

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE, IT & MANAGEMENT

I
J
R
C
M



A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

Indexed & Listed at:

Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, ProQuest, U.S.A., EBSCO Publishing, U.S.A., Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A.

Open J-Gate, India [link of the same is duly available at Inlibnet of University Grants Commission (U.G.C.)],

Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland with IC Value of 5.09 & number of libraries all around the world.

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than 3480 Cities in 174 countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

Ground Floor, Building No. 1041-C-1, Devi Bhawan Bazar, JAGADHRI – 135 003, Yamunanagar, Haryana, INDIA

<http://ijrcm.org.in/>

CONTENTS

| Sr. No. | TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S) | Page No. |
|---------|---|----------|
| 1. | A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF SERVICE QUALITY OF SELECTED INDIAN BANKS: A STUDY IN INDORE REGION <i>DR. HARISH B. BAPAT, DR. VISHAL SONI & DR. VINAYAK KHARE</i> | 1 |
| 2. | EFFECTIVENESS OF RURAL MARKETING STRATEGIES ON BRANDED FMCG's: A CASE STUDY IN KANYAKUMARI DISTRICT <i>DR. V. SREEDEVI & M. SULAIPHER</i> | 8 |
| 3. | POWER SECTOR REFORMS DURING GLOBALIZED ERA: SOME EVIDENCES FROM INDIAN ECONOMY <i>M. ANANDAN & S. RAMASWAMY</i> | 10 |
| 4. | NGO APPROACHES TO RURAL DEVELOPMENT IN BANGLADESH <i>DR. MD. HAFIZ UDDIN BHUIYAN & DR. MD. RABIUL ISLAM</i> | 14 |
| 5. | INFLUENCES OF SOCIO-ECONOMIC FACTORS ON JOB SATISFACTION OF READY-MADE GARMENTS WORKERS <i>MUHAMMAD ZIAUL HOQUE & DR. MD. SAFIUL ISLAM AFRAD</i> | 17 |
| 6. | CORPORATE GOVERNANCE PRACTICES: A STUDY OF SELECTED LEADING HOTELS IN INDIA <i>BASAVARAJESHWARI DIDDIMANI & DR. ISHWARA P</i> | 22 |
| 7. | GENDER DIVERSITY AND INCLUSION IN STRATEGIC HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT: SUSTAINABLE PEOPLE MANAGEMENT IN THE ASIA-PACIFIC <i>DR. PRADNYA CHITRAO, AYESHA KANWAR, AISHA NORONHA & SOMYA SHARMA</i> | 27 |
| 8. | A STUDY ON THE PROFITABILITY RATIO OF THE DISTRICT CENTRAL COOPERATIVE BANKS IN TIRUNELVELI REGION, TAMILNADU <i>DR. A. MAHENDRAN & DR. V. NATARAJAN</i> | 35 |
| 9. | AN ANALYSIS ON CUSTOMER PERCEPTION AMONG INSURANCE SECTOR <i>DR. RAJESH KUMAR</i> | 40 |
| 10. | GLOBALISATION, SKILL-BASED EDUCATION AND UNEMPLOYMENT IN RURAL ASSAM: AN ECONOMIC ANALYSIS <i>DR. GOBIN CHANDRA BORUAH</i> | 50 |
| 11. | FDI IN INDIA: TREND, ISSUES AND CHALLENGES <i>SEEMA RANI & ADITI MOR</i> | 54 |
| 12. | PERSONAL INCOME TAX STRUCTURE IN INDIA: AN EVALUATION <i>ARTI KUMARI</i> | 60 |
| 13. | IMPACT OF STOCK SPLIT ANNOUNCEMENT ON MARKET PERFORMANCE OF STOCKS: A STUDY WITH REFERENCE TO MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES IN INDIA <i>DR. KUSHALAPPA. S & PALLAVI. N</i> | 65 |
| 14. | DETERMINANTS OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE IN TRANSPORT AND TEXTILE SECTORS IN INDIA: A COMPARATIVE STUDY <i>LALIT ASIJA</i> | 69 |
| 15. | CUSTOMER'S PERCEPTION TOWARDS VALUE-ADDED SERVICES OF PUBLIC SECTOR BANKS IN NAMAKKAL TOWN STATE OF TAMIL NADU <i>SWATHI.V</i> | 78 |
| 16. | SOCIO-ECONOMIC STATUS OF MICRO, SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES IN HIMACHAL PRADESH: A CASE STUDY OF DISTRICT HAMIRPUR <i>SARWAN KUMAR</i> | 83 |
| 17. | AN OVERVIEW OF CHILLIES MARKETING <i>M.SRI RAMA JAYAM</i> | 88 |
| 18. | IMPACT OF INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY ON AGRICULTURAL SECTOR IN KARNATAKA <i>NANDEESHA H K</i> | 90 |
| 19. | EFFECTIVE STRATEGY FOR AGRICULTURAL DEVELOPMENT: WITH REFERENCE TO KAUTILYA'S ARTHASHASTRA <i>SUNITA DEVI</i> | 94 |
| 20. | IMPACT OF INVESTORS' ATTRIBUTES ON INVESTMENT DECISIONS <i>SUSHILA KUMARI & ANIL</i> | 97 |
| | REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK & DISCLAIMER | 99 |

CHIEF PATRON

PROF. K. K. AGGARWAL

Chairman, Malaviya National Institute of Technology, Jaipur

(An institute of National Importance & fully funded by Ministry of Human Resource Development, Government of India)

Chancellor, K. R. Mangalam University, Gurgaon

Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Faridabad

Founder Vice-Chancellor (1998-2008), Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi

Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

FOUNDER PATRON

LATE SH. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Former State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana

Former Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri

Former President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

CO-ORDINATOR

AMITA

Faculty, Government M. S., Mohali

ADVISORS

DR. PRIYA RANJAN TRIVEDI

Chancellor, The Global Open University, Nagaland

PROF. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Director A. C. D., School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

PROF. M. N. SHARMA

Chairman, M.B.A., Haryana College of Technology & Management, Kaithal

PROF. S. L. MAHANDRU

Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

EDITOR

PROF. R. K. SHARMA

Professor, Bharti Vidyapeeth University Institute of Management & Research, New Delhi

CO-EDITOR

DR. BHAVET

Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Business & Management, Urjani

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

DR. RAJESH MODI

Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

PROF. SANJIV MITTAL

University School of Management Studies, Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

PROF. ANIL K. SAINI

Chairperson (CRC), Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

DR. SAMBHAVNA

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

DR. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, P. J. L. N. Government College, Faridabad

DR. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE

Asst. Professor, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

PROF. NAWAB ALI KHAN

Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

PROF. ABHAY BANSAL

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity University, Noida

PROF. A. SURYANARAYANA

Department of Business Management, Osmania University, Hyderabad

DR. SAMBHAV GARG

Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Business & Management, Urjani

PROF. V. SELVAM

SSL, VIT University, Vellore

DR. PARDEEP AHLAWAT

Associate Professor, Institute of Management Studies & Research, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak

DR. S. TABASSUM SULTANA

Associate Professor, Department of Business Management, Matrusri Institute of P.G. Studies, Hyderabad

SURJEET SINGH

Asst. Professor, Department of Computer Science, G. M. N. (P.G.) College, Ambala Cantt.

TECHNICAL ADVISOR

AMITA

Faculty, Government M. S., Mohali

FINANCIAL ADVISORS

DICKIN GOYAL

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

NEENA

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

LEGAL ADVISORS

JITENDER S. CHAHAL

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

SUPERINTENDENT

SURENDER KUMAR POONIA

CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to recent developments & practices in the areas of Computer Science & Applications; Commerce; Business; Finance; Marketing; Human Resource Management; General Management; Banking; Economics; Tourism Administration & Management; Education; Law; Library & Information Science; Defence & Strategic Studies; Electronic Science; Corporate Governance; Industrial Relations; and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Rural Economics; Co-operation; Demography; Development Planning; Development Studies; Applied Economics; Development Economics; Business Economics; Monetary Policy; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Political Science; Continuing Education; Labour Welfare; Philosophy; Psychology; Sociology; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law; Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labour Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; International Relations; Human Rights & Duties; Public Administration; Population Studies; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism & Hospitality; Transportation Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic; Web Design and emerging paradigms in allied subjects.

Anybody can submit the **soft copy** of unpublished novel; original; empirical and high quality **research work/manuscript** **anytime** in **M.S. Word format** after preparing the same as per our **GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION**; at our email address i.e. infoijrcm@gmail.com or online by clicking the link **online submission** as given on our website ([FOR ONLINE SUBMISSION, CLICK HERE](#)).

GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT

1. **COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:**

DATED: _____

THE EDITOR
IJRCM

Subject: **SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF.**

(e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)

DEAR SIR/MADAM

Please find my submission of manuscript entitled '_____ ' for possible publication in your journals.

I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore, it has neither been published elsewhere in any language fully or partly, nor is it under review for publication elsewhere.

I affirm that all the author (s) have seen and agreed to the submitted version of the manuscript and their inclusion of name (s) as co-author (s).

Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the formalities as given on the website of the journal & you are free to publish our contribution in any of your journals.

NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Designation:
Affiliation with full address, contact numbers & Pin Code:
Residential address with Pin Code:
Mobile Number (s):
Landline Number (s):
E-mail Address:
Alternate E-mail Address:

NOTES:

- a) The whole manuscript is required to be in **ONE MS WORD FILE** only (pdf. version is liable to be rejected without any consideration), which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript.
- b) The sender is required to mention the following in the **SUBJECT COLUMN** of the mail:
New Manuscript for Review in the area of (Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)
- c) There is no need to give any text in the body of mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any specific message w.r.t. to the manuscript.
- d) The total size of the file containing the manuscript is required to be below **500 KB**.
- e) Abstract alone will not be considered for review, and the author is required to submit the complete manuscript in the first instance.
- f) The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of manuscript, within two days of submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending separate mail to the journal.

2. **MANUSCRIPT TITLE:** The title of the paper should be in a 12 point Calibri Font. It should be bold typed, centered and fully capitalised.

3. **AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS:** The author (s) **full name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline numbers**, and **email/alternate email address** should be in italic & 11-point Calibri Font. It must be centered underneath the title.

4. **ABSTRACT:** Abstract should be in fully italicized text, not exceeding 250 words. The abstract must be informative and explain the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a single para. Abbreviations must be mentioned in full.

5. **KEYWORDS:** Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of five. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stops at the end.
6. **MANUSCRIPT:** Manuscript must be in **BRITISH ENGLISH** prepared on a standard A4 size **PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER**. It must be prepared on a single space and single column with 1" margin set for top, bottom, left and right. It should be typed in 8 point Calibri Font with page numbers at the bottom and centre of every page. It should be free from grammatical, spelling and punctuation errors and must be thoroughly edited.
7. **HEADINGS:** All the headings should be in a 10 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
8. **SUB-HEADINGS:** All the sub-headings should be in a 8 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
9. **MAIN TEXT:** The main text should follow the following sequence:

INTRODUCTION

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

OBJECTIVES

HYPOTHESES

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

FINDINGS

RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS

CONCLUSIONS

SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

REFERENCES

APPENDIX/ANNEXURE

It should be in a 8 point Calibri Font, single spaced and justified. The manuscript should preferably not exceed **5000 WORDS**.

10. **FIGURES & TABLES:** These should be simple, crystal clear, centered, separately numbered & self explained, and **titles must be above the table/figure**. **Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure**. It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text.
11. **EQUATIONS:** These should be consecutively numbered in parentheses, horizontally centered with equation number placed at the right.
12. **REFERENCES:** The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript and they are supposed to follow **Harvard Style of Referencing**. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
 - All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
 - Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
 - When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc, in chronologically ascending order.
 - Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
 - The title of books and journals should be in italics. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
 - For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parentheses.
 - The location of endnotes within the text should be indicated by superscript numbers.

PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:

BOOKS

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

- Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

- Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

CONFERENCE PAPERS

- Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–22 June.

UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS AND THESES

- Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

ONLINE RESOURCES

- Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

WEBSITES

- Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Natural Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 <http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp>

IMPACT OF STOCK SPLIT ANNOUNCEMENT ON MARKET PERFORMANCE OF STOCKS: A STUDY WITH REFERENCE TO MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES IN INDIA

DR. KUSHALAPPA. S
ASST. PROFESSOR
DEPARTMENT OF MBA
ALVA'S INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGY
MIJAR

PALLAVI. N
STUDENT
DEPARTMENT OF MBA
ALVA'S INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING & TECHNOLOGY
MIJAR

ABSTRACT

India's manufacturing sector is vital for its economic progress. Manufacturing industries help in modernizing agriculture and export of manufactured goods to expand trade and commerce. Manufacturing sector's contribution to the GDP is 16 per cent, with the potential to grow more. A stock split is a corporate action that increases the number of the corporation's outstanding shares by dividing each share, which in turn diminishes its price. For this study, the authors have chosen six manufacturing industries. They are Oil and Gas Industry, FMCG Industry, Cement Industry, Steel Industry, Pharmaceutical Industry and Automobile Industry. The study aimed at comparing the market performance of stocks of their industries, before the split and after the split. The entire study is based on the secondary data extracted from various sources like BSE, NSE websites, RBI bulletin, books and journals. The study period is twelve years covering from 1st April, 2002-03 to 2012-13. The study is limited to only six manufacturing industries.

KEYWORDS

Capital, Manufacturing Market Industry, Return, Risk, Splits.

INTRODUCTION

Capital Market typically involves issuing instruments such as stocks and bonds for the medium term and long term. Suppliers of capital generally expect the maximum possible return at the lowest possible risk, while users of capital expect to raise capital at the lowest possible cost. Stock split is a strategy which is being used by the corporate to make their shares more attractive in the share market. Stock splits are mainly carried out with the intention of increasing liquidity. Once liquidity increases, more buyers and sellers trade in the stock, which, in turn, helps to discover its true value. The day of the stock split provides more investor awareness of the already well publicized stock split. Many investors who watched the stock rise at the announcement and again during the pre-split run will now buy shares at the lower split prices. These final buyers can push prices even higher. The present study deals with the impact of Stock Splits on Market Performance of Stocks. The manufacturing industry is taken as the sample for study. The stock prices of the companies of Automobile industry, Pharmaceuticals industry, Cement industry, FMCG industry, ONGC industry and Steel industry are taken for the study.

OBJECTIVES

The main objective of the study is to identify the impact of stock splits on stock return. To fulfil this objective, the following specific objectives have been framed by the researcher.

- To test the stock market reaction to announcement of stock split.
- To compare the impact of split on stock return between the industries.

SCOPE OF THE STUDY

The current study is an attempt to identify the impact of stock splits on their return. In the study 15 days before and 15 after the split are taken and accordingly a comparison is made between the average return before the split and after the split. The study covers a period of twelve years and the various industries taken for the study are Automobile, Pharmaceuticals, Cement, FMCG, ONGC and Steel industry.

METHODOLOGY

The entire study is based on secondary data extracted from various sources like BSE website and other e-sources, books and journals etc. The sample size is 70 companies selected from six different industries.

TECHNIQUES OF ANALYSIS

Daily returns for each sample company has been computed for the estimation period and also for the event period as

$$R_{it} = \frac{MP_{it} - MP_{i(t-1)}}{MP_{i(t-1)}}$$

Where, MP_{it} = Market price (closing) of security 'i' on day t and $MP_{i(t-1)}$ = Market price of security on day (t-1). Comparatively, the actual returns for the market are also computed as :

$$R_{mt} = \frac{I_{it} - I_{i(t-1)}}{I_{i(t-1)}}$$

Where I_{it} and $I_{i(t-1)}$ are daily BSE index values at time t and t-1 respectively

In the next step the market model has been utilized to calculate the expected returns on the stock.

DAILY EXPECTED RETURN

$$E(R_{it}) = \alpha + \beta \times R_{mt} + \epsilon_t$$

In the Equation $E(R)$ is the expected return of a particular company on day t,

α and β are calculated as follows

$$\beta = \frac{n \sum xy - \sum x \sum y}{n \sum x^2 - (\sum x)^2}$$

$$\alpha = \bar{y} - \beta \bar{x}$$

R (m) = Return on the market

Then the abnormal return on day t is calculated as

ABNORMAL RETURNS

Daily abnormal return on a particular day t is the excess of the actual return on the day t over the expected return on that day.

$$AR = R_{it} - E(R_{it})$$

Where, R_{it} is the actual daily return for the share of a company i at time t, & $E(R_{it})$ is the expected return on the same day in the absence of an acquisition.

The study period used in this analysis is a 31 working days. In this, the day on which a split announcement appears in the press is designated as 0. Trading days prior to the split announcement are numbered event days -1, -2 and so on. The event days following the splits are numbered +1, +2 and so on. The maximum time involved in this study is -15 days to +15 days. First, the average excess returns (AAR) for each relative day t are calculated across the securities. Daily average cumulative abnormal returns (CAAR) are the sum of the average excess returns over event time. In other words, CAAR is defined as the sum of previous daily average residuals for each trading day.

Average abnormal return (AAR's) for each relative day is calculated by

$$AAR_t = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N AR_{it}}{N}$$

Where, i = the number of securities in the study

N = Total number of securities

t = the days surrounding the event study.

Cumulative Average Abnormal Returns (CAARs) are derived by summing the AARs over various time intervals. For Example, CAARs for a time interval t_n to t_1 , are derived as follows

$$CAARs = \sum_{t=1}^n AAR_t$$

Where t = -15, ..., 0, ..., +15

The data which is collected after the calculations which are explained above is a representation of the period 1/4/2002 to 31/3/2013. This data is then further segregated into sectors, namely Automobile, Pharmaceuticals, Cement, FMCG, ONGC and Steel industry. In addition to the above techniques other statistical tools such as averages and CAPM have been used to make the analysis more effective.

LIMITATIONS

Every research has its own limitations. The following are the limitations of this study.

- The study covers only few sectors such as Cement, FMCG, ONGC and Steel industry which are taken for studying the impact of splits.
- This study is restricted only to Indian companies.

ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

This part of the study deals with the analysis of the study. For the purpose of analysis the companies taken for the study are categorized into sectors. The sectors taken for the study are FMCG, Automobile, ONGC and Steel, Cement, Pharmaceuticals. The returns of each company under each sector are shown in the annexure. Sector wise analysis is being made before the split Average Abnormal Return (AAR) and after the split AAR is calculated for each company and then for each sector. For the above purpose, statistical tools, like, mean, and Beta have been used.

TABLE 1: AVERAGE RETURNS, AVERAGE ABNORMAL RETURN, AVERAGE EXCESS RETURN AND CUMULATIVE AVERAGE RETURNS, AVERAGE ABNORMAL RETURN, AVERAGE EXCESS RETURN FOR AUTOMOBILE INDUSTRY

| Days | AR | CAR | AAR | CAAR | AER | CAER | Days | AR | CAR | AAR | CAAR | AER | CAER |
|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| -15 | 0.583 | 0.583 | -0.856 | -0.856 | -1.350 | -1.350 | 1 | 1.038 | 1.038 | -1.835 | -1.835 | -0.881 | -0.881 |
| -14 | 1.607 | 2.190 | -0.943 | -1.799 | -0.324 | -1.674 | 2 | -0.993 | 0.045 | 0.430 | -1.404 | -2.924 | -3.805 |
| -13 | 0.856 | 2.463 | -1.079 | -2.022 | -1.064 | -1.388 | 3 | -0.638 | -1.631 | 1.126 | 1.556 | -2.580 | -5.504 |
| -12 | 0.260 | 1.116 | -0.101 | -1.181 | -1.657 | -2.722 | 4 | -1.503 | -2.141 | 1.206 | 2.332 | -3.450 | -6.030 |
| -11 | -0.515 | -0.255 | 0.900 | 0.799 | -2.448 | -4.106 | 5 | 0.680 | -0.823 | -1.271 | -0.065 | -1.277 | -4.727 |
| -10 | 1.071 | 0.556 | -0.189 | 0.711 | -0.861 | -3.309 | 6 | -0.454 | 0.226 | 0.243 | -1.028 | -2.412 | -3.689 |
| -9 | 1.353 | 2.424 | -1.110 | -1.299 | -0.577 | -1.438 | 7 | -1.114 | -1.569 | 1.249 | 1.492 | -3.074 | -5.486 |
| -8 | -0.834 | 0.519 | 0.737 | -0.373 | -2.761 | -3.338 | 8 | -0.732 | -1.847 | 0.704 | 1.953 | -2.688 | -5.762 |
| -7 | 0.214 | -0.620 | -0.374 | 0.364 | -1.728 | -4.489 | 9 | 0.103 | -0.629 | -0.379 | 0.325 | -1.862 | -4.550 |
| -6 | 0.438 | 0.653 | -1.470 | -1.844 | -1.501 | -3.229 | 10 | 0.365 | 0.468 | -0.384 | -0.763 | -1.599 | -3.461 |
| -5 | 1.117 | 1.555 | -0.473 | -1.944 | -0.820 | -2.321 | 11 | 0.813 | 1.177 | -0.731 | -1.115 | -1.128 | -2.727 |
| -4 | -0.052 | 1.064 | -0.108 | -0.581 | -1.974 | -2.794 | 12 | 0.228 | 1.040 | -0.627 | -1.359 | -1.696 | -2.824 |
| -3 | -1.383 | -1.435 | 1.042 | 0.934 | -3.306 | -5.279 | 13 | 0.955 | 1.182 | -1.260 | -1.888 | -0.969 | -2.664 |
| -2 | 1.015 | -0.368 | -0.887 | 0.154 | -0.916 | -4.222 | 14 | 0.090 | 1.044 | 0.138 | -1.122 | -1.780 | -2.748 |
| -1 | 0.088 | 1.104 | -0.565 | -1.452 | -1.843 | -2.760 | 15 | -0.877 | -0.787 | 0.607 | 0.745 | -2.758 | -4.538 |
| 0 | 1.373 | 1.462 | -0.742 | -1.307 | -0.560 | -2.403 | | | | | | | |
| Average | 0.449 | | -0.389 | | -1.481 | | | -0.136 | | -0.052 | | -2.072 | |

It is clear from the Table 1 that the average actual return and average excess return are higher before the split announcement and average abnormal return higher after the split announcement in automobile industry.

TABLE 2: AVERAGE RETURNS, AVERAGE ABNORMAL RETURN, AVERAGE EXCESS RETURN AND CUMULATIVE AVERAGE RETURNS, AVERAGE ABNORMAL RETURN, AVERAGE EXCESS RETURN FOR CEMENT INDUSTRY

| Days | AR | CAR | AAR | CAAR | AER | CAER | Days | AR | CAR | AAR | CAAR | AER | CAER |
|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| -15 | -0.651 | -0.651 | 0.595 | 0.595 | -1.313 | -1.313 | 1 | 1.038 | 1.038 | -0.929 | -0.929 | 0.407 | 0.407 |
| -14 | -1.114 | -1.765 | 0.729 | 1.324 | -1.768 | -3.081 | 2 | -0.993 | 0.045 | 2.292 | 1.363 | -2.814 | -2.407 |
| -13 | 0.657 | -0.457 | 1.085 | 1.814 | -0.001 | -1.769 | 3 | -0.638 | -1.631 | 3.739 | 6.031 | -4.261 | -7.075 |
| -12 | -0.412 | 0.245 | -2.875 | -1.790 | -1.066 | -1.067 | 4 | -1.503 | -2.141 | 2.187 | 5.926 | -2.703 | -6.964 |
| -11 | -0.530 | -0.943 | -0.510 | -3.385 | -1.188 | -2.255 | 5 | 0.680 | -0.823 | 2.360 | 4.546 | -2.868 | -5.570 |
| -10 | 0.417 | -0.113 | 0.228 | -0.282 | -0.237 | -1.425 | 6 | -0.454 | 0.226 | 1.582 | 3.942 | -2.084 | -4.952 |
| -9 | 0.927 | 1.345 | 1.071 | 1.300 | 0.271 | 0.035 | 7 | -1.114 | -1.569 | 0.969 | 2.552 | -1.475 | -3.560 |
| -8 | -0.744 | 0.183 | 0.892 | 1.964 | -1.400 | -1.129 | 8 | -0.732 | -1.847 | -0.854 | 0.116 | 0.340 | -1.136 |
| -7 | -0.923 | -1.668 | -0.779 | 0.113 | -1.575 | -2.976 | 9 | 0.103 | -0.629 | -0.746 | -1.600 | 0.240 | 0.580 |
| -6 | -0.080 | -1.004 | -0.269 | -1.049 | -0.734 | -2.310 | 10 | 0.365 | 0.468 | -1.456 | -2.202 | 0.952 | 1.192 |
| -5 | 0.658 | 0.578 | 0.678 | 0.409 | 0.002 | -0.732 | 11 | 0.813 | 1.177 | -0.146 | -1.602 | -0.346 | 0.606 |
| -4 | 3.023 | 3.681 | 0.560 | 1.239 | 2.369 | 2.371 | 12 | 0.228 | 1.040 | -0.555 | -0.701 | 0.053 | -0.293 |
| -3 | -0.937 | 2.086 | -0.509 | 0.051 | -1.591 | 0.778 | 13 | 0.955 | 1.182 | 1.339 | 0.784 | -1.861 | -1.808 |
| -2 | -0.581 | -1.518 | 1.262 | 0.753 | -1.267 | -2.858 | 14 | 0.090 | 1.044 | 0.581 | 1.920 | -1.099 | -2.960 |
| -1 | -0.447 | -1.028 | 0.799 | 2.061 | -1.129 | -2.396 | 15 | -0.877 | -0.787 | -0.106 | 0.474 | -0.404 | -1.502 |
| 0 | -2.543 | -2.990 | 2.691 | 3.490 | -3.213 | -4.342 | | | | | | | |
| Average | -0.205 | | 0.353 | | -0.865 | | | -0.136 | | 0.684 | | -1.195 | |

It is clear from the Table 2 that the average excess returns are higher before the split announcement and average actual return and average abnormal returns are higher after the split announcement in cement industry.

TABLE 3: AVERAGE RETURNS, AVERAGE ABNORMAL RETURN, AVERAGE EXCESS RETURN AND CUMULATIVE AVERAGE RETURNS, AVERAGE ABNORMAL RETURN, AVERAGE EXCESS RETURN FOR FMCG INDUSTRY

| Days | AR | CAR | AAR | CAAR | AER | CAER | Days | AR | CAR | AAR | CAAR | AER | CAER |
|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| -15 | 0.813 | 0.813 | -0.758 | -0.758 | -2.367 | -2.367 | 1 | -0.879 | -0.879 | 0.935 | 0.935 | -4.024 | -4.024 |
| -14 | 2.684 | 3.497 | -2.629 | -3.386 | -0.486 | -2.853 | 2 | -1.437 | -2.316 | 1.492 | 2.427 | -4.562 | -8.586 |
| -13 | 1.601 | 4.284 | -1.545 | -4.174 | -1.577 | -2.063 | 3 | 1.102 | -0.335 | -1.046 | 0.446 | -2.056 | -6.618 |
| -12 | -0.663 | 0.938 | 0.718 | -0.827 | -3.843 | -5.420 | 4 | 0.602 | 1.704 | -0.547 | -1.593 | -2.548 | -4.604 |
| -11 | 0.037 | -0.626 | 0.018 | 0.736 | -3.145 | -6.988 | 5 | 0.168 | 0.770 | -0.112 | -0.659 | -3.020 | -5.567 |
| -10 | -0.983 | -0.946 | 1.038 | 1.057 | -4.153 | -7.298 | 6 | 0.581 | 0.749 | -0.526 | -0.638 | -2.604 | -5.624 |
| -9 | -0.573 | -1.556 | 0.629 | 1.667 | -3.721 | -7.874 | 7 | -0.347 | 0.234 | 0.402 | -0.124 | -3.562 | -6.166 |
| -8 | -0.776 | -1.349 | 0.831 | 1.460 | -3.943 | -7.664 | 8 | 0.299 | -0.047 | -0.244 | 0.158 | -2.953 | -6.515 |
| -7 | 0.488 | -0.288 | -0.432 | 0.399 | -2.690 | -6.633 | 9 | 0.730 | 1.029 | -0.674 | -0.919 | -2.520 | -5.473 |
| -6 | -0.815 | -0.328 | 0.871 | 0.438 | -4.003 | -6.693 | 10 | 0.994 | 1.724 | -0.939 | -1.614 | -2.241 | -4.761 |
| -5 | 1.518 | 0.702 | -1.462 | -0.591 | -1.652 | -5.655 | 11 | 1.019 | 2.014 | -0.964 | -1.903 | -2.211 | -4.451 |
| -4 | 0.695 | 2.212 | -0.639 | -2.102 | -2.478 | -4.130 | 12 | -0.201 | 0.819 | 0.256 | -0.708 | -3.461 | -5.671 |
| -3 | -0.291 | 0.404 | 0.346 | -0.293 | -3.469 | -5.946 | 13 | -0.023 | -0.223 | 0.078 | 0.334 | -3.315 | -6.776 |
| -2 | -0.373 | -0.664 | 0.429 | 0.775 | -3.538 | -7.007 | 14 | 1.819 | 1.796 | -1.763 | -1.685 | -1.456 | -4.772 |
| -1 | -0.488 | -0.861 | 0.543 | 0.972 | -3.658 | -7.196 | 15 | -0.171 | 1.648 | 0.226 | -1.537 | -3.451 | -4.907 |
| 0 | 0.405 | -0.083 | -0.350 | 0.193 | -2.740 | -6.398 | | | | | | | |
| Average | 0.205 | | -0.149 | | -2.966 | | | 0.284 | | -0.228 | | -2.932 | |

It is clear from the Table 3 that the average abnormal returns are higher before the split announcement and average actual return and average excess returns are higher after the split announcement in FMCG industry.

TABLE 4: AVERAGE RETURNS, AVERAGE ABNORMAL RETURN, AVERAGE EXCESS RETURN AND CUMULATIVE AVERAGE RETURNS, AVERAGE ABNORMAL RETURN, AVERAGE EXCESS RETURN FOR ONGC & STEEL INDUSTRY

| Days | AR | CAR | AAR | CAAR | AER | CAER | Days | AR | CAR | AAR | CAAR | AER | CAER |
|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| -15 | 2.957 | 2.957 | -2.926 | 0.846 | 0.846 | 0.846 | 1 | -0.493 | -0.493 | 0.409 | 0.409 | -2.305 | -2.305 |
| -14 | 1.014 | 3.971 | -0.984 | -1.130 | -0.285 | 0.561 | 2 | 3.057 | 2.565 | -2.948 | -2.539 | 0.852 | -1.453 |
| -13 | 1.533 | 2.547 | -1.502 | -0.630 | -1.760 | -2.045 | 3 | 1.446 | 4.503 | -1.470 | -4.418 | -0.480 | 0.372 |
| -12 | 0.841 | 2.374 | -0.810 | -1.321 | -1.951 | -3.711 | 4 | -0.452 | 0.994 | 0.376 | -1.094 | -2.293 | -2.773 |
| -11 | -0.171 | 0.670 | 0.201 | -2.342 | -3.663 | -5.614 | 5 | -0.025 | -0.477 | -0.109 | 0.267 | -1.737 | -4.031 |
| -10 | 0.205 | 0.034 | -0.174 | -1.992 | -4.334 | -7.996 | 6 | 0.371 | 0.346 | -0.196 | -0.305 | -1.933 | -3.670 |
| -9 | 0.626 | 0.831 | -0.596 | -1.570 | -3.562 | -7.896 | 7 | 0.628 | 0.999 | -0.683 | -0.879 | -1.184 | -3.117 |
| -8 | 1.191 | 1.817 | -1.160 | -0.954 | -2.524 | -6.086 | 8 | 0.116 | 0.744 | 0.001 | -0.682 | -2.038 | -3.222 |
| -7 | 0.763 | 1.954 | -0.733 | -1.364 | -2.318 | -4.842 | 9 | 1.463 | 1.579 | -1.196 | -1.195 | -0.982 | -3.020 |
| -6 | 0.133 | 0.897 | -0.103 | -1.994 | -3.358 | -5.676 | 10 | 0.465 | 1.928 | -0.448 | -1.644 | -1.413 | -2.395 |
| -5 | 0.643 | 0.776 | -0.612 | -1.523 | -3.517 | -6.875 | 11 | -0.571 | -0.106 | 0.551 | 0.103 | -2.309 | -3.722 |
| -4 | 0.700 | 1.343 | -0.669 | -1.449 | -2.971 | -6.488 | 12 | -0.136 | -0.707 | -0.060 | 0.491 | -1.512 | -3.821 |
| -3 | 0.264 | 0.964 | -0.234 | -1.862 | -3.311 | -6.283 | 13 | 0.524 | 0.388 | -0.422 | -0.481 | -1.374 | -2.886 |
| -2 | -0.347 | -0.082 | 0.377 | -2.479 | -4.341 | -7.652 | 14 | 0.278 | 0.802 | -0.309 | -0.730 | -1.413 | -2.787 |
| -1 | 0.763 | 0.416 | -0.732 | -1.363 | -3.842 | -8.183 | 15 | 0.407 | 0.685 | -0.458 | -0.767 | -1.257 | -2.670 |
| 0 | 0.695 | 1.458 | -0.665 | -1.363 | -1.415 | -5.256 | | | | | | | |
| Average | 0.738 | | -0.708 | | -2.644 | | | 0.472 | | -0.464 | | -1.425 | |

It is clear from the Table 4 that the average actual returns are higher before the split announcement and average abnormal return and average excess returns are higher after the split announcement in ONGC and Steel industry.

TABLE 5: AVERAGE RETURNS, AVERAGE ABNORMAL RETURN, AVERAGE EXCESS RETURN AND CUMULATIVE AVERAGE RETURNS, AVERAGE ABNORMAL RETURN, AVERAGE EXCESS RETURN FOR PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY

| Days | AR | CAR | AAR | CAAR | AER | CAER | Days | AR | CAR | AAR | CAAR | AER | CAER |
|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| -15 | -0.031 | -0.031 | 0.028 | 0.028 | -2.024 | -2.024 | 1 | -0.080 | -0.080 | 0.076 | 0.076 | -1.990 | -1.990 |
| -14 | 0.065 | 0.034 | -0.068 | -0.040 | -2.005 | -4.028 | 2 | -0.485 | -0.564 | 0.481 | 0.558 | -2.478 | -4.468 |
| -13 | 0.084 | 0.148 | -0.087 | -0.155 | -1.917 | -3.921 | 3 | -0.302 | -0.787 | 0.299 | 0.780 | -2.239 | -4.717 |
| -12 | 0.286 | 0.369 | -0.289 | -0.376 | -1.735 | -3.652 | 4 | -0.732 | -1.034 | 0.729 | 1.028 | -2.547 | -4.786 |
| -11 | 0.917 | 1.203 | -0.920 | -1.210 | -1.081 | -2.817 | 5 | -0.302 | -1.034 | 0.298 | 1.027 | -2.276 | -4.823 |
| -10 | 0.434 | 1.351 | -0.437 | -1.358 | -1.513 | -2.594 | 6 | -0.015 | -0.317 | 0.012 | 0.310 | -1.952 | -4.228 |
| -9 | 0.097 | 0.531 | -0.100 | -0.537 | -2.032 | -3.545 | 7 | 0.719 | 0.704 | -0.722 | -0.710 | -1.213 | -3.165 |
| -8 | -0.719 | -0.622 | 0.716 | 0.616 | -2.526 | -4.559 | 8 | -0.046 | 0.673 | 0.043 | -0.679 | -2.074 | -3.288 |
| -7 | 0.230 | -0.489 | -0.233 | 0.483 | -1.726 | -4.252 | 9 | -0.309 | -0.355 | 0.306 | 0.348 | -2.374 | -4.449 |
| -6 | 1.444 | 1.674 | -1.447 | -1.680 | -0.414 | -2.140 | 10 | 0.355 | 0.046 | -0.358 | -0.053 | -2.010 | -4.385 |
| -5 | 1.199 | 2.643 | -1.203 | -2.650 | -0.607 | -1.021 | 11 | -0.289 | 0.065 | 0.286 | -0.072 | -2.254 | -4.265 |
| -4 | 1.412 | 2.611 | -1.415 | -2.617 | -1.071 | -1.678 | 12 | -0.165 | -0.454 | 0.162 | 0.448 | -2.111 | -4.365 |
| -3 | 1.169 | 2.580 | -1.172 | -2.587 | -2.082 | -3.152 | 13 | -0.057 | -0.222 | 0.054 | 0.215 | -1.650 | -3.761 |
| -2 | 0.369 | 1.537 | -0.372 | -1.544 | -1.797 | -3.878 | 14 | -0.202 | -0.259 | 0.199 | 0.253 | -2.196 | -3.846 |
| -1 | 0.334 | 0.703 | -0.337 | -0.709 | -1.724 | -3.520 | 15 | -0.077 | -0.279 | 0.073 | 0.272 | -1.891 | -4.087 |
| 0 | 0.418 | 0.752 | -0.422 | -0.759 | -1.515 | -3.238 | | | | | | | |
| Average | 0.482 | | -0.485 | | -1.610 | | | -0.132 | | 0.129 | | -2.084 | |

It is clear from the Table 5 that the average actual return and average excess returns are higher before the split announcement and average abnormal returns are higher after the split announcement in pharmaceutical industry.

TABLE 6: ACTUAL RETURNS, ABNORMAL RETURN, EXCESS RETURN AND CUMULATIVE ACTUAL RETURNS, ABNORMAL RETURN, EXCESS RETURN OF THE INDUSTRIES UNDER STUDY

| Days | AR | CAR | AAR | CAAR | AER | CAER | Days | AR | CAR | AAR | CAAR | AER | CAER |
|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| -15 | 0.734 | 0.734 | -0.784 | -0.784 | -1.241 | -1.241 | 1 | 0.125 | 0.125 | -0.269 | -0.269 | -1.759 | -1.759 |
| -14 | 0.851 | 1.585 | -0.779 | -1.562 | -1.487 | -2.729 | 2 | -0.170 | -0.045 | 0.350 | 0.081 | -2.385 | -4.144 |
| -13 | 0.946 | 1.797 | -0.626 | -1.404 | -1.324 | -2.812 | 3 | 0.194 | 0.024 | 0.529 | 0.879 | -2.323 | -4.708 |
| -12 | 0.062 | 1.008 | -0.672 | -1.297 | -2.744 | -4.069 | 4 | -0.718 | -0.524 | 0.790 | 1.320 | -2.708 | -5.031 |
| -11 | -0.052 | 0.010 | -0.062 | -0.734 | -2.970 | -5.715 | 5 | 0.240 | -0.477 | 0.233 | 1.023 | -2.236 | -4.944 |
| -10 | 0.229 | 0.176 | 0.093 | 0.031 | -2.812 | -5.783 | 6 | 0.006 | 0.246 | 0.223 | 0.456 | -2.197 | -4.433 |
| -9 | 0.486 | 0.715 | -0.021 | 0.072 | -2.787 | -5.599 | 7 | -0.246 | -0.240 | 0.243 | 0.466 | -2.102 | -4.299 |
| -8 | -0.377 | 0.109 | 0.403 | 0.382 | -3.659 | -6.446 | 8 | -0.219 | -0.465 | -0.070 | 0.173 | -1.883 | -3.984 |
| -7 | 0.154 | -0.222 | -0.510 | -0.107 | -2.870 | -6.529 | 9 | 0.418 | 0.199 | -0.538 | -0.608 | -1.500 | -3.382 |
| -6 | 0.224 | 0.378 | -0.484 | -0.994 | -2.669 | -5.539 | 10 | 0.509 | 0.927 | -0.717 | -1.255 | -1.262 | -2.762 |
| -5 | 1.027 | 1.251 | -0.614 | -1.098 | -1.747 | -4.415 | 11 | 0.357 | 0.865 | -0.201 | -0.918 | -1.650 | -2.912 |
| -4 | 1.155 | 2.182 | -0.454 | -1.069 | -1.457 | -3.203 | 12 | -0.009 | 0.348 | -0.165 | -0.366 | -1.745 | -3.395 |
| -3 | -0.236 | 0.920 | -0.105 | -0.560 | -3.279 | -4.735 | 13 | 0.471 | 0.461 | -0.042 | -0.207 | -1.834 | -3.579 |
| -2 | 0.017 | -0.219 | 0.162 | 0.056 | -2.905 | -6.184 | 14 | 0.415 | 0.885 | -0.231 | -0.273 | -1.589 | -3.423 |
| -1 | 0.050 | 0.067 | -0.058 | 0.103 | -3.110 | -6.015 | 15 | -0.319 | 0.096 | 0.069 | -0.162 | -1.952 | -3.541 |
| 0 | 0.070 | 0.120 | 0.103 | 0.044 | -1.889 | -4.998 | | | | | | | |
| Average | 0.334 | | -0.276 | | -2.434 | | | 0.070 | | 0.014 | | -1.942 | |

It is understood from Table 6 that the actual return are higher before the split announcement, but the abnormal return and excess returns are higher after the split announcement. It clearly indicates that the investor expectation are less after the split and therefore of given more abnormal return after the split. It is also clear that the risk perception of the investor is less after the splits than before the split there or the reason for more excess return before the split.

FINDINGS AND CONCLUSION

Investor prefer a stock which is very popular in the market not which is available at a very cheaper price. Stock split is one of the most popular strategies used by the corporate to make the stocks more attractive. In this study attempts and made to compare the performance of stocks of five different industries for before the split and after the split. The study comes to a conclusion that the stocks will perform before in the market before the split than after the split. The reason would be the opinion of the investor that to get more number of shares after the split for a limited number of shares purchased before the split announcement. One of the most important findings of the study is that the investors risk perception before the split announcement are higher than after the split announcement and therefore the excess return and abnormal returns before the split is lower than after the split.

REFERENCES

1. Avadhani V.A.(2011), Security Analysis and Portfolio Management, Himalaya Publishing House.
2. Nagarajan K and Jayabal G(2011), Security Analysis and Portfolio Management, New Age International.
3. Prasanna Chandra(2008), Financial Management, Tata McGraw-Hill Publishing Company limited.
4. Ravindhar Vadapalli (2007), Mergers, Acquisitions and Business Valuation, Excel Books.
5. Sudi sudarsanam (2002), Value Creation from Mergers and Acquisitions, Pearson Education.
6. Weston, Mitchel and Mulceril (2003), Takeovers, Restructuring and Corporate Governance, Pearson Education.

WEBSITES

7. <http://answers.yahoo.com/question/index?qid=20080211070937AAMuY0d>
8. http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1012299
9. <http://uoa.academia.edu>
10. <http://www.bseindia.com>
11. http://www.inderscience.com/search/index.php?action=record&rec_id=16288

REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

Dear Readers

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce, IT & Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue as well as on the journal as a whole, on our E-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries please feel free to contact us on our E-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-

Co-ordinator

DISCLAIMER

The information and opinions presented in the Journal reflect the views of the authors and not of the Journal or its Editorial Board or the Publishers/Editors. Publication does not constitute endorsement by the journal. Neither the Journal nor its publishers/Editors/Editorial Board nor anyone else involved in creating, producing or delivering the journal or the materials contained therein, assumes any liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information provided in the journal, nor shall they be liable for any direct, indirect, incidental, special, consequential or punitive damages arising out of the use of information/material contained in the journal. The journal, nor its publishers/Editors/Editorial Board, nor any other party involved in the preparation of material contained in the journal represents or warrants that the information contained herein is in every respect accurate or complete, and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such material. Readers are encouraged to confirm the information contained herein with other sources. The responsibility of the contents and the opinions expressed in this journal is exclusively of the author (s) concerned.

ABOUT THE JOURNAL

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active co-operation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.

Our Other Journals

