INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE, ECONOMICS & MANAGEMENT



A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at:

Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, ProQuest, U.S.A., EBSCO Publishing, U.S.A., Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A., Open J-Gage, India (link of the same is duly available at Inflibnet of University Grants Commission (U.G.C.)),

Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland with IC Value of 5.09 & number of libraries all around the world. Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than 2255 Cities in 155 countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis. Ground Floor, Building No. 1041-C-1, Devi Bhawan Bazar, JAGADHRI – 135 003, Yamunanagar, Haryana, INDIA

http://ijrcm.org.in/

ii

CONTENTS

Sr. No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page No.
	AN ANALYSIS OF THE DETERMINANTS OF MINING INVESTMENT IN ZIMBABWE: BASED ON THE FLEXIBLE ACCELERATOR MODEL	1
2 .	FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE OF INDIAN GENERAL INSURANCE COMPANIES IN PRE RECESSION PERIOD DR. S.M.TARIQ ZAFAR & RITIKA AGGARWAL	7
3.	POSTAL SAVINGS IN INDIA – A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS USING REGRESSION AND ARIMA MODELS S.NEHRU & S. RAJARAM	15
4.	FDI IN RETAILING: BOOST EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES FOR INDIA'S YOUTH DR. V.SELVAM	21
5.	KERALA BUILDING AND OTHER CONSTRUCTION WORKERS WELFARE FUND BOARD - A MACRO PICTURE DR. ABDUL NASAR VP, DR. ABOOBACKER SIDHEEQUE KT & DR. MUHAMMED BASHEER UMMATHUR	25
6.	GROWTH AND PERFORMANCE OF MICRO, SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES IN INDIA IN POST GLOBALIZATION PERIOD R. PONMANI & DR. R. ANNAPOORANI	39
7 .	MICRO-FINANCE: A CHANGING PARADIGM IN THE NEW ECONOMIC SCENARIO IN THE CONTEXT OF WOMEN EMPOWERMENT DR. V.V. SUBBA RAO & T. MADHUSUDHAN RAO	42
8.	BAMBOO CULTIVATION IN DIMAPUR, NAGALAND – GROWERS PERCEPTION DR. P. NATARAJAN & IMTINUNGSANGJAMIR	47
9.	USE OF Z-SCORE ANALYSIS FOR EVALUATION OF FINANCIAL HEALTH OF INDIAN OIL REFINERIES DR. A. VIJAYAKUMAR & P.GOMATHI	53
10 .	IMPACT OF PSYCHOLOGICAL FACTORS ON EMPLOYEE TURNOVER INTENTION MUHAMMAD RIZWAN, MARIA SHAHID, HAMNA SHAFIQ, SUMAIRA TABASSUM, RAFIA BARI & JAVERIA UMAR	63
11.	WOMEN ENTREPRENEURSHIP IN INDIA JYOTI KANDPAL BHATT, NEERAJ SHARMA & DEEPIKA GOEL	70
12 .	THE ROLE OF LOCAL INSTITUTIONS FOR SUSTAINABLE LIVELIHOODS: THE CASE OF HAOR FISHERMEN IN BANGLADESH ROMAZA KHANUM	75
13.	STRUCTURAL CHANGE IN NORTHERN STATES OF INDIA TINA SINGH	80
	INDIAN IPO MARKET - QUO VADIS RASHI M. GOPLANI	84
15.	FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF PRIVATE SECTOR BANKS IN INDIA: A COMPARATIVE STUDY DR. KUSHALAPPA. S & PRADEEP R BHANDARY	91
16 .	EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE OF FEMALE FACULTY IN COLLEGES P.YASODHA	95
17.	EFFECTIVE EDUCATION FOR DIFFERENTLY ABLED CHILDREN IN REGULAR STREAM: PERCEPTIONS OF TEACHERS DR. K. PARTHASARATHY	99
18 .	WOMEN EMPOWERMENT THROUGH SELF- HELP GROUPS IN PUDUCHERRY: A CASE STUDY OF YANAM DR. R. UMA DEVI	104
19 .	HINDRANCES TO MICROFINANCE SUSTAINABILITY IN RAJOURI DISTRICT OF JAMMU AND KASHMIR AASIM MIR	112
20 .	A STUDY ON FACULTY STRESS IN SELECT COLLEGES IN KANCHIPURAM DISTRICT B.SATHYA & DR. M.MURUGAN	115
21 .	GROWTH, PERFORMANCE AND DETERMINANTS OF AGRICULTURAL VARIATION IN PUNJAB: AN INTER DISTRICTS STUDY JASPAL SINGH, NIRMAL SINGH & KULVINDER SINGH	119
22 .	PROMOTING ORGANIC FARMING: AN ANALYSIS OF STATUS AND ISSUES OF UTTARAKHAND ORGANIC COMMODITY BOARD SHALINI SINGH & VISHNU SHANKER MEENA	127
23.	RESEARCH ON THE VARIABLES THAT HAVE AN IMPACT ON EMPLOYEE SATISFACTION AND TURNOVER INTENTION MUHAMMAD RIZWAN, NIMRA SHAHZAD, QANDEEL SHEIKH, SANIA BATOOL, MARYAM RIAZ & SAMINA SIDDIQUE	131
24.	THE DETERMINANTS OF LEVERAGE OF THE LISTED COMPANIES IN SRI LANKA: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY S.ANANDASAYANAN, V.A.SUBRAMANIAM, A.SIREERANHAN & M.RAVEESWARAN	139
25 .	THE IMPACT OF COMMERCIAL BANKS' ACTIVITIES ON RURAL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT IN NIGERIA OKE, MARGARET ADEBIPE & SAMUEL, IYIOLA KEHINDE OLUWATOYIN	144
26 .	THE PERFORMANCE OF SMALL MEDIUM ENTERPRISES (SME'S) OPERATED BY WOMEN AND MEN IN THE NON AGRICULTURE ROLE ENTERPRISE IN NANDI COUNTY, KENYA STEPHEN K. CHELOGOI & EVERLINE C.TUM	150
27 .	ACCESS TO AND IMPACT OF CREDIT ON HOUSEHOLDS WELFARE IN NIGERIA	154
28.	UGWUANYI, HILLARY CHIGOZIE & OMEJE, AMBROSE NNAEMEKA BOARD GENDER DIVERSITY AND PERFORMANCE OF LISTED COMMERCIAL BANKS IN KENYA UMULKHER ALI ABDILLAHI & MUGANDA MUNIR MANINI	159
29 .	INDIAN TEA PRODUCTION: AN ANALYSIS P.V.ANIL	166
30.	THE IMPACT OF THE BRAND TRUST, BRAND ATTACHMENT, BRAND COMMITMENT ON BRAND LOYALTY MUHAMMAD RIZWAN, WAQAR AHMAD BHATTI, SAMRAIZ TAHIR, CH. SAJID ALI, FARAZEEN HASEEB PEERZADA, AFFIFA NAZ & QURAT-UL- AIN ZAHRA	169
	REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK	175

iii

CHIEF PATRON

PROF. K. K. AGGARWAL Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Delhi Founder Vice-Chancellor, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

FOUNDER PATRON

LATE SH. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL Former State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana Former Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri Former President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

CO-ORDINATOR

DR. BHAVET Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Business & Management, Urjani

<u>ADVISORS</u>

DR. PRIYA RANJAN TRIVEDI Chancellor, The Global Open University, Nagaland PROF. M. S. SENAM RAJU Director A. C. D., School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi PROF. M. N. SHARMA Chairman, M.B.A., HaryanaCollege of Technology & Management, Kaithal PROF. S. L. MAHANDRU Principal (Retd.), MaharajaAgrasenCollege, Jagadhri

EDITOR

PROF. R. K. SHARMA Professor, Bharti Vidyapeeth University Institute of Management & Research, New Delhi

CO-EDITOR

DR. SAMBHAV GARG Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Business & Management, Urjani

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

DR. RAJESH MODI Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia PROF. SIKANDER KUMAR Chairman, Department of Economics, HimachalPradeshUniversity, Shimla, Himachal Pradesh PROF. SANJIV MITTAL UniversitySchool of Management Studies, Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi PROF. RAJENDER GUPTA Convener, Board of Studies in Economics, University of Jammu, Jammu PROF. NAWAB ALI KHAN Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE, ECONOMICS & MANAGEMENT A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories http://ijrcm.org.in/ **PROF. S. P. TIWARI**

Head, Department of Economics & Rural Development, Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia Avadh University, Faizabad **DR. ANIL CHANDHOK** Professor, Faculty of Management, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana

DR. ASHOK KUMAR CHAUHAN

Reader, Department of Economics, KurukshetraUniversity, Kurukshetra

DR. SAMBHAVNA

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

DR. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, P.J.L.N.GovernmentCollege, Faridabad

DR. VIVEK CHAWLA

Associate Professor, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra

DR. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE

Asst. Professor, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

PROF. ABHAY BANSAL Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity University, Noida **PARVEEN KHURANA** Associate Professor, MukandLalNationalCollege, Yamuna Nagar **SHASHI KHURANA** Associate Professor, S.M.S.KhalsaLubanaGirlsCollege, Barara, Ambala SUNIL KUMAR KARWASRA Principal, AakashCollege of Education, ChanderKalan, Tohana, Fatehabad **DR. VIKAS CHOUDHARY** Asst. Professor, N.I.T. (University), Kurukshetra

TECHNICAL ADVISOR

AMITA Faculty, Government M. S., Mohali

FINANCIAL ADVISORS

DICKIN GOYAL Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula NEENA

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

LEGAL ADVISORS

JITENDER S. CHAHAL Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T. **CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA** Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri



iv

<u>SUPERINTENDENT</u> SURFNDER KUMAR POONIA

DATED:

CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to recent developments & practices in the area of Computer, Business, Finance, Marketing, Human Resource Management, General Management, Banking, Insurance, Corporate Governance and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting Education; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Monetary Policy; Portfolio & Security Analysis; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Business Education; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law, Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labor Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; Public Administration; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism, Hospitality & Leisure; Transportation/Physical Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Digital Logic; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Multimedia; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic and Web Design. The above mentioned tracks are only indicative, and not exhaustive.

Anybody can submit the soft copy of his/her manuscript **anytime** in M.S. Word format after preparing the same as per our submission guidelines duly available on our website under the heading guidelines for submission, at the email address: <u>infoijrcm@gmail.com</u>.

GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT

1. COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:

THE EDITOR IJRCM

Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF.

(e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)

DEAR SIR/MADAM

Please find my submission of manuscript entitled '______' for possible publication in your journals.

I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore, it has neither been published elsewhere in any language fully or partly, nor is it under review for publication elsewhere.

I affirm that all the author (s) have seen and agreed to the submitted version of the manuscript and their inclusion of name (s) as co-author (s).

Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the formalities as given on the website of the journal & you are free to publish our contribution in any of your journals.

NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Designation: Affiliation with full address, contact numbers & Pin Code: Residential address with Pin Code: Mobile Number (s): Landline Number (s): E-mail Address: Alternate E-mail Address:

NOTES:

- a) The whole manuscript is required to be in **ONE MS WORD FILE** only (pdf. version is liable to be rejected without any consideration), which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript.
- b) The sender is required to mention following in the SUBJECT COLUMN of the mail: New Manuscript for Review in the area of (Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/ Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)
- c) There is no need to give any text in the body of mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any specific message w.r.t. to the manuscript.
- d) The total size of the file containing the manuscript is required to be below 500 KB.
- e) Abstract alone will not be considered for review, and the author is required to submit the complete manuscript in the first instance.
- f) The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of manuscript, within two days of submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending separate mail to the journal.
- 2. MANUSCRIPT TITLE: The title of the paper should be in a 12 point Calibri Font. It should be bold typed, centered and fully capitalised.
- 3. AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS: The author (s) full name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline numbers, and email/alternate email address should be in italic & 11-point Calibri Font. It must be centered underneath the title.
- 4. **ABSTRACT**: Abstract should be in fully italicized text, not exceeding 250 words. The abstract must be informative and explain the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a single para. Abbreviations must be mentioned in full.

- 5. **KEYWORDS:** Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of five. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stops at the end.
- 6. MANUSCRIPT: Manuscript must be in <u>BRITISH ENGLISH</u> prepared on a standard A4 size <u>PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER</u>. It must be prepared on a single space and single column with 1" margin set for top, bottom, left and right. It should be typed in 8 point Calibri Font with page numbers at the bottom and centre of every page. It should be free from grammatical, spelling and punctuation errors and must be thoroughly edited.
- 7. HEADINGS: All the headings should be in a 10 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
- 8. SUB-HEADINGS: All the sub-headings should be in a 8 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
- 9. MAIN TEXT: The main text should follow the following sequence:

INTRODUCTION

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

OBJECTIVES

HYPOTHESES

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

FINDINGS

RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS

CONCLUSIONS

SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

REFERENCES

APPENDIX/ANNEXURE

It should be in a 8 point Calibri Font, single spaced and justified. The manuscript should preferably not exceed 5000 WORDS.

- 10. FIGURES & TABLES: These should be simple, crystal clear, centered, separately numbered & self explained, and titles must be above the table/figure. Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure. It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text.
- 11. EQUATIONS: These should be consecutively numbered in parentheses, horizontally centered with equation number placed at the right.
- 12. **REFERENCES:** The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript and they are supposed to follow **Harvard Style of Referencing**. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
- All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
- Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
- When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc, in chronologically ascending order.
- Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
- The title of books and journals should be in italics. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working
 papers, unpublished material, etc.
- For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parentheses.
- The location of endnotes within the text should be indicated by superscript numbers.

PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:

BOOKS

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

 Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

IOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

 Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

CONFERENCE PAPERS

Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–22 June.

UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS AND THESES

Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

ONLINE RESOURCES

Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

WEBSITES

Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Natural Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE, ECONOMICS & MANAGEMENT

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

http://ijrcm.org.in/

STRUCTURAL CHANGE IN NORTHERN STATES OF INDIA

TINA SINGH ASST. PROFESSOR DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS MATA GUJRI COLLEGE FATEHGARH SAHIB

ABSTRACT

Economic development in an economy is viewed through the process of structural change being witnessed by it. Structural change being a universal phenomenon is taking place in all economies; national or sub-national. The present paper attempts to study structural change in addition to certain socio-economic indicators in the northern states of India. Time period of the study is from 1980-81 to 2010-11. A distinguishing feature of structural change in these economies has been seen in the declining share of primary sector in the NSDP and the increasing share of secondary and tertiary sectors in the NSDP. The process of urbanisation was found in all subnational economies with Punjab showing the maximum rate followed by Haryana and Uttarakhand while J&K and H.P. witnessed the lowest rates. The level of inequality was found to be lower in northern states than All India level. Although both rural and urban inequality were on a rise in the fag end, urban inequality was greater than rural inequality at all points of time. The socio-economic indicator of population below poverty line has witnessed Punjab being a better player in comparison to the other northern states. J&K, H.P. and Haryana have shown a spectacular decline in the year 1999-2000, although all states witnessed an increase in population below poverty line in 2004-05. In case of demographic indicators of sex ratio and literacy, H.P. has shown the highest figures followed by Uttarakhand, while Haryana faired the poorest in the sex ratio , it was J&K to lag behind in literacy.

KEYWORDS

Primary sector, structural change, structural transformation, tertiary sector.

INTRODUCTION

he Indian economy has experienced a gradual structural change in the past decades like many developed and developing economies across the world. A similar phenomenon has occurred within the Indian sub-national economies also. The present study is of structural change and certain socio-economic indicators of the northern states of India. An attempt is made to study the manner in which these neighbouring states located in the north are transforming with time. The time period of the study is from 1980-81 to 2010-11. Major sources of data have been CSO, RBI publications, Planning Commission and Socio-economic Review of States. Certain variations in the time periods were found due to non-availability of data for specific years from the same source.

The northern states have shown a relatively better growth performance in the last few decades with few variations among them which have occurred due to certain internal factors of these states. The Punjab and Haryana growth performance was based on the fast growth of their farm sector whereas the growth performance of J&K was dependent on the strong base of tertiary sector in addition to the primary sector. Himachal Pradesh also attributes its growth to the farm sector with a comparatively strong services sector base as compared to the other states besides J&K.

Economic development is seen as an interrelated set of long run processes of structural transformation that accompany growth. The central feature consists of economy-wide phenomena such as industrialisation, urbanisation and agricultural transformation. The principal changes in structure emphasized in the development literatures are increase in the rates of capital accumulation (Rostow 1960, Lewis 1954) ; shift in the sectoral composition of economic activity (industrialization) focusing on the allocation of labour (Clark 1940) and on production and factor use (Kuznets 1966 , Chenery 1966) ; and change in the location of economic activity (urbanisation) and other concomitant aspects of industrialisation (demographic transition, income distribution etc).

On comparing the process of structural change between developed and developing countries a distinctive feature of difference between the two was found. The process of structural transformation across developed countries is quite similar and follows the pattern described by Kuznets (1966), Fisher (1939) and Chenery (1960). According to them as the economy grows, production and employment shift from primary to secondary and then to tertiary sector. The shift in production occurs earlier and is fast compared to the shift in employment. On the other hand, structural transformation of present day developing countries is occurring at a rather slow pace, barring a few exceptions. The process of structural transformation in developing countries deviates considerably from the path followed by the developed countries (Bah, 2008). In fast growing developing countries, a rapid decline in the importance of agriculture and a strong expansion of both the industrial and modern services sector has been experienced.

Researchers have tried to study the process of structural change in various countries of the world. Schnabl, West, Foster and Cook (1999) have studied the Queensland economy, Caselli and Coleman II (2001) undertook research of the US economy, Nhi and Giesecke (2008) for Vietnam. All have found the prevalence of structural change in some degree in these economies. Moving closer, the incidence of structural change has also been witnessed in the Indian economy. Studies undertaken by Venkatramaiah, Kulkarni and Argrade (1984); Bhargava and Joshi (1990), Dasgupta and Chakraborty (2005) highlight the existence of structural change in the Indian economy. Likewise, research throws light on structural transformation occurring at the state level in the Indian economy. Studies conducted by Rao (1986) for Andhra Pradesh, Singh and Joshi (1991) for U.P., Shetty (2003) for Haryana, Bagchi, Das and Chattopadhyay (2005) for Gujarat and Khanna (2011) for Punjab conclude that some level of structural change and certain socio-economic indicators in North India and comparing them with the All India level.

COMPOSITION OF NSDP OF NORTHERN STATES

A comparison of the northern states in the components of NSDP in **Table 1** reveals that there has been a shift from the primary sector to the secondary and the tertiary sectors. The share of primary sector in NSDP has witnessed a gradual decline in the states of H.P. Punjab and Haryana. In H.P. it was 49.91% in 1980-81, declined to 36.61% in 1990-91, further to 27.52% in 2000-01 and to 22.95% in 2010-11. Similarly for Punjab it stood at 49.48% in 1980-81, decreased to 44.61% in 1990-91, to 38.26% in 2000-01 and finally to 32.82% in 2010-11. For Haryana the composition of GSDP over the respective time period shows a similar feature of a gradual decline in the share of the primary sector in GSDP from 53.39% in 1980-81 to 20.82% in 2010-11. Uttarakhand has also witnessed a similar decline in short span of time , from 29.33% in 2000-01 it declined to 15.02% in 2010-11. Although similar decline in the share of primary sector was seen in J&K also but there were variations found in the rate at which it took place. In 1980-81 the share of primary sector was 47.04% which declined to 43.03% in 1990-91. It was followed by a major fall in its share to 26.05% in 2000-01 and further to 20.82% in 2010-11.

The decline in the primary sector seems to have been absorbed by the secondary and the tertiary sectors. In case of H.P., the share of secondary sector increased from 19.13% in 1980-81 to 26.23% in 1990-91. It further rose to 34.45% in 2000-01 and to 35.72% in 2010-11. In Haryana the share of secondary sector rose from 19.84% to 25.08% to 28.05% to 28.87% in the respective years showing a gradual rise in its share. In Punjab also it saw an increase from 18.49% in 1980-81 to 22.41% in 1990-91. It witnessed a slight fall to 20.31% in 2000-01 and thereafter an increase to 25.21%. In J&K the share of secondary sector in NSDP after a slight fall from12.66% in 1980-81 to 10.65% in 1990-91 rose to 36.97% in 2000-01, although it further declined to 29.22% in 2010-11.Uttarakhand also witnessed a rise from 21% in 2000-01 to 29.51% in 2010-11 in the share of secondary sector in NSDP.

A similar shift was seen in the tertiary sector over the time period of the study in the northern states of India. H.P. again showed a gradual increase in the share of tertiary sector in NSDP. It increased from 30.95% in 1980-81 to 41.31% in 2010-11. Punjab also witnessed a similar rise in its services sector from 32.02% in

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE, ECONOMICS & MANAGEMENT A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories http://ijrcm.org.in/

VOLUME NO. 3 (2013), ISSUE NO. 03 (MARCH)

1980-81 to 41.95% in 2010-11. J&K was the state in which services sector had the largest share of 40.29% in the NSDP in as early as 1980-81. This may be attributed to the tourism industry. It further rose to 46.31% in 1990-91 but declined to 36.97% in 2000-01. The decline may be due to the ill effects of terrorism in the state which seem to have deteriorated tourism thus leading to a fall in the share of services sector. In 2010-11 it again seems to have gained momentum by having a share of 49.95% in NSDP. Haryana and Uttarakhand have again shown a similar shift which witnesses a gradual rise in the share of tertiary sector. For Haryana it rose from 26.76% in 1980-81 to 50.29% in 2010-11. In Uttarakhand it increased from 48.78% in 2000-01 to 55.45% in 2010-11.

TABLE 1: COMPOSITION OF NSDP OF NORTHERN STATES (In Percent)

		1980-81	1990-91	2000-01	2010-11
Prima	ry Sector	47.04	43.03	26.05	20.82
Secon	dary Sector	12.66	10.65	36.97	29.22
Tertia	ry Sector	40.29	46.31	36.97	49.95
HIMACHAL PRADESH					
		1980-81	1990-91	2000-01	2010-11
Prima	ry Sector	49.91	36.61	27.52	22.95
Secon	dary Sector	19.13	26.23	34.45	35.72
Tertia	ry Sector	30.95	37.15	38.02	41.31
PUNJAB					
		1980-81	1990-91	2000-01	2010-11
Prima	ry Sector	49.48	44.61	38.26	32.82
Secon	dary Sector	18.49	22.41	20.31	25.21
Tertia	ry Sector	32.02	32.97	41.41	41.95
HARYANA*					
		1980-81	1990-91	2000-01	2010-11
Prima	ry Sector	53.39	43.84	30.17	20.82
Secon	dary Sector	19.84	25.08	28.05	28.87
Tertia	ry Sector	26.76	31.07	4176	50.29
UTTARAKHAND**					
		1980-81	1990-91	2000-01	2010-11
Prima	ry Sector			29.33	15.02
Secon	dary Sector			21.87	29.51
Tertia	ry Sector			48.78	55.45
Source: CSO *For Haryana the GSDP have been analysed due to non availability of data from the same source. **Data for Uttarakhand is available from 2000-01 since it is a newly formed state.					

URBANISATION

The states of the north have seen a rising trend in urbanisation in the last four decades. As seen in **Table 2** Punjab with an urbanisation rate of 27.68% in 1981, 29.55% in 1991, 33.92% in 2001 and 37.49% in 2011 has been leading throughout so much so that it has surpassed the national figures of 23.34%, 25.71%, 27.81% and 31.16% in the respective years. It was followed by Haryana with an urbanisation rate of 21.88%, 24.63%, 28.92% and 34.79% in the same time period. Uttarakhand was next witnessing 22.97% in 1991, 25.67% in 2001 and 30.55% in 2011 followed by J&K which figured at 21.05% in 1981 and reached to a maximum of 27.21% in 2011. On the other hand, Himachal Pradesh although has shown a rising trend in urbanisation having an urbanisation rate of 7.61% in 1981, 8.69% in 1991, 9.8% in 2001 and 10.04% in 2011 but has been lagging behind the rest of the northern states throughout.

TABLE 2: URBANISATION (In Percent)						
States	1981	1991	2001	2011		
Jammu and Kashmir	21.05	23.83	24.81	27.21		
Himachal Pradesh	7.61	8.69	9.80	10.04		
Punjab	27.68	29.55	33.92	37.49		
Haryana	21.88	24.63	28.92	34.79		
Uttarakhand		22.97	25.67	30.55		
All India	23.34	25.71	27.81	31.16		
Source: Socio Economic Review of Guiarat						

GINNI CO-EFFICIENT

The Ginni Co-efficient is a measure of inequality in a population. A lower Ginni Co-efficient indicates a more equal distribution, with 0 corresponding to complete equality while a higher Ginni Co-efficient indicates more unequal distribution with 1 corresponding to complete equality.

As depicted in **Table 3**, the Ginni Co-efficient for the northern states show a greater level of inequality in the urban areas as compared to the rural with a few exceptions. Comparing the year 1993-94 with1983-84 the values show an increased level of rural inequality from 0.22, 0.27, 0.27 for J&K, Himachal Pradesh and Haryana to 0.23, 0.28 and 0.30 for the respective states except for Punjab where there was a fall in the level of rural inequality from 0.28 in 1983-84 to 0.26 in 1993-94. A fall in the values of Ginni Co-efficients in 1999 to 0.17, 0.23, 0.24 and 0.24 for J&K, Himachal Pradesh, Punjab and Haryana depicts a good picture by showing rise in equality. The picture tends to be somewhat different in 2004-05 where the level of rural inequality tends to increase again depicted by an increase in the values of Ginni Co-efficients to 0.24,0.30, 0.28, 0.32 for that year for the respective states.

The urban inequality has somewhat varied in the same time period for the northern states. In case of Haryana and Punjab it decreased from 0.30 and 0.32 in 1983-84 to 0.28 for both the states in 1993-94 and then rose to 0.29 for both the states in 1999-2000, whereas in Himachal Pradesh and J&K it rose from 0.31 and 0.24 in 1983-84 to 0.43 and 0.28 in 1993-94 and then declined to 0.30 and 0.22 in 1999-2000. All the northern states saw an increase in the level of urban inequality in 2004-05 i.e.0.36 for Haryana, 0.39 for Punjab, 0.32 for H.P. and 0.24 for J&K.

The Ginni Co-efficients of the northern states at no point in the time period of this study surpassed the values of the All India level for the respective years. This shows that the northern states exhibit a greater level of equality as compared to the national level at large.

TABLE 3: GINNI COEFFICIENT					
	Rural	Urban			
1983-84					
Jammu and Kashmir	0.22	0.24			
Himachal Pradesh	0.27	0.31			
Punjab	0.28	0.32			
Haryana	0.27	0.30			
Uttarakhand	-	-			
All India	0.30	0.30			
1993-94					
Jammu and Kashmir	0.23	0.28			
Himachal Pradesh	0.28	0.43			
Punjab	0.26	0.28			
Haryana	0.30	0.28			
Uttarakhand	-	-			
All India	0.28	0.34			
1999-2000					
Jammu and Kashmir	0.17	0.22			
Himachal Pradesh	0.23	0.30			
Punjab	0.24	0.29			
Haryana	0.24	0.29			
Uttarakhand	-	-			
All India	0.26	0.34			
2004-05					
Jammu and Kashmir	0.24	0.24			
Himachal Pradesh	0.30	0.32			
Punjab	0.28	0.39			
Haryana	0.32	0.36			
Uttarakhand	0.28	0.32			
All India	0.30	0.37			

Source: Planning Commission

POPULATION BELOW POVERTY LINE

The northern states have shown considerable improvement as is seen by a decrease in the percentage of people below the poverty line in the past few decades. A reference to **Table 4** reveals that out of the northern group it was seen that Punjab showed a better picture as its percentage of population below the poverty line showed a gradual decline from 16.18% in 1983-84 to 11.77% in 1993-94 to 6.16 in 1999-2000, although it showed a small increase to 8.4% in 2004-05. As against it, the states of Haryana, J & K, H.P. saw a spectacular fall in the poverty rates from 25.05% in 1993-94 to 8.74% in 1999-00 for Haryana, similarly from 25.17% in 1993-94 to 3.48% in 1999-00 for J&K and from 28.44% in 1993-94 to 7.63% in 1999-00 for H.P.It was only Punjab which saw a gradual decline throughout the time period which was in line with the All India figures.

The year 2004-05 saw an increase in the poverty rates for all the states and for India at the national level too which shows that stringent measures need to be taken and policies need to be restructured so as to bring the poverty rates within feasible limits in order to maintain the progress of the states and the Indian economy as a whole. Uttarakhand, a newly formed state showed 39.6% rate of poverty which shows that it still has a long way to go so as to maintain parity with the other neighbouring states.

TABLE 4: POPULATION BELOW POVERTY LINE (III Percent)						
States	1983-84	1993-94	1999-2000	2004-05		
Jammu and Kashmir	24.24	25.17	3.48	5.4		
Himachal Pradesh	16.4	28.44	7.63	10		
Punjab	16.18	11.77	6.16	8.4		
Haryana	21.37	25.05	8.74	14		
Uttarakhand	-	-	-	39.6		
All India	44.48	35.97	26.1	27.5		
Source: RBI Handbook of Statistics of Indian Economy 2010-11						

TABLE 4: POPULATION BELOW POVERTY LINE (In Percent)

SEX RATIOS

The demographic indicator of sex ratio, **Table 5**, was seen to be highest in Himachal Pradesh for the various years so much so that it was even higher than the All India Level at all points of time. It was 973 in 1981, 976 in 1991, 970 in 2001 and 974 in 2011 as against the All India Level of 934, 927, 933 and 940 in the same time period. On the other hand, Haryana has shown a poor show throughout by having the lowest figures of 870, 865, 861 and 877 for the respective years depicting the prevalence of the desire of male child even in today's times.

Close to the heels to Himachal Pradesh has been Uttarakhand which has shown a good show by having a sex ratio of 936 in 1981, 936 in 1991, 964 in 2001 and 963 in 2011. It is followed by J&K which had a sex ratio of 892 in 1981 and declined to 883 in 2011. Punjab too could only show a marginal increase in its sex ratio from 879 in 1981 to 893 in 2011. Haryana, Punjab and J&K need to take more measures to improve sex ratios in their states. This can be done by changing and transforming the socio-economic and cultural attitudes of the population of these states.

TABLE 5: SEX RATIOS						
States	1981	1991	2001	2011		
Jammu and Kashmir	892	896	900	883		
Himachal Pradesh	973	976	970	974		
Punjab	879	882	874	893		
Haryana	870	865	861	877		
Uttarakhand	936	936	964	963		
All India	934	927	933	940		

Source: Planning Commission

LITERACY RATES

In the area of the literacy also Himachal Pradesh has done a commendable job by exceeding the rest of North India and All India too by having highest literacy rates for the period under study as can be seen in **Table 6**. It showed the literacy rates of 63.86%, 76.48% and 83.78% for the years 1991, 2001 and 2011 which are even higher than that of the national level of 52.21%, 64.84% and 74.04% for the corresponding years. Next in line is Uttarakhand with literacy rates 46.06% in 1981, 57.75% in 1991, 71.62% in 2001 and 79% in 2011. It is followed by Punjab having literacy rates of 43.37%, 58.51%, 69.65% and 76.68% in the same time periods.

Haryana has been close on the heels of Punjab by showing literacy rates of 37.13% in 1981, 55.85% in 1991, 67.91% in 2001 and just managing to be in line with it by having 76.64% in 2011 as its literacy rate. The northern most state of India, J&K has not showed a good picture in this indicator. It has had the lowest levels in literacy. It was 30.64% in 1981 lagging behind by almost 13% from the national level. It increased to 55.52% in 2001 and further to 68.74% in 2011 where the gap was reduced to approximately 6% from the national level.

Although the literacy levels have increased over the past decades in almost all northern states but the rates have varied. This mismatch in the literacy levels has occurred due to internal problems which these states have faced such as the problem of terrorism in J&K plays a key role in its low level of development which is seen even in the education sector.

TABLE 6: LITERACY RATES (Percent)						
States	1981	1991	2001	2011		
Jammu and Kashmir	30.64	N.A.	55.52	68.74		
Himachal Pradesh		63.86	76.48	83.78		
Punjab	43.37	58.51	69.65	76.68		
Haryana	37.13	55.85	67.91	76.64		
Uttarakhand	46.06	57.75	71.62	79.63		
All India	43.57	52.21	64.84	74.04		
Source: Planning Commission						

CONCLUSION

The present study of the states of north India brings into light various correlating factors which determine the ongoing process of structural change in these subnational economies. A distinguishing feature of structural change in these economies has been seen in the declining share of primary sector in the NSDP and the increasing share of secondary and tertiary sectors in the same in the time span of the study.

In addition to it urbanisation, an important indicator of structural change was found in all subnational economies with Punjab showing the maximum rate followed by Haryana and Uttarakhand. J&K and H.P. witnessed the lowest rates in comparison to the others.

The level of inequality is also seen to be prevalent in India and also in the northern states. It was found to be lower than the All India level during the time period under study. Although both rural and urban inequality were on a rise in the fag end, urban inequality was found to be greater than rural at all points of time.

The socio-economic indicator of population below poverty line has witnessed Punjab being a better player in comparison to the other northern states. It has showed a gradual decline in the poverty rates and has had the least of population below poverty line for the entire period. J&K, H.P. and Haryana have shown a spectacular decline in the year 1999-2000, although all states witnessed an increase in population below poverty line in 2004-05.

In case of demographic indicators of sex ratio and literacy, H.P. has shown the highest figures setting a good example for the others to follow. It was followed by Uttarakhand in both the indicators. While Haryana faired the poorest in the sex ratio it was J&K to lag behind in literacy.

Thus structural change is taking place which is a concomitant indicator of development, but strong measures need to be taken so that the states may be at parity with each other in the process of growth and development.

REFERENCES

- 1. Bagchi, A.K, Das, P. and Chattopadhyay, S.K. (2005): 'Growth and Structural Change in the Economy of Gujarat, 1970-2000', Economic and Political Weekly, Vol 40,pp 3039-47.
- Bah, E.M. (2008): Structural Transformation in Developed and Developing Countries', Munich Personal RePEc Archive (MRPA) Paper No. 10655, Viewed on 5 March, 2009 http://mrpa.ub.uni-muenchen.de/10655/
- 3. Bhargava, S. and Joshi, V. (1990): ' Increase in India's Growth Rate: Facts and a Tentative Explanation', Economic and Political Weekly, Vol 25, pp 2657-61.
- 4. Caselli, F. and Coleman II, W.J. (2001): 'The U.S. Structural Transformation and Regional Convergence: A reinterpretation', The Journal of Political Economy, Vol 109, pp 584-616.
- 5. Central Statistical Organisation
- 6. Chenery, H. and Srivasan, T.N. (1988): Handbook of Development Economics, Vol 1, pp 197-268. Elsevier Science Publishers B.V., 1988.
- 7. Chenery, H.B. (1960): 'Patterns of Industrial Growth', American Economic Review, Vol 50, pp 624-654.
- 8. Chenery, H.B. and Strout, A. (1966): 'Foreign Assistance and Economic Development', American Economic Review, Vol 56, pp 679-733.
- 9. Clark, C. (1940): The Conditions of Economic Progress, London: Macmillan.
- 10. Dasgupta, P. and Chakraborty, D. (2005): 'The Structure of Indian Economy', Paper submitted for the 15th International Input-Output Conference at Renmin University in Beijing, China. June 27-July 1, 2005.
- 11. Fisher, A.G.B. (1939): 'Production, Primary, Secondary and Tertiary', Economic Record, Vol 15, pp 24-38.
- 12. Khanna, K. (2011): 'Growth and Structural Changes in Punjab Economy: A Study of Post Green Revolution Period', Political Economy Journal of India, Jan 2011.
- 13. Kuznets, S. (1966): Modern Economic Growth, New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
- 14. Lewis, W. A. (1954): 'Economic Development with Unlimited Supplies of Labor', Manchester School of Economic and Social Studies, Vol 22, pp 139-91.
- 15. Nhi, T.H. and Giesecke, J.A. (2008): 'Growth and Structural Change in the Vietnamese Economy 1996-2003: A CGE Analysis', General Paper No. G-171, pp 1-32, Published by Clayton, Vic.: Monash University, Centre of Policy Studies and the Impact Project, 2008.
- 16. Planning Commission Website: www.planningcommission.org.in
- 17. Rao, K.P.C. (1986): 'Structural Changes, Sectoral Performance and Inter-Sectoral Linkages in Andhra Pradesh Economy', Margin, Vol pp 62-69.
- 18. RBI's Handbook of Statistics of Indian Economy 2008-2009.
- 19. RBI's Handbook of Statistics of Indian Economy 2010-2011.
- 20. Rostow, W.W. (1960): The Stages of Economic growth, New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Schabl, H., West, G., Foster, J. and Cook, A. (1999): 'A New Approach to Identifying Structural Development in Economic Systems: The Case of the Queensland Economy', Australian Economic Papers, Vol 38, pp 64-78. Viewed on 5 March, 2009 http://www3.interscience.wiley.com/cgibin/fulltext/119061825/PDFSTART
- 22. Shetty, S.L. (2003): 'Growth of SDP and Structural Changes in State Economies: Interstate Comparisons', Economic and Political Weekly, Vol 38, pp 5189-5200.
- 23. Singh, S. and Joshi, Y. (1989): 'Structural Changes in the Economy of Uttar Pradesh during Seventies: An Input-Output Study', The Indian Economic Journal, Vol 37, pp 19-27.
- 24. Socio Economic Review of Gujarat, 2010-2011.
- 25. Venkatramaiah, P., Kulkarni, A.R. and Argade, L. (1984): 'Structural Changes in Indian Economy: An Analysis with Input-Output Tables, 1951-1963', Artha Vijnana, Vol 26, pp 1-187.

ISSN 2231-4245

REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

Dear Readers

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce, Economics and Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue as well as on the journal as a whole, on our E-mail **info@ijrcm.org.in** for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries please feel free to contact us on our E-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-

Co-ordinator

ABOUT THE JOURNAL

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active cooperation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.

Our Other Fournals





