

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMPUTER APPLICATION & MANAGEMENT

I  
J  
R  
C  
M



A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

Indexed & Listed at:

Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, ProQuest, U.S.A., EBSCO Publishing, U.S.A., Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A., Google Scholar,

Open J-Gate, India [link of the same is duly available at Infilbnet of University Grants Commission (U.G.C.)],

Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland with IC Value of 5.09 & number of libraries all around the world.

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than 4767 Cities in 180 countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

Ground Floor, Building No. 1041-C-1, Devi Bhawan Bazar, JAGADHRI – 135 003, Yamunanagar, Haryana, INDIA

<http://ijrcm.org.in/>

# CONTENTS

<b>Sr. No.</b>	<b>TITLE &amp; NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)</b>	<b>Page No.</b>
1.	IMPACT OF ASSET QUALITY ON SOLVENCY & LIQUIDITY OF BANKS: A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF PUBLIC SECTOR AND PRIVATE SECTOR BANKS IN INDIA <i>NARASIMHA PRAKASH &amp; DR. S. RAMESH</i>	1
2.	AN ANALYSIS OF ATTITUDES OF EMPLOYEES TOWARDS OVERTIME PRACTICES IN PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY <i>DR. VIJAYALAKSHMI KANTETI</i>	6
3.	A COST EFFECTIVE ANALYSIS OF TECHNICAL TRAINING IN POWER SUPPLY COMPANY <i>COLLINS MUDENDA &amp; PRISCA KAPUTO</i>	9
4.	A STUDY OF PROGRESS AND CHALLENGES OF SHGs IN KARJAT TALUKA <i>DR. B.S.RUPNAWAR &amp; SNEHA G. UPADHYE</i>	14
5.	CYBER-BULLYING: VICTIMIZATION OF ADOLESCENT GIRLS <i>DR. AARTI TOLIA</i>	17
6.	FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF KARNATAKA CO-OPERATIVE MILK PRODUCERS FEDERATION LTD. IN KARNATAKA WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO DAKSHINA KANNADA MILK UNION <i>JAYALAKSHMI H. Y. &amp; DR. P. N. UDAYACHANDRA</i>	19
7.	SOFTWARE EVOLUTION: PAST, PRESENT AND FUTURE <i>M. VENKATESWARA RAO</i>	23
8.	CUSTOMER PERSPECTIVE OF RELATIVE IMPORTANCE OF VISUAL MERCHANDISING VARIABLES: A CASE OF ELECTRONIC GOODS RETAILER IN HYDERABAD <i>M. HIMABINDU</i>	28
9.	CUSTOMER EXPECTATIONS & HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONALS PERCEPTION OF CUSTOMER EXPECTATION OF SERVICE QUALITY: A GAP ANALYSIS <i>SWETA DCUNHA, SUCHARITA SURESH &amp; DR. VIJAYA KUMAR</i>	31
10.	HR PRACTICES AND PERFORMANCE ON THE HOTEL INDUSTRY IN INDIA <i>DR. V. SIVAKUMAR &amp; ABDUL SIBIRIL</i>	38
11.	EFFECT OF PEOPLE PRACTICES ON TEACHER'S PERCEIVED ORGANIZATIONAL SUPPORT <i>NITHYAGOWRI.P. &amp; DR. KIRUPA PRIYADARSHINI.M</i>	40
12.	ISSUES AND TRENDS CHANGING SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT <i>A. KIRAN KUMAR</i>	44
13.	FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF CHHATTISGARH RENEWABLE ENERGY DEVELOPMENT AGENCY (CREDA) <i>SUMONA BHATTACHARYA &amp; DR. R. P. AGARWAL</i>	47
14.	E-COMMERCE: THE INNOVATIVE FACE OF MARKET <i>PARUL GABA &amp; KANCHAN WADHWA</i>	57
15.	GROWTH AND PERFORMANCE OF SELECT NON BANKING FINANCE COMPANIES IN INDIA <i>V. THILAGAVATHI &amp; M. LALITHA</i>	60
16.	ROLE OF OUTSIDERS IN DISTRIBUTION OF INFORMATION OVER THE INTERNET <i>HARPREET SINGH WALIA</i>	64
17.	CSR AS A MEASURE FOR ENHANCED REPUTATION: A REVIEW OF WORLD RENOWNED SELECT COMPANIES <i>BHAWNA KAPOOR</i>	66
18.	A STUDY ON FACTORS INFLUENCING COMPACT CAR CUSTOMERS IN DECISION MAKING AND BUYING OF COMPACT CAR WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO COIMBATORE CITY <i>DR.V.RANGANATHAN, K.MANGAIYARKKARASI &amp; M. KOVARTHINI</i>	71
19.	IN SEARCH OF EXCELLENCE IN SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT PROJECT: A STUDY <i>AMIT KUMAR PARMAR</i>	77
20.	LIVELIHOOD ANALYSIS OF HANDLOOM COMMUNITY: A CASE STUDY OF BALARAMAPURAM HANDLOOM WEAVERS OF KERALA <i>MUHAMMED JABIR M M</i>	83
	<b>REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK &amp; DISCLAIMER</b>	<b>87</b>

**CHIEF PATRON****PROF. K. K. AGGARWAL**

Chairman, Malaviya National Institute of Technology, Jaipur  
 (An institute of National Importance & fully funded by Ministry of Human Resource Development, Government of India)  
 Chancellor, K. R. Mangalam University, Gurgaon  
 Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Faridabad  
 Founder Vice-Chancellor (1998-2008), Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi  
 Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

**FOUNDER PATRON****LATE SH. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL**

Former State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana  
 Former Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri  
 Former President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

**FORMER CO-ORDINATOR****DR. S. GARG**

Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Business & Management, Urjani

**ADVISORS****PROF. M. S. SENAM RAJU**

Director A. C. D., School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

**PROF. S. L. MAHANDRU**

Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

**EDITOR****PROF. R. K. SHARMA**

Professor, Bharti Vidyapeeth University Institute of Management & Research, New Delhi

**EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD****DR. RAJESH MODI**

Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

**PROF. PARVEEN KUMAR**

Director, M.C.A., Meerut Institute of Engineering & Technology, Meerut, U. P.

**PROF. H. R. SHARMA**

Director, Chhatrapati Shivaji Institute of Technology, Durg, C.G.

**PROF. MANOHAR LAL**

Director & Chairman, School of Information & Computer Sciences, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

**PROF. ANIL K. SAINI**

Chairperson (CRC), Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

**PROF. R. K. CHOUDHARY**

Director, Asia Pacific Institute of Information Technology, Panipat

**DR. ASHWANI KUSH**

Head, Computer Science, University College, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra

**DR. BHARAT BHUSHAN**

Head, Department of Computer Science & Applications, Guru Nanak Khalsa College, Yamunanagar

**DR. VIJAYPAL SINGH DHAKA**

Dean (Academics), Rajasthan Institute of Engineering & Technology, Jaipur

**DR. SAMBHAVNA**

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

**DR. MOHINDER CHAND**

Associate Professor, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra

**DR. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA**

Associate Professor, P. J. L. N. Government College, Faridabad

**DR. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE**

Asst. Professor, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

**DR. BHAVET**

Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Engineering & Technology, Urjani

### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

**PROF. ABHAY BANSAL**

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity University, Noida

**PROF. NAWAB ALI KHAN**

Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

**ASHISH CHOPRA**

Sr. Lecturer, Doon Valley Institute of Engineering & Technology, Karnal

### FORMER TECHNICAL ADVISOR

**AMITA**

Faculty, Government M. S., Mohali

### FINANCIAL ADVISORS

**DICKIN GOYAL**

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

**NEENA**

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

### LEGAL ADVISORS

**JITENDER S. CHAHAL**

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

**CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA**

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

### SUPERINTENDENT

**SURENDER KUMAR POONIA**

## CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to recent developments & practices in the areas of Computer Science & Applications; Commerce; Business; Finance; Marketing; Human Resource Management; General Management; Banking; Economics; Tourism Administration & Management; Education; Law; Library & Information Science; Defence & Strategic Studies; Electronic Science; Corporate Governance; Industrial Relations; and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Rural Economics; Co-operation; Demography; Development Planning; Development Studies; Applied Economics; Development Economics; Business Economics; Monetary Policy; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Political Science; Continuing Education; Labour Welfare; Philosophy; Psychology; Sociology; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law; Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labour Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; International Relations; Human Rights & Duties; Public Administration; Population Studies; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism & Hospitality; Transportation Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic; Web Design and emerging paradigms in allied subjects.

Anybody can submit the **soft copy** of unpublished novel; original; empirical and high quality **research work/manuscript** **anytime** in **M.S. Word format** after preparing the same as per our **GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION**; at our email address i.e. [infoijrcm@gmail.com](mailto:infoijrcm@gmail.com) or online by clicking the link **online submission** as given on our website ([FOR ONLINE SUBMISSION, CLICK HERE](#)).

## GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT

### 1. COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

THE EDITOR

IJRCM

Subject: **SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF** \_\_\_\_\_.

(e.g. Finance/Mkt./HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, **please specify**)

DEAR SIR/MADAM

Please find my submission of manuscript entitled ' \_\_\_\_\_ ' for possible publication in one of your journals.

I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore, it has neither been published elsewhere in any language fully or partly, nor is it under review for publication elsewhere.

I affirm that all the co-authors of this manuscript have seen the submitted version of the manuscript and have agreed to their inclusion of names as co-authors.

Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I agree to comply with the formalities as given on the website of the journal. The Journal has discretion to publish our contribution in any of its journals.

NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR :

Designation :

Institution/College/University with full address & Pin Code :

Residential address with Pin Code :

Mobile Number (s) with country ISD code :

Is WhatsApp or Viber active on your above noted Mobile Number (Yes/No) :

Landline Number (s) with country ISD code :

E-mail Address :

Alternate E-mail Address :

Nationality :

**NOTES:**

- a) The whole manuscript has to be in **ONE MS WORD FILE** only, which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript. **pdf. version is liable to be rejected without any consideration.**
  - b) The sender is required to mention the following in the **SUBJECT COLUMN of the mail:**  
**New Manuscript for Review in the area of** (e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, please specify)
  - c) There is no need to give any text in the body of mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any **specific message** w.r.t. to the manuscript.
  - d) The total size of the file containing the manuscript is expected to be below **1000 KB**.
  - e) **Abstract alone will not be considered for review** and the author is required to submit the **complete manuscript** in the first instance.
  - f) **The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email within twenty four hours** and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of manuscript, within two days of submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending a separate mail to the journal.
  - g) The author (s) name or details should not appear anywhere on the body of the manuscript, except the covering letter and the cover page of the manuscript, in the manner as mentioned in the guidelines.
2. **MANUSCRIPT TITLE:** The title of the paper should be **bold typed, centered and fully capitalised**.
  3. **AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS:** Author (s) **name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline number (s), and email/alternate email address** should be given underneath the title.
  4. **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:** Acknowledgements can be given to reviewers, guides, funding institutions, etc., if any.
  5. **ABSTRACT:** Abstract should be in **fully italicized text**, ranging between **150 to 300 words**. The abstract must be informative and explain the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a **SINGLE PARA**. **Abbreviations must be mentioned in full.**
  6. **KEYWORDS:** Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of **five**. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stop at the end. All words of the keywords, including the first one should be in small letters, except special words e.g. name of the Countries, abbreviations.
  7. **JEL CODE:** Provide the appropriate Journal of Economic Literature Classification System code (s). JEL codes are available at [www.aeaweb.org/econlit/jelCodes.php](http://www.aeaweb.org/econlit/jelCodes.php), however, mentioning JEL Code is not mandatory.
  8. **MANUSCRIPT:** Manuscript must be in **BRITISH ENGLISH** prepared on a standard A4 size **PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER**. **It should be free from any errors i.e. grammatical, spelling or punctuation. It must be thoroughly edited at your end.**
  9. **HEADINGS:** All the headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
  10. **SUB-HEADINGS:** All the sub-headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
  11. **MAIN TEXT:**

**THE MAIN TEXT SHOULD FOLLOW THE FOLLOWING SEQUENCE:****INTRODUCTION****REVIEW OF LITERATURE****NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY****STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM****OBJECTIVES****HYPOTHESIS (ES)****RESEARCH METHODOLOGY****RESULTS & DISCUSSION****FINDINGS****RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS****CONCLUSIONS****LIMITATIONS****SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH****REFERENCES****APPENDIX/ANNEXURE****The manuscript should preferably range from 2000 to 5000 WORDS.**

12. **FIGURES & TABLES:** These should be simple, crystal **CLEAR, centered, separately numbered** & self explained, and **titles must be above the table/figure. Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure. It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text.**
13. **EQUATIONS/FORMULAE:** These should be consecutively numbered in parenthesis, horizontally centered with equation/formulae number placed at the right. The equation editor provided with standard versions of Microsoft Word should be utilised. If any other equation editor is utilised, author must confirm that these equations may be viewed and edited in versions of Microsoft Office that does not have the editor.
14. **ACRONYMS:** These should not be used in the abstract. The use of acronyms is elsewhere is acceptable. Acronyms should be defined on its first use in each section: Reserve Bank of India (RBI). Acronyms should be redefined on first use in subsequent sections.
15. **REFERENCES:** The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. **The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript** and they are supposed to follow Harvard Style of Referencing. **Also check to make sure that everything that you are including in the reference section is duly cited in the paper.** The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
  - All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
  - Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
  - When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc, in chronologically ascending order.
  - Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
  - The title of books and journals should be in italics. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
  - For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parenthesis.
  - **Headers, footers, endnotes and footnotes should not be used in the document.** However, **you can mention short notes to elucidate some specific point**, which may be placed in number orders after the references.

**PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:**

**BOOKS**

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

**CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS**

- Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

**JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES**

- Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

**CONFERENCE PAPERS**

- Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–23

**UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS**

- Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

**ONLINE RESOURCES**

- Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

**WEBSITES**

- Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 <http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp>



## CUSTOMER EXPECTATIONS & HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONALS PERCEPTION OF CUSTOMER EXPECTATION OF SERVICE QUALITY: A GAP ANALYSIS

**SWETA DCUNHA**

**RESEARCH SCHOLAR, REVA UNIVERSITY, KATTIGENAHALLI: &  
ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR  
FR MULLER MEDICAL COLLEGE  
KANKANADY**

**SUCHARITA SURESH**

**RESEARCH SCHOLAR, REVA UNIVERSITY, KATTIGENAHALLI: &  
ASST. PROFESSOR  
FR MULLER MEDICAL COLLEGE  
KANKANADY**

**DR. VIJAYA KUMAR**

**ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR  
A. J. INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT STUDIES  
KOTTARA CHOWKI**

### ABSTRACT

*The demand for quality has gained importance & is growing immensely in the healthcare sector. Quality of service is now regarded as one of the critical success factors of any service organizations. Understanding the customer & providing what they need will sustain & help the organization to maintain its competitive position. The purpose of the study was to measure & compare the customer's expectations regarding service quality and the perception of healthcare professionals regarding customer's expectations. The level of satisfaction among the customers was also assessed. The study is conducted in a tertiary care teaching hospital. The sample included patients (customers) admitted in the surgical ward & the healthcare professionals in the surgical ward. Expectations in the physical, functional, procedural, outcome dimensions of quality were assessed. The results indicate that a gap existed between the customers' expectations & perception of healthcare professionals regarding customer's expectations in all dimensions of service quality.*

### KEYWORDS

customer expectations, healthcare professionals, service quality.

### INTRODUCTION

Healthcare industry has developed in the last few years to a market - sensitive entity. The rapid economic and technological changes taking place in healthcare are forcing a revaluation of the values that shape the healthcare system and demand a re-examination on how quality is being defined. The rising literacy rate, higher levels of income and increasing awareness through deeper penetration of the media, has brought the Indian healthcare consumer closer to demand quality health care. The study of service quality perceptions from the patient viewpoint provides a basic feedback to the hospitals in the light of their patient-oriented and patient-centric efforts in attracting and satisfying the patients. Patient's opinion provides valuable information about the functioning of the health care system which should be taken into account while assessing the quality of hospital services.<sup>1</sup> These benefits not only improve patient outcomes and increase staff effectiveness and morale, they also help administrators meet key safety goals, reduce costs, and increase market share.

In the competitive world of health care it becomes more difficult to satisfy a customer (patient). In a situation like this, it is necessary to understand that one of the key factors satisfying a patient in a hospital is its service quality. Quality is one of the prime factors, which affect satisfaction. There is a strong connection between health service quality perceptions and customer satisfaction. When the health service providers understand what exactly the customer wants when they ask for quality, they will be able to satisfy their patients and only then the hospital will be successful. Hence it is essential to understand the dimensions of quality that affects patient satisfaction. Functional components are the ways in which consumers evaluate quality; on the other hand health professionals would pay more attention on the technical components as a measurement of quality. Here there is a difference of opinion between medical professionals and customers.

Customers are precious to any business; it is necessary that they are satisfied. The department of surgery is one such place in the hospital where a patient is most vulnerable and needs maximum quality care. The customer or their relatives who accompany them in the hospital always come in a state of unwellness, and here their mental, emotional and physical condition is very unstable. The patient who is undergoing surgery is prone to all sorts of complications; he is most vulnerable and requires utmost attention. In such a place the customer expects the caregiver to pay attention to the minutest details & give him the best quality in every possible way. Hence it is important to know what are the dimensions of quality that affect the satisfaction of a customer in the department of surgery.

### REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Quality is the single most effective and sustainable means of differentiation between competing companies. Service quality can be achieved by measuring customer satisfaction, handling customer complaints, creating and managing a customer loyalty program, managing relations between service quality and customer expectations & building an effective customer retention strategy. Quality can be improved by enhanced value of customers through new and improved services, reducing of errors, defects and wastes, opportunities to do better, effectiveness in the use of all resources, fulfilling the role of all public responsibilities. The determinants of quality are reliability, responsiveness, communication, security, understanding, access, and tangibles. (Dixon<sup>2</sup>)

In a service setting such as a hospital, care quality depends on many factors. The physical infrastructure is important; there should be adequate space, with good protection from the elements and a conducive, quite atmosphere for the patient. The personnel attending the patient, ie doctors, nurses and all others, should be sufficient in number and adequately trained. The material supplies should also be adequate; good quality treatment cannot be provided if there are shortages of drugs, bandages and other necessities. In the healthcare setting, beyond all these, there are two other aspects, which are difficult to capture but are crucial are the patients trust in the system's ability and competence of the healthcare personnel to work together or click together as a team. The optimum quality of care is not necessarily the most expensive care, neither is it required to be the cheapest care available, it is that which maximizes the net benefit to the patient. (Kutty<sup>3</sup>) According to Verma & Agarwal<sup>4</sup> services cannot be compared side by side on the basis of their intrinsic cues because of their distinct char, consumer rely more on extrinsic cues to evaluate service quality. Example: In evaluating doctors services the quality of the office and the examining room furnishings, the number of frames on the wall, the professionalization of nurses, human behavior of doctor – all contribute to the overall evaluation of the quality of the service of a doctor.



Since the actual quality of the service can vary from day to day, customer to customer, employee to employee, marketers try to standardize their services in order to provide consistency of quality. A group of researchers devoted themselves to the study of how consumers evaluate service quality, they concluded that the service quality that the customer perceives is a function of the magnitude and direction of the gap between the customers' expectation of service and the customers' assessment (perception) of the service delivered. If the service falls below expectations the consumer considers it to be of poor quality and if it exceeds consumer expectations, it is delighted and if it's only up to its expectations, he treats it a good quality.

What people expect from their health care compared with their experiences may influence their satisfaction with it. There is also some evidence that patients who receive the health care they expect may recover better than patients who do not. However, there are many definitions of what patients expect from health services, relating, for example, to health-care structures (e.g. buildings, equipment, staff), processes (e.g. waiting lists, the way that staff and patients interact) and health outcomes (e.g. the effects of the health service on patients' health, including patients' assessments of their health) and different visit types/episodes. (Bowling et. al<sup>5</sup>).

As quality improves, expectations increase. As consumers become more quality conscious, service firms not only need to satisfy their expectations, but to exceed them. The consequences of not meeting expectations received some attention. Researchers identify managing negative reactions, which come from unmet expectations, as strategic method for ensuring patient satisfaction. Not to do so, is to loose market share and customer loyalty. (Moore and Berry<sup>6</sup>)

According to Woodruffe<sup>7</sup> service quality is of crucial importance to both customers and service providers. Quality should be functional, not restrictive and should reflect the overall business or business like activities of the organization. Quality in service organizations is largely inseparable from the service delivery; so the key focus in service quality is on people. Quality results from a team effort, a customer consciousness, which permeates all levels of the organization, from top management down. The most relevant approach in defining and measuring service is the user-based approach. The idea that quality is subjective and will be strongly linked to the individual needs and expectations recognizes that consumers have different criteria for judging service quality. These can be broadly classified as follows: PEOPLE (Credibility, Professionalism, Efficiency, Courtesy, Approachability, Accessibility, Good Communication, Identifying and understanding customer needs), PROCESS (Timekeeping, Dependability, Trusted Performance level, Promptness, Efficiency), PHYSICAL EVIDENCE (Appearance of tangible aspects of the service, Physical surroundings, smartness)

Donabedian<sup>8</sup> developed seven attributes of healthcare quality: Efficacy (the best result or benchmark for a particular diagnosis), Effectiveness (ordinary machine or the industry average), Efficiency (a measure of cost, or the least costly of 2 identically effective treatments), Optimality (cost benefit evaluation, or the point at which further resources do not add benefit), Acceptability (adaptation of care to the wishes, expectations and values of the patients and their families), Legitimacy (the community's view of care), Equity (the principle by which one determines what is just or fair in the distribution of care and its benefits among the members of the population)

Zifko-Baliga,<sup>9</sup> found 15 perceived quality dimensions to quality in the following manner:

Structure (building / Technological Environment, Amenities, Parking, Billing Procedures), Process(physician) –(Professional expertise, Validation of Patient beliefs, Interactive communication, Image), Process(nurse)- (Interactive caring, Professional Efficiency, Individual Reliability, Process(Staff)- (Perspicacity, Skills), Outcome (Physical / Emotional Care)

Zwelling<sup>10</sup> summed up that technical quality tends to focus on Donabedian's 1<sup>st</sup> four attributes- efficacy, effectiveness, efficiency and optimality (bringing about wellness with the best possible result) thus excellence in technical quality is the attainment of the best clinical outcome. While these attributes seem quantifiable, a problem emerges, as these attributes converge with such considerations as quality of life, cost effectiveness and appropriateness. Functional quality involves the process of how a patient receives a service. Administrators, nurses and other medical staff members frequently determine how services are delivered in the healthcare. Patients can better understand the functional aspects by linking them to their own experiences. Examples of functional quality include, the quality of the nurse & patient interaction and the condition of the environment

O' Brien<sup>11</sup> elaborated on the physician attributes, identifying the following as technical aspects of quality – Accessibility, Appropriateness, Effectiveness, Continuity, Efficiency. He adds patient satisfaction, which results from meeting patients' informed expectations about the outcomes of care with compassion and concern. This last attribute- satisfaction- relates to the functional aspect of quality.

There remains considerable debate about which measures should be used to reflect surgical quality. Structural measures include a broad list of variables reflecting the setting or system in which care is delivered. These may include hospital's physical plant and resources, staff expertise or staff coordination, resource availability and may be an important determinant of surgical outcomes. Process variables describe the care that patients actually receive and are routinely used as quality indicators in nonsurgical specialties. These include practices related to central venous line management, critical care, and minimizing risks of postoperative cardiac events, venous thromboembolism, and other complications. For outcome measures, although operative mortality is most commonly used, other measures that could be considered quality indicators include complication rates, length of stay, readmission rates, patient satisfaction, functional health status, and other measures of health-related quality of life(Birkmeyer et.al,<sup>12</sup>)

A statewide patient survey project designed to meet the dual goals of supporting internal hospital quality improvements and advancing public accountability through public reporting on comparative information on patient care experiences was undertaken by The Massachusetts Health Quality Partnership<sup>13</sup>. 52 institutions participated in this study, which accounts for about 80 percent of the state's medical / surgical inpatient discharges and 90 percent of the child birth patients. The picker institute administered the surveys, which focused on dimensions of care which patients themselves identified as important. Dimensions measured by the included: Respect for patient preferences, Physical comfort, Involvement of family & friends, Continuity and transition, Co-ordination of care, Information and Education, Emotional Support

Bowling et.al<sup>14</sup>, conducted an exploratory study and surveys of GP patients and hospital outpatients immediately before and after their surgery/clinic visit to measure their pre-visit expectations for their health care and their post-visit experiences. Descriptive findings revealed that most patients ideally expected cleanliness, information about where to go, convenient and punctual appointments and helpful reception staff, the doctor to be knowledgeable, clear and easy to understand, to be involved in treatment decisions and to experience a reduction in symptoms/problems. Previous consultations/experiences of health services and health-care staff/professionals most commonly influenced expectations. Generally, GP patients reported higher pre-visit expectations and post-visit met expectations. Correlations between subscale domains were strongest between the structure and process of health care, doctor-patient communication style and doctor's approach to giving information, all common indicators of the quality of health care, supporting the validity of the measures.

From a management perspective, patient satisfaction with health care is important for several reasons. First, satisfied patients are more likely to maintain a consistent relationship with a specific provider. Second, by identifying sources of patient dissatisfaction, an organization can address system weaknesses, thus improving its risk management. Third, satisfied patients are more likely to follow specific medical regimens and treatment plans. Finally, patient satisfaction measurement adds important information on system performance, thus contributing to the organization's total quality management. (Braunsberger, & Gates<sup>15</sup>)

Ahsan et. al<sup>16</sup> conducted a cross-sectional study at a major tertiary care hospital, questions were asked regarding admission procedure, referral, clinical and lab investigation services, attitude of doctors and nurses, nursing services, cleanliness condition of the wards and overall level of satisfaction. Satisfaction level was high among females than males. Most of the patients having low level of education and unemployed patients were satisfied. Cleanliness condition of wards and bathrooms was highly criticised. Attitude of doctors and nurses was much appreciated. About 70% of the patients were satisfied with explanation regarding their disease. More than half (59%) were not aware of post-surgical complications, and 67% were not aware of the use and possible side-effects of medication. About half of the patients couldn't utilise hospital lab. Overall satisfaction level was 68% in medical wards and 77% in surgical wards.

Aldaql et. al<sup>17</sup> determine the factors that affect patient satisfaction in the surgical ward of a university hospital. There was a strong relation between the patient dissatisfaction and patient's age (P value: 0.003), gender (P value: 0.001, with more female satisfaction), and duration of hospital stay (P value: 0). In a studied area, the factors that influence patient satisfaction are old age (> 50 years old), male gender, waiting time in emergency department and out-patient department (clinic), quality of food, quick response of consulting doctors of other departments, explanation of surgical team about lifestyle after surgery (eating habits, wound management, having shower and exercise), and length of hospital stay

**OBJECTIVES**

1. To measure the customer's expectation regarding service quality and the perception of healthcare professionals regarding customer's expectation in the department of surgery
2. To compare the expectations of customers & perception of healthcare professionals regarding customers expectation
3. To assess the level of satisfaction among the customers of the department of surgery.

**RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

The research approach adopted in the study is descriptive cross sectional type. The study is conducted in a multi-specialty, tertiary care teaching hospital, which has more than 1000 beds. A sample of size 384 patients(customers) admitted in the surgical ward was estimated, assuming 50% of the patients were satisfied with the services and allowable error  $e=5\%$ , using the formula  $n = Z_{\alpha} \cdot P(1-P)/e^2$ . 26 healthcare professionals (15 doctors & 11 nurses) were included in the study. Healthcare professionals were the doctors who perform the surgeries in the OT's & the nurses who were working in the OT complex.

The tool selected for the collection of data included 2 structured questionnaires (one for the healthcare professionals & the other for the customers). The structured questionnaires for the customers was designed to study the expectations of the customers in the physical, functional, procedural, outcome dimensions of quality and to evaluate the level of their satisfaction. The tool for the healthcare professionals was designed to rate their perception of customer expectation. A comparison was then made to identify the gap in customer's expectation and health care professional's perception. The data was analyzed in terms of frequency & percentage.

**RESULTS**

The data was analysed and presented as follows: - Section 1 – Demographic data of medical professionals & customers; Section 2 – Expectations of customers about the dimensions of quality & Healthcare professionals perception of customer expectation about the dimensions of quality; Section 3 – Customer satisfaction & Perception of customer satisfaction by the medical professionals.

**SECTION I: DEMOGRAPHIC DATA OF HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONALS & CUSTOMERS****TABLE 1: DEMOGRAPHIC DATA OF HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONALS**

Characteristic	Percentage
Age	
Male	53%
Female	47%
Age (years)	
20-29	50%
30-39	10%
40-49	18%
50-59	15%
> 60	7%
Designations	
Associate professors	19%
Asst. professors	39%
Staff Nurse	42%
Experience	
6mth- 1yr	27%
1yr – 5yr	50%
5yr -10yr	15%
> 10yr	8%

**TABLE 2: DEMOGRAPHIC DATA OF CUSTOMERS (SURGICAL PATIENTS)**

Characteristic	Percentage
Age	
Male	60%
Female	40%
Age (years)	
20-29	7%
30-39	11%
40-49	57%
50-59	11%
> 60	14%
Education	
Illiterate	15%
SSLC	47%
Diploma/ PUC	25%
Graduate	13%
Occupation	
Unemployed	17%
Manual laborer	15%
Class IV/ agriculturist	15%
Clerical	43%
Professional	10%
Frequency of visiting the hospital	
Once a fortnight	20%
Once a month	70%
Once a quarter	5%

In the sample of customers, it was observed that 60% were males & 40% were females. 7% of the patient respondents were in the age group 20 – 29 years, 11% were in the age group 30 – 39 years, 57% were in the age group 40 – 49 years, 11% were in the age group 50- 59 years & 14% of the respondents were above 60 years of age. 15% of the customers were Illiterate, 47% of them were educated till SSLC, 25% till Diploma / PUC & 13% of them were graduates. 43% of the patient

respondents were occupied in clerical jobs, 17% unemployed, 15% each in manual laborers & agriculturists & 10% of them were professionals. 75% of the customers (patients) visit the hospital once a month, 20% of them visit it once every fortnight, 5% of them visit the hospital once in every quarter.

In the sample of medical professionals, 50% of the medical professionals were in the age group of 20-29 years, 10% in 30-39 years of age, 18% in 40 – 49 years of age, 15% between 50- 59 years of age & 7% above 60 years of age. 53% of the doctors were males & 47% females, while 100% of nurses were females. Of the entire distribution of medical professionals 19% were associate professors, 42% were staff nurses & 39% were surgeons / doctors. 50% of these doctors had experience of 1-5 years, 27% had experience of 6 months – 1 year, 15% from 5-10 years & 8% > 10 years.

**SECTION II: CUSTOMER (PATIENT) EXPECTATION & HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONALS PERCEPTION OF CUSTOMER EXPECTATION:** Expectations of the customers in the physical, functional, procedural and outcome dimensions of quality was assessed. The customers ranked the expectation sheet in order of their preference. The healthcare professionals also ranked their perception regarding customer expectation. The gap between the customer expectation & healthcare professionals' perception is also presented in the Table 5.

**TABLE 3 : CUSTOMER EXPECTATION IN THE PHYSICAL & FUNCTIONAL DIMENSION OF QUALITY**

SL NO	Physical dimension of quality ITEM	RANKS						TOTAL
		1	2	3	4	5	6	
1.	Seating	19%	33%	38%	10%	-	-	100%
2.	Toilet facilities	62%	19%	16%	3%	-	-	100%
3.	Cleanliness	17%	42%	34%	7%	-	-	100%
4.	Ambience	-	-	3%	17%	82%	-	100%
5.	Staff Neatness	-	5%	13%	63%	19%	-	100%
<b>Functional dimension of quality</b>								
1.	Time for pre-op diagnosis	10%	25%	35%	17%	13%	-	100%
2.	Doctor – Patient relationship	38%	13%	10%	10%	19%	10%	100%
3.	Doctors case explanation	40%	10%	7%	13%	15%	15%	100%
4.	Courteousness of staff	3%	5%	10%	15%	17%	50%	100%
5.	Nursing care	10%	17%	13%	25%	17%	17%	100%
6.	Updating of relatives about the patient condition	10%	30%	25%	17.5%	17.5%	-	100%
<b>Procedures &amp; outcome dimension of quality</b>								
1.	Healthcare is a team effort	20%	17%	50%	13%			100%
2.	Length of stay of patient	43%	35%	15%	7%			100%
3.	Charges payable	37%	46%	17%	-			100%
4.	Continuity of care	-	3%	17%	80%			100%

In the physical dimension of quality the customer expects & ranks 1<sup>st</sup> the Toilet facilities provided to the patient party (62%), ranks 2<sup>nd</sup> the Cleanliness of the post-operative wards (42%), 3<sup>rd</sup> the seating arrangements for the patient party (38%) , 4<sup>th</sup> rank to the Neatness of the clothing of the staff (63%) & 5<sup>th</sup> rank to the Ambience of the waiting lounge (82%)

40% of the customers have rated 1<sup>st</sup> to doctor explaining the case, 30% of them have ranked 2<sup>nd</sup> to updating of relatives about patient condition, 3<sup>rd</sup> they ranked time taken for pre-operative diagnosis, 25% ranked 4<sup>th</sup> to nursing care, 19% of them ranked 5<sup>th</sup> to doctor-patient relationship whereas 50% of them ranked 6<sup>th</sup> to courteousness of staff.

43% of the customers expect their length of stay to be shortest, 46% of them the charges of the hospital affect them the most, 50% of them said healthcare is a team effort & 80% of them said they expect continuity of care.

These all have implications for the quality of health services and their improvement. Awareness of patients' expectations, and unmet expectations, among health service staff should enable staff to understand the patients' perspective and improve communication and unmet expectations.

**TABLE 4: HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONALS PERCEPTION OF CUSTOMER EXPECTATION ABOUT THE PHYSICAL & FUNCTIONAL DIMENSIONS OF QUALITY**

SL NO	Physical dimension of quality ITEM	RANKS						TOTAL
		1	2	3	4	5	6	
1.	Seating	3%	58%	15%	12%	12%	-	100%
2.	Toilet facilities	32%	6%	24%	19%	19%	-	100%
3.	Cleanliness	53%	6%	35%	6%	-	-	100%
4.	Ambience	-	3%	12%	50%	35%	-	100%
5.	Staff Neatness	15%	23%	12%	15%	35%	-	100%
<b>Functional dimension of quality</b>								
1.	Time for pre-op diagnosis	12%	12%	20%	35%	15%	6%	100%
2.	Doctor – Patient relationship	15%	31%	27%	27%	-		100%
3.	Doctors case explanation	28%	28%	15%	6%	20%	3%	100%
4.	Courteousness of staff	15%	6%	15%	12%	32%	20%	100%
5.	Nursing care	28%	3%	15%	3%	23%	28%	100%
6.	Updating relatives about the patient condition	3%	20%	12%	15%	12%	38%	100%
<b>Procedures &amp; outcome dimension of quality</b>								
1.	Healthcare is a team effort	62%	8%	20%	10%			100%
2.	Length of stay of patient	8%	58%	31%	3%			100%
3.	Charges payable	27%	15%	38%	20%			100%
4.	Continuity of care	3%	23%	8%	66%			100%

In the physical dimension of quality, 53% of the healthcare professionals rank 1<sup>st</sup> to cleanliness in the post-operative wards & they expect this to be affecting the patients level of satisfaction, 2<sup>nd</sup> on the rank they expect seating arrangements for the patient party (58%), 3<sup>rd</sup> on the rank are the toilet facilities for the patient party (35%), 4<sup>th</sup> is Ambience of the waiting lounge (50%)& 5<sup>th</sup> is the Neatness of the staff (35%).

In the functional dimension of quality the healthcare professionals (28%) perceive that doctor explaining the case to the patient party affects the customer satisfaction most. 2<sup>nd</sup> they rank Doctor-patient relationship (31%), 3<sup>rd</sup> is the Time spent for the pre-operative diagnosis (27%), 4<sup>th</sup> they ranked the updating of the relatives about the patient condition & 5<sup>th</sup> they ranked Courteousness of the staff of the OT complex (32%) & 6<sup>th</sup> they ranked Nursing care given to the patient (38%)

In the Dimension of quality where procedures & outcomes are considered, the healthcare professionals perceive that the customers rank healthcare as a team effort as 1<sup>st</sup> (62%), 2<sup>nd</sup> they rank The length of stay in the hospital affects the satisfaction of the customer (58%), 3<sup>rd</sup> they rank the charges that the customer pays for the service (38%) & 4<sup>th</sup> they ranked the continuity of care from the hospital to home (66%)

TABLE 5: COMPARISONS OF EXPECTATIONS

RANK	MEDICAL PROFESSIONALS	CUSTOMERS
<b>PHYSICAL DIMENSION OF QUALITY</b>		
1 <sup>ST</sup>	Cleanliness in the post-operative wards	Toilet facilities for the patient party
2 <sup>ND</sup>	Seating arrangements for the relatives of the patient party.	Cleanliness in the post-operative wards
3 <sup>RD</sup>	Toilet facilities for the patient party	Seating arrangements for the relatives of the patient party.
4 <sup>TH</sup>	Ambience of the waiting lounge	Neatness of the clothing of the staff
5 <sup>TH</sup>	Neatness of the clothing of the staff	Ambience of the waiting lounge
<b>FUNCTIONAL DIMENSION OF QUALITY</b>		
1 <sup>ST</sup>	Doctor explaining the case to the patient party	Doctor – patient relationship
2 <sup>ND</sup>	Doctor – patient relationship	Relatives should be updated about the patient condition
3 <sup>RD</sup>	Time spent for the pre-operative diagnosis	Time spent for the pre-operative diagnosis
4 <sup>TH</sup>	Relatives should be updated about the patient condition	Nursing Care to the patient
5 <sup>TH</sup>	Courteousness of the OT complex staff	Doctor explaining the case to the patient party
6 <sup>TH</sup>	Nursing Care to the patient	Courteousness of the OT complex staff
<b>PROCEDURE &amp; OUTCOME DIMENSION OF QUALITY</b>		
1 <sup>ST</sup>	Healthcare is a team effort	Length of the stay in the hospital
2 <sup>ND</sup>	Length of the stay in the hospital	Charges of the hospital
3 <sup>RD</sup>	Charges of the hospital	Healthcare is a team effort
4 <sup>TH</sup>	Continuity of care from the hospital to home	Continuity of care from the hospital to home

In the selected hospital Medical professionals ranked 1<sup>st</sup> to the cleanliness of the post-operative wards, whereas customers said toilet facilities is what they expect. Medical professionals ranked 2<sup>nd</sup> the seating arrangements for the patient party, whereas customers said cleanliness of post-operative wards. The toilet facilities for patient party was ranked 3<sup>rd</sup> by medical professionals, and customers said seating arrangements for patient party. There was a gap in givers perspective and receivers perspective. Customers, when it comes to the physical facilities they still expect the same basic essentials to be satisfied. Customer have basic expectations like toilet & drinking water facilities, unlike posh ambience of waiting lounges; that would be added luxury to them & gives a customer a surplus of satisfaction; but doesn't affect him directly. Medical professionals may have given more importance to cleanliness in post operative ward as it has a direct relation to quick recovery of patients.

**SECTION III: CUSTOMER SATISFACTION:** An assessment of customers satisfaction was carried out on the 4 dimensions- physical, functional, procedural & outcomes. Customers were asked to rate on a five point rating scale

TABLE 6: CUSTOMER SATISFACTION ABOUT THE PHYSICAL DIMENSION OF QUALITY

SL NO	ITEM	Highly Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Highly Dissatisfied	Total
1.	Seating Arrangement in the waiting area	-	95%	-	5%	-	100%
2.	Drinking water facilities for the patient party	-	68%	-	32%	-	100%
3.	Cleanliness in the post – operative wards	5%	95%	-	-	-	100%
4.	Hygiene of the linen being used	-	70%	-	22%	8%	100%
5.	Cleanliness & hygiene in the dept	-	87%	-	10%	3%	100%
6.	Kindness & sympathetic nature of the staff of the OT complex	50%	50%	-	-	-	100%
7.	Neatness & Tidiness of the dress of the staff	87%	8%	-	5%	-	100%
8.	Toilet facilities for the patient party	3%	19%	-	75%	3%	100%
	OVERALL	20%	50%	-	25%	5%	100%

95% of the customers are satisfied with the seating arrangements, 68% satisfied with the drinking water facilities, 75% are dissatisfied with the toilet facilities, 95% satisfied with the cleanliness, 50% satisfied with the sympathetic nature of the staff, 70% are satisfied with the Hygiene of the linen being used, 87% are very satisfied with the neatness of the staff

The 1<sup>st</sup> impression of any dept is made just by what the customer can see. The physical facilities of any department should be visually appealing. The department should not lack certain facilities of a social nature like availability of waiting areas, facilities of seating, toilet facilities & drinking water facilities etc. Availability of good toilet facility is a must in any waiting area. As many of the patients are dissatisfied with the toilet facilities (patients have ranked it 1 in the expectation scale), management must pay immediate attention and improve the facilities.

TABLE 7: CUSTOMER SATISFACTION ABOUT THE FUNCTIONAL DIMENSION OF QUALITY

SL NO	ITEM	Highly Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Highly Dissatisfied	Total
9.	Communication with OT staff	-	10%	-	62%	28%	100%
10.	Time taken for admission	-	23%	-	52%	25%	100%
11.	Time taken for Pre- Operative diagnosis	-	10%	-	50%	40%	100%
12.	Attention given by the doctor	-	92%	-	5%	3%	100%
13.	Information given to the patient about the surgery	-	90%	-	10%	-	100%
14.	Handling any complication in OT	3%	90%	-	7%	-	100%
15.	Nursing Care	82%	15%	-	3%	-	100%
16.	Post-Operative doctor visits	15%	85%	-	-	-	100%
17.	Information about the patient condition to the attenders	10%	10%	-	80%	-	100%
	OVERALL	20%	45%	-	20%	15%	100%

Patients are satisfied with certain aspects: 92% with the attention given by the doctor, 90% with the information given about the surgery, 90% by the way in which any complication was handled in the OT, 82% with the nursing care, 85% with the post-operative doctors visit. Dissatisfaction is seen with following- 62% with communication with the staff, 52% with the time taken for admission, 50% with the time taken for pre-operative diagnosis, 80% by the way in which information about patients conditions is given to the attenders.

Satisfaction with hospital care is too often assessed on the basis of amenities that have little relationship to the clinical quality of care said Rees <sup>18</sup>. He feels that amenities do not indicate the quality of what happens to people while they are in the hospital and what happens to them after they discharge. He recommended the measure of respect for patient values, preferences and their needs, co-ordination of care (scheduling tests and procedures) : information & education provided: physical comfort(waiting time after call bell); emotional support and alleviation of fear and anxiety; opportunity for involvement of family and friends; provision for continuity transition to the home environment. The present study indicates there is dissatisfaction about communication aspect. Communication is a important indicator of service quality in any service organization. It is vital that OT staff give necessary information to the patients & also constantly update their attenders.

The attenders play an important role in choice of hospital as well helping them in recovery. They experience a lot of anxiety about their dear ones undergoing surgery and should be kept well informed as it will influence intention to recommend the hospital.

**TABLE 8: CUSTOMERS SATISFACTION ABOUT THE QUALITY OF PROCEDURES**

SL NO	ITEM	YES	NO	TOTAL
<b>Quality of procedures</b>				
18.	Are the consent forms signed by the patients	100%	-	100%
19.	Are there delays in surgeries	85%	15%	100%
20.	Are the delays informed to the Patient	40%	60%	100%
21.	Was the operation a success	92%	8%	100%
22.	Any post operative complication	47%	53%	100%
23.	Any expected post-operative complications informed to the patient	85%	15%	100%
	OVERALL	62%	35%	100%
<b>Quality of outcomes</b>				
24.	Satisfaction with the post surgical care	97%	3%	100%
25.	Satisfied with the hospital	100%	-	100%
26.	Did the care help the speedy recovery of the patient	100%	-	100%
27.	Does the design of the structure helps the fast movement of the patient	23%	77%	100%
28.	Does the hospital's monetary charges justified to the treatment given	97%	3%	100%
29.	Recommend the hospital to others	100%	-	100%
	OVERALL	85%	15%	100%

100% of the customers have signed the consent forms before surgery, in 92% of the cases the operation was a success, 53% of the customers did not have any post operative complication, 85% of them were informed of any expected post-operative complication prior to the surgery.

97% of the customers were satisfied with the post surgical care, 100% of them are satisfied with the Hospital, 100% of them feel that the care given helped their speedy recovery, 97% feel their monetary charges justified, 100% said they would suggest this hospital to others. Certain areas of concern are : 85% of them agree that the surgeries were delayed, 60% of the delays were not informed to the patient party. 77% of them feel the structure of the hospital does not facilitate the fast movement of the patient.

## DISCUSSION

A customer of a healthcare facility in addition to getting better health outcomes, also is influenced by many other factors during his/her stay in the hospital. They are some physical dimensions such as infrastructure, aesthetics etc, functional aspects such as nursing care, courtesy etc. These factors are seen to affect the overall assessment of quality of medical services, also significantly influence the decision whether a patient wants to go back to the same facility again when the need arises and form the basis of recommendation of the facility by the patient to his/her circle of family, and friends. Hence there is a need for every healthcare organization to constantly assess the expectations of the customers and measure their level of satisfaction. The present study is an effort to do so. There exists contrast between customers expectations & perception of healthcare professionals regarding customers expectations. Customers give more priority to basic amenities whereas healthcare professionals give importance to factors which influence outcome of care. Healthcare professionals paid attention to things like healthcare being a team effort & continuity of care from hospital to home whereas the customers are more worried about the length of stay in the hospital & the charges of hospital because these are the things that directly affect the customers. Longer the stay in hospital leads to more expenditure & more loss of productive time. Medical professionals should pay attentions to all this.

Hamilton, Lane, Gaston, et al<sup>19</sup> have mentioned in their review of surgical literature cite various factors such as meeting of expectations, staff politeness, the surgeon's communication skills and surgical waiting times have all been suggested as influencing eventual satisfaction. The present study showed that patients are dissatisfied with some aspects such as toilet facilities, time taken for admission, Communication with OT staff, information given to patient attenders. Caljouw, Beuzekom and Boer<sup>20</sup> in their study were assessing patient satisfaction with perioperative and anaesthesia care that included questions about information, professional competence, service, and staff-patient relationship. It was found that information provision and the relationship between staff and patient were the major determinants of patient satisfaction.

Mira et al<sup>21</sup> report 75% satisfaction in a large sample of patients (undergoing urology, traumatology, ophthalmology and general surgery) discharged in a 2-month period from multiple Spanish hospitals. They found that in addition to successful surgical procedure other facets relating to the experience of the surgical episode such as previous explanation of the procedure, provision of information at admission and at discharge, and quickness of response on the ward all substantially influenced the patient's overall satisfaction response. The present study highlighted certain areas of concern: the delay in surgeries, many said that the delays were not informed to them. Many reasons can be attributed to delay: surgeon being held up in another procedure, late arrival of surgeon, waiting for patient to be stabilized before starting procedure, operating room not being available as previous case is not over etc. Its important to update the patient attenders about the delay as they would be waiting with anxiety. Cleary<sup>22</sup> also mentions many studies emphasise that the patient's satisfaction following a surgical procedure is not limited to the outcomes of the intervention, but influenced by the experience of the event as a whole, from preoperative consultation to postoperative review.

## CONCLUSION

Evaluation of medical care services in hospitals encompasses the evaluation of the organization; the physical, the functional, the procedures & the outcome dimensions of quality & its impact on healthcare users. Evaluation of hospitals is complicated by the multidisciplinary nature of activities, diversity of staff, variation in the intensity of care of each patient & the intangible outcomes of medical care, thereby forcing qualitative judgments on the evaluations. What one measures is therefore only certain dimensions from which inferences are drawn & corrective actions are taken.

If healthcare providers understand what expectations customers have and what attributes consumers use to judge healthcare quality, steps may be taken to monitor & enhance the performance of those attributes. The surgical ward is one such department in the hospital where the patient is in need of most care, concern. Their relatives are in a very anxious state; normally go through emotional shock, turmoil, etc. In a situation like this the hospital staff & atmosphere should be such that they should be comfortable, or at least the physical facilities available in the hospital, the functions of the department, their procedures should not cause more inconvenience to the patient party.

## REFERENCES

1. Itumalla Ramaiah. 2012. A study on service quality in healthcare. International journal of management research and review Feb / Volume 2/Issue 2/Article No-10/308-315
2. Dixon.C. 2006. Need for continuous improvement in quality [online]. Oct 30<sup>th</sup> ; Available from: URL : <http://totalqualitycontrol.continuosimprovement/.org>
3. Kutty. R.V. 1999. A premier of Health Systems Economics. 1999. New Delhi: Allied Publishers Ltd;. p 34 – 37.
4. Varma & Agarwal. 2000. Consumer Behavior.4<sup>th</sup> edition. New Delhi: King Publications;. p115 – 117.
5. Bowling A, Rowe G, Lambert N, Waddington M, Mahtani KR, Kenten C, Howe A and SA Francis. 2012. The measurement of patients' expectations for health care: a review and psychometric testing of a measure f patients' expectations. Health Technology Assessment; Vol. 16: No. 30
6. Moore & Berry. 2001. Improving Service Quality in a hospital setting. Hospital Marketing Management.8<sup>th</sup> edition. Spring;. p 83-92.

7. Woodruffe. H. Services Marketing. 2001. 4<sup>th</sup> edition. New delhi : Mc Millan India (p) ltd;. p 13-15.
8. Donabedian A. Expectations in Quality Assessment & Monitoring. 12<sup>th</sup> edition. London : Ann Arbor Mi Health Administration Press; 1980. p125 – 138.
9. Zifko – Baliga, Robert Kampf. 2001. Managing Perceptions of Hospital Quality. 17<sup>th</sup> edition. Spring: marketing Health Services Publishers;. p 28.
10. Zwelling et al. 2001 Strategic Service Quality Management for Healthcare. American Journal of medical Quality. - vol 35: p98.
11. Brien et al. 2002. The physician's role in quality assessment & improvement. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. London : Aspen Publishers; p 33- 45
12. Birkmeyer John D, Dimick Justin B, Birkmeyer Nancy JO. 2004. Measuring the Quality of Surgical Care: Structure, Process, or Outcomes? Journal of American College of Surgeons Vol. 198, No. 4, April
13. Massachusetts Health Quality Partnership, Results of hospital patient care Survey, 2000
14. Bowling A, Rowe G, Lambert N, Waddington M, Mahtani KR, Kenten C, Howe A and SA Francis. 2012. The measurement of patients' expectations for health care: a review and psychometric testing of a measure of patients' expectations. Health Technology Assessment; Vol. 16: No. 30
15. Braunsberger, K., Gates, R.H. 2002. Patient/enrollee satisfaction with health care and health plan. Journal of Consumer Marketing, 19:575–590
16. Ahsan N, Chawala JA, Farooq U, Rasool A, Ahmad A, Burki NA, Qureshi MU. 2012. Assessment of patients' satisfaction in medical and surgical wards in a tertiary care hospital. J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad. Jul-Dec;24(3-4):147-50.
17. Aldaqal Saleh M.; Alghamdi Hattan; AlTurki Hassan; El-deek Basem S and Kensarah Ahmed A. 2012. Determinants of Patient Satisfaction in the Surgical ward at a University Hospital in Saudi Arabia, Life Science Journal; 9(1)
18. Rees.M. 1998. Informed consumer choice in Healthcare (medical consumerism in the age of managed care). The consumer health Information Source Books. 5<sup>th</sup> edition. London: Mc Graw Hill Publishers; p 67.
19. Hamilton DF, Lane JV, Gaston P, et al. 2013. What determines patient satisfaction with surgery? A prospective cohort study of 4709 patients following total joint replacement. BMJ Open 3:e002525. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2012-002525
20. Caljouw M. A. A. \* Beuzekom M. van and F. Boer .2008 Patient's satisfaction with perioperative care: development, validation, and application of a questionnaire. Br. J. Anaesth. 100 (5):637-644.
21. Mira JJ, Tomás O, Virtudes-Pérez M, et al. 2009 Predictors of patient satisfaction in surgery. Surgery . Surgery. May;145(5):536-41. doi: 10.1016/j.surg.2009.01.012
22. Cleary PD, McNeill BJ. 1988. Patient satisfaction as an indicator of quality care. Inquiry;25:25–36.



## **REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK**

**Dear Readers**

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Computer Application & Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue as well as on the journal as a whole, on our E-mail **infoijrcm@gmail.com** for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries, please feel free to contact us on our E-mail [infoijrcm@gmail.com](mailto:infoijrcm@gmail.com).

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

**Academically yours**

Sd/-

**Co-ordinator**

## **DISCLAIMER**

The information and opinions presented in the Journal reflect the views of the authors and not of the Journal or its Editorial Board or the Publishers/Editors. Publication does not constitute endorsement by the journal. Neither the Journal nor its publishers/Editors/Editorial Board nor anyone else involved in creating, producing or delivering the journal or the materials contained therein, assumes any liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information provided in the journal, nor shall they be liable for any direct, indirect, incidental, special, consequential or punitive damages arising out of the use of information/material contained in the journal. The journal, neither its publishers/Editors/ Editorial Board, nor any other party involved in the preparation of material contained in the journal represents or warrants that the information contained herein is in every respect accurate or complete, and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such material. Readers are encouraged to confirm the information contained herein with other sources. The responsibility of the contents and the opinions expressed in this journal are exclusively of the author (s) concerned.



## ABOUT THE JOURNAL

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active co-operation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.

### *Our Other Journals*

