# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMPUTER APPLICATION & MANAGEMENT



A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

\*Indexed & Listed at:\*\*

Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, ProQuest, U.S.A., EBSCO Publishing, U.S.A., Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A., Google Scholar

Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland with IC Value of 5.09 & number of libraries all around the world.

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than 4767 Cities in 180 countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

# **CONTENTS**

Sr. No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page No.
1.	WORKERS PARTICIPATION IN MANAGEMENT	1
	DR. CHANDRA SEKHAR GOTLAGUNTA, G. KIRTHY, DR. KESHAMONI SRINIVAS & GURMEET KAUR	
2.	KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES FOR ACHIEVING QUALITY STANDARDS IN EDUCATIONAL	5
	INSTITUTIONS	
_	DR. A. V. NAGESWARA RAO	_
3.	COST MANAGEMENT IN SERVICE INDUSTRY	9
4	HEMANT R. DUDHE & DR. SANJAYKUMAR M. GAIKWAD   KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT THROUGH TRANSFORMATIONAL LEADERSHIP IN ARMED FORCES: AN	12
4.	IAF PERCEPTIVE	13
	DR. ANIL KOTHARI & DR. NIDHI PANDEY	
5.	A STUDY ON RETURNS AND VOLATILITY OF FMCG AND IT SECTORS OF NIFTY	17
J.	T. PEDDANNA & S. V. SATYANARAYANA	1,
6.	MEASURE OF OCTAPACE CULTURE ON JUNIOR LEADERS IN THE ARMY: A STATISTICAL PERSPECTIVE	26
	DR. ASHA NAGENDRA & BRIGADIER M SRINIVASAN	
7.	DIVIDEND POLICY AND DIVIDEND THEORIES: THE WAY AHEAD	30
	CHAITRA K. S. & DR. B. BAKKAPPA	
8.	A STUDY ON FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE OF NEW GENERATION PRIVATE SECTORS COMMERCIAL	34
	BANKS IN INDIA	
	D. KALPANA & R. CHANDRASEKARAN	
9.	OFFENCES AGAINST WOMEN UNDER INDIAN PENAL CODE	38
	DR. MADHUMITA DHAR SARKAR & BIBHABASU MISRA	
10.	CUSTOMER RELATIONSHIP MANAGEMENT STRATEGY OF BHARTI AIRTEL LIMITED IN COIMBATORE	40
	CITY A. S. DHIVIYA, V. SUGANTHI & DR. S. KUMAR	
11.	VITALITY OF COMPETENT HR PRACTICES FOR SUSTAINABLE GROWTH POTENTIALITY IN SERVICE	45
11.	INDUSTRY	45
	T. MYDHILI & B. SATYAVANI	
12.	BIOMETRICS AND RFID BASED E-PASSPORT: BRINGING SECURITY TO THE WORLD	49
	JAPNEET KAUR & MANEET KAUR	
13.	PERCEPTUAL DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE USERS AND NON USERS OF INTERNET BANKING	55
	DR. DEEPA PAUL	
14.	STRESS OF RETAIL SECTOR EMPLOYEES: A STUDY	59
	SABARI GHOSH	
<b>15</b> .	IMPROVING ASSESSMENT IN HIGHER EDUCATION THROUGH STUDENT INVOLVEMENT	66
	RUCHI BAJAJ	
<b>16</b> .	RELIABILITY ANALYSIS OF INVESTMENT BEHAVIOR OF INDIVIDUAL INVESTORS AMONG DIFFERENT	69
	RELIGIOUS GROUPS IN NCR	
47	SHWETA GOEL & DR. RAKESH KUMAR SRIVASTAVA  A STUDY ON DISSATISFIED CONSUMERS OF SMARTPHONE OVER ONLINE PURCHASE IN MADURAI	7.4
17.	DISTRICT	74
	DR. R. RADHIKA DEVI & VINODH KUMAR. S.	
18.	BANIYA OR LOCALBANYA: A STUDY ON INDIAN 'GROCERY AND STAPLES' BUYING BEHAVIOUR	78
10.	SWAPNA TAMHANKAR	76
19.	THE ENTREPRENEURSHIP'S CAPITAL ASSISTANCE IN ENHANCING THE MOTIVATION OF COLLEGE	83
	STUDENT TO BE AN ENTREPRENEUR	
	MARISKHA. Z, S.E., M.M. & HANIFATI INTAN, S.E., M.M.	
20.	PROBLEMS AND PROSPECTS OF HANDLOOM WEAVERS: A STUDY OF KARIMNAGAR DISTRICT	89
	ANKAM SREENIVAS & KANDAGATLA SRAVAN KUMAR	
	REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK & DISCLAIMER	97

# CHIEF PATRON

## PROF. K. K. AGGARWAL

Chairman, Malaviya National Institute of Technology, Jaipur
(An institute of National Importance & fully funded by Ministry of Human Resource Development, Government of India)
Chancellor, K. R. Mangalam University, Gurgaon
Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Faridabad
Founder Vice-Chancellor (1998-2008), Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi
Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

# FOUNDER PATRON

# LATE SH. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Former State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana Former Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri Former President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

# FORMER CO-ORDINATOR

DR. S. GARG

Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Business & Management, Urjani

# ADVISORS

PROF. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Director A. C. D., School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

PROF. S. L. MAHANDRU

Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

# **EDITOR**

PROF. R. K. SHARMA

Professor, Bharti Vidyapeeth University Institute of Management & Research, New Delhi

# EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

DR. RAJESH MODI

Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

**PROF. PARVEEN KUMAR** 

Director, M.C.A., Meerut Institute of Engineering & Technology, Meerut, U. P.

PROF. H. R. SHARMA

Director, Chhatarpati Shivaji Institute of Technology, Durg, C.G.

**PROF. MANOHAR LAL** 

Director & Chairman, School of Information & Computer Sciences, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

**PROF. ANIL K. SAINI** 

Chairperson (CRC), Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

PROF. R. K. CHOUDHARY

Director, Asia Pacific Institute of Information Technology, Panipat

DR. ASHWANI KUSH

Head, Computer Science, University College, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra

## DR. BHARAT BHUSHAN

Head, Department of Computer Science & Applications, Guru Nanak Khalsa College, Yamunanagar

#### DR. VIJAYPAL SINGH DHAKA

Dean (Academics), Rajasthan Institute of Engineering & Technology, Jaipur

## **DR. SAMBHAVNA**

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

#### DR. MOHINDER CHAND

Associate Professor, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra

#### DR. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, P. J. L. N. Government College, Faridabad

#### DR. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE

Asst. Professor, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

#### **DR. BHAVET**

Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Engineering & Technology, Urjani

# ASSOCIATE EDITORS

## **PROF. ABHAY BANSAL**

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity University, Noida

**PROF. NAWAB ALI KHAN** 

Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

# **ASHISH CHOPRA**

Sr. Lecturer, Doon Valley Institute of Engineering & Technology, Karnal

# FORMER TECHNICAL ADVISOR

#### ΔΜΙΤΔ

Faculty, Government M. S., Mohali

# FINANCIAL ADVISORS

## **DICKIN GOYAL**

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

## **NEENA**

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

# LEGAL ADVISORS

# **JITENDER S. CHAHAL**

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

# **CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA**

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

# <u>SUPERINTENDENT</u>

**SURENDER KUMAR POONIA** 

1.

Nationality

# CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to recent developments & practices in the areas of Computer Science & Applications; Commerce; Business; Finance; Marketing; Human Resource Management; General Management; Banking; Economics; Tourism Administration & Management; Education; Law; Library & Information Science; Defence & Strategic Studies; Electronic Science; Corporate Governance; Industrial Relations; and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Rural Economics; Co-operation; Demography: Development Planning; Development Studies; Applied Economics; Development Economics; Business Economics; Monetary Policy; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Political Science; Continuing Education; Labour Welfare; Philosophy; Psychology; Sociology; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law; Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labour Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; International Relations; Human Rights & Duties; Public Administration; Population Studies; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism & Hospitality; Transportation Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic; Web Design and emerging paradigms in allied subjects.

Anybody can submit the **soft copy** of unpublished novel; original; empirical and high quality **research work/manuscript anytime** in **M.S. Word format** after preparing the same as per our **GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION**; at our email address i.e. **infoijrcm@gmail.com** or online by clicking the link **online submission** as given on our website (**FOR ONLINE SUBMISSION, CLICK HERE**).

<b>GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION</b>	<u>OF MANUSCRIPT</u>
COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:	DATED:
TUE 50/500	
THE EDITOR	
IJRCM	
Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF .	
(e.g. Finance/Mkt./HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer	/IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, please
specify)	
DEAR SIR/MADAM	
Please find my submission of manuscript entitled '	
of your journals.	
I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore fully or partly, nor is it under review for publication elsewhere.	e, it has neither been published elsewhere in any languag
I affirm that all the co-authors of this manuscript have seen the submitted ve of names as co-authors.	ersion of the manuscript and have agreed to their inclusio
Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I agree to comply with the formaliti discretion to publish our contribution in any of its journals.	es as given on the website of the journal. The Journal ha
NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR	:
Designation	:
Institution/College/University with full address & Pin Code	:
Residential address with Pin Code	:
Mobile Number (s) with country ISD code	:
Is WhatsApp or Viber active on your above noted Mobile Number (Yes/No)	:
Landline Number (s) with country ISD code	:
E-mail Address	:
Alternate E-mail Address	:

#### NOTES:

- a) The whole manuscript has to be in **ONE MS WORD FILE** only, which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript. <u>pdf.</u> <u>version</u> is liable to be rejected without any consideration.
- b) The sender is required to mention the following in the **SUBJECT COLUMN of the mail**:
  - **New Manuscript for Review in the area of** (e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, please specify)
- c) There is no need to give any text in the body of mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any **specific message** w.r.t. to the manuscript.
- d) The total size of the file containing the manuscript is expected to be below 1000 KB.
- e) Abstract alone will not be considered for review and the author is required to submit the complete manuscript in the first instance.
- f) The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email within twenty four hours and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of manuscript, within two days of submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending a separate mail to the journal.
- g) The author (s) name or details should not appear anywhere on the body of the manuscript, except the covering letter and the cover page of the manuscript, in the manner as mentioned in the guidelines.
- 2. MANUSCRIPT TITLE: The title of the paper should be **bold typed**, **centered** and **fully capitalised**.
- 3. AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS: Author (s) name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline number (s), and email/alternate email address should be given underneath the title.
- 4. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS: Acknowledgements can be given to reviewers, guides, funding institutions, etc., if any.
- 5. **ABSTRACT**: Abstract should be in **fully italicized text**, ranging between **150** to **300 words**. The abstract must be informative and explain the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a **SINGLE PARA**. **Abbreviations must be mentioned in full**.
- 6. **KEYWORDS:** Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of **five**. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stop at the end. All words of the keywords, including the first one should be in small letters, except special words e.g. name of the Countries, abbreviations.
- 7. **JEL CODE**: Provide the appropriate Journal of Economic Literature Classification System code (s). JEL codes are available at www.aeaweb.org/econlit/jelCodes.php, however, mentioning JEL Code is not mandatory.
- 8. **MANUSCRIPT**: Manuscript must be in <u>BRITISH ENGLISH</u> prepared on a standard A4 size <u>PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER</u>. It should be free from any errors i.e. grammatical, spelling or punctuation. It must be thoroughly edited at your end.
- 9. **HEADINGS**: All the headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
- SUB-HEADINGS: All the sub-headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
- 11. MAIN TEXT:

### THE MAIN TEXT SHOULD FOLLOW THE FOLLOWING SEQUENCE:

INTRODUCTION

**REVIEW OF LITERATURE** 

**NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY** 

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

**OBJECTIVES** 

**HYPOTHESIS (ES)** 

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

**RESULTS & DISCUSSION** 

**FINDINGS** 

RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS

CONCLUSIONS

LIMITATIONS

**SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH** 

REFERENCES

APPENDIX/ANNEXURE

The manuscript should preferably range from 2000 to 5000 WORDS.

- 12. **FIGURES & TABLES:** These should be simple, crystal **CLEAR**, **centered**, **separately numbered** & self explained, and **titles must be above the table/figure.** Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure. It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text.
- 13. **EQUATIONS/FORMULAE:** These should be consecutively numbered in parenthesis, horizontally centered with equation/formulae number placed at the right. The equation editor provided with standard versions of Microsoft Word should be utilised. If any other equation editor is utilised, author must confirm that these equations may be viewed and edited in versions of Microsoft Office that does not have the editor.
- 14. **ACRONYMS**: These should not be used in the abstract. The use of acronyms is elsewhere is acceptable. Acronyms should be defined on its first use in each section: Reserve Bank of India (RBI). Acronyms should be redefined on first use in subsequent sections.
- 15. **REFERENCES**: The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. *The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript* and they are supposed to follow Harvard Style of Referencing. Also check to make sure that everything that you are including in the reference section is duly cited in the paper. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
- All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
- Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
- When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc, in chronologically ascending order.
- Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
- The title of books and journals should be in italics. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
- For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parenthesis.
- *Headers, footers, endnotes* and *footnotes* should *not be used* in the document. However, you can mention short notes to elucidate some specific point, which may be placed in number orders after the references.

# PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:

## **BOOKS**

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

# **CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS**

• Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

## **JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES**

• Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

# **CONFERENCE PAPERS**

• Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–23

## UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS

• Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

# **ONLINE RESOURCES**

Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

## WEBSITES

• Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp

# MEASURE OF OCTAPACE CULTURE ON JUNIOR LEADERS IN THE ARMY: A STATISTICAL PERSPECTIVE

# DR. ASHA NAGENDRA PROFESSOR SYMBIOSIS INSTITUTE MANAGEMENT STUDIES PUNE

# BRIGADIER M SRINIVASAN RESEARCH SCHOLAR SYMBIOSIS INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY PUNE

#### **ABSTRACT**

The Indian Army has evolved to its current form during the last 6 decades of independent India. Factors contributing to this evolution are intent and modus operandi of our Adversaries, Technology, demographic issues, and finally the Human Resource Management. It is prudent that impact of Organisation Culture on related HR issues in the Army be periodically measured or tested. This would ensure the senior leadership gets a truthful evaluation of contemporary situation and evolve framework and policies akin to the need of the day. This paper focuses on one such tool "OCTAPACE" duly adapted to assess the Organisation Culture of the Army at the Functional level. Army nurtures three distinct attributes during the formative years; Firstly Team Work and Leadership; Secondly, Initiative; Thirdly, Degree of Autonomy. The eight dimensions of OCTAPACE have been grouped as these three factors and analysed. A descriptive research design was undertaken using the standard OCTAPACE questionnaire. Analysis of the responses from 55 Junior Leaders of the army, using statistical tools indicate that the over all OCTAPACE score is 112.34 on a max of 130. The results show that the unilaterally excellent OCTAPACE Culture. Openness and Trust are complementing each other. The correlations between confrontation and collaboration indicate that Team Work is constantly nurtured. Values of Authenticity are not encouraging though this a key component of Team Work. Initiative at junior leadership level has been confirmed by high mean values and high correlation of Proaction and experimentation. Certain know facts on restricted degree of autonomy, requires a relook by the Army. Next, downward trend of authenticity at Junior Level requires immediate attention. The role of junior leadership in the overall organisational effectiveness is crucial and the need to create the required environment for OCTAPACE culture.

#### KEYWORDS

team work, initiative, autonomy, OCTAPACE, army organisation culture.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION



rganization culture starts up with a decorum adopted by its stakeholders in form of sharing information, behaviours, values & interests. This provides the team with the clarity of the way things are done around them in their setup; This aspect is a common understanding between employees regarding their organization.

Culture in an organization differs with the hierarchy. Predominantly the culture which is shared & accepted by the majority of the organizations members, at the micro level it could reflect the common problems & situations faced by every member in the organization within his own group or department.

A study of organizational culture is relevant. OCTAPACE profiling is used to shortlist such factors in government organisation too. Similar study for the Indian Army is essential to gauge the internal dynamics at functional, directional and conceptual levels.

#### OBJECTIVE

The virtues of team work, initiatives and autonomy are key factors to achieve combat effectiveness. Mapping them with the time tested parameters of the OCTAPACE technique as propagated by Pareek would be the basic template for the army.

The next objective would be to identify how well the OCTAPACE template can be used to measure the Organisation culture of an institution as the Army. This is a necessity as army is non profit organisation, issues related to exodus of its soldiers are non existant, there is no retrenchment, lastly, it is an organisation which cannot fail when called upon to deliver both in peace and in war.

## 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Appropriate literature review was undertaken to answer our objectives. We have used key words like **Team Work, Initiative, Autonomy, OCTAPACE**, to search literature.

We have adopted the principle of inclusion/exclusion based on review of abstracts. However, no single study reflected on the Indian Army and Organisation Climate of Indian Army. The work force in the army is primarily human, thus measure of OCTAPACE CULTURE assumes importance.

Organizational culture (Peters & Waterman,1982) defines it as a sequence evolution of of beliefs, rituals, symbols, and myths, with the aim of reducing human variability and control. In addition, to influence employee behaviour in organization.

Organizational culture (Subrahmanian, 2012) in addition to ethics, values and beliefs, factors such as attitudes, norms, ethos, climate, environment, and culture do have an impact.

According to Pareek (Pareek,U,1996), root of a culture, has a three level concept; at first level is "values" which give a unique identity and pivots the ethos of a group. He defines ethos as "Underlying spirit of character or group and is the root of culture". At the second is "climate", which is (Pareek,U,1996) the perceived characteristics of members and their organisation. "Atmosphere", is the third level these are factors which affect the growth and progression of an organisation. The (Pareek,U,1996) eight dimensions of OCTAPACE culture are Openness, Confrontation, Trust, Authenticity, Pro-action, Autonomy, Collaboration, and Experimentation. Any organisation scoring high on the OCTAPACE values have a greater chance of success. This is due to the cohesive, homogeneous and conducive conditions for team effort.

A study (Feza, T. A and Sharma, 2007) comparing the organizational culture of IT and Banking sectors in India using OCTAPACE reveals that the mean score of IT companies on "Openness", "Proaction", and "Experimentation" were high, where as in the banking sectors other dimensions excelled. It is also concluded that similar industry has displayed similar cultural values.

Implementation of OCTAPACE Culture enhances the company performance level, this has been proven by successful TPM initiative undertaken (Srivastav, S.K and Srivastava, P 2004).

A review paper (Farokhi, Murty 2014) homes on to Organisational Context, Organisation Structure, Relationship between superior and subordinates, Physical environment and Values and Norms and suggests that following techniques may be helpful in improving the organisational climate: (i) Open Communication, (ii) Concern for People, (iii) Participative Decision-making (iv) Change in Policies: (v) Technological Changes

S.A. Mufeed and S.N.Rafai, in their study related to the Tourism Sector reveal that high organization dynamics and effectiveness manifest itself as high OCTAPACE profile.

Another study (S Jain, N Mehta and V Bagai, 2014) on an Indian Nationalized Bank using OCTAPACE survey analysed that ethos fosters honesty and trust, it replenish member's energy, build collective strength and develop emotionally intelligent culture, this must be encouraged. Thus, a positive workplace atmosphere deriving out of the unique culture is worth developing, as it becomes the foundation of true organizational success.

#### 3. RESEARCH GAPS IDENTIFIED

Similar study on the Army organisation culture has not been reviewed. The Table 1 below maps the essential factors that promotes effective junior leaders and also identifies their priority. These are Team Work; Initiative and Autonomy. Based on these guidelines OCTAPACE measure has been undertaken.

#### TABLE 1: OCTAPACE MAPPING FOR THE ARMY

Corporate		Army	
	Meaning	Outcome	
Openness	Freedom to communicate, share and interact without hesitation. Receiving feedback from customers and giving ideas and suggestions to team members.	Greater clarity of objectives and free interaction among people. Frank & candid performance feedback.  Openness will manifest as productive meetings & participative decision making.  All inclusive contribution towards selection and maintainence of goals.	Courage of Conviction Expression Moral Courage  Promotes: Team Work
Confrontation	Raising up to the challenges and not bypassing it. Also Implies intrapersonal Problems	Reduced ambiguty, self involvement & eagerness to resolve problems especially with 'difficult' employees.  There will be willingness of stakeholders for a dialogues on sensitive issues.  Outcomes, are guided by periodical discussions firm actions and not procrastinating awkward matters  Facing a problem and Jointly resolving seeking clarity.	Promotes: Team Work
Trust	The etiquette of discreetness in handling of information shared by others and company.	Faith, esprit de corps & camaradrie Reduction of paper work, empowerment and improved performance index	Dependability
Authenticity	The ability to convey as per ones conscience. Accepting ones faults	No inhibitions "Open Book" approach & Avoiding clutter in communication.	Camaraderie Promotes: Team Work
Pro action	Taking initiative, Preparation for contingencies	Readiness to embark on bold new ventures or immediate concerns.	Promotes: Initiative
Autonomy	The liberty to act within ones domain, area of influence	Being free from the control of superiors. Ownership of ones decisions	Delegation
Collaboration	Mutual support to team members	Synergy, positivity & assured success.	Promotes: Team Work
Experimentation	"Out of the Box" Solutions; Creativity	Path-breaki ng methods; Pioneering efforts to problem solving	Innovativeness Promotes: Initiative

Openness is the start point for **Team Work** which is fuelled by effective Confrontation, Authenticity and Collaboration ultimately leading to Trust / Dependability. This virtue is essential in junior leadership. (Greater Trust implies Greater Dependability). Next factor is Initiative, Pro Action and Experimentation promotes Initiative. The last factor is Autonomy.

Independent survey of such details has been undertaken to identify the degree of job satisfaction and its contribution towards combat performance at functional level.

#### 4. OBJECTIVES FOR THE STUDY

- 1. To measure the organizational culture of the Army at junior leadership level
- To identify the perceptions of junior officers with regards to OCTAPACE culture at functional level.
- To analyze the relationship among OCTAPACE dimensions to promote effective junior leadership.

### 5. HYPOTHESIS

**Null Hypothesis (Ho)**: The mean values of Octapace variable equate with each other ie  $\mu 1 = 2\mu = 3\mu = 4\mu = 5\mu = 6\mu = 7\mu = 8\mu$ 

All components of Octapace hold equal Importance in an Organization  $\label{eq:components} % \begin{center} \b$ 

Where  $\mu$ 1=Mean of openness;  $\mu$ 2= Mean of Confrontation;  $\mu$ 3= Mean of Trust;  $\mu$ 4= Mean of Authenticity;  $\mu$ 5=Mean Pro-Autonomy;  $\mu$ 6=Mean of Autonomy;  $\mu$ 7=Mean of Collaboration;  $\mu$ 8=Mean of Experimentation.

Alternate Hypothesis (H1): The mean values of Octapace variables do not equate with each other.  $\mu1 \neq \mu2 \neq \mu \neq 3\mu \neq 4\mu \neq 5\mu \neq 6\mu \neq 7\mu \neq 8\mu$ 

## 6. RESEARCH DESIGN

Research Design is discussed in the succeeding paragraph.

**RESPONDENTS:** The main source of primary data was Junior Officers of the Army (Rank of Captain & Majors below 8 Years of Service) on their perception / assessment of Organisation Culture.

**SAMPLES:** Random sampling technique has been used, where in we selected a group of subjects (a sample) for study from a larger group (a population). In the context of the subject, respondents were appropriately identified. To reduce the **non-response bias**, the team physically issued the questionnaire, held a cross dialogue and retrieved the necessary inputs from the respondents for primary data. In addition, conducting validation studies and other data quality control measures were considered as alternative to reduce inadvertent errors. **A Sample Size of 55 Army Officers was utilised.** 

METHOD OF INVESTIGATION: Descriptive research was performed with the aim to ascertain and be able to explore the characteristics of variables to be focussed. This would enable us to arrive at new insights into the culture, and build a comprehensive picture of organizational culture affecting effectiveness of junior leadership. Based on the experiences of Young Officers of the Army, In the context of the subject, respondents were appropriately identified maintaining randomness. The data have been analyzed using "SPSS" Version 19.0.

**INSTRUMENT USED**: The instrument designed by Udai k. Pareek has been used in this study. This 40-item instrument that gives the profile of organization's ethos on the following values: Openness, Confrontation, Trust, Authenticity, Proaction, Autonomy, Collaboration and Experimentation.

**QUESTIONNAIRE DESIGN:** The questionnaire uses four point rating scale is divided into two parts. In part I, includes questions 1 to 24 (three statements of each of the eight values), rating on a four point scale indicates the respondants perception of his organisation. Similarly, Part 2 contains sixteen statements on beliefs, two each for eight values.

#### 7. DATA COLLATION, ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS

#### **RELIABILITY STATISTICS**

Table 2 below gives the measure of internal consistency & reliability Cronbach's Alpha value of 0.870 indicates highly levels of data consistency & reliability.

#### **TABLE 2: RELIABILITY STATISTICS**

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
.870	.859	8

#### **DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS**

Refer Table 3 below Grouping based on Army prioritised three Factors the OCTAPACE dimensions is analysed as follows:

#### **TABLE 3: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS**

	N	Range	Min	Max	Sum	Mean	Std. Dev	Skewness	
	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Statistic	Std. Erro
Openness	55	14	6	20	771	14.02	3.739	447	.322
Confrontation	55	9	10	19	842	15.31	2.755	429	.322
Trust	55	8	9	17	764	13.89	2.386	545	.322
Authenticity	55	9	6	15	642	11.67	1.906	893	.322
Pro Action	55	10	10	20	895	16.27	2.745	726	.322
Autonomy	55	8	8	16	680	12.36	2.076	212	.322
Collaboration	55	8	10	18	802	14.58	2.016	075	.322
Experimentation	55	10	9	19	799	14.53	2.918	328	.322
Valid N (listwise)	55								
Respondents	Openness	Confrontation	Trust	Authenticity	Pro Action	Autonomy	Collaboration	Experimentation	Total
Low	13	10	10	10	12	11	13	11	90
High	17	16	16	14	18	16	17	16	130
RANGE	4	6	6	4	6	5	4	5	
Excellent	16-17	14.5-16	14.5-16	13-14	16.5-18	14.75-16	16-17	14.75-16	
Very Good	15-16	13-14.5	13-14.5	12-13	15-16.5	13.5-14.75	15-16	13.5-14.75	
Good	14-15	11.5-13	11.5-13	11-12	13.5-15	12.25-13.5	14-15	12.25-13.5	
Satisfactory	13-14	10-11.5	10-11.5	10-11	12-13.5	11-12.25	13-14	11-12.25	
Below Satisfactory	<13	<10	<10	<10	<12	<11	<13	<11	
Max	20	19	17	15	20	16	18	19	
Min	6	10	9	6	10	8	10	9	
Mean	14.018	15.309	13.891	11.673	16.273	12.364	14.582	14.527	112.636
Sd	3.739	2.755	2.386	1.906	2.745	2.076	2.016	2.918	
Grading	Good	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Very Good	Good	Good	Very Good	

The Null Hypothesis (Ho) fails ie The mean values of Octapace variable Do Not equate with each other.

Team Work: The contributors are:

Openness in the organization is at low level this shows that junior officers do not have the freedom to communicate within the Army.

Confrontation is closer to the higher norms which specify that junior leaders face their challengess and have clarity in resolving issues.

Trust among the peer group and subordinates is at the highest level which indicates greater level of mutual understanding and confidence between them.

Authenticity is in the lower level implies that junior leaders have limited edelegation of work and responsibility.

 $Collaboration, mean scores indicates average \ level \ of \ assistance \ to \ each \ other \ in \ problem \ solving.$ 

To summarise Openness, Authenticity& Collaboration are in the proximity of lower norms of the value, while Trust and Confrontation lean towards higher norms. **Initiative:** The contributors are:

Higher level of Proaction depicts that readiness & initiative exists in the organization.

Experimentation is also at the higher level which represents that the junior leaders can invest on their innovative thoughts. Foster new ideas and processes which is essential in combat environment

**Autonomy**: Autonomy is closer to lower norms, thus, limited freedom is given to take decisions. Implying limited autonomy may be due to focus is on battle drills and need for implicit obedience.

In addition to the above a vital observation relates to low scores in Authenticity and Autonomy.

Correlation Analysis: To find out the relationship between the OCTAPACE dimensions

### **TABLE 4: CORRELATION ANALYSIS**

	Inter-Item Correlation Matrix								
	Openness	Confrontation	Trust	Authenticity	Pro Action	Autonomy	Collaboration	Experimentation	
Openness	1.000	.704	.762	.183	.535	.631	.534	.722	
Confrontation	.704	1.000	.755	.228	.721	.255	.661	.629	
Trust	.762	.755	1.000	.310	.542	.195	.633	.546	
Authenticity	.183	.228	.310	1.000	.042	.101	022	.068	
Pro Action	.535	.721	.542	.042	1.000	.018	.617	.648	
Autonomy	.631	.255	.195	.101	.018	1.000	.210	.322	
Collaboration	.534	.661	.633	022	.617	.210	1.000	.580	
Experimentation	.722	.629	.546	.068	.648	.322	.580	1.000	

Data in table4 above enables us to analyse the degree of correlation and the relationship between the dimensions of OCTAPACE

## **Between Team Work factors**

Correlations between Openness & Trust (r=0.762), Openness & Confrontation (r=0.704), Openness & Collaboration (r=0.534) while **Openness & Authenticity** (r=0.183)

Correlations between Trust & Confrontation (r=0.755), Trust & Collaboration (r=0.633) while Trust & Authenticity(r=0.310)

Correlation between Confrontation & Authenticity (r=0.228), Confrontation & Collaboration (r=0.661)

Correlation between Authenticity & Collaboration (r= -0.022)

## **Between Initiative Factors**

Correlations between Proaction & Experimentation (r=0.648) Proaction & Openness (r=0.535), Proaction & Trust (r=0.542), and Experimentation & Openness (r=0.722), Experimentation & Trust (r=0.546)

#### Degree of Autonomy with others

Correlations between Autonomy & Openness (r=0.631), Autonomy & Trust (r=0.195), Autonomy & ProAction (r=0.018), Autonomy & Experimentation (r=0.322)

#### 8. SUMMARY & IMPLICATIONS

The results of correlation indicate majority of the factors are highly correlated to each other. Negative correlation exists between Authenticity & Collaboration (r= -0.022)

Trust and Openness (r =0.762) are positively correlated to each other which indicates that the Junior Leaders confide in each other.

The high correlation between Confrontation and Experimentation (r=0.629) conveys that junior leaders are creative and take new steps in facing challenges and solving problem.

Correlation of Proaction and Experimentation r=0.648 is reassuring that Junior leaders in the army do take initiatives, induce fresh ideas and pioneer methods in mission accomplishment.

#### 9. RECOMMENDATIONS

From the findings it is evident that in the context of the requirement of the army and the opportunity for Junior leaders to perform, OCTAPACE CULTURE measures well. Issues which require attention are:

- 1. Team work factors. Amongst team work factors Authenticity scores are at the lowest level, as it is the convergence between what one feels, says and does. There exist inhibitions "to own up up one's mistakes and sharing of feelings". This issue has to be addressed.
- 2. Autonomy is the next aspect. Freedom to plan and execute beyond one's own area of influence seems to be restricted. Information on Need to Know basis limited delegation at Junior leadership level must require a relook and clean demarcation between operation / combat conditions and peace time/ training requirements. In Peace, implementing would encompass develops willingness to take responsibility; mentoring for succession planning. The indicators are effective delegation of work and limited or minimal references of existing approved plans in peace conditions. Autonomy at functional level is essential to sustain progressiveness. While, Combat conditions will require a different set of yard stick.

#### 10. CONCLUSIONS

Team work, Initiative and Autonomy together has the synergic effect for successful combat mission. Based on the need, equi-participation of all combatants must be welcomed. "Selection and Maintenance of Aim" a primary principle of the armed forces must always be the focal point. Traditional leadership in the Armed forces has a tendency to propagate "Need to Know/Involvement Basis" approach on all matters.

#### 11. LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY & WAY AHEAD

This is a review and analysis of survey conducted in-house with Junior leaders, Similar options with middle level and senior level Officers of the army must be undertaken. Subsequently, the combat arms and Services have to be assessed. The components considered are not comprehensive enough to come to a conclusion.

Reviewing OCTAPACE culture periodically requires a sagacious mind and judgment. There are no mathematical formula used to determine the outcome and no standard measures can be applied. While we assess the components singularly to identify any dynamism, we need to view them as a complete package when arriving at conclusions.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Erakovich R, "A study on relationship of ethical work climate and organizational culture in public organizations", Paper presented at American Society for public administration.
- 2. Farokhi, M. K. M., & Murty, T. N. (2014). Factors Influencing Organisational Climate. Abhinav-International Monthly Refereed Journal Of Research In Management & Technology (Online ISSN 2320-0073), 3(1), 74-82.
- 3. Feza Tabassum Azmi and Richa Sharma, Profiling OCTAPACE culture: An empirical study of banking and IT sectors in India", The Icfaian Journal of Management Research, Vol VI, www.iupindia.org. December 2007
- 4. Gordon, George G. "Industry Determinants of Organizational Culture." Academy of Management Review. April 1991.
- 5. Harish B Bapat, Vishal Soni, Vinayak Khare (2014). A Study of Organisation Dynamics through OCTAPACE culture in IT sector. Asian Journal of Management Research Vol 4 Issue 4 2014.
- 6. Pareek U (2002), "Training instruments in HRD and OD", Tata McGraw –Hill, New Delhi
- 7. Poels, F. (2004). Handbook of Job Evaluation and Remuneration Strategies. Crest Publishing House.
- 8. Samta Jain, Namrata Mehta and Varun Bagai, (2014), A Study of Organizational Climate and Culture in an Indian Nationalized Bank using an Octapace Survey, aWEshkar Vol. XVIII Issue 2 September 2014, A Peer Reviewed Research Journal
- 9. Stephen P. Robbins, "Organization Theory: Structure, Design and Applications"
- 10. Subrahmanian, M. U. (2012). Achieving high involvement & satisfaction through octapace culture in IT companies. ZENITH International Journal of Business Economics & Management Research, 2(5), 131-138.
- 11. Vaneet Kashyap, Prince Vohra Tejbir Kaur(2012). Comparative Analysis of Organisation Culture: The Emperical Study of IT Organisations in the Region of Mohali, Punjab, India. International Journal of Management & Business Studies, Vol 2 Issue 3, Sep 2012.
- 12. Vijayalakshmi S. Impact of OCTAPACE culture: A Study of Selected IT Organisations in Bangalore.

# REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

#### **Dear Readers**

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Computer Application & Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue, as well as on the journal as a whole, on our e-mail **infoijrcm@gmail.com** for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries, please feel free to contact us on our e-mail <a href="mailto:infoijrcm@gmail.com">infoijrcm@gmail.com</a>.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward to an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

**Academically yours** 

Sd/-

**Co-ordinator** 

# **DISCLAIMER**

The information and opinions presented in the Journal reflect the views of the authors and not of the Journal or its Editorial Board or the Publishers/Editors. Publication does not constitute endorsement by the journal. Neither the Journal nor its publishers/Editors/Editorial Board nor anyone else involved in creating, producing or delivering the journal or the materials contained therein, assumes any liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information provided in the journal, nor shall they be liable for any direct, incidental, special, consequential or punitive damages arising out of the use of information/material contained in the journal. The journal, neither its publishers/Editors/ Editorial Board, nor any other party involved in the preparation of material contained in the journal represents or warrants that the information contained herein is in every respect accurate or complete, and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such material. Readers are encouraged to confirm the information contained herein with other sources. The responsibility of the contents and the opinions expressed in this journal are exclusively of the author (s) concerned.

# **ABOUT THE JOURNAL**

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active cooperation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.







