



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE AND MANAGEMENT

CONTENTS

Sr. No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page No.
1.	WORD OF MOUTH (WOM): THE UNNOTICED TOOL FOR STRENGTHENING THE ADOPTION OF BRAND <i>MUJAHID MOHIUDDIN BABU & MUHAMMAD Z MAMUN</i>	1
2.	THE IMPACT OF RESOURCES ON ENTREPRENEURIAL SUCCESS - A CASE STUDY ON COMMERCIAL FAST FOOD SMES <i>ANSAR A. RAJPUT, SAIMA SALEEM, ASIF AYUB KIYANI & AHSAN AHMED</i>	7
3.	DETERMINANTS OF VEGETABLE CHANNEL SELECTION IN RURAL TIGRAY, NORTHERN ETHIOPIA <i>ABEBE EJIGU ALEMU, BIHON KASSA ABRHA & GEBREMEDHIN YIHDEGO TEKLU</i>	15
4.	MULTY-TIER VIEW OF EMPLOYEE RETENTION STRATEGIES IN INDIAN AND GLOBAL COMPANIES - A CRITICAL APPRAISAL <i>ANANTHAN B R & SUDHEENDRA RAO L N</i>	21
5.	HERBAL RENAISSANCE IN INDIA & THE ROLE OF ISKCON IN ITS SUCCESS (WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO MAYAPUR, VRINDAVAN, BANGALORE & DELHI ISKCON CENTRES) <i>DR. RAJESH KUMAR SHARMA & SANDHYA DIXIT</i>	23
6.	THE IMPACT OF TELEVISION ADVERTISING ON CHILDREN'S HEALTH <i>DR. N. TAMILCHELVI & D. SURESHKUMAR</i>	28
7.	WORK-LIFE BALANCE AND TOTAL REWARD OPTIMIZATION - STRATEGIC TOOLS TO RETAIN AND MANAGE HUMAN CAPITAL <i>SUNITA BHARATWAL, DR. S. K. SHARMA, DR. UPENDER SETHI & DR. ANJU RANI</i>	32
8.	EMPIRICAL STUDY ON EXPATRIATE'S OFFICIAL, CULTURAL AND FAMILY PROBLEMS WITH REFERENCE TO BANGALORE, INDIA <i>SREELEAKHA. P & DR. NATESON. C</i>	36
9.	IMPACT OF QUALITY WORK LIFE OF THE HOTEL EMPLOYEES IN CUSTOMER SATISFACTION – A STUDY ON STAR HOTELS IN BANGALORE <i>DR. S. J. MANJUNATH & SHERI KURIAN</i>	42
10.	CULTURE AND DIVERSITY MANAGEMENT- A PERSPECTIVE <i>CYNTHIA MENEZES PRABHU & SRINIVAS P S</i>	48
11.	A STUDY ON FACTORS INFLUENCING RURAL CONSUMER BUYING BEHAVIOUR TOWARDS PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS IN COIMBATORE DISTRICT <i>P. PRIALATHA & DR. K. MALAR MATHI</i>	52
12.	THE DETERMINANTS OF PROFITABILITY: AN EMPIRICAL INVESTIGATION USING INDIAN AUTOMOBILE INDUSTRY <i>DR. A. VIJAYAKUMAR</i>	58
13.	BANKING EFFICIENCY: APPLICATION OF DATA ENVELOPMENT APPROACH (DEA) <i>DR. NAMITA RAJPUT & DR. HARISH HANDA</i>	65
14.	KNOWLEDGE CENTRIC HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PRACTICES - A COMPARATIVE STUDY BETWEEN SBI AND ICICI <i>G. YOGESWARAN & DR. V. M. SELVARAJ</i>	71
15.	A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF NON-PERFORMING ASSETS OF PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SECTOR BANKS <i>DR. HARPREET KAUR & NEERAJ KUMAR SADDY</i>	82
16.	STRAIGHTEN OUT RENTAL (AND OTHER RETAIL LEASE) DISPUTES BY CONNOISSEUR FORTITUDE <i>HEMANT CHAUHAN, RACHIT GUPTA & PALKI SETIA</i>	90
17.	AN ANALYTICAL STUDY OF MANAGERIAL ISSUES OF HANDLOOM INDUSTRY IN JAIPUR DISTRICT <i>RACHANA GOSWAMI & DR. RUBY JAIN</i>	94
18.	CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY AND FUTURE MANAGERS – A PERCEPTION ANALYSIS <i>DR. PURNA PRABHAKAR NANDAMURI & CH. GOWTHAMI</i>	98
19.	CUSTOMER RELATIONSHIP MANAGEMENT: MAHA MANTRA OF SUCCESS <i>DR. RADHA GUPTA</i>	103
20.	THE PROBLEM OF MAL NUTRITION IN TRIBAL SOCIETY (WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO MELGHAT REGION OF AMRAVATI DISTRICT) <i>DR. B. P. ADHAU</i>	109
21.	WOMEN EMPOWERMENT AND SELF HELP GROUPS IN MAYILADUTHURAI BLOCK, NAGAPATTINAM DISTRICT, TAMILNADU <i>N. SATHIYABAMA & DR. M. MEEENAKSHI SARATHA</i>	112
22.	A STUDY TO MEASURE EFFECTIVENESS AND PROFITABILITY OF WORKING CAPITAL MANAGEMENT IN PHARMASUTICLE INDUSTRY IN INDIA <i>DR. ASHA SHARMA</i>	118
23.	CUSTOMER PERCEPTIONS AND SATISFACTION TOWARDS HOME LOANS <i>RASHMI CHAUDHARY & YASMIN JANJHUA</i>	124
24.	IMAGES OF WOMAN IN ADVERTISING AND ITS IMPACT ON THE SOCIETY <i>SNIGDA SUKUMAR & DR. S. VENKATESH</i>	128
25.	EMPLOYEE SATISFACTION- A STUDY OF HCL LIMITED <i>OMESH CHADHA</i>	131
	REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK	136

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: [Ulrich's Periodicals Directory](#) ©, [ProQuest, U.S.A.](#), [The American Economic Association's electronic bibliography, EconLit, U.S.A.](#), [Open J-Gate, India](#) as well as in [Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A.](#)

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than eighty-one countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

Ground Floor, Building No. 1041-C-1, Devi Bhawan Bazar, JAGADHRI – 135 003, Yamunanagar, Haryana, INDIA

www.ijrcm.org.in

CHIEF PATRON

PROF. K. K. AGGARWAL

Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Delhi
Founder Vice-Chancellor, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi
Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

PATRON

SH. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Ex. State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana
Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri
President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

CO-ORDINATOR

DR. SAMBHAV GARG

Faculty, M. M. Institute of Management, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana

ADVISORS

PROF. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Director A. C. D., School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

PROF. M. N. SHARMA

Chairman, M.B.A., Haryana College of Technology & Management, Kaithal

PROF. S. L. MAHANDRU

Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

EDITOR

PROF. R. K. SHARMA

Dean (Academics), Tecnia Institute of Advanced Studies, Delhi

CO-EDITOR

DR. BHAVET

Faculty, M. M. Institute of Management, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

DR. AMBIKA ZUTSHI

Faculty, School of Management & Marketing, Deakin University, Australia

DR. VIVEK NATRAJAN

Faculty, Lomar University, U.S.A.

DR. RAJESH MODI

Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

PROF. SANJIV MITTAL

University School of Management Studies, Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

PROF. ROSHAN LAL

Head & Convener Ph. D. Programme, M. M. Institute of Management, M. M. University, Mullana

PROF. ANIL K. SAINI

Chairperson (CRC), Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

DR. KULBHUSHAN CHANDEL

Reader, Himachal Pradesh University, Shimla

DR. TEJINDER SHARMA

Reader, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra

DR. SAMBHAVNA

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

DR. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, P. J. L. N. Government College, Faridabad

DR. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE

Asst. Professor, Government F. G. College Chitgappa, Bidar, Karnataka

MOHITA

Faculty, Yamuna Institute of Engineering & Technology, Village Gadholi, P. O. Gadholi, Yamunanagar

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

PROF. NAWAB ALI KHAN

Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

PROF. ABHAY BANSAL

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity University, Noida

DR. V. SELVAM

Divisional Leader – Commerce SSL, VIT University, Vellore

DR. PARDEEP AHLAWAT

Reader, Institute of Management Studies & Research, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak

S. TABASSUM SULTANA

Asst. Professor, Department of Business Management, Matrusri Institute of P.G. Studies, Hyderabad

TECHNICAL ADVISOR

AMITA

Faculty, E.C.C., Safidon, Jind

MOHITA

Faculty, Yamuna Institute of Engineering & Technology, Village Gadholi, P. O. Gadholi, Yamunanagar

FINANCIAL ADVISORS

DICKIN GOYAL

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

NEENA

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

LEGAL ADVISORS

JITENDER S. CHAHAL

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

SUPERINTENDENT

SURENDER KUMAR POONIA

CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to recent developments & practices in the area of Computer, Business, Finance, Marketing, Human Resource Management, General Management, Banking, Insurance, Corporate Governance and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting Education; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Monetary Policy; Portfolio & Security Analysis; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Business Education; Business Information Systems (MIS); Business Law, Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labor Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; Public Administration; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism, Hospitality & Leisure; Transportation/Physical Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Digital Logic; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Multimedia; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic; Web Design. The above mentioned tracks are only indicative, and not exhaustive.

Anybody can submit the soft copy of his/her manuscript **anytime** in M.S. Word format after preparing the same as per our submission guidelines duly available on our website under the heading guidelines for submission, at the email addresses, infoijrcm@gmail.com or info@ijrcm.org.in.

GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT

1. **COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:**

DATED: _____

THE EDITOR

IJRCM

Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF _____.

(e.g. Computer/IT/Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/other, please specify).

DEAR SIR/MADAM

Please find my submission of manuscript titled ' _____ ' for possible publication in your journal.

I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore it has neither been published elsewhere in any language fully or partly, nor is it under review for publication anywhere.

I affirm that all author (s) have seen and agreed to the submitted version of the manuscript and their inclusion of name (s) as co-author (s).

Also, if our/my manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the formalities as given on the website of journal & you are free to publish our contribution to any of your journals.

NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Designation:

Affiliation with full address & Pin Code:

Residential address with Pin Code:

Mobile Number (s):

Landline Number (s):

E-mail Address:

Alternate E-mail Address:

2. **INTRODUCTION:** Manuscript must be in British English prepared on a standard A4 size paper setting. It must be prepared on a single space and single column with 1" margin set for top, bottom, left and right. It should be typed in 8 point Calibri Font with page numbers at the bottom and centre of the every page.
3. **MANUSCRIPT TITLE:** The title of the paper should be in a 12 point Calibri Font. It should be bold typed, centered and fully capitalised.
4. **AUTHOR NAME(S) & AFFILIATIONS:** The author (s) full name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline numbers, and email/alternate email address should be in italic & 11-point Calibri Font. It must be centered underneath the title.
5. **ABSTRACT:** Abstract should be in fully italicized text, not exceeding 250 words. The abstract must be informative and explain the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a single para.
6. **KEYWORDS:** Abstract must be followed by list of keywords, subject to the maximum of five. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stops at the end.
7. **HEADINGS:** All the headings should be in a 10 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
8. **SUB-HEADINGS:** All the sub-headings should be in a 8 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
9. **MAIN TEXT:** The main text should be in a 8 point Calibri Font, single spaced and justified.
10. **FIGURES & TABLES:** These should be simple, centered, separately numbered & self explained, and titles must be above the tables/figures. Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure. It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text.
11. **EQUATIONS:** These should be consecutively numbered in parentheses, horizontally centered with equation number placed at the right.
12. **REFERENCES:** The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. It must be single spaced, and at the end of the manuscript. The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript and they are supposed to follow **Harvard Style of Referencing**. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per following:
 - All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
 - Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
 - When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc, in chronologically ascending order.
 - Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
 - The title of books and journals should be in italics. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
 - For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parentheses.
 - The location of endnotes within the text should be indicated by superscript numbers.

PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:

BOOKS

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio," Ohio State University.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

- Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

- Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

CONFERENCE PAPERS

- Garg Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19-22 June.

UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS AND THESES

- Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

ONLINE RESOURCES

- Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

WEBSITE

- Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Natural Gas Policy, Economic and Political Weekly, Viewed on July 05, 2011 <http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp>

BANKING EFFICIENCY: APPLICATION OF DATA ENVELOPMENT APPROACH (DEA)

DR. NAMITA RAJPUT
ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR
SRI AUROBINDO COLLEGE (M)
UNIVERSITY OF DELHI
DELHI

DR. HARISH HANDA
ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR
SHAHEED BHAGAT SINGH COLLEGE (M)
UNIVERSITY OF DELHI
DELHI

ABSTRACT

The paper attempts to examine the efficiency of the banking sector in India. India being a developing country with wide industrial base relies heavily on the banks for their credit demands. There have been many previous studies which have considered different models for checking the efficiency of the banks. The prime focus was to measure the lending efficiency of the banks. This takes into consideration the model in which one can measure loans as output and assets and deposits as inputs. In other words how well banks are transferring funds from house-holds to the industries, thereby performing the basic task for which they have been conceived. From deposit mobilization to lending a comparison was made and found that there is no significant difference between private and public sector banks. Also there has not been significant increase in the efficiency of bank. The paper concludes that, as the economy grows and more and more opportunities come into the system banks must focus on increasing their efficiency so that they can provide a firm support in the financial market for the industries to develop.

KEYWORDS

DEA Model, Indian Banking Environment, non parametric.

GEL CODES

G21, G 15, C 12, N 10, D 61.

PROLOGUE

Reserve Bank of India (RBI) was constituted as an apex bank without major government ownership. Banking Regulations Act was passed in 1949, with wide ranging powers for supervision, control, licencing powers and inspection of the banks .RBI acquired control of the Imperial Bank of India, which was renamed as State Bank of India. In 1959, SBI took over control of eight private banks floated in the erstwhile princely states, making them as its 100% subsidiaries.

RBI was empowered in 1960, to force compulsory merger of weak banks with the strong ones, this resulted in reduction of banks from 566 in 1951 to 85 in 1969. In July 1969, government nationalised 14 banks having deposits of Rs.50 crores and above, so that banks can play the role of catalytic agents for economic growth. The Narasimham Committee report suggested wide ranging reforms for the banking sector in 1992 to introduce internationally accepted banking practices.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Analysis of efficiency of financial institution has gained a lot of importance in the last few years. Various approaches have been defined to determine the efficiency of the financial institutions. These approaches broadly fall under two types-parametric and non parametric. The primary difference between these as explained by Berger and Humprey (1997), is the assumptions imposed on the data in terms of

- The functional form of the best practice frontier
- Consideration of random error
- If there is a random error the probability distribution assumed for the inefficiencies.

Thus the shape of the frontier and the distributional assumptions on the random error and inefficiency are key parameters on which the main approaches to determine the efficiency of financial institutions differs. The non parametric programming was initiated by Charnes et al. They gave relatively little specification of the best practice frontier as in the case of nonparametric approaches such as Data Envelopment Approach (DEA) and Free Disposal Hull (FDH). The most widely used nonparametric technique is DEA, as it is proven to be valuable tool for strategic, policy and operational problems, besides to develop benchmarks. At present, DEA has been widely accepted as a tool to measure the efficiency of the financial institutions over the parametric methods.

Bauer et al applied different approaches to the study of the efficiency of the US banks over the period 1977-88. They found that nonparametric methods were generally consistent with each other as far as identifying efficient and inefficient firms were concerned, but parametric and nonparametric measures were not consistent with each other.

The wide acceptance of DEA as a measurement tool for measuring efficiency of the financial institution can be attributed to certain strengths of this approach. The main advantages of using DEA are as follows. The data may not necessarily assume any functional form. DEA leads to a comparison of one Decision Making Unit against peer or combinations of peer. The units of input and output may vary as they do not affect the value of efficiency measure. This model can handle multiple inputs and outputs. However, there are a few limitations as well. There is no assumption of statistical noise, thus the noise element gets reflected in the measured inefficiency of the DMU. Further DEA does not give absolute efficiency measures. DEA results are sample-specific. An inherent limitation of this nonparametric method is that it makes hypothesis testing difficult.

THE CONSTANT RETURNS TO SCALE MODEL

Charnes et al. proposed this model with the assumption of constant returns to scale. It's also called the CCR model after the researchers Charnes, Cooper and Rhodes. The present study suggests that banks produce certain inputs to produce certain outputs. Thus, the efficiency of banks is measured in terms of how efficiently they are able to utilize their inputs given their outputs. In this model, efficiency is measured by the ratio of weighted outputs to weighted inputs. The ratio is of the form:

$$\frac{u_1Y_1 + u_2Y_2 + \dots + u_mY_m}{v_1X_1 + v_2X_2 + \dots + v_nX_n}$$

Where, u and v are weights for outputs (Y_1, \dots, Y_m) and inputs (X_1, \dots, X_n) respectively. Assume that for each of the n firms there is a data on K inputs and m outputs and represented by column vectors X_i and Y_i respectively for the i^{th} firm. This may be expressed as $u'Y_i / v'X_i$ where u is MX1 vector of output weights and v is KX1 vector of input weights. To arrive at the optimal weights, we define the following linear programming model as:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Max}_{u,v} (u'Y_i / v'X_i) \\ & \text{Subject to, } u'Y_j / v'X_j \leq 1, \quad j=1, 2, 3, \dots, n. \\ & u, v \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad \dots(1)$$

Solving Eq. 1, values for u and v may be obtained such that the efficiency measure for each firm is maximised. A pertinent constraint with this model formation is that it can have infinite number of solutions. Thus an additional constraint is added, $v'X_i=1$, so the problem can be removed. The new model, known as the transformation model, thus becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Max}_{u,v} (u'Y_i) \\ & \text{Subject to, } v'X_i = 1 \\ & u'Y_j - v'X_j \leq 0, \quad j=1, 2, 3, \dots, n. \\ & u, v \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad \dots(2)$$

This form in Eq. 2, is known as the multiplier form of the DEA linear programming problem. Using duality in linear programming, an equivalent envelopment form of this problem may be obtained.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Max}_{\theta, \lambda} (\theta) \\ & \text{Subject to, } -Y_j + \theta Y_j + \lambda \geq 0 \\ & \theta X_i - \lambda \geq 0, \quad j=1, 2, 3, \dots, n. \\ & \lambda \geq 0 \end{aligned} \quad \dots(3)$$

where, θ is scalar and λ is a NX1 vector of constraints.

The efficiency for the j^{th} DMU is reflected by the value of θ .

For each DMU taken in study a separate linear programming model would be solved. The technically efficient DMU will have a $\theta=1$, and all other DMU will have a $\theta < 1$, implying that the efficiency scores of all other DMU's will be measured relative to the technically efficient units that have a score of $\theta=1$. In this study, each bank under observation is considered a DMU.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The paper evaluates the technical efficiency of the banks operating in India using the DEA methodology. An important aspect in the dynamic business environment, in the wake of continuous reforms initiated by the RBI, is that the efficiency scores may vary from year to year. Hence a separate frontier was derived for each of the years taken during the study period.

CHOICE OF INPUT AND OUTPUT

It has been a matter of constant debate when it comes to defining inputs and outputs. There are mainly two approaches that have been discussed in existing literatures. The first is the 'intermediation approach'. Here banks are viewed as intermediaries between the provider of the funds and users of the funds. In this approach, deposits are regarded as being converted into loans. This approach takes into account interest expense, which accounts for a large proportion of bank's cost. In this approach, output may be taken as money value of deposits and loans, and the inputs considered include money value of labour, fixed assets and equipments, and loanable funds. In contrast the second approach, 'production approach' is the one in which banks are considered to be producing deposits and loans using capital and labour. This approach takes into account physical quantities of input and output, and does not assign monetary value to inputs or outputs. This approach does not take into account the interest costs, hence the criticism. The paper uses the second approach.

The data has been mostly secondary data i.e. collected from various places like, Prowess, BSE website, reports published by Govt. of India, Annual Reports of Banks etc.

SAMPLING UNIT

The banking index of BSE i.e. BANKEX served as the sampling for the data because it is a robust measure for measuring the performance of the banking sector of India. It has been scientifically designed and therefore provides the basis for the calculations and functions used to analyse the data. The study is based on a period of six years i.e. 2004-2009. Thus all the data used pertains to the same period.

ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION OF DATA

EFFICIENCY OF BANKS

	RANKING ON THE BASIS OF AVERAGE	RANKING ON THE BASIS OF THE LAST YEAR
1	IDBI	Kotak Mahindra
2	Oriental Bank	Yes Bank
3	Kotak Mahindra	ICICI
4	Yes Bank	IDBI
5	Bank of India	Axis Bank
6	Indian Overseas Bank	Federal Bank
7	Canara Bank	IndusInd
8	Karnataka Bank	Karnataka Bank
9	Punjab National Bank	Indian Overseas Bank
10	Union Bank of India	Canara Bank
11	State Bank of India	Bank of Baroda
12	Federal Bank	Bank of India
13	ICICI	Punjab National Bank
14	Bank of Baroda	HDFC
15	Allahabad Bank	Union Bank of India
16	Axis Bank	Oriental Bank
17	HDFC	Allahabad Bank
18	IndusInd	State Bank of India

On the basis of average performance of the five years IDBI, Oriental Bank and Kotak Mahindra were top performers. But if the last year's performance is seen then Kotak Mahindra, ICICI and Yes Bank has fared well. One of the most worst performing bank has been SBI which scored last on the previous year and eleventh on the average.

PUBLIC VS PRIVATE BANKS (GROUP STATISTICS)

	public_vs_private	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Efficiency	Public	60	.7473	.16376	.02114
	Private	48	.7360	.20098	.02901

So far as the difference in performance of the private sector and public sector banks are concerned very significant differences were not found. In terms of giving loans both public sector and private sector banks have performed equally.

INDEPENDENT SAMPLES TEST

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means					95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
		F	Sig.	T	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	Lower	Upper
Efficiency	Equal variances assumed	.942	.334	.320	106	.749	.01124	.03509	-.05833	.08081
	Equal variances not assumed			.313	89.968	.755	.01124	.03590	-.06008	.08255

BANK WISE: Dependent Variable: efficiency						
(I) company_wise	(J) company_wise	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	95% Confidence Interval	
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound
IDBI	Allahabad bank	-.34990*	.16583	.038	-.6794	-.0204
	Axis bank	-.33788*	.16583	.045	-.6673	-.0084
	Bank of Baroda	-.37689*	.16583	.025	-.7063	-.0474
	Bank of India	-.49539*	.16583	.004	-.8248	-.1659
	Canara Bank	-.47344*	.16583	.005	-.8029	-.1440
	Federal Bank	-.41244*	.16583	.015	-.7419	-.0830
	HDFC	-.28865*	.16583	.085	-.6181	.0408
	ICICI	-.40662*	.16583	.016	-.7361	-.0772
	Indian Overseas Bank	-.47543*	.16583	.005	-.8049	-.1460
	IndusInd	-.24542*	.16583	.142	-.5749	.0840
	Karnataka Bank	-.44317*	.16583	.009	-.7726	-.1137
	Kotak Mahindra	-.53137*	.16583	.002	-.8608	-.2019
	Oriental Bank	-.55142*	.16583	.001	-.8809	-.2220
	PNB	-.43633*	.16583	.010	-.7658	-.1069
SBI	-.42129*	.16583	.013	-.7507	-.0918	
Union Bank of India	-.42387*	.16583	.012	-.7533	-.0944	
Yes Bank	-.52431*	.16583	.002	-.8538	-.1949	

IDBI has performed significantly different from all other banks but in a negative sense.

BANKEX VS SENSEX REGRESSION



As observed by the graph there exists a very high correlation between Sensex and Bankex, this is due to the fact that banking and economy are very closely related and hence they follow each other closely.

REGRESSION

Regression Statistics		Coefficients	
Multiple R	0.977975708	β_0	-327.5508226
R Square	0.956436486	β_1	0.555338589
Adjusted R Square	0.95587798		
Standard Error	543.4932694		
Observations	80		

Depending on the Sensex one can predict the Bankex future as

$$Bankex = 327.5508226 + 0.555338589 * Sensex$$

YEAR WISE COMPARISON: On applying T-test it was found that there is not much significant difference in efficiency between the years except for the year 2004 and others. This explains that banks have not been increasing their efficiency and continue to go at the same pace. **Descriptive**

EFFICIENCY

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error	95% Confidence Interval for Mean		Minimum	Maximum
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound		
2004.00	18	.5836	.24590	.05796	.4613	.7059	.00	1.00
2005.00	18	.7428	.17750	.04184	.6545	.8310	.47	1.00
2006.00	18	.7442	.13222	.03116	.6785	.8100	.49	1.00
2007.00	18	.8088	.11678	.02753	.7507	.8668	.58	1.00
2008.00	18	.8366	.12097	.02851	.7764	.8967	.60	1.00
2009.00	18	.7376	.16264	.03834	.6567	.8185	.40	1.00
Total	108	.7423	.18045	.01736	.7078	.7767	.00	1.00

ANOVA

EFFICIENCY

	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	.693	5	.139	5.067	.000
Within Groups	2.791	102	.027		
Total	3.484	107			

MULTIPLE COMPARISONS

DEPENDENT VARIABLE: EFFICIENCY

	(I) year	(J) year	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	95% Confidence Interval	
						Lower Bound	Upper Bound
LSD	2004.00	2005.00	-.15912*	.05514	.005	-.2685	-.0498
		2006.00	-.16059*	.05514	.004	-.2700	-.0512
		2007.00	-.22513*	.05514	.000	-.3345	-.1158
		2008.00	-.25296*	.05514	.000	-.3623	-.1436
		2009.00	-.15398*	.05514	.006	-.2633	-.0446

FUTURE PREDICTIONS

	Life sciences	Food and Agriculture	Infra	Engineering	Technology media and telecommunication	Others
Growth	9.5	9	17	15	7	11
2008-2009	1	1	1	1	1	1
2009-2010	1.095	1.09	1.17	1.15	1.07	1.11
2010-2011	1.199025	1.1881	1.3689	1.3225	1.1449	1.2321
2011-2012	1.312932	1.295029	1.601613	1.520875	1.225043	1.367631
2012-2013	1.437661	1.411582	1.873887	1.749006	1.310796	1.51807
2013-2014	1.574239	1.538624	2.192448	2.011357	1.402552	1.685058
2014-2015	1.723791	1.6771	2.565164	2.313061	1.50073	1.870415

The following sectors are the sunrise or priority sectors of the Indian Market. Either they do not have investors ready or they are being developed by govt. The banking sector has more or less neglected the growth opportunities in these sectors. Based on the different statistics predicted by Govt. of India and McKinsey, the various growth rates have been taken into consideration and growth predicted at the given rates predict that by 2015 banking sector is going to grow at about twice the volume it is operating at now.

Year		Life sciences	food and agriculture	Infra	Engineering	Technology media and telecommunication	Others
2008-2009		7	22	15	17	13	26
	percent share	0.07	0.22	0.15	0.17	0.13	0.26
2009-2010	increase	7.665	23.98	17.55	19.55	13.91	28.86
	redistribute	7.80605	24.5333	16.72725	18.95755	14.49695	28.9939
2010-2011	increase	8.54762475	26.741297	19.5708825	21.8011825	15.5117365	32.183229
	redistribute	8.70491666	27.3583095	18.6533928	21.1405119	16.1662738	32.3325476
2011-2012	increase	9.53188374	29.8205573	21.8244696	24.3115887	17.297913	35.8891278
	redistribute	9.70728781	30.5086188	20.801331	23.5748418	18.0278202	36.0556404
2012-2013	increase	10.6294802	33.2543945	24.3375573	27.1110681	19.2897676	40.0217609
	redistribute	10.825082	34.0216863	23.1966043	26.2894849	20.1037237	40.2074474
2013-2014	increase	11.8534648	37.0836381	27.140027	30.2329076	21.5109844	44.6302667
	redistribute	12.0715902	37.9392835	25.8676933	29.316719	22.4186675	44.837335
2014-2015	increase	13.2183913	41.353819	30.2652011	33.7142269	23.9879742	49.7694419

But the problem with the previous growth model was that all the sectors are not capable of absorbing the same amount of funds, hence there are funds that are left unused. The solution could be that the funds at the end of each year are invested at the ratio in which they are invested now.

CONCLUSION

Conclusion: On the efficiency frontier it can be said with reasonable certainty that there is not much significant difference in efficiency between the years except for the year 2004 and others. This explains that banks have not been increasing their efficiency and continue to go at the same pace. So far as the difference in performance of the private sector and public sector banks are concerned very significant differences were not found. In terms of giving loans both public sector and private sector banks have performed equally. It was also found that there exists a very high correlation between Sensex and Bankex, this is due to the fact that banking and economy are very closely related and hence they follow each other closely. There is significant difference in the efficiencies of IDBI and other banks. This is due to the fact that IDBI being development bank has the backing of the Govt. So far as the comparison of investment patterns in different industries e.g. life sciences, food and agriculture, infrastructure, engineering and technology media and telecommunication is concerned it was found that the banking sector will outshine all the sectors in terms of its growth. The banking sector is expected to grow at double the present rate of growth.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Anand, S. C. (1993), "Is Priority Sector Lending Still a Drag on Profitability", *Indian Banks' Association Bulletin*.
- Ayadi, O. F., Arinola, O. A., & Omolehinwa, E. (1998), "Bank Performance Measurement in a developing economy: An application of Data Envelopment Analysis", *Managerial Finance*.
- Agarwal P (2000), "Regulation and reform of the financial sector in India: an analysis of the underlying incentives", in Kähkönen S, Lanyi A (eds) *Institutions, incentives and economic reforms in India*, Sage Publications India Pvt, New Delhi
- Alam ISM (2001), "A non-parametric approach for assessing productivity dynamics of large US banks' Money Credit Bank", 33:121-139
- Ali AI, Gstach D (2000), "The impact of deregulation during 1990-1997 on banking in Austria: Empirical", 27:265-281
- Ariss RT (2008), "Financial liberalization and bank efficiency: evidence from post-war Lebanon", *Appl Finan Econ* 18:931-946
- Arun TG, Turner JD (2002), "Financial sector reforms in developing countries: the Indian experience", *World Econ* 25:429-445
- Arun TG, Turner JD (2004), "Financial sector reforms and corporate governance of banks in developing economies: the Indian experience", *South Asia Econ J* 4:188-204
- Ataullah A, Cockerill T, Le H (2004), "Financial liberalization and bank efficiency: a comparative analysis of India and Pakistan", *Appl Econ* 36:1915-1924
- Barr, R. S., Killgo, K. A., Siems, T. F., & Sheri, Z. (2002), "Evaluating the productive efficiency and performance of US commercial bank", *Managerial Finance*.
- "IBM Business Consulting Service", *The Paradox of Banking 2015*. McKinsey&Company. (2007). *Indian Banking: Towards Global Best Practices*.
- "Process Innovation in Indian Banking Industry", (2009, February). *The Indian Banker*.

TABLES

WEIGHTAGE OF BANKEX			
Company Name	Weight	Company Name	Weight
Allahabad Bank	1.16	IndusInd Bank	1.19
Axis Bank	8.87	IOB	0.94
Bank of Baroda	4.15	Karnataka Bank	0.27
Bank of India	3.42	Kotak Mahindra	5.03
Canara Bank	3.13	Oriental Bank	1.34
Federal Bank	0.86	PNB	5.54
HDFC Bank	15.13	SBI	24.46
ICICI Bank	18.94	Union Bank	2.52
IDBI Bank	1.68	Yes Bank	1.39

EFFICIENCY TABLE						
Company Name/Efficiency	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009
Allahabad Bank	0.640503	0.584424	0.632959	0.711209	0.778168	0.60624
Axis Bank	0.389283	0.554466	0.575388	0.696776	0.820669	0.844845
Bank of Baroda	0.571634	0.690338	0.694272	0.75915	0.735742	0.664297
Bank of India	0.769933	0.939401	0.781902	0.862828	0.808778	0.663633
Canara Bank	0.812495	1	0.814977	0.679329	0.71796	0.669979
Federal Bank	0.502876	0.57477	0.732077	0.799839	0.885867	0.833357
HDFC	0.435717	0.570774	0.592259	0.676841	0.666486	0.643945
ICICI	0.319067	0.471368	0.73667	0.836302	0.930442	1
IDBI	1	0.579917	-0.08682	-1.54	1	0.901025
Indian Overseas Bank	0.671538	0.813491	0.783509	0.823564	0.937579	0.677043
IndusInd	0.366822	0.533982	0.487329	0.576168	0.604741	0.757602
Karnataka Bank	0.674002	0.851676	0.680292	0.789089	0.797589	0.720501
Kotak Mahindra	0.402458	0.766222	0.873656	1	1	1
Oriental Bank	1	1	0.767604	0.839931	0.93557	0.619558
Punjab National Bank	0.701576	0.843031	0.701413	0.803628	0.773594	0.64884
State Bank of India	0.646781	0.792362	0.747745	0.864662	0.934084	0.396234
Union Bank of India	0.600647	0.803287	0.793875	0.838291	0.731377	0.629873
Yes Bank		1	1	1	1	1

REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

Dear Readers

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce and Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue as well as on the journal as a whole, on our E-mails i.e. **infoijrcm@gmail.com** or **info@ijrcm.org.in** for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries please feel free to contact us on our E-mail **infoijrcm@gmail.com**.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-

Co-ordinator