

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMPUTER APPLICATION AND MANAGEMENT CONTENTS

Sr. No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page No.
1.	ORGANIZATIONAL STORYTELLING: CONCEPTS, CHARACTERISTICS AND ADVANTAGES SKANDAR SHIRAZI, HAMIDEH SHEKARI & SAID MEHDI VEYSEH	1
2.	EXAMINING THE EFFECT OF COMPANY'S SIZE AND RESOURCES ON THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN STAKEHOLDERS' PRESSURE AND ENVIRONMENTAL STRATEGIES IN THE MALAYSIAN PALM OIL INDUSTRY  MOHD RAFI YAACOB	5
3.	CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND FINANCIAL REPORTING QUALITY: A STUDY OF NIGERIAN MONEY DEPOSIT BANKS SHEHU USMAN HASSAN	12
4.	AN EMPIRICAL STUDY ON TAX PAYER'S ATTITUDE TOWARDS E- RETURN FILING IN INDIA DR. SUJEET KUMAR SHARMA & DR. RAJAN YADAV	20
5.	SPATIAL ANALYSIS OF LAND USE IN MYSORE CITY DR. HARISH. M	25
6.	DRIVERS OF NEW PRODUCT SUCCESS K. VIJAYAN & DR. JAYSHREE SURESH	30
7.	KNOWLEDGE MANGEMENT FOR PERFORMANCE EXCELLENCE DR. S. RAMANATHAN & DR. S. SELVAMUTHUKUMARAN	35
8.	A NEW PARADIGM IN DESIGNING AN ADVERTISEMENT - AN APPLICATION OF REAL TIME DATA WAREHOUSE & DATA MINING IN PREPARATION OF AN AD COPY  DR. G. VADIVALAGAN, N. SUGANTHI & M. RAMESHKUMAR	39
9.	UNETHICAL PRACTICE OF MIS-SELLING OF INSURANCE – IMPACT AND SOLUTIONS  C. BARATHI, DR. CH. IBOHAL MEITEI & C. D. BALAJI	45
10.	BUSINESS PROCESS DEVELOPMENT IN SERVICE ORIENTED ARCHITECTURE  C. K. GOMATHY & DR. S. RAJALAKSHMI	50
11.	VARIANCE OF THE TIME TO RECRUITMENT IN A SINGLE GRADED MANPOWER SYSTEM – SCBZ PROPERTY  R. ARUMUGAM & DR. A. PANDURANGAN	54
12.	SURVEY - 3D FACE TRACKING SUSHMA JAISWAL, DR. SARITA SINGH BHADAURIA & DR. RAKESH SINGH JADON	57
13.	AN EMPIRICAL EVALUATION OF INVESTORS INCLINATION ON ULIP INSURANCE PRODUCTS WITH REFERENCE TO DELHI CITY  R. SERANMADEVI, DR. M. G. SARAVANARAJ & DR. M. LATHA NATARAJAN	79
14.	A STUDY ON THE TRAFFIC PROBLEMS WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO NELLORE DISTRICT  KANAGALURU SAI KUMAR	84
<b>15</b> .	A STUDY ON LEAN MANAGEMENT IN CHENNAI PORT  R. AKILA & DR. N. THANGAVEL	89
16.	CONSUMER PREFERENCE FOR COSMETICS AMONG COLLEGE GIRLS IN TIRUNELVELI AND THOOTHUKUDI DISTRICTS  P. DEVIBALA & DR. A. RANGASWAMY	94
<b>17</b> .	MANAGING NON PERFORMING ASSETS: A STUDY OF INDIAN COMMERCIAL BANKS DR. HIMANSHU SHEKHAR SINGH & DR. AJAY SINGH	99
18.	EMPOWERMENT OF RURAL ODISHA THROUGH CONNECTIVITY (WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO KHURDA DISTRICT OF ODISHA)  DR. IPSEETA SATPATHY, DR. B. CHANDRA MOHAN PATNAIK & PRABIR KUMAR PRADHAN	103
19.	CHOICE OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE MODEL: AN EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS WITH REFERENCE TO STATIC TRADE-OFF VS PECKING ORDER THEORIES IN BEVERAGE AND ALCOHOL INDUSTRY IN INDIA RAJU DEEPA & DR. RAMACHANDRAN AZHAGAIAH	107
20.	EFFECTIVE MARKETING STRATEGY FOR SMALL SCALE PLASTIC PROCESSING UNITS IN M. I. D. C., JALGAON PRASHANT S. WARKE	112
21.	BUSINESS OPPORTUNITIES AND TRENDS IN INDIA - 'SILVER MARKET AND YOUTH PREMIUM MARKET'  DR. M. A. LAHORI	117
22.	JIT BASED QUALITY MANAGEMENT IN INDIAN INDUSTRIES  SANDEEP MALIK, NISHANT PAHWA & DR. DINESH KHANDUJA	120
23.	RECENT CASE STUDIES OF RISK IN INFORMATION SECURITY  DR. S. KANCHANA RATNAM & T. T. RAJKUMAR	123
24.	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN JOB STRESS AND EMPLOYEES PERFORMANCE IN DAY TO DAY OPERATIONS OF PRIVATE ORGANIZATIONS AND THE IMPACT OF STRESS ON THE OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF EMPLOYEE VIJAY KUMAR GUPTA	126
25.	CONSUMER AWARENESS TOWARDS MOBILE - BANKING AMONG WORKING PROFESSIONALS  RAJAN GIRDHAR & NIDHI BHARDWAJ	134
	REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK	140

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

### CHIEF PATRON

#### PROF. K. K. AGGARWAL

Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Delhi
Founder Vice-Chancellor, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi
Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

### PATRON

#### SH. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Ex. State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

### CO-ORDINATOR

#### **MOHITA**

Faculty, Yamuna Institute of Engineering & Technology, Village Gadholi, P. O. Gadhola, Yamunanagar

### ADVISORS

PROF. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Director A. C. D., School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

PROF. S. L. MAHANDRU

Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

### **EDITOR**

PROF. R. K. SHARMA

Dean (Academics), Tecnia Institute of Advanced Studies, Delhi

### CO-EDITOR

#### **MOHITA**

Faculty, Yamuna Institute of Engineering & Technology, Village Gadholi, P. O. Gadhola, Yamunanagar

### EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

DR. AMBIKA ZUTSHI

Faculty, School of Management & Marketing, Deakin University, Australia

DR. VIVEK NATRAJAN

Faculty, Lomar University, U.S.A.

DR. RAJESH MODI

Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

**PROF. PARVEEN KUMAR** 

Director, M.C.A., Meerut Institute of Engineering & Technology, Meerut, U. P.

PROF. H. R. SHARMA

Director, Chhatarpati Shivaji Institute of Technology, Durg, C.G.

PROF. MANOHAR LAL

Director & Chairman, School of Information & Computer Sciences, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

**PROF. ANIL K. SAINI** 

Chairperson (CRC), Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

PROF. R. K. CHOUDHARY

Director, Asia Pacific Institute of Information Technology, Panipat

**DR. ASHWANI KUSH** 

Head, Computer Science, University College, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra

**DR. BHARAT BHUSHAN** 

Head, Department of Computer Science & Applications, Guru Nanak Khalsa College, Yamunanagar

#### DR. VIJAYPAL SINGH DHAKA

Head, Department of Computer Applications, Institute of Management Studies, Noida, U.P.

#### **DR. SAMBHAVNA**

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

#### **DR. MOHINDER CHAND**

Associate Professor, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra

#### DR. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, P. J. L. N. Government College, Faridabad

#### **DR. SAMBHAV GARG**

Faculty, M. M. Institute of Management, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana

#### **DR. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE**

Asst. Professor, Government F. G. College Chitguppa, Bidar, Karnataka

**DR. BHAVET** 

Faculty, M. M. Institute of Management, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana

### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

#### **PROF. ABHAY BANSAL**

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity University, Noida

#### PROF. NAWAB ALI KHAN

Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

#### **DR. ASHOK KUMAR**

Head, Department of Electronics, D. A. V. College (Lahore), Ambala City

#### **ASHISH CHOPRA**

Sr. Lecturer, Doon Valley Institute of Engineering & Technology, Karnal

#### **SAKET BHARDWAJ**

Lecturer, Haryana Engineering College, Jagadhri

### TECHNICAL ADVISORS

#### **AMITA**

Faculty, E.C.C., Safidon, Jind

#### **MOHITA**

Faculty, Yamuna Institute of Engineering & Technology, Village Gadholi, P. O. Gadhola, Yamunanagar

### FINANCIAL ADVISORS

#### **DICKIN GOYAL**

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

#### **NEENA**

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

### LEGAL ADVISORS

**JITENDER S. CHAHAL** 

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

**CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA** 

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

### SUPERINTENDENT

**SURENDER KUMAR POONIA** 

### **CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS**

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to recent developments & practices in the area of Computer, Business, Finance, Marketing, Human Resource Management, General Management, Banking, Insurance, Corporate Governance and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting Education; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Monetary Policy; Portfolio & Security Analysis; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Business Education; Business Information Systems (MIS); Business Law, Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labor Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; Public Administration; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism, Hospitality & Leisure; Transportation/Physical Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Digital Logic; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Multimedia; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic; Web Design. The above mentioned tracks are only indicative, and not exhaustive.

Anybody can submit the soft copy of his/her manuscript **anytime** in M.S. Word format after preparing the same as per our submission guidelines duly available on our website under the heading guidelines for submission, at the email addresses, <a href="mailto:info@ijrcm@gmail.com">info@ijrcm.org.in</a>.

### GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT

COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:	
	DATED:
THE EDITOR	
IJRCM	
Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF	
(e.g. Computer/IT/Finance/Marketing/HRM/General	al Management/other, please specify).
DEAR SIR/MADAM	11
Please find my submission of manuscript titled '	
I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore it has nor is it under review for publication anywhere.	s neither been published elsewhere in any language fully or partly,
I affirm that all author (s) have seen and agreed to the submitted version of the mai	nuscript and their inclusion of name (s) as co-author (s).
Also, if our/my manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the formalities contribution to any of your journals.	s as given on the website of journal & you are free to publish our
NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:	
Designation:	
Affiliation with full address & Pin Code:	
Residential address with Pin Code:	

Mobile Number (s):

Landline Number (s):

E-mail Address:

Alternate E-mail Address:

- 2. **INTRODUCTION**: Manuscript must be in British English prepared on a standard A4 size paper setting. It must be prepared on a single space and single column with 1" margin set for top, bottom, left and right. It should be typed in 8 point Calibri Font with page numbers at the bottom and centre of the every page.
- 3. MANUSCRIPT TITLE: The title of the paper should be in a 12 point Calibri Font. It should be bold typed, centered and fully capitalised.
- 4. **AUTHOR NAME(S) & AFFILIATIONS**: The author (s) full name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline numbers, and email/alternate email address should be in italic & 11-point Calibri Font. It must be centered underneath the title.
- 5. **ABSTRACT:** Abstract should be in fully italicized text, not exceeding 250 words. The abstract must be informative and explain the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a single para.
- 6. **KEYWORDS**: Abstract must be followed by list of keywords, subject to the maximum of five. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stops at the end.
- 7. **HEADINGS**: All the headings should be in a 10 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
- 8. **SUB-HEADINGS**: All the sub-headings should be in a 8 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
- 9. MAIN TEXT: The main text should be in a 8 point Calibri Font, single spaced and justified.
- 10. **FIGURES &TABLES:** These should be simple, centered, separately numbered & self explained, and titles must be above the tables/figures. Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure. It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text.
- 11. **EQUATIONS:** These should be consecutively numbered in parentheses, horizontally centered with equation number placed at the right.
- 12. **REFERENCES**: The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. It must be single spaced, and at the end of the manuscript. The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript and they are supposed to follow **Harvard Style of Referencing**. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per following:
- All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
- Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
- When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc, in chronologically ascending order.
- Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
- The title of books and journals should be in italics. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
- For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parentheses.
- The location of endnotes within the text should be indicated by superscript numbers.

#### PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:

#### воокѕ

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio," Ohio State University.

#### CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

#### JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

• Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

#### **CONFERENCE PAPERS**

 Garg Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–22 June.

#### UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS AND THESES

Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

#### **ONLINE RESOURCES**

Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

#### WEBSITE

Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Natural Gas Policy, Economic and Political Weekly, Viewed on July 05, 2011 http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp

## AN EMPIRICAL EVALUATION OF INVESTORS INCLINATION ON ULIP INSURANCE PRODUCTS WITH REFERENCE TO DELHI CITY

R. SERANMADEVI
ASST. PROFESSOR & RESEARCH SCHOLAR
KSR SCHOOL OF MANAGEMENT
KSR COLLEGE OF TECHNOLOGY
TIRUCHENGODE

DR. M. G. SARAVANARAJ
PROFESSOR & HEAD
DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT STUDIES,
MUTHAYAMMAL ENGINEERING COLLEGE
RASIPURAM

DR. M. LATHA NATARAJAN
PROFESSOR & HEAD
DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT STUDIES
VIVEKANANDHA COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
TIRUCHENGODE

#### **ABSTRACT**

This project is a study on the insurance product pattern and consumers preference for ULIP life insurance products with reference to Delhi city /N C R. The main objective of this study is to find out the insurance product patterns and to find out how much the consumers in Delhi city prefer for ULIP life insurance. The research design used in this survey is interview method and descriptive type from all the segments of the people. Questionnaire method was used for the data collection. The data collected was analyzed by using simple percentage analysis, weighted average method, ranking method, analysis of variance, chi-square, F-test and correlation. Based on these analyses, findings are made and it is found out that most of the customers are satisfied with ULIP and enjoys an excellent perception of brand value.

#### **KEYWARDS**

Insurance Policies, Investors, Awareness, ANOVA, Chi-Square, Weighted Average

#### INTRODUCTION TO LIFE INSURANCE

ife insurance or life assurance is a contract between the policy owners and the insurer, where the insurer agrees to pay a sum of money upon the occurrence of the insured individual's or individuals' death or when the policy matures. In return the policy owner agrees to pay a stipulated amount called a premium at regular intervals or in lump sum. To be a life policy the insured event must be based upon the life of the people named in the policy. Life policies are legal contracts and the terms of the contract describe the limitations of the insured events. Life insurance based contracts tend to fall into two major categories.

Protection Policies - It is designed to provide a benefit in the case of a specified event, typically a lump sum payment. A common form of this design is term incurance

Investment Policies - The main objective of investment policy is to facilitate the growth of capital by regular or single premiums

#### **OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY**

- o To find out the consumer awareness and preferences for ULIP life insurance products.
- o To know the extent of amount the investor like to invest and on what basis they select the ULIP life insurance products.
- o To find out the consumer's preferences towards competitor's life insurance products.

#### **RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

This study is mainly based on Descriptive research. The sample size taken for the research is 200. The sample of 10 respondents was selected and the questionnaire was pre-tested and necessary modifications were made by the researcher. Structured questionnaire was prepared for the purpose of collection of data. The secondary data for the study has been extracted from textbooks, reports and magazines and through websites. Statistical tools were used to find the inference between the variables and analyzing the results.

#### LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

- The sample sizes are restricted only 200 customers, due to the time constraints.
- The respondents' responses were not representative in nature.
- Since the study was restricted to only one place, only limited information was obtained.
- The sampling method used for the study has its own limitations like being biased or unsatisfactory.

#### **INSURANCE INDUSTRY PROFILE**

The life insurance industry has become a major job spinner. Since the time the sector opened up in 2000, there are 16 players in the business now including the state owned Life Insurance Corporation (LIC). All of them put together employ over 200,000 people. According to the Life Insurance Council, from approximately 4 lakh agents in 1999-2000 (before liberalization of the sector), today these companies employ more than 16 lakh agents - representing a growth of 300%. All life insurance companies in India have to comply with the strict regulations laid out by Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India (IRDA). Therefore there is no risk in going in for private insurance players.

#### PERCENTAGE ANALYSIS

TABLE 1 - SIMPLE PERCENTAGE ANALYSIS

S.No	Options	Respondents' size	(%)	S.No	Options	Respondents' size	(%)	
Age				Occupation				
1.	18-20	15	7	1.	Business	65	32	
2.	20-30	35	18	2.	Retired	35	18	
3.	30-40	40	20	3.	Employee	60	30	
4.	40-50	60	30	4.	Professional	40	20	
5.	Above 50	50	25	Month	ly Income			
Gende	r			1.	Below 20,000	15	7	
1.	Male	120	60	2.	20,000 - 30,000	30	15	
2.	Female	80	40	3.	30,000 - 40,000	35	18	
Marita	l Status			4.	40,000 - 50,000	50	25	
1.	Single	110	55	5.	Above 50,000	70	35	
2.	Married	90	45	Numb	er of Dependents			
Educat	ional Qualificatio	n		1.	1-2	60	30	
1.	+2	20	10	2.	2-4	110	55	
2.	Graduate	60	30	3.	4-6	30	15	
3.	Post graduate	80	40	Recommendation to Friends				
4.	Professional	40	20	1.	Yes	160	80	
SOURC	E: Primary Data C	ollection		2.	No	40	20	

From the simple percentage analysis table it is inferred that half of the respondents belongs to the age group of 30-40 & 40-50. Gender and Marital status difference proportion exist most likely 3:2. Majority of the respondents are graduates. Respondents' occupation shows that less people belongs to retired category. Opinion is collected from respondents belongs to various monthly income group level. In majority of the respondents' home, the number of dependents size is limited1-2 to 2-4. Most of the respondents are ready to recommend to their friends.

#### WEIGHTED AVERAGE

**TABLE 2 – WEIGHTED AVERAGE ANALYSIS** 

S. No	Options	No of respondents	Weighted average	Rank
Investo	ors Preference For Various Investment Av	renues		
1.	Shares	40	160	2
2.	Mutual funds	60	180	1
3.	Bank deposits	48	96	3
4.	Insurance policies	52	52	4
Investo	ors Preference for Various Insurance Com	panies		
1.	ICICI	74	296	1
2.	HDFC	36	108	2
3.	Bajaj	22	44	4
4.	ULIP	68	68	3
Source	of Awareness about ULIP Life Insurance	Products		
1.	Agents	38	152	2
2.	Advertisement	84	252	1
3.	Tele callers	58	116	3
4.	Friends	20	20	4
Prefere	ence for Future Investments in Various Ul	LIP Life Insurance Plans		
1.	Risks	38	152	1
2.	Savings	44	132	3
3.	Unit linked	68	136	2
4.	Pensions	50	50	4
Prefere	ence for ULIP Life Insurance Plans			
1.	Risk coverage	82	328	1
2.	Rider benefits	28	84	3
3.	Flexibility	44	88	2
4.	Maturity benefits	46	46	4
Prefere	ence for Competitors Products			
1.	Unit gain plus gold (Bajaj)	24	96	2
2.	Life time plus (ICICI)	90	270	1
3.	Unit linked endowment plus (HDFC)	30	60	3
4.	Automatic investment plan(RELIANCE)	56	56	4
	Automatic investment plan(RELIANCE) ence for ULIP Whole Life Plan	56	56	4
Prefere	ence for ULIP Whole Life Plan			
Prefere	ence for ULIP Whole Life Plan  Maturity benefits	62	248	1
Preference 1. 2.	ence for ULIP Whole Life Plan  Maturity benefits  Life cover benefits	62 56	248 168	1 2
1. 2. 3.	ence for ULIP Whole Life Plan  Maturity benefits  Life cover benefits  Rider benefits	62 56 38	248 168 76	1 2 3
1. 2. 3. 4.	Maturity benefits Life cover benefits Rider benefits Choices to extend	62 56 38 44	248 168	1 2
1. 2. 3. 4. Respon	ence for ULIP Whole Life Plan  Maturity benefits Life cover benefits Rider benefits Choices to extend Indents Selection of Group Leave Encashm	62 56 38 44 eent Fund Options	248 168 76 44	1 2 3 4
1. 2. 3. 4. Respor	Maturity benefits Life cover benefits Rider benefits Choices to extend Idents Selection of Group Leave Encashm	62 56 38 44 Lent Fund Options 86	248 168 76 44	1 2 3 4
1. 2. 3. 4. Resport 1. 2.	Maturity benefits Life cover benefits Rider benefits Choices to extend Money market fund GILT fund	62 56 38 44 Lent Fund Options 86 22	248 168 76 44 344 66	1 2 3 4
1. 2. 3. 4. Respor 1. 2. 3.	Maturity benefits Life cover benefits Rider benefits Choices to extend Money market fund GILT fund Corporate bond fund	62 56 38 44 eent Fund Options 86 22 40	248 168 76 44 344 66 80	1 2 3 4
1. 2. 3. 4. Respor 1. 2. 3. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	Maturity benefits Life cover benefits Rider benefits Choices to extend Money market fund GILT fund Corporate bond fund Equity fund	62 56 38 44 <b>eent Fund Options</b> 86 22 40 52	248 168 76 44 344 66	1 2 3 4
1. 2. 3. 4. Respor 1. 2. 3. 4. Respor 4. Respor 4. Respor 4. Respor 5. 4. Respor 6. Re	Maturity benefits Life cover benefits Rider benefits Choices to extend Money market fund GILT fund Corporate bond fund Equity fund ndents Selection of ULIP Connect 2 Life Pl	62 56 38 44 <b>eent Fund Options</b> 86 22 40 52 an	248 168 76 44 344 66 80 52	1 2 3 4
1. 2. 3. 4. Respor 1. 4. Respor 1. 1. 2. 3. 4. Respor 1. 1. 4. Respor 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	Maturity benefits Life cover benefits Rider benefits Choices to extend Money market fund GILT fund Corporate bond fund Equity fund Indents Selection of ULIP Connect 2 Life Pl	62 56 38 44 eent Fund Options 86 22 40 52 an	248 168 76 44 344 66 80 52	1 2 3 4 1 3 2 4
1. 2. 3. 4. Respor 1. 2. 4. Respor 1. 2. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	Maturity benefits Life cover benefits Rider benefits Choices to extend Money market fund GILT fund Corporate bond fund Equity fund dents Selection of ULIP Connect 2 Life Pl No medicals No hassles	62 56 38 44 44 eent Fund Options 86 22 40 52 an	248 168 76 44 344 66 80 52	1 2 3 4 1 3 2 4
1. 2. 3. 4. Respor 1. 2. 3. 4. Respor 1. 2. 3. 4. Respor 1. 2. 3.	Maturity benefits Life cover benefits Rider benefits Choices to extend Money market fund GILT fund Corporate bond fund Equity fund meents Selection of ULIP Connect 2 Life PI No medicals No hassles High sum rebate	62 56 38 44 <b>Lent Fund Options</b> 86 22 40 52 <b>an</b> 32 42 46	248 168 76 44 344 66 80 52 128 126 92	1 2 3 4 1 3 2 4
1. 2. 3. 4. Respor 1. 2. 3. 4. Respor 1. 2. 3. 4. Respor 1. 2. 3. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	Maturity benefits Life cover benefits Rider benefits Choices to extend Indents Selection of Group Leave Encashm Money market fund GILT fund Corporate bond fund Equity fund Indents Selection of ULIP Connect 2 Life PI No medicals No hassles High sum rebate Loan against policy	62 56 38 44 <b>Lent Fund Options</b> 86 22 40 52 <b>an</b> 32 42 46 10	248 168 76 44 344 66 80 52	1 2 3 4 1 3 2 4
1. 2. 3. 4. Respor 1. 2. 3. 4. Respor 1. 2. 3. 4. Respor 1. 2. 3. 4. Most A. Most A.	Maturity benefits Life cover benefits Rider benefits Choices to extend Idents Selection of Group Leave Encashm Money market fund GILT fund Corporate bond fund Equity fund Idents Selection of ULIP Connect 2 Life PI No medicals No hassles High sum rebate Loan against policy	62 56 38 44 <b>lent Fund Options</b> 86 22 40 52 <b>an</b> 32 42 46 10	248 168 76 44 344 66 80 52 128 126 92 10	1 2 3 4 1 3 2 4
1. 2. 3. 4. Respor 1. 2. 3. 4. Most A 1.	Maturity benefits Life cover benefits Rider benefits Choices to extend Money market fund GILT fund Corporate bond fund Equity fund No medicals No hassles High sum rebate Loan against policy Interctive Feature of ULIP Golden Years Pilexibility	62   56   38   44   lent Fund Options   86   22   40   52   an   32   42   46   10   lan	248 168 76 44 344 66 80 52 128 126 92 10	1 2 3 4 1 3 2 4
1. 2. 3. 4. Respor 1. 2. 3. 4. Respor 1. 2. 3. 4. Respor 1. 2. 3. 4. Most A. Most A.	Maturity benefits Life cover benefits Rider benefits Choices to extend Idents Selection of Group Leave Encashm Money market fund GILT fund Corporate bond fund Equity fund Idents Selection of ULIP Connect 2 Life PI No medicals No hassles High sum rebate Loan against policy	62 56 38 44 <b>lent Fund Options</b> 86 22 40 52 <b>an</b> 32 42 46 10	248 168 76 44 344 66 80 52 128 126 92 10	1 2 3 4 1 3 2 4

**SOURCE:** Primary Data Collection

From the weighted average analysis table it is inferred that, the respondents' first preference in the investment avenues is mutual funds. ICICI life insurance holds the first place among the selected private insurance companies. The respondents came to know the ULIP life insurance Plans mainly through the Advertisements. While determining the future investment much importance given to risk coverage. Among the competitors policy ICICI life insurance plans stands at first. In ULIP Whole Life Insurance Plan selection Maturity benefits criteria holds the first place. Money market fund reserves the first place in the selection of Group Leave encashment fund option. Respondents' preference in the connct2 life insurance plan is no medical scheme. Switching of funds features is considered to be most attractive feature of ULIP Golden Years Plan.

#### **RANKING METHOD**

**TABLE 3 - RANKING ANALYSIS** 

Level of investment in ULIP life Insurance         1.       10,000-50,000       24       4         2.       50,000 – 1,00,000       68       1         3.       1,00,000 – 2,00,000       64       2         4.       2,00,000 – 3,00,000       44       3         Expected Rate of Return from ULIP Life Insurance         1.       10-15%       68       1         2.       15-20%       34       4         3.       20-25%       56       3         4.       25-30%       62       2         Expected Rate of Return From ULIP         1.       10-15%       84       1         2.       15-20%       32       3         3.       20-25%       22       4         4.       25-30%       60       2         Selection of Group Leave Encashment Plan         1.       Flexible design       88       1         2.       Switching benefits       74       2         3.       Discontinuance       38       3         Selection of ULIP Endowment Plans         1.       Survival benefits       78       1	S.No	Options	No of respondents	Rank		
2.       50,000 – 1,00,000       68       1         3.       1,00,000 – 2,00,000       64       2         4.       2,00,000 – 3,00,000       44       3         Expected Rate of Return from ULIP Life Insurance         1.       10-15%       68       1         2.       15-20%       34       4         3.       20-25%       56       3         4.       25-30%       62       2         Expected Rate of Return From ULIP         1.       10-15%       84       1         2.       15-20%       32       3         3.       20-25%       22       4         4.       25-30%       60       2         Selection of Group Leave Encashment Plan         1.       Flexible design       88       1         2.       Switching benefits       74       2         3.       Discontinuance       38       3         Selection of ULIP Endowment Plans         1.       Survival benefits       78       1         2.       Maturity benefits       80       2	Level of investment in ULIP life Insurance					
3.       1,00,000 – 2,00,000       64       2         4.       2,00,000 – 3,00,000       44       3         Expected Rate of Return from ULIP Life Insurance         1.       10-15%       68       1         2.       15-20%       34       4         3.       20-25%       56       3         4.       25-30%       62       2         Expected Rate of Return From ULIP         1.       10-15%       84       1         2.       15-20%       32       3         3.       20-25%       22       4         4.       25-30%       60       2         Selection of Group Leave Encashment Plan         1.       Flexible design       88       1         2.       Switching benefits       74       2         3.       Discontinuance       38       3         Selection of ULIP Endowment Plans         1.       Survival benefits       78       1         2.       Maturity benefits       80       2	1.	10,000-50,000	24	4		
4.       2,00,000 – 3,00,000       44       3         Expected Rate of Return from ULIP Life Insurance         1.       10-15%       68       1         2.       15-20%       34       4         3.       20-25%       56       3         4.       25-30%       62       2         Expected Rate of Return From ULIP         1.       10-15%       84       1         2.       15-20%       32       3         3.       20-25%       22       4         4.       25-30%       60       2         Selection of Group Leave Encashment Plan         1.       Flexible design       88       1         2.       Switching benefits       74       2         3.       Discontinuance       38       3         Selection of ULIP Endowment Plans         1.       Survival benefits       78       1         2.       Maturity benefits       80       2	2.	50,000 - 1,00,000	68	1		
Expected Rate of Return from ULIP Life Insurance	3.	1,00,000 - 2,00,000	64	2		
1.     10-15%     68     1       2.     15-20%     34     4       3.     20-25%     56     3       4.     25-30%     62     2       Expected Rate of Return From ULIP       1.     10-15%     84     1       2.     15-20%     32     3       3.     20-25%     22     4       4.     25-30%     60     2       Selection of Group Leave Encashment Plan       1.     Flexible design     88     1       2.     Switching benefits     74     2       3.     Discontinuance     38     3       Selection of ULIP Endowment Plans       1.     Survival benefits     78     1       2.     Maturity benefits     80     2	4.	2,00,000 - 3,00,000	44	3		
2.       15-20%       34       4         3.       20-25%       56       3         4.       25-30%       62       2         Expected Rate of Return From ULIP         1.       10-15%       84       1         2.       15-20%       32       3         3.       20-25%       22       4         4.       25-30%       60       2         Selection of Group Leave Encashment Plan         1.       Flexible design       88       1         2.       Switching benefits       74       2         3.       Discontinuance       38       3         Selection of ULIP Endowment Plans         1.       Survival benefits       78       1         2.       Maturity benefits       80       2	Expect	ed Rate of Return fron	n ULIP Life Insurance			
3.       20-25%       56       3         4.       25-30%       62       2         Expected Rate of Return From ULIP         1.       10-15%       84       1         2.       15-20%       32       3         3.       20-25%       22       4         4.       25-30%       60       2         Selection of Group Leave Encashment Plan         1.       Flexible design       88       1         2.       Switching benefits       74       2         3.       Discontinuance       38       3         Selection of ULIP Endowment Plans         1.       Survival benefits       78       1         2.       Maturity benefits       80       2	1.	10-15%	68	1		
4.       25-30%       62       2         Expected Rate of Return From ULIP         1.       10-15%       84       1         2.       15-20%       32       3         3.       20-25%       22       4         4.       25-30%       60       2         Selection of Group Leave Encashment Plan         1.       Flexible design       88       1         2.       Switching benefits       74       2         3.       Discontinuance       38       3         Selection of ULIP Endowment Plans         1.       Survival benefits       78       1         2.       Maturity benefits       80       2	2.	15-20%	34	4		
Expected Rate of Return From ULIP	3.	20-25%	56	3		
1.     10-15%     84     1       2.     15-20%     32     3       3.     20-25%     22     4       4.     25-30%     60     2       Selection of Group Leave Encashment Plan       1.     Flexible design     88     1       2.     Switching benefits     74     2       3.     Discontinuance     38     3       Selection of ULIP Endowment Plans       1.     Survival benefits     78     1       2.     Maturity benefits     80     2	4.	25-30%	62	2		
2.       15-20%       32       3         3.       20-25%       22       4         4.       25-30%       60       2         Selection of Group Leave Encashment Plan         1.       Flexible design       88       1         2.       Switching benefits       74       2         3.       Discontinuance       38       3         Selection of ULIP Endowment Plans         1.       Survival benefits       78       1         2.       Maturity benefits       80       2	Expect	ed Rate of Return Fron	n ULIP			
3.     20-25%     22     4       4.     25-30%     60     2       Selection of Group Leave Encashment Plan       1.     Flexible design     88     1       2.     Switching benefits     74     2       3.     Discontinuance     38     3       Selection of ULIP Endowment Plans       1.     Survival benefits     78     1       2.     Maturity benefits     80     2	1.	10-15%	84	1		
4.       25-30%       60       2         Selection of Group Leave Encashment Plan         1.       Flexible design       88       1         2.       Switching benefits       74       2         3.       Discontinuance       38       3         Selection of ULIP Endowment Plans         1.       Survival benefits       78       1         2.       Maturity benefits       80       2	2.	15-20%	32	3		
Selection of Group Leave Encashment Plan           1.         Flexible design         88         1           2.         Switching benefits         74         2           3.         Discontinuance         38         3           Selection of ULIP Endowment Plans           1.         Survival benefits         78         1           2.         Maturity benefits         80         2	3.	20-25%	22	4		
1.       Flexible design       88       1         2.       Switching benefits       74       2         3.       Discontinuance       38       3         Selection of ULIP Endowment Plans         1.       Survival benefits       78       1         2.       Maturity benefits       80       2	4.	25-30%	60	2		
2.       Switching benefits       74       2         3.       Discontinuance       38       3         Selection of ULIP Endowment Plans         1.       Survival benefits       78       1         2.       Maturity benefits       80       2	Selecti	on of Group Leave End	ashment Plan			
3.         Discontinuance         38         3           Selection of ULIP Endowment Plans           1.         Survival benefits         78         1           2.         Maturity benefits         80         2	1.	Flexible design	88	1		
Selection of ULIP Endowment Plans  1. Survival benefits 78 1  2. Maturity benefits 80 2	2.	Switching benefits	74	2		
1.         Survival benefits         78         1           2.         Maturity benefits         80         2	3.	Discontinuance	38	3		
2. Maturity benefits 80 2	Selection of ULIP Endowment Plans					
	1.	Survival benefits	78	1		
3. Life cover benefits 42 3	2.	Maturity benefits	80	2		
	3.	Life cover benefits	42	3		

Source: Primary Data Collection

From the ranking analysis it is inferred that respondent's preferable choice on the level of investment in ULIP life Insurance Policy is 50,000-1,00,000. Most of the respondents expected rate of return in ULIP Life Insurance and ULIP is 10-15%, it indicates that less risk level preference. Flexible design policy stands at first in the selection of group leave encashment plan and likely survival benefits holds the first rank in the selection of ULIP Endowment plan.

#### **ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE**

#### SELECTION OF ULIP LIFE INSURANCE BASED ON THE AMOUNT OF INVESTMENT

H<sub>0</sub>: There is no significant relationship between the amount they would like to invest and the basis they select ULIP life insurance products.

TABLE 4 - ANOVA

TABLE 4 - ANOVA							
Amount of investment Selection of ULIP Life Insurance	Number of	Number of respondents					
	Risk cover	Rider benefits	Flexibility	Maturity benefits			
10000-50000	9	4	6	5	24		
50000-100000	28	9	15	16	68		
100000-200000	26	9	14	15	64		
200000-300000	19	6	9	10	44		
TOTAL	82	28	44	46	200		
Source of variation	SS	DOF	Variance	F ratio	TV@1%		
Between columns	390	4-1=3	390/3=130	F=130/6.89=18.87	F(3,9)		
Between rows	308	4-1=3	308/3=102.67	F=102.67/6.89=14.90	= 6.99		
Residual	62	3*3=9	62/9=6.89				

Source: Primary Data collection

From the analysis of variance table, it is inferred that calculated value is greater than the table value, therefore reject the null hypothesis .i.e. there is a significant relationship between the amount they would like to invest and the basis they select ULIP life insurance products.

#### SATISFACTORY LEVEL BASED ON THE OCCUPATION OF THE RESPONDENTS

H<sub>0</sub>: There is no significant relationship between the satisfactory level and the occupation of the respondents.

TABLE 5 - ANOVA

Occupation & Satisfaction Level	Numb	er of respon	dents			Total
A	HS	S	MOD	DS	HDS	
Business	20	26	7	7	5	65
Retired	10	14	5	4	2	35
Employee	18	24	7	6	5	60
Professional	12	16	5	3	4	40
Total	60	80	24	20	16	200
Source of variation	SS	DOF	Variance	F ratio	TV @1%	Result
Between columns	808	5-1=3	808/4=202	F= 202/12.8 =15.78	F(4,15) = 4.89	CV>TV Reject H0
Within column	192	20-5=15	192/15=12.8			
Total	1000	20-1=19				

Source: Primary Data Collection

From the analysis of variance table, it is inferred that calculated value is greater than table value; therefore reject the null hypothesis .i.e. there is a significant relationship between the satisfactory level and the occupation of the respondents

#### TAILOR MADE INVESTMENT PLANS IN ULIP MARKET RETURN PLAN BASED ON QUALIFICATION

H<sub>0</sub>: There is no significant relationship between the tailor made investment plans in ULIP market return plan and qualification.

#### TABLE 6 - ANOVA

TABLE O AROVA						
Educational Qualification & Tailor Made Investment Plan	Number of re	spondents			Total	
	Capital fund	Balance fund	Growth fund	Equity fund		
+2	9	27	4	3	20	
Graduate	27	12	12	9	60	
Post graduate	36	16	16	12	80	
Professional	18	8	8	6	40	
Total	90	40	40	30	200	
Source of variation	SS	DOF	MS	F ratio	TV @1%	
Between columns	550	4-1=3	550/3=183.33	183.33/50.83	F(3,12) = 5.95	
Within column	610	16-4=12	610/12=50.83	=3.60		
Total	1160	16-1=15				

Source: Primary Data Collection

From the analysis of variance table, it is inferred that calculated value is greater than the table value, hence reject the null hypothesis .i.e. there is a significant relationship between the education qualification and tailor made investment plans in ULIP market return plan.

#### **CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS**

- H<sub>0</sub>: There is no significant relationship between the gender and the recommendation of ULIP life insurance products to friends and relatives.
- H<sub>0</sub>: There is no significant relationship between the selections of group leave encashment on the basis of their educational qualification.
- H<sub>0</sub>: There is no significant relationship between the expected rate of return and occupation.

#### TABLE 7 - CHI-SQUARE ANALYSIS

Factor1	Factor2	CV	TV @5%	Criteria	Remarks
Gender	Recommendation to others	3.16	3.841	CV <tv< td=""><td>Not significant</td></tv<>	Not significant
<b>Educational Qualification</b>	Selection of Group Leave Encashment Plan	0.16	16.919	CV <tv< td=""><td>Not significant</td></tv<>	Not significant
Occupation	Expected Rate of Return	2.53	16.919	CV <tv< td=""><td>Not significant</td></tv<>	Not significant

Source: Primary Data Collection

From the chi-square table it is inferred that for all the factors taken for the study the calculated value is lesser than the table value. Therefore the null hypothesis gets accepted. Nevertheless of gender the respondents are ready to recommend the ULIP Life Insurance products to their friends and relatives. Education qualification is not the major influence on the selection of Group leave encashment plan. Expected rate of return is not varied due to the respondents' occupation.

#### F-TEST

r =

- H<sub>0</sub>: There is no significant relationship between the selection of ULIP health + wealth plan and monthly income.
- H<sub>0</sub>: There is no significant relationship between the investors' preference for various investment avenues and age group.
- H<sub>0</sub>: There is no significant relationship between the unique flexibility of ULIP golden years plan and occupation.

#### TABLE 8 - F-TEST

Factor1	Factor2	CV	TV	Criteria	Remarks
Monthly income	ULIP Health Plus Wealth Plan	$S2^2/S1^2 = 624/437.5 = 1.42$	6.59	CV <tv< td=""><td>Not significant</td></tv<>	Not significant
Age group	Preference for Investment Avenues	$S2^2/S1^2 = 287.5/202.6 = 1.41$	9.12	CV <tv< td=""><td>Not significant</td></tv<>	Not significant
Occupation	Selection of Golden Years Plan	$S2^2/S1^2 = 648/216.66 = 2.99$	9.28	CV <tv< td=""><td>Not significant</td></tv<>	Not significant

Source: Primary Data Collection

From the F test analysis it is inferred that calculated value is lesser than the table value for all the factors. Therefore accept the null hypothesis. Monthly Income is not making any influence in the selection of ULIP Health and Wealth Plan. Preference of investment avenues is not based on the age level of the respondents. Occupation is playing meager role in the selection of golden years plan.

#### COEFFICIENT OF CORRELATION

n (∑xy) - (∑x) (∑y)

#### $\sqrt{n\sum x^2 - (\sum x)^2} \sqrt{n\sum y^2 - (\sum y)^2}$

- $H_0$ : There is no positive correlation between the selection of insurance companies and the occupation of the respondents.
- $H_0$ : There is no positive correlation between the return from ULIP and the qualification of the respondents.
- $H_0$ : There is no positive correlation between the preference for ULIP endowment plan and the no of family members.

#### TABLE 9 – CALCULATION OF COEFFICIENT OF CORRELATION

Factor1	Factor2	r Value	r Type	Result
Selection of Insurance Companies	Occupation	0.027	Positive	Reject H0
Return from ULIP Policy	Qualification	-1.07	Negative	Accept H0
Preference for Endowment Plan	Number of Dependents	0.809	Positive	Reject H0

Source: Primary Data Collection

Karl Pearson's coefficient of correlation shows a very low degree of positive correlation between the selection of insurance companies and occupation. Therefore we reject the null hypothesis. Moreover it shows negative correlation between the return from ULIP and qualification. Therefore we accept the null hypothesis that qualification is not the major criteria which determines the return from ULIP policy. Very low degree of positive correlation exists between the preference for endowment plans and number of family members. Therefore we reject the null hypothesis and we conclude that number of family dependents is considered to be the very important factor while selecting the endowment plan.

#### **FINDINGS**

• From the simple percentage analysis table it is inferred that half of the respondents belongs to the age group of 30-40 & 40-50. Gender and Marital status difference proportion exist most likely 3:2. Majority of the respondents are graduates. Respondents' occupation shows that less people belongs to retired

- category. Opinion is collected from respondents belongs to various monthly income group level. In majority of the respondents' home, the number of dependents size is limited 1-2 to 2-4. Most of the respondents are ready to recommend to their friends.
- From the weighted average analysis table it is inferred that, the respondents' first preference in the investment avenues is mutual funds. ICICI life insurance holds the first place among the selected private insurance companies. The respondents came to know the ULIP life insurance Plans mainly through the Advertisements. While determining the future investment much importance given to risk coverage. Among the competitors policy ICICI life insurance plans stands at first. In ULIP Whole Life Insurance Plan selection Maturity benefits criteria holds the first place. Money market fund reserves the first place in the selection of Group Leave encashment fund option. Respondents' preference in the connct2 life insurance plan is no medical scheme. Switching of funds features is considered to be most attractive feature of ULIP Golden Years Plan.
- From the ranking analysis it is inferred that respondents preferable choice on the level of investment in ULIP life Insurance Policy is 50,000-1, 00,000. Most of the respondents expected rate of return in ULIP Life Insurance and ULIP is 10-15%, it indicates that less risk level preference. Flexible design policy stands at first in the selection of group leave encashment plan and likely survival benefits holds the first rank in the selection of ULIP Endowment plan.
- From the analysis of variance table, it is inferred that calculated value is greater than the table value, therefore reject the null hypothesis .i.e. there is a significant relationship between the amount they would like to invest and the basis they select ULIP life insurance products and there is a significant relationship between the satisfactory level and the occupation of the respondents. Moreover it is concluded that there is a significant relationship between the education qualification and tailor made investment plans in ULIP market return plan.
- From the chi-square table it is inferred that for all the factors taken for the study the calculated value is lesser than the table value. Therefore the null hypothesis gets accepted. Nevertheless of gender the respondents are ready to recommend the ULIP Life Insurance products to their friends and relatives. Education qualification is not the major influence on the selection of Group leave encashment plan. Expected rate of return is not varied due to the respondents' occupation.
- From the F test analysis it is inferred that calculated value is lesser than the table value for all the factors. Therefore accept the null hypothesis. Monthly Income is not making any influence in the selection of ULIP Health and Wealth Plan. Preference of investment avenues is not based on the age level of the respondents. Occupation is playing meager role in the selection of golden years plan.
- Karl Pearson's coefficient of correlation shows a very low degree of positive correlation between the selection of insurance companies and occupation. Therefore we reject the null hypothesis. Moreover it shows negative correlation between the return from ULIP and qualification. Therefore we accept the null hypothesis that qualification is not the major criteria which determines the return from ULIP policy. Very low degree of positive correlation exists between the preference for endowment plans and number of family members. Therefore we reject the null hypothesis and we conclude that number of family dependents is considered to be the very important factor while selecting the endowment plan.

#### **SUGGESTIONS**

- ULIP Life Insurance Company must concentrate more on the age group of below 30 categories. The company can mainly concentrate on the advertisement area as the main source of creating awareness to the people. The competitors for the ULIP Life Insurance are the reputed companies, so the competition can be overcome through by launching new products and new strategies like policy covering Female segments.
- The company can develop some plans to attract the retired group segment. Proper incentives and commission can be provided to the customers those who are recommend ULIP Life Insurance products to their friends and relatives circle.
- Least preference was given to insurance policies in the investment avenue. Company must take some initiative steps to make the insurance as the main source of Investment Avenue.
- Only a certain percentage of the respondents know about ULIP life insurance through friends and tele-calling. So it is necessary to improve and concentrate more on its tele-calling activities.

#### CONCLUSION

The study on ULIP life insurance is to find out the insurance product pattern and consumers preference towards ULIP life insurance products. The survey was conducted with the consumers, for getting a clear picture of the market towards different aspects of ULIP life insurance products. ULIP life insurance is a reputed brand and it faces severe competition from other life insurance companies. In order to face competition in a professional way, the company must change its strategies and make efforts to improve its position in the market. By adopting better advertisement, recruitment of skilled executives etc ULIP can achieve a very good position in the market. So with these views in mind, the company should go in future.

#### REFERENCES

- Donald R Cooper, and P S Schindler, "Business Research Methods", 9<sup>th</sup> Edition, Tata McGraw -Hill Publishing Company Ltd., 2007.
- Dr Bitner Ziethmal "Service Marketing" by Pearson Edu. Inc year 2004, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition
- Dr S.Shajahan, Research Methods for Management, Jaico publishing house, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition.
- Dr.P.Periasamy, "Principles & Practice of Insurance", 2nd edition, Himalaya
- Gupta S.P, Statistical methods, Sultan publications, 9 th Edition.
- Harpel W Boyd, Jr Ralph Westfall Stantely, Fetch, Marketing research, Richard Irwin Inc Publication, 7<sup>th</sup> E edition.
- Kothari C.R, Research Methodology, Wiley Eastern Publication,6<sup>th</sup> Edition
- Mishra.M.N. "Insurance Principles & Practice", S.Chand Publication, 2001.
- Murray.R.Spiegel, Statistics, Tata McGraw-Hill publication, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition.
- of India Pvt. Ltd, 1997
- Philip Kotler, Kevin Lane Keller, Abraham Koshy, and Mithileshwar Jha, "Marketing Management", 13<sup>th</sup> Edition, Pearson Education [South Asian Edition].
- Philip Kotler, Marketing Management, Prentice Hal of India Publication, 8<sup>th</sup> Edition. Publishing House, 2007
- Richard I.Levin and David S.Rubin Statistics for Management Prentice Hall
- Zikmund, "Business Research Methods", 7<sup>th</sup> Edition, Cengage Learning India Pvt. Ltd., 2007.

#### WEBSITES

- www.answer2u.com
- www.ULIPlifeinsurance.com
- www.insuranceinfo.com

# REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

#### **Dear Readers**

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Computer Application and Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue as well as on the journal as a whole, on our E-mails i.e. infoijrcm@gmail.com or info@ijrcm.org.in for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries please feel free to contact us on our E-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

#### **Academically yours**

Sd/-

Co-ordinator