INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN **COMMERCE & MANAGEMENT**



A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland with IC Value of 5.09 & number of libraries all around the world.

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than 1866 Cities in 152 countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

CONTENTS

Sr. No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page No.
1.	AN INSIGHT ON CONSUMER CHOICE AND MARKETING OPPORTUNITIES FOR BREAKFAST- CEREALS SIMI SIMON & DR. MURALI MANOHAR	1
2.	RECOGNITION OF THE INCOME TAX DEPARTMENT OF THE DISCLOSED PROFITS LISTED ACCORDING TO THE LEGISLATIONS OF THE COMMISSION OF REGULATING THE BUSINESS OF THE JORDANIAN INSURANCE COMPANIES DR. SULEIMAN HUSSIEN AL-BESHTAWI	4
3.	A CRITICAL ASSESSMENT OF THE CONTRIBUTION OF MARINE INSURANCE TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF NIGERIAN ECONOMY DR. I. A. NWOKORO	10
4.	APPLICATION OF 'BALANCED SCORECARD', IN PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT OF NATIONAL OIL-RICH SOUTH COMPANY ESMAIL HAMID	17
5.	FIRMS' CHARACTERISTICS AND CAPITAL STRUCTURE: A PANEL DATA ANALYSIS FROM ETHIOPIAN INSURANCE INDUSTRY SOLOMON MOLLA ABATE	21
6.	IMPACT OF CELEBRITY ENDORSEMENT ON BRAND EQUITY WITH MEDIATING ROLE OF BRAND TRUST SABIR HUSSAIN, RAJA WASIF MEHMOOD & FAIZA SAMI KHAN	28
7.	PERCEPTION OF EXPORT DIFFICULTY IN SMEs AND EXPORT PERFORMANCE: A STUDY OF NIGERIAN SMEs IN THE LEATHER INDUSTRY ABUBAKAR SAMBO JUNAIDU	33
8.	INVESTORS PERCEPTIONS ON PUBLIC AND PRIVATE LIFE INSURANCE COMPANIES IN INDIA - WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO LIFE INSURANCE INVESTORS IN KARNATAKA DR. SREENIVAS.D.L & ANAND M B	37
9.	MICROFINANCE IN INDIA: CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES S.RAVI & DR. P. VIKKRAMAN	46
10.	DIFFERENCES IN ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITMENT IN PRIVATE AND PUBLIC SECTOR BANK EMPLOYEES DR. SARITA SOOD, DR. ARTI BAKHSHI & SHIKHA SHARMA	50
11.	FINANCIAL INCLUSION AND WOMEN EMPOWERMENT: A STUDY ON WOMEN'S PERCEPTION OF EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH	53
12.	A STUDY ON UNDERSTANDING THE LEVELS OF JOB SATISFACTION, JOB MOTIVATION, ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITMENT, PERCEIVED ORGANIZATION SUPPORT AMONG FRESHER'S AND EXPERIENCED ACADEMICIANS DR. M. S. PRIYADARSHINI & S. PADMANATHAN	58
13.	IMPACT OF FII's INVESTMENT ON THE INDIAN CAPITAL MARKET DR. K. B. SINGH & DR. S. K. SINGH	61
14.	RETAIL BANKING: EFFECT OF FACTORS ON CUSTOMER SWITCHING BEHAVIOUR NEETHA J. EAPPEN & DR. K. B. PAVITHRAN	64
15.	PATTERN OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE IN AMARA RAJA BATTERIES LIMITED, TIRUPATI - AN ANALYSIS K. KALYANI & DR. P. MOHAN REDDY	68
16.	PROSPECTS OF MEDICAL TOURISM - A STUDY ON THE MANAGEMENT TRENDS AND PRACTICES OF THE PROMINENT PARTICIPANTS OF HOSPITAL SECTOR IN SOUTH INDIA DR. BINDI VARGHESE	73
17 .	IMPACT OF LEADERSHIP STYLES ON ORGANIZATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS IN HANDLOOM SECTOR DR. SOPNA V. MUHAMMED	77
18.	STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS IN AGRO-TOURISM WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO PUNJAB DR. SARITA BAHL	81
19.	LIQUIDITY ANALYSIS OF INDIAN HOTEL INDUSTRY	85
20.	DR. K. KARTHIKEYAN & K. RAMASAMY SATISFACTION LEVEL OF ADVERTISING AWARENESS AMONG COLLEGE STUDENTS – A FACTOR ANALYSIS SATISFACTION LEVEL OF ADVERTISING AWARENESS AMONG COLLEGE STUDENTS – A FACTOR ANALYSIS	92
21.	S. JEYARADHA, DR. K. KAMALAKANNAN & V. SANGEETHA FACET OF GLOBAL RISKS	94
22.	A CASE STUDY ON THE GAPS BETWEEN EXPECTATIONS AND EXPERIENCES OF THE EMPOYEES IN APHDC LTD ON 'PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL'	101
23.	DO PEOPLE PLAN? WHY ARE THEY SO NEGLIGENT ABOUT THEIR OWN FINANCES	104
24.	VISHWAS SRINIWAS PENDSE STRATEGIC ANALYSIS AND IMPLEMENTATION OF SELF EMPLOYMENT GENERATION SCHEMES IN JAMMU AND KASHMIR STATE AAGMAAMB	108
25.	ENTREPRENEURSHIP IN NORTH EASTERN REGION OF INDIA-THE MSME PERSPECTIVE	111
26.	DR. KH. DEVANANDA SINGH CONTEMPLATION OF ISLAMIC BANKING IN LUCKNOW: A CRITICAL ANALYSIS	116
27.	IMRAN SIDDIQUEI, TUSHAR SINGH & SAIF REHMAN FDI IN ORGANIZED RETAIL IN INDIA: LOOK TO THE MULTIBRAND OPPORTUNITIES	122
28.	MOHD. IMTIAZ & SYED AHMED WAJIH NON PERFORMING ASSETS MANAGEMENT IN KARNATAK CENTRAL CO-OPERATIVE BANK LTD. DHARAWAD	126
29.	DR. RAMESH.O.OLEKAR & CHANABASAPPA TALAWAR A CRITICAL EVALUATION OF FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE OF RAJASTHAN TOURISM: A CASE STUDY OF RAJASTHAN TOURISM DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION	131
30.	DR. LAXMI NARAYAN ARYA & DR. BAJRANG LAL BAGARIA GREEN INVESTMENT BANKS: A NEW PHASE OF CORPORATE INVESTMENT	138
	REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK	144

CHIEF PATRON

PROF. K. K. AGGARWAL

Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Delhi
Founder Vice-Chancellor, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi
Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

FOUNDER PATRON

LATE SH. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Former State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana Former Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri Former President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

CO-ORDINATOR

DR. SAMBHAV GARG

Faculty, M. M. Institute of Management, MaharishiMarkandeshwarUniversity, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana

ADVISORS

DR. PRIYA RANJAN TRIVEDI

Chancellor, The Global Open University, Nagaland

PROF. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Director A. C. D., School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

PROF. M. N. SHARMA

Chairman, M.B.A., HaryanaCollege of Technology & Management, Kaithal

PROF. S. L. MAHANDRU

Principal (Retd.), MaharajaAgrasenCollege, Jagadhri

EDITOR

PROF. R. K. SHARMA

Professor, Bharti Vidyapeeth University Institute of Management & Research, New Delhi

CO-EDITOR.

DR. BHAVET

Faculty, M. M. Institute of Management, MaharishiMarkandeshwarUniversity, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

DR. RAJESH MODI

Faculty, YanbuIndustrialCollege, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

PROF. SANJIV MITTAL

UniversitySchool of Management Studies, Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

PROF. ANIL K. SAINI

Chairperson (CRC), Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

DR. SAMBHAVNA

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

DR. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, P.J.L.N.GovernmentCollege, Faridabad

DR. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE

Asst. Professor, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

PROF. NAWAB ALI KHAN

Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

PROF. ABHAY BANSAL

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity University, Noida

PROF. V. SELVAM

SSL, VIT University, Vellore

PROF. N. SUNDARAM

VITUniversity, Vellore

DR. PARDEEP AHLAWAT

Associate Professor, Institute of Management Studies & Research, MaharshiDayanandUniversity, Rohtak

DR. S. TABASSUM SULTANA

Associate Professor, Department of Business Management, Matrusri Institute of P.G. Studies, Hyderabad

TECHNICAL ADVISOR

AMITA

Faculty, Government M. S., Mohali

FINANCIAL ADVISORS

DICKIN GOYAL

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

NEENA

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

LEGAL ADVISORS

JITENDER S. CHAHAL

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

<u>SUPERINTENDENT</u>

SURENDER KUMAR POONIA

d)

e)

2.

CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to recent developments & practices in the area of Computer, Business, Finance, Marketing, Human Resource Management, General Management, Banking, Insurance, Corporate Governance and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting Education; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Monetary Policy; Portfolio & Security Analysis; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Business Education; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law, Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labor Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; Public Administration; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism, Hospitality & Leisure; Transportation/Physical Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Digital Logic; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Multimedia; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic and Web Design. The above mentioned tracks are only indicative, and not exhaustive.

Anybody can submit the soft copy of his/her manuscript **anytime** in M.S. Word format after preparing the same as per our submission guidelines duly available on our website under the heading guidelines for submission, at the email address: infoijrcm@gmail.com.

GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT

CO	OVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION: DATED:
	IE EDITOR CCM
Su	bject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF .
(е	.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)
DE	EAR SIR/MADAM
Ple	ease find my submission of manuscript entitled '
	ereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore, it has neither been published elsewhere in any language fully or partly, nor is it der review for publication elsewhere.
I a	ffirm that all the author (s) have seen and agreed to the submitted version of the manuscript and their inclusion of name (s) as co-author (s).
	so, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the formalities as given on the website of the journal & you are free to publish our ntribution in any of your journals.
	AME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:
	filiation with full address, contact numbers & Pin Code:
	sidential address with Pin Code:
	obile Number (s): ndline Number (s):
E-r	mail Address:
Alt	ternate E-mail Address:
NC	DTES:
a)	The whole manuscript is required to be in ONE MS WORD FILE only (pdf. version is liable to be rejected without any consideration), which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript.
b)	The sender is required to mention the following in the SUBJECT COLUMN of the mail: New Manuscript for Review in the area of (Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/ Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)
c)	There is no need to give any text in the body of mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any specific message w.r.t. to the manuscript.

Abstract alone will not be considered for review, and the author is required to submit the complete manuscript in the first instance.

MANUSCRIPT TITLE: The title of the paper should be in a 12 point Calibri Font. It should be bold typed, centered and fully capitalised.

The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of manuscript, within two days of submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending separate mail to the journal.

AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS: The author (s) full name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline numbers, and email/alternate email

ABSTRACT: Abstract should be in fully italicized text, not exceeding 250 words. The abstract must be informative and explain the background, aims, methods,

The total size of the file containing the manuscript is required to be below 500 KB.

address should be in italic & 11-point Calibri Font. It must be centered underneath the title.

results & conclusion in a single para. Abbreviations must be mentioned in full.

- 5. **KEYWORDS**: Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of five. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stops at the end.
- 6. MANUSCRIPT: Manuscript must be in <u>BRITISH ENGLISH</u> prepared on a standard A4 size <u>PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER</u>. It must be prepared on a single space and single column with 1" margin set for top, bottom, left and right. It should be typed in 8 point Calibri Font with page numbers at the bottom and centre of every page. It should be free from grammatical, spelling and punctuation errors and must be thoroughly edited.
- 7. **HEADINGS**: All the headings should be in a 10 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
- 8. **SUB-HEADINGS**: All the sub-headings should be in a 8 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
- 9. MAIN TEXT: The main text should follow the following sequence:

INTRODUCTION

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

OBJECTIVES

HYPOTHESES

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

FINDINGS

RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS

CONCLUSIONS

SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

REFERENCES

APPENDIX/ANNEXURE

It should be in a 8 point Calibri Font, single spaced and justified. The manuscript should preferably not exceed 5000 WORDS.

- 10. **FIGURES & TABLES**: These should be simple, crystal clear, centered, separately numbered &self explained, and **titles must be above the table/figure**. Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure. It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text.
- 11. **EQUATIONS**: These should be consecutively numbered in parentheses, horizontally centered with equation number placed at the right.
- 12. **REFERENCES**: The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript and they are supposed to follow **Harvard Style of Referencing**. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
- All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
- Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
- When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc, in chronologically ascending order.
- Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
- The title of books and journals should be in italics. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working
 papers, unpublished material, etc.
- For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parentheses.
- The location of endnotes within the text should be indicated by superscript numbers.

PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:

BOOKS

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

• Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

CONFERENCE PAPERS

• Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–22 June.

UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS AND THESES

• Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

ONLINE RESOURCES

Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

WEBSITES

Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Natural Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp

IMPACT OF LEADERSHIP STYLES ON ORGANIZATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS IN HANDLOOM SECTOR

DR. SOPNA V. MUHAMMED ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR MES COLLEGE MARAMPALLY

ABSTRACT

Leadership can be defined as pattern of behavior designed to integrate organizational and personnel interests in pursuit of some objective. There are various frameworks or schemes that depict the types of leadership styles from which an entrepreneur may select the one, the most appropriate to personal, subordinate and organizational needs. A perfect leadership style of the entrepreneurs brings a congenial organisational climate and helps to bring effectiveness. It gives importance to the human factor in order to get full dedication employee from the core of employee's heart to attain his full commitment. So leadership style plays an important role in organization. Among the traditional industries of Kerala, Handloom sector stands second to the coir industry in providing employment. The industry in the state is highly concentrated in Trivandrum and Kannur districts. Calicut, Ernakulam, Kollam, Palakkad, Trissur and Kasargode districts also have some concentration of the industry. This study looks at the entrepreneur as a leader. The purpose of this work is to analyse the relationship between leadership styles and effectiveness of Handloom units. The study is intended to cover major Handloom units in the small-scale sector in Trivandrum, Ernakulam and Kozhikode districts to represent Kerala. The research covered 30 Handloom units and a sample of 30 entrepreneurs and 90 employees. Ten units are taken from each sector in each district. Two sets of schedules were administered to collect primary data from entrepreneurs and three employees each, from the selected units. Broadly three leadership styles are considered for categorizing the entrepreneurs. They are: Authoritarian (autocratic), Participative (democratic) and Delegative (free reign). There may exist these three styles together or in isolation with an entrepreneur. Each respondent was asked thirty questions based on action tendencies and they were directed to give their responses in the 0 -10 scale. An entrepreneur may get three different scores for three different leadership styles. Score for each style is derived out of 10 questions set separately for 3 different styles. It is known that most leaders do not possess a single style, but a combination. An effective leader should, therefore, develop capacity to have flexibility in adopting all these styles depending on the specific situation. Flexibility, rather than rigidity, should be the hall mark of an effective leader. The relationship between the base variables of the respondent entrepreneurs and leadership styles is highly essential to determine their performance in enterprises. The included base variables are region, nature of management, gender, age, experience, support based classification, age of the firm and size of the firm (turn over). Averages, percentages and ratios were worked out initially to study association between variables. Chi-square test, likelihood ratio etc. were also used. Difference between mean value of responses and hypothesized value was examined with t-test. ANOVA (F-test) and t-test were used to examine the difference in mean values. The organization variables included for this purpose are size of the firm by turnover, growth rate of the firm, rating categories of the entrepreneur and job satisfaction of the employees. Analyzing the common problems faced by the Handloom units, it is found that the major problems are related to the challenges involved in adopting and adapting to rapid change, and the need for innovation and improvement. To survive the severe competition and to move ahead as a successful organisation the Handloom units should be led by effective leaders. Hence the top management should focus on building leaders within organisation. The study will help to reveal the relationship between leadership and organizational performance and how leadership style could be applied to Handloom industries bearing in mind the environmental influences and differences. The study intends to give some insight to the entrepreneurs of Handloom sector to identify their leadership styles and how they affect the performance of the organization. Importantly, it is expected that when suggestions of the study are implemented they would encourage cooperation between leaders and workers in the organization, and thereby improve their performance, and interpersonal relationship. Other organizational issues such as stress, aggression, regression fixation and friction among workers and leaders could also be reduced.

KEYWORDS

Authoritarian, delegative, Leadership style, organizational performance, participative.

INTRODUCTION

s a concept 'leadership' has been the most widely debated, articulated, and written about in the field of management and it still continues to attract the attention of the thinkers all over the world. Arguably, the most effective form of leadership is by setting the right personal examples; though it owes its origin to the armed forces, it holds good even for corporate battle fields. A perfect leadership style of entrepreneurs brings a congenial organizational climate and helps to bring effectiveness. It gives importance to the human factor in order to buy the employee from the core of his heart to attain his full commitment. So, leadership styles of entrepreneurs play an important role in organization. This study is expected to throw some light in the area of organizational effectiveness resulted by leadership style & fitness of managers.

Leaders are the persons with better skills, better capability and better social relationship. This study looks at the entrepreneur as a leader. In the present study, the operational definition of entrepreneur is the owner of an industrial unit. The proprietor of a single proprietorship, the managing partner of a partnership, the key promoters of a private limited company are considered entrepreneurs for the purpose of this study. The purpose of this work is to analyse the relationship between leadership styles and effectiveness of Handloom units. The study is intended to cover major Handloom units in the small-scale sector in Trivandrum, Ernakulam and Kozhikode districts to represent Kerala. The research covered 30 Handloom units and a sample of 30 entrepreneurs and 90 employees. Ten units are taken from each sector in each district.

Broadly three leadership styles are considered for categorizing the entrepreneurs. They are: Authoritarian (autocratic), Participative (democratic) and Delegative (free reign). There may exist these three styles together or in isolation with an entrepreneur. Each respondent was asked thirty questions based on action tendencies and they were directed to give their responses in the 0-10 scale. An entrepreneur may get three different scores for three different leadership styles. Score for each style is derived out of 10 questions set separately for 3 different styles.

It is known that most leaders do not possess a single style, but a combination. An effective leader should, therefore, develop capacity to have flexibility in adopting all these styles depending on the specific situation. Flexibility, rather than rigidity, should be the hall mark of an effective leader.

The relationship between the base variables of the respondent entrepreneurs and leadership styles is highly essential to determine their performance in enterprises. The included base variables are region, nature of management, gender, age, experience, support based classification, age of the firm and size of the firm (turn over).

Averages, percentages and ratios were worked out initially to study association between variables. Chi-square test, likelihood ratio etc. were also used. Difference between mean value of responses and hypothesized value was examined with t-test. ANOVA (F-test) and t-test were used to examine the difference in mean values. The organization variables included for this purpose are size of the firm by turnover, growth rate of the firm, rating categories of the entrepreneur and job satisfaction of the employees.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

David. J. Snowden and Mary E Boone (2007) stated that wise executives tailor their approach to fit the complexity of the circumstances they face. Effective leaders learn to shift their decision-making styles to match changing business environments. Simple, complicated, complex & chaotic contexts, each call for

different managerial responses. By correctly identifying the governing context, staying aware of danger signals, and avoiding inappropriate reactions, managers can lead effectively in a variety of situations.

Lalithya Rani P & Sumati Reddy (2008) emphasize the necessity of leadership Development program to build interpersonal skills, to improve team dynamics between the leader and his/her team and to improve organizational climate. Leadership Development Approaches include 360 Degree feedback, classroom training Action learning, Rotational Job assignments, Experimental learning, and Executive Coaching & Mentoring Leadership development practices help succession planning in organizations.

Nature of environment in which interpersonal group relationship occurs also affects quality of leadership. The environment is affected by leader's success and failures, which in turn is also affected partly by other external factors like government policy (Cleland, 1998). Whatever the environment is, leaders emerge to make decisions and make positive impacts. Strategic planning is very important while making decisions. Strategic planning is designed based on realistic assessment of the capacity, strength and weakness of the organization, which are of managerial value.

It is appropriate to recognize that whilst different leadership styles exist (Ingress, 1995; Bourantas and Papadakis, 1996; Lowe et at., 1996), it is difficult to establish the supremacy of one specific style over another. As Vroom (2000) has postulated, defining leadership style in any specific decision requires the analysis of several factors, such as the relevance of decisions, the importance of commitment, success probability, leader and group experience, group support to goal achievement, and team competency.

Mathew P.M. (2008) is of the opinion that Kerala requires an entrepreneurship movement to lift the state from its current state of industrial backwardness. This requires a critical minimum effort of HRD interventions and support services geared to private sectors development. Building up entrepreneurship awareness and developing a culture of business development services (BDS) are vital steps in this regard. In Kerala, three vital areas totally neglected understand the sociology of entrepreneurship, introducing Business development Services, both as a culture and in terms of its content, and entrepreneurship education, both formal & informal.

Prahalad C.K. (2008) stated that good entrepreneurs do not bench mark against the best practices, they create the next practices. Entrepreneurship is not taking what is available, but creating and discovering wealth. The role and responsibility of entrepreneurs is changing as India changes.

IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY

Among the traditional industries of Kerala, Handloom sector stands second to the coir industry in providing employment. The industry in the state is highly concentrated in Trivandrum and Kannur districts. Calicut, Ernakulam, Kollam, Palakkad, Trissur and Kasargode districts also have some concentration of the industry. Out of the total number of looms, the co-operative sector occupies 94% and the rest by individual entrepreneurs. The sector consists of factory type and cottage type societies. By the end of March 2008, there were 758 PHWCS consisting of 155 factory type societies and 603 cottage type societies. (Economic Review, 2008).

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Analyzing the common problems faced by the Handloom units, it is found that the major problems are related to the challenges involved in adopting and adapting to rapid change, and the need for innovation and improvement. To survive the severe competition and to move ahead as a successful organisation the Handloom units should be led by effective leaders. Hence the top management should focus on building leaders within organisation. The study will help to reveal the relationship between leadership and organizational performance and how leadership style could be applied to Handloom industries bearing in mind the environmental influences and differences. The study intends to give some insight to the entrepreneurs of Handloom sector to identify their leadership styles and how they affect the performance of the organization. Importantly, it is expected that when suggestions of the study are implemented they would encourage cooperation between leaders and workers in the organization, and thereby improve their performance, and interpersonal relationship. Other organizational issues such as stress, aggression, regression fixation and friction among workers and leaders could also be reduced.

OBJECTIVE

To identify the extent leadership has affected organisational performance of Handloom units in Kerala.

HYPOTHESIS

There is no significant association between different leadership styles and performance of the small scale industrial units.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The present research is an exploratory study.

SAMPLE DESIGN

Multistage systematic sampling was applied in this study. In the first stage, three major districts, Trivandrum, Ernakulam and Kozhikode were selected to represent the south, the central and the north zones of Kerala. In the second stage selection of the Handloom units was made using systematic random sampling. Finally, the entrepreneurs and three employees each, from the selected units, contacted personally to collect the necessary information.

DATA MANAGEMENT AND ANALYSIS

The collected data were classified and tabulated. This was followed by analysis and interpretation of data. Statistical tools were used for systematic analysis of data. Averages, percentages and ratios were worked out initially to study association between variables. Chi-square test, likelihood ratio etc. were also used. Difference between mean value of responses and hypothesized value was examined with t-test. ANOVA (F-test) and t-test were used to examine the difference in mean values.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Data collected through the above instruments have been analysed in following sections.

The profile of respondents indicates that fifty percent of the entrepreneurs in Handloom sector belong to the age group of 35 to 50 years. Above 36 per cent of the respondents were graduates. But the education level of 73 per cent of employees in Handloom based units is below SSLC. The respondents mostly had more than twenty years of experience in the organization. Forty seven per cent of the Handloom units surveyed were owned by co-operatives. Thirty seven per cent of the units surveyed had staff strength of 10 to 20 employees.

LEADERSHIP STYLES IN HANDLOOM SECTOR

In Handloom sector, respondent entrepreneurs are not following strictly any particular leadership style. They are showing moderate leadership style in authoritative, participative and delegative mode. Results of F-test disclose that there is significant difference between styles of leadership of the entrepreneurs in Handloom sector. It is evident from table 1 that as per the perception of entrepreneurs, the mean values of responses for the various statements meant for studying leadership styles in Handloom sector were 57.60, 55.96, and 49.73 respectively for authoritarian, participative and delegative styles. The calculated value of F-test is 4.97 and its significance value 0.009 is less than 0.05 at 5% level. According to employees perception, mean value of responses for authoritarian, participative and delegative styles were 59.92, 56.13and 50.90 respectively. The calculated value of F-test is 15.68 and its significance value 0.000 is less than 0.05 at 5% level. It leads to the conclusion that there is significant difference between types of leadership of the respondents in Handloom sector. In the case of handloom units, 60 per cent of the respondent entrepreneurs expressed that they are not sure of which leadership style is required in their units. However, they are of the opinion that whatever may be the leadership style, they should keep a moderate level and they did not prefer any improvement in

their present leadership style. Likelihood ratio test results also disclose that in handloom units, there is a requirement of authoritative, participative and delegative leadership styles in low, moderate and high degrees according to situations.

TABLE 1: MEAN VALUES OF LEADERSHIP STYLES IN HANDLOOM SECTOR

Leadership style	Authoritarian	Participative	Delegative	Total	F	Sig.
Perception of Entrepreneur	57.60	55.96	49.73	54.43	4.97	0.009
Perception of Employees	59.92	56.13	50.90	55.65	15.68	0.000

Source: Primary Data

LEADERSHIP STYLES AND FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE OF THE FIRMS IN HANDLOOM SECTOR

Thirty per cent of the entrepreneurs in the handloom sector with moderate authoritarian, 32 per cent with moderate participative and 28 per cent with moderate delegative styles are making an annual turnover of Rs. 5 lakh to Rs. 10 lakh. Result of likelihood ratio test discloses that in handloom sector, leadership styles have no significant influence on the annual turnover of the industrial units.

TABLE 2: LEADERSHIP STYLES AND FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE OF THE FIRMS IN HANDLOOM SECTOR

Leadership style	Degree of leadership style	Size of t	he firm (l	by turnov	Total	Test		
		Less than Rs. 5 lacs	Rs.5 lacs to Rs.10 lacs	Rs.10 lacs to Rs.20 lacs	Rs.20 lacs to Rs.50 lacs	Above Rs.50 lacs		
Authoritarian style	moderate	8	10	5	4	3	30	-
		26.7%	33.3%	16.7%	13.3%	10%	100%	
Participative style	Low	0	2	2	1	0	5	Likelihood Ratio=0.215
		0%	40%	40%	20%	0%	100%	
	Moderate	8	8	3	3	3	25	
		32%	32%	12%	12%	12%	100%	
Delegative style	Low	3	4	1	1	0	9	Likelihood Ratio=0.541
		33.3%	44.4%	11.1%	11.1%	0%	100%	
	Moderate	5	6	4	3	3	21	
		23.8%	28.6%	19%	14.3%	14.3%	100%	

Source: Primary Data

LEADERSHIP STYLES AND GROWTH RATE OF THE FIRMS IN HANDLOOM SECTOR

In handloom sector with moderate authoritarian style 36.7% each of them achieved 0 to 50% growth rate and 50% to 100% respectively. With moderate participative style 40 per cent of them made a growth rate of 0 to 50%. With moderate delegative style 42.9% each of them achieved a growth rate of 0 to 50%, and 50% to 100% respectively. Result of likelihood ratio test discloses that in handloom sector, leadership styles have no significant influence on the growth rate of the industrial units.

TABLE 3: LEADERSHIP STYLES AND GROWTH RATE OF THE FIRMS IN HANDLOOM SECTOR

Leadership style	Degree of leadership style	Growth			Total	Test	
		Less than 0%	0 to 50%	50% to 100%	Above 100%		
Authoritarian style	Moderate	1	11	11	7	30	-
		3.3%	36.7%	36.7%	23.3%	100%	
Participative style	Low	0	1	3	1	5	Likelihood Ratio=0.637
		0%	20%	60%	20%	100%	
	Moderate	1	10	8	6	25	
		4%	40%	32%	24%	100%	
Delegative style	Low	0	2	2	5	9	Likelihood Ratio=0.060
		0%	22.2%	22.2%	55.6%	100%	
	Moderate	1	9	9	2	21	
		4.8%	42.9%	42.9%	9.5%	100%	

Source: Primary Data

LEADERSHIP STYLES AND JOB SATISFACTION OF EMPLOYEES IN HANDLOOM SECTOR

In handloom sector, average job satisfaction is recorded by 45.7 per cent of the employees when their entrepreneurs are moderately authoritative and job satisfaction of 60 per cent of employees is high while they are showing low degree of authoritarian leadership style. Again average satisfaction is expressed by 45 per cent of employees towards moderate and low degree of participative styles. Job satisfaction of 48.6 per cent of employees is average when the entrepreneurs are moderately delegative and it is high when they show low degree of delegative leadership style. Result of likelihood ratio test discloses that in handloom sector, job satisfaction of the employees is independent of the leadership styles of the entrepreneurs.

TABLE 4: LEADERSHIP STYLES AND JOB SATISFACTION OF EMPLOYEES IN HANDLOOM SECTOR

Leadership style	Degree of leadership style	Job sa	tisfaction			Total	Test
		Very low	Average	High	Very high		
Authoritarian style	Low	0	2	3	0	5	Likelihood Ratio=0.798
		0%	40%	60%	0%	100%	
	Moderate	2	37	32	10	81	
		2.5%	45.7%	39.5%	12.3%	100%	
	High	0	2	2	0	4	
		0%	50%	50%	0%	100%	
Participative style	Low	1	6	5	0	12	Likelihood Ratio=0.215
		8.3%	50%	41.7%	0%	100%	
	Moderate	1	35	32	10	78	
		1.3%	44.9%	41%	12.8%	100%	
Delegative style	Low	1	5	9	1	16	Likelihood Ratio=0.320
		6.3%	31.3%	56.3%	6.3%	100%	
	Moderate	1	36	28	9	74	
		1.4%	48.6%	37.8%	12.2%	100%	

Source: Primary Data

FINDINGS

Entrepreneurs of small scale Handloom units of Kerala are showing moderate leadership style in authoritative, participative and delegative mode. Different styles of leadership are used by the entrepreneurs in Handloom sectors. What leadership styles are preferred in one organization may not be preferred elsewhere just like what is suitable in one organization may not be suitable in another. As no single style of leadership is considered the 'best', mostly entrepreneurs follow 'contingency' style (as the situation demands). Entrepreneurs in Handloom units did not prefer any improvement in their authoritative and delegative style, but a considerable percentage prefer to improve their participative style. Factors such as annual turnover, growth rate of the firm and job satisfaction of the employees are not influenced by the leadership styles of entrepreneurs of Handloom units in Kerala.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Entrepreneurs should learn to practice different leadership styles to guide their employees and to meet the organizational requirements. The entrepreneurs are yet to develop and balance various other leadership styles along with authoritarian, participative and delegative styles. The technically literate entrepreneurs have very good leadership attributes. They should be given leadership oriented training so that their approach can be more people and task oriented. Through training programmes they will learn effective leadership dimensions which will bring organizational effectiveness. The study revealed that most of the entrepreneurs had poor access to various training programmes. Voluntary organizations, Government departments and other private institutions should conduct leadership training programmes in every district to ensure the participation of all entrepreneurs and to enrich their leadership attributes

CONCLUSIONS

Focusing into the future of small scale Handloom units in Kerala, it is important for the entrepreneurs to recognize the needs of the workers, employ appropriate motivational tools such as promotion of staff based on merit and skills, provide suitable working environment and provide an appropriate leadership style that will encourage free flow of information among the employer and employees. The future leaders of Handloom units need to have extraordinary level of perception and insight into the realities of the world and themselves. They also need emotional strength to manage their own and other's anxiety because learning and change becomes more and more a way of life. Willingness and ability to share power and control according to people's knowledge and skills is also of necessity for the bright future of leaders in Handloom units.

SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

Kerala is historically known for being less investor friendly and less proactive in industrialization. One of the key concerns of the entrepreneurs in Kerala, for a long time, has been hassles of bureaucracy. But as per this study it's not a major constraint. This is quite revealing. There exists further scope to study why this has happened, what are those Government policies which brought about this change and how we can keep bureaucratic hassles at the minimum level in future etc.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My sincere gratitude to my supervising teacher **Dr.K.C. Sankaranarayanan**, former Director, School of Social Sciences and Professor and Head of the Department of Applied Economics, Cochin University of Science and Technology.

I am highly indebted to **Dr. R.Vasantha Gopal**, Associate Professor of Commerce, Cochin College, Kochi for his valuable guidance and support from conception of the research problem and throughout my research work.

REFERENCES

- 1. Cleland D L (1998). "Project Management: strategic design and implementation." Mc Graw Hill Professional Publishing.
- 2. David J. Snowden and Mary E Boone (2007). "A Leader's Framework for Decision Making", Harvard Business Review South Asia, November: 39-46.
- 3. Government of Kerala (2008), Economic Review (2008), Directorate of Industries and Commerce, Tvpm
- 4. Ingress O (1995). "Viewpoint: A leader's inner security might be crucial factor for choice of leadership style". J, Eur. Indust. Training 19: 19-20.
- 5. Lalithya Rani P and Sumati Reddy (2008). "Evaluating a Leadership Development Program", HRM Review, The ICFAI University Press, Vol-VIII Issue IV April: 10-13
- 6. Mathew P.M. (2008). "Kerala remains hostile to entrepreneurship:" Study. Business Line, Kochi, January 8th.
- 7. Prahalad C.K.(2008). "What it takes to be an entrepreneur in a resource constrained society, entrepreneurs find, multiply and leverage resources and create wealth". Business line, Kochi.
- 8. Vroom V (2000). "Leadership and decision-making process". Organiz. Dynam. 28: 82-94.

REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

Dear Readers

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce and Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue as well as on the journal as a whole, on our E-mail i.e. infoijrcm@gmail.com for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries please feel free to contact us on our E-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-

Co-ordinator

ABOUT THE JOURNAL

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active cooperation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.







