

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE, ECONOMICS & MANAGEMENT

I
J
R
C
M



A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

Indexed & Listed at:

Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, ProQuest, U.S.A., EBSCO Publishing, U.S.A., Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A.

Open J-Gate, India [link of the same is duly available at Inlibnet of University Grants Commission (U.G.C.)]

Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland with IC Value of 5.09 & number of libraries all around the world.

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than 2022 Cities in 153 countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

Ground Floor, Building No. 1041-C-1, Devi Bhawan Bazar, JAGADHRI – 135 003, Yamunanagar, Haryana, INDIA

<http://ijrcm.org.in/>

CONTENTS

Sr. No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page No.
1.	EDUCATIONAL LEADERSHIP, MANAGEMENT AND PAKISTAN IN 2050 <i>TAIMOOR BASHARAT & DR. MUHAMMAD RAMZAN</i>	1
2.	RESEARCH IN DEVELOPMENT ARENAS <i>ROMAZA KHANUM</i>	6
3.	COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN STOCK RETURNS FIRM WITH PRICE COEFFICIENTS: CEMENT LISTED ON STOCK EXCHANGE <i>MOHAMMAD REZA ASGARI, SHAHIN SAHRAEI & AHMAD GHASEMI</i>	10
4.	IMPACT OF STOCK MARKET DEVELOPMENT ON ECONOMIC GROWTH: AN EVIDENCE FROM SAARC COUNTRIES <i>MUHAMMAD ENAMUL HAQUE</i>	15
5.	PREDICTING SUKUK DEFAULT PROBABILITY AND ITS RELATIONSHIP WITH SYSTEMATIC AND UNSYSTEMATIC RISKS: CASE STUDY OF SUKUK IN INDONESIA <i>MISNEN ARDIANSYAH, IBNU QIZAM, RAZALIHARON & ABDUL QOYUM</i>	21
6.	POVERTY ALLEVIATION IN THE INFORMAL SECTOR AS A CATALYST FOR NIGERIA'S ECONOMIC GROWTH <i>MARTINS IYOBOYI</i>	28
7.	THE MACROECONOMIC IMPACT OF TRADE ON ECONOMIC GROWTH OF NIGERIA <i>ANTHONIA T. ODELEYE</i>	36
8.	A STUDY OF OPERATIONAL EFFICIENCY OF SELECTED PUBLIC SECTOR BANKS IN INDIA – ISSUES AND CHALLENGES <i>DR. BHAVET, PRIYA JINDAL & DR. SAMBHAV GARG</i>	42
9.	SETTING UP LOCAL REINSURANCE COMPANY IN ETHIOPIA: ANALYTICAL REVIEW <i>ASNAKE MINWYELET ABEBE</i>	49
10.	PROBLEMS OF SUGAR COOPERATIVES IN MAHARASHTRA <i>DR. DANGAT NILESH R.</i>	55
11.	ANALYSIS OF ASSET QUALITY OF PRIVATE SECTOR INDIAN BANKS <i>SULTAN SINGH, MOHINA & SAHILA CHOUDHRY</i>	58
12.	ORGANIZATIONAL COMMITMENT OF MANAGERS OF PUBLIC SECTOR BANKS IN INDIA: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY <i>DR. KANWALDEEP KAUR</i>	61
13.	A PENTAGON PERFORMANCE SCENARIO OF SUGAR SECTOR IN INDIA <i>DR. GAJANAN MADIWAL</i>	68
14.	JOB SATISFACTION OF EMPLOYEES – AN EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS <i>DR. UJERINABI & S. KAVITHA</i>	72
15.	COTTONSEED UTILIZATION PATTERN AND AVAILABILITY OF COTTONSEED FOR PROCESSING <i>DR. T. SREE LATHA & SAVANAM CHANDRA SEKHAR</i>	77
16.	NATURE AND EXTENT OF AGRICULTURAL TENANCY IN ANDHRA PRADESH - A CASE STUDY IN TWO VILLAGES <i>DR. S. RADHA KRISHNA</i>	80
17.	A STUDY ON SELF HELP GROUPS – BANK LINKAGE PROGRAMME IN INDIA <i>DR. A. JEBAMALAI RAJA & M. SUVAKKIN</i>	86
18.	FACTORS INFLUENCING ATTRITION <i>RISHU ROY & ARPITA SHRIVASTAVA</i>	89
19.	REGULATORY FRAMEWORK FOR MANAGING THE MICRO FINANCE IN INDIA PARTICULARLY IN MEGHALAYA <i>MUSHTAQ MOHMAD SOFI & DR. HARSH VARDHAN JHAMB</i>	95
20.	EFFICIENCY MEASUREMENT OF INDIAN PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SECTOR BANKS IN THE CONTEXT OF DOWNGRADED RATINGS <i>DR. KULDIP S. CHHIKARA & SURAKSHA</i>	99
21.	COGNITIVE STYLES AND MULTI-MEDIA LEARNING: A QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL APPROACH <i>DR. RANJIT KAUR & SAROJ BALA</i>	107
22.	ROLE OF CREATIVE MANAGEMENT AND LEADERSHIP IN ENTREPRENEURSHIP DEVELOPMENT <i>VIKAS BEHAL & PUJA BANSAL</i>	112
23.	POSITIONING INDIA IN THE GLOBAL ECONOMY: AN OVERVIEW <i>DR. JAYA PALIWAL</i>	116
24.	AGRICULTURE FARMERS AND FINANCIAL INCLUSION WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO BAGALKOT DCC BANK IN KARNATAKA STATE <i>DR. H H BHARADI</i>	121
25.	MINREGA AND RURAL POVERTY: A CASE STUDY OF NILOKHERI BLOCK IN HARYANA PROVINCE <i>PARDEEP CHAUHAN</i>	125
26.	EXTERNAL DEBT OF MALDIVES: GROWTH AND ECONOMIC GROWTH <i>DR. G. JAYACHANDRAN</i>	129
27.	CORPORATE GOVERNANCE DISCLOSURE PRACTICES IN G N F C LTD. <i>DIVYANGKUMAR VINODBHAI BRAHMBHATT</i>	139
28.	NRM POLICY OF NABARD AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT BIRDS-EYE VIEW ON AURANGABAD DISTRICT <i>DR. ANIL BABURAO JADHAV</i>	142
29.	MANAGEMENT OF NON-PERFORMING ASSETS: A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SECTOR BANKS <i>DR. SAMBHAV GARG, PRIYA JINDAL & DR. BHAVET</i>	146
30.	PORTFOLIO SIZE AND PORTFOLIO RISK: EVIDENCE FROM THE INDIAN STOCK MARKET <i>MEENAKSHI RANI</i>	152
	REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK	156

CHIEF PATRON

PROF. K. K. AGGARWAL

Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Delhi
Founder Vice-Chancellor, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi
Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

FOUNDER PATRON

LATE SH. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Former State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana
Former Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri
Former President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

CO-ORDINATOR

DR. BHAVET

Faculty, M. M. Institute of Management, MaharishiMarkandeshwarUniversity, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana

ADVISORS

DR. PRIYA RANJAN TRIVEDI

Chancellor, The Global Open University, Nagaland

PROF. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Director A. C. D., School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

PROF. M. N. SHARMA

Chairman, M.B.A., HaryanaCollege of Technology & Management, Kaithal

PROF. S. L. MAHANDRU

Principal (Retd.), MaharajaAgrasenCollege, Jagadhri

EDITOR

PROF. R. K. SHARMA

Professor, Bharti Vidyapeeth University Institute of Management & Research, New Delhi

CO-EDITOR

DR. SAMBHAV GARG

Faculty, M. M. Institute of Management, MaharishiMarkandeshwarUniversity, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

DR. RAJESH MODI

Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

PROF. SIKANDER KUMAR

Chairman, Department of Economics, HimachalPradeshUniversity, Shimla, Himachal Pradesh

PROF. SANJIV MITTAL

UniversitySchool of Management Studies, Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

PROF. RAJENDER GUPTA

Convener, Board of Studies in Economics, University of Jammu, Jammu

PROF. NAWAB ALI KHAN

Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

PROF. S. P. TIWARI

Head, Department of Economics & Rural Development, Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia Avadh University, Faizabad

DR. ANIL CHANDHOK

Professor, Faculty of Management, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana

DR. ASHOK KUMAR CHAUHAN

Reader, Department of Economics, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra

DR. SAMBHAVNA

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

DR. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, P.J.L.N. Government College, Faridabad

DR. VIVEK CHAWLA

Associate Professor, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra

DR. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE

Asst. Professor, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

ASSOCIATE EDITORS**PROF. ABHAY BANSAL**

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity University, Noida

PARVEEN KHURANA

Associate Professor, Mukand Lal National College, Yamuna Nagar

SHASHI KHURANA

Associate Professor, S.M.S. Khalsa Lubana Girls College, Barara, Ambala

SUNIL KUMAR KARWASRA

Principal, Aakash College of Education, Chander Kalan, Tohana, Fatehabad

DR. VIKAS CHOUDHARY

Asst. Professor, N.I.T. (University), Kurukshetra

TECHNICAL ADVISOR**AMITA**

Faculty, Government M. S., Mohali

FINANCIAL ADVISORS**DICKIN GOYAL**

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

NEENA

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

LEGAL ADVISORS**JITENDER S. CHAHAL**

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

SUPERINTENDENT**SURENDER KUMAR POONIA**

CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to recent developments & practices in the area of Computer, Business, Finance, Marketing, Human Resource Management, General Management, Banking, Insurance, Corporate Governance and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting Education; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Monetary Policy; Portfolio & Security Analysis; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Business Education; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law, Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labor Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; Public Administration; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism, Hospitality & Leisure; Transportation/Physical Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Digital Logic; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Multimedia; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic and Web Design. The above mentioned tracks are only indicative, and not exhaustive.

Anybody can submit the soft copy of his/her manuscript **anytime** in M.S. Word format after preparing the same as per our submission guidelines duly available on our website under the heading guidelines for submission, at the email address: infoijrcm@gmail.com.

GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT

1. COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:

DATED: _____

THE EDITOR
IJRCM

Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF.

(e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)

DEAR SIR/MADAM

Please find my submission of manuscript entitled '_____ ' for possible publication in your journals.

I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore, it has neither been published elsewhere in any language fully or partly, nor is it under review for publication elsewhere.

I affirm that all the author (s) have seen and agreed to the submitted version of the manuscript and their inclusion of name (s) as co-author (s).

Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the formalities as given on the website of the journal & you are free to publish our contribution in any of your journals.

NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Designation:

Affiliation with full address, contact numbers & Pin Code:

Residential address with Pin Code:

Mobile Number (s):

Landline Number (s):

E-mail Address:

Alternate E-mail Address:

NOTES:

- a) The whole manuscript is required to be in **ONE MS WORD FILE** only (pdf. version is liable to be rejected without any consideration), which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript.
- b) The sender is required to mention the following in the **SUBJECT COLUMN** of the mail:
New Manuscript for Review in the area of (Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)
- c) There is no need to give any text in the body of mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any specific message w.r.t. to the manuscript.
- d) The total size of the file containing the manuscript is required to be below **500 KB**.
- e) Abstract alone will not be considered for review, and the author is required to submit the complete manuscript in the first instance.
- f) The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of manuscript, within two days of submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending separate mail to the journal.

2. MANUSCRIPT TITLE: The title of the paper should be in a 12 point Calibri Font. It should be bold typed, centered and fully capitalised.

3. AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS: The author (s) **full name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline numbers, and email/alternate email address** should be in italic & 11-point Calibri Font. It must be centered underneath the title.

4. ABSTRACT: Abstract should be in fully italicized text, not exceeding 250 words. The abstract must be informative and explain the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a single para. Abbreviations must be mentioned in full.

5. **KEYWORDS:** Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of five. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stops at the end.
6. **MANUSCRIPT:** Manuscript must be in **BRITISH ENGLISH** prepared on a standard A4 size **PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER**. It must be prepared on a single space and single column with 1" margin set for top, bottom, left and right. It should be typed in 8 point Calibri Font with page numbers at the bottom and centre of every page. It should be free from grammatical, spelling and punctuation errors and must be thoroughly edited.
7. **HEADINGS:** All the headings should be in a 10 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
8. **SUB-HEADINGS:** All the sub-headings should be in a 8 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
9. **MAIN TEXT:** The main text should follow the following sequence:

INTRODUCTION**REVIEW OF LITERATURE****NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY****STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM****OBJECTIVES****HYPOTHESES****RESEARCH METHODOLOGY****RESULTS & DISCUSSION****FINDINGS****RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS****CONCLUSIONS****SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH****ACKNOWLEDGMENTS****REFERENCES****APPENDIX/ANNEXURE**

It should be in a 8 point Calibri Font, single spaced and justified. The manuscript should preferably not exceed **5000 WORDS**.

10. **FIGURES & TABLES:** These should be simple, crystal clear, centered, separately numbered & self explained, and **titles must be above the table/figure**. **Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure**. It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text.
11. **EQUATIONS:** These should be consecutively numbered in parentheses, horizontally centered with equation number placed at the right.
12. **REFERENCES:** The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript and they are supposed to follow **Harvard Style of Referencing**. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
 - All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
 - Use **(ed.)** for one editor, and **(ed.s)** for multiple editors.
 - When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc, in chronologically ascending order.
 - Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
 - The title of books and journals should be in italics. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
 - For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parentheses.
 - The location of endnotes within the text should be indicated by superscript numbers.

PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:**BOOKS**

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

- Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

- Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

CONFERENCE PAPERS

- Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–22 June.

UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS AND THESES

- Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

ONLINE RESOURCES

- Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

WEBSITES

- Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Natural Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 <http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp>

A PENTAGON PERFORMANCE SCENARIO OF SUGAR SECTOR IN INDIA

DR. GAJANAN MADIWAL
HEAD
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
GOVERNMENT COLLEGE OF ARTS & COMMERCE
VIRNODA

ABSTRACT

Sugarcane is cultivated in 127 countries in the world. Sugar industry is the second largest organised agro based industry in India. India ranks first with regard to the sugarcane cultivation area followed by Brazil. India is the second largest sugar producer in the world after Brazil, having a share of over 15 percent of the world's sugar production. So far no research was undertaken to make an analytical study of key factors at national level. The key factors like Crushing Capacity of Sugar Mills, Quantum of Cane Crushed, Quantum of Sugar Produced, Rate of Sugar Recovery and Quantum of Molasses Production of entire Indian sugar sector were studied, analysed and compared in this research work. This research is mainly focused on number of sugar mills fall under various slabs of key factors.

KEYWORDS

Crushing, Mills, Molasses, Sugar, Recovery.

INTRODUCTION

After textile industry, sugar industry is the second largest organized agro based industry in India. Reference of sugar and its products are available in Vedas and epics (the oldest written scripts available in India), indicating that the sugar was known to Hindus earlier than to any other race. *Ikshu* the term of sugarcane was found in *Atharva Veda*, which shows that the Aryans knew the sugar plant. In olden days, the forms of sugar products such as *Khanda* (Solid jaggery) and *Sarkara* (sugar) were used as medicinal compound.

HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

History has shown ample evidences of colonial rulers who had put their maximum efforts to acquire and control the production and distribution of sweetness. The East Indian Company started its venture by setting up of sugar mills at Surat, Arangaon and Machlipatanam in 1640. In 1906 there were 8 sugar mills with a total manpower of 1205 laborers. In 1921, the number of sugar mills increased to 16 and gave employment opportunities to 3348 workers. During 1935-36 there were 137 sugar mills in India and in a decade (1945-46) number shot up to 172 working sugar mills in India.

GLOBAL SUGAR SCENARIO

Sugarcane is cultivated in 127 countries in the world. Most of them are situated in between 35°S and 35°N of the Equator with altitudes ranging from sea level above 700 metres. The major sugar producers are Brazil, Argentina, Peru and Mexico. The highest sugar recovery recorded in Australia. In Asia, the major sugarcane producing countries are India, Indonesia, Philippine and China. During 1994 to 2003 the world sugar production increased by 25 percent. Some of the western countries produce beet sugar. The ratio between cane sugar and beet sugar is 76:24.

INDIAN SUGAR SCENARIO

India is the second largest sugar producer in the world after Brazil, having a share of over 15 percent of the world's sugar production and so far as the area under sugarcane cultivation is concerned, India stands first. In India, sugarcane is cultivated in 4.076 million hectares of land and today, India is not only self-sufficient but also capable to export to the tune of more than 0.81 million MT per annum to more than 38 countries. The countries like Pakistan, Bangladesh and Sri-Lanka are bulk our buyers. Normally, the crushing season beings in Indian from October to May and season prolongs for on an average 120 to 150 days. There were 553 registered sugar mills in the country but many of them already lost their entity (as on 30th September 2003), unfortunately 496 mills are functioning. The Co-operative sugar sector was looking after 296 factories, 166 mills were in the hands of private people and the rest 34 sugar companies were in the public sector. There were 143 mills in India's sugar bowl, Maharashtra state followed by Uttar Pradesh, 129 mills. The sugar sector generated employment for 40 million farmers in the fields and 0.5 million in factories. Today, Indian farmers are capable to produce a mass quantum of more than 300 million MT of sugarcane per year.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

In order to support the research process and to understand the research gaps in the chosen research problems, several research articles and sugar India year books were reviewed.

- i) **D.K. Pant et al** (2005), examined various process and also made an attempt to explain the efficient manner of By-products utilization.
- ii) **Ram Vihar Sinha** (1998) studied the problems of cane marketing and transport, utilization of By-products and policies on sugar economy.
- iii) **S. Pruthi** (1995) studied the history of sugar, sugar making in ancient and medieval India, during British period and after independence till 1992.

NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY

It is observed that all referred studies emphasised either a particular problem of a sugar mill or comparative studies in nature. So far no research was undertaken to make an analytical study of key factors at national level. The key factors like Crushing Capacity of Sugar Mills, Quantum of Cane Crushed, Quantum of Sugars Produced, Rate of Sugar Recovery and Quantum of Molasses Production of entire Indian sugar sector with reference to number of factories agglomerated at different slabs were studied, analysed and compared in this research work.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Agriculture has continued to be the backbone of Indian economy and it contributes about 29 percent to GDP. The co-operative sugar sector has accounted a lion's share in terms of the total number of sugar factories as well as the quantum of sugar production in India. The annual sugarcane price paid to the cultivators by the sugar mills amounts to Rs.135,000 millions per year. The annual turnover of the Sugar Sector amounts to Rs. 250,000 millions. The Central Excise Department gets an income of Rs.15,000 millions and the State Governments receive Rs. 10,000 million in the form of various cess. Looking at the significance importance the sugar sector it is the need of the hour is to study the performances of key factors by taking into considering entire India with reference to their concentration at different points.

OBJECTIVES

- i) To analyse the Crushing Capacity of Sugar Factories in India.

- ii) To study the Quantum of Cane Crushed during the study period.
- iii) To study the Quantum of Sugar Produced during the study period.
- iv) To analyse the Rate of Sugar Recovery in India.
- v) To analyse the Quantum of Molasses Production.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The study is based on **secondary data** published in "SUGAR INDIA" yearbooks of 2005, 2009 and 2011 and Co-operative Sugar Journals; covers performances of five different areas in three sugar seasons in India. The quantum of data includes three years statistical records of 544 factories in **five areas viz., crushing capacity, cane crushed, sugar production, sugar recovery and molasses production**. The sample size covers all 544 sugar mills (cent percent) of entire India during the study period (three financial years 2007-08, 2008-09 and 2009-10), which includes Co-operative factories, Private and Public sector sugar companies. Tabulation analysis is used as tool.

LIMITATIONS OF STUDY

- i) Study base on the data published in various sugar yearbooks and sugar journals. The conclusion drawn also entirely depends upon the data published in the books and journals.
- ii) The conclusion derived from the findings may not be applicable in any other years since the performance of sugar factory may differ from year to year.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

I) CRUSHING CAPACITY OF SUGAR MILLS IN INDIA

Crushing Capacity of a sugar factory plays a very important role in the jurisdiction where it is established. The capacity is decided based on the quantity availability of sugarcane at present and in future within the area specified for the sugar factory. In India, the crushing capacity of sugar mills varies from 500 Metrics Ton Per Day (MTPD) to 18,000 MTPD. The available data have been classified into 54 slabs which are based on convenient MTPD capacity installed by the promoters in their respective areas. The tabulated data exposed the following pictures.

- (i) The installed crushing capacity of Indian sugar mills observed to have varied from 500 MTPD to 18,000 MTPD.
- (ii) Many factories observed to have increased their crushing capacity during the study period from 2007 to 2009 and none of them reduced their crushing capacity.
- (iii) About 25 percent (165 Mills) of the factories have installed 2,500 MTPD, about 10 percent (44 Mills) have are venturing with 3,500 MTPD capacity, 5 percent (24 Mills) have installed 4,000 MTPD, 9 percent of them (40 Mills) observed to have installed 5,000 MTPD capacity and the rest fall under other slabs.
- (iv) Mills who have installed over and above 10,000 MTPD were either in private sector or were public limited companies and none of them in cooperative sector.
- (v) The companies who have significantly larger crushing capacity are Bajaj Hindustan Ltd., 12,000 MTPD (Central UP), Balarampur Chini Mills Ltd., 15,000 MTPD (East UP), Bajaj Hindustan Sugar & Industries Ltd., 16,000 MTPD (East UP), Triveni Engineering & Industries Ltd., (West UP) and Renuka Sugar Ltd., Karnataka.
- (vi) The mills which have less than 1,250 MTPD capacities were observed to have not performed well because of the increased cost of production due to small scale operation.

II) QUANTUM OF CANE CRUSHED

In India, the quantum of cane supply depends upon three major factors: **(a) Monsoon Factors** - the destiny of farmers and agro-based industries purely depends upon the mercy of monsoon in India. The sugarcane crop depends upon timely and sufficient rainfall, required amount of moisture and absence of the pests and diseases; **(b) Price of Substitutes** - in India, *Gur* and *Khandsari* units are still major source of sweeteners especially in rural India. About 60 to 65 percent of sugarcane produced in the country is utilised for sugar manufacturing, about 21 to 28 percent is utilised for the manufacturing of *Gur* and *Khandsari* and the balance of 11 to 12 percent goes to feeding, chewing, seeding and other uses; and **(c) Statutory Minimum Price** - the Statutory Minimum Price (SMP) is the price fixed by the Central Government below that no sugar factory is allowed to buy sugarcane from the cane growers. Every year the Central Government announces SMP (based on minimum 8.5 percent recovery rate) for sugarcane by notification in the month of September or October, which is to be essentially paid to the sugarcane growers by the sugar mills.

The quantum of cane crushed by the Indian sugar factories have been classified into 39 convenient widths viz., less than 59,999 MT; 60,000 MT to 1,00,000 MT [Lac Metric Ton (LMT)], 1 LMT LMT to 1,59,000 LMT; and so on till 19.59 LMT. The tabulated analysis told the following truths.

- (i) On an average data indicates that in 2007-08, larger number of the factories observed to have crushed less than 59,000 MT. It may be because of failure of sugarcane production during 2007-08 and later on (2008-09), the situation observed to have improved in quantity crushed indicated bumper crop season and again a fall in 2009-10.
- (ii) About 140 mills observed to have sugarcane crushed less than 1.59 LMT during 2009-10.
- (iii) Up to 7.59 LMT one can see double digit factories and later on a few factories observed to have crushed more than 7.59 LMT but very surprisingly shifting in crushing quantity is observed varying from year to year, which is an impact of good rain in a particular state or states.
- (iv) Following are the new companies, which have crushed more than 15 LMT viz., The Ugar Sugar Karkhana Ltd., Karnataka [>15.6 LMT]; Balarampur Chini Mills Ltd., UP [>16.2 LMT]; Shree Khenvata Sahakari Chand Udyog Mandily Ltd., Gujarat [>16.6 LMT]; Haryana Saraswati Sugar Mills Ltd., [>17 LMT] and Godavari Bio-refinery Ltd., Karnataka [>17.6 LMT]
- (v) One company Shree Khedavati Sahakari Khan Udyog Mandily Ltd., South Gujarat observed to have crushed more than 19 LMT during 2007-08 and 2009-10 but could not achieve the target in 2008 [>16.6 LMT].

III) QUANTUM OF SUGAR PRODUCED

Every sugar mill proudly says its performance in terms of white crystallised sugar production. This parameter of performance is accepted universally, however, production performance of any sugar factory totally depends upon the other two variables viz., quantum of sugarcane crushed and recovery rate.

In order to analyse the white crystal sugar production performance of the mills during the study period, the data relating to the sugar production have been put in conveniently made 44 slabs viz., less than 0.59 (Lac Quintals) LQS to 0.60 to 1.00 LQS and so on up to 21.60 to 22.00 LQS. The consolidated data analysis revealed the following facts.

- (i) The trends of sugarcane production are directly and proportionately move with sugar production since both are interdependent.
- (ii) The impact of good and bad rain were clearly visible in the form of bumper and average production of sugar, as stated in the sugarcane production i.e. low production in 2007-09 and an average production in 2009-10.
- (iii) Larger number of factories (average) fall under the categories of less than 0.59 LQS, in between 0.69 to 1.00 LQS and 1.01 to 01.59 LQS which indicate larger number of sugar factories in India smaller in size.
- (iv) Quantum of production of sugar by the factories significantly varied from one year to another and also observed that the number of factories agglomerated under a particulars slab during the study period shows very large differences.
- (v) The factories, which achieved the production target of 13.00 LQS during the 2009-10, could not do best during 2007-08 and 2008-09.
- (vi) Major factories, which achieved the target above 17.00 LQS of sugar production were Bannari Ammar Sugar Ltd., Karnataka (2007-08); Sahakari Khand Udyog Mandli Ltd., Gujarat (2008-07); the Godavari; Bio-refineries Ltd., Gujarat (2009-10); Sheer Khedut Sahakari Khand Udyog Mandli Ltd., Gujarat (2007-08) and the Godavari Bio-refineries Ltd., (2007-08) Karnataka.

IV) RATE OF SUGAR RECOVERY

Rate of recovery is another important parameter indicates the degree of production performance. The rate of recovery is nothing but the percentage of sugar content extracted from sugarcane. The sugar content in cane differs from region to region and from time to time. The sugar content in sugarcane does not have any correlation with the yield per hectare. High degree of sugar contents fetches a high rate of white crystal sugar return. The input of sugarcane and output of sugar production is measured in term of percentage i.e. **Rate of Recovery**.

The degree of sugar contents in sugarcane depends upon the quality of soil, sugarcane variety and seed, impact of monsoon, pesticides and fertilisers used and cropping pattern. The rate of recovery depends upon percentage of sugar content in cane and also depends upon the efficiency of men and machines in the factory.

No sugar factory can extract cent percent sugar content from sugarcane. A small amount of sugar content goes as normal process loss. After making numerous researches in the sugar extraction methods and processes, the researchers fixed up an allowable maximum normal loss. If any sugar unit maintains its normal loss within the allowable normal losses, such sugar mills are considered as highly efficient mills. The rate of normal loss indicates the degree of efficiency of men and machines in sugar mills.

It is noticed that there is a loss of sugar at all stages right from harvesting to final product, which is a serious economic problem of sugar industry. It is also observed that the overall loss of sugar contents from the point of pre-harvest to till the point of bagging is estimated in between 5 percent to 35 percent.

The quantum of loss depends upon the degree of geographical and technical factors affecting the sugarcane cultivation, transportation and production processes. The **sugar losses** in the sugarcane process have been classified into (a) **Known Losses (Bagasse loss, Filter cake loss and Molasses loss)** and (b) **Unknown Losses or Undetermined Losses**, which cannot be determined directly.

If a factory wants to get higher recovery rate, the material manager must see that the cane must reach the factory premises within eight hours after cutting from the fields. If it is not possible within specified period, the cane juices get converted into fructose rather than sugar and get mixed with molasses.

In order to find out how many mills agglomerated in a particular range, the rate of recovery slabs have been fixed from less than 7.00 to 7.50 and so on by keeping a width of 0.50 percent.

As it is stated, in India Average Recovery Rate (ARR) varies in between 9.50 to 10.00 percent. India achieved a record recovery of 10.48 percent during 1930-31 otherwise most of the time the recovery rate was in between 9.00 to 10.00 percent. With this background, the tabulated data have been analysed and found the following facts.

- (i) On an average about 8 factories have shown very poor recovery (Less than 7.00 %) during the study period. It may be due to poor quality of sugarcane, delay in crushing.
- (ii) An increasing trend in number of factories is observed from 2009-08 to 2009-10 towards the 11.50 percent recovery.
- (iii) About 10 percent of factories fallen under the category of 8.10 to 8.50 percent recovery; about 15 percent of factories fallen under 8.15 to 9.00 percent and about 20 percent of the mills fallen under 9.10 to 9.50 percent category.
- (iv) About 15 percent each of the mills fallen under 9.15 to 10.00 percent and 10.10 to 10.50 percent category and just 10 percent each of the mills observed to have fallen under 10.51 to 11.00 percent category; rest above 11.00 percent.
- (v) As many as 23 mills have crossed the remarkable recovery rate of 12.51 percent during 2007-08 as compared to 7 mills in 2008-09 and 8 mills in 2009-10.
- (vi) About 150 factories observed to have crossed healthy bench mark of 10.00 percent recovery rate during 2009-10.

V) QUANTUM OF MOLASSES PRODUCTION

The by-products of the sugar industries are Bagasses (36%-35%) molasses (4%-5%), press mud (2%-4%) leaves and tops (25%-35%) and boiler ash (0.3%). The cost of sugar continues to be high due to the neglect of the profitable utilisation of the by-products. If the by-products are used the cost of production of the sugar may go down by about 20 percent. The development of sugarcane by-products industries and their ancillaries may push up the profitability of the sugar industry.

The countries like Australia, Brazil, Cuba, Philippines, South Africa and Taiwan have developed numerous industries utilising the by-products. Some of these countries produce alcohol as main product and sugar as by product.

In India, only the factories having 3,500 MT and above capacity, convert their molasses in to spirit, since it is commercially viable to invest in spirit production plants. The bagasses are used to produce electricity. About 50 percent of units produce electricity to fulfill mills requirement when they are in operation.

Molasses is one of the by-products comes out in the form of semi-liquid used to prepare alcohol / ethanol / spirit. Many sugar factories, which have installed 3,500 MTPD and more, get large amount of molasses and have installed ethanol distillation units. The inefficient material management also leads to the production of larger amount of molasses rather than sugar. These units produce spirit product soon after the sugar production season, and keep their labour force in action. Otherwise generally, sugar seasons, get over in between 90-160 days in a year.

In order to find out how many mills agglomerated in a particular range, the ranges of quantum of molasses production have been classified into 18 slabs from less than 0.59 Lac Quintals (LQS), to 0.60 LQS and so on by keeping a width of 0.50 LQS. The analytical study revealed the following facts.

- (i) Number of units observed to have increased from 2007-08 to 2008-09 and 2008-09 to 2009-10. An upward trend is clearly visible due to the increase in cane yield year to year.
- (ii) More than 50 percent of the factories observed to have produced less than 3.00 Lac Quintals (LQS) of molasses per season.
- (iii) The factories which accumulated more than 2.00 LQS molasses have installed distillation units in their factory premises instead of selling molasses to outsiders, who have spirit production units.

FINDINGS**I. CRUSHING CAPACITY**

- (i) Increasing of crushing capacity from lower level to higher level is observed during study period and not vice versa.
- (ii) Many (25%) factories observed to have opted 2,500 MTPD crushing capacity and 10 percent each have installed 3,500 MTPD and 5,000 MTPD.
- (iii) Most of the factories who have crushing capacity over and above 10,000 MTPD were either of private sector mills or of public sector mills.
- (iv) Crushing capacity depends upon the cane availability within the jurisdiction of the factories.

II. CANE CRUSHED

- (i) Larger number of sugar factories observed to have crushed less than 0.60 LMT during 2007-08, which was due to cane crop failure because of rain failure in that year.
- (ii) Indian sugar production is fully depends upon the quality and quantity of rainfall except irrigated areas, which is also depends upon rain fall.
- (iii) Constant supply of sugarcane irrespective of good or bad rain fall observed in some sugar factories, because of well irrigation. Hence, tube well irrigation also plays a major role in sugar production in India.
- (iv) Factories who have crushing capacity over and above 15 LMT observed to have taken care of regular supply of sugarcane by providing irrigation facility, educating farmers and providing required basic materials and tools such as seeds, fertilizer, guidance, etc.

III. SUGAR PRODUCTION

- (i) Sugar factories in India do not get sugarcane supply constantly; supply purely depends upon rain and irrigation pattern, which has direct impact on sugar production.
- (ii) Cane supply and sugar production have got direct and proportional relationship and slightly affected by the *gur* production and *gur* price.
- (iii) Quantum of production observed to have varied from year to year and factory to factory even though every factory has got Jurisdiction of 25 km of cane supply and farmer members.
- (iv) Larger number of factories observed to have produced sugar in between 1.00 LQS to 4.59 LQS.

IV. SUGAR RECOVERY

- (i) All India Sugar Recovery Rate observed to have varied from 2.50 percent to 14.00 percent.
- (ii) All India Average Recovery Rate fall in between 9.00 to 10.00 percent.
- (iii) Factories who have record of less than 7.50 percent are neither able to continue nor able to recover their cost of production; become sick and led to many problems specially payments to farmers.
- (iv) Many of the factories who have achieved the target rate of recovery over and above 11.00 percent observed to have not paid proportionately to their farmers as stated in the many research reports.
- (v) Indian Average Recovery Rate is lower as compared to Brazil and other advanced countries.
- (vi) Though all India Average Recovery Rate is lower as compared to other countries, India exceeds in quantum of production of sugar as compared to sugar bowl of the world - Brazil.

V. MOLASSES PRODUCTION

- (i) Increasing trend of number of factories falling under same range is observed in case of molasses production as in the case of cane and sugar production.
- (ii) Production of molasses depends upon the other factors viz., amount of sugarcane crushed by the factory and gap between the cane cutting time and the cane crushed time.
- (iii) Delay in crushing time leads to more amount of molasses production and lesser quantum of sugar production due to conversion of glucose into fructose due to delay in process.
- (iv) Sugar factories who produced more than 2 LQS of molasses have installed their own distilleries, and these units are able to provide employment to their workers throughout the year rather than closing down soon after the season.

RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS

- (i) The factories which are having crushing capacity less than 1,000 MTPD and below are advised to enhance their capacity to gain the benefits of large scale operation.

CONCLUSIONS

Indian sugar sector largely depends upon monsoon; politically influenced sugarcane price fixing system; moods of the farmers and mills administrators. The degree of success of Indian sugar sector is depending on joint venture of said stake holders.

SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

All six problems and segments can be analysed in detail by interested research scholars in future.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I acknowledge the researchers of articles and authors of books without their efforts I would have not done this analysis.

REFERENCES**BOOKS**

1. D.K. Pant, S.M. Saraswat and Ajay Mishra (2005), *Sugar Industry Diversification For Value Addition*, Co-operative Sugar, Vol.37, No.5, pp.33-34.
2. Ram Vihar Sinha (1988), *Sugar Industry in India*, Deep & Deep Publication, New Delhi.
3. S. Pruthi (1995), *History of Sugar Industry in India*, Reliance Publishing House, New Delhi. pp 6-7, 19, 99-115.
4. Sugar India Year Books – 2005, 2009 and 2011, Published by Anekant Prakashan, Kolhapur Maharashtra.

JOURNALS

5. Co-operative Sugar, NFCSF, New Delhi November 2005. Vol.37.No.3

WEB SITES

6. www.sugarindia.com (10/10/11)

REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

Dear Readers

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce, Economics and Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue as well as on the journal as a whole, on our E-mail **info@ijrcm.org.in** for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries please feel free to contact us on our E-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-

Co-ordinator

ABOUT THE JOURNAL

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active co-operation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.

Our Other Journals

