INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE, IT & MANAGEMENT



A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at:

Ulrich's Periodicals Directory @, ProQuest, U.S.A., EBSCO Publishing, U.S.A., Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A.

as well as in

Registered & Listed at: Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than 1500 Cities in 141 countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

CONTENTS

Sr. No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page No.
1.	THE POTENTIAL EFFECTS OF MANDATORY AUDIT FIRM ROTATION: EVIDENCE FROM NIGERIA DR. FAMOUS I.O. IZEDONMI & KILLIAN O. OGIEDU	1
2.	UNDERSTANDING THE DYNAMICS OF WORK - FAMILY INTERFACE THROUGH THE BOUNDARY THEORY: A REVIEW OF EMPIRICAL RESEARCH RUKSANA BANU, DR. DURRISHAH BTE IDRUS & DR. VIJAYA KUMAR GUDEP	13
3.	BUSINESS STRATEGIES OF BANKS: IT'S IMPACT ON CONSUMERS DR. KAUP MOHAMED	18
4.	A STUDY ON READER'S PERFERENCE OF THE GULF NEWS AND THE KHALEEJA NEWS PAPERS IN THE UAE DR. K. DURGA PRASAD & BANDA RAJANI	22
5.	LOCAL GOVERNMENT AUDIT IN NIGERIA: EFFECTIVENESS AND AUTONOMY DR. FAMOUS I.O. IZEDONMI & KILLIAN O. OGIEDU	28
6.	RETAILING AND CONSUMER BEHAVIOUR LINKAGES TO BRANDING OF WOMEN APPAREL SAIJU M JOHN & DR. K. MARAN	38
7.	DEVELOPING A KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT STRATEGY TO REDUCE COST OF QUALITY FOR AUTOMOBILE INDUSTRY S.N.TELI, DR. V. S. MAJALI, DR. U. M. BHUSHI & SANJAY PATIL	45
8.	PROFITABILITY AND CONSISTENCY ANALYSIS OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY SECTOR DR. K. S. VATALIYA, RAJESH A. JADAV & MALHAR.G.TRIVEDI	49
9.	IDENTIFICATION OF TECHNOLOGICAL NEEDS AND PROBLEMS OF POULTRY FARMERS FOR FORMULATION OF RESEARCH AND EXTENSION PROGRAMMES IN ANIMAL HUSBANDRY DR. P. MATHIALAGAN	54
10.	MARK MODEL FOR IMPROVING THE PERFORMANCE OF TEMPORARY EMPLOYEES IN AUTOMOBILE INDUSTRY DR. MU. SUBRAHMANIAN & ANJANI NAGARAN	58
11.	EXPLORING THE FACTORS FOR CHANNEL SATISFACTION AMONG EMPLOYEES IN INDIAN RETAIL AJMER SINGH, R. K. GUPTA & SATISH KAPOOR	63
12.	EXECUTIVES' PERCEPTION ABOUT PROJECT MANAGEMENT PRACTICES IN BEML BANGALORE L. MYNAVATHI & DR. P. NATARAJAN	69
13.	PERCEPTION, EXPECTATIONS AND EXPERIENCE OF PASSENGERS: AN ANALYTICAL STUDY OF USERS OF VAYU VAJRA BUS SERVICES IN BANGALORE BY USING ACSI MODEL DR. S. JOHN MANOHAR & SUSHEELA DEVI B. DEVARU.	75
14.	MOMENTUM COEFFICIENT (Me) – AN EFFECTIVE TECHNICAL TOOL FOR PROJECTING TIME & PRICE TARGET CORRELATION IN THE PROGRESSION OF TRADABLE FINANCIAL SECURITIES DR. PRAVIN MOKASHI	82
15.	STUDY OF BRAND RECALL OF CONSUMER DURABLES AMONG CONSUMERS IN PUNJAB BHAVNA PRASHAR & ANUPAMA SHARMA	84
16.	TIME DEPENDENT ERROR DETECTION RATE: SOFTWARE RELIABILITY GROWTH MODELS V/S STATISTICAL TECHNIQUES SANJEEV KUMAR & SACHIN GUPTA	89
17.	EMERGING ISSUES OF DEVELOPING MARKETING TACTICS THROUGH INTERNET: EVIDENCE FROM CAPGEMINI MADHUPARNA DAS & NILANJAN RAY	94
18.	"SATYAMEV JAYATE" AAMIR KHAN'S TALK SHOW: AN AVANT-GARDE MARKETING CASE GUNJN SINGH	100
19.	EFFECTIVENESS OF KISAN CREDIT CARD SCHEME IN KARNATAK STATE DR. RAMESH.O.OLEKAR	104
20.	IMPACT OF FOREIGN EDUCATION PROVIDERS IN INDIA DR. HANNAH FREDERICK	110
21.	EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE AND STRESSORS AMONG WORKING COUPLES H. L. NAGARAJA MURTHY	115
22.	SOCIO-ECONOMIC CONDITIONS OF WOMEN WORKERS IN SOME SELECTED BRICK KILNS IN WEST BENGAL: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO NADIA DISTRICT SWAPAN KUMAR ROY	121
23.	TATA INDICA: NEW PRODUCT LAUNCH-OPERATIONAL ISSUES-MARKETING STRATEGIES SHIKHA SINGH, MANMEET KOCHHAR & NILOSHA SHARMA	129
24.	STUDY OF INVESTOR'S BEHAVIOR TOWARDS INVESTMENT IN FINANCIAL SECURITIES RICHA TULI & ABHIJEET KHATRI	137
25.	SOCIO ECONOMIC DETERMINANTS OF WOMEN EMPOWERMENT THROUGH MICRO FINANCE WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO COIMBATORE DISTRICT M. MUTHUMANI & K. GUNASUNDARI	142
26.	LEVEL OF AWARENESS ABOUT MUTUAL FUNDS AMONG MANAGEMENT ACADEMICIANS IN RAJASTHAN- AN EMPIRICAL STUDY	148
27.	DR. DHIRAJ JAIN & SAHARSH MEHRA LEADERSHIP & MANAGEMENT STYLES WORKING HANDS ON WITH ORGANISATIONAL CULTURE BISWAJIT DATTAJOSHI	156
28.	A CRITICAL – ANALYTICAL STUDY OF THE BUSINESS STUDIES TEXT BOOK PRESCRIBED AT THE HIGHER SECONDARY CLASS OF KERALA STATE	162
29.	MUJEEB RAHIMAN KATTALI THE IMPACT OF WORKING RELATIONSHIPS AND DELIVERY OF EVP IN THE EMPLOYEE TURNOVER PROCESS I. B. K. KRISHMAN & SUIDHIR WARIER	167
30.	L. R. K. KRISHNAN & SUDHIR WARIER OPPORTUNITIES OF INDIAN TOURISM INDUSTRY (WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE OF MEDICAL TOURISM)	175
	K. N. MARIMUTHU REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK	184

CHIEF PATRON

PROF. K. K. AGGARWAL

Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Delhi Founder Vice-Chancellor, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

SH. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Ex. State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

CO-ORDINATOR

AMITA

Faculty, Government M. S., Mohali

ADVISORS

DR. PRIYA RANJAN TRIVEDI

Chancellor, The Global Open University, Nagaland

PROF. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Director A. C. D., School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

PROF. M. N. SHARMA

Chairman, M.B.A., Haryana College of Technology & Management, Kaithal

PROF. S. L. MAHANDRU

Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

EDITOR

PROF. R. K. SHARMA

Professor, Bharti Vidyapeeth University Institute of Management & Research, New Delhi

CO-EDITOR

DR. BHAVET

Faculty, M. M. Institute of Management, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

DR. RAJESH MODI

Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

PROF. SANJIV MITTAL

University School of Management Studies, Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delh

PROF. ANIL K. SAINI

Chairperson (CRC), Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

DR. SAMBHAVNA

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

DR. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, P. J. L. N. Government College, Faridabad

DR. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE

Asst. Professor, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga **MOHITA**

Faculty, Yamuna Institute of Engineering & Technology, Village Gadholi, P. O. Gadhola, Yamunanagar

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

PROF. NAWAB ALI KHAN

Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

PROF. ABHAY BANSAL

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity University, Noida

PROF. A. SURYANARAYANA

Department of Business Management, Osmania University, Hyderabad

DR. SAMBHAV GARG

Faculty, M. M. Institute of Management, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana

PROF. V. SELVAM

SSL, VIT University, Vellore

DR. PARDEEP AHLAWAT

Associate Professor, Institute of Management Studies & Research, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak

DR. S. TABASSUM SULTANA

Associate Professor, Department of Business Management, Matrusri Institute of P.G. Studies, Hyderabad **SURJEET SINGH**

Asst. Professor, Department of Computer Science, G. M. N. (P.G.) College, Ambala Cantt.

TECHNICAL ADVISOR

Faculty, Government H. S., Mohali

MOHITA

Faculty, Yamuna Institute of Engineering & Technology, Village Gadholi, P. O. Gadhola, Yamunanagar

FINANCIAL ADVISORS

DICKIN GOYAL

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

NEENA

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

LEGAL ADVISORS

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

SUPERINTENDENT

3.

CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to recent developments & practices in the area of Computer, Business, Finance, Marketing, Human Resource Management, General Management, Banking, Insurance, Corporate Governance and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting Education; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Monetary Policy; Portfolio & Security Analysis; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Business Education; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law, Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labor Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; Public Administration; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism, Hospitality & Leisure; Transportation/Physical Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Digital Logic; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Multimedia; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic and Web Design. The above mentioned tracks are only indicative, and not exhaustive.

Anybody can submit the soft copy of his/her manuscript anytime in M.S. Word format after preparing the same as per our submission guidelines duly available on our website under the heading guidelines for submission, at the email address: infoijrcm@gmail.com.

GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT

	OVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:	DATED:
	HE EDITOR IRCM	
Sul	ubject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF	
(<u>e</u>	e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Compu	uter/IT/Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)
DE	DEAR SIR/MADAM	
Ple	lease find my submission of manuscript entitled '	for possible publication in your journals.
	hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore, it has neither inder review for publication elsewhere.	er been published elsewhere in any language fully or partly, nor is it
I af	affirm that all the author (s) have seen and agreed to the submitted version of the manusci	ript and their inclusion of name (s) as co-author (s).
	also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the formalities as giontribution in any of your journals.	iven on the website of the journal & you are free to publish our
NA	IAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:	
	Designation: offiliation with full address, contact numbers & Pin Code:	
	desidential address with Pin Code:	
	Nobile Number (s):	
Mo		
	andline Number (s):	
Lar	andline Number (s): -mail Address:	T. FB.
Lar E-n		
Lar E-r Alt	-mail Address:	1
Lar E-r Alt	-mail Address: Identification of the second	able to be rejected without any consideration), which will start from
Lar E-r Alt NC	 -mail Address: Idernate E-mail Address: IOTES: The whole manuscript is required to be in ONE MS WORD FILE only (pdf. version is lied the covering letter, inside the manuscript. The sender is required to mention the following in the SUBJECT COLUMN of the mail:	
Lar E-r Alt NC a)	-mail Address: Idernate E-mail Address: IOTES: The whole manuscript is required to be in ONE MS WORD FILE only (pdf. version is lia the covering letter, inside the manuscript. The sender is required to mention the following in the SUBJECT COLUMN of the mail: New Manuscript for Review in the area of (Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Manage	
Lar E-r Alt NC a)	-mail Address: Identification of the whole manuscript is required to be in <i>ONE MS WORD FILE</i> only (pdf. version is liathe covering letter, inside the manuscript. The sender is required to mention the following in the SUBJECT COLUMN of the mail: New Manuscript for Review in the area of (Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Manage Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)	ement/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/
Lar E-r Alt NC a) b)	-mail Address: Identification of the manuscript is required to be in ONE MS WORD FILE only (pdf. version is liated to the covering letter, inside the manuscript. The sender is required to mention the following in the SUBJECT COLUMN of the mail: New Manuscript for Review in the area of (Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Manage Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify) There is no need to give any text in the body of mail, except the cases where the authors.	ement/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/
Lar E-r Alt NC a)	-mail Address: Identification of the manuscript is required to be in ONE MS WORD FILE only (pdf. version is liated to the covering letter, inside the manuscript. The sender is required to mention the following in the SUBJECT COLUMN of the mail: New Manuscript for Review in the area of (Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Manage Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify) There is no need to give any text in the body of mail, except the cases where the author the total size of the file containing the manuscript is required to be below 500 KB.	ement/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/ or wishes to give any specific message w.r.t. to the manuscript.
Lar E-r Alt NC a) b)	-mail Address: Identification of the manuscript is required to be in ONE MS WORD FILE only (pdf. version is liated to the covering letter, inside the manuscript. The sender is required to mention the following in the SUBJECT COLUMN of the mail: New Manuscript for Review in the area of (Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Manage Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify) There is no need to give any text in the body of mail, except the cases where the author. The total size of the file containing the manuscript is required to be below 500 KB. Abstract alone will not be considered for review, and the author is required to submit	ement/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/ or wishes to give any specific message w.r.t. to the manuscript. the complete manuscript in the first instance.

AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS: The author (s) full name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline numbers, and email/alternate email

ABSTRACT: Abstract should be in fully italicized text, not exceeding 250 words. The abstract must be informative and explain the background, aims, methods,

MANUSCRIPT TITLE: The title of the paper should be in a 12 point Calibri Font. It should be bold typed, centered and fully capitalised.

address should be in italic & 11-point Calibri Font. It must be centered underneath the title.

results & conclusion in a single para. Abbreviations must be mentioned in full.

- KEYWORDS: Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of five. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by 5. commas and full stops at the end.
- MANUSCRIPT: Manuscript must be in BRITISH ENGLISH prepared on a standard A4 size PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER. It must be prepared on a single space and 6. single column with 1" margin set for top, bottom, left and right. It should be typed in 8 point Calibri Font with page numbers at the bottom and centre of every page. It should be free from grammatical, spelling and punctuation errors and must be thoroughly edited.
- HEADINGS: All the headings should be in a 10 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each 7.
- SUB-HEADINGS: All the sub-headings should be in a 8 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. 8.
- 9. MAIN TEXT: The main text should follow the following sequence:

INTRODUCTION

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUD

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

OBJECTIVES

HYPOTHESES

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS

SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

REFERENCES

It should be in a 8 point Calibri Font, single spaced and justified. The manuscript should preferably not exceed 5000 WORDS.

- 10. FIGURES &TABLES: These should be simple, crystal clear, centered, separately numbered & self explained, and titles must be above the table/figure. Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure. It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text.
- EQUATIONS: These should be consecutively numbered in parentheses, horizontally centered with equation number placed at the right. 11
- 12. REFERENCES: The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript and they are supposed to follow Harvard Style of Referencing. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
- All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
- Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
- When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc, in chronologically ascending order.
- Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
- The title of books and journals should be in italics. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
- For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parentheses.
- The location of endnotes within the text should be indicated by superscript numbers.

PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:

BOOKS

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

CONFERENCE PAPERS

Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19-22 June.

UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS AND THESES

Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

ONLINE RESOURCES

Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

WEBSITES

Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Natural Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp

LEVEL OF AWARENESS ABOUT MUTUAL FUNDS AMONG MANAGEMENT ACADEMICIANS IN RAJASTHAN-AN EMPIRICAL STUDY

DR. DHIRAJ JAIN ASST. PROFESSOR PACIFIC INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT UDAIPUR

SAHARSH MEHRA
STUDENT
FACULTY OF MANAGEMENT STUDIES
PACIFIC UNIVERSITY
UDAIPUR

ABSTRACT

Mutual fund is a growing investment avenue and more people are being attracted towards it. The Asset Management Companies (AMCs) are also attracting more and more clients and bring out new schemes and newer kinds of services. But the awareness of these Mutual funds is very less among the public. The present study is an effort to check the awareness among the management academicians about mutual funds, their concept and the services they provide. It studies the awareness about mutual funds among management academicians on several parameters. The study was conducted in Rajasthan and 100 questionnaires were distributed to randomly selected management academicians. The results showed a low level of awareness about mutual funds in Rajasthan among management academicians. There is a vast scope & several opportunities are available for the growth of the mutual fund industry but for that management academicians have to be well aware so that they can teach their students well as the management students are the key to disseminate the knowledge of this industry and take this industry to greater heights.

KEYWORDS

mutual fund, awareness, management, academicians.

INTRODUCTION

utual funds are a vehicle to mobilize money from investors, to invest in different markets and securities, in line with the investment objectives agreed upon, between the mutual fund and the investors. Their primary role is to assist investors in earning an income or building their wealth, by participating in the opportunities available in various securities and markets. In India, mutual funds are created as trusts. The investors are the beneficial owners of the investments held by the trust. The structure to be followed by mutual funds in India is laid down in SEBI (Mutual Fund) Regulations, 1996. Mutual funds in India follow a three-tier structure of sponsor, trust and asset management company (AMC).

The mutual fund industry in India has come a long way. Significant spurts in size were noticed in the late 80s, when public sector mutual funds were first permitted, and then in the mid-90s, when private sector mutual funds commenced operations. In the last few years, institutional distributors increased their focus on mutual funds. The emergence of stock exchange brokers as an additional channel of distribution, the continuing growth in convenience arising out of technological developments, and higher financial literacy in the market should drive the growth of mutual funds in future.

In India there is a vast scope for mutual fund industry. Still there are so many areas which are untapped which remains to be channelized properly. As compared to U.S.A & Europe, the mutual fund industry in India is very small. Most of the Indians still believe in putting their money into the banks rather than investing in security market and those who have little bit interest in security market just invest and trade through stock market. So, mutual fund is still a puzzle for them. They are unaware about the importance of mutual funds & how it works. Now the primary question that arises is who is going to create this awareness? Who is going to explain the people about the benefits of mutual funds? Who has to work as middlemen? The answers to all questions are management academicians. They are the persons who can guide the general public in proper manner & tell them how important it is for companies & as well as for Indian economy. They play an important role in students' lives because they give a base to their students so that they can update and educate themselves about the different aspects of the securities market. This study studies the level of awareness about mutual fund among management academicians and provides suggestions to enhance the same particularly in Rajasthan.

REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

Luigi Guiso & Tullio Jappelli (2005) documented the lack of awareness of financial assets in the 1995 and 1998 Bank of Italy Surveys of Household Income and Wealth. They found that lack of financial awareness had important implications for understanding the stockholding puzzle and for estimating stock market participation costs. Gordon J. Alexander, Jonathan D. Jones & Peter J. Nigro (1998) selected 2000 mutual fund investors who purchased shares from six different distribution channels. Their survey results strongly suggest there is room for improvement in the level of financial literacy of mutual fund investors. Money magazine & the Vanguard Funds Group (1996) jointly conducted a 20-question survey of 1,467 mutual fund investors and found that most investors have inadequate knowledge about their mutual fund investments. Francisco Climent & Pilar Soriano (2011) examined the performance and risk sensitivities of US green mutual funds vis-à-vis their conventional peers. They also analyzed and compared this performance relative to other socially responsible investing (SRI) mutual funds. Donald R. lichtenstein, Patrick J. Kaufmann & Sanjai bhagat (1999) drawing largely on insights from the psychology, consumer behavior, and behavioral finance literatures offered a series of hypotheses that partially account for consumer choices. They concluded with a call for increased governmentand employer-sponsored education programs aimed at creating a more informed consumer investor. Kavita Ranganathan (2006) made an attempt to examine the related aspects of the fund selection behaviour of individual investors towards Mutual funds, in the city of Mumbai. From the researchers and academicians point of view, such a study will help in developing and expanding knowledge in this field. Nidhi valia & Ravi kiran (2009) concluded that financial markets were constantly becoming more efficient by providing promising solutions to the investors. Being a part of financial markets although mutual funds industry were responding very fast by understanding the dynamics of investor's perception towards rewards, still they are continuously following this race in their endeavour to differentiate their products responding to sudden changes in the economy. Thus, it was high time to understand and analyze investor's perception and expectations, and unveil some extremely valuable information to support financial decision making of mutual funds. S. Manjesh Roy (2005) noticed that money markets offer superior avenues for development of bulk short-term funds in terms of risk, return and liquidity. Money Market Mutual Funds make it possible for retail investors to participate in money markets. Despite the advantages, various constraints have impeded the potential of MMMFs. A lack of long-term vision on part of the mutual fund industry had resulted in low levels of retail penetration. Other structural aspects like a lack of awareness, poor infrastructure for fund transfer and regulatory restrictions had also contributed to the poor impact of MMMFs at the macro level. Sukhiwnder kaur dhanda Dr. G.S batra & Dr. Bimal Anjum (2012) concluded that mutual fund industry was just four decades old in India. During this short span of time it had a tremendous growth. So considering these points their study attempted to study the performance of selected open ended schemes in terms of risk and return relationship. Dr. Ranjit Singh Dr. Anurag Singh & Dr. H. Ramananda Singh (2011) propounded that in the recent past the significant proportions of the investment of the urban investor's were being attracted by the mutual funds. This has led to the saturation of the market in the urban areas. In order to increase their investor base, the mutual fund companies were exploring the opportunities in small towns and sub-urban areas. But marketing the mutual funds in these areas required the positioning of the products in the minds of the investors in a different way. The product had to be acceptable to the investors, it should be affordable, should be made available to them and at the same time the investors should be aware of it. Their study dealt with all those issues. It measured the degree of influence on acceptability, affordability, availability and awareness among the small town and sub-urban investors on their investment decisions. R.S. Meena (2011) noticed that the financial institution gave the investment, growth and development to the business and society. The investors could grow with skills and competencies of the financial advisors and counsellors who could use their expertise to use the wealth of people with intelligent judgment and could give high returns to the people. The aim of their study was to build a strategic framework for the accurate investment of mutual funds to give high returns to their investors. David Levin Robert F. Stambaugh & Christopher Geczy (2005) constructed optimal portfolios of mutual funds whose objectives included socially responsible investment (SRI). Comparing portfolios of these funds to those constructed from the broader fund universe revealed the cost of imposing the SRI constraint on investors seeking the highest Sharpe ratio. This SRI cost depended crucially on the investor's views about asset pricing models and stock-picking skill by fund managers. To an investor who believes strongly in the CAPM and rules out managerial skill, i.e. a market-index investor, the cost of the SRI constraint was typically just a few basis points per month, measured in certainly-equivalent loss. To an investor who still disallows skill but instead believes to some degree in pricing models that associate higher returns with exposures to size, value, and momentum factors, the SRI constraint is much costlier, typically by at least 30 basis points per month. The SRI constraint imposes large costs on investors whose beliefs allow a substantial amount of fund-manager skill, i.e., investors who rely heavily on individual funds' track records to predict future performance. According to Niamey (2008) mutual funds were the biggest financial assets for many investors and were important in today's investing world. The investors could achieve their investment goals with the help of well-established mechanics of Mutual Fund's portfolio. Erik r. Sirri & Peter Tufano (1998) studied the flows of funds into and out of equity mutual funds. According to them consumers base their fund purchase decisions on prior performance information, but do so asymmetrically, investing disproportionately more in funds that performed very well the prior period. Search costs seem to be an important determinant of fund flows. High performance appears to be most salient for funds that exert higher marketing effort, as measured by higher fees. Flows were directly related to the size of the fund's complex as well as the current media attention it received, which lowered consumers' search costs. Massimo Massa & Nishant Dass studied one of the potential causes of the financial market bubble of the late 1990s: the herding behavior of mutual funds. They showed that the incentives contained in the mutual funds' advisory contracts induce managers to overcome their tendency to herd. They argued that investing in bubble stocks amounts to herding and contracts with high incentives induce managers to diverge from the herd, thus reducing their holding of bubble stocks. The differential exposure to bubble stocks significantly impacted the funds' performance both in the period prior to March 2000, as well as afterwards. Langer (1983) suggested that when preferences were based on choices, there was more ego involvement and attachment to them, suggesting heightened level of preference bias. This phenomenon was consistent with the prediction from Cognitive Dissonance theory of Festinger (1957). Robert J. Shiller (1993) reported that many investors did not have data analysis and interpretation skill as data from the market supports the merits of index investing, passive investors were more likely to base their investment choices on information received from objective or scientific sources. Phillip (1995) reported that there was a change in financial decision-making and investor behaviour as a result of participating in investor education programmes sponsored by employees. Berhein and Garnette (1996) affirmed Philip's findings and further stated that a serious national campaign to promote savings through education and information could have a measurable impact on financial behaviour. Alexander et al., (1996) reported that only 18.9% of respondents could provide an estimate of expenses for their largest MF holding. 57% stated that they did not know what the expenses were even at the time they made the MF purchase. This suggests insensitivity to costs and many investors did not use fund costs as an evaluative criterion in making investment decisions.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

- To study the level of awareness about mutual fund among management teachers in Rajasthan.
- 2. To know their level of understanding about the security market.
- To understand their preferences between stock market investments and mutual fund investments.

HYPOTHESIS FRAMED FOR THE STUDY

- There is no significant association between select demographic factors of the management academicians & their knowledge about security market. H₁.
- There is no significant association between select demographic factors of management academicians & their preference towards investment. H_2
- There is no significant association between demographic factors of Management Academicians & their study habits before investing. H₃:
- H₄: There is no significant association between demographic factors and the type of investors.
- There is no significant association between demographic factors & the knowledge about regulator of the stock market. H₅:
- H_6 : There is no significant association between demographic factors & their perception regarding long term investment.
- There is no significant association between demographic factors and their tracking habits after investment H₇:
- H₈: There is no significant association between demographic factors & awareness about MF terminology
- H₉: There is no significant association between demographic factors & the knowledge about the regulator of Mutual Funds.
- H₁₀: There is no significant association between demographic factors & awareness about fund objective of scheme.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The following methodology was used in this study:

SAMPLING DESIGN

This research is totally done on the management teachers of Rajasthan. The data was collected from the 4 major districts of Rajasthan Udaipur, Jaipur, Kota, and Jodhpur.

SAMPLE SIZE

The total number of respondents was 100, out of which 15 respondents belong to Kota, 38 to Jaipur, 30 to Udaipur & 17 to Jodhpur. **COLLECTION OF DATA**

This questionnaire consisted of 23 questions to check the awareness of management academicians towards Mutual funds.

TOOLS FOR ANALYSIS OF DATA

In this study chi-square test has been applied between various demographic factors & other aspects of research.

DATA ANALYSIS & INTERPRETATION

TABLE 1: DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE OF THE RESPONDENTS

		Frequency	Percent	
City	Udaipur	30	30.0	
	Kota	15	15.0	
	Jaipur	38	38.0	
	Jodhpur	17	17.0	
	Total	100	100.0	
		•	•	
Gender	Male	59	59.0	
	Female	41	41.0	
	Total	100	100.0	
		•	•	
Marital Status	Married	76	76.0	
	Unmarried	24	24.0	
	Total	100	100.0	
			•	
Age group	< 30 years	34	34.0	
	30-40 years	39	39.0	
	40-50 years	16	16.0	
	> 50 years	11	11.0	
	Total	100	100.0	
Qualifications	Graduate	17	17.0	
	Post graduation	49	49.0	
	Professional	16	16.0	
	Others	18	18.0	
	Total	100	100.0	
Income group	< Rs 150000	3	3.0	
	Rs 150000-300000	25	25.0	
	Rs 300000-500000	53	53.0	
	> Rs 500000	19	19.0	
	Total	100	100.0	
ondents 30 held	ng to Udaipur, 15 to k	ota 17 to loc	lhnur & 38	

Interpretation: The table shows that of 100 respondents, 30 belong to Udaipur, 15 to Kota, 17 to Jodhpur & 38 belong to Jaipur. 59 were male & 41 female. 76 respondents were married & rest 24 unmarried. Respondents who lies in age group of less than 30 years were 34, between 30- 50 years were 55 and 11 respondents were more than 50 years of age. 49 respondents possess a PG degree while 17 respondents came under the category of graduate degree holders and 16 had done professional courses. Maximum 53 respondents earned income between 300000 to 500000 Rs. 19 respondents earned more than Rs. 500000 Rs. and rest 28 earned income less than Rs. 300000.

H₁. There is no significant association between demographic factors & knowledge about security market.

TABLE 2: DEMOGRAPHIC FACTORS & KNOWLEDGE ABOUT SECURITY MARKET

		Knowle	dge about securit	y market	Total	Calculated value	df	Tabulated value	Accepted / rejected
		High	Moderate	Low					
City	Udaipur	3	27	0	30	8.793	6	12.592	Accepted
	Kota	2	11	2	15				
	jaipur	8	28	2	38				
	Jodhpur	1	16	0	17				
Total		14	82	4	100				
Gender	Male	11	47	1	59	4.224	2	5.991	accepted
	Female	3	35	3	41				
Total		14	82	4	100				
Marital Status	married	12	63	1	76	6.459	2	5.991	rejected
	Unmarried	2	19	3	24				
Total		14	82	4	100				
Age group	< 30 years	4	27	3	34	5.086	6	12.592	accepted
	30-40 years	7	32	0	39				
	40-50 years	2	13	1	16				
	> 50 years	1	10	0	11				
Total		14	82	4	100				
Qualifications	Graduate	0	16	1	17	4.476	6	12.592	accepted
	Post graduation	9	39	1	49				
	Professional	2	13	1	16				
	Others	3	14	1	18				
Total		14	82	4	100				
Income group	< Rs 150000	0	2	1	3	12.244	6	12.592	accepted
	Rs 150000-300000	1	22	2	25				
	Rs 300000-500000	9	43	1	53				
	> Rs 500000	4	15	0	19				
Total		14	82	4	100				

Interpretation: According to this table, there is no significant association between demographic factors (such as city, gender, age group, qualifications, income group) & knowledge about security market because the calculated value is less than the tabulated value. In the case of marital status there is significant association between demographic factors & knowledge about security market indicating that marital status had an impact on the knowledge about the security

 H_2 : There is no significant association between demographic factors & their preference towards investment.

TABLE 3: DEMOGRAPHIC FACTORS & THEIR PREFERENCE

		Which would y	ou prefer the mo	st?	Total	calculated value	df	tabulated value	accepted/
		Stock market	Mutual Funds	Both					rejected
City	Udaipur	10	2	18	30	4.257	6	12.592	accepted
	Kota	7	1	7	15				
	Jaipur	11	5	22	38				
	Jodhpur	7	0	10	17				
Total		35	8	57	100				
Gender	Male	12	7	40	59	14.467	2	5.991	rejected
	Female	23 1 17 41							
Total		35	8	57	100				
Marital Status	Married	23	8	45	76	4.828	2	5.991	accepted
	Unmarried	12	0	12	24				
Total		35	8	57	100				
Age group	< 30 years	14	0	20	34	9.58	6	12.592	accepted
	30-40 years	10	6	23	39				
	40-50 years	5	2	9	16				
	> 50 years	6	0	5	11				
Total		35	8	57	100				
Qualifications	Graduate	11	0	6	17	15.679	6	12.592	rejected
	Post graduation	13	4	32	49				
	Professional	7	0	9	16				
	Others	4	4	10	18				
Total		35	8	57	100				
Income group	< Rs 150000	3	0	0	3	9.639	6	12.592	accepted
	Rs 150000-300000	11	2	12	25				
	Rs 300000-500000	18	4	31	53				
	> Rs 500000	3	2	14	19				
Total	•	35	8	57	100				

Interpretation: According to this table, there is no significant association between demographic factors (such as city, marital status, age group, income group) & their preference because the calculated value is less than the tabulated value. In the case of gender, qualifications, there is significant association between demographic factors & their preference.

H₃: There is no significant association between demographic factors & the study habits before investing.

TABLE 4: DEMOGRAPHIC FACTORS & STUDY HABITS BEFORE INVESTING

		Do you o	ever study k	pefore investing in security	Total	Calculated value	df	Tabulated value	accepted/ rejected
		Yes	No	Sometimes					
City	Udaipur	17	4	9	30	4.979	6	12.592	accepted
	Kota	8	2	5	15				
	Jaipur	29	3	6	38				
	Jodhpur	13	1	3	17				
Total		67	10	23	100				
Gender	Male	47	1	11	59	14.556	2	5.991	rejected
	Female	20	9	12	41				
Total		67	10	23	100	100			
Marital	Married	53	7	16	76	1.074	2	5.991	accepted
Status	Unmarried	14	3	7	24				
Total		67	10	23	100				
Age group	< 30 years	24	4	6	34	1.796	6	12.592	accepted
	30-40 years	27	3	9	39				
	40-50 years	9	2	5	16				
	> 50 years	7	1	3	11				
Total		67	10	23	100				
Qualifications	Graduate	7	3	7	17	8.905	6	12.592	accepted
	Post graduation	35	4	10	49				
	Professional	13	0	3	16	1			
	Others	12	3	3	18				
Total		67	10	23	100				
Income	< Rs 150000	2	1	0	3	13.871	6	12.592	rejected
group	Rs 150000-	11	6	8	25]			
	300000								
	Rs 300000-	39	3	11	53				
	500000								
	> Rs 500000	15	0	4	19				
Total		67	10	23	100				

Interpretation: According to this table, there is no significant association between demographic factors (such as city, marital status, age group, qualifications) & study habits before investing because the calculated value is less than the tabulated value. In the case of gender, income group, there is significant association between demographic factors & study habits before investing so it indicates that gender & income group had an impact on the study habits before investing. H_4 : There is no significant association between demographic factors and type of investors.

TABLE 5: DEMOGRAPHIC FACTORS & TYPE OF INVESTORS

		Type of in	vestors		Total	Calculated value	df	Tabulated value	Accepted/ rejected
		Intraday	long-term	others					
City	Udaipur	1	20	9	30	2.232	6	12.592	accepted
	Kota	1	10	4	15]			
	Jaipur	2	26	10	38				
	Jodhpur	0	14	3	17]			
Total		4	70	26	100				
Gender	Male	4	53	2	59	39.158	2	5.991	rejected
	Female	0	17	24	41				
Total		4	70	26	100				
Marital Status	married	3	59	14	76	9.633	2	5.991	accepted
	Unmarried	1	11	12	24				
Total		4	70	26	100				
Age group	< 30 years	1	19	14	34	8.983	6	12.592	accepted
	30-40 years	3	30	6	39				
	40-50 years	0	12	4	16				
	> 50 years	0	9	2	11				
Total		4	70	26	100				
Qualifications	Graduate	0	10	7	17	8.405	6	12.592	accepted
	Post graduation	1	34	14	49				
	Professional	2	11	3	16				
	Others	1	15	2	18				
Total		4	70	26	100				
Income group	< Rs 150000	0	1	2	3	23.822	6	12.592	rejected
	Rs 150000-300000	2	9	14	25				
	Rs 300000-500000	1	43	9	53				
	> Rs 500000	1	17	1	19				
Total		4	70	26	100				

Interpretation: According to this table, there is no significant association between demographic factors (such as city, marital status, age group, qualifications) & type of investors because the calculated value is less than the tabulated value. In the case of gender, income group, there is significant association between demographic factors & type of investors so gender & income group had an impact on the type of investors.

TABLE 6: DEMOGRAPHIC FACTORS & KNOWLEDGE ABOUT REGULATOR OF STOCK MARKET

		Regulator of stock market			Total	Calculated value	df	tabulated value	
		BSE	Company itself	SEBI		8.438	6	12.592	accepted
City	Udaipur	1	0	29	30				
	Kota	1	0	14	15				
	Jaipur	1	2	35	38				
	Jodhpur	3	0	14	17				
Total		6	2	92	100				
Gender	Male	3	0	56	59	3.212	2	5.991	accepted
	Female	3	2	36	41				
Total		6	2	92	100				
Marital Status	married	3	1	72	76	3.223	2	5.991	accepted
	Unmarried	3	1	20	24				,
Total		6	2	92	100				
Age group	< 30 years	2	1	31	34	2.897	6	12.592	accepted
	30-40 years	1	1	37	39				
	40-50 years	2	0	14	16				
	> 50 years	1	0	10	11				
Total		6	2	92	100				
Qualifications	Graduate	2	2	13	17	13.431	6	12.592	rejected
	Post graduation	1	0	48	49				
	Professional	1	0	15	16				
	Others	2	0	16	18				
Total		6	2	92	100				
Income group	< Rs 150000	0	1	2	3	18.751	6	12.592	rejected
	Rs 150000-300000	2	1	22	25				
	Rs 300000-500000	4	0	49	53				
	> Rs 500000	0	0	19	19				
Total		6	2	92	100				

Interpretation: According to this table, there is no significant association between demographic factors (such as city, gender, marital status, age group) & knowledge about regulator of stock market because the calculated value is less than the tabulated value. In the case of qualifications, income group there is significant association between demographic factors & knowledge about regulator of stock market so qualifications & income group had an impact on knowledge about the regulator of stock market.

 $H_5: There \ is \ no \ significant \ association \ between \ demographic \ factors \ \& \ knowledge \ about \ regulator \ of \ stock \ market.$

H₆: There is no significant association between demographic factors & their perception regarding long term investment.

TABLE 7: DEMOGRAPHIC FACTORS & THEIR PERCEPTION REGARDING LONG TERM INVESTMENT

		Percept	ion regardir	ng long-term	investment	Total	Calculated	df	Tabulated	accepted/
		1-3	3-5	5-10	more than 10		value		value	rejected
		year	year	year	year					
City	Udaipur	3	16	8	3	30	9.436	9	16.919	accepted
	Kota	3	6	4	2	15				
	Jaipur	4	14	10	10	38				
	Jodhpur	0	5	7	5	17				
Total		10	41	29	20	100				
Gender	Male	2	26	18	13	59	7.029	3	7.815	accepted
	Female	8	15	11	7	41				
Total		10	41	29	20	100				
Marital	married	6	32	24	14	76	2.619	3	7.815	accepted
Status	Unmarried	4	9	5	6	24				
Total		10	41	29	20	100				
Age group	< 30 years	5	12	9	8	34	9.735	9	16.919	accepted
	30-40 years	4	20	8	7	39				
	40-50 years	1	7	6	2	16				
	> 50 years	0	2	6	3	11				
Total		10	41	29	20	100				
Qualifications	Graduate	2	5	9	1	17	12.27	9	16.919	accepted
	Post graduation	4	25	9	11	49				
	Professional	2	4	7	3	16				
	Others	2	7	4	5	18				
Total		10	41	29	20	100				
Income	< Rs 150000	2	0	1	0	3	24.564	9	16.919	rejected
group	Rs 150000-	6	9	8	2	25				
	300000									
	Rs 300000-	2	25	14	12	53				
	500000									
	> Rs 500000	0	7	6	6	19				
Total		10	41	29	20	100			<u> </u>	

Interpretation: We find no significant association between demographic factors (such as city, gender, marital status, age group, qualifications) & their perception regarding long-term investment because the calculated value is less than the tabulated value. In the case of income group there is significant association between demographic factors & their perception regarding long-term investment so it indicates that level of income of respondents has a significant impact on the perception regarding long term investment.

H₇: There is no significant association between demographic factors and their tracking habits after investment

TABLE 8: DEMOGRAPHIC FACTORS & TRACKING THE STOCKS AFTER INVESTMENT

		Track the	stock after inve	estment		Total	Calculated value	df	Tabulated value	accepted/ rejected
		regularly	quite often	rarely	never					
City	Udaipur	15	7	3	5	30	7.651	9	16.919	accepted
	Kota	5	7	2	1	15				
	Jaipur	17	17	3	1	38				
	Jodhpur	7	7	1	2	17				
Total		44	38	9	9	100				
Gender	Male	32	25	2	0	59	22.135	3	7.815	rejected
	Female	12	13	7	9	41				
Total		44	38	9	9	100				
Marital Status	Married	34	31	5	6	76	3.18	3	7.815	accepted
	Unmarried	10	7	4	3	24				
Total		44	38	9	9	100				
Age group	< 30 years	14	14	4	2	34	4.039	9	16.919	accepted
	30-40 years	18	14	3	4	39				
	40-50 years	8	5	2	1	16				
	> 50 years	4	5	0	2	11				
Total		44	38	9	9	100				
Qualifications	Graduate	3	9	1	4	17	14.271	9	16.919	accepted
	Post graduation	23	16	7	3	49				
	Professional	9	6	1	0	16				
	Others	9	7	0	2	18				
Total		44	38	9	9	100				
Income group	< Rs 150000	1	0	1	1	3	12.081	9	16.919	accepted
	Rs 150000-300000	8	9	4	4	25				
	Rs 300000-500000	25	21	4	3	53				
	> Rs 500000	10	8	0	1	19				
Total		44	38	9	9	100				

Interpretation: According to this table, there is no significant association between all the demographic factors & tracking stocks after investment other than gender as in the case of gender , the calculated value is more than tabulated value.

H₈: There is no significant association between demographic factors & awareness about MF terminology

TABLE 9: DEMOGRAPHIC FACTORS & AWARENESS ABOUT MF TERMINOLOGY

		Awa	areness about mut	ual fund terminolo	pgy	Total	Calculated	df	Tabulated	accepted/
		0	completely aware	moderately aware	slightly aware		value		value	rejected
City	Udaipur	10	8	9	3	30	11.617	9	16.919	accepted
	Kota	7	4	2	2	15				
	Jaipur	12	9	17	0	38				
	Jodhpur	7	1	8	1	17				
Total			22	36	6	100				
Gender	Male	13	19	22	5	59	16.142	3	7.815	rejected
	Female	23	3	14	1	41				
Total		36	22	36	6	100				
Marital	married	24	21	26	5	76	6.743	3	7.815	accepted
Status Unmarried		12	1	10	1	24				
Total		36	22	36	6	100				
Age group	< 30 years	14	2	17	1	34	21.297	9	16.919	rejected
	30-40 years	10	17	9	3	39				
	40-50 years	6	2	7	1	16				
	> 50 years	6	1	3	1	11				
Total		36	22	36	6	100				
Qualifications	Graduate	11	1	4	1	17	12.829	9	16.919	accepted
	Post graduation	13	15	18	3	49				
	Professional	7	2	7	0	16				
	Others	5	4	7	2	18				
Total		36	22	36	6	100				
Income	< Rs 150000	3	0	0	0	3	10.178	9	16.919	accepted
group I	Rs 150000- 300000	11	5	8	1	25				
	Rs 300000- 500000	19	12	18	4	53				
	> Rs 500000	3	5	10	1	19				
Total		36	22	36	6	100				

Interpretation: According to this table, there is no significant association between demographic factors (such as city, marital status, qualifications, income group) & awareness about MF terminology because the calculated value is less than the tabulated value. In the case of gender & age group there is significant association between demographic factors & awareness about MF terminology. It indicates that gender & age had an impact on the level of awareness about mutual fund. The responses in the column marked 0 represent that the respondents are not interested in mutual funds and invest or prefer only the stock

H₉: There is no significant association between demographic factors & knowledge about regulator of MF.

TABLE 10: DEMOGRAPHIC FACTORS & KNOWLEDGE ABOUT REGULATOR OF MF

City		Reg	ulator c	f mutua	al fund		Total	Calculated value	df	Tabulated value	accepted/ rejected
		0	sebi	amfi	sebi-amfi	sebi-rbi					
	Udaipur	10	4	6	8	2	30	2.511	12	21.026	accepted
	Kota	7	2	2	3	1	15				
	Jaipur	12	5	9	9	3	38				
	Jodhpur	7	3	2	4	1	17				
Total		36	14	19	24	7	100				
Gender	Male	13	9	12	21	4	59	16.163	4	9.488	rejected
	Female	23	5	7	3	3	41				
Total		36	14	19	24	7	100				
Marital Status	married	24	12	12	21	7	76	8.112	4	9.488	accepted
	Unmarried	12	2	7	3	0	24				
Total		36	14	19	24	7	100				
Age group	< 30 years	14	3	9	6	2	34	10.148	12	21.026	accepted
	30-40 years	10	7	7	12	3	39				
	40-50 years	6	4	2	3	1	16				
	> 50 years	6	0	1	3	1	11				
Total	70.7	36	14	19	24	7	100				
Qualifications	Graduate	11	1	0	5	0	17	16.613	12	21.026	accepted
	Post graduation	13	7	12	11	6	49				
	Professional	7	2	4	3	0	16				
	Others	5	4	3	5	1	18				
Total		36	14	19	24	7	100				
Income group	< Rs 150000	3	0	0	0	0	3	20.618	12	21.026	accepted
	Rs 150000-300000	11	2	8	4	0	25				
	Rs 300000-500000	19	7	10	13	4	53				
	> Rs 500000	3	5	1	7	3	19				
Total		36	14	19	24	7	100				

Interpretation: According to this table, there is no significant association between all the demographic factors & knowledge about regulator of MF. Except gender, as in the case of gender calculated value is more than tabulated value. The responses in the column marked 0 represent that the respondents are not interested in mutual funds and invest or prefer only the stock markets.

H₁₀: There is no significant association between demographic factors & awareness about fund objective of scheme.

TABLE 11: DEMOGRAPHIC FACTORS & AWARENESS ABOUT FUND OBJECTIVE OF SCHEME

		Awa	Awareness about fund objective of scheme						Calculated	df	Tabulated	accepted/
			completely	moderately	slightly		not	1	value		value	rejected
			aware	aware	aware		aware					
City	Udaipur	10	4	7	9		0	30	13.8	12	21.026	accepted
	Kota	7	4	3			0	15				
	Jaipur	12	11	10	4		1	38	1			
	Jodhpur	7	1	7	2		0	17				
Total		36	20	27	16		1	100				
Gender	Male	13	16	21	8	1		59	16.609	4	9.488	rejected
	Female	23	4	6	8	0		41				
Total		36	20	27	16	1		100	1			
Marital	married	24	20	20	11	1		76	8.867	4	9.488	accepted
Status	Unmarried	12	0	7	5	0		24	1			
Total		36	20	27	16	1		100	1			
Age group	< 30 years	14	1	11	8	0		34	22.446	12	21.026	rejected
	30-40 years	10	14	9	6	0		39	1			
	40-50 years	6	2	5	2	1		16				
	> 50 years	6	3	2	0	0		11	1			
Total		36	20	27	16	1		100	1			
Qualifications	Graduate	11	2	4	0	0		17	18.545	12	21.026	accepted
	Post graduation	13	12	13	10	1		49				
	Professional	7	2	2	5	0		16	1		İ	
	Others	5	4	8	1	0		18				
Total		36	20	27	16	1		100				
Income group	< Rs 150000	3	0	0	0	0		3	21.311	12	21.026	rejected
	Rs 150000- 300000	11	3	7	4	0		25				
	Rs 300000- 500000	19	11	10	12	1		53				
	> Rs 500000	3	6	10	0	0		19				
Total		36	20	27	16	1		100				

Interpretation: According to this table, there is no significant association between demographic factors (such as city, marital status, qualifications) & awareness about fund objective of scheme because the calculated value is less than the tabulated value. In the case of gender, age group & income group there is significant association between demographic factors & awareness about fund objective of scheme. It indicates that gender, age & level of income had significant impact on the level of awareness about fund objective scheme.

CONCLUSIONS

Through the study it is found that there is a significant need for creating awareness towards security market with respect to city, gender, age group, qualifications & income group. The level of awareness towards the preference of mutual fund among management academicians is very low across demographics. They do not do any kind of study before investing. The awareness towards the regulators, terminologies, Fund Management Schemes is also not there. Hence there is a significant need to create awareness through workshops, seminars and other methods so that this investment avenue can get a better philip.

REFERENCES

- Alexander J. Gordon, D. Jones Jonathan, J. Nigro Peter Financial Services Review 7, pp 301–316
- 2. Climent Francisco and Soriano Pilar (2011), "Green and Good? The Investment Performance of US Environmental Mutual Funds" Journal of Business Ethics Volume 103, Number 2, pp 275-287
- 3. Dr. Ranjit Singh Dr. Anurag Singh Dr. H. Ramananda Singh (2011) "Positioning of Mutual Funds among Small Town and Sub-Urban Investors" Journal of Applied Management & Computer Science Volume 3
- 4. Guiso, Luigi & Jappelli , Tullio (2005), "Awareness and Stock Market Participation", Review of Finance, Volume 9, Issue, pp 537-567.
- 5. Kaur Dhanda Sukhwinder Dr. Batra G.S. DR Bimal Anjum (2012) "performance evaluation of selected open ended mutual funds in India" International journal of marketing, financial services & management research Vol.1 No. 1
- 6. Levin David, Geczy Christopher, Stambaugh f. Robert" Investing in Socially Responsible mutual Funds "(2005)
- 7. Massa Massimo, Dass Nishant "Mutual Funds and Bubbles: The Surprising Role of Contractual Incentives" Review of Financial Studies Volume 21, Issue 1 pp. 51-99.
- 8. Meena R.S (2011) "Mutual funds: an empirical analysis of Perceptions of investors in western Rajasthan" IJMT, Volume 19, Number 2
- 9. R. Lichtenstein Donald, J. Kaufman Patrick, Bhagat Sanjai (1999) "Why Consumers Choose Managed Mutual Funds over Index Funds: Hypotheses from Consumer Behavior" Journal of Consumer Affairs Volume 33, Issue 1, page 187
- 10. Ranganathan , Kavita (2006) "A Study of Fund Selection Behaviour of Individual Investors towards Mutual funds- with Reference to Mumbai City" Social science research network, Indian Institute of Capital Markets 9th Capital Markets Conference Paper
- Roy S. Manjesh (2005) "Money Market Mutual Funds: A Macro Perspective" Economic and Political Weekly Vol. 40, No. 12, Money, Banking and Finance, pp. 1254-1256+1258-1263
- 12. Sirri Erik R, Tufano Peter (1998) "Costly Search and Mutual Fund Flows" The Journal of Finance Volume 53, Issue 5, pages 1589–1622
- Valia Nidhi, Kiran Ravi (2009) "An Analysis of Investor's Risk Perception towards Mutual Funds Services" International Journal of Business and Management Vol 4, No 5

REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

Dear Readers

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce, IT and Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue as well as on the journal as a whole, on our E-mail i.e. infoijrcm@gmail.com for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries please feel free to contact us on our E-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-

Co-ordinator

ABOUT THE JOURNAL

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active cooperation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.

Our Other Fournals





