INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMPUTER APPLICATION & MANAGEMENT



A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

Indexed & Listed at:

Ulrich's Periodicals Directory @, ProQuest, U.S.A., EBSCO Publishing, U.S.A., Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A

Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland with IC Value of 5.09 & number of libraries all around the world.

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than 4600 Cities in 180 countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

CONTENTS

Sr. No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page No.
1.	INPUT-OUTPUT COEFFICIENTS IN A NORTH-WESTERN HIMALAYAN REGION AND ITS IMPLICATION TO FINANCIAL RESOURCES AMAR S. GULERIA	1
2.	EFFICIENCY ANALYSIS OF SCHEDULED URBAN CO-OPERATIVE BANKS BY DEA APPROACH SUCHITA GUPTA & DR. MANMEET SINGH	8
3.	THE IMPACT OF FINANCIAL DERIVATIVES MARKET ON THE UNDERLYING CASH MARKET IN NSE DR. N. MOSES & B. PHANISWARA RAJU	12
4.	A STUDY ON EMPLOYEE WELFARE FACILITIES AND ITS IMPACT ON EMPLOYEES SATISFACTION WITH REFERENCE TO INDIAN CEMENT INDUSTRY AT SATNA DISTRICT SHANKAR KUMAR JHA & DR. A. K. PANDEY	17
5.	APPLICATION OF FIREFLY ALGORITHM FOR OPTIMIZING BEVEL GEAR DESIGN PROBLEMS IN NON LUBRICATED CONDITION S. K. RAJESH KANNA & A. D. JAISREE	26
6.	CORRELATION BETWEEN ORGANIZATION STRATEGIES AND EMPLOYEE COMPETENCY MAPPING PRACTICES NIDHI DIXIT & DR. POONAM MADAN	30
7.	CONSUMER AWARENESS ON CONSUMER RIGHTS AND DUTIES: AN ANALYTICAL STUDY WITH REFERENCE TO COIMBATORE CITY DR. V. RANGANATHAN & K. MANGAIYARKKARASI	33
8.	TECHNOLOGY, APPLICATION AND LEGISLATION OF PUBLIC KEY INFRASTRUCTURE FOR SECURE e-GOVERNANCE APPLICATIONS DR. ROHTASH KUMAR GARG & NEHA SOLANKI	38
9.	TO STUDY THE PERCEPTION OF MALE EMPLOYEES ABOUT THEIR FEMALE COUNTERPARTS IN STAR HOTELS ANURADHA KARMARKAR & JYOTI PESHAVE	41
10.	COMPARATIVE STUDY OF MEMORY AND ACHIEVEMENT MOTIVATION OF SENIOR SECONDARY SCHOOL STUDENTS IN RELATION TO RESIDENTIAL BACKGROUND SUSHMA ADHIKARI & DR. P. C. JENA	46
11.	A STUDY ON SOCIAL VALUES, INDIVIDUAL ATTRIBUTES AND PHASES OF ENTREPRENEURIAL ACTIVITY: INDIA Vs. OTHER GEOGRAPHICAL REGIONS M. SUVARCHALA RANI	52
12.	SECURITY PROBLEMS AND STRATEGY IN CLOUD COMPUTING LOCHAN .B	56
13.	SCHEDULED CASTE IN INDIA: PROBLEMS AND PROSPECTS DR. BADSHAH GHOSH	58
14.	IMPACT OF EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT ON TALENT RETENTION WITH REFERENCE TO ACADEMICIANS IN GWALIOR REGION VIDHI TYAGI	60
15.	GREEN HRM PRACTICES: A NEW OUT LOOK TO SUSTAINABILITY ALEENA JOY	63
16.	LEARNING & GROWTH ANALYSIS: SIGNIFICANT FOR PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENT SHIKHA BATRA & DR. AMBIKA BHATIA	66
17.	PRIVATE AUDIT FIRMS IN ETHIOPIA: CHALLENGES AND OPPORTUNITIES MUHAMMED ARAGIE & GEBEREAMLAK YITBAREK	70
18.	DETERMINANTS OF FOOTBALL FANS STADIUM ATTENDANCE: PERSPECTIVES FROM GHANA SHANI BASHIRU	79
19.	HEALTH CONSCIOUSNESS AND OPINION LEADERSHIP OF SCHOOL TEACHERS: RESULTS OF A SURVEY FROM THE CITY OF MUMBAI SHATABDI S DAS	86
20.	THE ROLE OF OMBUDSMAN TO CONTROL THE ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS IN INDIA RAJESH KUMAR	92
	REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK & DISCLAIMER	97

CHIEF PATRON

PROF. K. K. AGGARWAL

Chairman, Malaviya National Institute of Technology, Jaipur
(An institute of National Importance & fully funded by Ministry of Human Resource Development, Government of India)
Chancellor, K. R. Mangalam University, Gurgaon
Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Faridabad
Founder Vice-Chancellor (1998-2008), Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi
Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

FOUNDER PATRON

LATE SH. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Former State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana Former Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri Former President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

FORMER CO-ORDINATOR

DR. S. GARG

Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Business & Management, Urjani

<u>ADVISORS</u>

PROF. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Director A. C. D., School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

PROF. S. L. MAHANDRU

Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

EDITOR

PROF. R. K. SHARMA

Professor, Bharti Vidyapeeth University Institute of Management & Research, New Delhi

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

DR. RAJESH MODI

Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

PROF. PARVEEN KUMAR

Director, M.C.A., Meerut Institute of Engineering & Technology, Meerut, U. P.

PROF. H. R. SHARMA

Director, Chhatarpati Shivaji Institute of Technology, Durg, C.G.

PROF. MANOHAR LAL

Director & Chairman, School of Information & Computer Sciences, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

PROF. ANIL K. SAINI

Chairperson (CRC), Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

PROF. R. K. CHOUDHARY

Director, Asia Pacific Institute of Information Technology, Panipat

DR. ASHWANI KUSH

Head, Computer Science, University College, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra

DR. BHARAT BHUSHAN

Head, Department of Computer Science & Applications, Guru Nanak Khalsa College, Yamunanagar

DR. VIJAYPAL SINGH DHAKA

Dean (Academics), Rajasthan Institute of Engineering & Technology, Jaipur

DR. SAMBHAVNA

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

DR. MOHINDER CHAND

Associate Professor, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra

DR. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, P. J. L. N. Government College, Faridabad

DR. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE

Asst. Professor, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

DR. BHAVET

Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Engineering & Technology, Urjani

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

PROF. ABHAY BANSAL

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity University, Noida

PROF. NAWAB ALI KHAN

Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

ASHISH CHOPRA

Sr. Lecturer, Doon Valley Institute of Engineering & Technology, Karnal

FORMER TECHNICAL ADVISOR

AMITA

Faculty, Government M. S., Mohali

FINANCIAL ADVISORS

DICKIN GOYAL

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

NEENA

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

<u>LEGAL ADVISORS</u>

JITENDER S. CHAHAL

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

<u>SUPERINTENDENT</u>

SURENDER KUMAR POONIA

1.

NOTES:

CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to recent developments & practices in the areas of Computer Science & Applications; Commerce; Business; Finance; Marketing; Human Resource Management; General Management; Banking; Economics; Tourism Administration & Management; Education; Law; Library & Information Science; Defence & Strategic Studies; Electronic Science; Corporate Governance; Industrial Relations; and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Rural Economics; Co-operation; Demography: Development Planning; Development Studies; Applied Economics; Development Economics; Business Economics; Monetary Policy; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Political Science; Continuing Education; Labour Welfare; Philosophy; Psychology; Sociology; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law; Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labour Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; International Relations; Human Rights & Duties; Public Administration; Population Studies; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism & Hospitality; Transportation Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic; Web Design and emerging paradigms in allied subjects.

Anybody can submit the soft copy of unpublished novel; original; empirical and high quality research work/manuscript anytime in M.S. Word format after preparing the same as per our GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION; at our email address i.e. infoijrcm@gmail.com or online by clicking the link online submission as given on our website (FOR ONLINE SUBMISSION, CLICK HERE).

THE EDITOR IJRCM Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF (e.g. Finance/Mkt./HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Nspecify) DEAR SIR/MADAM Please find my submission of manuscript entitled '' for posof your journals. I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore, it has neither been published else	OATED:
Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF (e.g. Finance/Mkt./HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Mspecify) DEAR SIR/MADAM Please find my submission of manuscript entitled '' for positive submission of manuscript entitled '' for positive submission of manuscript entitled ''	Math/other, <mark>pl</mark> e
Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF (e.g. Finance/Mkt./HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Mspecify) DEAR SIR/MADAM Please find my submission of manuscript entitled '' for posof your journals. I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore, it has neither been published else	Math/other, <mark>pl</mark> e
(e.g. Finance/Mkt./HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Mspecify) DEAR SIR/MADAM Please find my submission of manuscript entitled '' for posof your journals. I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore, it has neither been published else	Math/other, <mark>ple</mark>
DEAR SIR/MADAM Please find my submission of manuscript entitled '' for posof your journals. I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore, it has neither been published else	Math/other, <mark>pl</mark> e
DEAR SIR/MADAM Please find my submission of manuscript entitled '' for pos of your journals. I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore, it has neither been published else	
Please find my submission of manuscript entitled '' for posof your journals. I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore, it has neither been published else	
of your journals. I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore, it has neither been published else	
	ssible publicatio
.a., o. pa. a,, is it and a ferror for publication electricies	ewhere in any l
I affirm that all the co-authors of this manuscript have seen the submitted version of the manuscript and have a	igreed to their i
of names as co-authors.	
Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I agree to comply with the formalities as given on the website of the j discretion to publish our contribution in any of its journals.	ournal. The Jou
NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR :	
Designation :	
Institution/College/University with full address & Pin Code :	
Residential address with Pin Code :	
Mobile Number (s) with country ISD code :	
Is WhatsApp or Viber active on your above noted Mobile Number (Yes/No) :	
Is WhatsApp or Viber active on your above noted Mobile Number (Yes/No) : Landline Number (s) with country ISD code :	

- a) The whole manuscript has to be in **ONE MS WORD FILE** only, which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript. <u>pdf.</u> <u>version</u> is liable to be rejected without any consideration.
- b) The sender is required to mention the following in the SUBJECT COLUMN of the mail:
 - **New Manuscript for Review in the area of** (e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/IT/Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, please specify)
- c) There is no need to give any text in the body of mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any **specific message** w.r.t. to the manuscript.
- d) The total size of the file containing the manuscript is expected to be below 1000 KB.
- e) Abstract alone will not be considered for review and the author is required to submit the complete manuscript in the first instance.
- f) The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email within twenty four hours and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of manuscript, within two days of submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending a separate mail to the journal.
- g) The author (s) name or details should not appear anywhere on the body of the manuscript, except the covering letter and the cover page of the manuscript, in the manner as mentioned in the guidelines.
- MANUSCRIPT TITLE: The title of the paper should be bold typed, centered and fully capitalised.
- 3. **AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS**: Author (s) name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline number (s), and email/alternate email address should be given underneath the title.
- 4. **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**: Acknowledgements can be given to reviewers, guides, funding institutions, etc., if any.
- 5. **ABSTRACT:** Abstract should be in **fully italicized text**, ranging between **150** to **300 words**. The abstract must be informative and explain the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a **SINGLE PARA**. **Abbreviations must be mentioned in full**.
- 6. **KEYWORDS**: Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of **five**. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stop at the end. All words of the keywords, including the first one should be in small letters, except special words e.g. name of the Countries, abbreviations.
- 7. **JEL CODE**: Provide the appropriate Journal of Economic Literature Classification System code (s). JEL codes are available at www.aeaweb.org/econlit/jelCodes.php, however, mentioning JEL Code is not mandatory.
- 8. **MANUSCRIPT**: Manuscript must be in <u>BRITISH ENGLISH</u> prepared on a standard A4 size <u>PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER</u>. It should be free from any errors i.e. grammatical, spelling or punctuation. It must be thoroughly edited at your end.
- 9. HEADINGS: All the headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
- 10. **SUB-HEADINGS**: All the sub-headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
- 11. **MAIN TEXT**:

THE MAIN TEXT SHOULD FOLLOW THE FOLLOWING SEQUENCE:

INTRODUCTION

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

OBJECTIVES

HYPOTHESIS (ES)

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

FINDINGS

RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS

CONCLUSIONS

LIMITATIONS

SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

REFERENCES

APPENDIX/ANNEXURE

The manuscript should preferably range from 2000 to 5000 WORDS

- 12. **FIGURES & TABLES**: These should be simple, crystal **CLEAR**, **centered**, **separately numbered** & self explained, and **titles must be above the table/figure**. **Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure**. *It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred* to from the main text.
- 13. **EQUATIONS/FORMULAE**: These should be consecutively numbered in parenthesis, horizontally centered with equation/formulae number placed at the right. The equation editor provided with standard versions of Microsoft Word should be utilised. If any other equation editor is utilised, author must confirm that these equations may be viewed and edited in versions of Microsoft Office that does not have the editor.
- 14. ACRONYMS: These should not be used in the abstract. The use of acronyms is elsewhere is acceptable. Acronyms should be defined on its first use in each section: Reserve Bank of India (RBI). Acronyms should be redefined on first use in subsequent sections.
- 15. **REFERENCES:** The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. *The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript* and they are supposed to follow Harvard Style of Referencing. Also check to make sure that everything that you are including in the reference section is duly cited in the paper. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
- All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
- Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
- When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc, in chronologically ascending
 order.
- Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
- The title of books and journals should be in italics. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
- For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parenthesis.
- Headers, footers, endnotes and footnotes should not be used in the document. However, you can mention short notes to elucidate some specific point, which may be placed in number orders after the references.

PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:

BOOKS

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

 Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

• Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

CONFERENCE PAPERS

• Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–23

UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS

Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

ONLINE RESOURCES

Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

WEBSITES

• Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp

A STUDY ON SOCIAL VALUES, INDIVIDUAL ATTRIBUTES AND PHASES OF ENTREPRENEURIAL ACTIVITY: INDIA Vs. OTHER GEOGRAPHICAL REGIONS

M. SUVARCHALA RANI ASST. PROFESSOR BHAVAN'S VIVEKANANDA COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, HUMANITIES & COMMERCE SAINIKPURI, SECUNDERABAD, TS

ABSTRACT

An ecosystem of entrepreneurship has emerged globally, where companies around the world are connected and their ideas are unleashed and innovation has been transformed into reality. Thereby, the economies are growing and expanding human wellbeing. The paper analyses the entrepreneurial activities in India with reference to select dimensions, in comparison to the various geographical regions of the world, using United Nations Classification for regions. The specific dimensions covered are social values and individual attributes regarding entrepreneurship development, and the various phases of entrepreneurial activities, which play an important role in developing a very positive and constructive entrepreneurial culture in the nation. The paper also presents India's stand in the World with regard to the Entrepreneurial culture. The study is based on secondary data. The recommendations can be used to continuously enhance the quality of entrepreneurial activities in India in the context of going global.

KEYWORDS

entrepreneurship, social values, individual attributes, and phases of entrepreneurial activity.

INTRODUCTION

eople have individual values, preferences, assess their own capabilities, see opportunities and make decisions with respect to entrepreneurship. These decisions may be influenced by local availability of business premises and regional market growth. As a consequence, differences in entrepreneurial dimensions such as Social values, Individual attributes as well as the different Phases of Entrepreneurial activity attitude and activities may be the result of regional demography (an overrepresentation of groups of individuals with high entrepreneurial spirits or involvement in entrepreneurial behavior). Entrepreneurship has been defined as an effort to start a new business, creating a new venture such as self employment, an organization starting a new business

or the expansion of an existing business, by a person or a team of people, or a business which already has been established (Reynolds, P. M. and S.M. Camp, 1999). The dimensions of entrepreneurial activities can be defined as below:

- a) Entrepreneurial activities: Entrepreneurial activities are represented in a form of life cycle approach in an organization from nascent, new business, established business and finally discontinuation of the business.
- b) Social values are significant part in which individuals behave entrepreneurially or not. Social values include starting a venture as a good career choice, high status to successful entrepreneurs, and media attention for entrepreneurship which positively contributes to developing an entrepreneurial culture.
- c) Individual Attributes include perception of opportunities, perception of own capabilities to act entrepreneurially, fear of failure and entrepreneurial intentions define entrepreneurial personality of an individual.

The present study provides insights on the perception of whether the entrepreneurship components support or hinder entrepreneurial activity in India. It also analyses India's position in aspiring entrepreneurship (by identifying aspirations to grow among owner-managed businesses and the presence of entrepreneurial employee activity). The findings can provide policymakers with a base for evaluating the present and potential policies to improve and emphasize the vital role and need for entrepreneurship and sustainable growth in the country.

NEED OF THE STUDY

The regional differences in entrepreneurship are very prominent and persistent globally. The variation is applicable to dimensions such as Social values, Individual attributes as well as the different Phases of Entrepreneurial activity. Thus it is very significant to study entrepreneurial activities in India in terms of the above dimensions.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

- To study the entrepreneurial dimensions such as social values, individual attributes and phases of entrepreneurial activity of various geographical regions of the world.
- 2. To analyze the entrepreneurial dimensions in India with reference to select dimensions, in comparison to the various geographical regions of the world.
- 3. To present India's stand in the World with regard to the Entrepreneurial culture.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The study is based on the data as given in the Global Entrepreneurship Monitor (GEM) Report for 2014–15, the literature on the subject and relevant information available in the World Wide Web. For statistical analysis Two-way ANOVA has been used.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

There is empirical evidence of large cross-country differences in entrepreneurial dimensions. The annual GEM report demonstrated that entrepreneurial dimensions differ substantially between countries.

Beugelsdijk and Noorderhaven (2004) derived an index relating to 'enterprise culture', which, however, only indirectly links to entrepreneurship, and found significant differences between European regions. Beugelsdijk et al. (2006) showed that regional variation in cultural attitudes is significant and persistent over time (see also Davidsson and Wiklund 1997). This persistency in regional cultural patterns is due to long term cultural heritages (Inglehart and Baker 2000), which can be regional, national. This would call for a regional approach to Hofstede's measurement of national cultural values (Hofstede 2001), in which different spatial scales are acknowledged.

People from entrepreneurial families or with close friends who own businesses, are more likely to develop entrepreneurial values themselves (Davidsson and Honig 2003).

At the regional level, in societies characterized by traditional entrepreneurial structures, like many small retail businesses and habitual entrepreneurship, personal attitudes towards firms and entrepreneurship are more positive than in regions dominated by a managerial culture (Weber, 1904).

However, following the study of Inglehart (2003) on the relation between culture and economic development, it can be argued that regions with persistent high economic growth are also characterized by relatively positive attitudes towards entrepreneurship (see also Uhlaner and Thurik 2007). On the one hand, the pull-factor of economic growth, especially within the region, leading to high spending and growing markets in close vicinity, may well evoke entrepreneurial attitudes. On the other hand, (national) unemployment may push people into entrepreneurship. Finally, relating to institutional (formal and informal) effects, persistent

market regimes and risk aversion attitudes may affect the prevalence of entrepreneurial attitudes at the regional level (Douglas and Shepherd 2002; Acs et al. 2007).

Starting a firm is an individual decision, and individual characteristics are important determinants in the formation of new firms (Sternberg and Wagner 2005; Bosma and Schutjens 2007). As regards informal institutions, Freytag and Thurik (2007) did not find a direct link between national culture and entrepreneurial activity, even though the relationship between national culture and entrepreneurial preference was significant.

With respect to demographic factors, the age-structure of the population is related to business ownership, as young people are underrepresented among business owners and they just lacked the time to set up and maintain a firm over 3.5 years. According to Schutjens and Wever (2000), whether firms survive and prove to be economically viable for over the first years, also depends on entrepreneurial and firm characteristics.

With respect to regional economic attributes, to many firms the local or regional market is the most relevant production milieu (Malecki, 1997). Therefore, regional levels of demand potential and industry (sectoral) composition (e.g. competition intensity) matter.

At the national scale, both formal and informal institutions affect firm survival. The entrepreneurial climate or culture mirrors the regional rather than national value attached to business ownership, risk-bearing behaviour and the status of being ones' own boss. It can be expected that the national variation in cultural entrepreneurial attitudes exceeds regional variation (Beugelsdijk and Noorderhaven 2004; Bosma and Schutjens 2009).

Concerning entrepreneurial activity, we presuppose that as the decision to start a firm is a 'regional' (Feldman 2001) or even local event, regional forces will affect entrepreneurship in the process before start-up, leading to lower regional variation in the prevalence of Early Stage Entrepreneurial Activity (ESEA: nascent entrepreneurs or owners of young businesses up to 3.5 years old). Based on visual inspection of spatial differences in entrepreneurial activity (Bosma and Schutjens 2009), we expect that national conditions matter more to established business ownership (EBO: Established Business Ownership with firms over 3.5 years old) than to ESEA.

HYPOTHESES

SOCIAL VALUES

- H01: There is no significant difference between the factors relating to social values dimension.
- H11: There is a significant difference between the factors relating to social values dimension.
- H02: There is no significant difference between regions relating to social values dimension.
- H12: There is a significant difference between regions relating to social values dimension.

INDIVIDUAL ATTRIBUTES

- H03: There is no significant difference between the factors relating to individual attributes dimension.
- H13: There is a significant difference between the factors relating to individual attributes dimension.
- H04: There is no significant difference between the regions relating to individual attributes dimension.
- H14: There is a significant difference between the regions relating to individual attributes dimension.

PHASES OF ENTREPRENEURIAL ACTIVITY

- H05: There is no significant difference between the factors relating to phases of entrepreneurial activity.
- H15: There is significant difference between the factors relating to phases of entrepreneurial activity.
- H06: There is no significant difference between regions relating to phases of entrepreneurial activity.
- H16: There is significant difference between regions relating to phases of entrepreneurial activity.

DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

The data on the dimensions of entrepreneurship, viz., Social values, Individual attributes and Phases of entrepreneurial activity for India and other Geographical Regions are given in Table 1. The data relate to the average unweighted responses of the sample units, on each of the above dimensions and the factors within each of those dimensions, measured on a 5-point Likert scale. The sample units comprise 206,000 individuals and 3936 national experts on entrepreneurship from 73 economies. In India 3,360 individuals have been taken as sample in 2014 according to age groups (18-64 years) and gender classifications to represent the national population. The data are given region-wise, comprising India, Asia & Oceania, Africa, Latin America & Caribbean, European Union, Non-European Union, and North America.

The data show that in the case of social values, for the factor 'Entrepreneurship as a good career choice', India ranks 6th amongst the 7 regions. For the factor 'High status to successful entrepreneurs' India's rank is 6th. For the factor 'Media attention for entrepreneurship' India is ranked at 5th position. Overall it appears that India stands below the average level regarding Social values.

In case of individual attributes dimension, for the factor 'Perceived opportunities', India ranks 5th compared to other regions. For the factor 'Perceived capabilities' India is ranked at 7th position. For the factor 'Fear of failure', India's rank is 2nd. For the factor 'Entrepreneurial intentions', India is ranked at 7th rank when compared to other regions. Overall India stands below average level for all the factors except fear of failure under Individual attributes dimension.

The data shows that in the case of phases of entrepreneurial dimensions, for the factor 'Nascent entrepreneurship rate', India is ranked at 6th position when compared to other regions. For the factor 'New business ownership rate', India's rank is 7th position. For the factor 'Early stage entrepreneurial Activity (TEA)', India stands at 6th position. For both the factors 'Established business ownership rate' and 'Discontinuation of businesses (% of TEA)', India is ranked at 7th position. The above analysis shows that India stands below average level for all the factors under Phases of entrepreneurial activity.

Overall for the three dimensions referred above India stands below the average level.

The hypothesis taken for the study has been tested using Two-way ANOVA tool. The output is given in tables 2 – 7. The results are summarized in Table 8. It is seen that there is significant difference between regions relating to social values dimension. From Table 2 we find the average for India as 60.23 across the regions i,e 6th position. This is possible because of cultural differences across the regions. There is significant difference between factors relating to individual attributes dimension. This can happen due to differences in personality that may arise even from the stage of upbringing of an individual, among others.

From Table 4, it is observed that perceived opportunities are given the highest rating with mean 45.4, followed by perceived capabilities (42.89), Fear of failure (33.27) and entrepreneurial intentions (19.41). It is significant that there is opportunity recognition to a great extent. At the same time capabilities are considered as a key factor. But the deterrents appear to be fear of failure and entrepreneurial intentions.

CONCLUSION

The analysis relating to entrepreneurial development in a global context reveal difference across regions in the case of social values and differences exist within the various factors under individual attributes. In India the opportunities for entrepreneurship have been growing significantly because of governmental and institutional support. In the global perspective the study reveals that there is an opportunity recognition and confidence regarding capabilities. There is a need to provide support in facing the fear of failure so that entrepreneurial intentions get converted into establishing entrepreneurial culture.

REFERENCES

- 1. Acs ZJ, l'Gorman C, Szerb L, Terjesen S (2007) Could the Irish miracle be repeated in Hungary? Small Bus Econ 28:123–142
- 2. Beugelsdijk S (2007) Entrepreneurial culture, regional innovativeness and economic growth. J Evol Econ17:187–210
- 3. Beugelsdijk S, Noorderhaven N (2004) Entrepreneurial attitude. Ann Reg Sci 38(2):199-218
- Beugelsdijk S, Van Schaik T, Arts W (2006) Toward a unified Europe? Explaining regional differences in value patterns by economic development, cultural heritage and historical shocks. Reg Stud 40(3):317–327

- Bosma NS, Schutjens VAJM (2007) Linking regional conditions to individual entrepreneurial behavior. Paper presented at the Babson Conference 2007, Madrid
- 6. Bosma NS, Schutjens VAJM, Stam FC (2009) Entrepreneurship in European regions: implications for public policy. In: Leitao J, Baptista R (eds) Public policies for fostering entrepreneurship. Springer, New York, pp 59–90
- 7. Davidsson P, Honig B (2003) The role of social and human capital among nascent entrepreneurs. J Bus Venturing 18(3):301–331
- 8. Davidsson P, Wiklund J (1997) Values, beliefs and regional variations in new firm formation rates. J Econ Psychol 18:179–199
- 9. Douglas EJ, Shepherd DA (2002) Self-employment as a career choice: attitudes, entrepreneurial intentions, and utility maximization. Entrepreneurship Theory Pract 26(3):81–90
- 10. Feldman MP (2001) The entrepreneurial event revisited: firm formation in a regional context. Ind Corp Change 10:861–891
- 11. Freytag A, Thurik R (2007) Entrepreneurship and its determinants in a cross-country setting. J Evol Econ17:117–131 Springer, New York pp 225–244
- 12. Hofstede G (2001) Culture's consequences, 2nd edn. Sage, Thousand Oakes
- 13. http://www.gemconsortium.org
- 14. Inglehart R (ed) (2003) Human values and social change: findings from the values surveys. Brill, Leiden
- 15. Inglehart R, Baker WE (2000) Modernization, cultural change, and the persistence of traditional values. Am Sociol Rev 65(1):19-51
- 16. Malecki E (1997) Entrepreneurs, networks, and economic development: a review of recent research. In: Katz JA (ed) Advances in entrepreneurship, firm emergence and growth. JAI Press, Greenwich, pp 57–118
- 17. Schutjens VAJM, Wever E (2000) Determinants of new firm success. Papers Reg Sci 79(2):135–153
- 18. Sternberg R, Wagner J (2005) Zur Evidenz regionaler Determinanten im Kontext individueller Gründungsaktivitäten. Zeitschrift für Wirtschaftsgeographie 49:167–184
- 19. Uhlaner L, Thurik R (2007) Postmaterialism influencing total entrepreneurial activity across nations. J Evol Econ 17:161–185
- 20. Weber M (1904) The protestant ethic and the spirit of capitalism, translated by T. Parsons. Scribner, New York (1929)

TABLES

TABLE1: SOCIAL VALUES, INDIVIDUAL ATTRIBUTES AND PHASES OF ENTREPRENEURIAL ACTIVITY FOR INDIA AND OTHER GEOGRAPHICAL REGIONS (Average Unweighted for % Population aged 18-64)

S. No	Regions	India	Asia &	Africa	Latin	European	Non	North	Rank
			Oceania		America & Caribbean	Union	European Union	America	of India
	Dimensions								
I	Social Values								
a)	Entrepreneurship as a good career choice	57.9	63.4	71.5	66.8	56.9	63.3	61.0	6
b)	High status to successful entrepreneurs	66.2	69.8	77.6	64.6	66.6	72.9	73.3	6
c)	Media attention for entrepreneurship	56.6	74.4	72.9	67.3	53.3	51.3	71.8	5
II	Individual Attributes								
a)	Perceived opportunities	38.9	36.6	62.3	49.4	34.8	42.6	53.2	5
b)	Perceived capabilities	36.7	44.6	65.2	64.5	42.3	41.7	51.2	7
c)	Fear of failure	37.7	37.5	23.8	27.7	40.7	32.4	33.1	2
d)	Entrepreneurial intentions	7.7	20.5	45.1	28.8	12.1	9.7	12.0	7
Ш	Phases of entrepreneurial activity								
a)	Nascent entrepreneurship rate	4.1	5.8	14.1	11.4	4.8	3.3	8.8	6
b)	New business ownership rate	2.5	7.4	13.0	6.7	3.2	2.8	4.9	7
c)	Early stage entrepreneurial Activity (TEA)	6.6	13.0	26.0	17.6	7.8	6.0	13.4	6
d)	Established business ownership rate	3.7	10.8	13.2	8.0	6.7	5.7	8.2	7
e)	Discontinuation of businesses (% of TEA)	1.2	3.9	14.0	5.4	2.6	3.0	4.1	7

TABLE 2: SOCIAL VALUES: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS

TABLE 2. SOCIAL VALUES. DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS								
SUMMARY	Count	Sum	Average	Variance				
Entrepreneurship as a good career choice	7	440.8	62.97143	25.69238				
High status to successful entrepreneurs	7	491	70.14286	22.01952				
Media attention for entrepreneurship	7	447.6	63.94286	98.26952				
India	3	180.7	60.23333	27.12333				
Asia & Oceania	3	207.6	69.2	30.52				
Africa	3	222	74	10.21				
Latin America & Caribbean	3	198.7	66.23333	2.063333				
European Union	3	176.8	58.93333	47.32333				
Non European Union	3	187.5	62.5	117.12				
North America	3	206.1	68.7	45.03				

TABLE 3: SOCIAL VALUES: ANOVA RESULTS

Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Rows	211.8971	2	105.9486	3.665165	0.057233711	3.885294
Columns	529.0057	6	88.16762	3.050054	0.047396319	2.99612
Error	346.8829	12	28.9069			
Total	1087.786	20				

TABLE 4: INDIVIDUAL ATTRIBUTES: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS

TABLE 4: INDIVIDUAL ATTRIBUTES: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS							
SUMMARY	Count	Sum	Average	Variance			
Perceived opportunities	7	317.8	45.4	100.39			
Perceived capabilities	7	300.2	42.88571	404.7114			
Fear of failure	7	232.9	33.27143	35.70238			
Entrepreneurial intentions	7	135.9	19.41429	181.5148			
India	4	121	30.25	226.81			
Asia & Oceania	4	139.2	34.8	103.6867			
Africa	4	196.4	49.1	363.18			
Latin America & Caribbean	4	170.4	42.6	312.7667			
European Union	4	129.9	32.475	194.9092			
Non European Union	4	126.4	31.6	234.42			
North America	4	103.5	25.875	472.9425			

TABLE 5: INDIVIDUAL ATTRIBUTES: ANOVA RESULTS

Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Rows	2912.077	3	970.6924	6.20897	0.004396954	3.159908
Columns	1519.844	6	253.3073	1.620263	0.198805708	2.661305
Error	2814.068	18	156.3371			
Total	7245.989	27				

TABLE 6: PHASES OF ENTREPRENEURIAL ACTIVITY: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS

TABLE 6. PHASES OF ENTREPRENEURIAL ACTIVITY: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS								
Count	Sum	Average	Variance					
7	52.3	7.471429	16.63905					
7	40.5	5.785714	13.7781					
7	90.4	12.91429	51.21143					
7	56.3	8.042857	10.06286					
7	34.2	4.885714	17.88143					
5	18.1	3.62	4.057					
5	40.9	8.18	13.672					
5	80.3	16.06	31.108					
5	49.1	9.82	23.902					
5	25.1	5.02	4.942					
5	20.8	4.16	2.423					
5	39.4	7.88	13.647					
	7 7 7 7 7 7 5 5 5 5 5 5	7 52.3 7 40.5 7 90.4 7 56.3 7 34.2 5 18.1 5 40.9 5 80.3 5 49.1 5 25.1 5 20.8	7 52.3 7.471429 7 40.5 5.785714 7 90.4 12.91429 7 56.3 8.042857 7 34.2 4.885714 5 18.1 3.62 5 40.9 8.18 5 80.3 16.06 5 49.1 9.82 5 25.1 5.02 5 20.8 4.16					

TABLE 7: PHASES OF ENTREPRENEURIAL ACTIVITY: ANOVA RESULTS

Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit
Rows	272.0989	4	68.02471	15.86503	1.76971E-06	2.776289
Columns	554.532	6	92.422	21.55507	1.44067E-08	2.508189
Error	102.9051	24	4.287714			
Total	929.536	34				

TABLE 8: HYPOTHESIS - CONCLUSION

	Null Hypothesis	P Value	Decision
Social Values	0.057	NR (Not Significant)	
	H02 There is no significant difference between regions relating to social values dimension	0.04	Rejected (Significant)
Individual Attributes	H03 There is no significant difference between the factors relating to individual attributes dimension	0.004	Rejected (Significant)
	H04 There is no significant difference between regions relating to individual attributes dimension	0.199	Not Rejected (Not Significant)
Phases of entrepreneurial activity	H05 There is no significant difference between the factors relating to phases of entrepreneurial activity	1.77	Not Rejected (Not Significant)
	H06 There is no significant difference between regions relating to phases of entrepreneurial activity	1.44	NR (Not Significant)

REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

Dear Readers

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Computer Application & Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue as well as on the journal as a whole, on our E-mail **infoijrcm@gmail.com** for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries, please feel free to contact us on our E-mail infoircm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-

Co-ordinator

DISCLAIMER

The information and opinions presented in the Journal reflect the views of the authors and not of the Journal or its Editorial Board or the Publishers/Editors. Publication does not constitute endorsement by the journal. Neither the Journal nor its publishers/Editors/Editorial Board nor anyone else involved in creating, producing or delivering the journal or the materials contained therein, assumes any liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information provided in the journal, nor shall they be liable for any direct, incidental, special, consequential or punitive damages arising out of the use of information/material contained in the journal. The journal, neither its publishers/Editors/ Editorial Board, nor any other party involved in the preparation of material contained in the journal represents or warrants that the information contained herein is in every respect accurate or complete, and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such material. Readers are encouraged to confirm the information contained herein with other sources. The responsibility of the contents and the opinions expressed in this journal are exclusively of the author (s) concerned.

ABOUT THE JOURNAL

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active cooperation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.







