INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE, IT & MANAGEMENT



A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories
Indexed & Listed at:

Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, ProQuest, U.S.A., EBSCO Publishing, U.S.A., Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A., Open J-Gage, India [link of the same is duly available at Inflibnet of University Grants Commission (U.G.C.)],

Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland with IC Value of 5.09 & number of libraries all around the world. Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than 4064 Cities in 176 countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis. Ground Floor, Building No. 1041-C-1, Devi Bhawan Bazar, JAGADHRI – 135 003, Yamunanagar, Haryana, INDIA

http://ijrcm.org.in/

CONTENTS

Sr.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page
No.		No.
1.	CHALLENGES OF INFORMATION & COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY (ICT) AS A TEACHING AND LEARNING TOOL IN THE EDUCATION SECTOR IN ZAMBIA: A CASE STUDY OF SELECTED SECONDARY SCHOOLS OF	1
2	DR. B. NGWENYA & J. KAUNDA	4
2.	TO MEASURE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE IN FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE OF SELECTED FERTILIZER COMPANIES IN INDIA BASED ON PROFITABILITY RATIOS ANKIT D. PATEL	4
3.	A STUDY ON DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE AND PROBLEMS FACED BY THE POWERLOOM OWNERS WITH	8
э.	SPECIAL REFERENCES TO COIMBATORE CLUSTER DR. S. SARAVANAN & K. A. RAMYA	0
4.	ANALYTICAL STUDY OF DIRECT TAX CODE TO BE INTRODUCED IN INDIAN ECONOMY DR. MAHESH BHIWANDIKAR	13
5.	NEED OF ICT FOR DIRECT RELATION BETWEEN FARMER AND CONSUMER	16
9.	DR. MANOJKUMAR JYOTIRAM GAIKWAD & PRAKASHKAILASHCHANDRAVYAS	10
6.	FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF COMMERCIAL BANKS: A COMPARATIVE STUDY	19
5.	DR. ATIYA MAHBOOB	13
7.	EFFICIENCY OF COMMODITY FUTURES IN PRICE DISCOVERY: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY OF AGRICULTURAL	22
	COMMODITIES	
	SIDDULA NARSIMHULU & DR. S. V. SATYANARAYANA	
8.	NON PERFORMING ASSETS MANAGEMENT IN HDFC BANK	29
•	S. R. PRASAD	
9.	COMMERCIALISATION OF FOREST RESOURCES: AN EMERGING ISSUE IN ARUNACHAL PRADESH	33
	DR. TASI KAYE	
10 .	AN ANALYSIS OF FACTORS AFFECTING ONLINE CONSUMER BUYING BEHAVIOR IN INDIA	38
	PRACHI GOYAL & DR. BHUMIJA CHOUHAN	
11.	EVOLUTION OF INDIA'S TELECOMMUNICATIONS INDUSTRY	46
	GAUTAM KUMAR JHA	
12 .	STUDENT AWARENESS OF EDUCATION LOANS AS A SOURCE OF FINANCING – A STUDY OF BELGAUM CITY, KARNATAKA	55
	SONAL REVANKAR	
13.	EFFECT OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE ON PROFITABILITY OF LISTED MANUFACTURING COMPANIES IN SRI LANKA	57
	ANANDASAYANAN S & SUBRAMANIAM V. A.	
14.	AN EVALUATION OF THE ECONOMIC AND FINANCIAL CAPACITY OF INDIGENOUS UNDERWRITING FIRMS FOR MARINE RISKS AND INVESTMENT COVER IN NIGERIA NWOKORO, IGNATIUS A. & NWOKEDI, THEOPHILUS C.	61
15		CF
15.	HOUSEHOLD SAVING BEHAVIOR IN JIMMA ZONE OF OROMIA REGION, ETHIOPIA TADELE MENGESHA	65
16 .	AN EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS OF FACTORS AFFECTING WLB OF EMPLOYEES IN SERVICE SECTOR ANJU CHAWLA	77
17 .	PROSPECT AND POTENTIAL OF RURAL TOURISM IN BODHGAYA AJIT KUMAR SINGH	81
18.	VERTICAL PRICE TRANSMISSION BETWEEN CEREALS AND BREAD AND OTHER PREPARED FOODS: DOES PRICE STABILITY IN CEREALS MARKET STABILIZES PRICE OF BREAD AND OTHER PREPARED FOODS? YONAS ABERA MAMO, HABTAMU REGASSA LEMMA & YOHANNES MENGESHA	83
19 .	SERVICE MARKETING INNOVATION: A PARADIGM SHIFT (A CASE STUDY OF INDIAN BANKING SYSTEM) AHMAD AZHAR	91
20 .	A CASE STUDY OF SAHARA INDIA PARIWAR SCANDAL (WITH REFERENCE TO ETHICAL AND GOVERNANCE ISSUES INVOLVED) NANCY RAO	100
	REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK & DISCLAIMER	104
		•

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE, IT & MANAGEMENT

CHIEF PATRON

PROF. K. K. AGGARWAL

Chairman, Malaviya National Institute of Technology, Jaipur (An institute of National Importance & fully funded by Ministry of Human Resource Development, Government of India) Chancellor, K. R. Mangalam University, Gurgaon Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Faridabad Founder Vice-Chancellor (1998-2008), Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi

Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

FOUNDER PATRON

LATE SH. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Former State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana Former Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri Former President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

CO-ORDINATOR

AMITA Faculty, Government M. S., Mohali

<u>ADVISORS</u>

DR. PRIYA RANJAN TRIVEDI Chancellor, The Global Open University, Nagaland PROF. M. S. SENAM RAJU Director A. C. D., School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi PROF. M. N. SHARMA Chairman, M.B.A., Haryana College of Technology & Management, Kaithal PROF. S. L. MAHANDRU Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

EDITOR.

PROF. R. K. SHARMA Professor, Bharti Vidyapeeth University Institute of Management & Research, New Delhi

CO-EDITOR

DR. BHAVET

Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Business & Management, Urjani

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

DR. RAJESH MODI Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia PROF. SANJIV MITTAL

University School of Management Studies, Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

PROF. ANIL K. SAINI

Chairperson (CRC), Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE, IT & MANAGEMENT $_{
m iii}$

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories
<u>http://ijrcm.org.in/</u>

DR. SAMBHAVNA

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

DR. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, P. J. L. N. Government College, Faridabad

DR. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE

Asst. Professor, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

PROF. NAWAB ALI KHAN

Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

PROF. ABHAY BANSAL

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity

University, Noida

PROF. A. SURYANARAYANA

Department of Business Management, Osmania University, Hyderabad

DR. SAMBHAV GARG

Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Business & Management, Urjani

PROF. V. SELVAM

SSL, VIT University, Vellore

DR. PARDEEP AHLAWAT

Associate Professor, Institute of Management Studies & Research, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak DR. S. TABASSUM SULTANA

Associate Professor, Department of Business Management, Matrusri Institute of P.G. Studies, Hyderabad SURJEET SINGH

Asst. Professor, Department of Computer Science, G. M. N. (P.G.) College, Ambala Cantt.

TECHNICAL ADVISOR

AMITA Faculty, Government M. S., Mohali

FINANCIAL ADVISORS

DICKIN GOYAL Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

NEENA Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

LEGAL ADVISORS

JITENDER S. CHAHAL Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T. CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

<u>SUPERINTENDENT</u>

SURENDER KUMAR POONIA

CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to recent developments & practices in the areas of Computer Science & Applications; Commerce; Business; Finance; Marketing; Human Resource Management; General Management; Banking; Economics; Tourism Administration & Management; Education; Law; Library & Information Science; Defence & Strategic Studies; Electronic Science; Corporate Governance; Industrial Relations; and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Rural Economics; Co-operation; Demography: Development Planning; Development Studies; Applied Economics; Development Economics; Business Economics; Monetary Policy; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Political Science; Continuing Education; Labour Welfare; Philosophy; Psychology; Sociology; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law; Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labour Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; International Relations; Human Rights & Duties; Public Administration; Population Studies; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism & Hospitality; Transportation Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic; Web Design and emerging paradigms in allied subjects.

Anybody can submit the **soft copy** of unpublished novel; original; empirical and high quality **research work/manuscript anytime** in <u>M.S. Word format</u> after preparing the same as per our **GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION**; at our email address i.e. <u>infoijrcm@gmail.com</u> or online by clicking the link **online submission** as given on our website (<u>FOR ONLINE SUBMISSION, CLICK HERE</u>).

GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT

1. COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:

DATED: _____

THE EDITOR

Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF.

(e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)

DEAR SIR/MADAM

Please find my submission of manuscript entitled '______' for possible publication in your journals.

I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore, it has neither been published elsewhere in any language fully or partly, nor is it under review for publication elsewhere.

I affirm that all the author (s) have seen and agreed to the submitted version of the manuscript and their inclusion of name (s) as co-author (s).

Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the formalities as given on the website of the journal & you are free to publish our contribution in any of your journals.

NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Designation: Affiliation with full address, contact numbers & Pin Code: Residential address with Pin Code: Mobile Number (s): Landline Number (s): E-mail Address: Alternate E-mail Address:

NOTES:

- a) The whole manuscript is required to be in **ONE MS WORD FILE** only (pdf. version is liable to be rejected without any consideration), which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript.
- b) The sender is required to mention the following in the SUBJECT COLUMN of the mail: New Manuscript for Review in the area of (Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/
 - Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)
- c) There is no need to give any text in the body of mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any specific message w.r.t. to the manuscript.
- d) The total size of the file containing the manuscript is required to be below **500 KB**.
- e) Abstract alone will not be considered for review, and the author is required to submit the complete manuscript in the first instance.
 f) The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of manuscript, within two days of submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending separate mail to the journal.
- 2. MANUSCRIPT TITLE: The title of the paper should be in a 12 point Calibri Font. It should be bold typed, centered and fully capitalised.
- 3. AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS: The author (s) full name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline numbers, and email/alternate email address should be in italic & 11-point Calibri Font. It must be centered underneath the title.
- 4. **ABSTRACT**: Abstract should be in fully italicized text, not exceeding 250 words. The abstract must be informative and explain the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a single para. Abbreviations must be mentioned in full.

- 5. **KEYWORDS:** Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of five. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stops at the end.
- 6. **MANUSCRIPT**: Manuscript must be in <u>BRITISH ENGLISH</u> prepared on a standard A4 size <u>PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER</u>. It must be prepared on a single space and single column with 1" margin set for top, bottom, left and right. It should be typed in 8 point Calibri Font with page numbers at the bottom and centre of every page. It should be free from grammatical, spelling and punctuation errors and must be thoroughly edited.
- 7. **HEADINGS**: All the headings should be in a 10 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
- 8. SUB-HEADINGS: All the sub-headings should be in a 8 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
- 9. MAIN TEXT: The main text should follow the following sequence:

INTRODUCTION

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

OBJECTIVES

HYPOTHESES

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

INDINGS

RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS

CONCLUSIONS

SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

REFERENCES

APPENDIX/ANNEXURE

It should be in a 8 point Calibri Font, single spaced and justified. The manuscript should preferably not exceed 5000 WORDS.

- 10. FIGURES &TABLES: These should be simple, crystal clear, centered, separately numbered & self explained, and titles must be above the table/figure. Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure. It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text.
- 11. EQUATIONS: These should be consecutively numbered in parentheses, horizontally centered with equation number placed at the right.
- 12. **REFERENCES:** The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript and they are supposed to follow **Harvard Style of Referencing**. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
- All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
- Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
- When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc, in chronologically ascending order.
- Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
- The title of books and journals should be in italics. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
- For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parentheses.
- The location of endnotes within the text should be indicated by superscript numbers.

PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:

BOOKS

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

 Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

IOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

 Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

CONFERENCE PAPERS

Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–22 June.

UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS AND THESES

Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.
 ONLINE RESOURCES

Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

WEBSITES

Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Natural Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE, IT & MANAGEMENT

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

http://ijrcm.org.in/

Vİ

TO MEASURE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE IN FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE OF SELECTED FERTILIZER COMPANIES IN INDIA BASED ON PROFITABILITY RATIOS

ANKIT D. PATEL I/C PRINCIPAL AMBABA COMMERCE COLLEGE SABARGAM

ABSTRACT

Profitability is an indication of the efficiency with which the operations of the business are carried on. Profitability ratios are used for analyze the profitability performance of any business firm. In this study Analysis of variance (ANOVA) carried out for Profitability ratios for the selected fertilizer company in India for the period of 2004-05 to 2013-2014. In these, Profitability ratios includes Operating Profit Margin Ratio, Gross Profit Margin Ratio, Net Profit Margin Ratio, Return on Capital Employed Ratio, Return on Networth Ratio and Return on Assets Ratio. The statistical tools ANOVA is used to test the hypothesis regarding profitability ratios which are based on a sample of six selected companies in fertilizer industry. From the analysis concluded there is significant difference in all Profitability Ratio of six selected fertilizer Companies.

KEYWORDS

ANOVA, Fertilizer Companies, Profitability.

1. INTRODUCTION

atio analysis is a widely used tool of financial analysis. It can be used to compare the risk and return relations of firm of different sizes. It is defined as the systematic use of ratio to interpret the financial statements so that the strength and weakness of a firm as well as its historical performance and current financial condition can be determined. A part from the creditors, both short-term and long term, also interested in the financial soundness of a firm is the owners and management or the company itself. The management of a company is naturally eager to measure its operating efficiency. Similarly, the owners invest their funds in the expectation of reasonable returns. The operating efficiency of a firm and its ability to ensure adequate returns to its shareholders depend ultimately on the profit earns by it. The profitability of a firm can be measured by its profitability ratio. In other word, the profitability ratios are designed to provide answer to question such as (i) is the profit earned by the firm adequate? (ii) what rate of return does it represent? (iii) what is the rate of profit for various divisions and segments of the firm? (iv) what is the earning per share? (v) what was the amount paid in dividends? (vi) what is the rate of return to equity holders? And so on. Various groups are interested to find out the profitability of the firm like investor, bankers, shareholders, financial institutions and other creditors.

To study variation in the financial performance of a profitability aspect of selected fertilizer company in India during the period of 10 years on the basis of ratio, we can also study the variation in financial performance among the selected six companies strength on the basis of values of the ratios of a particular company for the period of the study then on way ANOVA (Analysis of variance) apply on this values of the profitability ratios will help us in studying the difference in performance of a particular aspect among the selected companies for the period of the study.

2. REVIEW LITERATURE

Nagarajrao B.S and Chandar K (1980) analyzed the financial efficiency of fertilizer companies for the selected period 1970 -71 to 1977-78. The profitability of selected companies has been found to have declined from 1970-71 to 1974-75 because the reason of inflation, ever increasing manufacturing cost of fertilizer, continuous fall in capacity utilization due to storage of coal, oil, wagons and drastic power cut.

Hajihassani (2012) presented A Comparison of Financial Performance in Fertilizer Sector in Iran. This study presents comparison of financial performance for the period 2006 to 2009 by using various financial ratios and measures of fertilizer companies working in Iran. Financial ratios are divided into three main categories and measures including two indicators. This work concludes that the performance of fertilizer companies on the basis of profitability ratios different than on the basis of liquidity ratio, leverage ratio.

Prof. Ketan H.Popat (2012) examined "A Comparative Study Of Profitability Analysis of Selected Steel Industries" In this study the researcher analyzed the profitability analysis with reference to various ratios like, PBDT to Gross Sales, PAT to Gross Sales, PAT to Net Sales, PAT to Shareholders fund and PAT to Total Assets to examined the financial result of selected steel industries in India. This research give us result of profitability with reference to study period from 2006-07 to 2010-11. From the above tables It is revealed that the profitability of Tata Steel Company is better, JINDAL steel shows next to Tata Steel while major fluctuation in profitability shown in JSW and SAIL But Uttam shows decrease trend in profitability.

3. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Profitability Analysis of the Selected Fertilizer Companies in India.

4. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

- > To measure significant difference in financial performance of selected six fertilizer Companies based on Profitability Ratios.
- Profitability Performance of Selected Fertilizer Companies.

5. HYPOTHESES

 H_0 = There is no significant difference in financial performance of selected Companies. H_1 = There is significant difference in financial performance of selected Companies.

6. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The study is based mainly on secondary data which is collected from the books, magazine, in-house materials and websites of concerned company on fertilizer industry. Quantitative approach is used. In this study six fertilizer companies selected from top ten companies of India. All selected companies from same strata that are large cap segment. The size of the sample is 6 companies from large cap segment listed on BSE/NSE. In this study find out the variation in the financial performance of a profitability aspect of selected fertilizer company in India during the period of spanning from 2004-05 to 2013-14 on the basis of profitability ratio, we can also study the variation in financial performance among the 6 selected fertilizer companies in India on the basis of values of the ratios of a selected company for the period of the study then one way ANOVA (Analysis of variance) apply on this values of the ratios will help us in studying the difference in performance of a profitability ratios among the 6 companies for the period of the study.

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE, IT & MANAGEMENT A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

http://ijrcm.org.in/

7. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

7.1 OPERATING PROFIT MARGIN RATIO

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for Operating Profit Margin Ratio among the companies under study. In this part Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for Operating Profit Margin Ratio of 6 selected fertilizer companies under the study have been carried out on the basis of data on Operating Profit Margin Ratio have been exhibited in Table No. 1. The summary of Analysis of variance (ANOVA) based on the data given in Table 2 is as follows. For this ANOVA the H₀ and H₁ are as follows.

H₀ = There is no significant difference in Operating Profit Margin Ratio of 6 Companies.

H₁ = There is significant difference in Operating Profit Margin Ratio of 6 Companies.

TABLE – 1: OPERATING PROFIT MARGIN RATIO										
Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance						
GNFC	10	168.84	16.884	15.17638222						
GSFC	10	164	16.4	21.92328889						
RASHTRIYA FERTILIZAR LTD	10	76.63	7.663	1.77129						
COROMANDAL INTERNATIONAL	10	99.61	9.961	4.065765556						
DEEPAK FERTILIZAR	10	187.94	18.794	17.90853778						
NATIONAL FERTILIZER	10	56.94	5.694	10.34900444						

	TABLE – 2: ANOVA											
Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-value	F crit						
Between Groups	1501.82482	5	300.364964	25.31369185	4.75E-13	2.38607						
Within Groups	640.74842	54	11.86571148									
Total	2142.57324	59										

Above the table no: 1 represents the detailed statistical data related to the Analysis of variance (ANOVA). Table no: 2 shows sum of square, degree of freedom and mean sum of square for between selected companies and within selected companies. For testing the hypothesis by ANOVA procedure, F test is applied. In ANOVA table find out the value of F - test with corresponding P - Value is given. F value is 2.386 and P value is 0.00. Here the P value is less than 0.05 hence the given hypothesis is rejected. So there is significant difference in Operating Profit Margin Ratio of 6 Companies.

7.2 GROSS PROFIT MARGIN RATIO

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for Gross Profit Margin Ratio among the companies under study. In this part Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for Gross Profit Margin Ratio of 6 selected fertilizer companies under the study have been carried out on the basis of data on Gross Profit Margin Ratio have been exhibited in Table No. 3. The summary of Analysis of variance (ANOVA) based on the data given in Table 4 is as follows. For this ANOVA the H₀ and H₁ are as follows.

 H_0 = There is no significant difference in Gross Profit Margin Ratio of 6 Companies.

H₁ = There is significant difference in Gross Profit Margin Ratio of 6 Companies.

TABLE – 3: GROSS PROFIT MARGIN RATIO									
Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance					
GNFC	10	142.1	14.21	29.80557778					
GSFC	10	138.75	13.875	23.77685					
RASHTRIYA FERTILIZAR LTD	10	65.91	6.591	6.36021					
COROMANDAL INTERNATIONAL	10	92.91	9.291	3.344387778					
DEEPAK FERTILIZAR	10	166.56	16.656	30.61271556					
NATIONAL FERTILIZER	10	39.41	3.941	10.61123222					

TABLE – 4: ANOVA											
Source of Variation SS df MS F P-value F crit											
Between Groups	1224.058213	5	244.8116427	14.05469502	8.43E-09	2.38607					
Within Groups	940.59876	54	17.41849556								
Total	2164.656973	59									

Above the table no: 3 represent the detailed statistical data related to the Analysis of variance (ANOVA). Table no: 4 shows sum of square, degree of freedom and mean sum of square for between selected companies and within selected companies. For testing the hypothesis by ANOVA procedure, F test is applied. In ANOVA table find out the value of F - test with corresponding P - Value is given. F value is 2.386 and P value is 0.00. Here the P value is less than 0.05 hence the given hypothesis is rejected. So there is significant difference in Gross Profit Margin Ratio of 6 Companies.

7.3 NET PROFIT MARGIN RATIO

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for Net Profit Margin Ratio among the companies under study. In this part Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for Net Profit Margin Ratio of 6 selected fertilizer companies under the study have been carried out on the basis of data on Net Profit Margin Ratio have been exhibited in Table No. 5. The summary of Analysis of variance (ANOVA) based on the data given in Table 6 is as follows. For this ANOVA the Ho and H1 are as follows.

H₀ = There is no significant difference in Net Profit Margin Ratio of 6 Companies.

H₁ = There is significant difference in Net Profit Margin Ratio of 6 Companies.

TABLE – 5: NET PROFIT MARGIN RATIO									
Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance					
GNFC	10	89.38	8.938	8.993262222					
GSFC	10	88.01	8.801	11.66321					
RASHTRIYA FERTILIZAR LTD	10	39.35	3.935	0.547183333					
COROMANDAL INTERNATIONAL	10	56.08	5.608	2.666973333					
DEEPAK FERTILIZAR	10	105.47	10.547	10.39073444					
NATIONAL FERTILIZER	10	20 51	2 051	5 192676667					

	TABLE – 6: ANOVA													
Source of Variation SS df MS F P-value F crit														
Between Groups	546.5607733	5	109.3121547	16.62372036	6.53E-10	2.38607								
Within Groups	355.08636	54	6.575673333											
Total	901.6471333	59												

VOLUME NO. 5 (2015), ISSUE NO. 03 (MARCH)

Above the table no: 5 represent the detailed statistical data related to the Analysis of variance (ANOVA). Table no: 6 shows sum of square, degree of freedom and mean sum of square for between selected companies and within selected companies. For testing the hypothesis by ANOVA procedure, F test is applied. In ANOVA table find out the value of F - test with corresponding P - Value is given. F value is 2.386 and P value is 0.00. Here the P value is less than 0.05 hence the given hypothesis is rejected. So there is significant difference in Net Profit Margin Ratio of 6 Companies.

7.4 RETURN ON CAPITAL EMPLOYED RATIO

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for Return on Capital Employed Ratio among the companies under study. In this part Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for Return on Capital Employed Ratio of 6 selected fertilizer companies under the study have been carried out on the basis of data on Return on Capital Employed Ratio have been exhibited in Table No. 7. The summary of Analysis of variance (ANOVA) based on the data given in Table 8 is as follows. For this ANOVA the H₀ and H₁ are as follows.

 H_{0} = There is no significant difference in Return on Capital Employed Ratio of 6 Companies.

H₁ = There is significant difference in Return on Capital Employed Ratio of 6 Companies.

TABLE – 7: RETURN	TABLE – 7: RETURN ON CAPITAL EMPLOYED RATIO											
Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance								
GNFC	10	168.82	16.882	74.36990667								
GSFC	10	220.71	22.071	87.84049889								
RASHTRIYA FERTILIZAR LTD	10	135.99	13.599	13.15641								
COROMANDAL INTERNATIONAL	10	227.55	22.755	35.46773889								
DEEPAK FERTILIZAR	10	161.98	16.198	8.622484444								
NATIONAL FERTILIZER	10	107.14	10.714	50.30860444								

TABLE – 8: ANOVA											
Source of Variation SS df MS F P-value F crit											
Between Groups	1105.647975	5	221.129595	4.918259989	0.000882	2.38607					
Within Groups	2427.89079	54	44.96094056								
Total	3533.538765	59									

Above the table no: 7 represent the detailed statistical data related to the Analysis of variance (ANOVA). Table no: 8 shows sum of square, degree of freedom and mean sum of square for between selected companies and within selected companies. For testing the hypothesis by ANOVA procedure, F test is applied. In ANOVA table find out the value of F - test with corresponding P - Value is given. F value is 2.386 and P value is 0.000882. Here the P value is less than 0.05 hence the given hypothesis is rejected. So there is significant difference in Return on Capital Employed Ratio of 6 Companies.

7.5 RETURN ON NETWORTH RATIO

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for Return on Networth Ratio among the companies under study. In this part Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for Return on Networth Ratio of 6 selected fertilizer companies under the study have been carried out on the basis of data on Return on Networth Ratio have been exhibited in Table No. 9. The summary of Analysis of variance (ANOVA) based on the data given in Table 10 is as follows. For this ANOVA the H_0 and H_1 are as follows. H_0 = There is no significant difference in Return on Networth Ratio of 6 Companies.

 H_1 = There is significant difference in Return on Networth Ratio of 6 Companies.

TABLE – 9: RETURN ON NETWORTH RATIO										
Groups	Count	Sum	Average	Variance						
GNFC	10	149.68	14.968	44.89432889						
GSFC	10	184.1	18.41	41.63771111						
RASHTRIYA FERTILIZAR LTD	10	113.33	11.333	1.046467778						
COROMANDAL INTERNATIONAL	10	261.56	26.156	86.70184889						
DEEPAK FERTILIZAR	10	157.54	15.754	5.462248889						
NATIONAL FERTILIZER	10	59.74	5.974	64.05091556						

TABLE – 10: ANOVA											
Source of Variation SS df MS F P-value F crit											
Between Groups	2304.472035	5	460.894407	11.34306781	1.66E-07	2.38607					
Within Groups	2194.14169	54	40.63225352								
Total 4498.613725 59											

Above the table no: 9 represent the detailed statistical data related to the Analysis of variance (ANOVA). Table no: 10 shows sum of square, degree of freedom and mean sum of square for between selected companies and within selected companies. For testing the hypothesis by ANOVA procedure, F test is applied. In ANOVA table find out the value of F - test with corresponding P - Value is given. F value is 2.386 and P value is 0.00. Here the P value is less than 0.05 hence the given hypothesis is rejected. So there is significant difference in Return on Networth Ratio of 6 Companies.

8. CONCLUSIONS

- From the analysis conclude that there is significant difference in Profitability of 6 selected fertilizer Companies.
- In the séance of Operating Profit Ratio GNFC, GSFC and Deepak fertilizer performance was satisfactory because this company Operating Profit Ratio was higher than Industry Ratio.
- Gross Profit Margin Ratio of the Industry was 10.76. As compare to all selected companies only three companies are on satisfactory level and other three companies like Rashtriya Fertilizer Ltd., Coromandal International and National fertilizer Gross Profit Margin Ratio was not satisfactory.
- > Deepak Fertilizer performance regarding Net Profit Ratio was very good because its Net Profit Ratio was 10.55 was higher than Industry this company followed by GNFC and GSFC.
- Coromandal International performance was excellent its Return on Capital Employed Ratio was 22.76 was higher than Industry ratio followed by GSFC that was 22.07. Other selected four company performance was not satisfactory because its Return on Capital Employed Ratio was very poor.
- Return on Networth Ratio of the Industry was found that 15.43. In this séance only Deepak Fertilizer Ltd. Performance was satisfactory and other companies ratio was very poor.
- Regarding Return on Assests Ratio all selected company was very good except two companies like Rashtriya Fertilizer Ltd and National Fertilizer Ltd. GSFC performance regarding this ratio was very good that was 212.20 it is very high than the industry Ratio.

REFERENCES

1. Hajihassani, V (2012), A Comparison of Financial Performance in Fertilizer Sector in Iran. Invent Rapid : Micro finance & Banking -4, 1-8

VOLUME NO. 5 (2015), ISSUE NO. 03 (MARCH)

- 2. M. Y. Khan & P. K. Jain (2007) Management Accounting, Tata McGraw Hill Publishing Company Limited, New Delhi, 2007, pp- 6.2 & 6.17
- 3. Nagaraja Rao B. S. and Kamlesh Chandar, (1980) A study of fertilizer industry in India, RBI Occasional Paper, Vol. 1. December.

4. Prof. Ketan H.Popat (2012) "A Comparative Study Of Profitability Analysis of Selected Steel Industries", Indian Journal of Applied Research, Volume : 1, Issue : 12 September 2012, pp – 35 & 36

APPENDIX

	TABLE – 11: OPERATING PROFIT MARGIN RATIO (In Percentage)											
COMPANY NAME	Mar '14	Mar '13	Mar '12	Mar '11	Mar '10	Mar '09	Mar '08	Mar '07	Mar '06	Mar '05	AVERAGE	
GNFC	12.71	13.95	14.27	13.40	13.83	15.94	19.04	21.18	22.74	21.78	16.88	
GSFC	9.77	12.76	21.40	24.98	12.08	16.71	13.64	15.13	19.84	17.69	16.40	
RASHTRIYA FERTILIZAR LTD	8.59	7.95	6.20	6.74	5.71	7.90	6.61	9.32	7.89	9.72	7.66	
COROMANDAL INTERNATIONAL	7.87	8.58	12.34	13.37	11.27	8.63	11.48	9.87	8.49	7.71	9.96	
DEEPAK FERTILIZAR	13.20	12.21	18.23	21.89	21.85	21.62	17.12	17.24	18.44	26.14	18.79	
NATIONAL FERTILIZER	1.57	0.55	4.16	4.58	6.27	5.09	6.00	9.59	9.30	9.83	5.69	
INDUSTRY AVERAGE	8.95	9.33	12.77	14.16	11.84	12.65	12.32	13.72	14.45	15.48	12.57	

TABLE – 12: GROSS PROFIT MARGIN RATIO (In Percentage)												
COMPANY NAME	Mar '14	Mar '13	Mar '12	Mar '11	Mar '10	Mar '09	Mar '08	Mar '07	Mar '06	Mar '05	AVERAGE	
GNFC	9.72	10.45	10.89	9.14	9.35	11.84	15.82	21.26	21.92	21.71	14.21	
GSFC	7.08	10.64	18.96	21.90	8.57	14.28	9.63	14.46	18.26	14.97	13.88	
RASHTRIYA FERTILIZAR LTD	6.44	5.44	3.99	4.70	3.84	6.86	4.99	8.94	9.49	11.22	6.59	
COROMANDAL INTERNATIONAL	6.99	7.89	11.76	12.56	10.35	8.05	10.11	8.87	8.25	8.08	9.29	
DEEPAK FERTILIZAR	10.51	8.47	14.73	17.33	16.86	17.92	12.87	19.02	20.94	27.91	16.66	
NATIONAL FERTILIZER	-0.03	-1.19	2.91	3.05	4.43	3.21	3.84	6.83	9.98	6.38	3.94	
INDUSTRY AVERAGE	6.79	6.95	10.54	11.45	8.90	10.36	9.54	13.23	14.81	15.05	10.76	

TABLE – 13: NET PROFIT MARGIN RATIO (In Percentage)												
COMPANY NAME	Mar '14	Mar '13	Mar '12	Mar '11	Mar '10	Mar '09	Mar '08	Mar '07	Mar '06	Mar '05	AVERAGE	
GNFC	5.97	6.36	7.29	9.27	4.66	7.65	10.74	11.81	13.57	12.06	8.94	
GSFC	6.14	8.10	13.86	15.45	6.20	8.39	6.57	7.89	10.20	5.21	8.80	
RASHTRIYA FERTILIZAR LTD	3.75	4.02	3.77	4.34	4.09	2.48	3.03	4.19	4.72	4.96	3.94	
COROMANDAL INTERNATIONAL	3.65	5.14	7.03	9.01	7.14	5.13	5.47	4.76	4.38	4.37	5.61	
DEEPAK FERTILIZAR	6.31	5.50	8.99	11.73	13.09	10.26	9.31	10.76	13.61	15.91	10.55	
NATIONAL FERTILIZER	-1.11	-2.52	1.72	2.37	3.33	1.87	2.58	4.52	3.19	4.56	2.05	
INDUSTRY AVERAGE	4.12	4.43	7.11	8.70	6.42	5.96	6.28	7.32	8.28	7.85	6.65	

TABLE – 14: RETURN ON CAPITAL EMPLOYED RATIO (In Percentage)												
COMPANY NAME	Mar '14	Mar '13	Mar '12	Mar '11	Mar '10	Mar '09	Mar '08	Mar '07	Mar '06	Mar '05	AVERAGE	
GNFC	6.90	8.74	11.99	8.42	10.87	16.66	26.86	25.67	29.13	23.58	16.88	
GSFC	10.94	14.76	28.24	35.15	15.18	39.80	20.23	17.95	20.93	17.53	22.07	
RASHTRIYA FERTILIZAR LTD	11.94	11.62	12.62	15.25	9.53	22.55	11.27	12.07	13.64	15.50	13.60	
COROMANDAL INTERNATIONAL	19.79	16.82	26.37	32.06	23.35	32.18	24.51	17.45	17.27	17.75	22.76	
DEEPAK FERTILIZAR	19.39	12.12	18.57	16.08	14.56	20.34	15.28	14.00	12.71	18.93	16.20	
NATIONAL FERTILIZER	0.36	-0.49	5.28	9.49	13.77	13.32	11.10	17.11	16.67	20.53	10.71	
INDUSTRY AVERAGE	11.55	10.60	17.18	19.41	14.54	24.14	18.21	17.38	18.39	18.97	17.04	

TABLE – 15: RETURN ON NETWORTH RATIO (In Percentage)												
COMPANY NAME	Mar '14	Mar '13	Mar '12	Mar '11	Mar '10	Mar '09	Mar '08	Mar '07	Mar '06	Mar '05	AVERAGE	
GNFC	9.92	10.05	11.31	11.65	5.95	11.29	20.20	20.79	25.03	23.49	14.97	
GSFC	8.16	13.14	21.54	26.49	11.86	25.85	16.18	19.55	25.77	15.56	18.41	
RASHTRIYA FERTILIZAR LTD	9.96	11.92	11.47	12.18	12.78	12.65	10.28	10.26	10.83	11.00	11.33	
COROMANDAL INTERNATIONAL	15.42	20.40	29.23	36.47	32.62	44.03	26.40	19.66	19.08	18.25	26.16	
DEEPAK FERTILIZAR	16.35	11.17	17.40	17.50	18.49	18.52	14.37	14.62	13.91	15.21	15.75	
NATIONAL FERTILIZER	-6.00	-10.78	7.22	8.28	10.84	6.62	7.71	12.84	9.26	13.75	5.97	
INDUSTRY AVERAGE	8.97	9.32	16.36	18.76	15.42	19.83	15.86	16.29	17.31	16.21	15.43	

TABLE 16: RETURN ON ASSETS RATIO (In Percentage)												
COMPANY NAME	Mar '14	Mar '13	Mar '12	Mar '11	Mar '10	Mar '09	Mar '08	Mar '07	Mar '06	Mar '05	AVERAGE	
GNFC	189.52	174.81	161.34	147.14	133.77	129.59	118.76	101.06	80.31	64.93	130.12	
GSFC	105.16	98.92	441.27	354.93	269.04	242.36	184.87	171.30	143.00	111.14	212.20	
RASHTRIYA FERTILIZAR LTD	45.47	42.69	39.36	36.46	33.30	30.31	27.87	26.23	24.68	23.05	32.94	
COROMANDAL INTERNATIONAL	78.86	76.86	83.92	67.56	102.30	80.57	56.79	40.33	34.48	149.21	77.09	
DEEPAK FERTILIZAR	169.05	149.00	138.71	120.87	105.48	90.99	79.09	71.83	64.75	59.44	104.92	
NATIONAL FERTILIZER	30.45	32.28	35.76	34.09	32.25	29.98	28.69	27.94	25.47	23.84	30.08	
INDUSTRY AVERAGE	103.09	95.76	150.06	126.84	112.69	100.63	82.68	73.12	62.12	71.94	97.89	

REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

Dear Readers

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce, IT & Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue as well as on the journal as a whole, on our E-mailinfoijrcm@gmail.com for further improvements in the interest of research.

If youhave any queries please feel free to contact us on our E-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-Co-ordinator

DISCLAIMER

The information and opinions presented in the Journal reflect the views of the authors and not of the Journal or its Editorial Board or the Publishers/Editors. Publication does not constitute endorsement by the journal. Neither the Journal nor its publishers/Editors/Editorial Board nor anyone else involved in creating, producing or delivering the journal or the materials contained therein, assumes any liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information provided in the journal, nor shall they be liable for any direct, indirect, incidental, special, consequential or punitive damages arising out of the use of information/material contained in the journal. The journal, nor its publishers/Editors/ Editorial Board, nor any other party involved in the preparation of material contained in the journal represents or warrants that the information contained herein is in every respect accurate or complete, and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such material. Readers are encouraged to confirm the information contained herein with other sources. The responsibility of the contents and the opinions expressed in this journal is exclusively of the author (s) concerned.

ABOUT THE JOURNAL

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active cooperation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.

Our Other Fournals





