INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE, IT & MANAGEMENT



A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland with IC Value of 5.09 & number of libraries all around the world.

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than 4064 Cities in 176 countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

CONTENTS

Sr. No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page No.	
1.	CHALLENGES OF INFORMATION & COMMUNICATION TECHNOLOGY (ICT) AS A TEACHING AND LEARNING TOOL IN THE EDUCATION SECTOR IN ZAMBIA: A CASE STUDY OF SELECTED SECONDARY SCHOOLS OF MONGU DISTRICT DR. B. NGWENYA & J. KAUNDA	1	
2.	TO MEASURE SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE IN FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE OF SELECTED FERTILIZER COMPANIES IN INDIA BASED ON PROFITABILITY RATIOS ANKIT D. PATEL	4	
3.	A STUDY ON DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE AND PROBLEMS FACED BY THE POWERLOOM OWNERS WITH SPECIAL REFERENCES TO COIMBATORE CLUSTER DR. S. SARAVANAN & K. A. RAMYA	8	
4.	ANALYTICAL STUDY OF DIRECT TAX CODE TO BE INTRODUCED IN INDIAN ECONOMY DR. MAHESH BHIWANDIKAR	13	
5.	NEED OF ICT FOR DIRECT RELATION BETWEEN FARMER AND CONSUMER DR. MANOJKUMAR JYOTIRAM GAIKWAD & PRAKASHKAILASHCHANDRAVYAS	16	
6.	FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF COMMERCIAL BANKS: A COMPARATIVE STUDY DR. ATIYA MAHBOOB	19	
7.	EFFICIENCY OF COMMODITY FUTURES IN PRICE DISCOVERY: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY OF AGRICULTURAL COMMODITIES SIDDULA NARSIMHULU & DR. S. V. SATYANARAYANA	22	
8.	NON PERFORMING ASSETS MANAGEMENT IN HDFC BANK S. R. PRASAD	29	
9.	COMMERCIALISATION OF FOREST RESOURCES: AN EMERGING ISSUE IN ARUNACHAL PRADESH DR. TASI KAYE	33	
10.	AN ANALYSIS OF FACTORS AFFECTING ONLINE CONSUMER BUYING BEHAVIOR IN INDIA PRACHI GOYAL & DR. BHUMIJA CHOUHAN		
11.	EVOLUTION OF INDIA'S TELECOMMUNICATIONS INDUSTRY GAUTAM KUMAR JHA		
12.	STUDENT AWARENESS OF EDUCATION LOANS AS A SOURCE OF FINANCING – A STUDY OF BELGAUM CITY, KARNATAKA SONAL REVANKAR		
13.	EFFECT OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE ON PROFITABILITY OF LISTED MANUFACTURING COMPANIES IN SRI LANKA ANANDASAYANAN S & SUBRAMANIAM V. A.	57	
14.	AN EVALUATION OF THE ECONOMIC AND FINANCIAL CAPACITY OF INDIGENOUS UNDERWRITING FIRMS FOR MARINE RISKS AND INVESTMENT COVER IN NIGERIA NWOKORO, IGNATIUS A. & NWOKEDI, THEOPHILUS C.	61	
15 .	HOUSEHOLD SAVING BEHAVIOR IN JIMMA ZONE OF OROMIA REGION, ETHIOPIA TADELE MENGESHA	65	
16 .	AN EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS OF FACTORS AFFECTING WLB OF EMPLOYEES IN SERVICE SECTOR ANJU CHAWLA	77	
17 .	PROSPECT AND POTENTIAL OF RURAL TOURISM IN BODHGAYA AJIT KUMAR SINGH	81	
18.	VERTICAL PRICE TRANSMISSION BETWEEN CEREALS AND BREAD AND OTHER PREPARED FOODS: DOES PRICE STABILITY IN CEREALS MARKET STABILIZES PRICE OF BREAD AND OTHER PREPARED FOODS? YONAS ABERA MAMO, HABTAMU REGASSA LEMMA & YOHANNES MENGESHA	83	
19.	SERVICE MARKETING INNOVATION: A PARADIGM SHIFT (A CASE STUDY OF INDIAN BANKING SYSTEM) AHMAD AZHAR	91	
20.	A CASE STUDY OF SAHARA INDIA PARIWAR SCANDAL (WITH REFERENCE TO ETHICAL AND GOVERNANCE ISSUES INVOLVED)	100	
	NANCY RAO		

CHIEF PATRON

PROF. K. K. AGGARWAL

Chairman, Malaviya National Institute of Technology, Jaipur
(An institute of National Importance & fully funded by Ministry of Human Resource Development, Government of India)
Chancellor, K. R. Mangalam University, Gurgaon
Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Faridabad
Founder Vice-Chancellor (1998-2008), Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi
Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

FOUNDER PATRON

LATE SH. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Former State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana Former Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri Former President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

CO-ORDINATOR

AMITA

Faculty, Government M. S., Mohali

ADVISORS

DR. PRIYA RANJAN TRIVEDI

Chancellor, The Global Open University, Nagaland

PROF. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Director A. C. D., School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

PROF. M. N. SHARMA

Chairman, M.B.A., Haryana College of Technology & Management, Kaithal

PROF. S. L. MAHANDRU

Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

EDITOR.

PROF. R. K. SHARMA

Professor, Bharti Vidyapeeth University Institute of Management & Research, New Delhi

CO-EDITOR

DR. BHAVET

Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Business & Management, Urjani

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

DR. RAJESH MODI

Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

PROF. SANJIV MITTAL

University School of Management Studies, Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

PROF. ANIL K. SAINI

Chairperson (CRC), Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

DR. SAMBHAVNA

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

DR. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, P. J. L. N. Government College, Faridabad

DR. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE

Asst. Professor, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

PROF. NAWAB ALI KHAN

Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

PROF. ABHAY BANSAL

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity University, Noida

PROF. A. SURYANARAYANA

Department of Business Management, Osmania University, Hyderabad

DR. SAMBHAV GARG

Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Business & Management, Urjani

PROF. V. SELVAM

SSL, VIT University, Vellore

DR. PARDEEP AHLAWAT

Associate Professor, Institute of Management Studies & Research, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak

DR. S. TABASSUM SULTANA

Associate Professor, Department of Business Management, Matrusri Institute of P.G. Studies, Hyderabad

SURJEET SINGH

Asst. Professor, Department of Computer Science, G. M. N. (P.G.) College, Ambala Cantt.

TECHNICAL ADVISOR

Faculty, Government M. S., Mohali

FINANCIAL ADVISORS

DICKIN GOYAL

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

NEENA

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

LEGAL ADVISORS

JITENDER S. CHAHAL

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

SUPERINTENDENT

SURENDER KUMAR POONIA

CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to recent developments & practices in the areas of Computer Science & Applications; Commerce; Business; Finance; Marketing; Human Resource Management; General Management; Banking; Economics; Tourism Administration & Management; Education; Law; Library & Information Science; Defence & Strategic Studies; Electronic Science; Corporate Governance; Industrial Relations; and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Rural Economics; Co-operation; Demography: Development Planning; Development Studies; Applied Economics; Development Economics; Business Economics; Monetary Policy; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Political Science; Continuing Education; Labour Welfare; Philosophy; Psychology; Sociology; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law; Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labour Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; International Relations; Human Rights & Duties; Public Administration; Population Studies; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism & Hospitality; Transportation Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic; Web Design and emerging paradigms in allied subjects.

Anybody can submit the soft copy of unpublished novel; original; empirical and high quality research work/manuscript anytime in M.S. Word format after preparing the same as per our GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION; at our email address i.e. infoijrcm@gmail.com or online by clicking the link online submission as given on our website (FOR ONLINE SUBMISSION, CLICK HERE).

CHIDELINES FOR CHRISCION OF MANUSCRIPT

GODDINIES I OR SOBMISSION OF MANUSCRIFT			
1.	COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:	DATED:	
	THE EDITOR URCM		
	Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF.		
	(e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Comput	ter/IT/Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)	
	DEAR SIR/MADAM		
	Please find my submission of manuscript entitled '	for possible publication in your journals.	
	I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore, it has neither under review for publication elsewhere.	been published elsewhere in any language fully or partly, nor is i	
	I affirm that all the author (s) have seen and agreed to the submitted version of the manuscri	pt and their inclusion of name (s) as co-author (s).	
	Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the formalities as give contribution in any of your journals.	ven on the website of the journal & you are free to publish ou	
	NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:		

Affiliation with full address, contact numbers & Pin Code:

Residential address with Pin Code:

Mobile Number (s):

Landline Number (s):

E-mail Address:

Alternate E-mail Address:

- The whole manuscript is required to be in ONE MS WORD FILE only (pdf. version is liable to be rejected without any consideration), which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript.
- b) The sender is required to mention the following in the **SUBJECT COLUMN** of the mail:
 - New Manuscript for Review in the area of (Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/ Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)
- There is no need to give any text in the body of mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any specific message w.r.t. to the manuscript.
- The total size of the file containing the manuscript is required to be below 500 KB.
- e) Abstract alone will not be considered for review, and the author is required to submit the complete manuscript in the first instance.
- The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of manuscript, within two days of submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending separate mail to the journal.
- NUSCRIPT TITLE: The title of the paper should be in a 12 point Calibri Font. It should be bold typed, centered and fully capitalised.
- IOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS: The author (s) full name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline numbers, and email/alternate email 3. address should be in italic & 11-point Calibri Font. It must be centered underneath the title.
- ABSTRACT: Abstract should be in fully italicized text, not exceeding 250 words. The abstract must be informative and explain the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a single para. Abbreviations must be mentioned in full.

- 5. **KEYWORDS**: Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of five. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stops at the end.
- 6. MANUSCRIPT: Manuscript must be in <u>BRITISH ENGLISH</u> prepared on a standard A4 size <u>PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER</u>. It must be prepared on a single space and single column with 1" margin set for top, bottom, left and right. It should be typed in 8 point Calibri Font with page numbers at the bottom and centre of every page. It should be free from grammatical, spelling and punctuation errors and must be thoroughly edited.
- 7. **HEADINGS**: All the headings should be in a 10 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
- 8. **SUB-HEADINGS**: All the sub-headings should be in a 8 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
- 9. MAIN TEXT: The main text should follow the following sequence:

INTRODUCTION

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

OBJECTIVES

HYPOTHESES

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

FINDINGS

RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS

CONCLUSIONS

SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

REFERENCES

APPENDIX/ANNEXURE

It should be in a 8 point Calibri Font, single spaced and justified. The manuscript should preferably not exceed 5000 WORDS.

- 10. **FIGURES &TABLES**: These should be simple, crystal clear, centered, separately numbered & self explained, and **titles must be above the table/figure**. Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure. It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text.
- 11. **EQUATIONS**: These should be consecutively numbered in parentheses, horizontally centered with equation number placed at the right.
- 12. **REFERENCES**: The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript and they are supposed to follow **Harvard Style of Referencing**. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
- All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
- Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
- When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc, in chronologically ascending order.
- Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
- The title of books and journals should be in italics. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working
 papers, unpublished material, etc.
- For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parentheses.
- The location of endnotes within the text should be indicated by superscript numbers.

PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:

BOOKS

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

 Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

CONFERENCE PAPERS

• Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–22 June.

UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS AND THESES

Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

ONLINE RESOURCES

Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

WEBSITES

• Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Natural Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp

A CASE STUDY OF SAHARA INDIA PARIWAR SCANDAL (WITH REFERENCE TO ETHICAL AND GOVERNANCE ISSUES INVOLVED)

NANCY RAO
RESEARCH SCHOLAR
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
DELHI SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS
DELHI UNIVERSITY
DELHI

ABSTRACT

The paper studies the Sahara India Pariwar scam. The two companies of Sahara group naming Sahara Group Companies Sahara India Real Estate Corporation limited (SIRECL) and Sahara Housing Investment Corporation (SHIC) were raising thousands of crores from the public without informing SEBI. The paper discusses how these two companies could raise so much of money without following the prescribed rules and regulations and how did SEBI come to know about the wrongdoings of these two companies. I have also studied what action was taken by Supreme Court in this particular case. Most importantly the paper studies the corporate governance issues in the group which lead to such big scam

KEYWORDS

Sahara Group, SIRECL, SHIC, Corporate Governance.

INTRODUCTION

ahara India Pariwar which is an Indian conglomerate having its headquarters in Lucknow, India was founded by Subroto Roy in 1968 with a capital of Rs 2000 with one small office having a table and two chairs, one Lambretta scooter and a staff having one clerk and a runner boy. Sahara India Pariwar started its journey with 42 depositors in all and a single establishment but today there are 6.1 crore depositors associated with the group through 1707 establishments all over the nation and Sahara group is now considered as one of the fastest growing business groups in the country. The group has wider interests in Finance, Infrastructure & Housing, Media & Entertainment, Tourism & Hospitality, Consumables, Manufacturing and Services & Trading. The company follows a unique profit sharing pattern which is 35% of profit goes towards Company's Net owned fund, 25% goes towards Social Development activities and 40% is meant for the welfare of Kartavyayogi workers because the company believes in collective sharing and caring.

WHAT ACTUALLY HAPPENED?

In 2010, two Sahara Group Companies Sahara India Real Estate Corporation (SIREC) SIRECL which was originally incorporated as public limited company under the Companies Act named Sahara India "C" 3 Junxion Corporation Limited on 28.10.2005 and its name was changed name to SIRECL on 7.3.2008 and Sahara Housing Investment Corporation (SHIC) were raising funds by issuing unsecured OFCDs by way of private placement without giving any advertisement to general public. The amount which was raised by issue of unsecured through private placement was 25,781.37 crore. It is unfortunate and shocking to hear that both of these companies were raising thousands of crores from the public but SEBI was not fully aware of why they were doing so or what they were doing with the collected money. Before going for such an issue the company is expected to file a request with SEBI, get it approved and then start the collection of public money. However, it wasn't the case with these two companies of Sahara.

It was a sudden incident, when Mr. Abraham who was the Director of SEBI until July 2011 was reviewing the Draft Red Herring Prospectus (DRHP) to raise equity for real estate company Sahara Prime City Ltd through an initial public offering (IPO) through which he came to know that these two companies were raising huge amounts of money from the public through optionally fully convertible debentures. Then SEBI started finding out what actually was cooking in Sahara India

TIMELINE OF EVENTS

- On January 4, 2010: A note written in Hindi was sent to National Housing Bank by Roshan Lal, a resident of Indore, in which he requested the bank to look into the housing bonds issued by two companies naming Sahara India Real Estate Corporation and Sahara Housing Investment Corporation which the companies of Sahara India. Being a chartered accountant, he wrote in the note that the bonds issued by these two companies to large number of investors were not issued according to the rules. As the National Housing Bank did not have the authority to investigate the allegation, so the letter was forwarded to regulator of capital markets i.e Securities and Exchange Board of India, or Sebi.
- November 24, 2010: The promoters and directors of two Sahara group companies naming Sahara India Real Estate Corporation and Sahara Housing Investment Corporation were restricted by SEBI from raising any capital through the issue of securities: either equity shares, convertible debentures or any other securities.
- > December 13, 2010: Sahara India challenged the order of SEBI before the Lucknow bench of the Allahabad High Court where SEBI was ordered not to take action until an order would be passed by the court.
- **4 January 2011:** SEBI filed a petition in Supreme Court to stop two firms from raising the capital from investors but it was rejected. But SC empowered SEBI to ask for information from Sahara and issue advertisements to inform investors that the issue is under investigation.
- > 7 January 2011: A public notice was issued by SEBI on OFCDs
- > 10 January 2011: SEBI published an advertisement warning the investors that it will not be able to redress the grievances of the investors on OFCDs. Sahara India Real Estate sends a legal notice to Sebi.
- > 18 January 2011: The Reserve Bank of India also warned the investors against putting money in Sahara group companies' deposits and cautioned citizens to verify the name of the company before investing in it. RBI also warned that it does not guarantee the repayment of deposits accepted by SIFCL or any other company in that group.
- > 7 April 2011: Allahabad High Court vacated the stay and wherein Sahara decided to move to. Supreme Court. Sahara Group filed a petition in the Supreme Court for challenging the order of Allahabad High Court, and the court asked Sahara to give full details of investors who participated in its fundraising exercise with SEBI. Sahara also accused SEBI of defaming it.
- > May 2011: SEBI was directed by Supreme Court to SC to proceed with its investigation into financial instruments used by two Sahara group companies to raise money from the public.
- **23 June 2011:** The two Sahara companies Sahara India Real Estate Corp and Sahara Housing Investment Corporation were directed SEBI to refund Rs 24.000 crore to investors.
- > 15 July 2011: Later Sahara appealed in Supreme Court saying that SEBI has no jurisdiction. SC directed Sahara to approach SAT against SEBI's order on OFCDs

- 18 Oct 2011: SEBI's order against Sahara entities was upheld by SAT.
- 4 November 2011: Sahara moved to Supreme Court against SAT order.
- 9 January 2012: SAT order was stayed by Supreme Court directing two companies to refund around Rs 17,400 crore to their investors and asked the two companies to give the details of their assets and liabilities.
- > January 2012: Sahara group companies were given three weeks' by supreme court to either choose between two courses to secure the investments made by the public in the OFCD scheme where it asked the companies to either give sufficient bank guarantee or attach properties worth the amount for which a review petition was filed by Sahara.
- May 2012: Supreme court is informed by Senior counsel Fali Nariman (appearing for Sahara India Real Estate Corp) informed supreme court that since there was no complaint from any investor, SEBI could not have taken up this issue of Sahara Group of companies raising funds through OFCD.
- June 2012: Supreme Court was informed by SEBI that real estate division of Sahara India Pariwar without complying with the norms of Market regulator had no right to mobilise Rs.27,000 crore from investors through optionally fully convertible debentures i.e OFCD.
- August 2012: Sahara India Real Estate Corporation Ltd. (SIRECL) and the Sahara Housing Investment Corporation Ltd. (SHICL) were directed by Supreme Court to refund over Rs. 24,400 crore
- > 5 October 2012: For the seeking the review of verdict given by supreme court on 31 august where Sahara was directed to return to investors Rs.17,400 crore with 15 percent interest that it had mopped up through Optionally Fully Convertible Debentures (OFCDs) in 2008 and 2009 the Sahara group moved the Supreme Court.
- > 19 October: A petition was filed by SEBI with Supreme Court for non compliance by Sahara.
- 27 November: Sahara moved to SAT against SEBI for non acceptance of documents.
- 29 November: SAT rejected the plea.
- > 30 November: Sahara appealed supreme court for SAT's order
- 31 November: SAT order was upheld by Supreme Court.
- December 5, 2012: The Sahara Group got a temporary reprieve from the SC. The Supreme Sahara group was asked by the apex court to refund Rs 24,000 crore to its three crore investors in nine weeks time. The Supreme Court asked market regulator SEBI to accept their pay order of Rs.5,120 crore as part payment of the investors' money they had collected through optionally fully-convertible debentures (OFCD) and rest of the remaining amount would be deposited in two instalments. The court told that Sahara sum of Rs.10, 000 crore would be deposited by Sahara in the first week of January next year and the balance amount in the first week of February.
- > 8 January 2013: Sahara missed the repayment deadline which was set up by SC. The company failed to deposit the second instalment amount with market regulator. It was required to submit Rs 10,000 crore by January first week. The Supreme Court also dismissed the Sahara group's plea for a review of its verdict directing two Sahara firms to refund around Rs 24,000 crore to their investors with 15 per cent interest.
- **6 February 2013:** An order was issued by SEBI for attaching the bank accounts and other properties of the group after companies failed to pay remaining two instalments and later summons were issued for personal appearance of Sahara chief Subroto Roy and other three directors before it.
- > 6 March 2013: Sahara approached special appellate tribunal against SEBI move to attach properties. SEBI sort for the arrest of the Sahara Chief Subroto Roy. SEBI also said that most of records provided by Sahara were untraceable, implying several accounts were fictitious.
- > 12 March: SAT denies interim relief to Subroto Roy.
- > July 2013: SEBI filed a contempt petition against Sahara in SC. Says Company flouting SC direction to make refund.
- November 2013: Subroto Roy was barred by Supreme Court from leaving country.
- April 2013: The Lucknow bench of the Allahabad High Court sort a response from Sahara India and its chairman Subroto Roy on a PIL filed by them against SEBI for denigrating them. Sahara Chief Subroto Roy however responded to this and said that his group had repaid Rs 20,000 crore to its investors and it was the market regulator which was delaying repayments. He also added that the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) should refund the balance Rs 5,000 crore already deposited with it to remaining investors.
- > October 2013: The Supreme Court directed the Sahara Group to give SEBI the original title deeds of its assets worth Rs.20,000 crore as a guarantee towards the payment of investors money.
- February 26 2014: A non-bailable warrant was issued by the supreme court against Sahara group chief Subroto Roy for failing to appear before it in person as directed at the last hearing of the court. Roy was also given the time till March 4 to comply with the court's order. On the warrant of Supreme Court the Uttar Pradesh police arrested him on 28 February 2014, in a dispute with Market Regulator SEBI.
- March 2014: On Mar 25, a fresh proposal was submitted by the Sahara to the supreme court in which it offered to pay group to pay Rs. 20,000 crore in five instalments to SEBI for the refunds to investors. In its previous proposal Sahara said that the company would pay 2,500 crore within three days from the day on which the proposal would be accepted by the apex court and rest in form of three instalments of Rs.3,500 crore each by 30 June, 31 September (an apparent typographical error made by the company) and 31 December, and the remaining amount of Rs.7,000 crore by 31 March of next year. SC said Sahara group Chief Subroto Roy could free himself out of Tihar Jail on bail only after paying Rs 10,000 crore. But Subrata Roy's lawyer on Mar 27, 2014 told the Supreme Court that the amount is very high which is why the company could not deposit the Rs 10,000 crore as surety for bail. This meant that Subroto Roy would have to stay in jail till his next hearing.

LEGAL ASPECTS OF SAHARA-SEBI'S CASE

Sahara and SEBI's point of view:

1. Sahara claimed that OFCD's which they issued were **hybrids** i.e., neither shares nor debentures i.e OFCD's are neither shares nor debentures but are convertible debentures which doesn't come within the purview of SCR Act as well as SEBI Act.

SEBI's judgment was that although OFCD's are hybrids i.e., neither shares nor debentures but hybrids are securities. OFCD's are debentures by name, nature and description. Definition of debenture under section 2(12) of the Companies Act, 1956 includes 'other securities'. 'Securities' as defined in section 2(45AA) of the Companies Act, 1956 includes hybrids. Therefore hybrids fall within the definition of debentures and provisions of sections 67 and 73 of the Companies Act would apply.

2. Sahara India said that as per the the Unlisted Public Companies (Preferential Allotment) Rules 2003 unlisted private companies can make preferential allotment through private placement by passing a special resolution without any restriction on the number as per the section 67(3) of the Companies Act and without requiring listing of such OFCDs on a recognized stock exchange. The company argued that OFCD's were issued only to select persons (in contra distinction to the general public) and therefore, it was not a public issue irrespective of the number.

SEBI's judgment was that even if special resolution was passed for any further issue of capital to person other than shareholders, it can only be subjected to the provisions of Section 67 of the Company Act, that is if the offer is made to fifty persons or more, then it will have to be treated as public issue and not a private placement. In the case of these two companies issue of OFCD's was a public issue and not a private placement as the offer was made to more than 50 persons i.e to more than 3 Crore people through more than 10 Lakhs agents and more than 2900 branches. Moreover documents produced by the company do not show any relationship Sahara Group had with the investors.

3. Sahara argued that section 73 of companies act is not mandatary for a company and it applies to only those companies who intend to list itself no company can be forced to get listed on a stock exchange.

But Supreme Court made the judgment any issue of securities which is made to more than 49 persons as per Sec 67(3) of the Companies Act, the intention of the companies to get listed does not matter at all and Sec 73 (1) is a mandatory provision of law which companies are required to comply with. Section 73(1) of the Act casts an obligation on every company intending to offer shares or debentures to the public to apply on a stock exchange for listing them. Such companies

have no option but to list their securities on a recognized stock exchange since once they invite subscription from over 49 persons the legal obligation of listing follows.

4. The Sahara companies contended that they were not listed with any stock exchanges and were not subjected to any rule / regulation / guideline / notification / directions of SEBI. Further, the companies did not intend to get the OFCD's listed on any stock exchange in India and therefore would not fall under sections 55A(a) or 55A(b) of the Companies Act, 1956. Therefore, the issuance of these OFCD's was not to be administered by SEBI but by the Central Government or ROC.

The Supreme Court held the judgement that although the intention of the companies was to make the issue of OFCDs look like a private placement, but it become a public issue instead of a private placement when such securities are offered to more than 50 persons. Section 67(3) clearly states that when any security is offered to and subscribed by more than 50 persons it will be deemed to be a Public Offer and therefore SEBI will have jurisdiction in the matter and the issuer will have to comply with the various provisions of the legal framework for a public issue.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE ISSUES INVOLVED IN THE CASE

- 1. MONEY LAUNDERING: In 2008, the two companies of Sahara group naming Sahara India Real Estate Corporation Limited (SHRCL) and Sahara Housing Investment Corporation Limited (SHICL) started raising funds by issue of Optionally Fully Convertible Debentures (OFCD's) to 'friends, associates, group companies, workers/employees and other individuals associated/affiliated or connected in any manner with Sahara Group of Companies' by way of private placement, for which these two companies didn't give any advertisement to general public. The OFCD's were of varying tenures 48 months, 60 months and 120 months. Between April 2008 and April 2011, Rs. 40,000 Crores was collected by both the companies from about 3 Crores of investors through more than 10 Lakhs agents and more than 2900 branches. This was biggest IPO ever in Indian markets as compared to that of Coal India in whose size was about Rs.15,000 Crores and the next biggest public offer subsequently was that of Bharti Infratel of about Rs.4,500 Crores in December 2012. In the form of a "Private Placement" the amount was collected from about 30 million investors without complying with the requirements applicable to the public offerings of securities.
- 2. ILLEGAL ISSUE OF SHARES: The funds were raised by these two companies through OFCDs after filing RHPs (Red Herring Prospectus) with the Registrar of Companies, although as per the rules they were required to take permission from SEBI as the issue of securities was made to more than 50 investors. 'The securities were issued to more than 3 crore investors without getting it sanctioned from SEBI between 25 April 2008 and 13 April 2011. And neither had they filed their RHP with SEBI.
- 3. FAKE INVESTORS: Sahara group companies didn't have proper records of the identity of the investors from whom they collected crore of rupees. The two companies, Abraham alleged, intended to rotate money between group companies. Though the OFCD instruments were issued in the name of the two companies, cheques were sought in the name of Sahara India. It was also found by SEBI that out of 20,000 sample depositors to whom SEBI asked for applying the refund of the money which they invested in the two companies, only 68 investors out of so many investors reverted back i.e less than 1%. Moreover no formal record or description of the scheme was found by SEBI.
- 4. FAKE ADDRESSES: Many of the addresses of the investors which were given to SEBI were fictitious and could not be located. Justification which was given to SEBI by the Sahara group regarding the fake addresses was that the companies' small and poor investors had to change their address frequently due to exigencies of work and poverty. Sahara India Real Estate Corporation Ltd (SIRECL) was asked by the Supreme Court to prove the "genuineness" of all its investors. The list of the names of the investors which was submitted by the two companies had the name 'Kalawati' 5,984 times on the list, similar names appeared against different locations. 'Jaipur, Nagpur, Maharashtra' and Aurangabad Lucknow UP' mentioned as addresses instead of giving the full address Moreover SIRECL gave the list of investors to the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) in a CD protected with high-level security encryption. It felt that the company was preventing the market watchdog from doing any analysis or sorting of data
- **5. DUBIOUS ROLE OF REGISTRAR OF COMPANIES:** The role of ROC in the entire episode was critical because without raising the basic questions it cleared the proposal. The net worth of both the companies was negligible. Equity capital of SIREC was only Rs 10 lakh and had a negative net worth at the time of issue of securities while the net worth of SHIC was around Rs 10 lakh. Even then both the companies thought of raising Rs 20,000 crore each. Its like applying for a bank loan of Rs 20,000 crore with only Rs 10 lakh as contribution. No bank would accept such a proposal, but unfortunately ROC allowed the Sahara Group companies to raise such a huge amount. Moreover ROC didn't the draft RHP given by two companies to SEBI as it was a public issue and hence violated Circular dated 1.3.1991 issued by the Department of Company Affairs, Government of India.
- **6. LACK OF TRANSPARENCY:** The two companies of Sahara group naming Sahara Housing Investment Corporation (SHIC) & Sahara India Real Estate Corporation (SIREC) had floated OFCDs to raise about ₹24000 crores between March 2008 and September 2009. On 24th November 2010, the two Sahara companies were barred by SEBI from raising money from the public through OFCDs (Optionally Fully Convertible Debentures), as they were raising huge amount without complying with the norms public issue laid down by SEBI. Both the companies didn't follow any measures for investor protection neither they made the necessary disclosures. According to SEBI, it was a lack of transparency on the part of both the companies as these two companies deliberately did not give the information relating to the issues.
- **7. POLITICAL LINKS OF SUBROTO Roy:** It can also be said that Subroto Roy has friends form the political spectrum and he was also close to Mulayam Singh Yadav and his Samajwadi Party. But before Mulayam, there was close to Vir Bahadur Singh, an author-politician from the Congress stable, who was also from Gorakhpur. So his strong contacts may have helped him to commit such a big fraud.
- **8. INFLATED VALUE OF LAND:** on 4 January 2012, Sahara group companies, Sahara India Real Estate Corporation (SIREC) and Sahara Housing Investment Corporation (SHIC), filed an affidavit Supreme Court in which they disclosed market values of some of these real estate assets by saying that they had enough underlying assets to pay back investors. But it was found during investigation that the value of the property purchased was shown from Rs 62 crore to Rs 1,436 crore on its books i.e they showed the inflated value. The Sahara group grossly overvalued some of its real assets in order to show that it could meet its Rs 24.000 crore liabilities.
- 9. VIOLATION OF GUIDELINES: SEBI found that the two companies of the Sahara group violated DIP Guidelines and the ICDR Regulations as discussed as below:
- Failure to file the draft offer document with SEBI;
- > Failure to mention the risk factors and provide the adequate disclosures that is stipulated, to enable the investors to take a well informed decision.
- Denied the exit opportunity to the investors.
- > Failure to lock-in the minimum promoters contribution.
- Failure to grade their issue.
- Failure to open and close the issue within the stipulated time limit.
- > Failure to obtain the credit rating from the recognized credit rating agency for their instruments.
- Failure to appoint a debenture trustee
- Failure to create a charge on the assets of the company.
- Failure to create debenture redemption reserve, etc."

REFERENCES

- "Allahabad High Court Stay in the SEBI-Sahara Case" (December 24, 2010), available at http://indiacorplaw.blogspot.in/2010/12/allahabad-high-court-stay-in-sebi.html
- 2. file:///C:/Users/hp/Downloads/90-I%20Chronicle.pdf
- 3. http://archive.indianexpress.com/news/the-curious-case-of-5984-kalawatis-in-sahara-list/1110005/
- 4. http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sahara_India_Pariwar_investor_fraud_case
- 5. http://indiankanoon.org/doc/197169197/

- 6. http://subrataroysahara.blogspot.in/2011/06/subrata-roy-sahara-saharasri.html
- 7. http://www.ndtv.com/india-news/how-can-sahara-refund-20-000-crores-to-ghost-depositors-518603
- 8. https://in.finance.yahoo.com/news/all-you-need-to-know-about-the-subrata-roy-case-073539945.html
- 9. "India: Sahara vs. SEBI-An In-Depth Analysis Of The Landmark Supreme Court Ruling" (31 October 2012)available at http://www.mondaq.com/india/x/2 03796/Shareholders/Sahara+vs+SEBIAn+InDepth+Analysis+Of+The+Landmark+Supreme
- 10. "Mr. Subrata Roy & Sahara Group: A case Study" available at ppa.org.in/31st_july_2014_subrato_roy_case_study.pdf
- 11. "RBI cautions depositors against Sahara group firms", (February 10, 2015), available at http://www.business-standard.com/article/finance/rbi-cautions-depositors-against-sahara-group-firms-111011900086_1.html
- 12. "Sahara India versus SEBI with Civil Appeal No. 9813 of 2011 Judgement" available at supremecourtofindia.nic.in/outtoday/CA9813%20Sahara %20combined.pdf
- 13. "Sahara's Subrata Roy goes to SC as noose tightens around him" available at http://ibnlive.in.com/news/saharas-subrata-roy-goes-to-sc-as-noose-tightens-around-him/454749-7.html
- 14. "Sahara-Sebi case: timeline", (February 28, 2014)available at http://www.deccanchronicle.com/140228/news-current-affairs/article/sahara-sebi-case-timeline
- $15. \quad \text{``The Sahara-Sebi Case'' available at http://www.targetgdpi.com/2014/03/the-sahara-sebi-case.html} \\$
- 16. "Timeline of the Sebi-Sahara case", (February 26,2014) available at http://stocksmarket.in/147784/2014/02/26/timeline-of-the-sebi-sahara-case/
- 17. Subramanian; N Sundaresha, (September 8, 2012) available at http://www.business-standard.com/article/companies/sebi-vs-sahara-112090800001_
 1.html
- 18. www.news18.com/news/uttar-pradesh/lucknow/sahara-sebi-dispute-the-story-so-far-375651.html



REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

Dear Readers

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce, IT & Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you tosupply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue as well as on the journal as a whole, on our E-mailinfoijrcm@gmail.com for further improvements in the interest of research.

If youhave any queries please feel free to contact us on our E-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-

Co-ordinator

DISCLAIMER

The information and opinions presented in the Journal reflect the views of the authors and not of the Journal or its Editorial Board or the Publishers/Editors. Publication does not constitute endorsement by the journal. Neither the Journal nor its publishers/Editors/Editorial Board nor anyone else involved in creating, producing or delivering the journal or the materials contained therein, assumes any liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information provided in the journal, nor shall they be liable for any direct, indirect, incidental, special, consequential or punitive damages arising out of the use of information/material contained in the journal. The journal, nor its publishers/Editors/Editorial Board, nor any other party involved in the preparation of material contained in the journal represents or warrants that the information contained herein is in every respect accurate or complete, and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such material. Readers are encouraged to confirm the information contained herein with other sources. The responsibility of the contents and the opinions expressed in this journal is exclusively of the author (s) concerned.

ABOUT THE JOURNAL

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active cooperation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.

Our Other Fournals





