# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE & MANAGEMENT



A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at:

Ulrich's Periodicals Directory @, ProQuest, U.S.A., EBSCO Publishing, U.S.A., Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A

as well as in

Registered & Listed at: Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than 1388 Cities in 138 countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

# **CONTENTS**

| Sr.<br>No. | TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)  | Page No. |
|------------|---|----------|
| 1.         | MARKET INTELLIGENCE - AN EMPIRICAL STUDY OF MARKET BEHAVIOR OF AGRICULTURAL COMMODITY S. P. BHARDWAJ, ASHOK KUMAR & K. N. SINGH   | 1        |
| 2.         | CONSTRUCTING A MULTI-CRITERIA CO-BRANDING STRATEGY MODEL FOR FAUCET INDUSTRY  DR. CHAO-CHAN WU, MENG-CHEN CHANG & DR. HAO WANG  | 7        |
| 3.         | IMPACT OF ORGANIZATIONAL CLIMATE ON ORGANIZATIONAL LEARNING HAMID REZA QASEMI & SAEED BONYADI   | 16       |
| 4.         | BPO INDUSTRY IN INDIA: B2B MARKET TRANSFORMATION DR. VIJU MATHEW  | 22       |
| 5.         | DETERMINANT FACTORS THAT ATTRACT INTERNATIONAL TOURISTS TO VISIT ETHIOPIA  DR. GETIE ANDUALEM IMIRU   | 27       |
| 6.         | NON FINANCIAL FACTOR OF MEASURING ORGANIZATIONAL PERFORMANCE BRINGS LONG TERM FINANCIAL CAPABILITY: AN EXPERIENCE FROM BANGLADESH  MD. MONIRUZZAMAN SARKER, MD.SAHABUDDIN & NAFISA KASEM                      | 39       |
| 7.         | PREDICTORS OF WILLINGNESS TO ADOPT CUSTOMER RELATIONSHIP MANAGEMENT IN NIGERIAN ORGANIZATIONS: A FRAMEWORK APPROACH  EKAKITIE-EMONENA, SUNNY.   | 42       |
| 8.         | COMPARISON OF VALUE-RELEVANCE OF CASH FLOW AND OPERATING PROFIT IN EXPLANATION OF COMPANIES STOCK RETURN WITH CONSIDERING INFORMATION ASYMMETRY: EVIDENCE FROM TEHRAN STOCK EXCHANGE                          | 47       |
| 9.         | ROYA DARABI, B.ZANGANE & SHAHIN SAHRAEI  CUSTOMER SATISFACTION SURVEY OF TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS FOR HUMAN RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT OF MANUFACTURING ORGANIZATIONS MANOJ MEHTA & GEETA DAWAR | 52       |
| 10.        | ACCESSING THE INTERNATIONAL CAPITAL MARKETS WITH DEPOSITARY RECEIPTS  DR. M. L. GUPTA & DR. SIMMI KHURANA   | 61       |
| 11.        | A STUDY ON THE MARKETING PRACTICES OF THE KOVILPATTI CO-OPERATIVE MILK SUPPLY SOCIETY LTD.  M. SEKAR & M. SHUNMUGA SUNDARAM   | 63       |
| 12.        | IMPACT OF ORGANIZATION CULTURE ON EMPLOYEE MOTIVATION AND JOB PERFORMANCE NIDHI MAITHEL, DR. D. S. CHAUBEY & DEEPAK GUPTA   | 68       |
| 13.        | VALIDITY OF EFFICIENT MARKET HYPOTHESIS IN THE INDIAN STOCK MARKET  DR. RASHMI SONI   | 74       |
| 14.        | ANALYSIS OF PERCEPTIONS OF INVESTORS TOWARDS MUTUAL FUNDS: AN EMPIRICAL INVESTIGATION  DR. S. O. JUNARE & FRENA PATEL   | 81       |
| 15.        | CUSTOMERS' EXPERIENCE WITH SMALL SCALE RETAIL STORES – AN EMPIRICAL STUDY DR. K. RAMA MOHANA RAO & DR. K. RATNA MANIKYAM  | 86       |
| 16.        | INDIAN SPICES EXPORTS: THEIR GROWTH AND INSTABILITY  DR. D. SRINIVASA RAO   | 90       |
| 17.        | STOCK PRICE RESPONSES TO THE ANNOUNCEMENT OF BUYBACK OF SHARES IN INDIA  DR. ISHWAR P & DR. I. B. CIRAPPA   | 95       |
| 18.        | INVESTOR BEHAVIOR TOWARDS MUTUAL FUND SCHEMES: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY SHAFQAT AJAZ & DR. SAMEER GUPTA   | 103      |
| 19.        | MULTICHANNEL STRATEGY – A COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE TOOL OF ORGANISED RETAILERS  P. SATHISH CHANDRA & DR. G. SUNITHA  | 109      |
| 20.        | STUDY OF SAVING PATTERN AND INVESTMENT PREFERENCES OF INDIVIDUAL HOUSEHOLD IN INDIA MEENAKSHI CHATURVEDI & SHRUTI KHARE   | 115      |
| 21.        | DEVELOPING INFRASTRUCTURE FOR PROMOTION OF RURAL TOURISM IN THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL: A STUDY ON KAMARPUKUR  DR. DILLIP KUMAR DAS & NILANJAN RAY  | 121      |
| 22.        | PROFITABILITY AND LIQUIDITY MANAGEMENT OF FMCG COMPANIES IN INDIA: A COMPARATIVE STUDY BETWEEN HINDUSTAN UNILEVER LIMITED (HUL) AND ITC LIMITED  DR. BHASKAR BAGCHI & DR. BASANTA KHAMRUI                     | 128      |
| 23.        | A COMPARATIVE STUDY ON BUYING BEHAVIOR OF RURAL AND URBAN CUSTOMERS IN SELECTED DISTRICT OF GUJARAT  ARATI. TRIVEDI & PARIMAL. CHAVDA   | 131      |
| 24.        | RETAILING STRATEGIES FOR CUSTOMER SATISFACTION: COMPARATIVE STUDY OF MORE AND FOOD WORLD  A. SANDHYA RANI   | 135      |
| 25.        | DIRECT MARKETING OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS - A STUDY OF RYTHU BAZAARS (FARMERS' MARKET) IN ANDHRA PRADESH DR. K. RAJI REDDY & DR. H. SATEESH   | 137      |
| 26.        | NEED FOR A PARADIGM SHIFT IN MANAGEMENT TEACHING THROUGH PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT OF FACULTY  AFREEN NISHAT A. NASABI   | 142      |
| 27.        | CUSTOMERS' SATISFACTION ON CORE BANKING: A STUDY WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO A NATIONALIZED BANK IN THIRUNELVELI BIJU K, D. DEVANDHIRAN & SREEHARI R  | 146      |
| 28.        | A STUDY ON CUSTOMER SATSIFACTION OF GOODKNIGHT PRODUCTS IN ERODE, TAMILNADU  N.S.SUGANYA, P. SENTHILKUMAR & K.VISNUPRIYA  | 153      |
| 29.        | ASSOCIATION BETWEEN DIVIDEND DECISION AND FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE: AN EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS  SANJEEV LALHOTRA  | 157      |
| 30.        | AN EMPIRICAL INVESTIGATION OF CAPITAL BUDGETING PRACTICES IN INDIA  PREETI ARORA  | 166      |
|            | REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK  | 170      |

## CHIEF PATRON

#### PROF. K. K. AGGARWAL

Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Delhi
Founder Vice-Chancellor, GuruGobindSinghIndraprasthaUniversity, Delhi
Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, GuruJambheshwarUniversity, Hisar

## PATRON

#### SH. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Ex.State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

## CO-ORDINATOR

#### DR. SAMBHAV GARG

Faculty, M. M. Institute of Management, MaharishiMarkandeshwarUniversity, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana

# ADVISORS

#### DR. PRIYA RANJAN TRIVEDI

Chancellor, The Global Open University, Nagaland

PROF. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Director A. C. D., School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

PROF. M. N. SHARMA

Chairman, M.B.A., HaryanaCollege of Technology & Management, Kaithal

PROF. S. L. MAHANDRU

Principal (Retd.), MaharajaAgrasenCollege, Jagadhri

## EDITOR.

PROF. R. K. SHARMA

Professor, Bharti Vidyapeeth University Institute of Management & Research, New Delhi

## CO-EDITOR.

**DR. BHAVET** 

Faculty, M. M. Institute of Management, MaharishiMarkandeshwarUniversity, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana

## EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

#### **DR. RAJESH MODI**

Faculty, YanbuIndustrialCollege, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

**PROF. SANJIV MITTAL** 

UniversitySchool of Management Studies, GuruGobindSinghl. P. University, Delhi

PROF. ANIL K. SAINI

Chairperson (CRC), GuruGobindSinghl. P. University, Delhi

#### **DR. SAMBHAVNA**

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

#### DR. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, P.J.L.N.GovernmentCollege, Faridabad

#### **DR. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE**

Asst. Professor, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

#### **MOHITA**

Faculty, Yamuna Institute of Engineering & Technology, Village Gadholi, P. O. Gadhola, Yamunanagar

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

#### PROF. NAWAB ALI KHAN

Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

#### **PROF. ABHAY BANSAL**

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity University, Noida

#### **PROF. V. SELVAM**

SSL, VIT University, Vellore

#### DR. N. SUNDARAM

Professor, VITUniversity, Vellore

#### DR. PARDEEP AHLAWAT

Reader, Institute of Management Studies & Research, MaharshiDayanandUniversity, Rohtak

#### S. TABASSUM SULTANA

Associate Professor, Department of Business Management, Matrusri Institute of P.G. Studies, Hyderabad

# TECHNICAL ADVISOR

#### **AMITA**

Faculty, Government M. S., Mohali

#### **MOHITA**

Faculty, Yamuna Institute of Engineering & Technology, Village Gadholi, P. O. Gadhola, Yamunanagar

## FINANCIAL ADVISORS

#### **DICKIN GOYAL**

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

#### **NEENA**

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

# LEGAL ADVISORS

**JITENDER S. CHAHAL** 

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

#### **CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA**

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

## SUPERINTENDENT

**SURENDER KUMAR POONIA** 

## **CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS**

Weinvite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to recent developments & practices in the area of Computer, Business, Finance, Marketing, Human Resource Management, General Management, Banking, Insurance, Corporate Governance and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting Education; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Monetary Policy; Portfolio & Security Analysis; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Business Education; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law, Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labor Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; Public Administration; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism, Hospitality & Leisure; Transportation/Physical Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Digital Logic; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Multimedia; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic and Web Design. The above mentioned tracks are only indicative, and not exhaustive.

Anybody can submit the soft copy of his/her manuscript anytime in M.S. Word format after preparing the same as per our submission guidelines duly available on our website under the heading guidelines for submission, at the email addresses: infoijrcm@gmail.comorinfo@ijrcm.org.in.

## **GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT**

| THE EDITOR URCM  | DATED:  |
|--|---|
| Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF   |   |
| (e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psycholog   | y/Law/Computer/IT/Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)              |
| DEAR SIR/MADAM   |   |
| Please find my submission of manuscript entitled '   | ′ for possible publication in your journals.                                  |
| I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore under review for publication elsewhere.   | e, it has neither been published elsewhere in any language fully or partly, n |
|  |   |
| I affirm that all the author (s) have seen and agreed to the submitted version of  | of the manuscript and their inclusion of name (s) as co-author (s).           |
| ``   |   |
| Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the for  |   |
| Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the for contribution in any of your journals.  |   |
| Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the for contribution in any of your journals.  NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:  Designation:  Affiliation with full address, contact numbers & Pin Code:   |   |
| Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the for contribution in any of your journals.  NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Designation:  |   |
| Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the for contribution in any of your journals.  NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Designation: Affiliation with full address, contact numbers & Pin Code: Residential address with Pin Code: Mobile Number (s):                           |   |
| Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the for contribution in any of your journals.  NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:  Designation:  Affiliation with full address, contact numbers & Pin Code:  Residential address with Pin Code:  Mobile Number (s):  Landline Number (s): |   |
| Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the for contribution in any of your journals.  NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: Designation: Affiliation with full address, contact numbers & Pin Code: Residential address with Pin Code: Mobile Number (s):                           |   |

- The sender is required to mention the following in the **SUBJECT COLUMN** of the mail: New Manuscript for Review in the area of (Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/ Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)
- There is no need to give any text in the body of mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any specific message w.r.t. to the manuscript.
- The total size of the file containing the manuscript is required to be below 500 KB.
- Abstract alone will not be considered for review, and the author is required to submit the complete manuscript in the first instance.
- The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of manuscript, within two days of submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending separate mail to the journal.
- MANUSCRIPT TITLE: The title of the paper should be in a 12 point Calibri Font. It should be bold typed, centered and fully capitalised. 2.
- IOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS: The author (s) full name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline numbers, and email/alternate email address should be in italic & 11-point Calibri Font. It must be centered underneath the title.
- ABSTRACT: Abstract should be in fully italicized text, not exceeding 250 words. The abstract must be informative and explain the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a single para. Abbreviations must be mentioned in full.

- 5. **KEYWORDS**: Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of five. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stops at the end.
- 6. MANUSCRIPT: Manuscript must be in <u>BRITISH ENGLISH</u> prepared on a standard A4 size <u>PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER</u>. It must be prepared on a single space and single column with 1" margin set for top, bottom, left and right. It should be typed in 8 point Calibri Font with page numbers at the bottom and centre of every page. It should be free from grammatical, spelling and punctuation errors and must be thoroughly edited.
- 7. **HEADINGS**: All the headings should be in a 10 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
- 8. **SUB-HEADINGS**: All the sub-headings should be in a 8 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
- 9. MAIN TEXT: The main text should follow the following sequence:

INTRODUCTION

**REVIEW OF LITERATURE** 

**NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY** 

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

**OBJECTIVES** 

**HYPOTHESES** 

**RESEARCH METHODOLOGY** 

**RESULTS & DISCUSSION** 

FINDING

RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS

CONCLUSIONS

SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS** 

REFERENCES

APPENDIX/ANNEXURE

It should be in a 8 point Calibri Font, single spaced and justified. The manuscript should preferably not exceed 5000 WORDS.

- 10. **FIGURES &TABLES**: These should be simple, crystal clear, centered, separately numbered &self explained, and **titles must be above the table/figure**. **Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure**. It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text.
- 11. **EQUATIONS**: These should be consecutively numbered in parentheses, horizontally centered with equation number placed at the right.
- 12. **REFERENCES**: The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript and they are supposed to follow **Harvard Style of Referencing**. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
- All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
- Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
- When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc, in chronologically ascending order.
- Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
- The title of books and journals should be in italics. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working
  papers, unpublished material, etc.
- For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parentheses.
- The location of endnotes within the text should be indicated by superscript numbers.

#### PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:

#### BOOKS

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

#### CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

#### JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

#### **CONFERENCE PAPERS**

• Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–22 June.

#### UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS AND THESES

• Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

#### ONLINE RESOURCES

Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

#### WEBSITE

Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Natural Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp

#### AN EMPIRICAL INVESTIGATION OF CAPITAL BUDGETING PRACTICES IN INDIA

# PREETI ARORA RESEARCH SCHOLAR UNIVERSITY BUSINESS SCHOOL PANJAB UNIVERSITY CHANDIGARH

#### **ABSTRACT**

Capital expenditure planning has assumed new dimension and has been given much attention both in finance literature and in practice. This is because capital expenditures involve committing huge sum of money, whose benefits extend well ahead into the future, and the future is related with risk and uncertainty, and, once committed, capital expenditure is irreversible. Thus, capital expenditure has huge impact on the future profitability and value creation of a company. The present study intends to focus on capital budgeting practices being followed by selected companies in India. The study being empirical in nature relies on primary data to achieve the objectives. The study found that the major firms in India are utilizing many of the tools of analysis presented in the financial theory for analyzing capital budgeting projects.

#### **KEYWORDS**

Capital budgeting, Capital rationing, Financial goals, Risk analysis.

#### INTRODUCTION

apital investment is one of the areas that not only consumes a lot of resources in today's business, but demands the attention of all managers and sundry from inception of a project through growth stage to its maturity. However, in the finance literature, capital investments are often described as long-term investments. Long-term investments are difficult to deal with because risk and uncertainty must be accounted for, in addition to series of forecasting, implementation and monitoring of long- range decisions. Capital budgeting determines the shape and structure of a company and affects its competitive advantage. Levary and Seitz (1990) describe complex capital investment as a strategic decision with components and considerations of resource limitations and goal trade-offs.

In recent times, capital expenditure planning has assumed new dimension and has been given much attention both in finance literature and in practice. This is because capital expenditures involve committing huge sum of money, whose benefits extend well ahead into the future, and the future is said to be beclouded with risk and uncertainty, and, once committed, capital expenditure is irreversible. Thus, capital expenditure has huge impact on the future profitability and value creation of a company. Farragher et al. (1999) note that for a successful and effective capital expenditure planning, certain activities are necessary and shall be given attention. These are strategic analysis, establishing investment goals, searching for investment opportunities, forecasting cash flows of the investment, evaluating the risk of adjusted future cash flows, decision making, and implementing accepted opportunities and post audit procedures.

However, in the case of complex capital decisions there is the need for an objective analysis and evaluation of an investment together with a healthy intelligent judgment that has a solid base of knowledge about that investment, through the use of quantitative methods, such as mathematical programming (e.g., linear programming, goal programming and new financial techniques), as opposed to just a simple, sometimes subjective, judgment. Other wise the amount involved and all the efforts put in nursing the project will become what the accountants called sunk costs. Depending upon its magnitude the survival of the enterprise may be at stake.

Sound capital budgeting practices, among others, promote allocation of scarce resources and hence profitability of business. The process of capital budgeting decision making exists in every organization whether it is in public or private sector. Day in and Day out a significant portion of companies resources are being spent on different types of capital investments. There are several methods to evaluate capital investment decisions. Given the importance of capital investment, not only for the country as a whole, but also for the creation of shareholder wealth for individual firms, it may be helpful to investigate the practices used to evaluate these projects.

#### **REVIEW OF LITERATURE**

Over the past four decades, research in the area of corporate finance has examined various methods of capital budgeting preferred by industry. It has been noted that majority of the financial managers and academicians have not been in full agreement as regards the most appropriate capital budgeting method. The key findings of some of the existing studies are highlighted here.

#### **INTERNATIONAL STUDIES**

Prather et al. (2009) they surveyed members of the Durant, Oklahoma Chamber of Commerce to ascertain the level of sophistication that small rural businesses exhibit in capital expenditure decision making. This survey covered a variety of discounted cash flow (DCF) and other traditional techniques and also examined the incidence and treatment of capital rationing. Finally, they examined the methods used to determine the required return and the use of sensitivity analysis, scenario analysis, and simulation. Results reveal that 71% of the sample firms do not have a written business plan and 66% use managerial judgment in project selection.

Kantudu (2007)\_made an attempt to identify the most widely used techniques of appraising capital investment among quoted firms in Nigeria. He employed questionnaire method of primary data collection. The respondents were drawn from 200 finance and management staff of 100 quoted firms in Nigeria. The results revealed that firms favored a combination of investment appraisal techniques but the payback period is ranked highest among other techniques. He also found that simplicity, understandability and effectiveness to be the major preferential factors in the choice of a particular project appraisal technique. It is recommended that coordination, documentation, and communication of capital expenditure plans should be transparent and in line with the business interest of the firm.

Farragher et al. (2001) an attempt has been made to measure the relationship between capital budgeting sophistication and business performance. The results of the study do not support the hypothesis that companies with higher performance employ more sophisticated capital budgeting processes than do companies with lower performance. While they observe a negative sign for the degree of capital budgeting sophistication variable, the sophistication variable is statistically instinction.

Graham and Harvey (1999)\_surveyed 392 CFOs about the cost of capital, capital budgeting, and capital structure. Large firms rely heavily on present value techniques and the capital asset pricing model, while small firms are relatively likely to use the payback criterion. Firms are concerned about maintaining financial flexibility and a good credit rating when issuing debt, and earnings per share dilution and recent stock price appreciation when issuing equity. They find some support for the pecking-order and trade-off capital structure hypothesis but little evidence that executives are concerned about asset substitution, asymmetric information, transactions costs, free cash flows, or personal taxes.

#### **INDIAN STUDIES**

Gupta et. al. (2007) carried out a research to explore which capital budgeting techniques are being used by industries in Punjab, and the influence of factors such as size of capital budget, age and nature of the company, and education and experience of the Chief Executive Officer. Towards this end, they conducted a primary survey. The survey reveals that the status of capital budgeting techniques in the state of Punjab is still far from satisfactory. Majority of the sample companies still use non-discounted cash flow techniques, mostly Payback Period criterion to evaluate any new project. Only a very few companies use DCF, and among them a very negligible number use NPV techniques to evaluate a project. Further, the study did not find any association between the size of capital budget, age of the company, nature of industry and capital budgeting techniques.

Anand (2002) surveyed 81 CFOs of India to find out about their corporate finance practices vis-à-vis capital budgeting decisions, cost of capital, capital structure, and dividend policy decisions. It analyses the responses by the firm characteristics like firm size, profitability, leverage, P/E ratio, CFO's education, and the sector. The analysis reveals that practitioners do use the basic corporate finance tools like NPV, CAPM and WACC. The study also reveals that the corporate finance practices vary with firm size.

Jain and Kumar (1998) found that companies were making regular investment for replacement and maintenance. One-fourth of the sample companies invested for expansion and diversification. The most preferred method was 'Pay Back period' followed by NPV and IRR. Preference for Pay Back Period method was due to its simplicity, less cost, less time, and easy understanding. For hurdle rate, WACC was preferred followed by 'Arbitrary rate' and 'Marginal cost of additional funds'. The companies, for incorporating risk preferred the 'Sensitivity Analysis' followed by 'Higher cut off rate' and 'Shorter Pay Back Period.

In a comprehensive study by Porwal (1976), it was found that ARR was preferred over IRR. Companies also preferred Payback Period due to the shortage of funds, obsolescence, and easy calculations. The most preferred rate of discount was WACC. The risk factors that were considered by the companies were 'chances of unavailability of inputs', probability of not achieving a target return' and 'uncertain market potential'. For incorporation of risk, 'Shorter payback Period' and 'Higher cut off rate' were preferred. Further, for rationing, 'Priorities' and 'higher rate of return' were the two main aspects for resolving conflicts among different departments.

Chandra (1975) selected 20 firms to examine the influence of size, industry group and capital intensity on the choice of investment evaluation techniques. The study revealed that 'Pay Back Period' method was mostly used for evaluating large size investments, the corporate preferred ARR. To evaluate investments, companies also looked at profit per rupee invested, cost saving per unit of product and investment required to replace a worker.

#### **NEED OF THE STUDY**

Capital investment decisions are the most important among the decisions involved in the functions of finance. More and more awareness is developing on the part of the companies about the need for using sophisticated methods for capital investment appraisal in place of traditional methods. As the magnitude and opportunities of investment are increasing it has become necessary for the companies to follow sophisticated capital budgeting methods rather than following rule of thumb methods which would lead to misleading decisions.

Recent times have seen a marked shift in favor of privatization, globalization, and free trade in many economies considered non-capitalist. India is no exception. More recent governments have shown a definite bias in favor of privatization and liberalized trade policies. A change from a policy of protectionism to a policy of free trade exposes Indian business to intense global competition. Businesses in India have to be more cost and quality conscious and allocate their scarce resources rationally, if they desire to meet the challenges of an increasingly competitive global market.

These kinds of situations are giving boost to conduct more and more research studies in this area. This study makes an attempt to find out the capital budgeting practices being followed by selected companies in India. Moreover, a brief perusal of review of literature reveals that vast number of studies in the area of capital investment decisions has been conducted in the global context but in India, there are a few numbers of studies in the area of capital budgeting (Prasanna Chandra- 1975, L S Porwal- 1976, Pandey-1989, Sahu-1989, Jain and Kumar-1998, Anand- 2002, Gupta et al.-2007). So, the existing literature on the subject brings home the fact that there is a need for conducting a study on capital budgeting practices in India.

#### STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Looking at the gap in practice and in theory represented in the brief results of the previous studies and the theoretical assumptions, it is noticed that no agreed upon generalization can be made on the best technique to be used (Chandra, 1975; Porwal, 1976; Deolanker, 1996; Vanhorne, 2002; Pandey, 2002; Arnold, 2008). So, the perception of the advantages and disadvantages of each technique, and the type of the investment are important factors when selecting the technique to be used.

The researchers believe that each previous study is a special case and every new study tells something about capital budgeting practices at the time of conducting the study (Pike, 1996). So this leads to the fact that any new further study will bring a new insight into the use of the capital budgeting at the different time with different applications, keeping this into mind, the researcher made an attempt to study capital budgeting practices of selected companies in India.

#### **RESEARCH OBJECTIVES**

- 1. To identify the financial goals followed by the companies.
- 2. To determine which capital budgeting techniques are most commonly used by the selected companies.
- 3. To determine the methods used by the selected companies to consider risk in capital budgeting decisions.
- 4. To identify the major causes for capital rationing in India.

#### **METHODOLOGY**

The population chosen was a group of top 500 companies on the basis of market capitalization as published by Capital market. Owing to financial and time constraints, only the first 300 were selected as a sample. The information used in this study was obtained from questionnaires sent to the selected sample. Responses were encoded and the data was analyzed using the SPSS software. The study being empirical in nature relies on primary data to achieve the objectives. Primary data for the research will be collected with the help of self-administered questionnaire.

#### THE SAMPLE & CHARACTERISTICS

While data was collected from as many as 300 companies, there were only 125 companies in case of which the information is adequate to be included in analysis. Grouped into 9 industries, the sample had 25.6% of public sector and 74.4% of private sector companies.

#### A. SECTOR WISE BREAKUP OF THE COMPANIES IS AS GIVEN BELOW:

**TABLE 1: OWNERSHIP OF THE FIRMS** 

| Ownership | Frequency | Percent |  |  |  |
|-----------|-----------|---------|--|--|--|
| Public    | 32        | 25.6    |  |  |  |
| Private   | 93        | 74.4    |  |  |  |
| Total     | 125       | 100.0   |  |  |  |

#### BREAK UP OF THE COMPANIES ACCORDING TO INDUSTRY CLASSIFICATION

**TABLE 2: INDUSTRY PROFILE OF THE FIRMS** 

| Industries                      | Frequency | Percent |
|---------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Auto/Steel                      | 20        | 16.0    |
| Diversified/finance             | 20        | 16.0    |
| Cement/Engineering/Construction | 24        | 19.2    |
| Telecom/IT                      | 10        | 8.0     |
| Oil/Gas/Food processing         | 13        | 10.4    |
| Chemicals/Pharma                | 13        | 10.4    |
| Power/Electrical                | 8         | 6.4     |
| Trading/Misc                    | 14        | 11.2    |
| Textiles/Logistics              | 3         | 2.4     |
| Total                           | 125       | 100.0   |

#### **SURVEY RESULTS & DISCUSSION**

On the basis of review of empirical research and theoretical literature on finance, thirteen financial objectives were identified The respondent companies were asked to rate each objective on a five-point scale (most significant to insignificant) according to the relative significance attached to it. The weighted average score have been calculated.

#### **TABLE 3: RELATIVE SIGNIFICANCE OF FINANCIAL GOALS**

| Goals                                      | Most Significant | Moderately significant | Significant | Less Significant | Insignificant | Mean | St.dev |
|--|------------------|------------------------|-------------|------------------|---------------|------|--------|
| Maximizing                                 |                  |                        |             |                  |               |      |        |
| Book Value of Net Worth                    | 52               | 20                     | 31          | 13               | 9             | 3.74 | 1.29   |
|  | (41.6)           | (16.0)                 | (24.8)      | (10.4)           | (7.2)         |      |        |
| Market value per share                     | 85               | 33                     | 5           | 1                | 1             | 4.60 | .68    |
|  | (68.0)           | (26.4)                 | (4.0)       | (0.4)            | (0.4)         |      |        |
| Cash flow per share                        | 30               | 17                     | 52          | 21               | 5             | 3.36 | 1.13   |
|  | (24.0)           | (13.6)                 | (41.6)      | (16.8)           | (4.0)         |      |        |
| Operating profit before interest and taxes | 57               | 15                     | 35          | 10               | 8             | 3.82 | 1.27   |
|  | (45.6)           | (12.0)                 | (28.0)      | (8.0)            | (6.4)         |      |        |
| Price earning ratio                        | 36               | 25                     | 43          | 14               | 7             | 3.55 | 1.18   |
|  | (28.8)           | (20.0)                 | (34.4)      | (11.2)           | (5.6)         |      |        |
| Market rate of return                      | 6                | 25                     | 58          | 12               | 24            | 2.81 | 1.10   |
|  | (4.8)            | (20.0)                 | (46.4)      | (9.6)            | (19.2)        |      |        |
| Return on Investment                       | 74               | 25                     | 6           | 15               | 5             | 4.18 | 1.20   |
|  | (59.2)           | (20)                   | (4.8)       | (12.0)           | (4.0)         |      |        |
| Net Profit to Net Worth                    | 39               | 30                     | 41          | 15               | -             | 3.74 | 1.03   |
|  | (31.2)           | (24.0)                 | (32.8)      | (12.0)           |               |      |        |
| Net Profit margin                          | 65               | 14                     | 26          | 17               | 3             | 3.96 | 1.22   |
|  | (52.0)           | (11.2)                 | (20.8)      | (13.6)           | (2.4)         |      |        |
| Market share                               | 98               | 13                     | 8           | 6                | -             | 4.62 | 0.80   |
|  | (78.4)           | (10.4)                 | (6.4)       | (4.8)            |               |      |        |
| Earning per share                          | 30               | 25                     | 54          | 12               | 4             | 3.52 | 1.05   |
|  | (24.0)           | (20.0)                 | (43.2)      | (9.6)            | (3.2)         |      |        |
| Total assets                               | 30               | 30                     | 45          | 14               | 6             | 3.51 | 1.11   |
|  | (24.0)           | (24.0)                 | (36.0)      | (11.2)           | (4.8)         |      |        |
| Sales                                      | 71               | 16                     | 17          | 18               | 3             | 4.07 | 1.22   |
|  | (56.8)           | (12.8)                 | (13.6)      | (14.4)           | (2.4)         |      |        |

Note: Figures in parentheses represent percentages.

Table 3 depicts the relative significance of financial goals as perceived by the respondent companies. It can be observed from the table that three top priority goals on the basis of mean and standard deviation. Maximizing market Share (WAS 4.62), Maximizing market value per share (WAS 4.60) and Maximizing return on investment (WAS 4.18) have been perceived as the main financial goals by the companies. However the financial goals of Maximizing market rate of return (WAS 2.81), Maximizing cash flow per share (WAS 3.36) and Maximizing total assets (WAS 3.51) have been perceived as the lowest preferred goals.

Table 4 reports findings on capital budgeting techniques most commonly used by the respondent companies, this shows that the discounted pay back period (92%) is most commonly used by the companies followed by Pay back period(82.4%), Internal rate of return (70.4%) and Net present value (66.4%).

In a survey by Anand (2002), 85% of the respondents considered IRR as a very important project choice criterion. About 65% of the respondents almost or always used NPV. The Payback method was also popular at 67.5%. In Rao (1996)'s study of 74 Indian companies, 51% favored IRR as the project appraisal technique.

**TABLE 4: CAPITAL BUDGETING TECHNIQUES** 

| Capital budgeting techniques     | Frequency | Percent |
|----------------------------------|-----------|---------|
| Accounting rate of return        | 66        | 52.8    |
| Pay back period                  | 103       | 82.4    |
| Post pay back profitability      | 2         | 1.6     |
| Discounted pay back period       | 115       | 92      |
| Net present value                | 83        | 66.4    |
| Internal rate of return          | 88        | 70.4    |
| Profitability Index              | 21        | 16.8    |
| Terminal value                   | 7         | 5.6     |
| Real options                     | 36        | 28.8    |
| Adjusted present value           | 60        | 48      |
| Modified internal rate of return | 48        | 38.4    |

Table 5 represents the risk analysis methods used by the respondent companies to incorporate risk in capital budgeting decisions. In this case, there seems to be a high incidence of multiple responses. It can be observed from the table that maximum respondents favor sensitivity analysis technique (70.4%) for incorporating risk followed by shorter pay back period (69.6%) and break even analysis (48.8%) respectively.

#### **TABLE 5: RISK ANALYSIS TECHNIQUES**

|   | Frequency | Percent |
|---|-----------|---------|
| Shorter Pay back period                     | 87        | 69.6    |
| Higher cut-off rate                         | 14        | 11.2    |
| Sensitivity analysis                        | 88        | 70.4    |
| CAPM  | 32        | 25.6    |
| Scenario Analysis                           | 85        | 68      |
| Break-even analysis                         | 61        | 48.8    |
| Monte Carlo simulation                      | 27        | 21.6    |
| Decision tree                               | 20        | 16      |
| Certainty equivalent approach               | 7         | 5.6     |
| Conservative Estimates of future cash flows | 16        | 12.8    |

<sup>\*</sup>Multiple responses have been given by some respondent companies

As Table 6 below illustrates the principal causes of capital rationing. Majority of respondents regard limit placed on borrowing by internal management (67.2%) as the major cause of capital rationing. But when the causes of borrowing limitations imposed by outside agreements (10.4%) or external management (5.6%) are added, the total of 83.2% suggest that capital rationing results from some type of debt limitation.

TABLE 6- CAUSES OF CAPITAL RATIONING

|  | Frequency | Percent |
|--|-----------|---------|
| Debt limit imposed by outside agreement                | 13        | 10.4    |
| Debt limit placed by external management               | 7         | 5.6     |
| Limit placed on borrowing by internal management       | 84        | 67.2    |
| Restrictive policy imposed upon RE for dividend payout | 2         | 1.6     |
| Maintenance of P/E ratio                               | 19        | 15.2    |
| Total  | 125       | 100.0   |

#### **SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION**

This paper has presented the findings of a survey of capital budgeting practices sent to a sample of 300 companies. Based upon the 125 usable responses received, the findings were analyzed on the basis of four major areas. The first section presented basic statistics describing respondent firms. The second section is on goal of the firms which disclosed that the major goal of the firm is to maximize market share followed by maximizing market value per share. The third section showed the capital budgeting techniques used by the firms for their investment decision making. It was found that most of the firms prefer discounted pay back period method as the important capital budgeting technique. The fourth section showed that most firms use sensitivity analysis followed by shorter pay back period method to adjust for risk. The final section found that the limit placed on borrowing by the internal management is the major cause of capital rationing.

In summary, it is evident that the major firms in India are utilizing many of the tools of analysis presented in the financial theory for analyzing capital budgeting projects. As indicated by the previous research that firms is continuing to move forward in the adoption of capital budgeting practices for their investment decision making.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Anand Manoj, (2002), "Corporate Finance Practices in India: A Survey", Vikalpa, Vol.27, No.4, pp 29-56.
- 2. Brealey, Marcus, Myers, (1995)," Fundamentals of Corporate Finance", McGraw Hill, New Delhi.
- 3. Chandra P.C., (1975), "Capital Expenditure Budgeting Analysis in Practice", Indian Management.
- 4. Damodaran Aswath, (2004), "Corporate Finance", John Wiley.
- 5. Farragher, E.J., Kleiman, R.T. and Sahu, A.P., (2001), "Current Capital Budgeting Practices", Engineering Economist, 44(2), pp.137.
- 6. Graham, J.R., Harvey, C.R., (1999), "How do CFOs make capital budgeting and capital structure decisions", Journal of Applied Corporate Finance, pp 8-23.
- 7. Gupta, Batra and Sharma, (2007), "Capital Budgeting Practices in Punjab based Companies", The ICFAI Journal of Applied Finance, Vol 13, No.2, pp 57-70.
- 8. Jain P.K. and Kumar M, (1998), "Comparative Capital Budgeting Practices: The Indian Intext", Management and Change, pp 151-171.
- 9. Kantudu Aliyu Sulaiman, (2007), "Capital Investment Appraisal Practices of Quoted Firms in Nigeria". (Retrieved from http://ssrn.com/abstract=1000075 on 17<sup>th</sup> July 2011,).
- 10. Porwal L.S., (1976), "Capital Budgeting in India", Sultan Chand & Sons, New Delhi.
- 11. Prather, Larry J, Topuz, John C, Benco, Daniel C, Romer, David A., (2009), "Capital budgeting practices of small businesses: Evidence from Rural America", Journal of Business and Entrepreneurship. (Retrieved from http://findarticles.com/p/articles/mi\_qa5424/is\_200903/ai\_n31514372/ on 17<sup>th</sup> July 2011,).

# REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

#### **Dear Readers**

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce and Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue as well as on the journal as a whole, on our E-mails i.e. infoijrcm@gmail.com or info@ijrcm.org.in for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries please feel free to contact us on our E-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

**Academically yours** 

Sd/-

Co-ordinator

## **ABOUT THE JOURNAL**

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active cooperation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.







