

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE & MANAGEMENT

I
J
R
C
M



A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

Indexed & Listed at:

Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, ProQuest, U.S.A., Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A., Google Scholar,

Indian Citation Index (ICI), J-Gate, India [link of the same is duly available at Infflbnat of University Grants Commission (U.G.C.)],

Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland with IC Value of 5.09 (2012) & number of libraries all around the world.

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than 6408 Cities in 196 countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

Ground Floor, Building No. 1041-C-1, Devi Bhawan Bazar, JAGADHRI – 135 003, Yamunanagar, Haryana, INDIA

<http://ijrcm.org.in/>

CONTENTS

Sr. No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page No.
1.	FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE OF SELECTED CEMENT COMPANIES IN INDIA <i>Dr. A. MUTHUSAMY & S. KARTHIKA</i>	1
2.	AN EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS OF IMPLEMENTATION OF NORMS OF CORPORATE GOVERNANCE IN SELECTED COMPANIES <i>ARPIT RASTOGI & Dr. O. P. VERMA</i>	6
3.	WOMEN EMPLOYMENT IN INDIA'S MANUFACTURING SECTOR: AN EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS <i>TUHINA ROY CHOWDHURY</i>	16
	REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK & DISCLAIMER	22

FOUNDER PATRON**Late Sh. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL**

Former State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana

Former Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri

Former President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

CO-ORDINATOR**Dr. BHAVET**

Former Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Engineering & Technology, Urjani

ADVISOR**Prof. S. L. MAHANDRU**

Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

EDITOR**Dr. NAWAB ALI KHAN**

Professor & Dean, Faculty of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

CO-EDITOR**Dr. G. BRINDHA**

Professor & Head, Dr.M.G.R. Educational & Research Institute (Deemed to be University), Chennai

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD**Dr. SIKANDER KUMAR**

Vice Chancellor, Himachal Pradesh University, Shimla, Himachal Pradesh

Dr. A SAJEEVAN RAO

Professor & Director, Accurate Institute of Advanced Management, Greater Noida

Dr. CHRISTIAN EHIUBUCHE

Professor of Global Business/Management, Larry L Luing School of Business, Berkeley College, USA

Dr. JOSÉ G. VARGAS-HERNÁNDEZ

Research Professor, University Center for Economic & Managerial Sciences, University of Guadalajara, Guadalajara, Mexico

Dr. TEGUH WIDODO

Dean, Faculty of Applied Science, Telkom University, Bandung Technoplex, Jl. Telekomunikasi, Indonesia

Dr. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Professor, School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

Dr. KAUP MOHAMED

Dean & Managing Director, London American City College/ICBEST, United Arab Emirates

Dr. D. S. CHAUBEY

Professor & Dean (Research & Studies), Uttaranchal University, Dehradun

Dr. ARAMIDE OLUFEMI KUNLE

Dean, Department of General Studies, The Polytechnic, Ibadan, Nigeria

Dr. SYED TABASSUM SULTANA

Principal, Matrusri Institute of Post Graduate Studies, Hyderabad

Dr. MIKE AMUHAYA IRAVO

Principal, Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture & Tech., Westlands Campus, Nairobi-Kenya

Dr. NEPOMUCENO TIU

Chief Librarian & Professor, Lyceum of the Philippines University, Laguna, Philippines

Dr. BOYINA RUPINI

Director, School of ITS, Indira Gandhi National Open University, New Delhi

Dr. FERIT ÖLÇER

Professor & Head of Division of Management & Organization, Department of Business Administration, Faculty of Economics & Business Administration Sciences, Mustafa Kemal University, Turkey

Dr. SANJIV MITTAL

Professor & Dean, University School of Management Studies, GGS Indraprastha University, Delhi

Dr. SHIB SHANKAR ROY

Professor, Department of Marketing, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi, Bangladesh

Dr. SRINIVAS MADISHETTI

Professor, School of Business, Mzumbe University, Tanzania

Dr. ABHAY BANSAL

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engg. & Tech., Amity University, Noida

Dr. KEVIN LOW LOCK TENG

Associate Professor, Deputy Dean, Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman, Kampar, Perak, Malaysia

Dr. OKAN VELI ŞAFAKLI

Professor & Dean, European University of Lefke, Lefke, Cyprus

Dr. V. SELVAM

Associate Professor, SSL, VIT University, Vellore

Dr. BORIS MILOVIC

Associate Professor, Faculty of Sport, Union Nikola Tesla University, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr. N. SUNDARAM

Associate Professor, VIT University, Vellore

Dr. IQBAL THONSE HAWALDAR

Associate Professor, College of Business Administration, Kingdom University, Bahrain

Dr. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, Government College, Hodal

Dr. ALEXANDER MOSESOV

Associate Professor, Kazakh-British Technical University (KBTU), Almaty, Kazakhstan

RODRECK CHIRAU

Associate Professor, Botho University, Francistown, Botswana

Dr. PARDEEP AHLAWAT

Associate Professor, Institute of Management Studies & Research, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak

Dr. DEEPANJANA VARSHNEY

Associate Professor, Department of Business Administration, King Abdulaziz University, Saudi Arabia

Dr. BIEMBA MALITI

Associate Professor, School of Business, The Copperbelt University, Main Campus, Zambia

Dr. SHIKHA GUPTA

Associate Professor, Lingaya's Lalita Devi Institute of Management & Sciences, New Delhi

Dr. KIARASH JAHANPOUR

Dean of Technology Management Faculty, Farabi Institute of Higher Education, Karaj, Alborz, I.R. Iran

Dr. SAMBHAVNA

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

YU-BING WANG

Faculty, department of Marketing, Feng Chia University, Taichung, Taiwan

Dr. TITUS AMODU UMORU

Professor, Kwara State University, Kwara State, Nigeria

Dr. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE

Faculty, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

Dr. THAMPOE MANAGALESWARAN

Faculty, Vavuniya Campus, University of Jaffna, Sri Lanka

Dr. JASVEEN KAUR

Head of the Department/Chairperson, University Business School, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar

SURAJ GAUDEL

BBA Program Coordinator, LA GRANDEE International College, Simalchaur - 8, Pokhara, Nepal

Dr. RAJESH MODI

Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Dr. BHAVET

Former Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Engineering & Technology, Urjani

FORMER TECHNICAL ADVISOR

AMITA

FINANCIAL ADVISORS

DICKEN GOYAL

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

NEENA

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

LEGAL ADVISORS

JITENDER S. CHAHAL

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

SUPERINTENDENT

SURENDER KUMAR POONIA

CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to the recent developments & practices in the areas of Computer Science & Applications; Commerce; Business; Finance; Marketing; Human Resource Management; General Management; Banking; Economics; Tourism Administration & Management; Education; Law; Library & Information Science; Defence & Strategic Studies; Electronic Science; Corporate Governance; Industrial Relations; and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Rural Economics; Co-operation; Demography; Development Planning; Development Studies; Applied Economics; Development Economics; Business Economics; Monetary Policy; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Political Science; Continuing Education; Labour Welfare; Philosophy; Psychology; Sociology; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law; Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labour Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; International Relations; Human Rights & Duties; Public Administration; Population Studies; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism & Hospitality; Transportation Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic; Web Design and emerging paradigms in allied subjects.

Anybody can submit the **soft copy** of unpublished novel; original; empirical and high quality **research work/manuscript** **anytime** in **M.S. Word format** after preparing the same as per our **GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION**; at our email address i.e. infoijrcm@gmail.com or online by clicking the link **online submission** as given on our website ([FOR ONLINE SUBMISSION, CLICK HERE](#)).

GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT

1. **COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:**

DATED: _____

THE EDITOR

IJRCM

Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF _____

(e.g. Finance/Mkt./HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, please specify)

DEAR SIR/MADAM

Please find my submission of manuscript titled ' _____ ' for likely publication in one of your journals.

I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore, it has neither been published anywhere in any language fully or partly, nor it is under review for publication elsewhere.

I affirm that all the co-authors of this manuscript have seen the submitted version of the manuscript and have agreed to inclusion of their names as co-authors.

Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I agree to comply with the formalities as given on the website of the journal. The Journal has discretion to publish our contribution in any of its journals.

NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR :
 Designation/Post* :
 Institution/College/University with full address & Pin Code :
 Residential address with Pin Code :
 Mobile Number (s) with country ISD code :
 Is WhatsApp or Viber active on your above noted Mobile Number (Yes/No) :
 Landline Number (s) with country ISD code :
 E-mail Address :
 Alternate E-mail Address :
 Nationality :

* i.e. Alumnus (Male Alumni), Alumna (Female Alumni), Student, Research Scholar (M. Phil), Research Scholar (Ph. D.), JRF, Research Assistant, Assistant Lecturer, Lecturer, Senior Lecturer, Junior Assistant Professor, Assistant Professor, Senior Assistant Professor, Co-ordinator, Reader, Associate Professor, Professor, Head, Vice-Principal, Dy. Director, Principal, Director, Dean, President, Vice Chancellor, Industry Designation **etc.** **The qualification of author is not acceptable for the purpose.**

NOTES:

- a) The whole manuscript has to be in **ONE MS WORD FILE** only, which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript. ***pdf. version is liable to be rejected without any consideration.***
 - b) The sender is required to mention the following in the **SUBJECT COLUMN of the mail:**
New Manuscript for Review in the area of (e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, please specify)
 - c) There is no need to give any text in the body of the mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any **specific message** w.r.t. to the manuscript.
 - d) The total size of the file containing the manuscript is expected to be below **1000 KB**.
 - e) Only the **Abstract will not be considered for review** and the author is required to submit the **complete manuscript** in the first instance.
 - f) **The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email within twenty-four hours** and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of the manuscript, within two days of its submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending a separate mail to the journal.
 - g) The author (s) name or details should not appear anywhere on the body of the manuscript, except on the covering letter and the cover page of the manuscript, in the manner as mentioned in the guidelines.
2. **MANUSCRIPT TITLE:** The title of the paper should be typed in **bold letters, centered and fully capitalised**.
 3. **AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS:** Author (s) **name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline number (s), and email/alternate email address** should be given underneath the title.
 4. **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:** Acknowledgements can be given to reviewers, guides, funding institutions, etc., if any.
 5. **ABSTRACT:** Abstract should be in **fully Italic printing**, ranging between **150 to 300 words**. The abstract must be informative and elucidating the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a **SINGLE PARA**. **Abbreviations must be mentioned in full.**
 6. **KEYWORDS:** Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of **five**. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stop at the end. All words of the keywords, including the first one should be in small letters, except special words e.g. name of the Countries, abbreviations etc.
 7. **JEL CODE:** Provide the appropriate Journal of Economic Literature Classification System code (s). JEL codes are available at www.aea-web.org/econlit/jelCodes.php. However, mentioning of JEL Code is not mandatory.
 8. **MANUSCRIPT:** Manuscript must be in **BRITISH ENGLISH** prepared on a standard A4 size **PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER**. **It should be free from any errors i.e. grammatical, spelling or punctuation. It must be thoroughly edited at your end.**
 9. **HEADINGS:** All the headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
 10. **SUB-HEADINGS:** All the sub-headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
 11. **MAIN TEXT:**

THE MAIN TEXT SHOULD FOLLOW THE FOLLOWING SEQUENCE:**INTRODUCTION****REVIEW OF LITERATURE****NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY****STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM****OBJECTIVES****HYPOTHESIS (ES)****RESEARCH METHODOLOGY****RESULTS & DISCUSSION****FINDINGS****RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS****CONCLUSIONS****LIMITATIONS****SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH****REFERENCES****APPENDIX/ANNEXURE****The manuscript should preferably be in 2000 to 5000 WORDS, But the limits can vary depending on the nature of the manuscript.**

12. **FIGURES & TABLES:** These should be simple, crystal **CLEAR, centered, separately numbered** & self-explained, and the **titles must be above the table/figure. Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure. It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text.**
13. **EQUATIONS/FORMULAE:** These should be consecutively numbered in parenthesis, left aligned with equation/formulae number placed at the right. The equation editor provided with standard versions of Microsoft Word may be utilised. If any other equation editor is utilised, author must confirm that these equations may be viewed and edited in versions of Microsoft Office that does not have the editor.
14. **ACRONYMS:** These should not be used in the abstract. The use of acronyms is elsewhere is acceptable. Acronyms should be defined on its first use in each section e.g. Reserve Bank of India (RBI). Acronyms should be redefined on first use in subsequent sections.
15. **REFERENCES:** The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. **The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript** and they may follow Harvard Style of Referencing. **Also check to ensure that everything that you are including in the reference section is duly cited in the paper.** The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
- All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
 - Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
 - When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc., in chronologically ascending order.
 - Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
 - The title of books and journals should be in italic printing. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
 - For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parenthesis.
 - **Headers, footers, endnotes and footnotes should not be used in the document.** However, **you can mention short notes to elucidate some specific point**, which may be placed in number orders before the references.

PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:

BOOKS

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

- Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

- Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

CONFERENCE PAPERS

- Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–23

UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS

- Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

ONLINE RESOURCES

- Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

WEBSITES

- Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 <http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp>

FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE OF SELECTED CEMENT COMPANIES IN INDIA

Dr. A. MUTHUSAMY
PROFESSOR
DEPARTMENT OF INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS
ALAGAPPA UNIVERSITY
KARAIKUDI

S. KARTHIKA
FULL-TIME RESEARCH SCHOLAR
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
ALAGAPPA UNIVERSITY
KARAIKUDI

ABSTRACT

India is the second major manufacturer of cement in the world. No marvel, India's cement production is an essential part of its economy, given that employment to more than a million people, directly and indirectly. India has a lot of options for development in the transportation and infrastructure sector and the cement sector is expected to largely benefit from it. The objectives of the study are to find the short term financial performance of the sample cement companies and analyze the profitability condition of the chosen cement companies. It is based on the convenience sampling method. The information used in this study is secondary in nature. Profit earning is measured necessary for endurance of the industry. The Profitability ratios show the capability of the select companies. The financial positions of the selected cement companies are reasonable. But both the companies must improve their short term solvency position. The profitability ratio of two cement companies is satisfactory and the two selected companies short term liquidity position is not satisfactory because the selected company's current ratio and Quick ratio level is below one and two selected companies are quickly maintained their inventory, investment and Debtors. Ultra Tech Cement Limited correlation between the Investment Turnover Ratio and Inventory Turnover Ratio is 1 which is very strongest. The correlation between Debtor Turnover Ratio and the Net Profit Ratio is -0.912 which is very weak. Shree cement Limited correlation between the Investment Turnover Ratio and Debtor Turnover Ratio is 1 is very strong. The Investment Turnover Ratio and the Debt Equity Ratio is - 0.720 which is very weak. The competence of a compact depends ahead the functioning operations of the anxiety.

KEYWORDS

cement industries, financial performance.

JEL CODE

L61

INTRODUCTION

India is the second major manufacturer of cement in the world. India's cement production is an essential part of its economy, given that employment to more than a million people, directly and indirectly. India has a lot of possibilities for development in the transportation and infrastructure sector and the cement sector is expected to largely benefit from it. Indian cement Industry has played a predominant role in the growth of Indian Economy after the independence. The housing and real estate division is the largest claim driver of cement, bookkeeping for about 65 per cent of the total use in India. The other major consumers of cement include public connections at 20 per cent and industrial growth at 15 per cent. Cement production ability stood at 502 million tonnes per year (mtpy) in 2018. Cement utilization is estimated to grow by 4.5 per cent in FY19 supported by pick-up in the housing segment and higher carrying spending. The industry is now producing 280 MT for meetings its home demand and 5 MT for exports constraint. The Indian cement industry is conquered by a few companies. A whole of 210 bulky cement plants account for a collective installed ability of over 350 million tonnes, with 350 tiny plants accounting for the relax. Of these 210 large cement vegetation, 77 are situated in the states of Andhra Pradesh, Rajasthan and Tamil Nadu. There is no substitute for the cement has been discovered and industry is growing day to day. After from the construction of houses, dams, bridges the cement is widely used for the railway sleep bags, sanitary purposes, roof sheets in the form of asbetos. Enormous production of cement is the precondition for intensifying construction activity in major sectors of Economy.

IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY

Finance is one of the important factors for manufacturing and trading of goods and service of any enterprise. Every investment of finance in the business is to earn an optimum return in the form of profit. The business to earn a profit is one of the important factors for measuring the efficiency of the enterprises. The business to earn the profit, how for fund should be used in the business efficiently and effectively.

SCOPE OF THE STUDY

This present study is concerned with the financial performance of selected cement companies in India. In order to examine the financial position of the individual cement manufacturing company the correlation is calculated and also to analyze the Company's financial position for the period of five years. The researcher has chosen this study to know- how the present study will examine the liquidity position of the selected companies. The present study will also examine the relationship between the ratios like Current Ratio, Quick Ratio, and the other selected Ratios.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The following are the objectives of the study:

1. To find out the short-term financial performance of the sample cement companies.
2. To Examine the profitability condition of the chosen cement companies.

METHODOLOGY OF THE STUDY

Analysis for this research was completed using the correlation method. The analytical tools such as Mean, Standard Deviation and Co efficient of narration and Pearson's correlation to find out the Short Term Financial Performance and see the differences. The study is based on the convenience sampling method. The information used in this study is secondary in nature.

PERIOD OF THE STUDY

The present has been carried out for the period of five years from 2013-14 to 2017-18. The researcher has gathered the data from the annual reports.

SAMPLE COMPANIES

Ultra Tech Cement Limited
Shree Cement Limited

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

T. N. Geetha, Dr. S.Ramasamy (2014) The production of cement in India has increased at a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of 9.7 percent to reach 272 million tons (MT) in the period 2006-2013. It is expected to touch 407 MT by 2020. In this research the author make use of cement industry in India to find out the overall financial performance efficiency. India is the second largest producer of cement in the world. Twelve years data have been employed in this study from 2001-2002 to 2012-2013. To find out the overall performance efficiency the authors employed Ratio Analysis and Compound Aggregate Growth rate (CAGR). The authors found that the cement industry performance was good in India during the study period. The authors conclude that the Cement companies in India have to consolidate in order to become strong, vibrant and also they have to concentrate on the export market.

M. Senthilkumar and Dr. A. Panneerselvam (2016) The study analysed the financial performance of the selected cement companies in India using Altman's Z-score model. The period of the study was ten years from 2004-05 to 2013-14. The study found that financial soundness of Ambuja Cements Ltd. was good during the study period, since its overall Z-score was more than the standard during all the years of the study period. The financial position of ACC Ltd. and UltraTech Cements Ltd. was also good, since their overall Z-score was more than the standard during seven years and it was at moderate levels during other three years. The financial soundness of Shree Cements Ltd. was satisfactory during the study period, its Z-score was more than the standard during six years and it was moderate during another four years. Financial soundness in terms of Z-scores of JK Cements Ltd. and India Cements Ltd. was not good, for the study period their calculated Z-score was more than the standard. It was also observed that financial soundness of Barak Valley Cements Ltd. and Ramco Cements Ltd. was also not satisfied during the study period.

N. Sumathi, Dr. K. Jothi (2016) India is the second largest producer of cement in the world. Cement production increased at a compound annual growth rate (CAGR) of 9.7 per cent in the period 2006– 2013, producing 272 million tonnes (MT). In this study the author, select two companies. UltraTech Cement is the largest cement company in India and amongst the leading producers of cement globally. In the early fifties OCL has installed four numbers of Ball mills of FL Smidth for cement grinding purpose. For the result Comparative analysis both the companies must improve their short term solvency position.

Mohd. Ajmal (2015) The present study is an attempt to evaluate the financial performance of Cement Industry of India with special reference to Cement Corporation of India limited (CCI). The financial performance will have been evaluated through the financial ratios. Liquidity position of the company will be checked through short term solvency ratios while earning performance have been evaluated with the help of profitability ratios. Other financial and statistical tools and techniques have also been applied in order to check the overall financial position of the company.

Dr. R Venkatacham and V Kasthuri (2016) Cement Industry play very significant role in Indian economy. It facilitates the basic infrastructure facility for the development of the country. Indian Cement industry is the second largest industry throughout the Globe only after China. The cement companies have seen a net profit growth rate of 85 per cent. With this huge success, the cement industry in India has contributed almost 8 per cent to India's economic development. Nowadays, the cement industry is growing fast and to know, how the financial performance of the cement industries playing a vital role in India.

DATA ANALYSIS

The composed data have been analyzed by making use of descriptive analysis of Ultratech cement limited and Shree cement limited.

CR - CURRENT RATIO

QR - QUICK RATIO

DR - DEBIT EQUITY RATIO

OP - OPERATING PROFIT RATIO

GP - GROSS PROFIT RATIO

NP - NET PROFIT RATIO

INVTR - INVENTORY TURNOVER RATIO

DTR - DEBTOR TURNOVER RATIO

INTR - INVESTMENT TURNOVER RATIO

STDV - STANDARD DIVIATION

COVAR - COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION

TABLE 1: DESCRIPTIVE ANALYSIS OF ULTRA TECH CEMENT DURING THE YEAR FROM 2013-14 TO 2017 -18

YEAR	CR	QR	DR	OP	GP	NP	INVTR	DTR	INTR
2013-2014	0.75	0.56	0.41	18.63	13.37	10.18	9.45	14.39	9.45
2014-2015	0.56	0.44	0.40	18.17	13.23	8.61	9.29	14.80	9.29
2015-2016	0.63	0.58	0.34	28.66	23.81	8.72	11.57	15.83	11.57
2016-2017	0.76	0.61	0.31	20.54	15.22	10.69	11.93	13.77	11.93
2017-2018	0.70	0.59	0.71	21.78	16.06	6.87	9.89	16.21	9.89
Mean	0.68	0.56	0.43	21.56	16.34	9.01	10.43	15.00	10.43
SD	0.08	0.07	0.16	4.23	4.35	1.50	1.24	1.01	1.24
Covar	12.43	12.11	36.82	19.62	26.61	16.65	11.85	6.73	11.85

Source: Annual Report

Interpretation

The table no 1 shows the above data analysis it has been found that, Ultra tech Cement from 2013-2014 to 2017-2018. The profitability ratio is satisfactory and short term liquidity position is not satisfactory because current ratio and Quick ratio level is below of 1 in Ultra tech Cement company. It shows the company are promptly maintained their inventory, investment and Debtors. The coefficient of variation evaluates how the mean variables of two variables move together. We may infer that the coefficient of variation of Debt Ratio stood at 36.82 this shows the company for positive level of Debt rate. coefficient of variation of from profit stood at 26.61 which also shows the positive growth of gross profit during the study period 2013-2018 the coefficient of variation of Operating Profit stood at 19.62 which shows the positive impact during the study period. During the steady period the coefficient of variation of Net Profit of Ultra Tech cement stood at 16.65 which shows the positive trend during the given no of years. The coefficient of variation of the Debt Turn Over Ratio stood at 6.73 which indicates the company has minimal no of debt at particular years. The coefficient of variation for the Inventory Turn Over Ratio and Investment Turn Over Ratio stood at 11.85 which is the optimal relationship between each other. The coefficient of variation for the Current Ratio and Quick Ratio stood at 12.43 and 12.11 which is the minimal relationship and optimal growth.

TABLE 2: DESCRIPTIVE ANALYSIS OF SHREE CEMENT DURING THE YEAR FROM 2013-14 TO 2017 -18

YEAR	CR	QR	DR	OP	GP	NP	INVTR	DTR	INTR
2013-2014	0.90	0.77	0.23	23.60	14.26	13.37	8.08	19.26	8.08
2014-2015	1.11	0.81	0.12	20.82	6.49	6.60	7.81	16.70	7.81
2015-2016	1.39	1.02	0.11	33.65	20.28	18.46	7.59	15.38	7.59
2016-2017	1.22	0.92	0.17	37.05	24.48	13.85	7.35	29.11	7.35
2017-2018	1.12	0.92	0.38	27.55	18.69	13.62	6.48	25.58	6.48
Mean	1.15	0.89	0.20	28.53	16.84	13.18	7.46	21.21	7.46
SD	0.18	0.10	0.11	6.77	6.84	4.24	0.61	5.91	0.61
Covar	15.55	11.19	54.61	23.74	40.65	32.16	8.20	27.86	8.20

Source: Annual Report

Interpretation

The table no 2 shows the above data analysis it has been found that, Shree Cement from 2013-2014 to 2017-2018. The profitability ratio is satisfactory and short term liquidity position is not satisfactory because current ratio and Quick ratio level is below 1 in shree Cement Company. It shows the company are promptly maintained their inventory, investment and Debtors. The coefficient of variation evaluates how the mean variables of two variables move together. We may infer that the coefficient of variation of Debt Ratio stood at 54.61 this shows the company for positive level of Debt rate. coefficient of variation of from profit stood at 40.65 which also shows the positive growth of gross profit during the study period 2013-2018 the coefficient of variation of Net Profit stood at 32.16 which shows the positive impact during the study period. During the steady period the coefficient of variation of debtor turnover ratio of Ultra Tech cement stood at 27.86 which shows the positive trend during the given no of years. The coefficient of variation of the Operating profit stood at 23.74 which indicates the company has minimal no of debt at particular years. The coefficient of variation for the Inventory Turn Over Ratio and Investment Turn Over Ratio stood at 8.20 which is the optimal relationship between each other. The coefficient of variation for the Current Ratio and Quick Ratio stood at 15.55 and 11.19 which is the minimal relationship and optimal growth.

PEARSON'S CORRELATION

TABLE 3: CORRELATIONS OF ULTRA TECH CEMENT LIMITED DURING THE YEAR FROM 2013-14 TO 2017 -18

		CR	QR	DR	OP	GP	NP	INVTR	DTR	INTR
CR	Pearson Correlation	1								
	Sig. (2-Tailed)									
	N	5								
QR	Pearson Correlation	.791	1							
	Sig. (2-Tailed)	.111								
	N	5	5							
DR	Pearson Correlation	.050	.099	1						
	Sig. (2-Tailed)	.936	.874							
	N	5	5	5						
OP	Pearson Correlation	-.161	.443	-.109	1					
	Sig. (2-Tailed)	.796	.455	.862						
	N	5	5	5	5					
GP	Pearson Correlation	-.206	.391	-.167	.997**	1				
	Sig. (2-Tailed)	.739	.515	.789	.000					
	N	5	5	5	5	5				
NP	Pearson Correlation	.465	.154	-.819	-.249	-.218				
	Sig. (2-Tailed)	.430	.805	.090	.687	.725				
	N	5	5	5	5	5				
INVTR	Pearson Correlation	.288	.657	-.481	.631	.626	.358	1		
	Sig. (2-Tailed)	.638	.229	.412	.254	.259	.554			
	N	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
DTR	Pearson Correlation	-.394	.057	.666	.578	.551	-.912*	-.146	1	
	Sig. (2-Tailed)	.512	.928	.220	.307	.336	.031	.815		
	N	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
INTR	Pearson Correlation	.288	.657	-.481	.631	.626	.358	1.000**	-.146	1
	Sig. (2-Tailed)	.638	.229	.412	.254	.259	.554	0.000	.815	
	N	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5

** . Correlation Is Significant At The 0.01 Level (2-Tailed).

* . Correlation Is Significant At The 0.05 Level (2-Tailed).

Source: Analysis Using SPSS.

Interpretation

The above table 3 shows the Pearson's correlation of Ultra Tech Cement. we may infer that Pearson's correlation of Current Ratio and Current Ratio stood at '1' which is considered to be the strongest and N is 5. The Pearson's correlation for Quick Ratio and Current Ratio is 0.791 which is strongest and correlation between the Quick Ratio and Quick Ratio is '1' which is also said to be strongest, and this shows the positive trend.

The Pearson's correlation between the Debt Equity Ratio and Current Ratio is 0.50, which is said to be the positive trend but not the strongest but the correlation of Debt Equity Ratio and the Quick Ratio is 0.99 which is strongest and even the correlation between the Debt Equity Ratio and Debt Equity Ratio is '1' which is strongest and its in positive trend.

The Pearson's correlation of Operating Profit Ratio and Current Ratio is -0.161 which is weak, the correlation between Operating Profit Ratio and Quick Ratio is 0.443 which is also quite strong but the correlation between the Operating Profit Ratio and Debt Equity Ratio is -0.109 which is weak and its in declining position. The correlation between the Operating Profit Ratio and Operating Profit Ratio is '1' which is strongest among the all.

The Pearson's correlation between the Gross Profit Ratio and the Current Ratio is -0.206 which is weak, the correlation between the Gross Profit Ratio and Quick Ratio is 0.391 which is moderate the correlation between the Gross Profit Ratio and Debt Equity Ratio is -0.167 which is also weaker and the difference between the Gross Profit Ratio is 0.997 which is strongest and it is in positive direction.

The Pearson's correlation between the Net Profit Ratio and the Current Ratio is 0.465 which is moderate, the correlation between the Net Profit Ratio and Quick Ratio is 0.154 which is weak the correlation between the Net Profit Ratio and the Debt Equity Ratio is -0.819 which is most weakest, the correlation between the Net Profit Ratio and the Operating Profit Ratio is -0.249 which is also the weakest the correlation between the Net Profit Ratio and Gross Profit Ratio is -0.218 which is also weaker. Hence the correlation between the Net Profit Ratio among the all other Ratio is declining.

The Pearson's correlation between the Inventory Turnover Ratio and Current Ratio is 0.288 which is weak, the correlation between the Inventory Turnover Ratio and Quick Ratio is 0.657 which is consistently strong. the Inventory Turnover Ratio and the Debt Equity Ratio is -0.481 which is very weak, the correlation between Inventory Turnover Ratio and the operating profit ratio is 0.631 is strong. the correlation between the Inventory Turnover Ratio and Gross Profit ratio is 0.626 consistently strong. Hence the correlation between the Inventory Turnover Ratio and the Gross Profit Ratio is 0.358 consistently strong which is strongest and correlation between the Inventory Turnover Ratio and Inventory Turnover Ratio is '1' which is said to be strongest, and this shows the positive trend.

The Pearson's correlation between Debtor Turnover Ratio and Current Ratio is -0.394 is very weak. The correlation between the Debtor Turnover Ratio and Quick Ratio is 0.057 which is consistently strong. The Debtor Turnover Ratio and the Debt Equity Ratio is 0.666 which is consistently strong, the correlation between Debtor Turnover Ratio and the Operating Profit Ratio is 0.578 which is consistently strong. The correlation between the Debtor Turnover Ratio and Gross Profit Ratio is 0.551 which is consistently strong. Hence the correlation between Debtor Turnover Ratio and the Net Profit Ratio is -0.912 which is very weak. And correlation between the Debtor Turnover Ratio and Inventory Turnover Ratio is -0.146 which is very weak, and correlation between the Debtor Turnover Ratio and Debtor Turnover Ratio is '1' which is said to be strongest, and this shows the positive trend.

The Pearson's correlation between Investment Turnover Ratio and Current Ratio is 0.288 which is consistently strong. The correlation between the Investment Turnover Ratio and Quick Ratio is 0.657 which is consistently strong. The Investment Turnover Ratio and the Debt Equity Ratio is 0.481 which is consistently strong, the correlation between Investment Turnover Ratio and the Operating Profit Ratio is 0.631 which is consistently strong. The correlation between the Investment Turnover Ratio and Gross Profit Ratio is 0.626 which is consistently strong. Hence the correlation between Investment Turnover Ratio and the Net Profit Ratio is 0.358 which is consistently strong. And correlation between the Investment Turnover Ratio and Inventory Turnover Ratio is 1 which is very strongest, correlation between the Investment Turnover Ratio and Debtor Turnover Ratio is -0.146 is very weak. Investment Turnover Ratio and Investment Turnover Ratio correlation between the '1' which is said to be strongest, and this shows the positive trend.

TABLE 4: CORRELATIONS OF ULTRA TECH CEMENT LIMITED DURING THE YEAR FROM 2013-14 TO 2017 -18

		CR	QR	DR	OP	GP	NP	INVTR	DTR	INTR
CR	Pearson Correlation	1								
	Sig. (2-Tailed)									
	N	5								
QR	Pearson Correlation	.924*	1							
	Sig. (2-Tailed)	.025								
	N	5	5							
DR	Pearson Correlation	-.424	-.100	1						
	Sig. (2-Tailed)	.477	.873							
	N	5	5	5						
Op	Pearson Correlation	.702	.780	-.142	1					
	Sig. (2-Tailed)	.186	.119	.820						
	N	5	5	5	5					
GP	Pearson Correlation	.484	.687	.180	.935*	1				
	Sig. (2-Tailed)	.409	.200	.772	.020					
	N	5	5	5	5	5				
NP	Pearson Correlation	.501	.726	.062	.715	.790	1			
	Sig. (2-Tailed)	.390	.165	.921	.175	.112				
	N	5	5	5	5	5	5			
INVTR	Pearson Correlation	-.266	-.486	-.720	-.306	-.444	-.193	1		
	Sig. (2-Tailed)	.666	.406	.171	.617	.454	.755			
	N	5	5	5	5	5	5	5		
DTR	Pearson Correlation	-.073	.087	.528	.485	.619	.057	-.602	1	
	Sig. (2-Tailed)	.907	.889	.360	.408	.266	.927	.283		
	N	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
INTR	Pearson Correlation	-.266	-.486	-.720	-.306	-.444	-.193	1.000**	-.602	1
	Sig. (2-Tailed)	.666	.406	.171	.617	.454	.755	0.000	.283	
	N	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
*. Correlation Is Significant At The 0.05 Level (2-Tailed).										
**. Correlation Is Significant At The 0.01 Level (2-Tailed).										

Source: Analysis Using SPSS.

Interpretation

The above table 4 shows the Pearson's correlation of Shree Cement. From the above table: we may infer that Pearson's correlation of Current Ratio and Current Ratio stood at '1' which is considered to be the strongest and N is 5. The Pearson's correlation for Quick Ratio and Current Ratio is 0.924 which is strongest and correlation between the Quick Ratio and Quick Ratio is '1' which is also said to be strongest, and this shows the positive trend.

The Pearson's correlation between the Debt Equity Ratio and Current Ratio is -0.424, which is weak. but the correlation of Debt Equity Ratio and the Quick Ratio is -0.100 which is weak and even the correlation between the Debt Equity Ratio and Debt Equity Ratio is '1' which is strongest and its in positive trend.

The Pearson's correlation of Operating Profit Ratio and Current Ratio is -0.102 which is weak, the correlation between Operating Profit Ratio and Quick Ratio is 0.180 which is also strong but the correlation between the Operating Profit Ratio and Debt Equity Ratio is -0.142 which is weak and its in declining position. The correlation between the Operating Profit Ratio and Operating Profit Ratio is '1' which is strongest among the all.

The Pearson's correlation between the Gross Profit Ratio and the Current Ratio is 0.484 which is strong, the correlation between the Gross Profit Ratio and Quick Ratio is 0.687 which is strong the correlation between the Gross Profit Ratio and Debt Equity Ratio is 0.180 which is also strong and the difference between the Gross Profit Ratio is 0.935 which is strongest and it is in positive direction.

The Pearson's correlation between the Net Profit Ratio and the Current Ratio is 0.501 which is moderate, the correlation between the Net Profit Ratio and Quick Ratio is 0.726 which is strong the correlation between the Net Profit Ratio and the Debt Equity Ratio is 0.062 which is most strong, the correlation between the Net Profit Ratio and the Operating Profit Ratio is 0.715 which is also the strong the correlation between the Net Profit Ratio and Gross Profit Ratio is 0.790 which is also strong. Hence the correlation between the Net Profit Ratio among the all other Ratio is declining.

The Pearson's correlation between the Inventory Turnover Ratio and Current Ratio is -0.266 which is weak, the correlation between the Inventory Turnover Ratio and Quick Ratio is -0.486 which is weak. The Inventory Turnover Ratio and the Debt Equity Ratio is -0.720 which is very weak, the correlation between Inventory Turnover Ratio and the operating profit ratio is -0.306 which is weak. The correlation between the Inventory Turnover Ratio and Gross Profit ratio is 0.444 consistently weak. Hence the correlation between the Inventory Turnover Ratio and the Gross Profit Ratio is -0.193 consistently strong which is weak and correlation between the Inventory Turnover Ratio and Inventory Turnover Ratio is '1' which is said to be strongest, and this shows the positive trend.

The Pearson's correlation between Debtor Turnover Ratio and Current Ratio is -0.073 is very weak. The correlation between the Debtor Turnover Ratio and Quick Ratio is 0.087 which is consistently strong. The Debtor Turnover Ratio and the Debt Equity Ratio is 0.528 which is consistently strong, the correlation between Debtor Turnover Ratio and the Operating Profit Ratio is 0.485 which is consistently strong. The correlation between the Debtor Turnover Ratio and Gross Profit Ratio is 0.619 which is consistently strong. Hence the correlation between Debtor Turnover Ratio and the Net Profit Ratio is 0.057 which is very weak. And correlation between the Debtor Turnover Ratio and Inventory Turnover Ratio is -0.602 which is very weak, and correlation between the Debtor Turnover Ratio and Debtor Turnover Ratio is '1' which is said to be strongest, and this shows the positive trend.

The Pearson's correlation between Investment Turnover Ratio and Current Ratio is -0.266 which is consistently weak. The correlation between the Investment Turnover Ratio and Quick Ratio is -0.486 which is weak. The Investment Turnover Ratio and the Debt Equity Ratio is -0.720 which is weak, the correlation between Investment Turnover Ratio and the Operating Profit Ratio is -0.306 which is weak. The correlation between the Investment Turnover Ratio and Gross Profit Ratio is -0.444 which is weak. Hence the correlation between Investment Turnover Ratio and the Net Profit Ratio is -0.193 which is weak. And correlation between the Investment Turnover Ratio and Inventory Turnover Ratio is 1 which is very strongest, correlation between the Investment Turnover Ratio and Debtor Turnover Ratio is 1 is very strong. Investment Turnover Ratio and Investment Turnover Ratio correlation between the '1' which is said to be strongest, and this shows the positive trend.

CONCLUSION

Since the Real Estate Companies, housing and Building agencies wholly depend on the Cement Industry there is a vital contribution by the Cement Industry to the Indian Economy. The Current paper analyses the financial performance of two major Cement companies viz., UltraTech Cement Limited and Shree Cement Limited. From the above analysis we may come to the conclusion that, it has been established that, the profitability ratio of two selected companies are satisfactory and the liquidity position of two selected companies viz., UltraTech Cement Limited and Shree Cement Limited not satisfactory because the selected companies current ratio and Quick ratio level are less than 1 and two selected companies are effectively maintained their inventory, investment and Debtors. The competence of a company depends ahead the functioning operations of the industry. Profit earning is measured necessary for endurance of the industry. The Profitability ratios show the capability of the select companies. The financial positions of the selected cement companies are reasonable. But both the companies must improve their short term solvency position.

REFERENCES

1. T.N.Geetha, Dr. S.Ramasamy (2014), "A Study on the Financial Performance Efficiency of Selected Cement Industries in India", International Journal of Emerging Engineering Research and Technology Volume 2, Issue 3, June 2014, PP 106-111 ISSN 2349-4395 (Print) & ISSN 2349-4409 (Online)
2. M.Senthilkumar and Dr. A.Panneerselvam (2016), " Financial Performance of Cement Industry in India – A Special Reference To Select Cement Companies", Indian Journal of Research Paripex Volume : 5 | Issue : 7, ISSN - 2250-1991 | IF : 5.215 | IC Value : 77.65
3. N. Sumathi, Dr. K. Jothi (2016), "A Study On Financial Performance of Cement Companies in India with Reference to UltraTech Cement Limited and OCL India Limited – A Comparative Analysis", International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET) Volume 4 Issue III, March 2016 IC Value: 13.98 ISSN: 2321-9653
4. Mohd. Ajmal (2015), "Evaluation of Financial Performance of Cement Corporation of India (CCI) Limited", Kuwait Chapter of Arabian Journal of Business and Management Review Vol. 4, No.7; March. 2015.
5. Dr. R Venkatacham and V Kasthuri (2016), "A study on financial performance of cement industry in India", International Journal of Applied Research 2016; 2(9): 778-780 ISSN Print: 2394-7500 ISSN Online: 2394-5869 Impact Factor: 5.2 IJAR 2016; 2(9): 778-780
6. Dr. Kavitha Muthukumaran (2018) "Performance Analysis of Select Companies in Cement Industry Using Various Models" International Journal of Civil Engineering and Technology (IJCIET) Volume 9, Issue 3, March 2018, pp. 839–852, Article ID: IJCIET_09_03_084 Available online at <http://www.iaeme.com/ijciyet/issues.asp?JType=IJCIET&VType=9&IType=3> ISSN Print: 0976-6308 and ISSN Online: 0976-6316

WEBSITES

7. <https://www.moneycontrol.com/financials/ultratechcement/balance-sheet/UTC01>
8. <https://www.moneycontrol.com/financials/shreecements/ratios/SC12>

REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

Dear Readers

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce & Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue, as well as on the journal as a whole, on our e-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries, please feel free to contact us on our e-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward to an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-

Co-ordinator

DISCLAIMER

The information and opinions presented in the Journal reflect the views of the authors and not of the Journal or its Editorial Board or the Publishers/Editors. Publication does not constitute endorsement by the journal. Neither the Journal nor its publishers/Editors/Editorial Board nor anyone else involved in creating, producing or delivering the journal or the materials contained therein, assumes any liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information provided in the journal, nor shall they be liable for any direct, indirect, incidental, special, consequential or punitive damages arising out of the use of information/material contained in the journal. The journal, neither its publishers/Editors/ Editorial Board, nor any other party involved in the preparation of material contained in the journal represents or warrants that the information contained herein is in every respect accurate or complete, and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such material. Readers are encouraged to confirm the information contained herein with other sources. The responsibility of the contents and the opinions expressed in this journal are exclusively of the author (s) concerned.

ABOUT THE JOURNAL

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active co-operation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.

Our Other Journals

