INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMPUTER APPLICATION & MANAGEMENT



A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland with IC Value of 5.09 & number of libraries all around the world.

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than 4255 Cities in 176 countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

Ground Floor, Building No. 1041-C-1, Devi Bhawan Bazar, JAGADHRI – 135 003, Yamunanagar, Haryana, INDIA

CONTENTS

Sr. No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page No.
1.	RECOGNISING RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CUSTOMER SATISFACTION AND CUSTOMER LOYALTY: AN ILLUSTRATION FROM ORGANISED RETAIL SECTOR DR. R. R. CHAVAN & ANIL DONGRE	1
2.	PRODUCER GAS AS A VIABLE ENERGY SOURCE RAHUL BASU	4
3.	ENSET VALUE CHAIN ANALYSIS: THE CASE OF DIRE ENCHINI WOREDA, OROMIA REGIONAL STATE, ETHIOPIA ABEBE UMA & DR. J. PAUL MANSINGH	7
4.	ARCHITECTURAL REVIEW OF NEURAL NETWORK KULBIR KAUR & GAGANDEEP KAUR	15
5.	EXPERIENTIAL BRANDING IN WONDERLA (VEEGALAND) AMUSEMENT PARK, KOCHI: THE ENHANCING ROLE OF GROUP ORIENTATION OF VISITORS K.J. JAIMS & BELAGAVI BAKKAPPA	22
6.	CAREER GOAL AND CAREER PREPARATION AMONG THE UNDER GRADUATE STUDENTS: A STUDY ON SELECTED HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS AFFILIATED TO BHARATHIAR UNIVERSITY, COIMBATORE, TAMIL NADU DR. VIJAYALAKSHMI	
7.	CORPORATE RESTRUCTURING: A CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK SHAILAJA D.KELSHIKAR & DR. MANOJ SHAH	36
8.	FACTORS INFLUENCING CORE QUALITY MANAGEMENT PRACTICES (THE CASE OF SOME SELECTED COLLEGES OF ETHIOPIAN MINISTRY OF AGRICULTURE) DR. BREHANU BORJI AYALEW & ABEL DULA WEDAJO	40
9.	EXPLORING BUYING BEHAVIOUR OF URBAN CONSUMERS TOWARDS SHAMPOOS: EMPIRICAL EVIDENCES FROM INDIA S M FATAHUDDIN, MOHAMMED NAVED KHAN & AYESHA ANUM	58
10.	PRODUCT PLACEMENT IN MOVIES AND TV SERIES: CONCEPT, EXAMPLES AND BEST PRACTICES PRAMA VISHNOI & NAMITA PADHY	62
11.	A REVIEW PAPER ON MULTICULTURALISM IN WORKPLACE DR. POOJA DASGUPTA & KHUSHBU DUBEY	66
12.	A STUDY ON IMPACT OF SOCIAL NETWORKING SITES ON THE ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE OF UNDERGRADUATE STUDENTS WITH S.R.F TO BANGALORE CITY JONITA PREETHI SEQUEIRA	69
13.	EXPORT GROWTH AND PROSPECT OF FLORICULTURE IN INDIA: GLOBAL SCENARIO R. SENTHILKUMAR	74
14.	RECOGNITION: AN EMPLOYEE RETENTION TOOL RASHMI BADJATYA	78
15.	IMPLEMENTATION OF INTERNET OF THINGS IN RURAL SENSITIVE AREA OF CHHATTISGARH DR. ASHIM RANJAN SARKAR	81
16.	WOMEN EMPOWERMENT IN MADURAI CITY DR. S.C.B. SAMUEL ANBU SELVAN & V.SUGANYA	85
17.	INDIAN CIVIL AVIATION INDUSTRY: OPPORTUNITIES AND CHALLENGES JAYA G. PRABHU PARRIKAR	88
18.	ROLE OF PUNE MUNICIPAL CORPORATION IN SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT OF SLUMS SHEETAL RANDHIR	90
19.	SALES PROMOTION STRATEGY: A STIMULATING FACTOR FOR THE CONSUMERS TOWARDS THE ORGANIZED RETAIL SECTOR IN BILASPUR PRATIBHA RAI & DR. (MRS.) B.B. PANDEY	94
20.	EFFECT OF STEREOTYPE ON EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES FOR PEOPLE LIVING WITH DISABILITIES IN SELECTED UNIVERSITIES IN KENYA JOHN WEKESA WANJALA, DR. SUSAN WERE & DR. WILLY MUTURI	99
	REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK & DISCLAIMER	104

CHIEF PATRON

PROF. K. K. AGGARWAL

Chairman, Malaviya National Institute of Technology, Jaipur
(An institute of National Importance & fully funded by Ministry of Human Resource Development, Government of India)
Chancellor, K. R. Mangalam University, Gurgaon
Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Faridabad
Founder Vice-Chancellor (1998-2008), Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi
Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

FOUNDER PATRON

LATE SH. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Former State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana Former Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri Former President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

FORMER CO-ORDINATOR

DR. S. GARG

Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Business & Management, Urjani

ADVISORS

PROF. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Director A. C. D., School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

PROF. S. L. MAHANDRU

Principal (Retd.), MaharajaAgrasenCollege, Jagadhri

EDITOR

PROF. R. K. SHARMA

Professor, Bharti Vidyapeeth University Institute of Management & Research, New Delhi

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

DR. RAJESH MODI

Faculty, YanbuIndustrialCollege, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

PROF. PARVEEN KUMAR

Director, M.C.A., Meerut Institute of Engineering & Technology, Meerut, U. P.

PROF. H. R. SHARMA

Director, Chhatarpati Shivaji Institute of Technology, Durg, C.G.

PROF. MANOHAR LAL

Director & Chairman, School of Information & Computer Sciences, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

PROF. ANIL K. SAINI

Chairperson (CRC), GuruGobindSinghl. P. University, Delhi

PROF. R. K. CHOUDHARY

Director, Asia Pacific Institute of Information Technology, Panipat

DR. ASHWANI KUSH

Head, Computer Science, UniversityCollege, KurukshetraUniversity, Kurukshetra

DR. BHARAT BHUSHAN

Head, Department of Computer Science & Applications, GuruNanakKhalsaCollege, Yamunanagar

DR. VIJAYPAL SINGH DHAKA

Dean (Academics), Rajasthan Institute of Engineering & Technology, Jaipur

DR. SAMBHAVNA

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

DR. MOHINDER CHAND

Associate Professor, KurukshetraUniversity, Kurukshetra

DR. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, P.J.L.N.GovernmentCollege, Faridabad

DR. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE

Asst. Professor, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

DR. BHAVET

Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Engineering & Technology, Urjani

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

PROF. ABHAY BANSAL

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity University, Noida

PROF. NAWAB ALI KHAN

Department of Commerce, AligarhMuslimUniversity, Aligarh, U.P.

ASHISH CHOPRA

Sr. Lecturer, Doon Valley Institute of Engineering & Technology, Karnal

FORMER TECHNICAL ADVISOR

AMITA

Faculty, Government M. S., Mohali

FINANCIAL ADVISORS

DICKIN GOYAL

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

NEENA

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

LEGAL ADVISORS

JITENDER S. CHAHAL

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

<u>SUPERINTENDENT</u>

SURENDER KUMAR POONIA

1.

Nationality

CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to recent developments & practices in the areas of Computer Science & Applications; Commerce; Business; Finance; Marketing; Human Resource Management; General Management; Banking; Economics; Tourism Administration & Management; Education; Law; Library & Information Science; Defence & Strategic Studies; Electronic Science; Corporate Governance; Industrial Relations; and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Rural Economics; Co-operation; Demography: Development Planning; Development Studies; Applied Economics; Development Economics; Business Economics; Monetary Policy; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Political Science; Continuing Education; Labour Welfare; Philosophy; Psychology; Sociology; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law; Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labour Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; International Relations; Human Rights & Duties; Public Administration; Population Studies; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism & Hospitality; Transportation Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic; Web Design and emerging paradigms in allied subjects.

Anybody can submit the soft copy of unpublished novel; original; empirical and high quality research work/manuscript anytime in M.S. Word format after preparing the same as per our GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION; at our email address i.e. infoijrcm@gmail.com or online by clicking the link online submission as given on our website (FOR ONLINE SUBMISSION, CLICK HERE).

GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION (<u>DF MANUSCRIPT</u>
COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:	
	DATED:
THE EDITOR	
IJRCM	
Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF	
(e.g. Finance/Mkt./HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/specify)	/IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, please
<u>specity</u>)	
DEAR SIR/MADAM	
Please find my submission of manuscript entitled '	
one of your journals.	
I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Further	rmore, it has neither been published elsewhere in any
language fully or partly, nor is it under review for publication elsewhere.	
I affirm that all the co-authors of this manuscript have seen the submitted	ed version of the manuscript and have agreed to their
inclusion of names as co-authors.	
Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I agree to comply with the formalitied discretion to publish our contribution in any of its journals.	es as given on the website of the journal. The Journal has
discretion to publish our contribution in any of its journals.	
NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR	-1 -1 -1 -1
Designation	- F F
Institution/College/University with full address & Pin Code	1
Residential address with Pin Code	
Mobile Number (s) with country ISD code	:
Is WhatsApp or Viber active on your above noted Mobile Number (Yes/No)	:
Landline Number (s) with country ISD code	:
E-mail Address	:
Alternate E-mail Address	:

NOTES:

- a) The whole manuscript has to be in **ONE MS WORD FILE** only, which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript. <u>pdf. version</u> is liable to be rejected without any consideration.
- b) The sender is required to mention the following in the **SUBJECT COLUMN of the mail**:
 - **New Manuscript for Review in the area of** (e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, please specify)
- c) There is no need to give any text in the body of mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any **specific message** w.r.t. to the manuscript.
- d) The total size of the file containing the manuscript is expected to be below 1000 KB.
- e) Abstract alone will not be considered for review and the author is required to submit the complete manuscript in the first instance.
- f) The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email within twenty four hours and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of manuscript, within two days of submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending a separate mail to the journal.
- g) The author (s) name or details should not appear anywhere on the body of the manuscript, except the covering letter and the cover page of the manuscript, in the manner as mentioned in the guidelines.
- 2. MANUSCRIPT TITLE: The title of the paper should be **bold typed**, **centered** and **fully capitalised**.
- 3. AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS: Author (s) name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline number (s), and email/alternate email address should be given underneath the title.
- 4. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS: Acknowledgements can be given to reviewers, guides, funding institutions, etc., if any.
- 5. **ABSTRACT**: Abstract should be in **fully italicized text**, ranging between **150** to **300 words**. The abstract must be informative and explain the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a **SINGLE PARA**. **Abbreviations must be mentioned in full**.
- 6. **KEYWORDS**: Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of **five**. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stop at the end. All words of the keywords, including the first one should be in small letters, except special words e.g. name of the Countries, abbreviations.
- 7. **JEL CODE**: Provide the appropriate Journal of Economic Literature Classification System code (s). JEL codes are available at www.aeaweb.org/econlit/jelCodes.php, however, mentioning JEL Code is not mandatory.
- 8. **MANUSCRIPT**: Manuscript must be in <u>BRITISH ENGLISH</u> prepared on a standard A4 size <u>PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER</u>. It should be free from any errors i.e. <u>grammatical</u>, spelling or <u>punctuation</u>. It must be thoroughly edited at your end.
- 9. **HEADINGS**: All the headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
- SUB-HEADINGS: All the sub-headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
- 11. MAIN TEXT:

THE MAIN TEXT SHOULD FOLLOW THE FOLLOWING SEQUENCE:

INTRODUCTION REVIEW OF LITERATURE NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM OBJECTIVES HYPOTHESIS (ES) RESEARCH METHODOLOGY RESULTS & DISCUSSION FINDINGS RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS CONCLUSIONS LIMITATIONS SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH REFERENCES APPENDIX/ANNEXURE

The manuscript should preferably range from 2000 to 5000 WORDS.

- 12. **FIGURES & TABLES**: These should be simple, crystal **CLEAR**, **centered**, **separately numbered** & self explained, and **titles must be above the table/figure**. **Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure**. *It should be ensured that the tables/figures*are referred to from the main text.
- 13. **EQUATIONS/FORMULAE:** These should be consecutively numbered in parenthesis, horizontally centered with equation/formulae number placed at the right. The equation editor provided with standard versions of Microsoft Word should be utilised. If any other equation editor is utilised, author must confirm that these equations may be viewed and edited in versions of Microsoft Office that does not have the editor.
- 14. **ACRONYMS**: These should not be used in the abstract. The use of acronyms is elsewhere is acceptable. Acronyms should be defined on its first use in each section: Reserve Bank of India (RBI). Acronyms should be redefined on first use in subsequent sections.
- 15. **REFERENCES**: The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. *The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript* and they are supposed to follow Harvard Style of Referencing. Also check to make sure that everything that you are including in the reference section is duly cited in the paper. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
- All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
- Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
- When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc, in chronologically ascending order.
- Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
- The title of books and journals should be in italics. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
- For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parenthesis.
- Headers, footers, endnotes and footnotes should not be used in the document. However, you can mention short notes to elucidate some specific point, which may be placed in number orders after the references.

PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:

BOOKS

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

• Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

• Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

CONFERENCE PAPERS

• Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–23

UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS

• Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

ONLINE RESOURCES

Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

WEBSITES

• Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp

EFFECT OF STEREOTYPE ON EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES FOR PEOPLE LIVING WITH DISABILITIES IN SELECTED UNIVERSITIES IN KENYA

JOHN WEKESA WANJALA
ASST. LECTURER
MURANG'A UNIVERSITY COLLEGE
KENYA

DR. SUSAN WERE

LECTURER

JOMO KENYATTA UNIVERSITY OF AGRICULTURE AND TECHNOLOGY

KENYA

DR. WILLY MUTURI

SR. LECTURER

JOMO KENYATTA UNIVERSITY OF AGRICULTURE AND TECHNOLOGY

KENYA

ABSTRACT

All over the world, reports from different organizations say that people living with disabilities (PLWDs) are underemployed. In Kenya besides many organizations lobbying for the increased employment of PLWDs, amplified by the constitution that at least 5% elective positions must be spared for (PLWDs) there is lower rate of employment. For example the entire cabinet and parastatal secretaries of about twenty six people only one is disabled. The empirical review has pointed out that education has affected negatively on people with disability employment; the employer stereotype also has made it difficult for PLWDs to attain jobs. The literature revealed that the organizational culture is of dare consequences as it may work against the advantage of the disabled, also inaccessibility inhibit Disabled employees from accessing organizational facilities. The employer perception in the literature shows that employers view people living with disabilities as costly. The objective of the study investigated the challenges facing employment opportunities for PLWDs while the specific objectives investigated; the effect of education on employment opportunities for People Living With Disability, to find out the effect of organizational culture on employment opportunities for People Living With Disability, to investigate the effect of employer stereotype on employment opportunities for People Living With Disability, and to investigate the effect of employer perception on employment opportunities for People Living With Disability. The target population was all People Living with Disability employees in selected Universities in Kenya and associations of People Living with Disabilities in Kenya. The sample size was all employees living with Disabilities and all members of the association of People Living with Disabilities in Murang'a County. The findings were presented in tabular form. Both Descriptive statistics i.e. mean standard deviation, skewness, kurtosis and inferential statistics i.e. Correlation, Regression, ANOVA models were used to analyze the findings. The findings showed that People Living with Disabilities do not secure employment opportunities due to: lack of required Skills; lack of available accessible organizational facilities; stringent organizational culture; negative employee stereotype; and negative employer perception towards employees. The study recommended that for people living with disabilities to increase their employment opportunities, their educational level, working experience have to be enhanced. The organizational facilities have to be made available and accessible to PLWDs. The organizational culture has to be changed to embrace acceptable performance not high performance, be less bureaucratic, and equal measures in performance have to be changed to favor customized to individual nature of being.

KEYWORDS

Stereotype, employment opportunities, people living with disabilities.

BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY

ne billion people, or 15 percent of the world's population, experience some form of disability. One fifth of the estimated global total, or between 110 million and 190 million people, encounter significant disabilities. Persons with disabilities on average as a group are more likely to experience less employment, and higher poverty rates, World Bank (2014). Many countries in the world have passed laws directed towards equal employment opportunities for the people living with disabilities in the labor market; however, employment disparities are persistent, even in industrialized countries. Disability and Employment statistics for people with disabilities in high income countries are not only unreliable due to insufficient data, incompatible disability definitions and statistical biases; they are also playing by huge differences in employment definitions. Sarrong (1974) Employment statistics for people with

definitions and statistical biases; they are also plagued by huge differences in employment definitions Sarpong (1974). Employment statistics for people with disabilities are virtually non-existent in developing countries. Nevertheless, the existing evidence suggests that lack of employment opportunities for people with disabilities in high income countries are extremely high, and that unemployment rates for people with disabilities in developing countries are at least as high or higher Wright (1960). In the United States, only 14.3 million of an estimated 48.9 million people with disabilities were reported to be employed in 1991-92 in Austria, where people with disabilities must register, only 69% of those who registered were reported to be employed in 1994.

GLOBAL PERSPECTIVE

In general, disabled are less valued in the world of work as shown by lack of equity in participation, pay, the kind of jobs they have, and the positions they hold. Studies conducted across the globe using both micro Blau & Kahn, (2003) and macro data Azmat at el (2006) United Nations Economic Commission for Europe UNECE (2008) have converging findings regarding people living with disabilities employment disparities. For instance, Blau and Kahn (2003) investigated the people living with disability employment gap in 22 countries across the globe. The data were extracted from the International Social Survey Program between 2000 and 2010 and include countries such as the United States, Britain, Canada, Japan, Germany, The Netherlands, and Russia. The discrimination gap averaged between 44 and 84%. The study outcome revealed the following gender pay gaps: United States (40%), Britain (37%), Japan (85%), and Slovenia (44%).

Apart from people living with disability being underrepresented in the world of employment, studies have shown they are also underrepresented in official and managerial positions while they are overrepresented as sales, clerical, and service workers. The UNECE (2008) found that, except for the United States and Lithuania, where the proportion of PLWDs legislators, managers, and senior officials was rather high (54 and 47%, respectively), the rest of Europe and North America has a clear majority of PLWDs among legislators, managers, and senior officials. Trends in Europe and North America also show that PLWDs are less likely to be employed or self-employed, and no country within 18 the UNECE has attained a 5% proportion of PWDs among employees (UNECE, 2008).

Despite the awareness of employment disparities and the need for equal pay for equal work, disparities in employment persist, with PWDs being disadvantaged. Inadequate human capital, low participation rate in the labor force, and discrimination are attributed to the predicament of women in the labor force (Azmat et

al., 2006). In Africa particularly Tanzania and Kenya have entrenched in their constitutions law that compel the employer to at least set a site 3% and 5% plus progressive employment opportunities for people living with disabilities, Constitution of Tanzania and Kenya (2010) respectively.

THE REGIONAL PERSPECTIVE

Variations in the treatment of persons with disabilities are manifest in Africa as in other parts of the world Amoako (1977). Among the Chagga in East Africa, the physically handicapped were perceived as pacifiers of the evil spirits. Hence, care was taken not to harm the physically handicapped. Among the citizens of Benin (formerly Dahomey in West Africa), constables were selected from those with obvious physical handicaps. In some communities in Benin, children born with anomalies were seen as protected by supernatural forces. As such they were accepted in the community because they were believed to bring good luck Wright (1960). Nabagwu (1977) observed that among the Ibo of Nigeria, treatment of persons with disabilities varied from pampering to total rejection.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Wilkins (2013) established that majority of people with disabilities unemployed, improvement in the last decades has been below targets and expectation. Kwek a (2010) revealed that employment of people with disabilities have improved only marginally and continue to be a serious concern for economic and social policy alike, but his study is questionable for generalization since the population used was 197, while his sample size was only 26 people living with disabilities and only involved people from just Dar-es salaam. Another study by Mkumbo (2012) established that people living with disabilities do not get employment opportunities easily because of lack of education. Makwena (2012) established that people living with disabilities especially people on wheel chairs do not access employment opportunities due to their nature as they cannot utilize the organizational facilities in many of the country's buildings. In the study carried out on employment opportunities among the ethnic communities in the Kenyan Universities and colleges, it was found that only Egerton University, Technical University of Mombasa, and Multimidia University had complied with the National cohesion and integration Act (2008) by employing less dominant ethnic groups in their regions, since people with disabilities are part of affirmative issues, then one is left to wonder why the rule of ethnicity balance in employment has been complied to but why not disability rule? Charles, J. (2015). Reported that there is an increase in unemployment for people living with disabilities. Daily Nation (2015). Multimidia University, Rongo University Colloge, and Kibabii University College advertised job vacancies and clearly indicated that people living with disabilities are encouraged to apply. In Kenya besides the constitution guideline of at least 5% plus progressive employment opportunities, according to National council for people living with disabilities less than 2% of the employment positions are occupied by persons with disabilities NCPWD (2013). Scanty research has been done on challenges facing employment opportunities for people living with disabilities. Therefore the gap exist as there is scanty research on challenges facing employment opportunities for people living with disabilities, these triggered the need for investigation into the challenges facing equal employment opportunity for people living with disabilities in Kenya to address the gap.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

GENERAL OBJECTIVE

To investigate the challenges facing employment opportunity for people living with disabilities in selected Universities in Kenya **SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES**

To find out how stereotype affects employment opportunities for people living with disabilities.

RESEARCH HYPOTHESES

HO₁ Stereotype does not affect employment opportunities for people living with disabilities

JUSTIFICATION OF THE STUDY

- i. The republic of Kenya will benefit from the findings as the problems inhibiting employment of disabled is known and the corrective measures have been recommended on how to increase employment of the people living with disabilities.
- ii. Researchers and Scholars in the field of disabilities will benefit from the findings it adds knowledge in the academic field.
- iii. The Human Resource Managers will benefit from the study as they can utilize the recommendations of the study to solve employment problems of the people living with disabilities at workplace.
- iv. The National Council for People Living with Disabilities will benefit as they will utilize the findings of study to champion for the rights of people living with disabilities.

SCOPE OF THE STUDY

There are many factors that affect employment for people living with disabilities. The study concentrated on how Skills, Stereotype, Employer perception, Organizational Culture, and Organizational facilities affect the employment opportunities of the Blind, the Deaf, and the physically handicapped. The study was conducted among the public Universities in Nairobi County as these Universities have the largest population of employees hence the findings from the institutions can be generalized as true representative of the people living with disabilities and unemployed PLWDs in Murang'a County between February and April 2015.

LIMITATION OF THE STUDY

There are some factors that limited the comprehensive coverage of the study. One of the difficulties encountered was the release of information; the respondent were not willing to give correct information as they feared repercussions, however the study overcame the problem as the respondents were assured that the information given was confidential and was to be used only for purposes of academic. The other problem was communication barrier especially when dealing with people that have hearing impairment, however problem was overcame by the help of specialist in sign language

CRITICAL RACE THEORY

According to Derrick B. (1970), Critical race theory (CRT) recognizes that racism is engrained in the fabric and system of the society. The individual racist need not exist to note that institutional racism is pervasive in our culture. This is the analytical lens that CRT uses in examining existing power structures. CRT identifies that these power structures are based on people without disabilities privilege and people without disabilities supremacy, which perpetuates the marginalization people living with disabilities.

Schur (2009) reported that organizational cultures that are responsive to the needs of all employees are particularly beneficial for disabled workers. Similarly, culture that value flexibility, social justice, personalization and embraces diversity would be one in which disabled people will be treated more favorably than another that is more rigid and bureaucratic. Supportive organizational culture will also focus on changing non-disabled employees' negative attitudes toward disability as eliminating behaviors that reflect subtle forms of discrimination and exclusion, hence promoting more effective interaction among non-disabled and disabled employees. The CRT theory is relevant to the study as the disabled group is seen as people of different group and so they are discriminated by the virtual of being people living with disabilities which is unwanted group, the theory address the independent variable organizational culture.

STEREOTYPE

SHY/DISTANT

From findings in Stone & Colella (2011), Supervisors and co-workers attitudes people living with disabilities are shy have a profound impact on the employment experiences of people with disabilities. Even in corporations that are committed to hiring employees with disabilities, negative attitudes from supervisors and coworkers have affected the socialization of new employees with disabilities, and limited their ability to become fully accepted and well functioning insiders. The study further found that employees with disabilities remain distant; this limits their job performance and opportunities for training and advancement. Shy employees are resistant to change since people selectively attend to information consistent with pre-existing stereotypes (Blanck, 2001). Negative affect or discomfort in being around people with disabilities. Negative affect varies by type of disability, with greater discomfort for mental and sensory impairments than for mobility and other physical impairments (Stone & Colella, 2006). The study indicates that negative affect may be counteracted in many instances by a "norm of kindness," although such a norm can lead to condescending attitudes or overly helpful behavior.

The study further noted that Strain caused by communication difficulties due to shyness in some disabilities, such as speech or hearing impairments, make communication more difficult. Co-workers avoid interacting with employees who have such disabilities because of the extra time and strain involved John Wiley & Sons, (2005). Personality factors; Prejudice is greater among employees who are high on distant or poor self-concept and body satisfaction (Colella, 1996). The study pointed out that previous contact helps counteract the effects of negative stereotypes, since it allows "individuals to gather detailed information about out-group members (e.g., disabled individuals) so that they are viewed as individuals rather than members of a stereotyped group" (Stone & Colella, 2012). Makas (2008) found that greater contact leads to more positive attitudes toward people with disabilities. Supervisor and co-worker attitudes in turn affect the Performance expectations. Shyness and negative affect lead to biased views of how well a person with a disability is likely to perform.

Of the 13 studies of expectations of future performance or promotional potential for employees with disabilities, ten found evidence of negative bias (DeNisi, & Varma, 2008). Negative attitudes lead to lower employment of employees with disabilities, although in some cases there may be a positive bias due to the norm of kindness or initial low expectations. The evidence from employer surveys does not point to a bias in employment, but the evidence from experiments is mixed, depending on the existence of recruitment standards, the presence of objective selection data, and the supervisor-employee relationship (Colella *et al.*, 2008). According to the study by Varma *et al* (2008) desire to work with an individual with a disability, negative affect and concern about the effects on one's own performance lead to unwillingness to work with an employee with a disability. This depends on the type of job and the nature of rewards, an experiment found that there was negative bias against choosing a coworker with a disability when (a) the job was viewed as a poor fit for the person with a disability, and (b) there were interdependent rewards, for example if the pay of the co-worker would be affected by the performance of the person with a disability (Colella *et al.*, 2008).

Hiring people with discretionary work activities, negative effect, low expectations, and expected co-worker strain cause employers to deny employees with disabilities access to jobs with substantial responsibility, leaving them in generally lower paying jobs that do not allow them much opportunity for developing their skills (Colella, 2006). Negative attitudes and treatment in turn affect the responses of persons with disabilities. Equity theory predicts that employees decrease their work effort when they believe they have not been justly rewarded; more generally, negative attitudes such as low performance expectations cause employees with disabilities to become alienated and withdrawn, which may itself "confirm" the low expectations (Blanck *et al.*, 2013). Employees with disabilities use a number of strategies to shape expectations in the workforce, including (a) concealing the disability, (b) communicating information about the disability to reduce discomfort and clarify norms, (c) requesting help to clarify expected behaviors, (d) emphasizing similarity to others through shared interests, opinions, and values, and (e) becoming a "super-worker" to dispel Corporate culture and employment of persons with disabilities John Wiley (2005). In addition, they may take an activist approach and seek to change organization policies on their own or in concert with others, or use cognitive strategies to protect or change themselves, such as by attributing negative outcomes to bias rather than to their own performance problems (Sandler & Blanck, 2005).

DEPENDENT/NONCOMPETITIVE

From the study conducted by Wordsworth (2013), which aimed at identifying barriers faced by organizations in Gauteng when employing people living with disabilities in the business and financial sectors. The study conducted qualitative interviews with human resource managers from ten organizations in business and financial sectors. The results of the study indicated that the most common barrier identified by the participants was dependence/noncompetitive of people with disabilities. The factor recurred through all the studies was the fact that barriers of employing people with disabilities were mainly looked at from an employer's perspective in which a top-down approach was used in order to formulate the research questions.

Stone & Colella, (2010), in their study carried out in America, found out that low employment rate of PWDs was due to negative stereotypes are dependent held by employers about disabled that result in unfavorable selection decisions. The study reveals that Stereotypes are largely false "over generalized" beliefs about members of a category that are typically negative. The study also revealed that non-competency ascribed to persons with disabilities may be used as a basis for generating expectancies about persons with disabilities (Stone & Colella, 2010). The study found that Expectancies are anticipatory beliefs about the individual based on category membership and stereotypes. The study found that if a person is categorized as dependent, the observer also derives expectancies about the person from stereotyped assumptions made about people with disabilities as a group.

BITTER/UNHAPPY

Another research done in Australia by Greenwood, et al. (2011) found that Physical disabilities are generally viewed as bitter/unhappy. The study found that Projects With Industry placement specialists rated employers as being more unwilling to hire individuals with physical disabilities than those with emotional, mental, or communication disabilities, to have the least difficulty in recruiting and selecting these workers, and to expect better work performance from them. In another study done by Hazer & Bedell, (2000), where students and human resource professionals were asked to employment suitability of applicants, results showed that candidates with disability were given significant lower suitability ratings than candidates with no disabilities because they are termed bitter or unhappy.

In the study carried out in Germany by Koser *et al.* (2009) where human resource professionals were asked to choose one of two candidates with similar backgrounds. Results showed that a job applicant who uses a wheelchair was more likely to be hired than an employee taking medication for depression or anxiety this is because the ones on medication are unhappy which may lower moral of performance. In a survey of Fortune 500 companies, Jones, *et al.*, (2011) found that the physically handicapped ranked much lower as desirable employees than did those without disabilities. Furthermore, few employers had specific employment policies regarding the handicap. This perception of a hierarchy in disabilities regarded to be more or less desirable has been reported in other studies as well (Gilbride, *et al.*2000) found that employers in a Midwestern state reluctant hiring persons with hearing disabilities, while employers in a Southeastern state reluctant hiring persons with physical disabilities.

Many studies carried out by Fabian *et al.*, (2005) investigated the relationship between employer attitudes toward hiring persons with disabilities and previous experience with PWDs on the part of the employer. Most of these studies found that prior positive contact has a direct relationship with favorable employer attitudes. Only two of the studies did not support the evidence. From the study by Stone & Colella, (2006) America found out that Jobs can also be stereotyped, and these stereotypes can be used to exclude applicants with disabilities as not well-suited. The study further found that the nature of the job elicits prototypical images about job requirements, the combination of stereotypes about applicants with disabilities and stereotypes about job requirements may lead to incorrect decisions and unfair discrimination. Stone and Colella (2006) provide a poignant example: observers may classify a hearing-impaired (deaf) person as incapable of performing the job of a supervisor because the job requires face-to-face communication and the ability to communicate with others on a telephone. The reason for this is that the prototypical hearing-impaired person cannot understand or orally communicate with others. This inference, however, may be incorrect about a particular hearing-impaired person who has the ability to read lips and communicate orally with others.

AGGRESSIVE

Greenwood and Johnson (2007) found that employers were more unwilling to consider the physically disabled for jobs that were sedentary, had less pressure, and had less interpersonal contact, this so because physically disabled persons are viewed aggressive. This seemed consistent with the concept of job prototypes that are congruent with stereotypes of PWDs. While aggressive is typically negative, some limited research has shown a positive bias in favor of

hiring PWDs. In one study, applicants depicted as having a disability were rated higher on employment and management potential scales than applicants without a disability Christman & Slaten, (2011). Another study had similar findings showing that job candidates with physical disabilities were rated more highly than those without disabilities Nordstrom, et al (2008). These studies suggest that in some cases, having a physical disability may be viewed as a desirable. However, more research is needed to substantiate these findings and understand the causal relationships.

REASEARCH METHODOLOGY

The study used both descriptive and exploratory method of study. The sampling frame was 14, 600 people, while the sample size was 191 people. The questionnaire was used to collect data and analyzed using SPSS package.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Sixteen point seven (16.7%) percent of the respondents say that employees living with disabilities are shy. From the findings, it means that minority of the people living with disabilities are believed to be shy as indicated by 16.7%. Seventy people translating to fifty eight point three (58.3%) percent of the respondents say that employees living with disabilities are dependent. The table means that majority of the respondents are of the view that people living with disabilities are dependent/noncompetitive. Twenty people which is (16.7%) percent of the respondents say employees living with disabilities are bitter. From the outcome, it means that coworkers and employers believe that people living with disabilities are bitter. Ten people translating to eight point three (8.3%) percent of the respondents say that employees living with disabilities are aggressive. From the table, it shows means that majority of the respondents believe that people living with disabilities are aggressive/non-submissive.

THE REGRESSION OF STEREOTYPE AGAINST EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES FOR PLWDS

Stone & Colella (2011), in their study established that supervisors and co-workers categorized people living with disabilities as Shy and that employers were not willing to employ people living with disabilities as they were viewed as being reluctant to take up new challenges. Further study by Blank (2001), found that co-workers were discomfort to in being around with people living with disabilities.

In this study, the outcome established employment opportunities for people living with disabilities are affected by 0.401 as shown in the table below. This means that 40.1% change in employment opportunities for people living with disabilities can be predicted by unit change in Stereotype. This study was in line with that of Stone & Colella(2011), and that of Blank (2001), so we can confirm that stereotype is a challenge to employment opportunities for people living with disabilities. The regression formula for stereotype against employment opportunities is as below:

 $Y=\beta_0 + \beta_2 X_2 + e$

 $Y = \beta_0 + 0.401$ Stereotype + 0.707

TABLE 1: ANOVA MODEL FOR STEREOTYPE AGAINST EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES FOR PLWDS

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.633ª	.401	.392	.707

a. Predictors: (Constant), STEREOTYPE

The table below shows that the relationship between stereotype and employment opportunity is very strong as indicated by sig 0.000, this means that the effect is not by change but by the presence of the stereotype. This also means that for any meaningful improvement for employment opportunities by people living with disabilities, stereotyping have to be discouraged.

TABLE 2: SIGNIFICANCE OF STEREOTYPE AGAINST EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES FOR PLWDS

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	22.059	1	22.059	44.118	.000 ^a
	Residual	33.000	66	.500		
	Total	55.059	67			

a. Predictors: (Constant), Stereotype

FINDINGS

The literature showed that employment opportunities for people living with disabilities are affected by various challenges. In the organizations studied, employment opportunities for people living with disabilities are lower than expected. The study examined a population of three thousand people in University of Nairobi, Kenyatta University, Technical University of Mombasa, and Murang'a Association of people living with disabilities. Stratified random sampling technique was used to select one hundred and fifty (150) people for investigation, this was to ensure that even number of respondents are given chance to participate. The study was conducted by use of questionnaires. Out of 150 people only 120 responded giving a response rate of 80%. The instruments were pilot tested and analyzed for reliability and validity using Cronbach's Alpha formula. Confirmatory factor analysis to reduce the factors was also used. This confirmed the conceptual framework of the study, SPSS version 17 was used as the statistical tool for analysis all through the study. The data was analyzed and using descriptive and inferential statistics. Scatter plots were visually examined to check whether linear regression relationships existed after which linear regression was done to establish the magnitude and direction of relationship. Multi regression was used to test the combined effect of all the independent variables to the dependent variables. The study had the following findings:

CONCLUSION

The study further determined that employers perceived people living with disabilities as disturbing, expensive, lazy, and antisocial. This means that employer will not desire PLWDs as they will reduce profitability due to expenses involved during medication by meeting medical bills and accommodation. They are also not hard working due to the nature of disability this make coworkers view that they may let them down when the remuneration is tight on group performance. Also the issue of antisocial makes team work impossible as working together need personal friendship first.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The study therefore recommends that the negative stereotypes have to be changed in order to increase chances of employment for people with disabilities. This can be achieved by encouraging socialization of employees with disabilities with those without disabilities so as to learn how to accommodate each other. Co-workers should be encouraged to assist the disabled employees and lobby for team-work not individual responsibility.

AREAS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

Due to constraints highlighted in the study, it was not exhaustive of all the challenges facing employment opportunities for people living with disabilities. Research should be conducted to establish other challenges that influence employment opportunities for people living with disabilities since only 67.5% of the employment opportunities were covered by the five independent variables, meaning that the 32.5% of the unexplained influence have to be accounted for by the next research. The other disabilities like Hyper, HIV/AIDS, and Slow learners should be conducted.

b. Dependent Variable: Employment Opportunities

REFERENCES

- Acemoglu, D. (2000). consenquences of employment protection? the case of the Americans With DisabilitiesAc.t. MIT Working Paper. Retrieved February 12. 2015
- 2. Adya, M. (2004). Genetic information use in hiring decisions: Psycho-legal possibilities arising from the Human Genome Projectst. Nebraska: University of Nebraska-Lincoln.
- 3. Alade. (2004). community-based vocational rehabilitation(CBVR) for people with disabilities. British Journal of Spacial Education. Retrieved March 15, 2015
- 4. Aldersey, H. (2011). the United Republic of Tanzania's National Policy on Disability: Apolicy analysis. *Journal of Disability Policy Studies*. Retrieved February 09, 2015
- 5. Allbright, A. (2002). employment decisions under the ADA Title 1-Survey update. Mental and Physical Disability Law Reporter. Retrieved March 12, 2015
- 6. Avoke, M. (2002). Models of Disability in the Labelling and Attitudinal Discourse in Ghana: Disability and Society. 17(7), 769-777. Retrieved March 15, 2015
- 7. Badwin, M. (20005). A critical review of studies of discrimination against workers with disabilities (Vol. 3). (Rodgers, Ed.) Northhampton: Edgar ELgar Publishing.
- 8. Bagshaw. (2006). Ignoring disability: a wasted opportunity. Wellington, National Equal Opportunities Network. Retrieved March 18, 2015
- 9. Baldwin. (2010). Labor market discrimination against men with disabilities. The
- 10. Bell, B. (2001). Effects of disability, gender and job level on ratings of job applicants (46 ed.).
- 11. Berkowitz, M. (1998). Facilitating employment through vocational rehabilitation. (Hyatt, Ed., & T. tirus, Trans.) Industrial Relations Research Association.
- 12. Blanck, M. (1997). Attitudes, behavior, and the employment provisions of the American with Disabilities Act (Vol. 42). Law Review.
- 13. Blanck, P. (2003). Disability civil rights law and policy. (M. Paul, Ed.) thomson: West Publishers.
- 14. Blanck. (2013). Employment of people with disabilities-twenty-five years back and ahead, Law and Inequality. A Journal of Theory & Practice, 25(2):323-353. Retrieved March 5, 2015
- 15. Bowman, J. (1987). Attitudes towrd disabled persons: Social distance and work competence. *Journal of Rehabilitation, 53,* 41-4. Retrieved February 11, 2015



REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

Dear Readers

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Computer Application & Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue as well as on the journal as a whole, on our E-mailinfoijrcm@gmail.com for further improvements in the interest of research.

If youhave any queries please feel free to contact us on our E-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-

Co-ordinator

DISCLAIMER

The information and opinions presented in the Journal reflect the views of the authors and not of the Journal or its Editorial Board or the Publishers/Editors. Publication does not constitute endorsement by the journal. Neither the Journal nor its publishers/Editors/Editorial Board nor anyone else involved in creating, producing or delivering the journal or the materials contained therein, assumes any liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information provided in the journal, nor shall they be liable for any direct, indirect, incidental, special, consequential or punitive damages arising out of the use of information/material contained in the journal. The journal, neither its publishers/Editors/Editorial Board, nor any other party involved in the preparation of material contained in the journal represents or warrants that the information contained herein is in every respect accurate or complete, and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such material. Readers are encouraged to confirm the information contained herein with other sources. The responsibility of the contents and the opinions expressed in this journal are exclusively of the author (s) concerned.

ABOUT THE JOURNAL

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active cooperation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.







