

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE, IT & MANAGEMENT

I  
J  
R  
C  
M



A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

*Indexed & Listed at:*

Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, ProQuest, U.S.A., EBSCO Publishing, U.S.A., Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A.

Open J-Gate, India [link of the same is duly available at Inlibnet of University Grants Commission (U.G.C.)],

Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland with IC Value of 5.09 & number of libraries all around the world.

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than 2477 Cities in 159 countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

Ground Floor, Building No. 1041-C-1, Devi Bhawan Bazar, JAGADHRI – 135 003, Yamunanagar, Haryana, INDIA

<http://ijrcm.org.in/>

# CONTENTS

Sr. No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page No.
1.	PERFORMANCE EFFICIENCY OF AGRICULTURAL MARKET COMMITTEES (AMCS) IN INDIA – DATA ENVELOPMENT ANALYSIS (DEA) APPROACH <i>E. S. V. NARAYANA RAO, A. A. CHARI &amp; K. NIRMAL RAVI KUMAR</i>	1
2.	A STUDY ON COMPETITIVE INDIAN BANKING INDUSTRY WITH REFERENCE TO PRE E-BANKING AND POST E-BANKING <i>SRI HARI.V, SUNIL RASHINKAR, DR. B. G SATYA PRASAD, DR. SREENIVAS.D.L &amp; AJATASHATRUSAMAL</i>	6
3.	ONLINE SERVICE QUALITY AND CUSTOMER SATISFACTION – A STUDY IN INTERNET BANKING <i>J. NANCY SEBASTINA &amp; DR. N. YESODHA DEVI</i>	10
4.	AN EMPIRICAL STUDY ON THE EFFECTS OF COMPUTER OPERATING HOURS ON STUDENT STRESS LEVEL USING TOPSIS METHOD <i>DR. RAVICHANDRAN. K, DR. MURUGANANDHAM. R &amp; VENKATESH.K</i>	15
5.	IMPLICATION OF INNOVATION AND AESTHETICS FOR BUSINESS GROWTH AMONG SMALL AND MEDIUM SCALE ENTERPRISES (SMEs): THE CASE STUDY OF BONWIRE KENTE WEAVING INDUSTRY <i>DR. GORDON TERKPEH SABUTEY, DR. J. ADU-AGYEM &amp; JOHN BOATENG</i>	27
6.	A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF ONLINE OFF-CAMPUS COUNSELING FOR ADMISSION TO ENGINEERING INSTITUTIONS IN INDIA <i>VIJAY BHURIA &amp; R. K. DIXIT</i>	40
7.	CUSTOMER SATISFACTION TOWARDS THE CHARGES AND SERVICES OF THIRD PARTY LOGISTICS SERVICES FOR INTERNATIONAL TRADE – AN EMPIRICAL STUDY <i>P. NALINI &amp; DR. D. MURUGANANDAM</i>	44
8.	GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT OF MSME IN NORTH-EAST INDIA <i>CHIKHOSALE THINGO &amp; SUBHRANGSHU SEKHAR SARKAR</i>	49
9.	GREEN MARKETING: HABITUAL BEHAVIOUR OF HOUSEHOLDS WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO KAKINADA, EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT, ANDHRA PRADESH <i>DR. V. V. RATNAJI RAO CHOWDARY &amp; R. SREENIVASA RAO</i>	54
10.	A GENERALIZED CLASS OF PREDICTIVE ESTIMATORS OF FINITE POPULATION MEAN IN SAMPLE SURVEYS <i>MANJULA DAS</i>	60
11.	FINANCIAL LEVERAGE AND CAPITAL STRUCTURE PLANNING IN SMALL-SCALE INDUSTRIES <i>DR. VINOD KUMAR YADAV</i>	64
12.	IMPACT OF SERVICE QUALITY ON SATISFACTION AND LOYALTY: CASE OF SINJAY RESTAURANT <i>PRIBANUS WANTARA</i>	69
13.	E – COMMERCE RISK ANALYSIS USING FUZZY LOGIC <i>S. R. BALAJI, R. DEEPA &amp; A. VIJAY VASANTH</i>	74
14.	A SECTORWISE ANALYSIS OF NON PERFORMING ASSET IN STATE BANK OF TRAVANCORE <i>DEVI PREMNATH, BALACHANDRAN .S &amp; GEETHU JAMES</i>	82
15.	SOFTWARE DEFECT PREDICTION USING REGRESSION STRATEGY <i>R. DEEPA &amp; A. VIJAY VASANTH</i>	88
16.	SUGGESTED MODEL FOR XBRL ADOPTION <i>AWNIRAWASHDEH</i>	93
17.	PURCHASE PERIOD WITH REFERENCE TO CONSUMERS' OF HOUSEHOLD COMPUTERS OF VELLORE DISTRICT IN INDIA <i>DR. D.MARIA ANTONY RAJ</i>	97
18.	PRIMARY EDUCATION IN INDIA <i>DR. T. INDRA</i>	101
19.	DEVELOPMENT OF AN ORGANIZATIONAL CAPABILITY PROFILE FOR SMALL BUSINESS FIRMS IN JAMMU AND KASHMIR <i>AASIM MIR</i>	104
20.	LIQUIDITY RISKS MANAGEMENT PRACTICES BY COMMERCIAL BANKS IN BANGLADESH: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY <i>ARJUN KUMAR DAS, SUJAN KANTI BISWAS &amp; MOURI DEY</i>	107
21.	AN ANALYSIS OF COST OF PRODUCTION OF BANANA AND PROFITABILITY AT NARSINGDI AND GAZIPUR DISTRICT IN BANGLADESH <i>MOSAMMAD MAHAMUDA PARVIN, MD. NOYON ISLAM, FAIJUL ISLAM &amp; MD. HABIBULLAH</i>	113
22.	THE ENTREPRENEURSHIP DEVELOPMENT IN VOCATIONAL & TECHNICAL TRAINING (A CASE STUDY: KASHAN) <i>MARYAM FIROUZI &amp; DR. MOHAMMAD REZA ASGARI</i>	119
23.	MANAGING CURRICULUM CHANGE IMPLEMENTATION IN GHANA: DOES GENDER MAKE A DIFFERENCE IN TEACHER CONCERNS? <i>COSMAS COBBOLD</i>	125
24.	OVERCOMING THE PERCEIVED BARRIERS OF E-COMMERCE TO SMALL AND MEDIUM SCALE ENTERPRISES IN GHANA – A PROPOSED MODEL <i>AMANKWA, ERIC &amp; KEVOR MARK-OLIVER</i>	129
25.	ORIGINAL EQUIPMENT MANUFACTURING IN ETHIOP <i>M. NARASIMHA, R. REJIKUMAR, K. SRIDHAR- &amp; ACHAMYELEH AEMRO KASSIE</i>	138
26.	AN ANALYSIS OF COST OF PRODUCTION OF GROUNDNUT AND PROFITABILITY AT MANIKGONJ DISTRICT IN BANGLADESH <i>ABU ZAFAR AHMED MUKUL, FAZLUL HOQUE &amp; MD. MUHIBBUR RAHMAN</i>	144
27.	LEVEL OF JOB SATISFACTION OF GARMENTS WORKER: A CASE STUDY ON SAVAR AREA IN DHAKA DISTRICT <i>MOSSAMAD MAHAMUDA PARVIN, FAZLUL HOQUE, MD. MUHIBBUR RAHMAN &amp; MD. AL-AMIN</i>	151
28.	INDIRECT TAX SYSTEM IN INDIA <i>C. AZHAKARRAJA.</i>	159
29.	BOARD MECHANISMS AND PROFITABILITY OF COMMERCIAL BANKS IN KENYA <i>MUGANDA MUNIR MANINI &amp; UMLKHER ALI ABDILLAH</i>	162
30.	FOOD SECURITY AND PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM IN INDIA: AN ANALYSIS <i>HARSIMRAN SINGH &amp; JAGDEV SINGH</i>	170
	REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK	174

## CHIEF PATRON

**PROF. K. K. AGGARWAL**

Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Delhi  
Founder Vice-Chancellor, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi  
Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

## FOUNDER PATRON

**LATE SH. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL**

Former State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana  
Former Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri  
Former President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

## CO-ORDINATOR

**AMITA**

Faculty, Government M. S., Mohali

## ADVISORS

**DR. PRIYA RANJAN TRIVEDI**

Chancellor, The Global Open University, Nagaland

**PROF. M. S. SENAM RAJU**

Director A. C. D., School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

**PROF. M. N. SHARMA**

Chairman, M.B.A., Haryana College of Technology & Management, Kaithal

**PROF. S. L. MAHANDRU**

Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

## EDITOR

**PROF. R. K. SHARMA**

Professor, Bharti Vidyapeeth University Institute of Management & Research, New Delhi

## CO-EDITOR

**DR. BHAVET**

Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Business & Management, Urjani

## EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

**DR. RAJESH MODI**

Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

**PROF. SANJIV MITTAL**

University School of Management Studies, Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

**PROF. ANIL K. SAINI**

Chairperson (CRC), Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

**DR. SAMBHAVNA**

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

**DR. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA**

Associate Professor, P. J. L. N. Government College, Faridabad

**DR. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE**

Asst. Professor, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

***ASSOCIATE EDITORS***

**PROF. NAWAB ALI KHAN**

Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

**PROF. ABHAY BANSAL**

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity University, Noida

**PROF. A. SURYANARAYANA**

Department of Business Management, Osmania University, Hyderabad

**DR. SAMBHAV GARG**

Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Business & Management, Urjani

**PROF. V. SELVAM**

SSL, VIT University, Vellore

**DR. PARDEEP AHLAWAT**

Associate Professor, Institute of Management Studies & Research, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak

**DR. S. TABASSUM SULTANA**

Associate Professor, Department of Business Management, Matrusri Institute of P.G. Studies, Hyderabad

**SURJEET SINGH**

Asst. Professor, Department of Computer Science, G. M. N. (P.G.) College, Ambala Cantt.

***TECHNICAL ADVISOR***

**AMITA**

Faculty, Government M. S., Mohali

***FINANCIAL ADVISORS***

**DICKIN GOYAL**

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

**NEENA**

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

***LEGAL ADVISORS***

**JITENDER S. CHAHAL**

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

**CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA**

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

***SUPERINTENDENT***

**SURENDER KUMAR POONIA**

## CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, and high quality research work pertaining to recent developments & practices in the area of Computer, Business, Finance, Marketing, Human Resource Management, General Management, Banking, Education, Insurance, Corporate Governance and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting Education; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Monetary Policy; Portfolio & Security Analysis; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Business Education; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law, Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labor Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; Public Administration; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism, Hospitality & Leisure; Transportation/Physical Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Digital Logic; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Multimedia; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic and Web Design. The above mentioned tracks are only indicative, and not exhaustive.

Anybody can submit the soft copy of his/her manuscript **anytime** in M.S. Word format after preparing the same as per our submission guidelines duly available on our website under the heading guidelines for submission, at the email address: [infoijrcm@gmail.com](mailto:infoijrcm@gmail.com).

## GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT

### 1. COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

**THE EDITOR**  
IJRCM

**Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF:**

**(e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)**

**DEAR SIR/MADAM**

Please find my submission of manuscript entitled ' \_\_\_\_\_ ' for possible publication in your journals.

I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore, it has neither been published elsewhere in any language fully or partly, nor is it under review for publication elsewhere.

I affirm that all the author (s) have seen and agreed to the submitted version of the manuscript and their inclusion of name (s) as co-author (s).

Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the formalities as given on the website of the journal & you are free to publish our contribution in any of your journals.

**NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:**

Designation:

Affiliation with full address, contact numbers & Pin Code:

Residential address with Pin Code:

Mobile Number (s):

Landline Number (s):

E-mail Address:

Alternate E-mail Address:

**NOTES:**

- a) The whole manuscript is required to be in **ONE MS WORD FILE** only (pdf. version is liable to be rejected without any consideration), which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript.
- b) The sender is required to mention the following in the **SUBJECT COLUMN** of the mail:  
**New Manuscript for Review in the area of** (Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)
- c) There is no need to give any text in the body of mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any specific message w.r.t. to the manuscript.
- d) The total size of the file containing the manuscript is required to be below **500 KB**.
- e) Abstract alone will not be considered for review, and the author is required to submit the complete manuscript in the first instance.
- f) The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of manuscript, within two days of submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending separate mail to the journal.

2. **MANUSCRIPT TITLE:** The title of the paper should be in a 12 point Calibri Font. It should be bold typed, centered and fully capitalised.

3. **AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS:** The author (s) **full name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline numbers**, and **email/alternate email address** should be in italic & 11-point Calibri Font. It must be centered underneath the title.

4. **ABSTRACT:** Abstract should be in fully italicized text, not exceeding 250 words. The abstract must be informative and explain the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a single para. Abbreviations must be mentioned in full.

5. **KEYWORDS:** Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of five. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stops at the end.
6. **MANUSCRIPT:** Manuscript must be in **BRITISH ENGLISH** prepared on a standard A4 size **PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER**. It must be prepared on a single space and single column with 1" margin set for top, bottom, left and right. It should be typed in 8 point Calibri Font with page numbers at the bottom and centre of every page. It should be free from grammatical, spelling and punctuation errors and must be thoroughly edited.
7. **HEADINGS:** All the headings should be in a 10 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
8. **SUB-HEADINGS:** All the sub-headings should be in a 8 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
9. **MAIN TEXT:** The main text should follow the following sequence:

**INTRODUCTION****REVIEW OF LITERATURE****NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY****STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM****OBJECTIVES****HYPOTHESES****RESEARCH METHODOLOGY****RESULTS & DISCUSSION****FINDINGS****RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS****CONCLUSIONS****SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH****ACKNOWLEDGMENTS****REFERENCES****APPENDIX/ANNEXURE**

It should be in a 8 point Calibri Font, single spaced and justified. The manuscript should preferably not exceed **5000 WORDS**.

10. **FIGURES & TABLES:** These should be simple, crystal clear, centered, separately numbered & self explained, and **titles must be above the table/figure. Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure.** It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text.
11. **EQUATIONS:** These should be consecutively numbered in parentheses, horizontally centered with equation number placed at the right.
12. **REFERENCES:** The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript and they are supposed to follow **Harvard Style of Referencing**. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
  - All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
  - Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
  - When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc, in chronologically ascending order.
  - Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
  - The title of books and journals should be in italics. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
  - For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parentheses.
  - The location of endnotes within the text should be indicated by superscript numbers.

**PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:****BOOKS**

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

**CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS**

- Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

**JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES**

- Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

**CONFERENCE PAPERS**

- Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–22 June.

**UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS AND THESES**

- Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

**ONLINE RESOURCES**

- Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

**WEBSITES**

- Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Natural Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 <http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp>

**E – COMMERCE RISK ANALYSIS USING FUZZY LOGIC**

**S. R. BALAJI**  
**MANAGEMENT FREE LANCER**  
**PUDUCHERRY**

**R. DEEPA**  
**STUDENT**  
**CHRIST COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGY**  
**PUDUCHERRY**

**A. VIJAY VASANTH**  
**SR. ASST. PROFESSOR**  
**DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & ENGINEERING**  
**CHRIST COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGY**  
**PUDUCHERRY**

**ABSTRACT**

*This paper briefly analyzes the assessment of risks evolved in E – Commerce development with the aid of fuzzy decision support system (FDSS). A FDSS prototype which is web – based is suggested to aid EC project managers for finding potential EC risk factors and the corresponding project risks. A risk analysis model for EC development using a fuzzy set approach is proposed and incorporated into the FDSS. For running the fuzzy set approach, we used MATLAB software. The research methodology includes the following stages in this paper: First, identifying the factors effect on the development of the E-commerce with the help of experts; secondly, defining the suitable membership function for each factor. There are three layers in the fuzzy system that every layer's output is input for next layer. Then, the system calculates the final risk through SUGENO inference engine for E-commerce. Indeed the suggested architecture for the model with the help of experts is presented in this paper.*

**KEYWORDS**

Fuzzy decision support system, Fuzzy Logic, Electronic commerce, Risk analysis.

**1. INTRODUCTION**

E-commerce (EC) is a modern business methodology which improves the quality of goods and services along with speed of service in delivery, at the same time it addresses the needs of organizations, merchants, and consumers to cut costs. It also applies the use of computer networks to search and retrieve information in support of human and corporate decision making" (Kalakota and Whinston, 1996). It has been adopted widely in most enterprises.

Although EC offers various business possibilities, EC development is attacked by different types of risk, so risk management is necessary to avoid these issues. Indeed, a task that is critical to the proper management of EC development is the assessment of risk. An important step in advancing our knowledge requires that we understand and address these risks. According to Leung et al. (1998), most project managers worry about the time complexity involvement in risk management when it comes to prediction and assessment of risks. However, with the help of computer software systems, the time for analysis of risk can be significantly reduced. Risk analysis can be conducted by using the theory of probability, which estimates the likelihood and outcomes of any given risk. EC development is relatively new to most companies, and only very little information is available on the associated set of risks. The application of fuzzy set theory (FST) to risk analysis seems appropriate; as such analysis is highly subjective and related to inexact and vague information. There is a need to design and develop a fuzzy decision support system (FDSS) to aid EC practitioners to evaluate the risks related with EC development. This paper describes the research and development of a FDSS that can be used to effectively support EC project managers in conducting risk assessment in EC development. The motivation for the present work is the recognized absence and need for a system that aids in the evaluation of a company's risk level and provides an overall risk evaluation of EC development.

**2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE****2.1. DEFINITIONS OF RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH EC**

The concept of risk became popular in economics during the 1920s. Since then, it has been successfully used in theories of decision making in economics, finance, and the decision science. The Merriam - Webster (1994) dictionary defines risk as the possibility of loss or injury" or "someone or something that creates or suggests a hazard". At present, there is no agreed upon universal definition of EC risk but information security is a widely recognized aspect of EC risk (Viehlandm, 2002).

Greenstein (2000) views risks associated with EC as the possibility of loss of confidential data or the destruction, generation, or use of data or programs that physically, mentally or financially harms another party, as well as the possibility of causing harm to hardware.

Meachern (2001) uses the term "cyber risk" to define any risk associated with EC - including Web site destruction and manipulation, unauthorized access to customer records, Internet fraud, telecommunications theft, copyright infringement and denial of access. On the other hand, Viehlandm (2002) focuses on managing business risk in EC. He defines EC risk as the likelihood of a negative impact to organization itself when developing or operating EC strategy. In this paper, risks associated with EC development are the risks of direct or indirect loss to the organization in development an EC project, which refers to any project that involves development stages as planning, analysis, design and implementation of an EC system.

**2.2. FUZZY RISK ANALYSIS RESEARCH**

The techniques of risk analysis are powerful tools to help people manage uncertainty. Thorough risk analysis estimation and evaluation can provide valuable support for decision making. There are many risk analysis techniques currently in use that attempt to evaluate and estimate risk. These techniques can be either qualitative or quantitative depending on the information available and the level of detail that is required (Bennett and Bohoris, 1996). Quantitative techniques rely heavily on statistical approaches, which include Monte Carlo Simulation (White, 1995), Fault and Event Tree Analysis (White, 1995; Bennett and Bohoris, 1996), Sensitivity Analysis (White, 1995), Annual Loss Expectancy (Rainer and Snyder, 1991), Risk Exposure (Boehm, 1989), Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (White, 1995), etc; qualitative techniques rely more on judgment than on statistical calculations such as Scenario Analysis (Rainer and Snyder, 1991), FST (Rainer and Snyder, 1991), etc. Quantitative and qualitative techniques have their own advantages and disadvantages. Among these techniques, the application of FST to risk analysis seems appropriate; as such analysis is highly subjective and related to inexact and vague information. Since FST was introduced by Zadeh (1965) to deal with problems in which vagueness was present, linguistic values have been widely used to approximate reasoning. Numerous studies of FST in risk

assessment have appeared in different areas such as Information security, Software development Ground water nitrate risk management System failure Civil Hazardous materials Natural hazards Bank, etc.

**2.3. THE SIGNIFICANCE OF FUZZY RISK ANALYSIS FOR EC**

Through using EC, companies are able to connect with their trading partners for “just in time production” and “just in time delivery”, which improves their competitiveness globally. Although EC offers great opportunities, there is no doubt that EC development involves many risks. In this study, we intend to present risks to EC as well as the risks that EC development shares with traditional systems. Every EC development is linked to a different degree of risk. However, most companies do not identify and assess EC-related risk. EC development has a lot in common with IT project development. Many IT and EC development cannot be completed on-time and on-budget (Stoehr, 2002). Proper risk management is an essential element of project success (Stoehr, 2002) because without appropriate risk management it fails to achieve significant return on investment or defensive / competitive purpose. One of the important phases in risk management is risk analysis, which involves a process of risk identification and risk assessment. Proper risk assessment can enhance the chance of successful project implementation (Anderson and Narasimhan, 1979). McDonald (2000) and Stoehr (2002) point out that companies need to perform a risk analysis before engaging EC development.

**3. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES**

Research objectives in this paper are as follow:


- To identify the factors affecting E-commerce development risk.
- To suggest a model to analyze the risks in E-commerce development based on fuzzy logic.

**4. RESEARCH METHOD**

**4.1. SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT METHODOLOGY FOR THE FDSS**

The purpose of this study is to design and develop a FDSS to assist EC project managers in identifying potential risk factors and evaluating the corresponding EC development risks. FDSS is constructed following the five-stage system development methodology, which is based on a generic IS development (Nunamaker, 1990), incorporated with the method for fuzzy risk analysis (Schmucker, 1984; Tee and Bowman, 1991; Tah and Carr, 2000; Wat and Ngai, 2001). Although this system development methodology is developed for the FDSS, we believe that other researchers can easily follow as a guideline to design and develop other FDSS for risk analysis in other application areas. The system development process consists of five stages, namely, construction of fuzzy risk analysis model, development of system architecture, analyzing and designing of the system, building of the prototype, and evaluation of the system. An overview of these five stages of system development is shown in figure 1. First, a fuzzy risk analysis model was constructed as the kernel of the system. Second, system architecture was developed. Third, system design and analysis were carried out in modularity with defining functionalities of the system components and an understanding of how they interact with one. Fourth, the prototype system was built in order to learn more about the concepts, framework, and design through the system-building process. Finally, the prototype system was evaluated by EC experts and potential users. Detailed descriptions of each phase are given in the following sections. To define the membership functions and calculate the risks and develop the model, we have used MATLAB software. Also, Visual Basic is selected for development of the fuzzy risk analysis component.

FIGURE 1: FDSS FRAMEWORK



**5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**5.1. PHASE 1. CONSTRUCT A FUZZY RISK ANALYSIS MODEL**

Most existing risk analysis models are based on quantitative techniques such as Monte Carlo Simulation and Annual Loss Expectancy. However, the information that is related to most uncertainty factors is not numerical. FST provides an approximate model for the evaluation of the risk faced by EC projects through a linguistic approach. The procedure for fuzzy risk analysis is based on the works from Refs. (Schmucker, 1984; Tee and Bowman, 1991; Tah and Carr, 2000; Wat and Ngai, 2001) that consisted of five steps: risk identification, natural language representation, fuzzy assessment aggregation, fuzzy weighted average computation, and linguistic approximation. The following sections give a detailed description of each step.

**5.1.1. RISK IDENTIFICATION**

The first step is to conduct risk identification and compile a list of the most significant uncertainty factors and their descriptions. Before conducting fuzzy risk analysis, one must identify the components of risks associated with EC development. However, little empirical research has focused on identifying the potential risk factors that threaten EC development. In the study of Wat et al. (2004), a source-based approach to categorizing EC development risks is initially used, with technical, organizational, and environmental risks as three primary source categories. Then the potential risks associated with EC were identified with 51 risk items (table 2) associated with EC development based on a comprehensive literature review and interviewed with EC practitioners. An empirical study was conducted with 48 interviews with expertise used for the analysis. The demographic characteristics of expertise are shown in table 1. An exploratory factor analysis (EFA) of the survey data revealed 10 major dimensions of risks associated with EC development, namely: (1) resources risk, (2) requirements risk, (3)



vendor quality risk, (4) client-server security risk, (5) legal risk, (6) managerial risk, (7) outsourcing risk, (8) physical security risk, (9) cultural risk, and (10) reengineering risk. As a result of the study (Wat and Ngai, 2004) the risk classification framework as shown in figure 2 helps in the formulation of ways of accessing risks to EC development. In continuous we categorize these 10 variables to 3 levels; Technical, Organizational and environmental level (As shown in table 2).

TABLE 1: FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION OF RESEARCH COMMUNITY

VARIABLES	FREQUENCY	AVERAGE	MEAN	MOD	MINIMUM	MAXIMUM
Age	48	42.5	41	37	30	58
Experience	48	7.9	8	9	3	13

VARIABLES	FREQUENCY	PERCENTAGE
DOCTRATE	21	43.8
PG	17	35.4
UG	10	20.8

TABLE 2: POTENTIAL RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH EC

VAR	POTENTIAL RISKS	VAR	POTENTIAL RISKS
V1	Hacker gaining unauthorized access	V2	Absence of firewall
V3	Lack of using cryptography	V4	Poor "key" management
V5	Malicious code attacks	V6	Disclosure of sensitive information
V7	Loss of audit trail	V8	Natural disaster-caused equipment failure
V9	Human factor-caused equipment failure	V10	Threat of sabotage in internal network
V11	Inadequate backup systems	V12	Software or hardware problem-caused failure system
V13	Site or network overload and disruption	V14	Poor design, code or maintenance procedure
V15	Wrong functions and properties development	V16	Wrong user interface development
V17	Project complexity	V18	Technological newness
V19	Continuous change of system requirements	V20	Wrong schedule estimation
V21	Project behind schedule	V22	Project over budget
V23	Inadequate cash flow	V24	Personnel shortfalls
V25	Lack of expertise & experience in EC	V26	Loss of key person
V27	Lack of top management support	V28	Poor project planning
V29	Indefinite project scope	V30	Lack of contingency plans
V31	Business process redesign	V32	Organizational restructuring
V33	Lack of trust between your organization an merchant or customer	V34	Inappropriate media for the product and service
V35	Lack of international legal standards	V36	New laws, regulations, and judicial decisions constantly change the online legal landscape
V37	Uncertain legal jurisdiction	V38	Incompletion of contract terms
V39	Loss of data control	V40	Loss of control over vendor
V41	Loss of control over information technology	V42	Hidden cost
V43	Unclear project objectives	V44	Lack of vendor expertise and experience
V45	Lock-in situation	V46	Vendor offers outdated technology skill
V47	Difference users with different in culture customers, and business styles	V48	Language barrier

5.1.2. NATURAL LANGUAGE REPRESENTATION

According to Karwowski and Mital (1986), traditional approaches to risk assessment obtain their overall risk scores by calculating the product of exposure, likelihood, and the consequences of a possible accident due to the hazard. A simpler approach that is advocated by some risk experts is to multiply the severity of consequences by the likelihood of their occurrence, as the likelihood of occurrence automatically includes exposure (Waring and Glendon, 1998). For example, Boehm (1989) defined risk impact as the product of the probability of an unsatisfactory outcome (Likelihood) and the loss to the parties affected when the outcome is unsatisfactory (Severity). Consequently, two linguistic variables, "Likelihood" and "Severity", are defined to calculate the overall risk. In FWA, "Likelihood" is the rating factor (Ri), and "Severity" is the weighting factor (Wi) that corresponds to rating factor i. Both linguistic variables have five terms. "Likelihood" is expressed in terms of "Very Unlikely", "Unlikely", "Medium", "Likely", and "Very likely". "Severity" is expressed as "Minimal", "Low", "Moderate", "High", and "Critical". In this study, the membership functions of the linguistic terms are characterized by triangular fuzzy numbers, as these are very often used in applications such as fuzzy controllers, and in managerial decision making, business and finance, and the social sciences, etc. (Bojadziev and M. Bojadziev, 1997). Table 3 shows the membership functions and the triangular fuzzy numbers of each linguistic term.

TABLE 3: FUZZY SET REPRESENTATION

LIKELIHOOD	SEVERITY	
Very unlikely	Very low	(0,0,0.25)
Unlikely	Low	(0, 0.25,0.5)
Medium	Medium	(0.25,0.5,0.75)
Likely	High	(0.5,0.75,1)
Very likely	Very high	(0.75,1,1)

5.1.3. FUZZY ASSESSMENT AGGREGATION

In this stage, an aggregate of several evaluators' fuzzy assessment is performed by using the fuzzy average operation for aggregate method. By allowing more than one evaluator to assess the risks associated with an EC project, a more objective and unbiased result can be obtained. The fuzzy average operation for aggregate method that is known as the "Triangular Average Formula" is used to determine the mean of evaluator opinions. Hence, the fuzzy average of each risk factor question from the risk assessment form can be obtained. The Triangular Average Formula is as follows:


$$A_{average} = A_1 + \dots + A_n / n$$

$$A_{average} = (a_1^{(1)}, a_m^{(1)}, a_2^{(1)}) + \dots + (a_1^{(n)}, a_m^{(n)}, a_2^{(n)}) / n$$

LAYER 1

In this stage, the amount of risk for every factor calculated through Sugeno inference system. In this layer, there are 10 units for every factor. There are 26 rules for every factor. Also, the relationship between inputs and output in all situations has shown in a three dimensional diagram in figure 2.


FIGURE 2: 3D DIAGRAM



**LAYER 2**

Now for the second subsystem we should define it according to the classification of the variables in table 2. It has 3 units. Every unit has 125 rules. The relationship between inputs and output in all situations has shown in a three dimensional diagram in figure 3.

FIGURE 3: 3D DIAGRAM




**COMPUTING FINAL RISK**

**Layer 3**

For the third and last subsystem again, according to the classification of the variables in table 2, we have three variables include organizational, technical and environmental level. It has 1 unit. It has 125 rules. The relationship between inputs and output in all situations has shown in a three dimensional diagram in figure 4.

FIGURE 4. 3D DIAGRAM



**5.1.4. LINGUISTIC APPROXIMATION**

As the result of the calculated fuzzy final risk value is a fuzzy number, it is necessary to translate it back into linguistic terms for easy interpretation. The goal of linguistic approximation is to find the linguistic term with the closest possible meaning to that of a defined fuzzy set. We translate the fuzzy number to the linguistic terms.

**PHASE 2. DEVELOP SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE**

Good system architecture provides a road map for the system building process by placing components into perspective, defining their functionalities, and demonstrating how they will interact with one another (Nunamaker et al., 1990). The Web is the center of activity in developing decision support systems (DSS) (Shim et al., 2002) while client-server architecture has been widely adopted in the integration of Web-based applications (Buser et al., 1999). The client-server relationship describes the distribution of tasks between a server and the clients who access that server. The FDSS is a client-server system with a two-tiered architecture. On the client side it is a front-end system that works with Web clients to obtain service requests and present results. On the server side, it is a back-end system that executes a fuzzy risk analysis and access database for data management. Indeed, such a two tiered architecture is suitable when developing noncritical applications with light transaction loads such as DSS or departmental applications (Dickman, 1995). Since the FDSS is a client-server system, it will be executed on the Web server. Whenever a Web browser (Client) sends a request for a page to the FDSS, the code is processed at that time by the Web server. For the system components contain in the FDSS, it is composed of three interrelated components, which are (1) database, (2) model base subsystem, and (3) user interface. These three components are the basic elements in DSS (Pearson and Shim, 1995). Figure 5 depicts the basic architecture of the FDSS.

**PHASE 3. ANALYZE AND DESIGN THE SYSTEM**


Analysis and design are important aspects of the system development process. Design involves an understanding of the domain being studied, the application of various alternatives, and the synthesis and evaluation of proposed solutions. Design specifications are used as a blueprint for the implementation of the system (Pandey and Barai, 1994).

The determination of system components and development platform is made during this phase. The design of DSS can be divided into three interrelated components, which are database, model base subsystem, and user interface (Pearson and Shim, 1995). The detailed specifications of these three system components, structure, and features are determined as follows.

**DATABASE**

The database system is responsible for the storage of data and its management. It maintains the necessary information on each EC project. The data is obtained from an external source through manual or automated processes and the results generated by the FDSS. To manipulate databases on the Web, ActiveX Data Object (ADO) is used to interface with relational databases via the Open Database Connectivity (ODBC) protocol (Anderson et al., 1999). ADO is chosen as the data access mechanism due to its high speed, ease of use, and low memory overheads. The underlying database can be any application that supports the ODBC protocol. The current implementation suggests use of Microsoft Access.

FIGURE 5: SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE OF THE FDSS



**5.2. MODEL BASE SUBSYSTEM (FUZZY RISK ANALYSIS COM COMPONENT)**

The model base performs activities to provide analytical capabilities for the DSS (Turban, 1995). Users can write their own models or use standard models at times. Fuzzy risk analysis model described is employed as a model base subsystem in FDSS. This model is translated into programming code and is integrated as the Component Object Model (COM). COM defines the binary interface between objects. It is a binary interoperability specification. The two most common reasons for using components are breaking up complex applications into manageable chunks and packaging code for re-use (Anderson et al., 1999). ASP scripting is mainly good to implement the FDSS. ASP script has the ability to interface with COM compliant software components. If functionality is needed but cannot be provided by scripting, then ASP components can be used. ASP components are COM-based, encapsulate a specific functionality, and are invoked either directly from an ASP page or indirectly via another ASP component (Power, 1999). Fuzzy risk analysis is implemented as the COM object that is stored in DLL for performing fuzzy risk analysis. When clients invoke the calculation of the overall risk faced by the EC project, the fuzzy risk analysis COM component is called to

access necessary information from the database, such as the likelihood and severity of each risk factor, to perform fuzzy averaging, calculate the fuzzy risk value, and obtain linguistic approximations. Eventually, the overall risk and risk score of each risk dimension are obtained.

**USER INTERFACE**

The design of the user interface is a key element in DSS functionality. The DSS interface should provide easy communication between the user and the system (Turban, 1995). Web browser serves as the user interface component of the DSS, which make the technology easy to understand and use (Shim et al., 2002). Besides, the FDSS consists mainly of menus and graphics, which are supplemented by natural language. A client invokes the system by connecting to the Web site through the standard HTTP protocol, which causes the interface component to be loaded from the server to the client station. Pull-down menus allow users to specify their needs, such the creation of a new project and the addition of an evaluator record.

**PHASE 4. BUILD THE PROTOTYPE SYSTEM**

The implementation of a system demonstrates the feasibility of the design and the utility of the functionalities that are envisaged (Nunamaker, 1990). Building a prototype system is one of the processes that allow insight into the problems and the complexity of a system during development research. FDSS is constructed using various commercial software packages and programming techniques.

**PHASE 5. EVALUATE THE SYSTEM**

Once the system is developed, the testing and evaluation of the prototype can be performed.

Through system evaluation, information can be captured on what users like and dislike, and what the system does and does not do to meet their needs.

Firstly, testing and evaluation of the system are performed. All of the FDSS modules are tested for accuracy and completeness, and the outputs generated are checked and validated. These tests ensured that the system is performing functions that will meet the requirements of users by assisting them in conducting risk management for EC development.

Secondly, once the FDSS is built, outcome evaluation is conducted in two phases. The first phase is domain expert evaluation, and the second phase is potential user (EC practitioner) evaluation. There are a number of approaches to evaluate DSS.

One of the criteria for the evaluation of a DSS is the measurement of the effectiveness of the system. Another evaluation criterion is to measuring user satisfaction. An evaluation form with several sections is designed. The first section measured the effectiveness and usability of the system with five point Likert scales (1=strongly disagree, 3=undecided, 5=strongly agree). Through measuring the effectiveness of the system, we can see the ability of the system to accomplish its objectives or mission. Items to measure the usability of the system reflect the usefulness and ease of use of the system. We can therefore assess user satisfaction as one of the potential indicators of the system's success. The second section of the evaluation form includes several open ended questions that are analogous to an interview in that they gave the respondents an opportunity to express themselves openly, particularly about the problems that they encountered and how the prototype could be improved. The final section collects the evaluators' personal information.

**EXPERT EVALUATION**

Evaluations by domain experts help to determine the accuracy of embedded knowledge (Gasching, 1983). They are asked to evaluate the system from two perspectives: effectiveness and usability of the FDSS.

**POTENTIAL USER EVALUATION**

Evaluations by users help to determine the utility of a system according to the following criteria: ease of interaction, the extent of its capabilities, its efficiency and speed, its reliability and whether it produces useful results (Gasching, 1983).

**6. CONCLUSION**

EC development takes place in a complex and dynamic environment that includes high levels of risk and uncertainty. This study has outlined an approach to the assessment of the risks associated with EC development using FST. A model of fuzzy risk analysis was proposed to assist EC project managers and decision makers in formalizing the types of thinking that are required in assessing the current risk environment of their EC development in a more systematic manner than before. The model is running with MATLAB software, defining membership function, then using SUGENO inference engine to calculate final risk. A Web-based FDSS is suggested and to incorporate the proposed risk analysis model. System evaluation was performed to ascertain whether the FDSS achieved its designed purpose, and the results were satisfactory. The result of the evaluation strongly supports the validity of the study approach to risk analysis using fuzzy sets, and demonstrates the feasibility of evaluating EC project risk. It was assumed that the "weighting" assigned by each evaluator in the risk evaluation was the same, but the relative importance placed on certain factors by individual decision makers and experts could be widely different. Further research is needed to develop different "weightings" for different evaluators.

**VALIDITY OF THE MODEL**

The validity of the model is presented in table 4 using one-sample t-test with the help of experts. Due to the significant levels of the test are below 5%, and all the means for variables of the model are more than 5, then the validity of the model is ascertained. The researchers with the help of experts' knowledge and through a standard questionnaire show the validity of the model by testing the model's variables.

**TABLE 4: MODEL VALIDITY**

Questions	Mean	S.D	T-test	Significant level
The model can assist in assessing risks associated with EC development	7.5	1.5	5.7	0.000
The model provide an effective mean to collect, store and analyze	6.83	1.33	4.7	0.001
The model monitor and mitigate risk perception on potential risk to EC development	6.83	1.58	4	0.002
It seems learning to operate the system would be easy for managers	6.83	1.80	3.5	0.005
My interaction with the model would be clear and understandable	7	1.90	3.6	0.004
I find the model to be flexible to interact with	7	1.70	4.03	0.002
The model's commands are self-explained and easy to understand	6.83	1.99	3.1	0.009
I find the model easy to use	7.16	1.58	4.7	0.001
The model is user friendly	7.16	1.33	5.6	0.000
Likely to recommend to other managers	7.16	1.30	7.09	0.000

**BENEFITS OF USING FDSS**

FDSS had been suggested and the results of the system evaluation can show that FDSS can be applied effectively for managing risks associated with EC development. The computations involved in the model of fuzzy risk analysis are tedious if performed manually. It is an easy task and the time for risk analysis can be significantly reduced. The Web-based FDSS automates a questionnaire instrument for risk assessment that helps the EC project managers to determine the overall risk of EC development. The benefits of using the system are as follows.

- Risks associated with EC development are identified. These risk items serve as a checklist that cover possible risks associated with EC development in technical, organizational, and environmental dimensions. EC project managers or EC practitioners can be informed and be able to recognize the risks associated with EC development.
- EC project managers can predict the overall risk of the project before start the implementation. An overall risk index can be used as early indicators of project problems or potential difficulties. Evaluators can keep track to evaluate the current risk level of their EC development.
- The system provides an effective, systematic, and more natural way by using the proposed fuzzy risk analysis model. Evaluators can just simply use the risk evaluation checklist and use the linguistic terms to evaluate the EC development risk level.

- Prioritization is necessary to provide focus for important risks. A list of ranked risk items associated with EC development will be produced. Therefore, the most serious risk item will be addressed first.

## LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

Although the FDSS comes out with many advantages, it still has some limitations. The limitations of that are summarized below.

- In spite of the fact that the system shows a satisfactory view in the effectiveness and usability, but FDSS do not get the chance to test it with real-life EC projects. The validity of the system can be established through in-depth case studies.
- This research only provides the risk items based on the risk classification framework shown in table 2. The list of risks shown in Table 2 is not exhaustive, but it is comprehensive enough for the purpose of this study.
- For simplification, the membership functions were evenly distributed by triangular fuzzy numbers. Various membership functions need to be estimated to be as realistic as possible.

## 7. REFERENCES

1. Anderson, J. and Narasimhan, R. (1979). Assessing Project Implementation Risk: A Methodological Approach, *Management Science*, 25 (6), pp. 512–521.
2. Bass, S. M. and Kwakernaak, H. (1977). Rating and Ranking of Multiple Aspect Alternatives Using Fuzzy Sets, *Automatica*, 1 (1), pp. 47– 58.
3. Bennett, J. C., Bohoris, G. A., Aspinwall, E. M. and Hall, R.C. (1996). Risk Analysis Techniques and Their Application to Software Development, *European Journal of Operational Research*, 95 (3), pp. 467– 475.
4. Boehm, B. W. (1989). *Software Risk Management*, IEEE Computer, Washington DC: Society Press.
5. Bojadziev, G. and Bojadziev, M. (1997). *Fuzzy Logic for Business, Finance, and Management*, Singapore: World Scientific.
6. Dong, W. M., Shah, H. C. and Wong, F.S. (1985). Fuzzy Computations in Risk and Decision Analysis, *Civil Engineering Systems*, 2 (4), pp. 201–208.
7. Dubois, D. and Prade, H. (1980). *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, New York: Academic Press.
8. Gardin, F. and Power, R. and Martinelli, E. (1995). Liquidity Management with Fuzzy Qualitative Constraints, *Decision Support Systems*, 15(2), pp. 147– 156.
9. Gasching, J., Klahr, P., Pople, H., Shortliffe E. and Terry, A. (1983). Evaluation of Expert Systems: Issues and Case Studies, in: F. Hayes-Roth, D. A. Waterman, D. B. Lenat (Eds.), *Building Expert Systems*, Massachusetts: Addison- wesley, pp. 241– 280.
10. Greenstein, M. (2000). *Electronic Commerce: Security Risk Management and Control*, New York: McGraw Hill. Hall, E. M. (1998). *Managing Risk: Methods for Software Systems Development*, the SEI Series in Software Engineering, Massachusetts: Addison Wesley.
11. Kalakota, R. and Whinston, A. B., (1996). *Frontiers of the Electronic Commerce*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA [12] Leung, H. M., Chuah, K. B. and Tummala, V. M. R. (1998). A knowledge based system for identifying potential project risks, *OMEGA: International Journal of Management Science*, 26 (5), pp.623– 638.
12. Liou, T. J. and Wang, M. J. J. (1992). Fuzzy Weighted Average: An Improved Algorithm, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 49 (3), pp. 307–315.
13. McDonald, G., (2000). *Management Accounting*, 78 (3), pp. 74– 75
14. Pearson, J. M. and Shim, J. P., (1995). An Empirical Investigation into DSS Structures and Environments, *Decision Support Systems*, 13 (2), pp. 141– 158.
15. Power, S. (1999). *Developing ASP Components*, O'Reilly, California.
16. Rainer, R. K. J. R., Snyder, C. A. and Carr, H. H. (1991) Risk Analysis for Information Technology, *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 8 (1), pp. 129– 147.
17. Ross, T. J., Sorensen, H. C., Savage, S. J. and Carson, J. M. (1990) DAPS: Expert System for Structural Damage Assessment, *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering*, 4 (4), pp. 327– 348.
18. Schmucker, K. J. (1984). *Fuzzy Sets, Natural Language Computations and Risk Analysis*, Rockville, MD: Computer Science Press
19. Stoehr, T. (2002). *Managing e-Business Projects: 99 Key Success Factors*, Hamburg: Springer.
20. Tah, J. H. M., Carr, V. (2000). A Proposal for Construction Project Risk Assessment Using Fuzzy Logic, *Construction, Management and Economics*, 18 (4), pp. 491–500.
21. Turban, E. (1995). *Decision Support and Expert Systems: Management Support System*, 4th ed. New Jersey: Prentice-Hall.
22. Viehlandm, D. W. (2003). *Managing Business Risk in Electronic Commerce*, Americas Conference on Information Systems.
23. White, D. (1995). Application of Systems Thinking to Risk Management: A Review of the Literature, *Management Decision*, 3 (10), pp. 35– 45.



## **REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK**

**Dear Readers**

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce, IT and Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue as well as on the journal as a whole, on our E-mail i.e. [infoijrcm@gmail.com](mailto:infoijrcm@gmail.com) for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries please feel free to contact us on our E-mail [infoijrcm@gmail.com](mailto:infoijrcm@gmail.com).

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

**Academically yours**

Sd/-

**Co-ordinator**

## ABOUT THE JOURNAL

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active co-operation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.

### *Our Other Journals*

