organization, Department of Business Administration, Faculty of Economics & Business Administration Sciences, Mustafa Kemal University, Turkey

Dr. SANJIV MITTAL

Professor & Dean, University School of Management Studies, GGS Indraprastha University, Delhi

Dr. SHIB SHANKAR ROY

Professor, Department of Marketing, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi, Bangladesh

Dr. NAWAB ALI KHAN

Professor & Dean, Faculty of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

Dr. SRINIVAS MADISHETTI

Professor, School of Business, Mzumbe University, Tanzania

Dr. ABHAY BANSAL

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engg. & Tech., Amity University, Noida

Dr. KEVIN LOW LOCK TENG

Associate Professor, Deputy Dean, Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman, Kampar, Perak, Malaysia

Dr. OKAN VELI ŞAFAKLI

Professor & Dean, European University of Lefke, Lefke, Cyprus

Dr. V. SELVAM

Associate Professor, SSL, VIT University, Vellore

Dr. BORIS MILOVIC

Associate Professor, Faculty of Sport, Union Nikola Tesla University, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr. N. SUNDARAM

Associate Professor, VIT University, Vellore

Dr. IQBAL THONSE HAWALDAR

Associate Professor, College of Business Administration, Kingdom University, Bahrain

Dr. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, Government College, Hodal

Dr. ALEXANDER MOSESOV

Associate Professor, Kazakh-British Technical University (KBTU), Almaty, Kazakhstan

RODRECK CHIRAU

Associate Professor, Botho University, Francistown, Botswana

Dr. PARDEEP AHLAWAT

Associate Professor, Institute of Management Studies & Research, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak

Dr. DEEPANJANA VARSHNEY

Associate Professor, Department of Business Administration, King Abdulaziz University, Saudi Arabia

Dr. BIEMBA MALITI

Associate Professor, School of Business, The Copperbelt University, Main Campus, Zambia

Dr. KIARASH JAHANPOUR

Research Adviser, Farabi Institute of Higher Education, Mehrshahr, Karaj, Alborz Province, Iran

Dr. SAMBHAVNA

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

YU-BING WANG

Faculty, department of Marketing, Feng Chia University, Taichung, Taiwan

Dr. MELAKE TEWOLDE TECLEGHIORGIS

Faculty, College of Business & Economics, Department of Economics, Asmara, Eritrea

Dr. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE

Faculty, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

Dr. THAMPOE MANAGALESWARAN

Faculty, Vavuniya Campus, University of Jaffna, Sri Lanka

Dr. JASVEEN KAUR

Head of the Department/Chairperson, University Business School, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar **SURAJ GAUDEL**

BBA Program Coordinator, LA GRANDEE International College, Simalchaur - 8, Pokhara, Nepal

Dr. RAJESH MODI

Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

FORMER TECHNICAL ADVISOR AMITA

AIVIIIA

FINANCIAL ADVISORS

DICKEN GOYAL

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

NEENA

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

LEGAL ADVISORS

JITENDER S. CHAHAL

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

SUPERINTENDENT

SURENDER KUMAR POONIA

Mobile Number (s) with country ISD code

Landline Number (s) with country ISD code

E-mail Address

Nationality

Alternate E-mail Address

Is WhatsApp or Viber active on your above noted Mobile Number (Yes/No)

1.

CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to the recent developments & practices in the areas of Computer Science & Applications; Commerce; Business; Finance; Marketing; Human Resource Management; General Management; Banking; Economics; Tourism Administration & Management; Education; Law; Library & Information Science; Defence & Strategic Studies; Electronic Science; Corporate Governance; Industrial Relations; and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Rural Economics; Co-operation; Demography: Development Planning; Development Studies; Applied Economics; Development Economics; Business Economics; Monetary Policy; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Political Science; Continuing Education; Labour Welfare; Philosophy; Psychology; Sociology; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law; Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labour Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations: International Relations: Human Rights & Duties: Public Administration: Population Studies: Purchasing/Materials Management: Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism & Hospitality; Transportation Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic; Web Design and emerging paradigms in allied subjects.

Anybody can submit the **soft copy** of unpublished novel; original; empirical and high quality **research work/manuscript** anytime in <u>M.S. Word format</u> after preparing the same as per our **GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION**; at our email address i.e. infoijrcm@gmail.com or online by clicking the link **online submission** as given on our website (<u>FOR ONLINE SUBMISSION, CLICK HERE</u>).

GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT

doing tou sobmit	SION OF MANUSCRIFT
COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:	
	DATED:
THE EDITOR	
IJRCM	
Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF	
(e.g. Finance/Mkt./HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics specify)	s/Computer/IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, <mark>please</mark>
DEAR SIR/MADAM	
Please find my submission of manuscript titled 'your journals.	
I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. fully or partly, nor it is under review for publication elsewhere.	Furthermore, it has neither been published anywhere in any languag
I affirm that all the co-authors of this manuscript have seen the their names as co-authors.	submitted version of the manuscript and have agreed to inclusion of
Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I agree to comply with t discretion to publish our contribution in any of its journals.	he formalities as given on the website of the journal. The Journal ha
NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR	:
Designation/Post*	:
Institution/College/University with full address & Pin Code	:
Residential address with Pin Code	:

* i.e. Alumnus (Male Alumni), Alumna (Female Alumni), Student, Research Scholar (M. Phil), Research Scholar (Ph. D.), JRF, Research Assistant, Assistant Lecturer, Lecturer, Senior Lecturer, Junior Assistant Professor, Assistant Professor, Senior Assistant Professor, Co-ordinator, Reader, Associate Professor, Professor, Head, Vice-Principal, Dy. Director, Principal, Director, Dean, President, Vice Chancellor, Industry Designation etc. <u>The qualification of author is not acceptable for the purpose</u>.

NOTES:

- a) The whole manuscript has to be in **ONE MS WORD FILE** only, which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript. <u>pdf.</u> <u>version</u> is liable to be rejected without any consideration.
- b) The sender is required to mention the following in the SUBJECT COLUMN of the mail:
 - **New Manuscript for Review in the area of** (e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/IT/Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, please specify)
- c) There is no need to give any text in the body of the mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any **specific message** w.r.t. to the manuscript.
- d) The total size of the file containing the manuscript is expected to be below 1000 KB.
- e) Only the **Abstract will not be considered for review** and the author is required to submit the **complete manuscript** in the first instance.
- f) The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email within twenty-four hours and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of the manuscript, within two days of its submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending a separate mail to the journal.
- g) The author (s) name or details should not appear anywhere on the body of the manuscript, except on the covering letter and the cover page of the manuscript, in the manner as mentioned in the guidelines.
- MANUSCRIPT TITLE: The title of the paper should be typed in bold letters, centered and fully capitalised.
- 3. AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS: Author (s) name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline number (s), and email/alternate email address should be given underneath the title.
- 4. **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**: Acknowledgements can be given to reviewers, guides, funding institutions, etc., if any.
- 5. **ABSTRACT**: Abstract should be in **fully Italic printing**, ranging between **150** to **300 words**. The abstract must be informative and elucidating the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a **SINGLE PARA**. **Abbreviations must be mentioned in full**.
- 6. **KEYWORDS**: Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of **five**. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stop at the end. All words of the keywords, including the first one should be in small letters, except special words e.g. name of the Countries, abbreviations etc.
- 7. **JEL CODE**: Provide the appropriate Journal of Economic Literature Classification System code (s). JEL codes are available at www.aea-web.org/econlit/jelCodes.php. However, mentioning of JEL Code is not mandatory.
- 8. **MANUSCRIPT**: Manuscript must be in <u>BRITISH ENGLISH</u> prepared on a standard A4 size <u>PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER</u>. It should be free from any errors i.e. grammatical, spelling or punctuation. It must be thoroughly edited at your end.
- 9. HEADINGS: All the headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
- 10. **SUB-HEADINGS**: All the sub-headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
- 11. MAIN TEXT:

THE MAIN TEXT SHOULD FOLLOW THE FOLLOWING SEQUENCE:

INTRODUCTION

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

OBJECTIVES

HYPOTHESIS (ES)

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

FINDINGS

RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS

CONCLUSIONS

LIMITATIONS

SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

REFERENCES

APPENDIX/ANNEXURE

The manuscript should preferably be in 2000 to 5000 WORDS, But the limits can vary depending on the nature of the manuscript.

- 12. **FIGURES & TABLES**: These should be simple, crystal **CLEAR**, **centered**, **separately numbered** & self-explained, and the **titles must be above the table/figure**. **Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure**. *It should be ensured that the tables/figures are* referred to from the main text.
- 13. **EQUATIONS/FORMULAE**: These should be consecutively numbered in parenthesis, left aligned with equation/formulae number placed at the right. The equation editor provided with standard versions of Microsoft Word may be utilised. If any other equation editor is utilised, author must confirm that these equations may be viewed and edited in versions of Microsoft Office that does not have the editor.
- 14. ACRONYMS: These should not be used in the abstract. The use of acronyms is elsewhere is acceptable. Acronyms should be defined on its first use in each section e.g. Reserve Bank of India (RBI). Acronyms should be redefined on first use in subsequent sections.
- 15. **REFERENCES:** The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. *The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript* and they may follow Harvard Style of Referencing. Also check to ensure that everything that you are including in the reference section is duly cited in the paper. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
- All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
- Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
- When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc., in chronologically ascending
 order.
- Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
- The title of books and journals should be in italic printing. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
- For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parenthesis.
- Headers, footers, endnotes and footnotes should not be used in the document. However, you can mention short notes to elucidate some specific point, which may be placed in number orders before the references.

PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:

BOOKS

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

 Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

• Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

CONFERENCE PAPERS

• Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–23

UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS

Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

ONLINE RESOURCES

• Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

WEBSITES

Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp

EVALUATING THE PERFORMANCE OF SELECTED BANKS: A CAMEL MODEL ANALYSIS

JYOTHI E SINGH ASST. PROFESSOR M S RAMAIAH INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY BENGALURU

ABSTRACT

The advancement of an economy is significantly dependent upon deployment as well as optimum utilization of resources and most importantly operational efficiency of the various sectors, of which banking sector plays a very vital role. Banking sector helps in stimulation of capital formation, innovation and monetization in addition to facilitation of monetary policy. Monitoring and supervision of banks has become very important due to significant Non-performing Assets and bank failures from the 1980s till now. Therefore, it is imperative to evaluate and analyze the financial health of banks so as to ensure a healthy financial system and efficient economy. In this paper, an effort has been made to evaluate the financial performance and soundness of six Public Sector banks in India using CAMEL approach for a five year period 2012-2017.

KEYWORDS

CAMEL approach, financial performance, public sector banks.

JEL CODE

G21

1. INTRODUCTION

he banking sector has been undergoing a complex, but comprehensive phase of restructuring since 1991, with a view to make it sound, efficient, and the same time forgoing its links firmly with real sector for promotion of savings, investment and growth. Although a complete turnaround in banking sector performance is not expected till the completion of reforms, signs of improvement are visible in some indicators under the CAMEL frame work. Under CAMEL approach bank is required to enhance capital adequacy, strengthen asset quality, improve management, and increase earnings at various financial risks and liquidity.

The whole banking scenario has changed in the very recent past on the recommendations of Narasimham Committee. Further BASELL II Norms were introduced to internationally standardize processes and make the banking Industry more adaptive. The fact that banks work under the most volatile conditions and the banking industry as such in the booming phase makes it an interesting subject of study. Amongst these reforms and restructuring the CAMEL framework has its own contribution to the way modern banking is looked up on now. (Kumar, Harsha, Anand, & Dhruva, 2012) CAMEL is an acronym for six measures (capital adequacy, assets quality, management soundness, earnings, liquidity, and sensitivity to market risk). In this analysis the six indicators which reflect the soundness of the institution framework are considered. The attempt here is to see how various ratios have been used and interpreted to reveal a bank's performance and how this particular model encompasses a wide range of parameters making it a widely used and accepted model in today's scenario.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The financial performance of banks, both public and private, has been analyzed by academicians, scholars and administrators using CAMEL model in the last decade. A summary of some of the studies is given below:

Kwan and Eisenbeis (1997) observed that Asset Quality is commonly used as a risk indicator for financial institutions, which also determines the reliability of capital ratios. Their study indicated that capitalization affects the operation of financial capitalization affects the operation of financial institution. More the capital, higher is the efficiency.

Said and Saucier (2003) evaluated the liquidity, solvency and efficiency of Japanese Banks using CAMEL rating methodology. The study assessed the capital adequacy, assets and management quality, earnings ability and liquidity position.

Prasuna (2003), analyzed the performance of Indian banks by adopting the CAMEL Model. The performance of 65 banks was studied for the period 2003-04. The author concluded that the competition was tough and consumers benefited from better services quality, innovative products and better bargains.

Sarker (2005) scrutinized the CAMEL model for regulation and supervision of Islamic banks by the central banking Bangladesh. The study enabled the regulators and supervisors to get a Shariah benchmark to supervise and inspect Islamic banks and financial institutions from an Islamic perspective.

Gupta and Kaur (2008) assessed the performance of 20 old and 10 new Indian Private Sector Banks on the basis of Camel Model for the period of five years i.e., from 2003-07

Hugar S.S. and Vaz N.H. (2008), evaluated the customer orientation in 5 public sector banks 3 new private sector and 3 foreign banks are selected. The study concluded that new private sector banks had more ATMs as on March 2006 followed by SBI where 77.5% branches were fully computerized and 18.2% were partially computerized. Business per employee and profits per employee was higher in foreign banks where SBI had received more number of complaints followed by ICICI. The study also suggested adopting CRM by public sector banks to stand strong in competitive environment.

Sangmi and Nazir (2010) analysed the performance of biggest nationalised bank (PNB) and biggest private sector bank (J&K Bank) using the CAMEL model for the period from 2001-2005. The study exhibited that the position of both the banks under study was sound and satisfactory in case of capital adequacy, asset quality, management capability and liquidity.

Chaudhry and Singh (2012) analyzed the impact of the financial reforms on the soundness of Indian Banking through its impact on the asset quality. The study identified the key players as risk management, NPA levels, effective cost management and financial inclusion.

Sushendra Kumar Misra and Parvesh Kumar Aspal (2013) empirically tested the applicability of CAMEL MODEL and its consequential impact on the performance of SBI Groups for the years 2009, 2010, 2011. The study concluded that annual CAMEL scanning helps the PSU banks to diagnose its financial health and alert the bank to take preventive steps for its sustainability.

3. OBJECTIVES & RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Objectives of the Study

The main objective of the study is to analyze the financial position and performance of the selected Public Sector banks in India using CAMEL model.

3.2 Research Design

CAMEL is a ratio-based model used to evaluate the performance of banks with the help of different criteria, viz. Capital Adequacy, Asset Quality, Management Quality, Earnings and Liquidity. The present study is a descriptive research study based on analytical research design.

3.3 Hypothesis of the Study

The present study tested the following null hypothesis:

HO = There is no significant difference in performance of Public Sector Banks in India as assessed by CAMEL model

H1 = There is a significant difference in performance of Public Sector Banks in India assessed by CAMEL model

4.1 DATA ANALYSIS TECHNIQUES

4.1.1 CAPITAL ADEQUACY

Capital base of financial institutions facilitates depositors in forming their risk perception about the organization. Also, it is a significant structure for financial managers to maintain adequate levels of capitalization. Capital adequacy is very useful for a bank to conserve & protect stakeholders" confidence and prevent the bank from bankruptcy. Reserve Bank of India prescribes banks to maintain a minimum Capital to risk-weighted Assets Ratio (CRAR) of 9 % with regard to credit risk, market risk and operational risk on an ongoing basis, as against 8 % prescribed in Basel documents.

For the study, the following ratios have been used to measure capital adequacy:

- Capital Adequacy Ratio
- Advances to Assets Ratio
- Government securities to Total Investment Ratio
- Debt Equity Ratio

TABLE 1: COMPOSITE CAPITAL ADEQUACY

Bank	Capital Adequacy		Advance	s to Assets		rites to Total estment	Debt Equ	ity Ratio	Group	Rank
	%	Rank	%	Rank	%	Rank	%	% Rank		Rank
SBI	12.74	3	64.9	1	78.76	4	12.61	2	2.5	1.5
BOB	13.47	1	61.62	4	83.6	1	14.93	4	2.5	1.5
PNB	12.44	4	63.82	2	81.55	3	13.79	3	3	3
IDBI	12.96	2	60.74	5	72.52	5	12.02	1	3.25	4
CBOI	11.68	5	62.36	3	82.77	2	22.29	5	3.75	5

On the basis of group averages of four ratios of capital adequacy as expressed in table 1, SBI and Bank of Baroda are at the top position with group average of 2.5, followed by PNB (3.00), IDBI (3.25) and CBOI (3.75). CBOI scored the lowest position due to its poor performance in Debt- Equity and capital adequacy ratio

4.1.2 ASSET QUALITY

Asset quality determines the healthiness of financial institutions against loss of value in the assets as asset impairment risks the solvency of the financial institutions. The weakening value of assets has a spillover effect, as losses are eventually written-off against capital, which eventually expose the earning capacity of the institution. With this framework, the asset quality is assessed with respect to the level and severity of nonperforming assets, adequacy of provisions, distribution of assets etc.

For the study, the following ratios have been used to measure asset quality:

- Net NPA to Net Advance (%)
- Net NPA to Total Assets (%)
- Total Investments to Total Assets

TABLE 2: COMPOSITE ASSET QUALITY

Bank	Net NPA to Net Advances			NPA to Total Total Investment Total Investment Total Total Investment Investment Total Investment Inve			Group	Rank
	%	Rank	%	Rank	%	Rank	Average	Rank
SBI	2.05	3	1.64	4	23.26	2	3.00	2.5
BOB	1.12	1	0.67	1	19.08	1	1.00	1
PNB	2.33	4	1.47	3	26.12	3	3.33	4
IDBI	1.92	2	1.16	2	30.51	5	3.00	2.5
CBOI	2.8	5	1.75	5	28.04	4	4.67	5

On the basis of group averages of four ratios of assets quality as expressed in table 2, BOB was at the first position with group average of 1, followed by SBI and IDBI with ranking of (2.5). CBOI scored the lowest position with 5 rank due to its poor performance in net NPAs to net advances, total investments to total assets and net NPAs to total assets ratios.

4.1.3 MANAGEMENT EFFICIENCY

Management efficiency, another indispensable component of the CAMEL framework, means adherence to set norms, knack to plan and be proactive in the dynamic environment, leadership, innovativeness and administrative competence of the bank.

The following ratios have been used to measure management efficiency:

- Business per Employee
- Profit Per Employee
- Total Advances to Total deposits

TABLE 3: COMPOSITE MANAGEMENT EFFICIENCY

Bank	Business Per Employee			it per loyee		vances to Deposits	Group	Rank	
	%	Rank	%	Rank	%	Rank	Average	Rank	
SBI	9.49	5	0.053	4	83.7	2	3.67	4	
BOB	16.28	2	0.099	1	71.88	4	2.33	2	
PNB	11.83	3	0.069	3	77.29	3	3.00	3	
IDBI	19.15	1	0.098	2	84.87	1	1.33	1	
CBOI	9.66	4	0.025	5	73.41	5	4.67	5	

On the basis of group averages of four ratios in table 3, IDBI was at the first position with group average of 1.33, followed by BOB (2.33) and PNB (3.00) respectively. CBOI scored the lowest position with 5.0 rank due to its poor performance in total advances to total deposits, and profit per employee.

4.1.4 EARNING QUALITY

The quality of earnings represents the sustainability and growth of future earnings, value of bank's lucrativeness and its competency to maintain quality and earn consistently. Earnings and profitability are examined as against interest rate policies and adequacy of provisioning. The single best indicator used to gauge earning is the Return on Assets (ROA), which is net income after taxes to total asset ratio.

For the study, the following ratios have been used to measure earnings quality:

- Return On Assets
- NIM to Total Assets (%)
- Interest Income to Total Income

TABLE 4: COMPOSITE EARNING QUALITY

Bank	Return On Asset					income to	Group Rank	
	%	Rank	%	Rank	%	Rank	Average	Rank
SBI	0.73	3	3.37	2	87.03	5	3.33	4
BOB	0.86	2	2.68	4	89.86	2	2.67	2
PNB	0.94	1	3.58	1	89.56	3	1.67	1
IDBI	0.6	4	2.06	5	89.48	4	4.33	5
CBOI	0.23	5	2.85	3	92.88	1	3.00	3

On the basis of group averages of four ratios of quality of earning as expressed in table 4, PNB was at the top position with group average of 1.67, followed by BOB and CBOI with an average of (2.67) and (3.00) respectively. IDBI scored the lowest position with 5.0 rank due to its poor performance in Net Interest Margin (NIM) to Total Assets ratios.

4.1.5 LIQUIDITY

In case of an adequate liquidity position, the institution can obtain sufficient funds, either by increasing liabilities or by converting its assets to cash quickly at a reasonable cost.

The following ratios have been used to measure liquidity:

- Liquid Assets to total Assets (%)
- Government securities to Total Assets (%)
- ➤ Liquid Assets to Total Deposits (%)
- > Liquid Assets to Demand Deposits (%)

TABLE 5: COMPOSITE LIQUIDITY

Bank		Assets to Assets		ssets to Assets		Assets to deposits		Liquid Assets to Total Deposits		Rank
	%	Rank	%	Rank	%	Rank	%	Rank	Average	Rank
SBI	8.11	2	18.36	4	110.33	3	10.51	2	2.75	2.5
BOB	16.89	1	15.97	5	243.87	1	19.61	1	2	1
PNB	7.48	3	21.24	3	122.56	2	9.03	3	2.75	2.5
IDBI	5.86	4	21.89	2	62.64	5	8.14	4	3.75	4.5
CBOI	5.58	5	22.97	1	102.25	4	6.58	5	3.75	4.5

On the basis of group averages of four ratios of liquidity as expressed in table 5, BOB was at the top position with group average of 2, followed by SBI with average of (2.75) and PNB (2.75) respectively. IDBI and CBOI scored the last position due to their poor performance in Liquid Assets to Total Assets, Liquid Assets to Total Deposits and Liquid Assets to Demand Deposits ratios.

4.1.6 COMPOSITE RANKING OF BANKS

TABLE 6: COMPOSITE RANKING (OVERALL PERFORMANCE) OF SELECTED PUBLIC SECTOR BANKS

Bank	SBI	BOB	PNB	IDBI	CBOI
C	1.5	1.5	3	4	5
A	2.5	1	4	2.5	5
M	4	2	3	1	5
E	4	2	1	5	3
L	2.5	1	2.5	4.5	4.5
Average	2.9	1.5	2.7	3.4	4.5
Rank	3	1	2	4	5

4.2 TESTS OF NORMALITY

For testing the normality of data, we proposed the hypothesis that the population distribution is normal. $\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right) \left$

TABLE 7: SHOWING THE SHAPIRO-WILK TEST

Bank	Statistic	df	P - Values
SBI	0.9814	5	0.9421
BOB	0.8614	5	0.2334
PNB	0.8317	5	0.1433
IDBI	0.9282	5	0.5839
CBOI	0.8792	5	0.3056

The results highlighted that calculated P- values are greater than the chosen alpha level of 0.05 for all banks, which means data are normally distributed.

4.3 ANOVA RESULTS

For determining whether there is any significant difference between the means of CAMEL ratios, we applied one-way ANOVA test on the data shown in table 6. The results of one-way ANOVA test are presented in table 8.

	SUMN	IARY		
Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
Column 1	5	15.25	3.05	0.21389
Column 2	5	10.5	2.1	0.43889
Column 3	5	13.75	2.75	0.40972
Column 4	5	15.6667	3.13333	1.27292
Column 5	5	19.8333	3.96667	0.50208

	ANOVA										
Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit					
Between Groups	9.13611	4	2.28403	4.02472	0.01488	2.86608					
Within Groups	11.35	20	0.5675								
Total	20.4861	24									

TABLE 8: SHOWING ANOVA CALCULATIONS

For determining whether there is any significant difference between the means of CAMEL ratios, one-way ANOVA test has been applied on the data. The results of ANOVA test highlighted the $F_{STAT} = 4.0247 > F_{CRITI} = 2.866$. It means there is a statistically significant difference between the mean values of CAMEL ratios and thus, the null hypothesis is rejected. It connotes that there is a significant difference in performance of all the public sector banks as assessed by CAMEL model.

5. CONCLUSION

The central banks all around the world have improved their supervision quality and techniques. In evaluating the function of the banks, many of the developed countries are now following uniform financial rating system (CAMEL RATING) along with other existing procedures and techniques. Various studies have been conducted in India as well on various banks using CAMEL framework. Different banks are ranked according to the ratings obtained by them on the five parameters. The results show that there is a statistically significant difference between the CAMEL ratios of all the Public Sector Banks in India, thus, signifying that the overall performance of Public Sector Banks is different. Also, it can be concluded that the banks with least ranking need to improve their performance to come up to the desired standards.

REFERENCES

- 1. Arora, S and Kaur, S (2008), "Diversification by Banks in India: What are the Internal Determinants?". The Indian Banker, Vol. III(7):pg. 37-41.
- 2. Bhattacharyya, A and Sahay, P (1997), "The impact of liberalization on the productive efficiency of Indian commercial banks". European Journal of Operational Research, vol. 98(2): pg. 250-68.
- 3. Bodla, B.S. and Verma, R. (2006), "Evaluating Performance of Banks through CAMEL Model: A Case Study of SBI and ICICI". The ICFAI Journal of Bank Management, Vol.5(3): pg.49-63.
- 4. Chaudhry, Sahila and Singh, Sultan (2012): "Impact of Reforms on the Asset Quality in Indian Banking", International Journal of Multidisciplinary Vol. 5(2): pg. 17-24
- 5. Gupta, Omprakash K and Chinubhai, Aneesh, (2008)"Dynamics of Productive Efficiency of Indian Banks". International Journal of Operations Research, Vol.5(2): pg.52-58
- 6. Gupta, R. (2008), "A CAMEL Model Analysis of Private Sector Banks in India". Journal of Gyan Management, Vol. 2(1): pg. 3-8.
- 7. Hirtle and Lopez (2008), "ABA Banking Journal, Banks performance evaluation by camel model". Finance Trade Publications, Vol. 4(4): pg. 9-14
- 8. Kwan, S and Eisenbeis, RA (1997), "Bank risk, capitalization, and operating efficiency". Journal of Financial Services Research vol. 12(2/3): pg. 117-31.
- 9. Prasad K.V.N.G. Ravinder and D. Maheshwari Reddy (2011), "A CAMEL Model Analysis of Public and Private Sector Banks in India". Journal of Banking Financial Services and Insurance Research, Vol1(5): Pg. 16-23
- 10. Prasuna, D G (2004), "Performance Snapshot 2003-04". Chartered Financial Analyst, Vol. X(11): pg. 6-13.
- 11. Sangmi, MD &Nazir, T (2010), 'Analyzing Financial Performance of Commercial Banks in India: Application of CAMEL Model', Pakistan Journal of Commerce and Social Science, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 40-55.
- 12. Sarker, A. (2005), "CAMEL Rating System in the Context of Islamic Banking: A Proposed "S" for Shariah Framework". Journal of Islamic Economics and Finance, Vol.1(1): pg. 78-84.

REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

Dear Readers

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce & Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue, as well as on the journal as a whole, on our e-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries, please feel free to contact us on our e-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward to an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-

Co-ordinator

DISCLAIMER

The information and opinions presented in the Journal reflect the views of the authors and not of the Journal or its Editorial Board or the Publishers/Editors. Publication does not constitute endorsement by the journal. Neither the Journal nor its publishers/Editors/Editorial Board nor anyone else involved in creating, producing or delivering the journal or the materials contained therein, assumes any liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information provided in the journal, nor shall they be liable for any direct, indirect, incidental, special, consequential or punitive damages arising out of the use of information/material contained in the journal. The journal, neither its publishers/Editors/ Editorial Board, nor any other party involved in the preparation of material contained in the journal represents or warrants that the information contained herein is in every respect accurate or complete, and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such material. Readers are encouraged to confirm the information contained herein with other sources. The responsibility of the contents and the opinions expressed in this journal are exclusively of the author (s) concerned.

ABOUT THE JOURNAL

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active cooperation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.







