# **INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE, IT & MANAGEMENT**



A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at:

Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland with IC Value of 5.09 & number of libraries all around the world.

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than 5000 Cities in 187 countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

Ground Floor, Building No. 1041-C-1, Devi Bhawan Bazar, JAGADHRI – 135 003, Yamunanagar, Haryana, INDIA

## **CONTENTS**

Sr. No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page No.
1.	BASAVESHWARA AND MAHATMA GANDHI: THOUGHTS ON EQUALITY	1
1.	DR. KICHIDI CHANNAPPA	1
2.	ROLE OF IRDA IN INSURANCE SECTOR AN ANALYTICAL STUDY	5
	PREETI DIXIT & DR. SANJEEV MAHROTRA	
3.	EFFECT OF EMPLOYEE EMPOWERMENT ON JOB PERFORMANCE IN BANKING SECTOR	10
	G. SREELAKSHMI & DR. D. SURYACHANDRA RAO	
4.	PERFORMANCE OF NATIONAL PENSION SCHEME IN INDIA	13
	ANANTH.S & BALANAGA GURUNATHAN.K	
5.	PROTECTION OF WOMEN AGAINST DOMESTIC VIOLENCE ACT, 2005: A CRITICAL ANALYSIS	17
	DR. ARCHANA BHATIA	
6.	TACIT KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT: A REVIEW	20
	SUBASHINI R & VELMURUGAN G	
7.	A STUDY ON ICT INITIATIVES IN THE SALE OF AGRICULTURAL PRODUCE AT APMC'S IN KARNATAKA	23
	NAGARAJU.R & DR. PRALHAD. P. RATHOD	
8.	ETHICAL WORKPLACE CULTURE: A KEY TO EMPLOYEE SATISFACTION	27
	DR. SUPRIYA CHOUDHARY	
9.	COMPARATIVE STUDY OF FAME AND SEQUENCE ANALYSIS FOR IDENTIFICATION OF BACTERIA FROM	31
	INDUSTRIAL WATER OF KRIBHCO	
	N.J. NAIK	
<b>10</b> .	GROWTH OF RETAIL INDUSTRY IN INDIA	36
	DR. PAWAN KUMAR SINGH & DR. SHRIKRISHNA TRIPATHI	
11.	SEGMENT REPORTING: AN ESSENTIAL TOOL FOR STAKEHOLDERS (A CASE STUDY OF SEGMENT	40
	REPORTING OF SAUDI ARABIA COMPANY)	
	DR. ABHINNA BAXI BHATNAGAR	
<b>12</b> .	IMPACT OF E-COMMERCE IN INDIAN MSMEs	45
	GURMEEN KAUR	
<b>13</b> .	AN OVERVIEW OF MUTUAL FUND TOWARDS INVESTOR'S PERCEPTION	49
	N. SAKTHI SELVA ROHINI	
14.	TALENT MANAGEMENT IN EDUCATION SECTOR	52
	NAGESH C L	
<b>15</b> .	CUSTOMER SATISFACTION OF AIRTEL CELLULAR SERVICE IN CUMBUM TOWN, THENI DISTRICT,	55
	TAMILNADU	
4.6	DR. A. SULTHAN MOHIDEEN, M. MOHAMED ISHAQ & M.MOHAMED ILYAS	
16.	E-GOVERNANCE: A CHALLENGE FOR INDIA  DR. MALIKA BHIYANA & RAVI KUMAR BARWAL	61
47	ENTREPRENEURIAL LEADERSHIP STYLES AND ORGANISATIONAL PRODUCTIVITY OF FINANCIAL	C 4
<b>17</b> .	SECTOR IN CAMEROON	64
	NKAM MICHAEL CHO, MUSIBAU AKINTUNDE AJAGBE, LAWRENCE UCHENNA OKOYE & EKANEM EDEM	
	UDO UDO	
18.	WOMEN'S MENTAL HEALTH IN INDIA: ISSUES AND CHALLENGES	71
10.	DR. BASALINGAMMA S H & DR. RASHMI RANI AGNIHOTRI H.R	'1
19.	AAJEEVIKA: A MISSION TO ENHANCES LIVELIHOOD AND MAKES SELF EMPLOYMENT OF RURAL	75
13.	PEOPLE AND WOMEN	/ 5
	RICHA VERMA & AMBUJ SRIVASTAV	
20.	CONSUMER BEHAVIOUR REGARDING INDIAN BRANDED GOODS: A STUDY OF APPAREL INDUSTRY OF	78
20.	LUDHIANA	/ 0
	SUKHVINDER KAUR	
	REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK & DISCLAIMER	83
1	INTAGES I OU I FEDDUCK & DISCENIUFIC	

## CHIEF PATRON

#### PROF. K. K. AGGARWAL

Chairman, Malaviya National Institute of Technology, Jaipur
(An institute of National Importance & fully funded by Ministry of Human Resource Development, Government of India)

Chancellor, K. R. Mangalam University, Gurgaon

Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Faridabad

Founder Vice-Chancellor (1998-2008), Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi

Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

## FOUNDER PATRON

#### LATE SH. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Former State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana Former Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri Former President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

## FORMER CO-ORDINATOR

DR. S. GARG

Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Business & Management, Urjani

## ADVISORS

#### PROF. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Director A. C. D., School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

PROF. M. N. SHARMA

Chairman, M.B.A., Haryana College of Technology & Management, Kaithal

PROF. S. L. MAHANDRU

Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

## EDITOR.

#### PROF. R. K. SHARMA

Professor, Bharti Vidyapeeth University Institute of Management & Research, New Delhi

## CO-EDITOR

**DR. BHAVET** 

Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Engineering & Technology, Urjani

## EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

#### **DR. RAJESH MODI**

Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

**PROF. SANJIV MITTAL** 

University School of Management Studies, Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

**PROF. ANIL K. SAINI** 

Chairperson (CRC), Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

DR. SAMBHAVNA

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

#### DR. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, P. J. L. N. Government College, Faridabad

#### **DR. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE**

Asst. Professor, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

#### **PROF. NAWAB ALI KHAN**

Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

#### **PROF. ABHAY BANSAL**

Head, Department of I.T., Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity University, Noida

#### PROF. A. SURYANARAYANA

Department of Business Management, Osmania University, Hyderabad

#### **PROF. V. SELVAM**

SSL, VIT University, Vellore

#### DR. PARDEEP AHLAWAT

Associate Professor, Institute of Management Studies & Research, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak

#### DR. S. TABASSUM SULTANA

Associate Professor, Department of Business Management, Matrusri Institute of P.G. Studies, Hyderabad **SURJEET SINGH** 

Asst. Professor, Department of Computer Science, G. M. N. (P.G.) College, Ambala Cantt.

## FORMER TECHNICAL ADVISOR

#### **AMITA**

Faculty, Government M. S., Mohali

## FINANCIAL ADVISORS

#### **DICKIN GOYAL**

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

#### **NEENA**

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

## LEGAL ADVISORS

#### **JITENDER S. CHAHAL**

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

#### **CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA**

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

## SUPERINTENDENT

SURENDER KUMAR POONIA

1.

E-mail Address

Nationality

Alternate E-mail Address

author is not acceptable for the purpose.

### CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to the recent developments & practices in the areas of Computer Science & Applications; Commerce; Business; Finance; Marketing; Human Resource Management; General Management; Banking; Economics; Tourism Administration & Management; Education; Law; Library & Information Science; Defence & Strategic Studies; Electronic Science; Corporate Governance; Industrial Relations; and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Rural Economics; Co-operation; Demography: Development Planning; Development Studies; Applied Economics; Development Economics; Business Economics; Monetary Policy; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Political Science; Continuing Education; Labour Welfare; Philosophy; Psychology; Sociology; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law; Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labour Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; International Relations; Human Rights & Duties; Public Administration; Population Studies; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism & Hospitality; Transportation Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic; Web Design and emerging paradigms in allied subjects.

Anybody can submit the **soft copy** of unpublished novel; original; empirical and high quality **research work/manuscript anytime** in <u>M.S. Word format</u> after preparing the same as per our **GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION**; at our email address i.e. <u>infoijrcm@gmail.com</u> or online by clicking the link **online submission** as given on our website (<u>FOR ONLINE SUBMISSION</u>, <u>CLICK HERE</u>).

### **GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT**

COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:	
	DATED:
THE EDITOR	
IJRCM	
Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF	
(e.g. Finance/Mkt./HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/	/IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, <mark>pleas</mark>
<mark>specify</mark> )	
DEAR SIR/MADAM	
Please find my submission of manuscript titled '	
your journals.	
I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore	e, it has neither been published anywhere in any lan
fully or partly, nor it is under review for publication elsewhere.	
I affirm that all the co-authors of this manuscript have seen the submitted v	ersion of the manuscript and have agreed to inclus
their names as co-authors.	
Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I agree to comply with the formalitie	es as given on the website of the journal. The Journ
discretion to publish our contribution in any of its journals.	
NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR	:
Designation/Post*	:
Institution/College/University with full address & Pin Code	:
Residential address with Pin Code	:
Mobile Number (s) with country ISD code	:
Is WhatsApp or Viber active on your above noted Mobile Number (Yes/No)	:
Landline Number (s) with country ISD code	

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE, IT & MANAGEMENT

\* i.e. Alumnus (Male Alumni), Alumna (Female Alumni), Student, Research Scholar (M. Phil), Research Scholar (Ph. D.), JRF, Research Assistant, Assistant Lecturer, Lecturer, Senior Lecturer, Junior Assistant Professor, Assistant Professor, Senior Assistant Professor, Co-ordinator, Reader, Associate Professor, Professor, Head, Vice-Principal, Dy. Director, Principal, Director, Dean, President, Vice Chancellor, Industry Designation etc. <u>The qualification of</u>

#### NOTES:

- a) The whole manuscript has to be in **ONE MS WORD FILE** only, which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript. <u>pdf.</u> <u>version</u> is liable to be rejected without any consideration.
- b) The sender is required to mention the following in the SUBJECT COLUMN of the mail:
  - New Manuscript for Review in the area of (e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/IT/Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, please specify)
- c) There is no need to give any text in the body of the mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any **specific message** w.r.t. to the manuscript.
- d) The total size of the file containing the manuscript is expected to be below 1000 KB.
- e) Only the **Abstract will not be considered for review** and the author is required to submit the **complete manuscript** in the first instance.
- f) The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email within twenty-four hours and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of the manuscript, within two days of its submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending a separate mail to the journal.
- g) The author (s) name or details should not appear anywhere on the body of the manuscript, except on the covering letter and the cover page of the manuscript, in the manner as mentioned in the guidelines.
- MANUSCRIPT TITLE: The title of the paper should be typed in bold letters, centered and fully capitalised.
- 3. AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS: Author (s) name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline number (s), and email/alternate email address should be given underneath the title.
- 4. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS: Acknowledgements can be given to reviewers, guides, funding institutions, etc., if any.
- 5. **ABSTRACT**: Abstract should be in **fully Italic printing**, ranging between **150** to **300 words**. The abstract must be informative and elucidating the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a **SINGLE PARA**. **Abbreviations must be mentioned in full**.
- 6. **KEYWORDS**: Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of **five**. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stop at the end. All words of the keywords, including the first one should be in small letters, except special words e.g. name of the Countries, abbreviations etc.
- 7. **JEL CODE**: Provide the appropriate Journal of Economic Literature Classification System code (s). JEL codes are available at www.aea-web.org/econlit/jelCodes.php. However, mentioning of JEL Code is not mandatory.
- 8. **MANUSCRIPT**: Manuscript must be in <u>BRITISH ENGLISH</u> prepared on a standard A4 size <u>PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER</u>. It should be free from any errors i.e. grammatical, spelling or punctuation. It must be thoroughly edited at your end.
- 9. HEADINGS: All the headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
- 10. **SUB-HEADINGS**: All the sub-headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
- 11. MAIN TEXT:

#### THE MAIN TEXT SHOULD FOLLOW THE FOLLOWING SEQUENCE:

INTRODUCTION

**REVIEW OF LITERATURE** 

**NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY** 

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

**OBJECTIVES** 

**HYPOTHESIS (ES)** 

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

**RESULTS & DISCUSSION** 

**FINDINGS** 

**RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS** 

CONCLUSIONS

**LIMITATIONS** 

SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

REFERENCES

APPENDIX/ANNEXURE

The manuscript should preferably be in 2000 to 5000 WORDS, But the limits can vary depending on the nature of the manuscript.

- 12. **FIGURES & TABLES**: These should be simple, crystal **CLEAR**, **centered**, **separately numbered** & self-explained, and the **titles must be above the table/figure**. **Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure**. *It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text*.
- 13. **EQUATIONS/FORMULAE**: These should be consecutively numbered in parenthesis, left aligned with equation/formulae number placed at the right. The equation editor provided with standard versions of Microsoft Word may be utilised. If any other equation editor is utilised, author must confirm that these equations may be viewed and edited in versions of Microsoft Office that does not have the editor.
- 14. ACRONYMS: These should not be used in the abstract. The use of acronyms is elsewhere is acceptable. Acronyms should be defined on its first use in each section e.g. Reserve Bank of India (RBI). Acronyms should be redefined on first use in subsequent sections.
- 15. **REFERENCES:** The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. *The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript* and they may follow Harvard Style of Referencing. Also check to ensure that everything that you are including in the reference section is duly cited in the paper. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
- All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
- Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
- When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc., in chronologically ascending
  order.
- Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
- The title of books and journals should be in italic printing. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
- For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parenthesis.
- Headers, footers, endnotes and footnotes should not be used in the document. However, you can mention short notes to elucidate some specific point, which may be placed in number orders before the references.

#### PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:

#### **BOOKS**

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

#### **CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS**

• Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

#### **JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES**

• Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

#### **CONFERENCE PAPERS**

Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–23

#### **UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS**

Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

#### **ONLINE RESOURCES**

Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

#### WEBSITES

Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp

### PERFORMANCE OF NATIONAL PENSION SCHEME IN INDIA

ANANTH.S

ASST. PROFESSOR

ALLIANCE SCHOOL OF BUSINESS

ALLIANCE UNIVERSITY

BANGALORE

BALANAGA GURUNATHAN.K
PROFESSOR
ALLIANCE SCHOOL OF BUSINESS
ALLIANCE UNIVERSITY
BANGALORE

#### **ABSTRACT**

National Pension Scheme is introduced by the Government of India, aiming to lead a comfortable life after retirement. The National Pension scheme is extended to all the citizens of India. The scheme is governed and monitored by an established autonomous body set by the Government of India. Very few people are aware the National Pension Scheme, not much of them showed interest. To understand the performance of the National Pension Scheme fund offered by various companies are taken for study, and to suggest which fund to invest to get more income.

#### **KEYWORDS**

fund, india, income, nps, performance.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

ational Pension Scheme (NPS) is started by the Government of India for the citizen of India, the scheme is for the long term saving which can give some support in older age, when they are not getting any source of income, to support the expenses incurred at the old age. The scheme facilitates to invest with a minimum amount and allows investing as we wish. The citizen can access two types of accounts, one is Tire I and another is Tire II. Tire I account primarily, aimed to only saving and the same can be utilised for the post retirement period, and it will not allow the citizen to with draw, before 60 years of age. Tire II account is for the entire citizen as voluntary, it allows the account holder to withdraw the amount, whenever required. As Tire II is voluntary, it does not exempt from the tax.

An autonomous body was set by Government of India to develop and regulate the pension market is called Pension Fund Regulatory and Development authority (PFRDA). The record keeping for all the NPS related activities are done by the National Securities Depository Limited (NSDL). After completion of the NPS investments paying period, the Annuity Service Provider (ASP) are the one who make sure the delivery of the pension for the account holder.

Pension Fund Regulatory and Development authority (PFRDA), appointed eight companies for operating the pension fund. The investors can choose their fund operators. The companies are as follows,

1. HDFC Pension Management Co. Ltd.,
2. ICICI Prudential Pension Fund Management Co. Ltd.,
3. Kotak Mahindra Pension Fund Ltd.,
4. LIC Pension Fund Ltd.,
5. Reliance Capital Pension Fund Ltd.,
6. SBI Pension Funds Pvt. Ltd,
7. UTI Retirement Solutions Ltd,
8. Birla Sun Life Pension Management Ltd (Yet to start the Business).

As such to understand the performance of the pension fund scheme, this study makes an attempt to evaluate various selected fund's performance. This study will help the investor, to choose their operator and determine future returns.

#### 2. OBJECTIVE OF THE STUDY

The main focus of this study is, to understand the performance of the various National Pension Scheme funds offered by different companies, over a period of time, since it has been established, to identify the best pension scheme fund to choose for the investment.

#### 3. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

World's life expectancy will be improved from 65 years to 75 years by 2050 as mentioned in the report provided by United Nation's population division. Every Indian citizen's life expectancy will improve, results the non-earning period increases, as the cost of living increases the regular expenses will increase and the health care cost also increase. To have some income, in the post retirement period to meet the minimum basic expenses, the National Pension Scheme will help the citizens to provide some money in a systematic way through a long term investment. Different companies are offering the NPS. The NPS income depends on which the fund is chosen for investment. This study focuses to understand the performance of the different funds over a period of time, from its inception. This study will help the citizen of India to choose the best funds to plan their post retirement income accordingly.

#### 4. LIMITATIONS

- The study is limited to National Pension Scheme Equity fund only.
- The study is considered for Tire I and Tier II accounts.
- Seven companies are taken for study, out of that two companies are not completed three years.
- Administration expenses are not accounted.
- The results are pertaining to the available data only.
- The study period covers only three years.

#### 5. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study is a descriptive and an analytical study.

#### Research Design

- Seven companies are taken for study.
- The study period is taken for three years.
- Bank rate of return taken as 8% PA.

#### 6. TOOLS USED FOR THE STUDY

To understand the performance of the selected companies the following tools were selected for analysis.

Percentage analysis, Sharpe's Performance Index, Treynor's Performance Index and Jensen's Alpha.

#### **SHARPE INDEX**

$$S_p = \frac{r_p - r_f}{\sigma_p}.$$

Here

 $r_n = \text{portfolio rate of return}$ 

 $r_f = \text{risk free rate of return}$ 

 $\sigma_n$  = standard deviation.

#### TREYNOR INDEX

$$T_p = \frac{r_p - r_f}{\beta_n} \, .$$

Here

 $r_n = \text{portfolio rate of return}$ 

 $r_f = \text{risk free rate of return}$ 

 $\beta_p = \text{portfolio beta.}$ 

#### JENSEN ALPHA

$$\alpha_p = r_p - (r_f + (r_m - r_f)\beta_p)$$

 $r_m = \text{return of the market portfolio}$ 

#### 7. ANALYSIS NPS (TIRE - I)

TABLE - 1: NEW PENSION SCHEME - EQUITY (NPS-E) - TIRE - I

Period	SBI	LIC	UTI	ICICI	RELIANCE	KOTAK	HDFC	Bench Mark Return
1 Year	-20.22%	-20.08%	-18.91%	-19.95%	-19.16%	-18.81%	-20.19%	-20.27%
2 Years	6.68%	5.76%	7.26%	6.89%	7.31%	7.42%	6.69%	7.36%
3 Years	8.94%		8.99%	9.01%	8.52%	8.60%		8.12%
Since Inception	6.24%	6.97%	8.54%	8.80%	7.93%	7.54%	9.90%	

Source: NPS Trust as on 14.04.2016 Returns (as on 29.03.2016)

All the NPS – E (Tire – I) offered by SBI, LIC, UTI, ICICI, Reliance, Kotak & HDFC gives a negative return compared with the risk free rate of return (i.e., bank rate) for the first year, the variation in the return between the companies are very close. The highest is Kotak got the return of -18.81% and the lowest is -20.22% by SBI, for the one-year period.

When compared to the benchmark returns for one year period, all the funds are within the limit.

All the NPS – E (Tire – I) offered by SBI, LIC, UTI, ICICI, Reliance, Kotak & HDFC gives positive return, for two years period. Compare to other offers, Kotak got the highest return of 7.42% and the least return by 5.76% by LIC for the period of two years, but it is less than the risk free rate.

When compare to the benchmark returns for two years period Kotak is the only company gives the return, (is 7.42%) which is above the benchmark return, Reliance (7.31%) and UTI (7.26%) return for the period of two years are very close to the benchmark return.

All the NPS – E (Tire – I) offered by SBI, UTI, ICICI, Reliance and Kotak gives positive return for three years period. ICICI got the highest return of 9.01% and the least return as 8.52% by Reliance for the period of three years.

All the NPS – E (Tire – I) offered by SBI, UTI, ICICI, Reliance & Kotak gives above the benchmark return, for three years period.

Since inception, HDFC gives the highest return as 9.9% and least return, by SBI as 6.24%.

TABLE - 2: MEAN AND STANDARD DEVIATION NPS-E (TIRE - I)

			-			<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	
	SBI	LIC	UTI	ICICI	RELIANCE	KOTAK	HDFC
Mean	-1.53%	-7.16%	-0.89%	-1.35%	-1.11%	-0.93%	-6.75%
Std. Dev	0.1622	0.1827	0.1563	0.1614	0.1564	0.1550	0.1901

UTI has the highest mean return of -0.89% and the lowest mean return by LIC as -7.16%. The lowest variation from the mean is 0.1550 by Kotak and the highest variation from the mean is 0.1827 by LIC.

#### SHARPE'S PERFORMANCE INDEX

TABLE - 3: SHARPE'S PERFORMANCE INDEX NPS-E (TIRE - I)

Years	SBI	LIC	UTI	ICICI	RELIANCE	KOTAK	HDFC
1	-1.74	-1.54	-1.72	-1.73	-1.74	-1.73	-1.74
2	-0.61	-0.60	-0.60	-0.60	-0.60	-0.60	-0.61
3	-1.05		-1.09	-1.05	-1.12	-1.12	

Sharpe's performance indexes of all the companies are giving a negative index for the first year period. LIC is the highest index as -1.54 and the rest all the lowest index

In the second year also all the funds index are negative and close to -0.60, shows some improvement compared to one year and again in three years period gives negative index and reduced further, when compared to two year index. ICICI & SBI shares the highest index of -1.05 and the lowest index is -1.12 is shared by Reliance and Kotak.

#### TREYNOR'S PERFORMANCE INDEX

#### TABLE - 4: TREYNOR'S PERFORMANCE INDEX NPS-E (TIRE - I)

Years	SBI	LIC	UTI	ICICI	RELIANCE	KOTAK	HDFC
1	-18.81	-18.72	-17.94	-18.63	-18.11	-17.87	-18.79
2	-6.64	-7.25	-6.25	-6.50	-6.22	-6.15	-6.63
3	-11.35		-11.32	-11.31	-11.63	-11.58	

Treynor's performance indexes of all the companies are giving the negative index for the first year period. Kotak is the highest Treyno's performance index as -17.87 and the lowest is SBI with an index as -18.81.

In the second year all the companies performance has improved, but still in the negative index. Kotak is the highest Treyno's performance index as -6.15 and the lowest is LIC with index as -7.25.

In third year again the performance index shows negative and reduce further compared to two year period, and with an average index value of -11.44.

#### JENSEN'S ALPHA

#### TABLE - 5: JENSEN'S ALPHA NPS- E (TIRE - I)

Years	SBI	LIC	UTI	ICICI	RELIANCE	KOTAK	HDFC
1	-0.28	-0.28	-0.27	-0.28	-0.27	-0.26	-0.28
2	-0.10	-0.11	-0.09	-0.10	-0.09	-0.09	-0.10
3	-0.17		-0.17	-0.17	-0.17	-0.17	

Jensen's Alpha of all the companies, are giving the negative value for the first year period.

In the second year all the companies performance has improved, but still in the negative Jensen's alpha value. Kotak, UTI & Reliance are the highest Jensen's alpha value as -0.09, rest of the companies are very close and LIC is the least value as -0.11.

In third year, again the Jensen's alpha value shows negative and reduced further, compared to two year period and with an average Jensen's alpha value as -0.17.

#### 8. ANALYSIS NPS (TIRE – II)

#### TABLE -6: NEW PENSION SCHEME - EQUITY (NPS-E) TIRE - II

Period	SBI	LIC	UTI	ICICI	RELIANCE	KOTAK	HDFC	Bench Mark Return
1 Year	-20.16%	-18.81%	-18.64%	-19.96%	-19.22%	-18.56%	-19.67%	-20.27%
2 Years	6.85%	1.30%	8.30%	6.87%	7.46%	7.44%	2.29%	7.36%
3 Years	8.98%	-	9.26%	8.82%	8.73%	8.74%	-	8.12%
Since Inception	5.49%	0.23%	5.93%	5.65%	5.99%	6.26%	3.82%	

Source: NPS Trust as on 14.04.2016 Returns (as on 29.03.2016)

All the NPS – E (Tire – II) offered by SBI, LIC, UTI, ICICI, Reliance, Kotak & HDFC gives a negative return compared with the bank rate for the first year, the variation between the companies are not much, the benchmark itself giving the negative value as -20.27%. The highest is Kotak got the return of -18.56% and the lowest is -20.16% by SBI, for the one year period.

All the NPS – E (Tire – II) offered by SBI, LIC, UTI, ICICI, Reliance, Kotak & HDFC gives a positive return for two years period. Compare to other offers, UTI got the highest return of 8.30% and the least return by 1.30% by LIC for the period of two years.

When compared to the benchmark returns for two years period UTI, Reliance and Kotak are the companies gave the return which is above the benchmark return (7.36%). UTI gives the highest return as 8.30% and lowest return by LIC as 1.30%, the next poor returns delivered by HDFC as 2.29%.

All the NPS – E (Tire – II) offered by SBI, UTI, ICICI, Reliance and Kotak gave positive return for three years period. UTI got the highest return of 9.26% and the least return as 8.73%, by Reliance for the period of three years.

All the NPS – E (Tire – I) offered by SBI, UTI, ICICI, Reliance & Kotak gave above the benchmark return for three years period.

Since inception Kotak gives the highest return as 6.26% and least return by LIC as 0.23%, the next poor returns delivered by HDFC as 3.82%. UTI and Reliance are at par, with each other and SBI, is little less than ICICI.

TABLE - 7: MEAN AND STANDARD DEVIATION NPS-E (TIRE - II)

	SBI	LIC	UTI	ICICI	RELIANCE	KOTAK	HDFC
Mean	-1.44%	-8.76%	-0.36%	-1.42%	-1.01%	-0.79%	-8.69%
Std Dev	0.1624	0.1422	0.1584	0.1608	0.1578	0.1540	0.1553

UTI has the highest mean return of -0.36% and the lowest mean return is LIC as -8.76%. The lowest variation from the mean is 0.1422 by LIC and the highest variation from the mean is 0.1624 by SBI.

#### SHARPE'S PERFORMANCE INDEX

#### TABLE – 8: SHARPE'S PERFORMANCE INDEX NPS-E (TIRE – II)

Years	SBI	LIC	UTI	ICICI	RELIANCE	KOTAK	HDFC
1	-1.73	-1.89	-1.68	-1.74	-1.72	-1.72	-1.70
2	-0.60	-1.08	-0.53	-0.61	-0.58	-0.60	-0.88
3	-1.05		-1.06	-1.07	-1.09	-1.12	

Sharpe's performance indexes of all the companies are giving a negative index for the first year period. UTI is the highest index as -1.68 and the rest all, the lowest index.

In the second year also all the funds index is negative, and shows some improvement compared to one year. UTI delivers the highest index as -0.53 and the lowest index is -1.08 by LIC.

In three years period, gives negative index and reduced further, when compared to two year index. SBI delivers, the highest index of -1.05 and the lowest index is -1.12 by Kotak.

#### TREYNOR'S PERFORMANCE INDEX

TABLE - 9: TREYNOR'S PERFORMANCE INDEX NPS-E (TIRE - II)

						- (	
Years SBI		LIC	UTI	ICICI	RELIANCE	KOTAK	HDFC
1	-18.77	-17.87	-17.76	-18.64	-18.15	-17.71	-18.45
2	-6.53	-10.23	-5.56	-6.51	-6.12	-6.13	-9.57
3	-11.33		-11.14	-11.43	-11.49	-11.49	

Treynor's performance indexes of all the companies are giving the negative index for the first year period. Kotak is the highest Treyno's performance index as -17.71 and the lowest is SBI with index as -18.77.

In the second year, all the companies performance has improved, but still in the negative index. UTI is the highest Treynor's performance index as -5.56 and the lowest is LIC with index as -10.23, the next least Treynor's index values is -9.57 by HDFC.

In third year again the performance index, shows negative and reduce furthermore, compared to the two year period and with an average index value of -11.38.

#### JENSEN'S ALPHA

#### TABLE - 10: JENSEN'S ALPHA NPS-E (TIRE - II)

Years	SBI	LIC	UTI	ICICI	RELIANCE	KOTAK	HDFC
1	-0.28	-0.26	-0.26	-0.28	-0.27	-0.26	-0.27
2	-0.10	-0.15	-0.08	-0.10	-0.09	-0.09	-0.14
3	-0.17		-0.16	-0.17	-0.17	-0.17	

Jensen's Alpha of all the companies, are giving the negative value for the first year period with an average Alpha value as -0.27.

In the second year, all the companies performance has improved but still in the negative Jensen's alpha value. UTI is highest Jensen's alpha value as -0.08, rest of the companies are very close and LIC is the least value as -0.15, followed by HDFC Alpha value as -0.14.

In third year again the Jensen's alpha value shows negative and reduce further compared to two year period and with an average Jensen's alpha value as -0.17.

#### 9. FINDINGS

- All the NPS E (Tire I) funds gives a negative return compared with the risk free rate of return, (i.e., bank rate) for the first year, gives a positive return for two years period. ICICI got the highest return of 9.01% and the least return as 8.52% by Reliance for the period of three years. Since inception, HDFC gives the highest return as 9.9% and least return by SBI as 6.24%.
- All the NPS E (Tire II) gives, a negative return compared with the bank rate for the first year, gives positive return for two years period. SBI, UTI, ICICI, Reliance and Kotak, gives positive return for three years period. UTI got the highest return of 9.26% and the least return as 8.73% by Reliance for the period of three years. SBI, UTI, ICICI, Reliance & Kotak gives above the benchmark return. Since inception, Kotak gives the highest return as 6.26% and least return by LIC as 0.23%, the next poor returns delivered by HDFC as 3.82%. UTI and Reliance are at par with each other and SBI is little less than ICICI.
- Sharpe's performance indexes of all the companies for NPS E, Tire-I & Tire II are giving a negative index for the first three year periods. In the second year, shows some improvement compared, to one year and in three years period the return reduced further when compared to two year index
- Treynor's performance indexes of all the companies for NPS E, Tire-I & Tire II are giving the negative index for the first three year periods. In the second year, all the companies performance has improved. In third year, again the performance index reduces more compare to two year period.
- Jensen's Alpha of all the companies for NPS E, Tire-I & Tire II are giving the negative value for the first three year periods. In the second year, all the companies' performance has improved. In third year again the Jensen's alpha value shows negative and reduce further compared to two year period.

#### 10. SUGGESTIONS

#### TO THE INVESTOR

- Before investment make a own analysis.
- Investors those are ready to stay in long term are comfortable.
- Investors those are looking best retirement solutions, they can choose these schemes.
- Don't invest only for tax brackets.

#### THE COMPANY

- · To maximise good return at least to meet out the bench mark, then only the investors may park their surplus funds in this category.
- To reduce the charges for motivating more investors.

#### TO THE GOVERNMENT

- Reconsidering the reinvestment at the time of withdrawal.
- More amounts of incentive and motivation is refined to attract more investors.
- · Government should give minimum guaranteed pension amount for the investor. Then, more investors will come over there.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Bhall V K, (2001) "Investment Management", Sultan Chand & Co., New Delhi, Eighth Edition.
- 2. Dr. K. Spremann and Dr. Pascal, 2000, "Approaches to Modern Performance Measurement". Journal of portfolio management.
- 3. S. P. Kothari, Jerold B, Werner (2005) "Evaluation Mutual Fund Performance" pp. 1991-2004
- 4. Strong. A. Robert (2001), "Portfolio Management Handbook" Jaico Publishing House, Mumbai, Second Edition.
- 5. Timotej Jagric Boris Pdodbnik, Sebastjan Strasek, Vita Jagaric (2007) "Risk Adjusted Performance of Mutual Funds"

#### WEBSITES

- 6. cra-nsdl.com/
- 7. enps.nsdl.com
- 8. india.gov.in/spotlight/national-pension-system-retirement-plan-all
- 9. www.npscra.nsdl.co.in
- 10. www.npstrust.org.in

## REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

#### **Dear Readers**

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce, IT & Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue, as well as on the journal as a whole, on our e-mail <a href="mailto:infoijrcm@gmail.com">infoijrcm@gmail.com</a> for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries, please feel free to contact us on our e-mail infoircm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward to an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

**Academically yours** 

Sd/-

**Co-ordinator** 

## **DISCLAIMER**

The information and opinions presented in the Journal reflect the views of the authors and not of the Journal or its Editorial Board or the Publishers/Editors. Publication does not constitute endorsement by the journal. Neither the Journal nor its publishers/Editors/Editorial Board nor anyone else involved in creating, producing or delivering the journal or the materials contained therein, assumes any liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information provided in the journal, nor shall they be liable for any direct, indirect, incidental, special, consequential or punitive damages arising out of the use of information/material contained in the journal. The journal, neither its publishers/Editors/ Editorial Board, nor any other party involved in the preparation of material contained in the journal represents or warrants that the information contained herein is in every respect accurate or complete, and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such material. Readers are encouraged to confirm the information contained herein with other sources. The responsibility of the contents and the opinions expressed in this journal are exclusively of the author (s) concerned.

## **ABOUT THE JOURNAL**

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active cooperation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.

# Our Other Fournals





