INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE, IT & MANAGEMENT



A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories
Indexed & Listed at:

Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, ProQuest, U.S.A., EBSCO Publishing, U.S.A., Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A. as well as in Open J-Gage, India [link of the same is duly available at Inflibnet of University Grants Commission (U.G.C.)]

Registered & Listed at: Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than 1500 Cities in 141 countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis. Ground Floor, Building No. 1041-C-1, Devi Bhawan Bazar, JAGADHRI – 135 003, Yamunanagar, Haryana, INDIA

CONTENTS

Sr. No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page No.
1.	HIGH PERFORMANCE ORGANIZATION AND ORGANIZATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS IN BAPPEDA (DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING BOARD),	1
	FIFI YUSMITA & DR. VIMALASANJEEVKUMAR	
2 .	SOCIAL ENTREPRENEURS IN BANGLADESH	7
3.	IMPACT OF WORKING CAPITAL MANAGEMENT ON FIRM'S PERFORMANCE: EVIDENCES FROM LISTED COMPANIES OF INDIA	13
4.	ENGLISH TEACHERS' EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE AND ITS IMPACT ON THEIR ORGANIZATIONAL CITIZENSHIP BEHAVIOUR IN SRI LANKAN SCHOOLS	18
5.	A QUALITATIVE INQUIRY OF LEADERSHIP PRACTICES AND ITS BEHAVIORAL AND PSYCHOLOGICAL OUTCOMES	23
6.	MADIHAREHMANFAROOQUI LINKING ORGANIZATIONAL CULTURE, STRUCTURE, AND ORGANIZATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS	29
7.	FAKHRADDIN MAROOFI, AFSHINGHASEMI & SAMIKA DHGHANI SWOT ANALYSIS: AN INSTRUMENT FOR STRATEGIC PLANNING – A CASE STUDY	35
8.	GUMA IESH M. KAVANAVAR & DK. POORNIMA M. CHARAN IMATH THE ROLE OF HRM PRACTICES IN ORGANIZED RETAILING A STUDY OF SELECT RETAILERS IN BANGALORE CITY	41
9.	LAKSHINI NARAYANA.K, DR. P. PARAMASHIVAIAH & DR. SREENIVAS. D. L WATER CRISIS AT COAL CAPITAL OF INDIA: A PRAGMATIC STUDY OF ROOT CAUSES, IMPACT AND SOLUTION OF WATER CRISIS IN REGIONS OF WORKING COAL MINES OF BHARAT COKING COAL LIMITED DHANBAD	46
10.	PORTFOLIO MANAGEMENT OF INDIAN MUTUAL FUNDS: A STUDY ON DIVERSIFIED EQUITY FUNDS PERFORMANCE	50
11.	A STUDY OF DIFFERENCES IN PERCEPTION OF EMPLOYEES ABOUT THE HRD CLIMATE PREVAILS IN THE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE ON THE BASIS OF AGE GROUP	54
12.	INSIGN AND AN ANALY AND AN ANALY AND	58
13 .	DR. REWAL KOWAK & A TOL GAWBHIK MULTIPLE FACETS OF ORGAN TRANSPLANTATION IN A TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL MANAGEMENT, INDIA	61
14.	FDI, TRADE, AND ECONOMIC GROWTH IN SINGAPOREEVIDENCE FROM TIME-SERIES CAUSALITY ANALYSES	66
15.	AN EVALUATION OF MICRO CREDIT IMPACT ON RURAL POOR WOMEN – A CASE STUDY IN BELLARY DISTRICT, KARNATAKA	77
16.	APPRECIATION AND APPREHENSIONS OF INDIAN CORPORATE SECTOR ABOUT CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY	84
17.	SOCIAL MEDIA MARKETING: THE NEXT FRONTIER (AN EXPLORATORY STUDY ON SOCIAL MEDIA MARKETING PROSPECTIVE WITH REFERENCE TO PUNE CITY) GUNIN SINGH	92
18.	ROLE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY IN AGRICULTURE AND AGRO-BASED INDUSTRIES	97
19.	ADVENTURE TOURISM POTENTIAL: A STUDY OF KASHMIR FARHAT BANO BEG & DR. ASHOK AIMA	99
20 .	INVENTORY MODEL IN A FUZZY ENVIRONMENT WITH ITS ASSOCIATED COSTS IN EXPONENTIAL MEMBERSHIP FUNCTIONS K. PUNNIAKRISHNAN & K. KADAMBAVANAM	102
21.	EMPLOYEES PERSPECTIVE VIEW TOWARDS PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL AND TRAINING PROGRAMMES PRACTICED IN SUGAR INDUSTRIES IN ERODE DISTRICT M. SELVI SRIDEVI & DR. L. MANIVANNAN	107
22 .	INTEREST IN MANAGEMENT EDUCATION: THE CURRENT TREND AND ITS IMPLICATIONS VIJENDRA KUMAR S. K. & ANCY MATHEW	116
23.	IMPACT OF CORPORATE GOVERNANCE PRACTICES ON THE FIRM PERFORMANCE: AN EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE OF THE SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES IN INDIA PARTHA SARATHI PATTNAYAK & DR. PRIYA RANIAN DASH	119
24.	A REVIEW OF HUMAN ERROR IN MAINTENANCE AND SAFETY	124
25 .	SEARCH-EXPERIENCE FRAMEWORK: A CASE OF MOVIE INDUSTRY	127
26 .	GENDER EQUALITY AND INCLUSIVE GROWTH: IN CASE OF PUNJAB	132
27 .	ESTIMATION OF POPULATION MEAN USING RANKED SET SAMPLING DR. SUNIL KUMAR, DR. SANDEEP BHOUGAL & RAHUL KUMAR SHARMA & DR. KUI DIP RAI	139
28 .	A GOAL PROGRAMMING FORMULATION IN NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT OF FERTILIZERS USED FOR RUBBER PLANTATION IN TRIPURA	142
29 .	A STUDY ON THE FACTORS INFLUENCING INDIVIDUAL INVESTOR BEHAVIOR IN IT SECTOR	145
30.	RELIGION, LAW & THE ROLE OF STATE	150
	REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK	154

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE, IT & MANAGEMENT

CHIEF PATRON

PROF. K. K. AGGARWAL Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Delhi Founder Vice-Chancellor, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

FOUNDER PATRON

LATE SH. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL Former State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana Former Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri Former President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

CO-ORDINATOR

AMITA Faculty, Government M. S., Mohali

<u>ADVISORS</u>

DR. PRIYA RANJAN TRIVEDI Chancellor, The Global Open University, Nagaland PROF. M. S. SENAM RAJU Director A. C. D., School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi PROF. M. N. SHARMA Chairman, M.B.A., Haryana College of Technology & Management, Kaithal PROF. S. L. MAHANDRU Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

EDITOR

PROF. R. K. SHARMA Professor, Bharti Vidyapeeth University Institute of Management & Research, New Delhi

CO-EDITOR

DR. BHAVET Faculty, M. M. Institute of Management, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

DR. RAJESH MODI Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia PROF. SANJIV MITTAL University School of Management Studies, Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delh PROF. ANIL K. SAINI Chairperson (CRC), Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi DR. SAMBHAVNA Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi DR. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, P. J. L. N. Government College, Faridabad

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE, IT & MANAGEMENT iii

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories WWW.ijrcm.org.in **DR. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE**

Asst. Professor, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga MOHITA

Faculty, Yamuna Institute of Engineering & Technology, Village Gadholi, P. O. Gadhola, Yamunanagar

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

PROF. NAWAB ALI KHAN Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

PROF. ABHAY BANSAL

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity University, Noida

PROF. A. SURYANARAYANA

Department of Business Management, Osmania University, Hyderabad

DR. SAMBHAV GARG

Faculty, M. M. Institute of Management, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana

PROF. V. SELVAM

SSL, VIT University, Vellore

DR. PARDEEP AHLAWAT

Associate Professor, Institute of Management Studies & Research, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak DR. S. TABASSUM SULTANA

Associate Professor, Department of Business Management, Matrusri Institute of P.G. Studies, Hyderabad SURJEET SINGH

Asst. Professor, Department of Computer Science, G. M. N. (P.G.) College, Ambala Cantt.

TECHNICAL ADVISOR

AMITA Faculty, Government H. S., Mohali MOHITA

Faculty, Yamuna Institute of Engineering & Technology, Village Gadholi, P. O. Gadhola, Yamunanagar

FINANCIAL ADVISORS

DICKIN GOYAL Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula NEENA Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

<u>LEGAL ADVISORS</u>

JITENDER S. CHAHAL Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T. CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri



<u>SUPERINTENDENT</u>

SURENDER KUMAR POONIA

DATED:

' for possible publication in your journals.

CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to recent developments & practices in the area of Computer, Business, Finance, Marketing, Human Resource Management, General Management, Banking, Insurance, Corporate Governance and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting Education; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Monetary Policy; Portfolio & Security Analysis; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Business Education; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law, Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labor Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; Public Administration; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism, Hospitality & Leisure; Transportation/Physical Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Digital Logic; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Multimedia; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic and Web Design. The above mentioned tracks are only indicative, and not exhaustive.

Anybody can submit the soft copy of his/her manuscript **anytime** in M.S. Word format after preparing the same as per our submission guidelines duly available on our website under the heading guidelines for submission, at the email address: <u>infoijrcm@gmail.com</u>.

GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT

1. COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:

THE EDITOR IJRCM

JICIVI

Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF

(e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)

DEAR SIR/MADAM

Please find my submission of manuscript entitled '

I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore, it has neither been published elsewhere in any language fully or partly, nor is it under review for publication elsewhere.

I affirm that all the author (s) have seen and agreed to the submitted version of the manuscript and their inclusion of name (s) as co-author (s).

Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the formalities as given on the website of the journal & you are free to publish our contribution in any of your journals.

NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Designation: Affiliation with full address, contact numbers & Pin Code: Residential address with Pin Code: Mobile Number (s): Landline Number (s): E-mail Address: Alternate E-mail Address:

NOTES:

- a) The whole manuscript is required to be in **ONE MS WORD FILE** only (pdf. version is liable to be rejected without any consideration), which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript.
- b) The sender is required to mention the following in the SUBJECT COLUMN of the mail: New Manuscript for Review in the area of (Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/ Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)
- c) There is no need to give any text in the body of mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any specific message w.r.t. to the manuscript.
- d) The total size of the file containing the manuscript is required to be below **500 KB**.
- e) Abstract alone will not be considered for review, and the author is required to submit the complete manuscript in the first instance.
- f) The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of manuscript, within two days of submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending separate mail to the journal.
- 2. MANUSCRIPT TITLE: The title of the paper should be in a 12 point Calibri Font. It should be bold typed, centered and fully capitalised.
- 3. AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS: The author (s) full name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline numbers, and email/alternate email address should be in italic & 11-point Calibri Font. It must be centered underneath the title.
- 4. ABSTRACT: Abstract should be in fully italicized text, not exceeding 250 words. The abstract must be informative and explain the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a single para. Abbreviations must be mentioned in full.

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE, IT & MANAGEMENT

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

- 5. **KEYWORDS:** Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of five. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stops at the end.
- 6. MANUSCRIPT: Manuscript must be in <u>BRITISH ENGLISH</u> prepared on a standard A4 size <u>PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER</u>. It must be prepared on a single space and single column with 1" margin set for top, bottom, left and right. It should be typed in 8 point Calibri Font with page numbers at the bottom and centre of every page. It should be free from grammatical, spelling and punctuation errors and must be thoroughly edited.
- 7. **HEADINGS**: All the headings should be in a 10 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
- 8. SUB-HEADINGS: All the sub-headings should be in a 8 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
- 9. MAIN TEXT: The main text should follow the following sequence:

INTRODUCTION

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

OBJECTIVES

HYPOTHESES

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

INDINGS

RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS

CONCLUSIONS

SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

REFERENCES

APPENDIX/ANNEXURE

It should be in a 8 point Calibri Font, single spaced and justified. The manuscript should preferably not exceed 5000 WORDS.

- 10. FIGURES & TABLES: These should be simple, crystal clear, centered, separately numbered & self explained, and titles must be above the table/figure. Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure. It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text.
- 11. EQUATIONS: These should be consecutively numbered in parentheses, horizontally centered with equation number placed at the right.
- 12. **REFERENCES:** The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript and they are supposed to follow **Harvard Style of Referencing**. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
- All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
- Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
- When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc, in chronologically ascending order.
- Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
- The title of books and journals should be in italics. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
- For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parentheses.
- The location of endnotes within the text should be indicated by superscript numbers.

PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:

BOOKS

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

 Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

OURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

 Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

CONFERENCE PAPERS

Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–22 June.

UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS AND THESES

• Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

ONLINE RESOURCES

Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

WEBSITES

Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Natural Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE, IT & MANAGEMENT

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

INVENTORY MODEL IN A FUZZY ENVIRONMENT WITH ITS ASSOCIATED COSTS IN EXPONENTIAL MEMBERSHIP FUNCTIONS

K. PUNNIAKRISHNAN ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR PG & RESEARCH DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS SRI VASAVI COLLEGE ERODE

K. KADAMBAVANAM ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR IN MATHEMATICS PG & RESEARCH DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS SRI VASAVI COLLEGE ERODE

ABSTRACT

In this paper, an EOQ Model has been analyzed in a fuzzy environment. Its objective goal, holding cost, shortage cost, set-up cost and storage area are all represented by means of exponential membership functions. Fuzzy non-linear programming (FNLP) method with Lagrange multipliers is applied. This method has been illustrated by means of numerical examples. The variations of the results with those of the crisp model have been pointed out. Sensitivity analysis of the model has been carried out.

KEYWORDS

Fuzzy non-linear programming methods, Inventory management, Lagrange multipliers, Membership functions, Sensitivity analysis.

AMS CODE

03E72, 90B05

1. INTRODUCTION

In the real world, keeping an inventory for future sale or use is very common in business. Often uncertainties may be common in business. Often uncertainties may be associated with demand, supply or various relevant costs. After the publication of classical lot-size formula by Harris in 1915, many researchers utilized EOQ model and currently these results are available in reference books and survey papers such as Raymond [11], Hadley and Whitin [5], Clark [3] and Simmons [13].

In a realistic situation, total expenditure for an inventory model may be limited. The inventory costs, holding, shortage and set-up costs and available warehouse space to store the product may be flexible with some vagueness for their values. All these parameters in any inventory model are normally variable uncertain, imprecise and adoptable to the optimum decision making process and the determination of optimum order quantity becomes a non-stochastic vague decision making process. The vagueness pertained in the above parameters induces to analyze the inventory problem in a fuzzy environment.

The early work in using fuzzy concept in decision making has been performed by Bellman and Zadeh [1] through introducing fuzzy goals, costs and constraints. The fuzzy linear programming model was formulated and an approach for solving linear programming model with fuzzy members has been presented by Zimmermann [15]. Hamacher.H, Leberling.H and Zimmermann.H.J [6] carried out the sensitivity analysis in fuzzy linear programming where Dutta.D, J.R.Rao and R.N.Tiwari [4] investigated the effect of tolerances in fuzzy linear and linear fractional programming. In 1995, T.K.Roy and M.Maiti [12] presented an EOQ model with constraint in a fuzzy environment. The model is solved by fuzzy non-linear programming model (FNLP) using Lagrange multipliers and illustrated with numerical examples. The fuzzy goal, storage area and costs are represented by exponential membership functions.

2. MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS

A crisp non-linear programming problem may be defin	ed as follows:		
$\operatorname{Min} g_0(X, C_0)$	and the second se		
Subject to:			
$g_i(X, C_i) \le b_i$, $i = 1, 2,, m$	(2.1)		
$X \ge 0$,		The second se	
where $X = (X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)^T$ is a variable	vector. g_0, g_i 's , $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ are	algebraic expressions in X with coeffic	cients
$C_0 \equiv (C_{01}, C_{02}, \dots, C_{0n}) \text{ and } (C_{i1}, C_{i2}, \dots, C_{in}) \text{ i} =$	1,2, m respectively.		
Introducing fuzziness in the crisp parameters, the sy	/stem (2.1) in a fuzzy environment is:		
$\operatorname{Min} g_0(X, C_0)$	and the second s		
Subject to:		and the second s	
$g_i(X, C_i) \le b_i$, $i = 1, 2,, m$	(2.2)		
$X \ge 0,$			
where the wave bar (~) represents the fuzzification of	the parameters.		
In fuzzy set theory, the fuzzy objective, coefficients a	id constraints are defined by their membership fu	inctions which may be linear or non-linear. Accordi	ng to
Bellman and Zadeh [1] and following Carlson and Kor	honen [2] and Trappey, J.F.C., Liu, C.R. and Chang,	T.C [14], problem (2.2) is transformed to	
Max α			
Subject to: $a(X + \frac{-1}{2}) < + \frac{-1}{2} < a > i = 0.12$	(2.3)		
$g_i(x, \mu_{\widetilde{C}_i} (\alpha)) \le \mu_{\widetilde{b}_i} (\alpha), i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \dots$	(2.3)		
$X \ge 0$,			
where membership functions of fuzzy coefficients are $(X)_{-}(U_{1}, (X)_{1}, (X)_{2})$ and these of fuzzy	The objective and furth constraints are		
$\mu_{\widetilde{C}_{i}}(X) = (\mu_{\widetilde{C}_{i1}}(X), \mu_{\widetilde{C}_{i2}}(X), \dots, \mu_{\widetilde{C}_{in}}(X)) \text{ and those of fuz}$	zy objective and fuzzy constraints are		
$\mu_{\tilde{b}_i}(X), 1 = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m.$			
Here, α is an additional variable which is known as asp	iration level.		
Therefore, the Lagrangian function is			

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE, IT & MANAGEMENT 102

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{L}(\alpha,X,\lambda) &= \alpha - \sum_{i=0}^{l} \lambda_i(g_i(X,\mu_{\tilde{C}_i}^{-1}(\alpha)) - \mu_{\tilde{b}_i}^{-1}(\alpha)) \\ \text{where } \lambda &\equiv (\lambda_0,\lambda_1\lambda_2,\ldots,\lambda_m)^T \text{ are Lagrangian multiplier vectors.} \\ \text{According to the Kuhn-Tucker [10] necessary conditions, the optimal values} \\ &(X_1^*,X_2^*,\ldots,X_n^*,\lambda_0^*,\lambda_1^*,\ldots,\lambda_m^*,\alpha^*) \\ \text{should satisfy} \\ & \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_j} = 0, \\ & \frac{\partial L}{\partial \alpha} = 0, \\ &\lambda_i(g_i(X,\mu_{\tilde{C}_i}^{-1}(\alpha)) - \mu_{\tilde{b}_i}^{-1}(\alpha)) = 0 \\ &g_i(X,\mu_{\tilde{C}_i}^{-1}(\alpha)) - \mu_{\tilde{b}_i}^{-1}(\alpha) \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \\ &\lambda_i \leq 0, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n \end{split}$$

The Kuhn–Tucker[7] sufficient condition demand, that the objective function (for maximization) and constraints should be respectively concave and convex. Now solving equation (2.4), the optimal solution for the fuzzy non-linear programming problem is obtained.

3. EOQ MODEL WITH FUZZY COSTS, GOAL AND STORAGE AREA

In a crisp EOQ Model, the problem is to choose the order level Q(>0) which minimizes the average total cost C(Q) per unit time. That is

Min C(Q)= $\frac{1}{2}C_1\left(\frac{Q_1^2}{Q}\right) + \frac{1}{2}C_2\left(\frac{Q_2^2}{Q}\right) + C_3\left(\frac{D}{Q}\right)$ -----(3.1) Subject to: $AQ_1 \leq B$ $Q_1 > 0$ where C1- Holding cost per unit time per unit quantity $\mathrm{C_{2}}\text{-}$ Shortage cost per unit time per unit quantity C₃- Set-up cost per period D-Demand per unit time A-The space required by each unit(in sq. mt) B-Maximum available warehouse space(in sq mt) When the above objective goal, costs and available storage area became fuzzy, the said problem is transformed to, $\widetilde{\text{Min }} C(\mathbf{Q}) = \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{C_1} \left(\frac{Q_1^2}{Q} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \widetilde{C_2} \left(\frac{Q_2^2}{Q} \right) + \widetilde{C_3} \left(\frac{D}{Q} \right)$ -----(3.2) Subject to: $AQ_1 \leq \widetilde{B}$ $Q_1 > 0$ The corresponding fuzzy non-linear programming problem is, Max α Subject to: (3.3)

$$\frac{1}{2}\mu_{\widetilde{c_1}}^{-1}(\alpha)\left(\frac{Q_1}{Q}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\mu_{\widetilde{c_2}}^{-1}(\alpha)\left(\frac{Q_2}{Q}\right) + \mu_{\widetilde{c_3}}^{-1}(\alpha)\left(\frac{D}{Q}\right) \le \mu_{\widetilde{c_0}}^{-1}(\alpha)$$

$$AQ_1 \le \mu_{\widetilde{b}}^{-1}(\alpha)$$

 $Q_1 > 0$, $\alpha \in [0,1]$ where $\mu_{\tilde{c}_1}(x), \mu_{\tilde{c}_2}(x), \mu_{\tilde{c}_3}(x), \mu_{\tilde{c}_0}(x)$ and $\mu_{\tilde{B}}(X)$ are membership functions for fuzzy holding cost, shortage cost, set-up cost, objective goal and storage area respectively.

Here the Lagrangian function is

 $L(\alpha, Q_1, Q_2, \lambda) = \alpha - \lambda_1 \left[\frac{1}{2} \mu_{\overline{c_1}}^{-1}(\alpha) \left(\frac{Q_1^2}{Q_1 + Q_2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \mu_{\overline{c_2}}^{-1}(\alpha) \left(\frac{Q_2^2}{Q_1 + Q_2} \right) + \mu_{\overline{c_3}}^{-1}(\alpha) \left(\frac{D}{Q_1 + Q_2} \right) - \mu_{\overline{c_0}}^{-1}(\alpha) \right] - \lambda_2 [AQ_1 - \mu_{\overline{B}}^{-1}(\alpha)]$ where $Q = Q_1 + Q_2$ and λ_1 , λ_2 are Lagrangian multipliers. (3.4)

4. FUZZY GOAL, COSTS AND STORAGE AREA REPRESENTED BY EXPONENTIAL MEMBERSHIP FUNCTIONS In this case



Here P_0 , P and P'_i 's, (i=1,2,3) are the maximally acceptable violation of the aspiration levels C_0 , B and C'_i 's, (i=1,2,3). Considering the nature of these parameters, we assume membership functions to be non-decreasing for fuzzy inventory costs and non-increasing for fuzzy goal and storage area.

When q=0.5 and t=1

$$\begin{split} \mu_{\tilde{c}_{i}}^{-1}(\alpha) &= C_{i} - P_{i} \log_{0.5}(0.5 + 0.5\alpha) \text{ , } & i = 1,2,3 \\ \mu_{\tilde{c}_{0}}^{-1}(\alpha) &= C_{o} + P_{0} \log_{0.5}(0.5 + 0.5\alpha) & \text{ and } \end{split}$$

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE, IT & MANAGEMENT $_{\rm 103}$

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

 $\mu_{\tilde{B}}^{-1}(\alpha) = B + P \log_{0.5}(0.5 + 0.5\alpha)$ The Lagrangian Function is
$$\begin{split} \mathsf{L}(\alpha, \mathsf{Q}_1, \mathsf{Q}_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) &= \alpha - \lambda_1 \left[\frac{1}{2} (\mathsf{C}_1 - \mathsf{P}_1 \log_{0.5} (0.5 + 0.5\alpha)) \left(\frac{\mathsf{Q}_1{}^2}{\mathsf{Q}_1{+}\mathsf{Q}_2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} (\mathsf{C}_2 - \mathsf{P}_2 \log_{0.5} (0.5 + 0.5\alpha)) \left(\frac{\mathsf{Q}_2{}^2}{\mathsf{Q}_1{+}\mathsf{Q}_2} \right) + (\mathsf{C}_3 - \mathsf{P}_3 \log_{0.5} (0.5 + 0.5\alpha)) \left(\frac{\mathsf{D}}{\mathsf{Q}_1{+}\mathsf{Q}_2} \right) - (\mathsf{C}_0 + \mathsf{P}_0 \log_{0.5} (0.5 + 0.5\alpha)) \left(\frac{\mathsf{Q}_2{}^2}{\mathsf{Q}_1{+}\mathsf{Q}_2} \right) + (\mathsf{Q}_3 - \mathsf{Q}_3 \log_{0.5} (0.5 + 0.5\alpha)) \left(\frac{\mathsf{D}}{\mathsf{Q}_1{+}\mathsf{Q}_2} \right) - (\mathsf{C}_0 + \mathsf{P}_0 \log_{0.5} (0.5 + 0.5\alpha)) \left(\frac{\mathsf{Q}_2{}^2}{\mathsf{Q}_1{+}\mathsf{Q}_2} \right) + (\mathsf{Q}_3 - \mathsf{Q}_3 \log_{0.5} (0.5 + 0.5\alpha)) \left(\frac{\mathsf{D}_2{}^2}{\mathsf{Q}_1{+}\mathsf{Q}_2} \right) - (\mathsf{Q}_3 - \mathsf{Q}_3 \log_{0.5} (0.5 + 0.5\alpha)) \left(\frac{\mathsf{Q}_2{}^2}{\mathsf{Q}_1{+}\mathsf{Q}_2} \right) - (\mathsf{Q}_3 - \mathsf{Q}_3 \log_{0.5} (0.5 + 0.5\alpha)) \left(\frac{\mathsf{Q}_2{}^2}{\mathsf{Q}_1{+}\mathsf{Q}_2} \right) + (\mathsf{Q}_3 - \mathsf{Q}_3 \log_{0.5} (0.5 + 0.5\alpha)) \left(\frac{\mathsf{Q}_2{}^2}{\mathsf{Q}_1{+}\mathsf{Q}_2} \right) - (\mathsf{Q}_3 - \mathsf{Q}_3 \log_{0.5} (0.5 + 0.5\alpha)) \left(\frac{\mathsf{Q}_2{}^2}{\mathsf{Q}_1{+}\mathsf{Q}_2} \right) - (\mathsf{Q}_3 - \mathsf{Q}_3 \log_{0.5} (0.5 + 0.5\alpha)) \left(\frac{\mathsf{Q}_2{}^2}{\mathsf{Q}_1{+}\mathsf{Q}_2} \right) - (\mathsf{Q}_3 - \mathsf{Q}_3 \log_{0.5} (0.5 + 0.5\alpha)) \left(\frac{\mathsf{Q}_2{}^2}{\mathsf{Q}_1{+}\mathsf{Q}_2} \right) - (\mathsf{Q}_3 - \mathsf{Q}_3 \log_{0.5} (0.5 + 0.5\alpha)) \left(\frac{\mathsf{Q}_2{}^2}{\mathsf{Q}_1{+}\mathsf{Q}_2} \right) - (\mathsf{Q}_3 - \mathsf{Q}_3 \log_{0.5} (0.5 + 0.5\alpha)) \left(\frac{\mathsf{Q}_2{}^2}{\mathsf{Q}_1{+}\mathsf{Q}_2} \right) - (\mathsf{Q}_3 + \mathsf{Q}_3 \log_{0.5} (0.5 + 0.5\alpha)) \left(\frac{\mathsf{Q}_2{}^2}{\mathsf{Q}_2{+}\mathsf{Q}_2} \right) - (\mathsf{Q}_3 + \mathsf{Q}_3 \log_{0.5} (0.5 + 0.5\alpha)) \left(\frac{\mathsf{Q}_2{}^2}{\mathsf{Q}_2{+}\mathsf{Q}_2} \right) - (\mathsf{Q}_3 + \mathsf{Q}_3 \log_{0.5} (0.5 + 0.5\alpha)) \left(\frac{\mathsf{Q}_2{}^2}{\mathsf{Q}_2{+}\mathsf{Q}_2} \right) - (\mathsf{Q}_3 + \mathsf{Q}_3 \log_{0.5} (0.5 + 0.5\alpha)) \left(\frac{\mathsf{Q}_2{}^2}{\mathsf{Q}_2{+}\mathsf{Q}_2} \right) - (\mathsf{Q}_3 + \mathsf{Q}_3 \log_{0.5} (0.5 + 0.5\alpha)) \left(\frac{\mathsf{Q}_2{}^2}{\mathsf{Q}_2{+}\mathsf{Q}_2} \right) - (\mathsf{Q}_3 + \mathsf{Q}_3 \log_{0.5} (0.5 + 0.5\alpha)) \left(\frac{\mathsf{Q}_2{}^2}{\mathsf{Q}_2{+}\mathsf{Q}_2} \right) - (\mathsf{Q}_3 + \mathsf{Q}_2 \log_{0.5} (0.5 + 0.5\alpha)) \left(\frac{\mathsf{Q}_2{}^2}{\mathsf{Q}_2{+}\mathsf{Q}_2} \right) - (\mathsf{Q}_3 + \mathsf{Q}_2 \log_{0.5} (0.5 + 0.5\alpha)) \left(\frac{\mathsf{Q}_2{}^2}{\mathsf{Q}_2} \right) - (\mathsf{Q}_2{+}\mathsf{Q}_2{+}\mathsf{Q}_2) \right) - (\mathsf{Q}_2{+}\mathsf{Q}_2{+}\mathsf{Q}_2) + (\mathsf{Q}_2{+}\mathsf{Q}_2) + (\mathsf{Q}_2{+}\mathsf{Q}_2) \right) - (\mathsf{Q}_2{+}\mathsf{Q}_2) + (\mathsf{Q}_2{+}\mathsf{Q}_2) + (\mathsf{Q}_2{+}\mathsf{Q}_2) + (\mathsf{Q}_2) + (\mathsf{Q}_2) + (\mathsf{Q}_2{+}\mathsf{Q}_2) +$$
 $= \alpha - \lambda_1 \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(C_1 - \frac{P_1 \log(0.5 + 0.5\alpha)}{\log_2^1} \right) \left(\frac{Q_1^2}{Q_1 + Q_2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(C_2 - \frac{P_2 \log(0.5 + 0.5\alpha)}{\log_2^1} \right) \left(\frac{Q_2^2}{Q_1 + Q_2} \right) + \left(C_0 + \frac{P_0 \log(0.5 + 0.5\alpha)}{\log_2^1} \right) \right] - \lambda_2 \left[AQ_1 - (B + P \frac{\log(0.5 + 0.5\alpha)}{\log_2^1}) \right]$ $\left(C_3 - \frac{P_3 \log(0.5+0.5\alpha)}{\log\frac{1}{2}}\right) \left(\frac{D}{Q_1+Q_2}\right) - \frac{1}{\log\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{\log\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{D}{Q_1+Q_2}\right) - \frac{1}{\log\frac{$ -----(4.2) The Kuhn-Tucker necessary conditions are $\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \alpha} &= 0 \Rightarrow 1 + \frac{\lambda_1}{2} \frac{P_1}{\log_2^2} \frac{1}{(1+\alpha)} \left(\frac{Q_1^2}{Q_1 + Q_2} \right) + \frac{\lambda_1}{2} \frac{P_2}{\log_1 / 2} \frac{1}{(1+\alpha)} \left(\frac{Q_2^2}{Q_1 + Q_2} \right) + \frac{\lambda_1 P_3}{\log_1 / 2} \frac{1}{(1+\alpha)} \left(\frac{D}{Q_1 + Q_2} \right) + \frac{\lambda_1 P_0}{\log_1 / 2} \frac{1}{(1+\alpha)} + \frac{\lambda_2 P}{\log_1 / 2} \frac{1}{(1+\alpha)} = 0 \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_1} &= 0 \Rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \left(C_1 - \frac{P_1 \log(0.5 + 0.5\alpha)}{\log_2^2} \right) \left(\frac{Q_1^2}{Q_1 + Q_2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(C_2 - \frac{P_2 \log(0.5 + 0.5\alpha)}{\log_2^2} \right) \left(\frac{Q_2^2}{Q_1 + Q_2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(C_3 - \frac{P_3 \log(0.5 + 0.5\alpha)}{\log_1 / 2} \right) \left(\frac{D}{Q_1 + Q_2} \right) - \left(C_0 + \frac{P_0 \log(0.5 + 0.5\alpha)}{\log_1 / 2} \right) \end{bmatrix} = 0 \end{aligned}$ -----(4.3) $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda_{2}} = 0 \Rightarrow AQ_{1} - \left(B + \frac{P \log(0.5 + 0.5\alpha)}{\log 1/2}\right) = 0 \qquad ------(4.5)$ $\frac{\partial L}{\partial Q_{1}} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\lambda_{1}}{2} \left(C_{1} - \frac{P_{1}\log(0.5 + 0.5\alpha)}{\log 1/2}\right) \left(\frac{Q_{1}^{2} + 2Q_{1}Q_{2}}{(Q_{1} + Q_{2})^{2}}\right) - \frac{\lambda_{1}}{2} \left(C_{2} - \frac{P_{2}\log(0.5 + 0.5\alpha)}{\log 1/2}\right) \left(\frac{Q_{2}^{2}}{(Q_{1} + Q_{2})^{2}}\right) - \lambda_{1} \left(C_{3} - \frac{P_{3}\log(0.5 + 0.5\alpha)}{\log\frac{1}{2}}\right) \left(\frac{D}{(Q_{1} + Q_{2})^{2}}\right) + \lambda_{2}A = 0 \quad ----(4.6)$ $\frac{\partial L}{\partial Q_{2}} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\lambda_{1}}{2} \left(C_{1} - \frac{P_{1}\log(0.5 + 0.5\alpha)}{\log 1/2}\right) \left(\frac{Q_{1}^{2}}{(Q_{1} + Q_{2})^{2}}\right) - \frac{\lambda_{1}}{2} \left(C_{2} - \frac{P_{2}\log(0.5 + 0.5\alpha)}{\log 1/2}\right) \left(\frac{Q_{2}^{2} + 2Q_{1}Q_{2}}{(Q_{1} + Q_{2})^{2}}\right) + \lambda_{1} \left(C_{3} - \frac{P_{3}\log(0.5 + 0.5\alpha)}{\log 1/2}\right) \left(\frac{D}{(Q_{1} + Q_{2})^{2}}\right) = 0 \quad ------(4.7)$ Therefore, $AQ_{1} = B + \frac{P \log(0.5 + 0.5\alpha)}{\log 1/2}$ $Q_{1}^{*} = \frac{\left(B + \frac{P \log(0.5 + 0.5\alpha)}{\log 1/2}\right)}{c}$ ---- (4.8) The optimal order quantity is $Q_1^* = \frac{(B+P\log_{0.5}(0.5+0.5\alpha))}{(B+P\log_{0.5}(0.5+0.5\alpha))}$ -- (4.9) and the optimum Re-order level Q_2^* is $Q_2^* = \left[\frac{C_1 - P_1 \log_{0.5}(0.5 + 0.5\alpha)}{C_2 - P_2 \log_{0.5}(0.5 + 0.5\alpha)}\right] Q_1^*$ ---- (4.10) where α^* is a root of $(C_1 - P_1 \log_{0.5}(0.5 + 0.5\alpha)) (B + P \log_{0.5}(0.5 + 0.5\alpha))^2 +$ $(C_2 - P_2 \log_{0.5}(0.5 + 0.5\alpha)) Q_2^2 A^2 + 2DA^2(C_3 - P_3 \log_{0.5}(0.5 + 0.5\alpha)) 2A(C_0 + P_0 \log_{0.5}(0.5 + 0.5\alpha)) + (B + P \log_{0.5}(0.5 + 0.5\alpha)) = 0$ ----- (4.11) Remark 4.1 If the fuzzy goal and storage area are represented by means of linear membership functions and costs by exponential membership functions, then the equation (4.11) reduces to $(C_1 - P_1 \log_{0.5}(0.5 + 0.5\alpha)) (B + (1 - \alpha)P)^2 + (C_2 - P_2 \log_{0.5}(0.5 + 0.5\alpha)) Q_2^{-2}A^2 + 2(C_3 - P_3 \log_{0.5}(0.5 + 0.5\alpha))DA^2 - (C_3 - P_3 \log_{0.5}(0.5 + 0.5\alpha)) Q_2^{-2}A^2 + (C_3 - P_3 \log_{0.5}(0.5 + 0.5\alpha)) Q_3^{-2}A^2 + (C_3 - 0.5\alpha)) Q_3^{-2}A^$ $2(C_{o} + (1 \alpha)P_0)QA^2 = 0$ ----- (4.12)

which is the equation derived in [9].

Remark 4.2

When $Q_2 = 0$, Then the equation (4.12) reduces to

 $(C_1 - P_1 \log_{0.5}(0.5 + 0.5\alpha)) (B + (1 - \alpha)P)^2 + 2(C_3 - P_3 \log_{0.5}(0.5 + 0.5\alpha))DA^2 - 2(C_0 + (1 - \alpha)P_0)(B + (1 - \alpha)P)A = 0$ (4.13) which is the equation derived in [12].

5. NUMERICAL RESULTS FOR BOTH CRISP AND FUZZY MODEL

To illustrate the above fuzzy models and corresponding crisp model, let $C_1 = \sqrt{5}$,

 $P_1 = \ensuremath{\overline{x}}\ 2, C_2 = \ensuremath{\overline{x}}\ 25, P_2 = \ensuremath{\overline{x}}\ 9, C_3 = \ensuremath{\overline{x}}\ 100, P_3 = \ensuremath{\overline{x}}\ 50, C_0 = \ensuremath{\overline{x}}\ 1700, P_0 = \ensuremath{\overline{x}}\ 300,$

D = 5000 units, B = 150 sq. mt, A = 0.5 sq. mt, P = 50 sq. mt and the results are:

In the table – I the optimal values are given for these fuzzy models with different combinations of membership functions along with the crisp model. Here the amount of expenditure for the crisp models varies from 🕇 1520.83 to 🕇 2138.89.

As our permissible expenditure range is (1700, 2000), only one crisp model expenditure fall within this range, though this value with holding cost 3 is more than those of fuzzy models with holding costs respectively 4.53, 4.55, 4.56 and 4.57. Therefore, fuzzy analysis replaces the laborious and time consuming parametric studies and the optimum results are obtained easily. Now depending on the experience or from the past observed data, the exact form of membership functions for the variations of holding cost, set-up cost, permissible expenditure (objective goal) and storage area can be defined and then the optimum values are obtained for the appropriate fuzzy model.

Remark 5.1

Total average cost for the fuzzy model corresponding to this model – 4 is slightly higher than the total average cost for the fuzzy model corresponding to the model – 4 in [9].

6. SENSITIVITY

Following Dutta.D, J.R.Rao and R.N.Tiwari [4], we study the effective of tolerances[8] in the proposed model – 4 with the earlier numerical values and construct the following five Tables (TABLES – II, III, IV, V, VI) for the effect of variations in P_0 , P_1 , P_2 , P_3 and P respectively. From the above tables, we make the following observations

- 1. In Table II, when P_0 is about 300, 5% changes in P_0 induces 0.04 % ,0.03 % ,0.08 % and 0.23 % changes in $Q_1^*, Q_2^*, C^*(Q^*)$ and α^* respectively. Moreover as P_0 increases, α^* increases but it never becomes 1 as it is expected. Here Q^* becomes almost invariant and costs attain their highest allowable values for the large values of P_0 . Average cost also increases with the increase of tolerance of objective goal.
- In Table III, when P₁ is about 2, Q₁*, Q₂*, C*(Q*) and α* are changes by 0.07%, 0.55 %, 0.01% and 0.39% respectively for 5% changes in P₁. Set-up cost increases with the decrease of holding cost and as a result, average cost decreases with the decrease of holding cost's tolerance.

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE, IT & MANAGEMENT A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE, IT & MANAGEMENT

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories WWW.ijrcm.org.in

VOLUME NO. 2 (2012), ISSUE NO. 9 (SEPTEMBER)

- 3. In Table IV, when P_2 is about 2, Q_1^* , Q_2^* , $C^*(Q^*)$ and α^* are changes by 0.06 %, 0.41 %, 0.04 % and 0.36 % respectively for 5 % change in P_2 . Set-up cost increases with the decrease of holding cost, shortage cost decreases with the decrease of holding cost and as a result, average cost decreases with the decrease of holding cost's tolerance.
- 4. In Table V, when P_3 is approximately 50, there is a change in Q_1^* , Q_2^* , $C^*(Q^*)$ and α^* by 0.16 %, 0.13 %, 0.08 % and 0.86 % respectively for the change of P_3 by 5%. Here holding cost increases with decrease of set-up cost whereas the average cost decreases with the less tolerances of set-up cost, shortage cost increases with the increase of holding cost
- 5. In Table VI, when P is about 50, its 5% change brings 0.34%, 0.34%, 0.44% and 0.1% change in Q_1^* , Q_2^* , $C^*(Q^*)$ and α^* respectively. Here average cost decreases with increase of tolerances of storage area. Set-up cost decreases with the increase of holding cost and shortage cost increases with the increase of holding cost.

7. CONCLUSION

In this paper, it is proposed a real-life inventory problem in a fuzzy environment and presented its solutions along with a sensitivity analysis approach. The proposed methodology can be extended to other inventory problem including the ones with storages, shortages, deteriorating items, etc. This model has been developed for multi-item inventory problems under several limitations such as limitation inventory levels.

8. REFERENCES

- 1. Bellman R.E, Zadeh L.A., "Decision making in a fuzzy environment Management Sciences", 1970, Volume 17, pp.141 164.
- 2. Carlson, C., and Korhonen, P., "A parametric Approach to Fuzzy Linear programming", Fuzzy sets and systems, 1986, Vol.20, pp.17-30.
- 3. Clark.A.J, "An informal survey of multi-echelon inventory theory". Naval Research Logistics Quarterly 19, 1972, pp.621-650.
- 4. Dutta.D, J.R.Rao and R.N.Tiwari, "Effect of tolerance in fuzzy linear fractional programming", Fuzzy sets and systems, 55, 1993, pp 133-142.
- 5. Hadley.G and Whitin.T.M, "Analysis of Inventory Systems", Printice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ. 1958.
- 6. Hamacher.H, Leberling.H and Zimmermann.H.J, "Sensitivity analysis in fuzzy linear programming", Fuzzy sets and systems1, 1978, pp.269-281.
- Hanson M.A., "On sufficiency of the Kuhn-Tucker conditions", Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 1981, pp. 545-550.
 Kadambauanam K and Duanichistra K. "
- Kadambavanam.K and Punniakrishnan.K. "Fuzzy Inventory Model Allowing Shortages and Storage Constraint" International Journal of Production Technology and Management Research, New Delhi, Vol.2, No.2, pp.95-101, July-Dec 2011.
 Kadambavanam K and Punniakrishnan K. "Fuzzy Inventory Model Allowing Shortages and Storage Constraint" International Journal of Production Technology and Management Research, New Delhi, Vol.2, No.2, pp.95-101, July-Dec 2011.
- Kadambavanam.K and Punniakrishnan.K. "Inventory Model in a Fuzzy Environment with Exponential Membership Cost Functions", CiiT International Journal of Fuzzy Systems, Coimbatore, Vol.3, No.9, pp.383-390, (2011).
 Kuba U.W. and T. alay A.W. "The U.W. "The U.W. and T. alay A.W. "The U.W. and T. alay A.W. "The U.W. "The U.
- Kuhn.H.W and Tucker.A.W. "Non-linear programming". In.J.Neyman (ed), proceedings second Berkely symposium on mathematical statistics and probability, University of california pracil, 1951, pp.481-498.
- 11. Raymond.F.E, "Quantity and Economic in Manufacturer", Mc Graw Hill Book Co; New York. 1931.
- 12. Roy.T.K. and Maiti.M., 1995. "A fuzzy inventory model with constraint". Opsearch, Volume 32, No.4, pp.287-298.
- 13. Simmons, D.M., "Non-linear programming for Operations Research", prentice-Hall, Englewood, clifts, N.J., 1975.
- Trappey, J.F.C., Liu, C.R. and Chang, T.C., "Fuzzy non-linear programming. Theory and applications in manufacturing", Int. J. Production Research, 1988, Vol 26, No.5, 975-985.
 Zimmermany V.L. (Surger and the second second
- 15. Zimmermann.H.J., "Fuzzy set theory and its applications". Allied publishers Limited, New Delhi in association with Kluwar Academic publishers 2nd Revised Edition, Boston. 1996.

TABLES

TABLE I - COMPARISON TABLE

Model	Holding Cost C ₁ (Rs.)	Shortage Cost C ₂ (Rs.)	Set-up Cost C₃ (Rs.)	Storage Area B (Sq.m.)	Optimum of Q ₁ *	Optimum of Q ₂ *	Average Total Cost C*(Q*) (Rs.)	Aspiration Level α*
Crisp Model	5	25	100	150	300	60	2138.89	
Crisp Model	5	25	100	200	400	80	2041.67	
Crisp Model	3	20	100	150	300	45	1899.28	
Crisp Model	3	20	100	200	400	60	1686.96	
Crisp Model	3	20	50	150	300	45	1174.64	
Crisp Model	3	20	50	200	400	60	1143.48	
Crisp Model	5	25	50	150	300	60	1444.44	
Crisp Model	5	25	50	200	400	80	1520.83	
Fuzzy Model	4.53	22.89	88.28	161.72	323.45	64.03	1871.91	0.70
Fuzzy Model	4.55	22.97	88.70	161.30	322.60	63.89	1881.11	0.71
Fuzzy Model	4.56	23.04	89.12	160.88	321.76	63.74	1890.28	0.72
Fuzzy Model	4.57	23.08	89.33	160.67	321.34	63.67	1894.86	0.725

ABLE II - EFFECT OF VARIATIONS IN P

Po	α*	Q ₁ *	Q2*	C ₁ *	C ₂ *	C₃*	C* (Q*)	B*
300	0.6985	323.57	64.05	4.53	22.88	88.21	1870.53	161.79
315	0.7001	323.44	64.03	4.53	22.89	88.28	1872.00	161.72
345	0.7054	322.99	63.95	4.54	22.93	88.51	1876.88	161.49
500	0.7266	321.21	63.65	4.58	23.09	89.40	1896.32	160.60
1000	0.7749	317.23	62.98	4.66	23.45	91.39	1940.23	158.61
5000	0.8851	308.54	61.49	4.83	24.23	95.73	2038.59	154.27
10000	0.9135	306.38	61.12	4.87	24.43	96.81	2063.58	153.19
50000	0.9419	304.25	60.75	4.91	24.62	97.87	2088.43	152.13
100000	0.9459	303.96	60.69	4.92	24.64	98.02	2091.92	151.98
500000	0.94917	303.71	60.65	4.93	24.67	98.14	2094.77	151.86



VOLUME NO. 2 (2012), ISSUE NO. 9 (SEPTEMBER)

TABLE III - EFFECT OF VARIATIONS IN P1										
P ₁	α*	Q ₁ *	Q ₂ *	C ₁ *	C ₂ *	C₃*	C* (Q*)	В*		
0	0.6708	325.95	71.91	5.00	22.66	87.03	1908.58	162.97		
1	0.6865	324.60	67.72	4.75	22.79	87.70	1889.30	162.30		
2	0.7001	323.44	64.03	4.53	22.89	88.28	1872.00	161.72		
2.5	0.7135	322.31	62.27	4.44	22.99	88.85	1871.03	161.15		
3.2	0.7245	321.38	60.11	4.32	23.08	89.31	1864.03	160.69		
4.3	0.7355	320.46	57.01	4.12	23.16	89.77	1849.20	160.23		
5	0.7485	319.39	55.36	4.03	23.26	90.31	1848.56	159.69		

TABLE - IV EFFECT OF VARIATIONS IN P2

P ₂	α*	Q ₁ *	Q ₂ *	C 1*	C ₂ *	C₃*	C* (Q*)	В*
0	0.6708	325.95	65.19	5.00	25.00	87.03	1927.36	162.97
1	0.689	324.38	62.32	4.76	24.76	87.81	1906.76	162.19
2	0.691	324.21	59.72	4.52	24.52	87.89	1876.69	162.11
2.5	0.7034	323.16	58.50	4.42	24.42	88.42	1872.72	161.58
3.2	0.7143	322.24	56.89	4.29	24.29	88.88	1863.10	161.12
4.3	0.7257	321.28	54.49	4.08	24.08	89.36	1845.20	160.64
5	0.7307	320.86	52.99	3.96	23.96	89.57	1832.68	160.43
6	0.7458	319.61	51.29	3.82	23.82	90.19	1826.86	159.81
7	0.7559	318.78	49.60	3.69	23.69	90.61	1817.27	159.39
8	0.7665	317.91	48.12	3.57	23.57	91.04	1810.70	158.96
9	0.7859	316.33	47.46	3.53	23.53	91.83	1820.47	158.17

TABLE - V EFFECT OF VARIATIONS IN P3

•	\mathbf{Q}_{1}^{*}	Q ₂ *	C 1*	C ₂ *	C₃*	C* (Q*)	B*
0.5254	339.08	66.58	4.22	21.48	100.00	1947.73	169.54
0.5941	332.73	65.56	4.35	22.05	96.73	1937.23	166.36
0.6885	324.43	64.19	4.51	22.80	92.67	1924.16	162.21
0.7001	323.44	64.03	4.53	22.89	88.28	1872.00	161.72
).7242	321.41	63.69	4.57	23.07	87.16	1866.33	160.70
).7352	320.49	63.53	4.59	23.16	85.66	1850.82	160.24
).7445	319.72	63.40	4.61	23.23	84.23	1835.45	159.86
0.7654	318.00	63.11	4.64	23.38	83.80	1837.17	159.00
0.7974	315.41	62.67	4.69	23.61	84.59	1858.62	157.70
	1.5254 1.5941 1.6885 1.7001 1.7242 1.7352 1.7445 1.7654 1.7974	.5254 339.08 .5941 332.73 .6885 324.43 .7001 323.44 .7242 321.41 .7352 320.49 .7445 319.72 .7654 318.00 .7974 315.41	.5254 339.08 66.58 .5941 332.73 65.56 .6885 324.43 64.19 .7001 323.44 64.03 .7242 321.41 63.69 .7352 320.49 63.53 .7445 319.72 63.40 .7654 318.00 63.11 .7974 315.41 62.67	5254 339.08 66.58 4.22 15941 332.73 65.56 4.35 16885 324.43 64.19 4.51 1.7001 323.44 64.03 4.53 1.7242 321.41 63.69 4.57 1.7352 320.49 63.53 4.59 1.7445 319.72 63.40 4.61 1.7654 318.00 63.11 4.64 1.7974 315.41 62.67 4.69	5254339.0866.584.2221.4815941332.7365.564.3522.0516885324.4364.194.5122.8017001323.4464.034.5322.8917242321.4163.694.5723.0717352320.4963.534.5923.1617445319.7263.404.6123.2317654318.0063.114.6423.3817974315.4162.674.6923.61	5254 339.08 66.58 4.22 21.48 100.00 1.5941 332.73 65.56 4.35 22.05 96.73 1.6885 324.43 64.19 4.51 22.80 92.67 1.7001 323.44 64.03 4.53 22.89 88.28 1.7242 321.41 63.69 4.57 23.07 87.16 1.7352 320.49 63.53 4.59 23.16 85.66 1.7445 319.72 63.40 4.61 23.23 84.23 1.7654 318.00 63.11 4.64 23.38 83.80 1.7974 315.41 62.67 4.69 23.61 84.59	5254339.0866.584.2221.48100.001947.7315941332.7365.564.3522.0596.731937.2316885324.4364.194.5122.8092.671924.1617001323.4464.034.5322.8988.281872.0017242321.4163.694.5723.0787.161866.3317352320.4963.534.5923.1685.661850.8217445319.7263.404.6123.2384.231835.4517654318.0063.114.6423.3883.801837.1717974315.4162.674.6923.6184.591858.62

TABLE - VI EFFECT OF VARIATIONS IN P

Р	α*	Q ₁ *	Q ₂ *	C ₁ *	C ₂ *	C ₃*	C* (Q*)	B*
50	0.7001	323.44	64.03	4.53	22.89	88.28	1872.00	161.72
55	0.7014	325.66	64.47	4.53	22.90	87.17	1855.37	162.83
70	0.7115	331.46	65.64	4.55	22.98	84.27	1815.19	165.73
80	0.7234	334.36	66.25	4.57	23.07	82.82	1797.78	167.18
100	0.7345	341.10	67.61	4.59	23.15	79.45	1754.64	170.55
150	0.7555	356.44	70.71	4.62	23.31	71.78	1664.29	178.22
160	0.7846	352.61	70.03	4.67	23.52	73.70	1695.41	176.30



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE, IT & MANAGEMENT A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

Dear Readers

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce, IT and Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue as well as on the journal as a whole, on our E-mail i.e. **infoijrcm@gmail.com** for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries please feel free to contact us on our E-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-

Co-ordinator

ABOUT THE JOURNAL

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active cooperation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.

Our Other Fournals







INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE, IT & MANAGEMENT A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories