## INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE, IT \& MANAGEMENT



A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

## CONTENTS

| Sr. <br> No. | TITLE \& NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S) | Page <br> No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | THE ROLE OF WOMEN ENTREPRENEURS IN SHAPING THE BUSINESS AND SOCIETY DR. C. S. SHARMA \& ANJU BHARTI | 1 |
| 2. | IMPACT OF FII ON S \& P NIFTY INDEX ABDUL HALEEM QURAISHI \& H NANJEGOWDA | 5 |
| 3. | TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM AND ITS BENEFITS TO EMPLOYEES AND ORGANIZATIONS: A CONCEPTUAL STUDY <br> DR. RAM KUMAR P.B. | 10 |
| 4. | DETERMINANTS OF THE PERFORMANCE OF NON-FINANCIAL FIRMS IN INDIA DURING THE PERIOD OF PRE AND POST GLOBAL FINANCIAL CRISIS <br> KANAIYALAL S. PARMAR \& V. NAGI REDDY | 14 |
| 5. | IMPACT OF E-CRM ON LIFE INSURANCE COMPANIES OF INDORE REGION: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY DR. ASHOK JHAWAR \& VIRSHREE TUNGARE | 20 |
| 6. | COMBATING UNEMPLOYMENT: AN INDIAN PERSPECTIVE PALAASH KUMAR \& DR. ASHOK KUMAR PANIGRAHI | 24 |
| 7. | A RESEARCH PAPER ON MEASURING PERCEPTIONS AND IDENTIFYING PREFERENCES TOWARDS MOBILE ADVERTISING AMONG ADVANCED MOBILE USERS <br> KAUSHIKKUMAR A. PATEL | 30 |
| 8. | FOREIGN DIRECT INVESTMENT IN INDIA'S RETAIL SECTOR: AN OVERVIEW LAVANYA KUMAR | 42 |
| 9. | MERGERS \& ACQUISITIONS: A HUMANITARIAN PERSPECTIVE DR. SMITA MEENA | 49 |
| 10. | A STUDY ON CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY AND ITS APPLICATION TO HIGHER EDUCATION IN INDIA <br> ANJULA CS | 52 |
| 11. | SOCIAL SECURITY IN THE U.S.A AND INDIA: A COMPARISON JOYJIT SANYAL | 55 |
| 12. | ANALYSIS OF INNOVATIVE TRADING TECHNIQUES IN FOREIGN EXCHANGE TRADING VIRUPAKSHA GOUD G \& ASHWINI S N | 59 |
| 13. | LEGAL OBLIGATIONS OF OFFICIAL DEEDS' ELECTRONIC REGISTRATION UNDER IRAN \& FRENCH LAW <br> DR. MOHAMMAD REZA FALLAH, DR. GHASSEM KHADEM RAZAVI \& FATEMEH SHAFIEI | 67 |
| 14. | A STUDY ON CAPITAL MARKET AND ITS RECENT TRENDS IN INDIA K. RAJENDRA PRASAD, B. ANSAR BASHA, A. SURENDRA BABU \& PURUSHOTHAM REDDY | 72 |
| 15. | A STUDY ON JOB SATISFACTION AND MOTIVATION OF FACULTY OF SELECTED COLLEGES IN HYDERABAD <br> RAKHEE MAIRAL RENAPURKAR, HRUSHIKESH KULKARNI \& G. TEJASVI | 74 |
| 16. | CHANGING LANDSCAPE OF TEXTILES IN INDIA: A TECHNICAL TEXTILES DR. ASIYA CHAUDHARY \& PERVEJ | 83 |
| 17. | EFFECTS OF FINANCIAL PLANNING ON BUSINESS PERFORMANCE: A CASE STUDY OF SMALL BUSINESSES IN MALINDI, KENYA <br> OMAR, NAGIB ALI | 88 |
| 18. | XBRL AROUND THE WORLD: A NEW GLOBAL FINANCIAL REPORTING LANGUAGE ABHILASHA.N | 98 |
| 19. | DYNAMICS OF COTTON CULTIVATION IN PUNJAB AGRICULTURE DR. JASPAL SINGH \& AMRITPAL KAUR | 103 |
| 20. | STANDING AND NOTWITHSTANDING: INDIA'S POSTURES AT GATT/WTO JAYANT | 107 |
|  | REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK \& DISCLAIMER | 110 |

# CHIEF PATRON 

PROF. K. K. AGGARWAL

Chairman, Malaviya National Institute of Technology, Jaipur (An institute of National Importance \& fully funded by Ministry of Human Resource Development, Government of India) Chancellor, K. R. Mangalam University, Gurgaon

Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Faridabad
Founder Vice-Chancellor (1998-2008), Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

## FOUSDER.PATRON

LATE SH. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL
Former State Minister for Home \& Tourism, Government of Haryana Former Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri Former President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

## CO-ORDINATOR

AMITA
Faculty, Government M. S., Mohali

## ADVISORS <br> DR. PRIYA RANJAN TRIVEDI

Chancellor, The Global Open University, Nagaland
PROF. M. S. SENAM RAJU
Director A. C. D., School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi PROF. M. N. SHARMA
Chairman, M.B.A., Haryana College of Technology \& Management, Kaithal PROF. S. L. MAHANDRU
Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

EDITOR PROF. R. K. SHARMA<br>Professor, Bharti Vidyapeeth University Institute of Management \& Research, New Delhi<br>CO-EDITOR DR. BHAVET<br>Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Business \& Management, Urjani<br>\section*{EDITORIAL ADVISOR, 1 BOARD} DR. RAJESH MODI<br>Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia PROF. SANJIV MITTAL<br>University School of Management Studies, Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi PROF. ANIL K. SAINI<br>Chairperson (CRC), Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

DR. SAMBHAVNA<br>Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi<br>DR. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA<br>Associate Professor, P. J. L. N. Government College, Faridabad DR. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE

Asst. Professor, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

PROF. NAWAB ALI KHAN
Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P. PROF. ABHAY BANSAL
Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engineering \& Technology, Amity University, Noida
PROF. A. SURYANARAYANA
Department of Business Management, Osmania University, Hyderabad DR. SAMBHAV GARG
Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Business \& Management, Urjani

> PROF. V. SELVAM
> SSL, VIT University, Vellore
> DR. PARDEEP AHLAWAT

Associate Professor, Institute of Management Studies \& Research, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak DR. S. TABASSUM SULTANA
Associate Professor, Department of Business Management, Matrusri Institute of P.G. Studies, Hyderabad SURJEET SINGH
Asst. Professor, Department of Computer Science, G. M. N. (P.G.) College, Ambala Cantt.

TECHNICAL ADVISOR<br>AMITA<br>Faculty, Government M. S., Mohali

## FINANCIAL ADVISORS

DICKIN GOYAL
Advocate \& Tax Adviser, Panchkula
NEENA
Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

## LEGAL ADVISORS

JITENDER S. CHAHAL
Advocate, Punjab \& Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.
CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA
Advocate \& Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

$$
\underset{\text { SURENDER KUMAR POONIA }}{\text { SUPERISTESDENT }}
$$

## CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to recent developments \& practices in the areas of Computer Science \& Applications; Commerce; Business; Finance; Marketing; Human Resource Management; General Management; Banking; Economics; Tourism Administration \& Management; Education; Law; Library \& Information Science; Defence \& Strategic Studies; Electronic Science; Corporate Governance; Industrial Relations; and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory \& Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions \& Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government \& Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics \& Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Rural Economics; Co-operation; Demography: Development Planning; Development Studies; Applied Economics; Development Economics; Business Economics; Monetary Policy; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Political Science; Continuing Education; Labour Welfare; Philosophy; Psychology; Sociology; Tax Accounting; Advertising \& Promotion Management; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law; Public Responsibility \& Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labour Relations \& Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory \& Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior \& Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; International Relations; Human Rights \& Duties; Public Administration; Population Studies; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism \& Hospitality; Transportation Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers \& Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization \& Architecture; Database Structures \& Systems; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling \& Simulation; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic \& Formal Logic; Web Design and emerging paradigms in allied subjects.

Anybody can submit the soft copy of unpublished novel; original; empirical and high quality research work/manuscript anytime in M.S. Word format after preparing the same as per our GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION; at our email address i.e. infoijrcm@gmail.com or online by clicking the link online submission as given on our website (FOR ONLINE SUBMISSION, CLICK HERE).

# GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT 

## 1. COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:

## THE EDITOR

IJRCM

Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF.
(e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)

DEAR SIR/MADAM

Please find my submission of manuscript entitled ' $\qquad$ for possible publication in your journals.

I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore, it has neither been published elsewhere in any language fully or partly, nor is it under review for publication elsewhere.

I affirm that all the author (s) have seen and agreed to the submitted version of the manuscript and their inclusion of name (s) as co-author (s).
Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, $\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{We}$ agree to comply with the formalities as given on the website of the journal \& you are free to publish our contribution in any of your journals.

## NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Designation:
Affiliation with full address, contact numbers \& Pin Code:
Residential address with Pin Code:
Mobile Number (s):
Landline Number (s):
E-mail Address:
Alternate E-mail Address:

## NOTES:

a) The whole manuscript is required to be in ONE MS WORD FILE only (pdf. version is liable to be rejected without any consideration), which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript.
b) The sender is required to mentionthe following in the SUBJECT COLUMN of the mail:

New Manuscript for Review in the area of (Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/ Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)
c) There is no need to give any text in the body of mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any specific message w.r.t. to the manuscript.
d) The total size of the file containing the manuscript is required to be below $\mathbf{5 0 0} \mathrm{KB}$.
e) Abstract alone will not be considered for review, and the author is required to submit the complete manuscript in the first instance.
f) The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of manuscript, within two days of submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending separate mail to the journal.
2. MANUSCRIPT TITLE: The title of the paper should be in a 12 point Calibri Font. It should be bold typed, centered and fully capitalised.
3. AUTHOR NAME (S) \& AFFILIATIONS: The author ( $s$ ) full name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline numbers, and email/alternate email address should be in italic \& 11-point Calibri Font. It must be centered underneath the title.
4. ABSTRACT: Abstract should be in fully italicized text, not exceeding 250 words. The abstract must be informative and explain the background, aims, methods, results \& conclusion in a single para. Abbreviations must be mentioned in full.
5. KEYWORDS: Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of five. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stops at the end.
6. MANUSCRIPT: Manuscript must be in BRITISH ENGLISH prepared on a standard A4 size PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER. It must be prepared on a single space and single column with 1 " margin set for top, bottom, left and right. It should be typed in 8 point Calibri Font with page numbers at the bottom and centre of every page. It should be free from grammatical, spelling and punctuation errors and must be thoroughly edited.
7. HEADINGS: All the headings should be in a 10 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
8. SUB-HEADINGS: All the sub-headings should be in a 8 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
9. MAIN TEXT: The main text should follow the following sequence:

INTRODUCTION
REVIEW OF LITERATURE
NEED/IIVIPORTANCE OF THE STUDY
STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM
OBJECTIVES
HYPOTHESES
RESEARCH IVIETHODOLOGY
RESULTS \& DISCUSSION
-INDINGS
RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTION
CONCLUSIONS
SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS
REFERENCES
APPENDIX/ANTNEXURE

It should be in a 8 point Calibri Font, single spaced and justified. The manuscript should preferably not exceed 5000 WORDS.
10. FIGURES \&TABLES: These should be simple, crystal clear, centered, separately numbered \& self explained, and titles must be above the table/figure. Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure. It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text.
11. EQUATIONS:These should be consecutively numbered in parentheses, horizontally centered with equation number placed at the right.
12. REFERENCES: The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript and they are supposed to follow Harvard Style of Referencing. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:

- All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
- Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
- When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc, in chronologically ascending order.
- Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
- The title of books and journals should be in italics. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
- For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parentheses.
- The location of endnotes within the text should be indicated by superscript numbers.
- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.


## CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

- Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther \& Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.


## JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

- Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.
CONFERENCE PAPERS
- Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19-22 June.
UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS AND THESES
- Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.


## ONLINE RESOURCES

- Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

WEBSITES

- Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Natural Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp


# RAKHEE MAIRAL RENAPURKAR <br> SR. ASST. PROFESSOR <br> DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS MANAGEMENT <br> BADRUKA COLLEGE PG CENTRE KACHIGUDA 

HRUSHIKESH KULKARNI STUDENT<br>DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS MANAGEMENT<br>BADRUKA COLLEGE PG CENTRE KACHIGUDA<br>G. TEJASVI<br>STUDENT DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS MANAGEMENT BADRUKA COLLEGE PG CENTRE KACHIGUDA


#### Abstract

As we know that every individual in this world wants to satisfy themselves. This satisfaction differs from person to person based on their need, desire and abilities which they hold. A person can satisfy his own self, when he has all the basic resources to achieve or fulfill their need. This satisfaction also plays a major important role among teaching fraternity. The satisfaction among faculty is related to the motivation practices practiced by their organization / Institution. These practices motivate employees to learn and exhibit the talent which they hold. It gives them autonomy or authority to innovate, experiment with new ideas which can benefit both the employee and employer. The major satisfaction is experienced when organization motivate them to take up Research work, enroll in Ph.D or give them time off to attend programs through which they can learn as well enhance their teaching methodology. The other sources of satisfaction come, if they handle subject of their own interest, if timings are convenient and if they handle activities which are of their interest. Faculties become more confident when they are involved in different activities. These sources of motivation mould employees positively and give them job satisfaction. The study is been taken to understand and analyze levels of satisfaction of faculty working in different colleges. A sample of 80 respondents from different colleges was taken. Statistical package for Social Sciences (SPSS) is used to analyze if Gender wise satisfaction differs among faculty.


## KEYWORDS

Motivation, Job Satisfaction, Facility, Responsibility.

## INTRODUCTION

A
piece of work, especially a specific task done as part of one's occupation or for an agreed price is called a job. Job satisfaction is how content an individual is with his or her job. Scholars and human resource professionals generally make a distinction between affective job satisfaction and cognitive job satisfaction. Affective job satisfaction is the extent of pleasurable emotional feelings individuals have about their jobs overall, and is different to cognitive job satisfaction which is the extent of individuals' satisfaction with particular facets of their jobs, such as pay, pension arrangements, working hours, and numerous other aspects of their jobs.
Job Satisfaction is the favorableness or un-favorableness with which the employee views his work. It expresses the amount of agreement between one's expectation of the job and the rewards that the job provides. Job Satisfaction is a part of life satisfaction. The nature of one's environment of job is an important part of life as Job Satisfaction influences one's general life satisfaction. Job Satisfaction, thus, is the result of various attitudes possessed by an employee. In a narrow sense, these attitudes are related to the job under condition with such specific factors such as wages. Supervisors of employment, conditions of work, social relation on the job, prompt settlement of grievances and fair treatment by employer.

FIG. 1
How happy are you with your job?
Complete the Job Satisfaction Wheel


## JOB SATISFACTION WHEEL

Motivation is the answer to the question "Why we do what we do?" The motivation theories try to figure out what the " $\mathbf{M}$ " is in the equation: " $\mathbf{M}$ motivates $\mathbf{P}$ " (Motivator motivates the Person). It is one of most important duty of an entrepreneur to motivate people. (I strongly believe that motivating people with visionary and shared goals are more favorable than motivating through tactics, incentives or manipulation through simple carrot and stick approaches because motivating with vision is natural whereas the former is artificial and ephemeral).

## REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Mr. S Antony and P Elangkumaran in their paper "An analysis of intrinsic factors and its impact on job satisfaction special reference to Academic Staff College at Sri Lanka" inferred that recognition, work itself, achievement and responsibility are highly correlated significantly with job satisfaction of academic staff. a significant strong positive relationship was found between intrinsic factors and job satisfaction. Further analyses indicated that there was significant relationship between achievements, responsibility, advancement, recognition and work itself with job satisfaction of academics. They concluded that recognition and work itself are strong significant positive predictors of job satisfaction of academic staff. The regression results indicated that the intrinsic factors are significantly impact on job satisfaction of academic. Further variable analysis confirmed that recognition and work itself have significant impact on job satisfaction. Future study may be carried with widened scope such as the demographic considerations may be taken into account in analysis. Study may be carried out for schools and colleges or universities separately. Findings on this study could enable the organizations to make suitable motivation policy to reach the organizational objectives.

## NEED FOR STUDY

The study is been taken up to know the job satisfaction levels of faculty of selected colleges and to study the motivational strategies practiced by the organization. The study is also focused to understand the quality of work life prevailed in the educational institution.

## SCOPE FOR STUDY

The scope of this project is that the questionnaire is being collected from faculty who are working in different colleges that are situated in Hyderabad. The study is been confined to analyze the job satisfaction levels of faculty, their motivational factors and work life balance in educational institutions only.

## OBJECTIVES

- To understand/know the pattern of functioning of selected colleges.
- To know the rate of job satisfaction of faculty members on the selected/identified parameters.
- To study job satisfaction and related aspects among the faculty.
- To study financial motivation provided by organization for participating / organizing/ seminars / conferences / workshops etc.


## HYPOTHESIS TESTING

For the hypothesis testing chi-square tool used to analyze the satisfaction level related to job with respective to the gender $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ : There is no difference in satisfaction level related to job with respect to the gender.
$H_{1}$ : There is difference in satisfaction level related to job with respect to the gender.

## RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The process used to collect information and data for the purpose of analyzing job satisfaction levels and motivation factors. The methodology may include the data which is collected through in the form of questionnaires in order to gather the information and views of employees working in different organizations. Secondary data is collected through internet by browsing through websites and with the reference of few earlier records. Descriptive research design is used which provides an accurate and valid representation of the factors or variables that pertain / are relevant to the research question. Simple random sampling technique is used. A sample of 80 respondents were administered for the analysis and survey of data

## DATA ANALYSIS

TABLE 1: TABLE SHOWING RESPONDENTS BY AGE

| Age | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $25-30$ | 31 | 38.75 |
| $31-35$ | 21 | 26.25 |
| $36-40$ | 19 | 23.75 |
| $41-45$ | 7 | 8.75 |
| $46-50$ | 1 | 1.25 |
| $51-55$ | 1 | 1.25 |
| $56-60$ | 0 | 0 |
| above 60 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that 89 percent of chosen sample respondents are in the age group between 25 to 40 years.

TABLE 2: TABLE SHOWING GENDER WISE RESPONDENTS

| Gender | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Male | 39 | 48.75 |
| female | 41 | 51.25 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The Overall statistics shows that $51 \%$ of the faculty are Females.
TABLE 3: TABLE SHOWING QUALIFICATION OF RESPONDENTS

| Qualifications | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MBA | 19 | 23.75 |
| M.TECH | 37 | 46.25 |
| M.PHILL | 4 | 5 |
| P.HD | 17 | 21.25 |
| D.LIT | 0 | 0 |
| Others | 3 | 3.75 |
|  | 80 | 100 |


| Salary | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| <10k | 3 | 3.75 |
| 10k-20k | 17 | 21.25 |
| 20k-30k | 32 | 40 |
| $30 \mathrm{k}-40 \mathrm{k}$ | 17 | 21.25 |
| $40 \mathrm{k}-50 \mathrm{k}$ | 6 | 7.5 |
| $>50 \mathrm{k}$ | 5 | 6.25 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that 21 percent each has salary from $10 \mathrm{k}-20 \mathrm{k}$ and from $30 \mathrm{k}-40 \mathrm{k}$

TABLE 5: TABLE SHOWING COLLEGE PROVIDING LCD AT CLASSROOM

| College provides LCD at classroom | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly disagree | 7 | 8.75 |
| Disagree | 2 | 2.5 |
| Neutral | 8 | 10 |
| Agree | 44 | 55 |
| Strongly agree | 19 | 23.75 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that 55 percent of faculty agrees with provision of LCD in classrooms.
TABLE 6: TABLE SHOWING COLLEGE PROVIDING COMPUTERS TO INDIVIDUAL FACULTY

| College provides computers to individual faculty | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly disagree | 12 | 15 |
| Disagree | 15 | 18.75 |
| Neutral | 13 | 16.25 |
| Agree | 23 | 28.75 |
| Strongly agree | 17 | 21.25 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that 28.75 percent of faculty percent agree with provision of computers to individual faculty members.
TABLE 7: TABLE SHOWING COLLEGE PROVIDING INDIVIDUAL CHAIR AND TABLE TO EVERY FACULTY

| College provides individual chair and table to every faculty | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly disagree | 1 | 1.25 |
| Disagree | 2 | 2.5 |
| Neutral | 2 | 2.5 |
| Agree | 30 | 37.5 |
| Strongly agree | 45 | 56.25 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that 56.25 percent of faculty strongly agrees with provision of individual chair and table to every faculty.

TABLE 8: TABLE SHOWING USE OF DIGITAL BOARD FOR TEACHING

| You use digital board for teaching | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly disagree | 22 | 27.5 |
| Disagree | 21 | 26.25 |
| Neutral | 16 | 20 |
| Agree | 17 | 21.25 |
| Strongly agree | 4 | 5 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that only 21.25 percent of faculty agrees using digital boards in their college.
TABLE 9: TABLE SHOWING COLLEGE PROVIDING WHITE BOARD AND MARKER PENS

| College provides white board and marker pens | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly disagree | 10 | 12.5 |
| Disagree | 6 | 7.5 |
| Neutral | 17 | 21.25 |
| Agree | 28 | 35 |
| Strongly agree | 19 | 23.75 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that 23.75 percent of faculty strongly agrees provision of white board and marker pens by their college.

TABLE 10: TABLE SHOWING COLLEGE IS WI-FI ENABLED AND FACILITY IS EXTENDED TO ALL

| Your college Wi-Fi enabled and facility extended to all | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly disagree | 19 | 23.75 |
| Disagree | 21 | 26.25 |
| Neutral | 4 | 5 |
| Agree | 25 | 31.25 |
| Strongly agree | 11 | 13.75 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that 31.25 percent of faculty strongly agrees with providing WI-FI facility by the college.

TABLE 11: TABLE SHOWING COLLEGE PROVIDING FREE TRANSPORTATION

| College provides free transportation | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly disagree | 23 | 28.75 |
| Disagree | 12 | 15 |
| Neutral | 15 | 18.75 |
| Agree | 19 | 23.75 |
| Strongly agree | 11 | 13.75 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that 28.75 percent strongly disagree with giving free transportation to their faculty.
TABLE 12: TABLE SHOWING COLLEGE PROVIDING WELL STOCKED LIBRARY

| College provides well stocked library | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly disagree | 3 | 3.75 |
| Disagree | 2 | 2.5 |
| Neutral | 17 | 21.25 |
| Agree | 33 | 41.25 |
| Strongly agree | 25 | 31.25 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that 41.25 percent of faculty agrees with stock of books available in their library.
TABLE 13: TABLE SHOWING COLLEGE PROVIDING PHOTO COPIES OF TEACHING NOTES AND OTHER MATERIAL FREE OF COST

| College provides photo copies of teaching notes and other material free of cost | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly disagree | 20 | 25 |
| Disagree | 21 | 26.25 |
| Neutral | 16 | 20 |
| Agree | 15 | 18.75 |
| Strongly agree | 8 | 10 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that 26.25 percent of faculty disagrees with college providing photo copies of notes and other materials free of cost.

TABLE 14: TABLE SHOWING NATURE OF JOB BEING VERY STRESSFUL

| Nature of job is very stressful | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly disagree | 9 | 11.25 |
| Disagree | 20 | 25 |
| Neutral | 33 | 41.25 |
| Agree | 13 | 16.25 |
| Strongly agree | 5 | 6.25 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that 41.25 percent of faculties are neutral about their nature of the job.

TABLE 15: TABLE SHOWING AUTONOMY REGARDING SUBJECT PLANNING, EXECUTING AND EVALUATION

| There is no autonomy regarding subject planning, executing and evaluation | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly disagree | 6 | 7.5 |
| Disagree | 27 | 33.75 |
| Neutral | 29 | 36.25 |
| Agree | 15 | 18.75 |
| Strongly agree | 3 | 3.75 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that hat 36.25 percent of the faculty have neutral autonomy regarding subject planning, executing and evaluation.
TABLE 16: TABLE SHOWING SCOPE FOR EXPERIMENTATION OF NEW TEACHING METHOD IS LESS

| The scope for experimentation of new teaching method is less | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly disagree | 6 | 7.5 |
| Disagree | 23 | 28.75 |
| Neutral | 33 | 41.25 |
| Agree | 17 | 21.25 |
| Strongly agree | 1 | 1.25 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that 41.25 percent of faculties are neutral regarding scope for experimentation of new teaching method.

TABLE 17: TABLE SHOWING AMOUNT OF RESPONSIBILITY YOU CARRY IS VERY HIGH

| The amount of responsibility you carry is very high | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly disagree | 1 | 1.25 |
| Disagree | 13 | 16.25 |
| Neutral | 35 | 43.75 |
| Agree | 28 | 35 |
| Strongly agree | 3 | 3.75 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that 43.75 percent of the faculties are neutral about the amount of responsibility they carry.
TABLE 18: TABLE SHOWING JOB PROVIDES YOU AN OPPORTUNITY TO ADVANCE PROFESSIONALLY

| the job provides you an opportunity to advance professionally | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly disagree | 1 | 1.25 |
| Disagree | 4 | 5 |
| Neutral | 18 | 22.5 |
| Agree | 47 | 58.75 |
| Strongly agree | 10 | 12.5 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that 58.75 percent of faculty agrees with the job which provides them an opportunity to advance professionally.

TABLE 19: TABLE SHOWING TEACHING PROVIDES OPPORTUNITY TO USE VARIETY OF SKILLS

| Teaching provides me an opportunity to use a variety of skills | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly disagree | 0 | 0 |
| Disagree | 3 | 3.75 |
| Neutral | 11 | 13.75 |
| Agree | 46 | 57.5 |
| Strongly agree | 20 | 25 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that 57.5 percent of faculty feels that teaching provides them an opportunity to use variety of skills.
TABLE 20: COLLEGE PROVIDING AMPLE OPPORTUNITY FOR RESEARCH

| College provides ample opportunity for research | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly disagree | 3 | 3.75 |
| Disagree | 15 | 18.75 |
| Neutral | 31 | 38.75 |
| Agree | 21 | 26.25 |
| Strongly agree | 10 | 12.5 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that 38.75 percent of faculties are neutral about college providing ample opportunity for research.
TABLE 21: TABLE SHOWING OPPORTUNITIES FOR PROMOTION ARE VERY BLEAK

| opportunities for promotion are very bleak | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly disagree | 6 | 7.5 |
| Disagree | 29 | 36.25 |
| Neutral | 18 | 22.5 |
| Agree | 22 | 27.5 |
| Strongly agree | 5 | 6.25 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that 36.25 percent of faculty disagrees with the promotion given to them by their college.
TABLE 22: TABLE SHOWING SCOPE FOR INNOVATION IN TEACHING

| There is scope for innovation of teaching | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly disagree | 1 | 1.25 |
| Disagree | 10 | 12.5 |
| Neutral | 17 | 21.25 |
| Agree | 42 | 52.5 |
| Strongly agree | 10 | 12.5 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that 52.5 percent of faculty agrees that there is a scope for innovation of teaching.
TABLE 23: TABLE SHOWING COLLEGE PROVIDES SEVERAL FINANCIAL FRINGE BENEFITS TO THE FACULTY AND STAFF

| college provides several financial fringe benefits to the faculty and staff | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly disagree | 15 | 18.75 |
| Disagree | 15 | 18.75 |
| Neutral | 25 | 31.25 |
| Agree | 14 | 17.5 |
| Strongly agree | 11 | 13.75 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that 31.25 percent of faculties are neutral about college providing financial benefits.

TABLE 24: TABLE SHOWING YEARLY INCREMENT OFFERED BY MANAGEMENT

| Every year college management offers basic increment | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly disagree | 2 | 2.5 |
| Disagree | 10 | 12.5 |
| Neutral | 15 | 18.75 |
| Agree | 31 | 38.75 |
| Strongly agree | 22 | 27.5 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that 38.75 percent of faculty agrees with basic increment provided by their college management every year.

TABLE 25: TABLE SHOWING COLLEGE ENCOURAGEMENT IN APPROACHING DST/UGC/ACITE/ICSSR FOR PROJECTS

| College encourages you to approach DST/UGC/ACITE/ICSSR for projects | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly disagree | 6 | 7.5 |
| Disagree | 12 | 15 |
| Neutral | 20 | 25 |
| Agree | 27 | 33.75 |
| Strongly agree | 15 | 18.75 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that 33.75 percent of faculties are encouraged to approach DST/UGC/ACITE/ICSSR projects by their college
TABLE 26: TABLE SHOWING WORK LOAD IS AS PER UNIVERSITY NORMS

| Your work load is as per university norms | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly disagree | 3 | 3.75 |
| Disagree | 9 | 11.25 |
| Neutral | 25 | 31.25 |
| Agree | 26 | 32.5 |
| Strongly agree | 17 | 21.25 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that 32.5 percent of faculty agrees that work load is as per university norms.
TABLE 27: TABLE SHOWING COLLEGE PROVIDES REPUTED RESEARCH JOURNALS

| College provides reputed research journals | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly disagree | 3 | 3.75 |
| Disagree | 11 | 13.75 |
| Neutral | 16 | 20 |
| Agree | 37 | 46.25 |
| Strongly agree | 13 | 16.25 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that 46.25 percent of faculty agrees that their college provides reputed research journals.

TABLE 28: TABLE SHOWING COLLEGE SPONSORING VARIOUS EVENTS / PROGRAMS FOR FACULTY MEMBERS

| College sponsor faculty members to various national seminar conferences workshops | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly disagree | 4 | 5 |
| Disagree | 10 | 12.5 |
| Neutral | 22 | 27.5 |
| Agree | 31 | 38.75 |
| Strongly agree | 13 | 16.25 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that 38.75 percent of the faculty members are being sponsored by the college to various national seminar conferences workshops
TABLE 29: TABLE SHOWING GOVT FUNDS FOR THE PROJECT WHICH YOU UNDERTAKE

| Govt funds for the project which you undertaken | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly disagree | 15 | 18.75 |
| Disagree | 9 | 11.25 |
| Neutral | 37 | 46.25 |
| Agree | 16 | 20 |
| Strongly agree | 3 | 3.75 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that 46.25 percent of faculties are neutral about government funds for the project which they undertake.

TABLE 30: TABLE SHOWING COLLEGE SPONSORING AND ENCOURAGING THE FACULTY TO ORGANIZE SEMINARS AND WORKSHOPS

| College sponsor and encourages the faculty to organize seminars and workshops | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly disagree | 4 | 5 |
| Disagree | 15 | 18.75 |
| Neutral | 22 | 27.5 |
| Agree | 30 | 37.5 |
| Strongly agree | 9 | 11.25 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that 37.5 percent of faculty agree that college sponsor and encourages for seminars and workshops.

TABLE 31: TABLE SHOWING COLLEGE PROVIDES FINANCIAL MOTIVATION IF A FACULTY PUBLISH RESEARCH ARTICLE IN INTERNATIONAL OR NATIONAL JOURNALS WITH ISSN AND ISBN NUMBERS

| College provide financial motivation if a faculty publish research article in international or national journals with ISSN and ISBN <br> numbers | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly disagree | 3 |  |
| Disagree | 3.75 |  |
| Neutral | 12 |  |
| Agree | 28 |  |
| Strongly agree | 27 |  |
|  | 15 | 35 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that 33.75 percent of faculty agrees that the college motivates them to publish research article in national and international journals.
TABLE 32: TABLE SHOWING TEACHER'S INCOME IS ADEQUATE FOR NORMAL EXPENSES

| Teachers income is adequate for normal expenses | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly disagree | 15 | 18.75 |
| Disagree | 9 | 11.25 |
| Neutral | 35 | 43.75 |
| Agree | 19 | 23.75 |
| Strongly agree | 2 | 2.5 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that 43.75 percent of faculties are neutral about their income for normal expenses.
TABLE 33: TABLE SHOWING TEACHING DISCOURAGES ORIGINALITY

| Teaching discourages originality | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly disagree | 21 | 26.25 |
| Disagree | 28 | 35 |
| Neutral | 22 | 27.5 |
| Agree | 9 | 11.25 |
| Strongly agree | 0 | 0 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that 35 percent of faculty disagrees that teaching discourages originality.
TABLE 34: TABLE SHOWING RECEIVING RECOGNITION FROM MANAGEMENT FOR SUCCESSFUL WORK COMPLETIONS

| I receive full recognition from mgt for my successful work completions | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly disagree | 8 | 10 |
| Disagree | 14 | 17.5 |
| Neutral | 21 | 26.25 |
| Agree | 28 | 35 |
| Strongly agree | 9 | 11.25 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that 35 percent of faculty agrees with receiving full recognition from mgt for successful work completions.

TABLE 35: TABLE SHOWING COLLEGE MGT GIVES MATERNITY LEAVE, SICK LEAVES ETC., AS PER GOVERNMENT NORMS

| your mgt gives maternity leave, sick leaves etc., as per govt norms | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly disagree | 13 | 16.25 |
| Disagree | 14 | 17.5 |
| Neutral | 18 | 22.5 |
| Agree | 25 | 31.25 |
| Strongly agree | 10 | 12.5 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that 31.25 percent of faculty agrees that their college mgt gives maternity leave, sick leaves etc., as per govt norms.

TABLE 36: TABLE SHOWING TOO MANY INSTRUCTIONS FROM THEIR IMMEDIATE SUPERVISOR

| I receive too many recognitions from my immediate supervisor | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly disagree | 11 | 13.75 |
| Disagree | 22 | 27.5 |
| Neutral | 25 | 31.25 |
| Agree | 20 | 25 |
| Strongly agree | 2 | 2.5 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that 31.25 percent of faculties are neutral about receiving too many recognition from their immediate supervisor.
TABLE 37: RECEIVING TOO LITTLE RECOGNITION FOR CONTRIBUTION

| I receive too little recognitions | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly disagree | 7 | 8.75 |
| Disagree | 24 | 30 |
| Neutral | 20 | 25 |
| Agree | 20 | 25 |
| Strongly agree | 9 | 11.25 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that 30 percent of faculty disagrees with receiving too little recognitions.
TABLE 38: TABLE SHOWING LEVELS OF SATISFACTION RELATED TO JOB RESPONSIBILITIES

| your rate of satisfaction related to job responsibilities | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly disagree | 4 | 5 |
| Disagree | 9 | 11.25 |
| Neutral | 19 | 23.75 |
| Agree | 36 | 45 |
| Strongly agree | 12 | 15 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that 45 percent of faculties agree with rate of satisfaction related to their job responsibilities.
TABLE 39: TABLE SHOWING LEVELS OF SATISFACTION RELATED TO WORK LOAD

| your rate of satisfaction related to work load | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly disagree | 5 | 6.25 |
| Disagree | 5 | 6.25 |
| Neutral | 22 | 27.5 |
| Agree | 44 | 55 |
| Strongly agree | 4 | 5 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that 55 percent of faculties are satisfied with their related work load.

TABLE 40: TABLE SHOWING LEVELS OF SATISFACTION RELATED TO RESEARCH ENCOURAGEMENT BY COLLEGE

| your rate of satisfaction related to research encouragement by college | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly disagree | 4 | 5 |
| Disagree | 11 | 13.75 |
| Neutral | 25 | 31.25 |
| Agree | 35 | 43.75 |
| Strongly agree | 5 | 6.25 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that 43.75 percent of faculty are satisfied with their research encouragement by college.
TABLE 41: TABLE SHOWING OVERALL LEVELS OF SATISFACTION ABOUT THE JOB

| your overall rate of satisfaction about the job | Frequency | Percentage |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strongly disagree | 7 | 8.75 |
| Disagree | 7 | 8.75 |
| Neutral | 14 | 17.5 |
| Agree | 45 | 56.25 |
| Strongly agree | 7 | 8.75 |
|  | 80 | 100 |

Findings: The overall statistics shows that 56.25 percent of faculty is satisfied with their overall rate of satisfaction

TABLE 42 TABLE SHOWING THE SATISFACTION LEVEL RELATED TO JOB WITH RESPECTIVE TO THE GENDER
$H_{0}$ : There is no difference in satisfaction level related to job with respect to the gender.
$\mathrm{H}_{1}$ : There is difference in satisfaction level related to job with respect to the gender.

|  |  | RATE OF SATISFACTION ABOUT JOB |  |  |  |  | Total | $\chi 2$ value | P Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |  |  |  |
| Male | Count | 6 | 6 | 7 | 19 | 1 | 39 | 11.761 | 0.019 |
|  | \% within gender | 15.4 | 15.4 | 17.9 | 48.7 | 2.6 | 100 |  |  |
|  | \% of total | 7.5 | 7.5 | 8.8 | 23.8 | 1.3 | 48.9 |  |  |
| Female | Count | 1 | 1 | 7 | 26 | 6 | 41 |  |  |
|  | \% within gender | 2.4 | 2.4 | 17.1 | 63.4 | 15 | 100 |  |  |
|  | \% of total | 1.3 | 1.3 | 8.8 | 32.5 | 51 | 95.1 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | Count | 7 | 7 | 14 | 45 | 7 | 80 |  |  |
|  | \% within gender | 8.8 | 8.8 | 17.5 | 56.3 | 8.8 | 100 |  |  |
|  | \% of total | 8.8 | 8.8 | 17.5 | 56.3 | 8.8 | 100 |  |  |

Inference: The Chi-Square $\left(\chi^{2}\right)$ value is 11.761 and $P$ value . 019 . At $5 \%$ level of significance with 4 degree of freedom table value of Chi-square is 9.86 . Since the calculated value 11.761 is greater than the table value 9.86 of Chi-square, We reject $H_{0}$ and accept $H_{1}$. Hence there is a difference in satisfaction level related to job with respect to gender.

## CONCLUSION

Analysis of the results shows that all colleges are aware about the ideal norms laid by the government however, could not establish the ideal environment prescribed by the government. The reasons in general observed are sufficient number of qualified faculty are not available because the fee structure set by the state government is not sufficient to establish ideal environment. To certain extent government schemes have paved way for those students who do not want to study but still opted the course which pulled the morality of the faculty etc. when there is a gap between the ideal pay structure and fee structure, competent and qualified faculty are not opting teaching as a profession. This reflected on working environment of the colleges, whereby it is observed to be one of the factor for either dissatisfaction or moderate satisfaction. Male faculties are not happy but whereas female faculty are either satisfied or happy with working environment or pay in proportion to the service they render for the organization. Only very few colleges are offering incentives or increments for the faculty who are doing and publishing research articles. At higher education teaching and research should go hand in hand which is conspicuously absent in AP Higher education. Only very few colleges are implementing financial motivation to the faculty members and encouraging them to participate in research and reflect them in the classroom teaching.
Hence, it may be concluded that a good pay structure and fee structure have a direct bearing in establishing an ideal working environment to attract qualified and competent faculty members, which ultimately gets reflected through good satisfaction level among the faculty members. A satisfied faculty member certainly will give best output in teaching and research and ultimately students will get benefitted in learning, practicing, applying and retaining the knowledge.

## SUGGESTIONS

1. Colleges should provide better facilities to their faculty members so that job satisfaction levels will increase.
2. College should help by funding of projects undertaken by faculty and motivate them to attend various seminars and workshops upgrade themselves.
3. College management should provide a platform to make interactions more effective and fruitful rather than strong.
4. College management should provide free transportation to faculties such that they won't have stress while coming to college and going back home.

## LIMITATIONS

1. Time is a major constraint

Faculty were hesitant to fill the questionnaire as they thought that the information disclosed by them won't be kept confidential
As the sample size is small, the study may not give appropriate results
College management was not so flexible to give permission to interact with the employees.
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