



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE, IT AND MANAGEMENT

CONTENTS

| Sr. No. | TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S) | Page No. |
|---------|---|----------|
| 1. | RESPONSIBILITY ACCOUNTING IN SMALL AND MEDIUM SCALE INDUSTRIES MANUFACTURING AUTO COMPONENTS <i>ANIRUDDHA THUSE & DR. NEETA BAPORIKAR</i> | 1 |
| 2. | LIBERALIZED FINANCIAL SYSTEM AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT IN NIGERIA <i>LOWE, OLUSEGUN</i> | 6 |
| 3. | AN INVESTIGATION ON HIGHER LEARNING STUDENTS SATISFACTION ON FOOD SERVICES AT UNIVERSITY CAFETERIA <i>SARAVANAN RAMAN & SUBHASENI CHINNIH</i> | 12 |
| 4. | IDENTIFYING AND PRIORITIZING THE MAIN BARRIERS TO KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT <i>DR. S. ALI AKBAR AHMADI, MOHAMAD ALI AFSHARI & HAMIDEH SHEKARI</i> | 17 |
| 5. | PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF PRIVATE AND PUBLIC SPONSORED MUTUAL FUNDS IN INDIA <i>NOONEY LENIN KUMAR & DR. VANGAPANDU RAMA DEVI</i> | 24 |
| 6. | TALENT MANAGEMENT PRACTICES IN IT SECTOR <i>DR. K. JANARDHANAM, DR. NIRMALA M. & PRATIMA PANDEY</i> | 36 |
| 7. | FREQUENT PATTERN MINING USING DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING <i>V. G. RAJALEKSHMI & DR. M. S. SAMUEL</i> | 41 |
| 8. | PRICE AND LIQUIDITY CHANGES AFTER STOCK SPLITS - EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE FROM INDIAN STOCK MARKET <i>DHANYA ALEX, DR. K. B. PAVITHRAN & EAPEN ROHIT PAUL</i> | 45 |
| 9. | THE IMPACT OF REVERSE CULTURAL SHOCK AMONG REPATRIATES <i>N. PADMAVATHY & DR. N. THANGAVEL</i> | 50 |
| 10. | BRAND LOYALTY'S INFLUENCE ON WOMEN'S BUYING BEHAVIOR WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO PERSONAL CARE PRODUCTS <i>R. SUNDARI & DR. M. SAKTHIVEL MURUGAN</i> | 57 |
| 11. | ANALYSIS OF COTTON TEXTILE INDUSTRY IN KARUR DISTRICT, TAMILNADU <i>DR. N. RAJASEKAR & M. GURUSAMY</i> | 63 |
| 12. | ANALYSING THE TRADING ACTIVITIES OF MUTUAL FUNDS TO IDENTIFY THE TREND OF THE INDIAN STOCK MARKET <i>M. JEEVANANTHAN & DR. K. SIVAKUMAR</i> | 69 |
| 13. | VIABILITY OF ORGANIC PRODUCTS' BUSINESS AMONG THE NON-ORGANIC PRODUCT CONSUMERS – A DESCRIPTIVE STUDY <i>DR. R. DHANALAKSHMI</i> | 75 |
| 14. | EMPLOYEES PERCEPTION TOWARDS ENVIRONMENTAL CHALLENGES: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY ON VEDANTA LTD. IN ODISHA <i>DR. B. CHANDRA MOHAN PATNAIK, DR. IPSEETA SATPATHY & DEEPAK KUMAR SINGH</i> | 79 |
| 15. | CASH CONVERSION CYCLE AND CORPORATE PROFITABILITY – AN EMPIRICAL ENQUIRY IN INDIAN AUTOMOBILE FIRMS <i>DR. A. VIJAYAKUMAR</i> | 84 |
| 16. | A STUDY ON BEST PRACTICES IN FINANCIAL SERVICES AT A PUBLIC SECTOR COMPANY AT BHOPAL <i>DR. N. SUNDARAM & AJAY KUMAR SHARMA</i> | 92 |
| 17. | AN EMPIRICAL STUDY OF FIRM STRUCTURE AND PROFITABILITY RELATIONSHIP: THE CASE OF INDIAN AUTOMOBILE FIRMS <i>DR. A. VIJAYAKUMAR</i> | 100 |
| 18. | PERCEPTION OF BANK EMPLOYEES TOWARDS ADOPTION OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY IN PRIVATE SECTOR BANKS OF INDIA <i>BINDIYA TATER, DR. MANISH TANWAR & NAVRATAN BOTHRA</i> | 109 |
| 19. | KEY SKILLS IDENTIFICATION AND TRAINING NEED ANALYSIS @ SMALL AND MEDIUM RETAILERS IN DELHI AND NCR <i>POOJA MISRA, NEHA JOSHI & RAHUL GOYAL</i> | 118 |
| 20. | STRATEGIES FOR MERGERS AND ACQUISITIONS – CASE STUDIES OF SELECTED BUSINESS HOUSES <i>DR. PREETI YADAV & DR. JEET SINGH</i> | 127 |
| 21. | MANAGEMENT OF NPAS IN DCCBS IN INDIA – AN EMPIRICAL ASSESSMENT <i>DR. A. DHARMENDRAN</i> | 136 |
| 22. | IMPACT OF MOBILE MARKETING ON THE PURCHASE DECISION OF CONSUMERS: A STUDY OF JALANDHAR REGION <i>HARENDRA SINGH, SALEEM ANWAR & SHUJA QAMMER SHAH</i> | 141 |
| 23. | A STUDY ON LEADERSHIP STYLE AND THEIR IMPACT IN PUBLIC SECTOR – TAMIL NADU <i>N. PRABHA</i> | 146 |
| 24. | PARAMETERS OF RATING OF INDIAN COMMERCIAL BANKS – A CRITICAL ANALYSIS <i>DR. MUKTA MANI</i> | 149 |
| 25. | IMPACT OF INTERNET BANKING ON CUSTOMER SATISFACTION: A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF PUBLIC SECTOR BANKS, PRIVATE SECTOR BANKS AND FOREIGN SECTOR BANKS <i>RITU SEHGAL & DR. SONIA CHAWLA</i> | 156 |
| | REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK | 164 |

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

Indexed & Listed at: [Ulrich's Periodicals Directory](#) ©, [ProQuest, U.S.A.](#), [Open J-Gate, India](#) as well as in [Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A.](#)

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than eighty-one countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

Ground Floor, Building No. 1041-C-1, Devi Bhawan Bazar, JAGADHRI – 135 003, Yamunanagar, Haryana, INDIA

www.ijrcm.org.in

CHIEF PATRON

PROF. K. K. AGGARWAL

Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Delhi
Founder Vice-Chancellor, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi
Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

PATRON

SH. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Ex. State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana
Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri
President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

CO-ORDINATOR

AMITA

Faculty, E.C.C., Safidon, Jind

ADVISORS

PROF. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Director A. C. D., School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

PROF. M. N. SHARMA

Chairman, M.B.A., Haryana College of Technology & Management, Kaithal

PROF. S. L. MAHANDRU

Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

EDITOR

PROF. R. K. SHARMA

Dean (Academics), Tecnia Institute of Advanced Studies, Delhi

CO-EDITOR

DR. BHAVET

Faculty, M. M. Institute of Management, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

DR. AMBIKA ZUTSHI

Faculty, School of Management & Marketing, Deakin University, Australia

DR. VIVEK NATRAJAN

Faculty, Lomar University, U.S.A.

DR. RAJESH MODI

Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

PROF. SANJIV MITTAL

University School of Management Studies, Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

PROF. ANIL K. SAINI

Chairperson (CRC), Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

DR. KULBHUSHAN CHANDEL

Reader, Himachal Pradesh University, Shimla

DR. TEJINDER SHARMA

Reader, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra

DR. SAMBHAVNA

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

DR. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, P. J. L. N. Government College, Faridabad

DR. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE

Asst. Professor, Government F. G. College Chitgappa, Bidar, Karnataka

MOHITA

Faculty, Yamuna Institute of Engineering & Technology, Village Gadholi, P. O. Gadholi, Yamunanagar

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

PROF. NAWAB ALI KHAN

Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

PROF. ABHAY BANSAL

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity University, Noida

PROF. A. SURYANARAYANA

Department of Business Management, Osmania University, Hyderabad

DR. ASHOK KUMAR

Head, Department of Electronics, D. A. V. College (Lahore), Ambala City

DR. JATINDERKUMAR R. SAINI

Head, Department of Computer Science, S. P. College of Engineering, Visnagar, Mehsana, Gujrat

DR. V. SELVAM

Divisional Leader – Commerce SSL, VIT University, Vellore

DR. PARDEEP AHLAWAT

Reader, Institute of Management Studies & Research, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak

S. TABASSUM SULTANA

Asst. Professor, Department of Business Management, Matrusri Institute of P.G. Studies, Hyderabad

TECHNICAL ADVISOR

AMITA

Faculty, E.C.C., Safidon, Jind

MOHITA

Faculty, Yamuna Institute of Engineering & Technology, Village Gadholi, P. O. Gadholi, Yamunanagar

FINANCIAL ADVISORS

DICKIN GOYAL

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

NEENA

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

LEGAL ADVISORS

JITENDER S. CHAHAL

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

SUPERINTENDENT

SURENDER KUMAR POONIA

CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to recent developments & practices in the area of Computer, Business, Finance, Marketing, Human Resource Management, General Management, Banking, Insurance, Corporate Governance and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting Education; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Monetary Policy; Portfolio & Security Analysis; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Business Education; Business Information Systems (MIS); Business Law, Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labor Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; Public Administration; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism, Hospitality & Leisure; Transportation/Physical Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Digital Logic; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Multimedia; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic; Web Design. The above mentioned tracks are only indicative, and not exhaustive.

Anybody can submit the soft copy of his/her manuscript **anytime** in M.S. Word format after preparing the same as per our submission guidelines duly available on our website under the heading guidelines for submission, at the email addresses, infoijrcm@gmail.com or info@ijrcm.org.in.

GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT

1. **COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:**

DATED: _____

THE EDITOR

IJRCM

Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF _____.

(e.g. Computer/IT/Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/other, please specify).

DEAR SIR/MADAM

Please find my submission of manuscript titled ' _____ ' for possible publication in your journal.

I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore it has neither been published elsewhere in any language fully or partly, nor is it under review for publication anywhere.

I affirm that all author (s) have seen and agreed to the submitted version of the manuscript and their inclusion of name (s) as co-author (s).

Also, if our/my manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the formalities as given on the website of journal & you are free to publish our contribution to any of your journals.

NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Designation:

Affiliation with full address & Pin Code:

Residential address with Pin Code:

Mobile Number (s):

Landline Number (s):

E-mail Address:

Alternate E-mail Address:

2. **INTRODUCTION:** Manuscript must be in British English prepared on a standard A4 size paper setting. It must be prepared on a single space and single column with 1" margin set for top, bottom, left and right. It should be typed in 8 point Calibri Font with page numbers at the bottom and centre of the every page.
3. **MANUSCRIPT TITLE:** The title of the paper should be in a 12 point Calibri Font. It should be bold typed, centered and fully capitalised.
4. **AUTHOR NAME(S) & AFFILIATIONS:** The author (s) full name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline numbers, and email/alternate email address should be in italic & 11-point Calibri Font. It must be centered underneath the title.
5. **ABSTRACT:** Abstract should be in fully italicized text, not exceeding 250 words. The abstract must be informative and explain the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a single para.
6. **KEYWORDS:** Abstract must be followed by list of keywords, subject to the maximum of five. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stops at the end.
7. **HEADINGS:** All the headings should be in a 10 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
8. **SUB-HEADINGS:** All the sub-headings should be in a 8 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
9. **MAIN TEXT:** The main text should be in a 8 point Calibri Font, single spaced and justified.
10. **FIGURES & TABLES:** These should be simple, centered, separately numbered & self explained, and titles must be above the tables/figures. Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure. It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text.
11. **EQUATIONS:** These should be consecutively numbered in parentheses, horizontally centered with equation number placed at the right.
12. **REFERENCES:** The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. It must be single spaced, and at the end of the manuscript. The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript and they are supposed to follow **Harvard Style of Referencing**. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per following:
 - All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
 - Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
 - When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc, in chronologically ascending order.
 - Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
 - The title of books and journals should be in italics. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
 - For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parentheses.
 - The location of endnotes within the text should be indicated by superscript numbers.

PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:

BOOKS

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio," Ohio State University.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

- Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

- Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

CONFERENCE PAPERS

- Garg Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–22 June.

UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS AND THESES

- Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

ONLINE RESOURCES

- Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

WEBSITE

- Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Natural Gas Policy, Economic and Political Weekly, Viewed on July 05, 2011 <http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp>

CASH CONVERSION CYCLE AND CORPORATE PROFITABILITY – AN EMPIRICAL ENQUIRY IN INDIAN AUTOMOBILE FIRMS

DR. A. VIJAYAKUMAR

**ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR IN COMMERCE
ERODE ARTS AND SCIENCE COLLEGE
ERODE - 638 009**

ABSTRACT

The object of the research presented in this paper is to provide empirical evidence on the effect of Cash Conversion Cycle (CCC) on the profitability of a sample of 20 firms in Indian Automobile Industry for the period 1996-2009. The author tested the effects of CCC on corporate profitability together with other control variables like size, growth, leverage and GDP growth using multiple regression model. The results of the study demonstrate that managers can create value by reducing their accounts are outstanding. Moreover, shortening the CCC also improves the firms' profitability. The study also found that size, growth and GDP growth variables have positive relationship with profitability, whereas leverage have negative relationship with firms' profitability. The study contributes to the literature on the relationship between the cash conversion cycle and corporate profitability

KEYWORDS

profitability, Accounts Receivables Period, Inventory Conversion Period, Accounts Payable Period, Cash Conversion Cycle, Automobile industry and Liquidity analysis.

INTRODUCTION

In general, it is possible to discuss finance theory under three main threads as capital budgeting, capital structure and working capital management. The first two of them are mostly related with financing and managing long-term investments. However, financial decisions about working capital are mostly related with financing and managing short-term investments and undertake both current assets and current liabilities simultaneously. So, most of the time, it is reasonable to term short-term financial management as working capital management (Ross et al., 2003). A great deal of controversy has always been persisting over whether the working capital of a firm, as determined by its investment and financing decisions, affects its profitability. On this issue academicians are sharply divided into two schools of thought.

One school of thought argues that working capital is not a factor of enhancing profitability. Only fixed capital plays a very significant role in profit generating process. Moreover, they also opine that there may be a negative influence of working capital on profitability. The other school of thought considers the extent of fund invested in working capital as relevant to the profitability of the firm. They suggest that unless there is a minimum level of investment in the working capital, which provides a promising vehicle for improving profitability, output and sales can't be maintained. The inadequacy of working capital renders fixed assets inoperative, which results in reduction in profitability. In this sense, working capital acts as an explanatory variable in the profit function (Mallick & Sur, 1998).

Working capital management, which deals with the management of current assets and current liabilities, is very important in corporate finance because it directly affects the liquidity and profitability of the firm (Deloof, 2003; Eljelly, 2004; Raheman and Nasr, 2007; Appuhami, 2008; Dash and Ravipathi, 2009). The current assets of a typical manufacturing firm or even a distributing firm account for more than half of the firm's total assets. Deloof (2003) held that same proposition that the accounts receivables and inventories comprise a substantial percentage of the total assets of a firm. Excessive levels of current assets can easily result in a firm's realizing a substandard return on investment. However, firms with too few current assets may incur shortages and difficulties in maintaining smooth operations (Van Horne and Wachowicz, 2005).

Profitability is the rate of return on firm's investment. An unwarranted high investment in current assets would reduce this rate of return (Vishnani, 2007). The purpose of working capital management is to manage the firm's current accounts so as to attain a desired balance between profitability and risk (Ricci and Vito, 2000). Shin and Soenen (1998) found that efficient working capital management is an integral component of the overall corporate strategy towards creating shareholder value. Efficient working capital management involves planning and controlling the current assets and current liabilities in a manner that eliminates the risk of inability of a firm to meet due short term obligations and to avoid excessive investment in these assets on the other hand (Eljelly, 2004). Smith (1980) and Raheman and Nasr (2007) also observed that working capital management is important because of its effects on the firm's profitability and risk and consequently its value.

The way in which working capital is managed can have a significant impact on both the liquidity and profitability of the firm (Deloof, 2003). The decisions that tend to maximise profitability tend to minimize the chances of adequate liquidity. Conversely, focusing almost entirely on liquidity will tend to reduce the potential profitability of the firm. A firm can have larger sales with a generous credit policy, which extends the cash cycle. In this case, the longer cash conversion cycle may result in higher profitability. However, the traditional view of the relationship between cash conversion cycle and corporate profitability is that, ceteris paribus, a longer cash conversion cycle hurts the profitability of a firm (Deloof, 2003; Smith, 1980). This part of the study aimed at examining the influence of working capital management (liquidity) on corporate profitability in Indian Automobile Industry.

CONJECTURAL FRAME WORK

Liquidity management is necessary for all businesses, small, medium or large because it means collecting cash from customers in time so that having no difficulty in paying short-term debts. Therefore, when a business does not manage its liquidity well, it will have cash shortages and will results in difficulty in paying obligations. As a result, in addition to profitability, liquidity management is vital for ongoing concerns. Promoters of capital theory share the axiom that profitability and liquidity comprise the salient (albeit frequently conflicting) goals of working capital management. The conflict arises because the maximization of firm's returns could seriously threaten the liquidity and on the other hand, the pursuit of liquidity has a tendency to dilute returns. The crucial part in managing working capital is required maintaining its liquidity in day-to-day operation to ensure its smooth running and meets its obligation (Eljelly, 2004). Yet, this is not a simple task since managers must make sure that business operation is running in efficient and profitable manner. There are possibilities of mismatch of current assets and current liabilities during this process. If this happens and firm's manager cannot manage it properly then it will affect firm's growth and profitability. This will further lead to financial distress and finally firms can go bankrupt.

Corporate liquidity is examined from two distinct dimensions: static or dynamic view (Lancaster et al., 1999; Farris and Hutchison, 2002; and Moss and Stine, 1993). The static view is based on commonly used traditional ratios, such as current ratio and quick ratio, calculated from the balance sheet accounts. These traditional measures of liquidity are incompetent measures that cannot provide detailed and accurate about liquidity management effectiveness (Jose et al., 1996). These ratios measures liquidity at a given point in time. For example, the current ratio, are simple to apply and have some theoretical merit increases in say, accounts receivables will increase the current ratio, suggesting improved liquidity. However, the ability to match short-term obligations has only improved from a liquidation perspective providing current assets may be liquidated at current market value and not from a going-concern approach (Shulman and

Dambolena, 1986). Liquidity for the ongoing firm is not reliant on the liquidation value of its assets, but rather on the operating cash flow generated by these assets (**Soenen, 1993**).

On the other hand, dynamic view measures ongoing liquidity from the firm's operations. As a dynamic measure of the time it takes a firm to go from cash outflow to cash inflow which is measured by Cash Conversion Cycle (CCC) introduced by **Hager (1976)** and has been recommended by **Largay and Stickney (1980)**, **Kamath (1989)** and others. Drawing attention to limitations of traditional liquidity ratios, **Richards and Laughlin (1980)**, **Kamath (1989)**, **Gentry et al., (1990)**, and **Schilling (1996)** have insisted on using ongoing liquidity measures in working capital management. Ongoing liquidity refers to the inflows and outflows of cash through the as the product acquisition, production, sales, payment and collection process takes place overtime. As the firm's ongoing liquidity is a function of its cash (conversion) cycle, it will be more appropriate and evaluate effectiveness of working capital management by cash conversion cycle, rather than traditional liquidity measures.

CASH CONVERSION CYCLE (CCC)

In text books related to finance, CCC is maintained in the context of working capital management (**Keown et al., 2003; and Bodie and Merton, 2000**). The cash conversion cycle is used as a comprehensive measure of working capital as it shows the time lag between expenditure for the purchase of raw material and the collection of sales of finished goods (**Padachi, 2006; Bodie and Merton, 2009; Keown et al., 2003; Jordon, 2003; and Eljelly, 2004**).

$$CCC = RCP + ICP - APP$$

In the above formula, the three variables to which CCC is dependent are defined as follows;

RCP – Receivables Collection Period (in days)

$$(\text{Accounts Receivables} / \text{Sales}) * 365$$

ICP – Inventory Conversion period (in days)

$$(\text{Inventory} / \text{Cost of goods sold}) * 365$$

APP – Accounts Payable Period (in days)

$$(\text{Accounts Payables} / \text{Cost of goods sold}) * 365$$

There seems to be strong relation between the cash conversion cycle of a firm and its profitability. The three different components of CCC (accounts receivables, inventory and accounts payables) can be managed in different ways in order to maximise profitability. It is an indication of how long a firm can carry on if it was to stop its operations or it indicates the time gap between purchase of goods and collection of sales. The optimum level of inventory will have a direct effect on profitability since it will release working capital resources which in turn will be invested in the business cycle, or will increase inventory levels in order to respond to higher product demand. Similarly both credit policy from suppliers and credit period granted to customers will have an impact on profitability. In order to understand the way working capital is managed, CCC and its components will be statistically analysed.

Cash conversion cycle is likely to be negative as well as positive. A positive result indicates the number of days a company must borrow or tie up capital while awaiting payment from a customer. A negative result indicates the number of days a company has received cash from sales before it must pay its suppliers (**Hutchison et al., 2007**). Of course the ultimate goal is having low CCC, if possible negative. Because the shorter the CCC, the more efficient the company in managing its cash flow. The purpose of this part of analysis is to investigate the implications of the CCC as an indicator of liquidity on profitability of selected firms in Indian Automobile Industry.

LITERATURE REVIEW – THEORETICAL UNDERPINNINGS

Before embarking with the empirical study, a quick glance at the existing literature on the relationship between liquidity management and profitability is desirable. Literature review consisting some of previous studies regarding with the relationship between profitability and liquidity (working capital management) is given below.

In a study by **Kamath (1989)**, it has been concluded that there is a reverse relationship between cash conversion cycle and profitability. In another study of **Shin and Soenen (1998)**, a sample consisting of American manufacturing firms for the period of 1974-1995 has been analysed and a statistically negative relationship between cash conversion cycle and profitability has been confirmed. To test the relationship between working capital management and corporate profitability, **Deloof (2003)** used a sample of 1009 large Belgian non-financial firms for a period of 1992-1996. He discussed possible relationships between cash conversion cycle and profitability by dividing cash conversion cycle into its components (inventory, account receivables and account payables period). Results of the study have concluded that increase in all of these periods affect profitability negatively. **Lazaridis and Tryfonidis (2006)** conducted a cross sectional study by using a sample of 131 firms listed on the Athens Stock Exchange for the period of 2001-2004 and found cash conversion cycle affects profitability negatively.

Eljelly (2004) empirically examined the relationship between profitability and liquidity as measured by current ratio and cash conversion cycle on a sample of 929 Joint stock companies in Saudi Arabia. It has been concluded that the effect of cash conversion cycle on profitability is stronger than the effect of current ratio on it and found significant negative relationship between the firm's profitability and its liquidity level. **Raheman and Nasr (2007)** studied the effect of different variables of working capital management including cash conversion cycle on the profitability of 94 Pakistani firm listed on Karachi Stock Exchange for a period between 1999-2004 and found that as cash conversion cycle increases, it leads to decreasing profitability of the firm. **Garcia-Teruel and Martinez-Solano (2007)** collected data for 8872 SMEs from Spain for the period 1996-2002 and tested the effects of working capital management on profitability. The results demonstrated that shortening cash conversion cycle improves the profitability. **Falope and Ajilore (2009)** used a sample of 50 Nigerian quoted non-financial firms for the period 1996-2005. They found a significant negative relationship between net operating profitability and the average collection period, inventory turnover in days, average payment period and cash conversion cycle.

Mathuva (2009) examined the influence of working capital management components on corporate profitability by using a sample of 30 listed on the Nairobi-Stock Exchange (NSE) for the periods 1993 to 2008. He found that there exists a highly significant negative relationship between the time it takes for firms to collect cash from their customers and profitability. **Amarjit Gill, Nahum Biger and Neil Mathur (2010)** studied the relationship between the cash conversion cycle and profitability and found significant relationship between them. The other studies, **Ali Uyar (2009)**, **Moss Stine (1993)**, **Jose et al., (1996)**, **Hutchison et al., (2007)**, **Vaidyanathan et al., (1990)**, **Lyrودي and McCarty (1993)**, **Soenen (1993)** and **Wang (2002)** empirically examined the relationship between profitability and liquidity showed that there exists a significant and negative relation between profitability and CCC. However, the study conducted by **Katerina Lyrودي and Lazaridis (2000)** in the Food Industry of Greece found that there was positive relationship between CCC and return on assets.

Among the studies conducted in the Indian context showed both the positive and negative association between liquidity and profitability. **Amit K. Mallik, Debdas Rakshit (2005)** studied the relationship between liquidity and profitability in the context of Indian Pharmaceutical industry and concluded that no definite relationship can be established between liquidity and profitability. **Narware (2004)** in his study of working capital management and profitability of NFL, a fertilizer company disclosed both negative and positive association. **Bardia (2004)** in his study on steel giant SAIL for the period from 1991-92 to 2001-2002 concluded that there was a positive relationship between liquidity and profitability. **D. Sur, J. Biswas and Ganguly, P. (2001)** revealed in their study of Indian Aluminium producing industry, a very significant positive association between liquidity and profitability. **Vijayakumar and Venkatachalam (1995)** in their study on Tamil Nadu Sugar Industry with regard to relationship between liquidity and profitability concluded that liquidity was negatively associated with profitability.

In summary, the literature review indicates that working capital management impacts on the profitability of the firm but there still is ambiguity regarding the appropriate variables that might serve as proxies for working capital management. The present study investigates the relationship between a set of such variables and the profitability of a sample of Indian Automobile firms. Further, most of the Indian studies used traditional liquidity ratios viz., current and quick ratio as a measure of liquidity. Only a very few studies used Cash Conversion Cycle (CCC) as a measure for liquidity. Therefore, to fill this gap in the literature, an attempt has been made in this part to study the relationship between cash conversion cycle and profitability of Indian automobile firms. Thus, all the above

studies provided a solid base and gave an idea regarding working capital management (liquidity) and profitability. They also gave the results and conclusions of those researches already conducted on the same area for different countries and environment from different aspects. On the basis of these researches done in different countries, methodology has been formulated.

From the above reviews, the researcher concludes the most of the studies support the general notion that there is a negative relationship between liquidity and profitability. In order to test this general notion, the researcher postulates the following hypothesis
“Firms liquidity negatively affects profitability”

VARIABLES SPECIFICATIONS AND EMPIRICAL MODEL

The primary aim of this part of analysis is to investigate the impact of working capital management (liquidity) on corporate profitability of Indian automobile firms. This is achieved by developing a similar empirical framework first used by **Shin and Soenen (1998)** and the subsequent work of **Deloof (2003)**. The analysis of data employs the use of correlation and a multiple regression model that consists of both liquidity and profitability. For this purpose, four different models using the various components of liquidity and profitability are considered. This helps analyzing changes in the results due to different measures of liquidity and profitability.

THE EXPLANATORY VARIABLES

This study investigates the effects of accounts receivables period, inventory conversion period, accounts payables period and cash conversion cycle on firms profitability. The dependent variable of the regression model is return on assets (PR). Many researchers use different measures of firm profitability in their analysis. Among, return on assets (**Zelia Serrasqueiro, 2009; Kuldip Kaur and Kushwinder Kaur, 2008**) and return on sales (**Samuels and Smyth, 1968; Vijayakumar, 2002**) are widely used measure of profitability. Among these two measures the return on assets is a better measure since it relates the profitability of the business to the asset base. There are many ways of managing return on assets but, in principle, key levers are, of course, profit increase and assets reduction. The later has become important to many businesses as the former becomes more elusive.

Accounts Receivables Period (ARP) used as proxy for the collection policy is an independent variable obtained as accounts receivables/sales*365. Inventory Conversion Period (ICP) used as proxy for the inventory policy is also an independent variable derived as inventory/cost of sales*365. Average Payment Period (APP) used as proxy for the payment policy is also an independent variable. It is calculated by dividing accounts payable by purchases and multiplying the result by 365. The Cash Conversion Cycle (CCC) used as a comprehensive measure of liquidity is another independent variable, and is measured by adding ARP to ICP and then subtracting the APP. All the above variables have relationship that ultimately affects liquidity of the firm. It is expected that there is a negative relationship between profitability on the one hand and the measures of liquidity (number of days accounts receivables, inventory and accounts payables and cash conversion cycle) on the other hand. This is consistent with the view that the time lag between expenditure for the purchase of raw materials and the collection of sales of finished goods can be too long, and that decreasing the time lag increases profitability.

CONTROL VARIABLES

In various literature reviews, various studies have used the control variables along with the main variables of liquidity (working capital) in order to have an opposite analysis of working capital management on the profitability of firms (**Lamberson, 1995; Smith and Begemann, 1997; Deloof, 2003; Eljelly, 2004; Teruel and Solano, 2005 and Lazaridis and Tryfonidis, 2006**). On the same lines, along with working capital variables, the present study has taken into considerations some control variables relating to firms such as the size of the firm, the growth in its sales and its financial leverage. The size of the firm (SIZE) has been measured by the natural logarithm of its total sales. The growth of the firm (GROWTH) is measured by variations in its annual sales value with reference to previous year’s sales [(sales_t-sales_{t-1})/sales_{t-1}]. Moreover, the financial leverage (LEV) was taken as the debt to equity ratio of each firm for the whole sample period. Finally, since good economic conditions tend to be reflected in a firm’s profitability (**Lamberson, 1995**), this phenomenon has been controlled for the evolution of the economic cycle using the GDPGR variable, which measures the real annual GDP growth.

Table 1 below summarises the definitions and theoretical predicted signs.

TABLE 1: PROXY VARIABLES DEFINITION AND PREDICTED RELATIONSHIPS

| Proxy variables | Definitions | Predicted Sign |
|-----------------|--|----------------|
| ARP | Account receivables divided by sales and multiplied by 365 days | +/- |
| ICP | Inventory divided by cost of goods sold and multiplied by 365 days | +/- |
| APP | Accounts payables divided by cost of goods sold and multiplied by 365 days | +/- |
| CCC | No. of days A/R plus No. of days of IC minus No. of days A/P | +/- |
| Size | Natural logarithm of firm’s sales | +/- |
| Growth | Difference between current year sales and previous year sales divided by previous year sales | +/- |
| Leverage | Total debt divided by equity | - |
| GDPGR | Difference between current year GDP and previous year GDP divided by previous year GDP | + |

EMPIRICAL MODELS

The study uses panel data regression analysis of cross-sectional and time series data. The pooled regression is one where both intercepts and slops are constant, where the cross-section firm data and time series data are pooled together in a single column assuming that there is no significant cross-section or temporal effects.

The general form of model is

$$PR_{it} = \beta_0 + \sum \beta_i X_{it} + e_{it}$$

where PR_{it} - Return on assets of firm i at time t;

i = 1, 2, 3....., 20 firms

β₀ - The intercept of equation

β_i - Co-efficients of X_{it} variables

X_{it} - The different independent variables for working capital management of firms i at time t

t - Time = 1, 2, 3,

e - The error term

To investigate the impact of working capital management (liquidity) on profitability the model used for the regression analysis is expressed in the general form as given above and the variable ARP will be replaced in turn by the other explanatory variables: ICP, APP and CCC. Specifically, when convert the above general least squares model into specified variables it becomes:

$$PR_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 ARP_{it} + \beta_2 SIZE_{it} + \beta_3 GROWTH_{it} + \beta_4 LEV_{it} + \beta_5 GDPGR_{it} + e_{it}$$

[Model 1]

$$PR_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 ICP_{it} + \beta_2 SIZE_{it} + \beta_3 GROWTH_{it} + \beta_4 LEV_{it} + \beta_5 GDPGR_{it} + e_{it}$$

[Model 2]

$$PR_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 APP_{it} + \beta_2 SIZE_{it} + \beta_3 GROWTH_{it} + \beta_4 LEV_{it} + \beta_5 GDPGR_{it} + e_{it}$$

[Model 3]

$$PR_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 CCC_{it} + \beta_2 SIZE_{it} + \beta_3 GROWTH_{it} + \beta_4 LEV_{it} + \beta_5 GDPGR_{it} + e_{it}$$

[Model 4]

$$PR_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 ARP_{it} + \beta_2 ICP_{it} + \beta_3 APP_{it} + \beta_4 SIZE_{it} + \beta_5 GROWTH_{it} + \beta_6 LEV_{it} + \beta_7 GDPGR_{it} + e_{it}$$

[Model 5]

Where,

PR - Measures the firm profitability with gross profit as a percentage of total assets for firm (i) in the year (t).

ARP - Accounts Receivables Period for firm (i) in the year (t).

ICP - Inventory Conversion Period for firm (i) in the year (t).

APP - Accounts Payable Period for firm (i) in the year (t).

CCC - Cash Conversion Cycle for firm (i) in the year (t).

Size - Natural logarithm of firm's sales for firm (i) in the year (t).

Growth - Growth of firm's sales for firm (i) in the year (t).

Leverage - Measures the leverage with debt to equity for firm (i) in the year (t).

GDPGR - Measures the growth of GDP for firm (i) in the year (t).

β_0 - Constant term for firm (i) in the year (t).

β_1, β_2, \dots - Regression Co-efficient.

e - disturbance term for firm (i) in the year (t).

RESEARCH DESIGN

Keeping in view the scope of the study, it is decided to include all the companies under automobile industry working before or from the year 1996-97 to 2008-09. There are 26 companies operating in the Indian automobile industry. But, owing to several constraints such as non-availability of financial statements or non-working of a company in a particular year etc., it is compelled to restrict the number of sample companies to 20. The companies under automobile industry are classified into three sectors namely; Commercial vehicles, Passenger cars and Multiutility vehicles and Two and three wheelers. For the purpose of the study all the three sectors have been selected. It accounts for 73.23 per cent of the total companies available in the Indian automobile industry. The selected 20 companies include 5 under commercial vehicles, 6 under Passenger cars and Multiutility vehicles and 9 under two and three wheeler sectors. It is inferred that sample company represents 98.74 percentage of market share in commercial vehicles, 89.76 percentage of market share in passenger cars and Multiutility vehicles and 99.81 percentage of market share in two and three wheelers. Thus, the findings based on the occurrence of such representative sample may be presumed to be true representative of automobile industry in the country.

The study is mainly based on secondary data. The major source of data analysed and interpreted in this study related to all those companies selected is collected from "PROWESS" database, which is the most reliable on the empowered corporate database of Centre for Monitoring Indian Economy (CMIE). Besides prowest database, relevant secondary data have also been collected from BSE Stock Exchange Official Directory, CIME Publications, Annual Survey of Industry, Business newspapers, Reports on Currency and Finance, Libraries of various Research Institutions, through Internet etc.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In Table 2, the summary statistics of the variables included in the regression models are presented. All the variables were calculated using balance sheet (book) values. The book values are used because the companies did not provide any market value related to the variables used in this study. In addition, the measurement of profitability could only be based on income statement values, not on so-called market values. The explanatory variables are all firm specific quantities and there is no way to measure these variables in terms of their 'market value'. Furthermore, when market values are considered in such studies, there is always a rather legitimate question of the date for which the 'market values' refer. This is rather arbitrary. Hence, the study relied on 'book values' as of the date of the financial reports.

Descriptive statistics shows the mean and standard deviation of the different variables of interest in the study. It also presents the standard error of mean, median, minimum and maximum values, kurtosis and skewness of the variables. Table 2 presents descriptive statistics for 20 Indian automobile companies for the period of 13 years from 1996-97 to 2008-09 and for a total 216 companies year observations. Overall, the mean profit rate on total assets is 22.29 per cent with the standard deviation of 105.3 per cent. It means that value of the profitability (profit rate on total assets) can deviate from mean to both sides by 105.3 per cent. The maximum value for the profit rate on total assets is 1638.9 per cent for a company in a year while the minimum is -189.4 per cent.

Indian automobile companies receive payment against sales after an average of 37 days (approximately more than one month) and standard deviation is 38 days. Minimum time taken by a company to collect cash from receivables is 0.31 days which is unusual, and maximum time taken for this purpose is 326 days (approximately ten months). On average, Indian automobile companies takes 75 days (approximately two and half months) to convert their inventories in to sales with standard deviation of 62 days. Here, maximum time taken by a company is 730 days, which is a very large time period to convert inventory in to sales. Companies wait on average 80 days to pay their purchases with standard deviation of 85 days. Here, minimum time taken by a company is 0.00 days which is unusual, and maximum time taken for this purpose is 1018 days. The mean cash conversion cycle is 30 days (approximately one month) with the standard deviation of 66 days, implying that Indian automobile companies turnover their stock on an average of 12 times a year.

To check the size of the company and its relationship with profitability, natural logarithm of sales is used as a control variable. The mean value of log of sales is 6.90 while the standard deviation is 1.70. The maximum value of log of sales for a company in a year is 10.39 and the minimum is 0.92. In the same way to check the growth of the company and its relationship with profitability, sales growth is used as a control variable. The average growth of sales for Indian automobile companies is 10.60 per cent with a standard deviation of 36.83 per cent. The highest growth of sales for a company in a particular year is 356.66 per cent and in the same way the minimum growth of sales for a company in a year is -97.62 per cent.

To check the leverage and its relationship with the profitability, the debt ratio (obtained by dividing the total debt of the company by the equity) is used as a control variable. The results of the descriptive statistics show that the average leverage ratio for the Indian automobile companies is 1.33 with a standard deviation of 3.12. The maximum debt financing used by a company is 41.37, whereas the minimum level of the debt ratio is 0.00 which is unusual but may be possible. To check the GDP growth and its relationship with the profitability, GDP growth rate is used as a control variable. The mean value for this ratio is 12.73 per cent with a standard deviation of 3.41 per cent. The maximum GDP growth during the study period is 16.92 per cent and the minimum is 7.76 per cent.

PEARSON'S CORRELATION CO-EFFICIENT ANALYSIS

Consistent with Shin and Soenen (1998), Table 3 provides the Pearson correlation for the variables used in the regression model. Pearson's correlation analysis is used for data to see the relationship between variables such as those between liquidity (working capital management) and profitability. If efficient working capital management increases profitability, one should expect a negative relationship between the measures of working capital management and profitability

variable. There is a negative relationship between gross profitability on the one hand and the measures of working capital management on the other hand. This consists with the view that the time lag between expenditure for purchases of raw material and the collection of sales of finished goods can be too long, and that decreasing this time lag increases profitability.

Table 3 shows that the profitability is negatively related to ARP. The negative relationship of profitability and ARP is consistent with the view that the less time taken by the customer to pay their bills, the more cash is available to replenish the inventory hence leading to more sales which results to an increase in profitability. The table also shows that the profitability is negatively related to ICP. The negative relationship between profitability and ICP can be explained by the fact that firms takes more time in selling inventory, it will adversely affect its profitability due high amount of holding cost. The positive relationship between profitability and APP can be explained by the fact that lagging payments to suppliers ensures that the firm has some cash to purchase more inventory for sales thus increasing its sales levels hence boosting its profits. The negative relationship between profitability and CCC is consistent with the view that the time lag between the expenditure for the purchases of raw materials and the collection of sales of finished goods can be too long and that decreasing the time lag increases profitability (Deloof, 2003).

Firm size is positively related to profitability. This means that larger firm report higher profits compared to smaller firms. This may be due to larger firm's ability to exploit their economies of scale. Growth, which could be an indicator of a firm's business opportunities, is an important factor allowing firms to enjoy improved profitability, as can be seen in the positive sign for the variable SGROWTH. With reference to other control variables, leverage and GDPG, profitability is negatively associated with leverage whereas profitability is positively associated with GDPG. Thus, by analyzing the results it is concluded that if the firm is able to reduce these time periods, then the firm is efficient in managing working capital (liquidity). This efficiency will lead to increasing its profitability. The results of correlation analysis indicate that as far as Indian automobile companies are concerned, the liquidity management very significantly and strongly affects their profitability.

REGRESSION ANALYSIS

Although, Pearson linear correlations give proof of an inverse relationship between profitability and CCC, these measures do not allow us to identify causes from consequences (Shin and Soenen, 1998). It is hard to say whether a shorter accounts collection period leads to higher profitability or a higher profitability is a result of short accounts receivable period. This means therefore that, care must be exercised while interpreting the Pearson correlation co-efficient because they cannot provide a reliable indicator of association in a manner which controls for additional explanatory variables. Examining simple bivariate correlation in a conventional matrix does not take account of each variable's correlation with all other explanatory variables (Padachi, 2006). The main analysis will be derived from appropriate multivariate models estimated using the overall least squares regression models.

To assess the effects of liquidity on the firm's profitability, the firm's profitability is modelled as a function of the four liquidity measures such as ARP, ICP, APP and CCC in addition to other firm characteristics. The model specifies above is estimated using the regression based framework (pooled OLS) as employed by Deloof (2003), Raheman and Nasr (2007), Garcia Teruel and Martinez-Solano (2007), Padachi (2006), David M. Mathuva (2009) and Amarjit Gill et al., (2010). In the first regression model, the ARP has been regressed against the profitability. In the second regression model, the ICP has been regressed against the profitability. The third regression model involves a regression of the APP against the profitability. In the fourth regression model, the CCC is regressed against the profitability. The fifth regression model involves the regression of ARP, ICP and APP against profitability. In all the above said five regression models, firm size, growth, leverage and GDP growth have been incorporated to control for firm characteristics. Table 4 report the pooled OLS regression results of the overall relationship which exists between working capital management (liquidity) and profitability.

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE PERIOD (ARP) AND PROFITABILITY

The results of the regression (Model 1) of ARP with profitability along with other control variables presented in Table 4. The results of this regression indicate that, consistent with Deloof (2003), Raheman and Nasr (2007), Shin and Soenen (1998), Garcia-Teruel and Martinez-Solano (2007) and David M. Mathuva (2009) a negative and significant relationship exists between the ARP and Profitability in the Indian Automobile Industry. This result suggests that firms can improve their profitability by reducing the number of days accounts receivables are outstanding. The result can also be interpreted as the less the time it takes for customers to pay their bills, the more cash is available to replenish inventory hence the higher the sales realized leading to higher profitability of the firm. The negative coefficient on the ARP suggests that an increase in the number of days accounts receivable by 1 day is associated with a decline in profitability. Consistent with Lazaridis and Tryfonidis (2006), this finding implies that managers can improve profitability by reducing the credit period granted to their customers. This finding implies that a more restrictive credit policy giving customers less time to make their payments improves performance. The model also shows that profitability increases with firm size (as measured by natural logarithm of sales). The results of the regression indicate that the coefficient of growth (as measured by growth of sales) on profitability showed significant and positive relationship with profitability. The study used the debt ratio (measured by debt divided by equity) as a proxy for leverage, it shows a negative relationship with the profitability. This means that, when the leverage of the firm increases, it will adversely affect its profitability. Similarly, GDP growth of the country showed a significant positive relationship with profitability. It reflects that if the country's GDP increases, the profitability of Indian Automobile Industry will also increases. The model's adjusted R^2 is 69 per cent with an F-value of 6.24 which is significant ($p < 0.05$). The Durbin Watson statistic is 1.49.

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN INVENTORY CONVERSION PERIOD (ICP) AND PROFITABILITY

In Model 2, regression is run using Inventory Conversion Period (ICP) in days as an independent variable as a replacement for Accounts Receivables Period (ARP). The other variables are the same as they have been in the first regression. The result of the regression model is presented in Table 4. It is evident from the table that the co-efficient of inventory conversion period in days is negative in Indian automobile industry. Consistent with Raheman and Nasr (2007) and Lazaridis and Tryfonidis (2006) a negative relationship exist between Inventory Conversion Period (ICP) and profitability. This result suggests that the increase or decrease in the ICP in days affects profitability of the firm. It can be interpreted that if the inventory takes more time to sell, it will adversely affect profitability. The co-efficient on the other control variables are significant as in case of first regression model. The firm size is positively related to profitability and this is significant at 5 per cent level. The results of the regression also showed that growth of sales is positively related to firm's profitability. Similarly, the results showed that use of leverage have negative impact on firm's profitability. Further, the GDP growth of country is positively related to firm's profitability. The model's adjusted R^2 is 75 per cent with an F-value of 8.11 which is significant ($p < 0.01$). The Durbin Watson statistic is 1.79.

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN AVERAGE PAYMENT PERIOD (APP) AND PROFITABILITY

The third regression is run using the Average Payment Period (APP) as an independent variable as a substitute of Inventory Conversion Period (ICP) in days. The other control variables are same as they have been in first and second regression. The results of the regression model are presented in Table 4. In model 3, the co-efficient on the average payment period is positive and significant. This suggests that an increase in the number of days accounts payable by 1 day is associated with an increase in profitability. The positive relationship can be explained in two ways. First, contrary to Deloof (2003) and Raheman and Nasr (2007), this finding holds the more profitable firms waits longer to pay their bills. This implies that they withhold their payment to suppliers so as to take advantage of the cash available for their working capital needs. Second, this results makes economic sense in that the longer a firm delays its payments to its creditors, the higher the level of working capital levels it reserves and uses in order to increase profitability. This finding is in line with the working capital management rule that firms should strive to lag their payments to creditors as much as possible, taking care not to spoil their business relationship with them. Consistent with the other models, firm size, growth and GDP growth being positively related to profitability. Whereas an increase in the use of debt decreases profitability. The model's adjusted R^2 is 70 per cent with an F-value of 6.66 which is significant ($p < 0.01$). The Durbin Watson statistic is 1.76.

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CASH CONVERSION CYCLE (CCC) AND PROFITABILITY

In fourth regression model, cash conversion cycle is used as an independent variable instead of ARP, ICP and APP. The other variables are kept the same as they were in first three regressions. The results of the fourth regression model are presented in Table 4. Consistent with Deloof (2003), Raheman and Nasr (2007), Shin and Soenen (1998), Garcia-Teruel and Martinez-Solano (2007), Padachi (2006) and David M. Mathuva (2009), a negative relationship exists between the Cash Conversion Cycle (CCC) and profitability. This supports the notion that the ccc is negatively related with profitability. Shin and Soenen (1998) argued that the negative relation between profits and the cash conversion cycle could be explained by the market power or the market share, i.e., a shorter ccc because of bargaining power by the suppliers and/ or the customers as well as higher profitability due to market dominance. The negative relationship between the firm's ccc and profitability can also be explained by the fact that minimizing the investment in current assets can help in boosting profits. This ensures the liquid cash is not maintained in the business for long and that it is use to generate profits for the firm. The all other control variables showed similar findings as in the case of first three regression equations and significantly affecting profitability. The model's adjusted R^2 is 66 per cent with an F-value of 5.65 which is significant ($p < 0.05$). The Durbin Watson statistic is 1.47.

Model 5 acts as a control model for the variables under study. The model was run so as to provide an indicator as to the most significant variables affecting the study. The model shows that all the variables included are significant. In this model, the ARP, ICP and Leverage are negatively related with firms' profitability while APP, Size, Growth and GDP Growth variables exhibit a positive relationship. The model's adjusted R^2 is 80 per cent with an F-value of 7.71 which is significant ($p < 0.01$). The Durbin Watson statistic is 2.01.

CONCLUSION

The study of empirical relationship between liquidity and profitability is one of the areas of performance of corporate enterprise. This study has shown that Indian automobile industry has been able to achieve high scores on the various components of working capital and this has positive impact on its profitability. Empirical results of the study found a significant negative relationship between profitability and Accounts Receivable Period (ARP), Inventory Conversion Period (ICP) and Cash Conversion Cycle (CCC) for a sample of Indian automobile industry. These results suggest that managers can create value for their shareholders by reducing the number of days of accounts receivable and inventories to a reasonable minimum. Further, companies are capable of gaining sustainable competitive advantage by means of effective and efficient utilisation of the resources of the organisation through a careful reduction of the cash conversion cycle to its minimum. In doing so, the profitability of the firm is expected to increase. The study also observed that positive relationship between accounts payable period and profitability. This finding holds that more profitable firms wait longer to pay their bills. These conclusions are in confirmation with Deloof (2003), Eljelly (2004), Shin and Soenen (1998), Raheman and Nasr (2007), Lazaridis and Tryfonidis (2006), Padachi (2006), Amarjit et al., (2010) and Garcia-Teruel and Martinez-Solano (2007) who found a strong negative relationship between the measures of working capital management (liquidity) including accounts receivables period, inventory conversion period and cash conversion cycle with corporate profitability. Therefore, managers can create profits for their companies by handling correctly the cash conversion cycle and keeping each different component (accounts receivables, accounts payables and inventory) to an optimum level.

REFERENCES

- Ali Uyar (2009). "The relationship of cash conversion cycle with firm size and profitability: an empirical investigation in Turkey", *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics*, ISSN 1450-2887 (24).
- Amarjit Gill, Nahum Biger, Neil Mathur (2010). "The relationship between working capital management and profitability: evidence from the United States", *Business and Economics Journal*, Vol.2010:BEJ-10.
- Amit K. Mallik and Debasish Sur (1998). "Working capital and Profitability: A case study in interrelation", *The Management Accountant*, pp.805-809.
- Amit K. Mallik, Debashish Sur and Debdas Rakshit (2005). "Working capital and profitability: a study on their relationship with reference to selected companies in Indian Pharmaceutical Industry", *GITAM Journal of Management*, Vol. 3, pp.51-62.
- Appuhami, B.A.R.,(2008). The impact of firms capital expenditure on working capital management: An empirical study across industries in Thailand, *International Management Review*, Vol.4, pp.8-12.
- Bodie, Z. and Merton, R.C. (2000). *Finance, International Edition*, Prentice-Hall, New Jersey.
- Bardia, S.C. (2004). "Liquidity management: a case study of Steel Authority of India Ltd", *The Management Accountant*, pp.463-67.
- Blinder, A.S. and Maccini, L.J. (1991). "The resurgence of inventory research: what have we learned?", *Journal of Economic Survey*, Vol.5, pp.291-328.
- Dash, M. and Ravipati(2009). Liquidity-profitability model for working capital management, Working Paper, Alliance Business School. http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1408722.
- Deloof, M. (2003). "Does working capital management affect profitability of firms", *Vikalpa*, Vol.28 (2), pp.537-85.
- Dash, M. and Ravipati(2009). Liquidity-profitability model for working capital management, Working Paper, Alliance Business School. http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1408722.
- Farris II, M.T. and Hutchison, P.D. (2002). "Cash-to cash: the new supply chain management metric", *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistic Management*, Vol.32 (4), pp.288-298.
- Falope OI, Ajilore OT (2009). "Working capital management and corporate profitability: evidence from panel data analysis of selected quoted companies in Nigeria", *Research Journal of Business management*, Vol.3, pp.73-84.
- Garcia-Teruel, P.J. and Martinez-Solano, P. (2007). "Effects of working capital management on SME profitability", *International Journal of Managerial Finance*, Vol.3 (2), pp.164-177.
- Gentry, James A., R. Vaidyanathan and Hei Wai Lee (1990). "A weighted cash conversion cycle", *Financial Management*, Vol.19 (1), pp.90-99.
- Hager H.C.,(1976). "Cash Management and Cash Cycle", *Management Accounting*, pp.19-21.
- Hutchison, P.D., Farris II, M.T. and Anders, S.B. (2007). "Cash-to-cash analysis and management", *The CPA Journal*, Vol.77 (8), pp.42-47.
- Jordan, R.W. (2003). *Fundamentals of Corporate Finance*, 6th ed., McGraw-Hill Companies, Boston.
- Jose, M.L., Lancaster, C. and Stevens, J.L. (1996). "Corporate returns and cash conversion cycles", *Journal of Economics and Finance*, Vol.20 (1), pp.33-46.
- Keown, A.J., Martin, J.D., Petty, J.W. and Scott, D.F. (2003). *Foundations of Finance*, 4th ed., Pearson Education, New Jersey.
- Kamath, R. (1989). "How useful are common liquidity measures?," *Journal of Cash Management*, January/February.
- Katerina Lyroudi and Jhon Lazaridis (2000). "The cash conversion cycle and liquidity analysis of the food industry in Greece", <http://papers.ssrn.com/paper.fat?abstract-id=236175>.
- Kushwinder Kaur and Kuldeep Kaur (2008). Growth and Profitability of acquiring firms in India: An analysis, ANVESAK, Vol.38(2).
- Lazaridis, I., and D. Tryfonidis (2006). "Relationship between working capital management and profitability of listed companies in the Athens Stock Exchange", *Journal of Financial Management and Analysis*, Vol.19 (1), pp.26-35.
- Lancaster, C., Stevens, J.L. and Jennings, J.A. (1999). "Corporate liquidity and the significance of earnings versus cash flow: an examination of industry effects", *The Journal of Applied Business Research*, Vol.15 (3), pp.37-46.
- Lyroudi, K. and Mc Carty, D. (1993). "An empirical investigation of the Cash Conversion Cycle of small business firms", *The Journal of Small Business Finance*, Vol. 2(2), pp. 465-482.
- Largay, J. and C.Stickney.(1980). Cash flows, ratio analysis and the W.T.Grant company bankruptcy, *Financial Analyst Journal*, 36(4), pp.51-54.
- Lamberson, M. (1995). "Changes in working capital of small firms in relation to changes in economic activity", *Mid-American Journal of Business*, Vol.10 (2).

Moss, J.D. and Stine, B. (1993). "Cash conversion cycle and firm size: a study of retail firms", *Managerial Finance*, Vol.19 (8), pp.25-34.

Mathuva D. (2009). "The influence of working capital management components on corporate profitability: a survey on Kenyan listed firms", *Research Journal of Business Management*, Vol.3, pp.1-11.

Narware, P.C. (2004). "Working capital and profitability-an empirical analysis", *The Management Accountant*, pp.546-555.

Padachi, K. (2006). "Trends in working capital management and its impact on firms performance: an analysis of Mauritian small manufacturing firms", *International Review of Business Research Papers*, Vol. 2(2), pp.45-58.

Richards, V.D. and Laughlin, E.J. (1980). "A cash conversion cycle approach to liquidity analysis", *Financial Management*, Spring.

Raheman, A., and M. Nasr (2007). "Working capital management and profitability: case of Pakistani firms", *International Review of Business Research*, Vol.3(2), pp.275-96.

Ricci, C. and Vito, N.D. (2000). "International working capital practices in the UK", *European Financial Management*, Vol.6 (1), pp.69-84.

Ross, S.A., R.W. Westerfield and B.D.Jordan,(2003). *Fundamentals of Corporate Finance*.6th Edn. McGraw-Hill Irwin Publications, New York.

Shin,H.H and Soenen, L. (1998). "Efficiency of working capital and corporate profitability", *Financial Practice and Education*, Vol.8 (2), pp.37-45.

Smith,K. (1980). "Profitability versus liquidity trade offs in working capital management, in readings on the management of working capital", West Publishing Company, St. Paul, New York.

Smith, M.B., and Begemann, E. (1997). "Measuring association between working capital and return on investment", *South Africa Journal of Business Management*, Vol.28 (1), pp.1-5.

Soenen, L.A (1993). "Cash conversion cycle and profitability", *Journal of Cash Management*, Vol.13 (4), pp.53-58.

Schilling, G. (1996). "Working capital's role in maintaining corporate liquidity", *TMA Journal*, Vol.16 (5), pp.4-7.

Sur, D., J.Biswas and P. Ganguly (2001). "Liquidity Management in Indian Private Sector Enterprises: a case study of Indian Primary Aluminium Producing Industry", *Indian Journal of Accounting*, pp.8-14.

Sushma Vishnani and Bhupesh Kr. Shah (2007). "Impact of working capital management policies on corporate performance an empirical study", *Global Business Review*, 8/2/267.

Shulman, J.M. and Dambolena, I.G. (1986). "Analysing corporate liquidity", *Journal of Cash Management*, September/October.

Samuels, J. M. and Smyth, D.J. (1968). "Profits, Variability of Profits and Firm size," *Economica* , Vol. 35, pp. 127-139.

Van Horne, J C and Wachowicz J.M. (2004). "Fundamentals of Financial Management, 12th edition, Prentice Hall Publishers, New York.

Vijayakumar, A. and A. Venkatachalam (1995). "Working capital and profitability-an empirical analysis", *The Management Accountant*, pp.748-50.

Vijayakumar, A. (2002). "Determinants of Profitability-A firm level study of the sugar industry of Tamil Nadu", *The Management Accountant*, pp. 458-465.

Wang, Y.J. (2002). "Liquidity management, operating performance and corporate value: evidence from Japan and Taiwan", *Journal of Multinational Financial Management*, Vol. 12, pp.159-69.

Zelia Serrasqueiro (2009). "Growth and Profitability in Portuguese companies: A dynamic panel data approach", *Economic Interferences*, Vol.XI (26), pp.265-279.

TABLE 2: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS OF INDEPENDENT, DEPENDENT AND CONTROL VARIABLES

| 20 Indian Automobile Firms, 1996 – 2009 – 216 Firm -year observations (N = 216) | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------|------------------------|--------|---------|---------|----------|----------|
| Variables | Mean ± S.D | Standard Error of mean | Median | Minimum | Maximum | kurtosis | Skewness |
| PR | 22.29 ± 105.3 | 6.62 | 14.58 | -189.39 | 1638.92 | 222.83 | 14.44 |
| ARP | 37.22 ± 38.18 | 2.40 | 25.83 | 0.31 | 326.84 | 14.46 | 2.97 |
| ICP | 75.36 ± 61.78 | 3.88 | 62.47 | 0.00 | 730.44 | 54.21 | 5.78 |
| APP | 79.89 ± 85.41 | 5.37 | 63.42 | 0.00 | 1018.11 | 71.52 | 7.51 |
| CCC | 29.90 ± 65.81 | 4.14 | 19.07 | -255.76 | 312.03 | 5.58 | -0.18 |
| Size | 6.90 ± 1.70 | 0.11 | 6.86 | 0.92 | 10.39 | 0.01 | -0.27 |
| Growth | 10.60 ± 36.83 | 2.32 | 10.13 | -97.62 | 356.66 | 31.46 | 3.48 |
| Leverage | 1.33 ± 3.12 | 0.20 | 0.67 | 0.00 | 41.37 | 110.30 | 9.32 |
| GDPG | 12.73 ± 3.41 | 0.98 | 13.44 | 7.76 | 16.92 | -1.56 | -0.33 |

Notes : PR-Profit Rate on total assets; ARP-Accounts Receivables Period; ICP-Inventory Conversion Period; APP-Accounts Payable Period; CCC-Cash Conversion Cycle; Size-Natural logarithm of sales (proxy for size); Growth-Sales growth; Leverage-Debt/Equity; GDPG-Gross Domestic Product Growth.

Source: Computed.

TABLE 3 - CORRELATION MATRIX

| | PR | APR | ICP | APP | CCC | Size | Growth | Leverage | GDPG |
|----------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|----------|------|
| PR | 1.00 | | | | | | | | |
| ARP | -0.02 | 1.00 | | | | | | | |
| ICP | -0.08 | 0.23 | 1.00 | | | | | | |
| APP | 0.01 | 0.16 | 0.68 | 1.00 | | | | | |
| CCC | -0.09 | 0.60 | 0.02 | -0.45 | 1.00 | | | | |
| Size | 0.04 | -0.38 | -0.36 | -0.36 | -0.11 | 1.00 | | | |
| Growth | 0.03 | -0.18 | 0.06 | 0.03 | -0.13 | 0.18 | 1.00 | | |
| Leverage | -0.20 | 0.40 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.14 | -0.22 | 0.02 | 1.00 | |
| GDPG | -0.05 | -0.04 | 0.66 | 0.25 | 0.28 | -0.69 | -0.15 | -0.24 | 1.00 |

Notes : PR-Profit Rate on total assets; ARP-Accounts Receivables Period; ICP-Inventory Conversion Period; APP-Accounts Payable Period; CCC-Cash Conversion Cycle; Size-Natural logarithm of sales (proxy for size); Growth-Sales growth; Leverage-Debt/Equity; GDPG-Gross Domestic Product Growth.

Sources: Computed.

TABLE 4: REGRESSIONS OF PROFITABILITY ON WORKING CAPITAL VARIABLES
[20 Indian Automobile Firms, 1996-2009 : 216 Firm year observations]
(Dependent variable : Profit Rate on total assets(PR))

| Independent Variables | Model 1 | Model 2 | Model 3 | Model 4 | Model 5 |
|-------------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| Intercept | 11.85 | 15.59 | 17.48 | 15.07 | 12.35 |
| ARP | -0.06 (3.85)* | | | | -0.12 (3.87)* |
| ICP | | -0.04 (3.61)* | | | -0.05 (2.79)** |
| APP | | | 0.02 (3.05)** | | 0.01 (3.64)* |
| CCC | | | | -0.01 (2.31)*** | |
| Size | 0.35 (2.86)** | 0.64 (2.39)** | 0.58 (2.02)*** | 0.55 (1.66) | 0.20 (2.58)** |
| Growth | 0.07 (2.64)** | 0.28 (3.47)* | 0.07 (2.71)** | 0.14 (2.44)** | 0.07 (3.06)** |
| Leverage | -6.22 (1.86) | -0.36 (0.12) | -4.37 (2.33)** | -5.05 (1.23) | -3.53 (1.94)*** |
| GDPG | 0.33 (2.83)** | 0.41 (3.82)* | 0.39 (3.39)* | 0.36 (3.02)** | 0.39 (4.01)* |
| R² | 0.82 | 0.85 | 0.83 | 0.80 | 0.92 |
| Adjusted R² | 0.69 | 0.75 | 0.70 | 0.66 | 0.80 |
| F Value | 6.24** | 8.11* | 6.66* | 5.65* | 7.71** |
| Durbin Watson | 1.49 | 1.79 | 1.76 | 1.47 | 2.01 |

Notes : PR-Profit Rate on total assets; ARP-Accounts Receivables Period;
 ICP-Inventory Conversion Period; APP-Accounts Payable Period;
 CCC - Cash Conversion Cycle; Size- Natural logarithm of sales
 (proxy for size); S.Growth-Sales growth; Leverage - Debt / Equity;
 GDPG-Gross Domestic Product Growth.
 * P < 0.01; **P < 0.05; ***P < 0.10

Source: Computed

REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

Dear Readers

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce, IT and Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue as well as on the journal as a whole, on our E-mails i.e. **infoijrcm@gmail.com** or **info@ijrcm.org.in** for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries please feel free to contact us on our E-mail **infoijrcm@gmail.com**.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-

Co-ordinator