INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE & MANAGEMENT



Ulrich's Periodicals Directory @, ProQuest, U.S.A., Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A., Google Scholar

Indian Citation Index (ICI), J-Gage, India [link of the same is duly available at Inflibnet of University Grants Commission (U.G.C.)]

Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland with IC Value of 5.09 (2012) & number of libraries all around the world.

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than 6575 Cities in 197 countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

Ground Floor, Building No. 1041-C-1, Devi Bhawan Bazar, JAGADHRI – 135 003, Yamunanagar, Haryana, INDIA

CONTENTS

Sr. No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page No.
1.	PATIENT SATISFACTION TOWARDS HEALTH CARE AND PHARMACEUTICAL CARE SERVICES: A STUDY OF SELECTED HOSPITALS IN GUNTUR CITY, ANDHRA PRADESH, INDIA Dr. D. LALITHA RANI & Dr. V. SRI VENKATESWARA RAO	1
2.	EARNING VOLATILITY SCENARIO PRE AND POST IMPLEMENTATION OF IFRS IN SELECTED INDIAN COMPANIES NEDA POURADELI ASHJAEI & Dr. N. NAGARAJA	6
3.	THE TESTING OF HOMOGENEITY & FINANCIAL DISTRESS: A STUDY ON MAHARATNA COMPANIES ANUSREE BOSE & SAYAN BASU	11
4.	A STUDY ON THE CAUSES OF THE DOWNFALL OF NBFCs IN INDIA: A CASE ANALYSIS OF DHFL & IL& FS PURNIMA SARKAR & RIT BISWAL	19
5.	AN INVESTIGATION OF THE FACTORS IMPACTING ON FINANCIAL PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT IN SECTION 21 SCHOOLS IN THE SAYIDI CIRCUIT, KWA-ZULU NATAL BHAVASHNI KANHAI & Dr. HERRISON MATSONGONI	24
	REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK & DISCLAIMER	31

FOUNDER PATRON

Late Sh. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Former State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana Former Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri Former President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

CO-ORDINATOR

Dr. BHAVFT

Former Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Engineering & Technology, Urjani

ADVISOR

Prof. S. L. MAHANDRU

Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

EDITOR

Dr. NAWAB ALI KHAN

Professor & Dean, Faculty of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

CO-EDITOR

Dr. G. BRINDHA

Professor & Head, Dr.M.G.R. Educational & Research Institute (Deemed to be University), Chennai

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

Dr. SIKANDER KUMAR

Vice Chancellor, Himachal Pradesh University, Shimla, Himachal Pradesh

Dr. A SAJEEVAN RAO

Professor & Director, Accurate Institute of Advanced Management, Greater Noida

Dr. CHRISTIAN EHIOBUCHE

Professor of Global Business/Management, Larry L Luing School of Business, Berkeley College, USA

Dr. JOSÉ G. VARGAS-HERNÁNDEZ

Research Professor, University Center for Economic & Managerial Sciences, University of Guadalajara, Guadalajara, Mexico

Dr. TEGUH WIDODO

Dean, Faculty of Applied Science, Telkom University, Bandung Technoplex, Jl. Telekomunikasi, Indonesia

Dr. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Professor, School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

Dr. KAUP MOHAMED

Dean & Managing Director, London American City College/ICBEST, United Arab Emirates

Dr. D. S. CHAUBEY

Professor & Dean (Research & Studies), Uttaranchal University, Dehradun

Dr. ARAMIDE OLUFEMI KUNLE

Dean, Department of General Studies, The Polytechnic, Ibadan, Nigeria

Dr. SYED TABASSUM SULTANA

Principal, Matrusri Institute of Post Graduate Studies, Hyderabad

Dr. MIKE AMUHAYA IRAVO

Principal, Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture & Tech., Westlands Campus, Nairobi-Kenya

Dr. NEPOMUCENO TIU

Chief Librarian & Professor, Lyceum of the Philippines University, Laguna, Philippines

Dr. BOYINA RUPINI

Director, School of ITS, Indira Gandhi National Open University, New Delhi

Dr. FERIT ÖLÇER

Professor & Head of Division of Management & Organization, Department of Business Administration, Faculty of Economics & Business Administration Sciences, Mustafa Kemal University, Turkey

Dr. SANJIV MITTAL

Professor & Dean, University School of Management Studies, GGS Indraprastha University, Delhi

Dr. SHIB SHANKAR ROY

Professor, Department of Marketing, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi, Bangladesh

Dr. SRINIVAS MADISHETTI

Professor, School of Business, Mzumbe University, Tanzania

Dr. ABHAY BANSAL

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engg. & Tech., Amity University, Noida

Dr. KEVIN LOW LOCK TENG

Associate Professor, Deputy Dean, Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman, Kampar, Perak, Malaysia

Dr. OKAN VELI ŞAFAKLI

Professor & Dean, European University of Lefke, Lefke, Cyprus

Dr. V. SELVAM

Associate Professor, SSL, VIT University, Vellore

Dr. BORIS MILOVIC

Associate Professor, Faculty of Sport, Union Nikola Tesla University, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr. N. SUNDARAM

Associate Professor, VIT University, Vellore

Dr. IQBAL THONSE HAWALDAR

Associate Professor, College of Business Administration, Kingdom University, Bahrain

Dr. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, Government College, Hodal

Dr. ALEXANDER MOSESOV

Associate Professor, Kazakh-British Technical University (KBTU), Almaty, Kazakhstan

RODRECK CHIRAU

Associate Professor, Botho University, Francistown, Botswana

Dr. PARDEEP AHLAWAT

Associate Professor, Institute of Management Studies & Research, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak

Dr. DEEPANJANA VARSHNEY

Associate Professor, Department of Business Administration, King Abdulaziz University, Saudi Arabia

Dr. BIEMBA MALITI

Associate Professor, School of Business, The Copperbelt University, Main Campus, Zambia

Dr. SHIKHA GUPTA

Associate Professor, Lingaya's Lalita Devi Institute of Management & Sciences, New Delhi

Dr. KIARASH JAHANPOUR

Dean of Technology Management Faculty, Farabi Institute of Higher Education, Karaj, Alborz, I.R. Iran

Dr. SAMBHAVNA

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

YU-BING WANG

Faculty, department of Marketing, Feng Chia University, Taichung, Taiwan

Dr. TITUS AMODU UMORU

Professor, Kwara State University, Kwara State, Nigeria

Dr. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE

Faculty, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

Dr. THAMPOE MANAGALESWARAN

Faculty, Vavuniya Campus, University of Jaffna, Sri Lanka

Dr. JASVEEN KAUR

Head of the Department/Chairperson, University Business School, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar **SURAJ GAUDEL**

BBA Program Coordinator, LA GRANDEE International College, Simalchaur - 8, Pokhara, Nepal

Dr. RAJESH MODI

Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Dr. BHAVET

Former Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Engineering & Technology, Urjani

FORMER TECHNICAL ADVISOR

FINANCIAL ADVISORS

DICKEN GOYAL

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

NEENA

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

LEGAL ADVISORS

JITENDER S. CHAHAL

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

SUPERINTENDENT

SURENDER KUMAR POONIA

1.

Nationality

FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to the recent developments & practices in the areas of Computer Science & Applications; Commerce; Business; Finance; Marketing; Human Resource Management; General Management; Banking; Economics; Tourism Administration & Management; Education; Law; Library & Information Science; Defence & Strategic Studies; Electronic Science; Corporate Governance; Industrial Relations; and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Rural Economics; Co-operation; Dewelopment Planning; Development Studies; Applied Economics; Development Economics; Business Economics; Monetary Policy; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Political Science; Continuing Education; Labour Welfare; Philosophy; Psychology; Sociology; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law; Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labour Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; International Relations; Human Rights & Duties; Public Administration; Population Studies; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism & Hospitality; Transportation Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic; Web Design and emerging paradigms in allied subjects.

Anybody can submit the soft copy of unpublished novel; original; empirical and high quality research work/manuscript anytime in M.S. Word format after preparing the same as per our GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION; at our email address i.e. infoijrcm@gmail.com or online by clicking the link online submission as given on our website (FOR ONLINE SUBMISSION, CLICK HERE).

GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION (OF MANUSCRIPT
COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:	
	DATED:
THE EDITOR	
IJRCM	
Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF	
(e.g. Finance/Mkt./HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/	/IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, please
specify)	
DEAR SIR/MADAM	
Please find my submission of manuscript titled 'your journals.	
I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore fully or partly, nor it is under review for publication elsewhere.	e, it has neither been published anywhere in any languag
I affirm that all the co-authors of this manuscript have seen the submitted v their names as co-authors.	ersion of the manuscript and have agreed to inclusion o
Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I agree to comply with the formalitie discretion to publish our contribution in any of its journals.	es as given on the website of the journal. The Journal ha
NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR	:
Designation/Post*	:
Institution/College/University with full address & Pin Code	:
Residential address with Pin Code	:
Mobile Number (s) with country ISD code	:
Is WhatsApp or Viber active on your above noted Mobile Number (Yes/No)	:
Landline Number (s) with country ISD code	:
E-mail Address	:
Alternate E-mail Address	•

^{*} i.e. Alumnus (Male Alumni), Alumna (Female Alumni), Student, Research Scholar (M. Phil), Research Scholar (Ph. D.), JRF, Research Assistant, Assistant Lecturer, Lecturer, Senior Lecturer, Junior Assistant Professor, Assistant Professor, Senior Assistant Professor, Co-ordinator, Reader, Associate Professor, Professor, Head, Vice-Principal, Dy. Director, Principal, Director, Dean, President, Vice Chancellor, Industry Designation etc. The qualification of author is not acceptable for the purpose.

NOTES:

- a) The whole manuscript has to be in **ONE MS WORD FILE** only, which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript. <u>pdf.</u> <u>version</u> is liable to be rejected without any consideration.
- b) The sender is required to mention the following in the SUBJECT COLUMN of the mail:
 - **New Manuscript for Review in the area of** (e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, please specify)
- c) There is no need to give any text in the body of the mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any **specific message** w.r.t. to the manuscript.
- d) The total size of the file containing the manuscript is expected to be below 1000 KB.
- e) Only the **Abstract will not be considered for review** and the author is required to submit the **complete manuscript** in the first instance.
- f) The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email within twenty-four hours and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of the manuscript, within two days of its submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending a separate mail to the journal.
- g) The author (s) name or details should not appear anywhere on the body of the manuscript, except on the covering letter and the cover page of the manuscript, in the manner as mentioned in the guidelines.
- 2. MANUSCRIPT TITLE: The title of the paper should be typed in **bold letters**, **centered** and **fully capitalised**.
- 3. AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS: Author (s) name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline number (s), and email/alternate email address should be given underneath the title.
- 4. **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**: Acknowledgements can be given to reviewers, guides, funding institutions, etc., if any.
- 5. **ABSTRACT**: Abstract should be in **fully Italic printing**, ranging between **150** to **300 words**. The abstract must be informative and elucidating the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a **SINGLE PARA**. **Abbreviations must be mentioned in full**.
- 6. **KEYWORDS**: Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of **five**. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stop at the end. All words of the keywords, including the first one should be in small letters, except special words e.g. name of the Countries, abbreviations etc.
- 7. **JEL CODE:** Provide the appropriate Journal of Economic Literature Classification System code (s). JEL codes are available at www.aea-web.org/econlit/jelCodes.php. However, mentioning of JEL Code is not mandatory.
- 8. **MANUSCRIPT**: Manuscript must be in <u>BRITISH ENGLISH</u> prepared on a standard A4 size <u>PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER</u>. It should be free from any errors i.e. <u>grammatical</u>, <u>spelling</u> or <u>punctuation</u>. It must be thoroughly edited at your end.
- 9. **HEADINGS**: All the headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
- 10. **SUB-HEADINGS**: All the sub-headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
- 11. MAIN TEXT:

THE MAIN TEXT SHOULD FOLLOW THE FOLLOWING SEQUENCE:

INTRODUCTION

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

OBJECTIVES

HYPOTHESIS (ES)

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

FINDINGS

RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS

CONCLUSIONS

LIMITATIONS

SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

REFERENCES

APPENDIX/ANNEXURE

The manuscript should preferably be in 2000 to 5000 WORDS, But the limits can vary depending on the nature of the manuscript.

- 12. **FIGURES & TABLES**: These should be simple, crystal **CLEAR**, **centered**, **separately numbered** & self-explained, and the **titles must be above the table/figure**. **Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure**. *It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text*.
- 13. **EQUATIONS/FORMULAE**: These should be consecutively numbered in parenthesis, left aligned with equation/formulae number placed at the right. The equation editor provided with standard versions of Microsoft Word may be utilised. If any other equation editor is utilised, author must confirm that these equations may be viewed and edited in versions of Microsoft Office that does not have the editor.
- 14. **ACRONYMS**: These should not be used in the abstract. The use of acronyms is elsewhere is acceptable. Acronyms should be defined on its first use in each section e.g. Reserve Bank of India (RBI). Acronyms should be redefined on first use in subsequent sections.
- 15. **REFERENCES**: The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. *The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript* and they may follow Harvard Style of Referencing. Also check to ensure that everything that you are including in the reference section is duly cited in the paper. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
- All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
- Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
- When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc., in chronologically ascending order.
- Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
- The title of books and journals should be in italic printing. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
- For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parenthesis.
- Headers, footers, endnotes and footnotes should not be used in the document. However, you can mention short notes to elucidate some specific point, which may be placed in number orders before the references.

PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:

BOOKS

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

• Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

• Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

CONFERENCE PAPERS

• Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–23

UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS

Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

ONLINE RESOURCES

Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

WEBSITES

Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp

PATIENT SATISFACTION TOWARDS HEALTH CARE AND PHARMACEUTICAL CARE SERVICES: A STUDY OF SELECTED HOSPITALS IN GUNTUR CITY, ANDHRA PRADESH, INDIA

Dr. D. LALITHA RANI
PROFESSOR (Retd.)
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE & MANAGEMENT STUDIES
ANDHRA UNIVERSITY
VISAKHAPATNAM

Dr. V. SRI VENKATESWARA RAO
PROFESSOR
NALANDA INSTITUTE OF PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES
KANTEPUDI
SATTENAPALLI

ABSTRACT

Health care organization is a sector where patient is the main focus where improving the patient outcome is the imperative function and it is an important and commonly used indicator for measuring the quality in health care. Patient satisfaction affects clinical outcomes, patient retention and medical malpractice claims. It affects the timely, efficient and patient centred delivery of quality health care. A standard and validated questionnaire on health care services and pharmaceutical services was designed and collected the data from in-patients in 40 health care units. The present study involves 229 patients (care receivers) among which 50.2 % of patients were females and 49.8% of patients were males. Overwhelming number of care receivers were (93.4%) married. On an average care receivers were about 43.8 years of age with the standard deviation of about 10.6 years who availed health care services as in- patients. The analysis shows that about 51% of the respondents were suffering from organ related health problem whereas 45% of the respondents were suffering from general health problem. Very less percentage (about 3%) of the respondents were suffering from other types of health problems. In 229 patients, a significant number of participants 98.7%(226) were satisfied with drug therapy whereas 1.3%(3) of patients were not satisfied (z:14.754, significant at p<0.05 &0.01). It is evident from the study that treatment was ranked first (TWAS 1568) by the care receivers, followed by diagnostic service which was ranked second (TWAS 1528), whereas attention of health care professionals ranked third (TWAS 1460). Of the various areas of health care units under study, the care receivers have ranked drug information seventh (TWAS 409), while affordable cost ranked last i.e. eighth (TWAS 381). In our study, the overall response of the patient about pharmaceutical services was satisfactory. In conclusion, the principles of patient centred pharmaceutical care service and therapeutic drug monitoring with qualified clinical ph

KEYWORDS

health care, quality, patient satisfaction, clinical outcome, pharmaceutical care, clinical pharmacist.

JEL CODES

I10, I11, M30.

INTRODUCTION

atient satisfaction is one of the important goals of any health system, but it is difficult to measure the satisfaction and gauze responsiveness of health systems as not only the clinical but also the non-clinical outcomes of care do influence the customer satisfaction^[1]. Patients' perceptions about health care systems seem to have been largely ignored by health care managers in developing countries. Patient satisfaction depends up on many factors such as: Quality of clinical services provided, availability of medicine, behaviour of doctors and other health staff, cost of services, hospital infrastructure, physical comfort, emotional support and respect for patient preferences^[2]. Mismatch between patient expectation and the service received is related to decreased satisfaction^[3]. Therefore, assessing patient perspectives gives them a voice, which can make public health services more responsive to people's needs and expectations^[4,5].

In the recent past, studies on patient satisfaction gained popularity and usefulness as it provides the chance to health care providers and mangers to improve the services in the public health facilities. Patients' feedback is necessary to identify problems that need to be resolved in improving the health services. Patient satisfaction data can assist as an indicator of the quality of the service provided and act as a predictor of behaviour associated with health [6]. Patient satisfaction is an important and commonly used indicator for measuring the quality in health care. Patient satisfaction affects clinical outcomes, patient retention, and medical malpractice claims. It affects the timely, efficient, and patient-centered delivery of quality health care. Patient satisfaction is thus a proxy but a very effective indicator to measure the success of doctors and hospitals [7].

The present study was aimed to assess the patient satisfaction about the health care and pharmaceutical services provided to the in- patients in selected hospitals.

CARE RECEIVER

A Patient is any recipient of health care services. The patient is most often ill or injured and in need of treatment by a physician, nurse, psychologist, dentist, pharmacist or other health care provider or care giver.

An out-patient is a patient who is hospitalized for less than 24 hours. The treatment provided in this case is called ambulatory care.

An in-patient, on the other hand is 'admitted' to the hospital and stays overnight or for an indeterminate time, usually several days or weeks, though in some extreme cases, such as with coma or persistent vegetative state patients, stay in hospitals for years, sometimes until death. Treatment provided in this fashion is called in-patient care. The admission to the hospital involves the production of an admission note. The leaving of the hospital is officially termed discharge, and involves a corresponding discharge note.

In-patient care is the care of patients whose condition requires admission to a hospital. In the present study the data pertaining to health care and pharmaceutical care as perceived by in-patients (care receivers) in the selected hospitals is presented.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

To identify the key pharmaceutical care services and the role of pharmacist in health care team and to analyze the role of pharmacist in improvement of patient's quality of life and patient satisfaction.

RESEARCH DESIGN

After thorough review of the literature survey of pharmaceutical care and its impact on drug therapy management in health care system, the research study was designed.

DATA COLLECTION METHODS

Data was collected at primary and secondary levels.

PRIMARY DATA: Data was collected directly from the respondents of the sampling units using questionnaires.

SECONDARY DATA: The secondary data was collected from the official website soft the health care, pharmaceutical care, World Health Organization (WHO) reports, health policies and health reforms of various countries through journals, magazines, newspapers, websites etc.

RESEARCH AREA: Guntur city was chosen for Research area of study.

RESEARCH UNITS

- a) Allopathic hospitals approved by District Medical & Health Office (DMHO), Guntur city up to 2018 and minimum 3 years old hospitals with in-patient service facility.
- b) In-patient who stayed at least one complete day and underwent drug therapy.

SAMPLING FRAME

- a) Sampling Frame of hospitals: Total 92 allopathic hospitals units approved by District Medical & Health Office (DMHO) in Guntur city upto 2018 and minimum 3years old Allopathic hospitals with In-patient service facility.
- b) Sampling Frame of in-patients: The patients admitted due to ill-health who were hospitalized and stayed in the hospital as In-patients for at least one complete day and underwent allopathic drug therapy were included in the study

SAMPLE SIZE

- a) Population Sample Size of hospitals: In this study more than 40 percent (40) of total population (92) was selected as sample size which will give more reliable solutions. Hence, the researcher has considered 40% population as sample size.
- b) Population Sample Size of In-patient: Assuming that at least 50 percent of hospital beds were occupied from which sample size 7.5% (229) was taken.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was conducted in selected health care units in Guntur city, Andhra Pradesh for a period of more than 1.5 years. Proper consent was obtained from the hospital authorities prior to study. All patients in the present study were in-patients only and they were enrolled in the study with inclusion and exclusion criteria. Healthcare in Guntur is provided by many facilities supported by both the government and private institutions. It is one of the top cities on the east coast region of India in providing excellent medical and health care facilities. The following in-patient parameters were investigated in the present study.

- 1] Age: Age of the respondents is one of the most important characteristics in understanding their views about the particular problem, by and large age indicates level of maturity of individuals in that sense age becomes more important to examine the response (Table 1).
- 2] Gender: Gender is an important variable in a given Indian social situation which is variably affected by any social or economic phenomenon and globalization is not an exception to it. Hence the variable gender was investigated in our study. Data related to gender of the respondents is presented in the table 2.
- 3] Marital Status: Marriage is one of the most important social characteristics. In a developing country like India, it has undergone many changes. The perceptions and attitudes of the person can also differ by the marital status of the persons because the marriage might make the persons little more responsible and matured in understanding and giving the responses to the questions asked. The details of the marital status of the care receivers is presented in table 3.
- **4] Nature of Health Problem:** The nature of health problem of the respondents is an important variable because health is a dynamic state of well-being emergent from conducive interactions between an individual's potentials, life's demands and social and environmental determinants."

"Health results throughout the life course when an individual's potentials – and social and environmental determinants – suffice to respond satisfactorily to the demands of life

Life's demands can be physiological, psychosocial, or environmental and vary across individuals and contexts, but in every case unsatisfactory responses lead to disease." In view of the above nature of health problem of the respondents was investigated. The data is presented in the table 4

- 5] Previous Treatment: Treatment is medical attention given to a sick or injured person or animal. Many patients are not getting the medical treatment they need. When we asked about their previous treatment. We received mixed responses. The data is presented in the table 5.
- 6] Reason for Shifting from one Hospital to Another: Expectations, with reference to healthcare, refer to the anticipation or the belief about what is to be encountered in a consultation or in the healthcare system. It is the mental picture that patients or the public will have of the process of interaction with the system. Patients come to a consultation with expectations which they may or may not be overtly aware of. These expectations may be openly presented or the physician may have to attempt to elicit them. Reactions to unmet expectations can range from disappointment to anger. Various reasons were expressed by 119 respondents are recorded. The data is presented in table 6.
- 7] Side Effects of the Previous Treatment: The treatment options for most of the patients probably included chemotherapy, radiation therapy and surgery. But the treatment often produces side effects including nausea, fatigue, diarrhoea, nails become dark, pigmentation. Treatment sometimes may also affects the nerves, blood vessels, hormones etc. Certain anti -cancer drugs have side effects these can vary from person to person. For patients such side effects can take over daily life. They can make patients uncomfortable at best and miserable at worst. Sometimes affecting their ability to stick to their treatments, or making treatments less effective than could be. Patients experiencing side effects with drugs should pay close medical attention. Hence the variable side effects of the previous treatment were investigated. The data was collected only from the respondents those who have taken treatment previously and it is presented in table 7.
- 8] Satisfaction of health care services and order of preferences: Measuring patients' satisfaction has become an integral part of hospital management strategies for quality assurance and accreditation process in most countries, distinguishing that lack of sufficient data can severely inhibit an organization's ability to understand its strengths and to target areas in which performance can be improved.

Measuring patient satisfaction is a way of assessing the process of care, describing the patient's viewpoint and evaluating care by reflecting patient views back into the system and through comparing facilities. Hence the data was collected from all 229 care receivers and it is presented in the table 8.

The table 9 shows the order of preferences in different areas of health care given by the care receivers.

9] Drug therapy: Drug therapy, also called pharmacotherapy, is a general term for using medication to treat disease. Drugs interact with receptors or enzymes in cells to promote healthy functioning and reduce or cure illness.

A drug therapy problem (*DTP*) is any undesirable event experienced by a patient that involves, or is suspected to involve, drug therapy, and that interferes with achieving the desired goals of therapy and requires professional judgment to resolve. The data is presented in table 10.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As shown in the table 1, it is evident that the large number of respondents were above 40 years of age where as low percentage (0.9%) were below 10 years. The table 1 clearly shows that on an average care receivers were about 43.8 years of age with the standard deviation of about 10.6 years who availed health care services as in- patients.

TABLE 1: DISTRIBUTION OF CARE RECEIVERS ACCORDING TO AGE

Age in years	Frequency	Percentages						
Below 10	2	0.9						
11-20	3	1.3						
21-30	17	7.4						
31-40	49	21.4						
41-50	108	47.2						
51-60	38	16.6						
Above 60	12	5.2						
Total	229	100.0						

It is quite clear from table 2 that out of the total respondents investigated in this study, the difference between the observed and the expected frequencies was not significant and hence there was no significant differences between number of male respondents and number of female respondents. The probability of males was exactly equal to the probability of females. The ratio between male and female respondents was found statistically 1:1.

As per descriptive statistics about 49.8% males and 50.2% females were involved in the present study. This can be concluded that the variation was common cause variation.

TABLE 2: GENDER OF THE CARE RECEIVERS

Gender	Frequency	Percentage		
Male	114	49.8		
Female	115	50.2		
Total	229	100.0		

Chi-square: 0.0044, df: 1, p: 0.9471, α : 0.05 p > 0.05

Table 3 shows that overwhelming number of the care receivers (93%) were married and remaining (7%) were unmarried.

TABLE 3: DISTRIBUTION OF CARE RECEIVERS ACCORDING TO MARITAL STATUS

Marital status	Frequency	Percentage
Married	212	92.6
Un-Married	17	7.4
Total	229	100.0

Table 4 shows that about 51% of the respondents were suffering from organ related health problem, whereas 45% of the respondents were suffering from general health problem. Very less percentage (about 3%) of the respondents were suffering from other types of health problems.

TABLE 4: NATURE OF THE HEALTH PROBLEM

Description	Frequency	Percentage
General	105	45.9
Related to Specific Organ	117	51.1
Any Other	7	3.0
Total	229	100.0

It can be concluded from the above table that more than 50% of the respondents were suffering from organ related health problem which is so serious issue and medication therapy management is needed. The drug therapy should be monitored under the supervision of professionally competent person.

As indicated in table 5, about 52% (119) of the respondents were taken treatment previously in other hospital, whereas 48%(110) of the respondents were not taken any treatment in any hospital.

The data was analyzed by binomial test –Z test. The result indicates that the test was not significant at p<0.05. Hence it was concluded that there was not enough evidence to claim that the population proportion was greater than 50%. Hence, 50% care receiver's health problems were not rectified in the previous health care units.

TABLE 5: OPINION ON PREVIOUS TREATMENT OF THE RESPONDENTS BEFORE JOINING AS IN-PATIENTS

Have you taken treatment in any other hospital?	Frequency	Percent
Yes	119	52.0
No	110	48.0
Total	229	100.0

Z Score: 0.595, One tailed test, p: 0.2759, α : 0.05, p> α

Not significant at p<0.05, 95% confidence interval :0.455-0.584.

As indicated in table 6, more than 50% (119) of the respondents under study had already undergone treatment previously in other hospitals, subsequently we asked all the 119 respondents about reasons for shifting from one hospital to other. Various reasons were expressed by all 119 respondents are recorded. The data is presented in table 6.

The table 6 shows the reasons given by the 52% (119) respondents out of total respondents i.e. 229 under study. The remaining 48%(110) of the respondents were not taken treatment in any hospital previously. Hence the data is presented only for 119 respondents. As indicated in the table 6 about 42%(50 out of 119) of the respondents were directed by the previous doctor for better treatment, whereas about 34% (41out of 119) of the respondents were discharged from the hospital due to lack of necessary medical facilities. About 17% (20 out of 119) of the respondents were shifted from the hospital due to failure of drug therapy. Very few of the respondents (6.7%) were not satisfied with the treatment in the previous hospital.

It can be concluded that more than 52%(119 out of 229) of the respondents have not received proper medical treatment previously and hence they were shifted from one hospital to other for better treatment which ultimately increases medical expenses, length of hospital stay and further delay in treatment.

TABLE 6: REASONS GIVEN BY THE RESPONDENTS FOR SHIFTING FROM ONE HOSPITAL TO ANOTHER

Reasons	Frequency	Percentage
Unsatisfactory Treatment	8	6.7
Not Relieved From Symptoms	20	16.8
Not Enough Facilities Available	41	34.5
Doctor Directed For Better Care	50	42.0
Total	119	100

The table 7 shows the side effects noticed by the patients who had taken treatment in previous hospital. As indicated in table 7, about 65% (78 out of 119) patients had experienced side effects with previous treatment whereas 35% (41 out of 119) patients did not exhibit any side effects.

The data was analyzed by binomial test –Z test at 5% level of significance. The result indicates that the test was statistically significant at p<0.05.

Hence, it was concluded that there was enough evidence to claim that population proportion was greater than 50%. Therefore, enormous number of care receivers had experienced side effects with the previous drug therapy.

The reason might be due to constitution of the body system and nature of drug substance and its chemical reaction. It is evident from table 7, overall 34% (78) of care receivers out of 229 under study had experienced different side effects. This might be due to lack of therapeutic drug monitoring system and improper drug therapy management in previous care units.

TABLE 7: OPINION ON SIDE EFFECTS OF THE PREVIOUS TREATMENT BEFORE JOINING AS IN-PATIENTS

Side effects of the previous treatment	Frequency	Percentage	Proportion
Yes	78	65.5	0.655
No	41	34.5	0.345
Total	119	100	1.0

Z score: 3.384, one tailed test, p: 0.0003, α :0.05, Significant at p<0.05,

95% confidence interval at 5% level of significance: 0.570 - 0.741.

The table 8 shows the responses given by the care receivers (229) about their health information obtained from hospital. It is clearly indicating that 88% (202) care receivers stated that they obtained clear information about their disease and health problem from the hospital where as 12%(27) care receivers said that they didn't get any clear information. The data was analyzed by binomial test –Z test at 5% level of significance.

The result indicates that the test was statistically significant at p<0.05. Hence it was concluded that there was enough evidence that population proportion was greater than 50%. Therefore, enormous number of care receivers were satisfied with hospital information about their health problem.

TABLE 8: WERE YOU SATISFIED WITH INFORMATION PROVIDED BY HOSPITAL WITH RESPECT TO YOUR HEALTH PROBLEM / DISEASE?

L	Response	Frequency	Percentage	Proportion	
	Yes 202		88.21	0.8821	
ſ	No	27	11.79	0.1179	
ſ	Total 229		100	1.00	

Z score: 11.579, one tailed test, p:< 0.00001, α : 0.05, Significant at p<0.05(and at p<0.01), 95% confidence interval at 5% level of significance: 0.8470 - 0.9172. The order of preferences in different areas of health care given by the care receivers was investigated.

It is evident from the table 9 that treatment was ranked first (TWAS 1568) by the care receivers, followed by diagnostic service which was ranked second (TWAS 1528), whereas attention of health care professionals ranked third (TWAS 1460). Of the various areas of health care units, the care receivers have ranked drug information seventh (TWAS 409), while affordable cost ranked last i.e. eighth (TWAS 381). Majority of the care receivers have preferred treatment was ranked first among other areas of health care as this could be due to the fact that this might be the most effective area in health care. Care receivers have not preferred drug information and affordable cost which were ranked seventh and eighth respectively among others. It is inferred that the care receivers were unaware about drug information and they felt that the health care services were expensive.

TABLE 9: ORDER OF PREFERENCES IN DIFFERENT ASPECTS OF HEALTH CARE GIVEN BY CARE RECEIVERS

Performance Area (Different Aspects)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Overall Composite performance	Rank
	(8)	(7)	(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(TWAS)	
a) Attention of health care professionals	140	14	12	8	5	10	40	0		
	(1120)	(98)	(72)	(40)	(20)	(30)	(80)	(0)	1460	3
b) Diagnostic service	120	50	3	15	2	39	0	0	1528	2
	(960)	(350)	(18)	(75)	(8)	(117)	(0)	(0)		
c) Treatment	170	4	0	0	15	40	0	0	1568	1
	(1360)	(28)	(0)	(0)	(60)	(120)	(0)	(0)		
d) Time punctuality	25	85	35	30	15	4	15	20	1277	6
	(200)	(595)	(210)	(150)	(60)	(12)	(30)	(20)		
e) DrugInformation	4	15	10	3	0	0	0	197	409	7
	(32)	(105)	(60)	(15)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(197)		
f) Administration of Intravenous Fluids/Injections	60	70	20	20	10	19	0	30	1317	5
	(480)	(490)	(120)	(100)	(40)	(57)	(0)	(30)		
g) Cleanliness	85	50	30	7	2	10	45	0	1373	4
	(680)	(350)	(180)	(35)	(8)	(30)	(90)	(0)		
h) Affordable cost and economical	18	2	3	1	0	0	5	190	381	8
	(144)	(14)	(18)	(5)	(0)	(0)	(10)	(190)		

The table 10 shows the opinion of care receivers about their drug therapy.

It is clearly evident from the above table that 98.7% (226) care receivers stated that they were satisfied with drug therapy whereas a few care receivers 1.3%(3) said that they were not satisfied with drug therapy. The data was analyzed by binomial test –Z test at 5% level of significance.

The result indicates that the test was statistically significant at p<0.05. Hence it was concluded that there was enough evidence that population proportion was greater than 50%. Therefore, enormous number of care receivers were satisfied with the given drug therapy. This might be due to the fact that they were relieved from their disease symptoms.

TABLE 10: WERE YOU SATISFIED WITH DRUG THERAPY?

Response	Frequency	Percentage	Proportion
Yes	226	98.7	0.987
No	3	1.3	0.013
Total	229	100	1.0

Z score: 14.754, one tailed test(Right), p:< 0.00001, α :0.05, Significant at p<0.05 (and at p<0.01), 95% confidence interval at 5% level of significance: 0.9745-0.9993.

CONCLUSIONS

- Lack of administrative support was considered a major obstacle to pharmaceutical care provision.
- In all health care units under study the pharmaceutical care activities and intervention outcomes were noticed by nurses and they were rarely documented.
- All hospitals under study at present had no plan to adopt pharmaceutical care.
- Many physicians and nurses did not know the role and contribution of clinical pharmacist in health care system.
- Majority of care receivers felt that they were not received clear information about their medication and they wanted to know the purpose of their medication and the patient counselling sessions.
- Many commented that no one had ever gone through all their medicines with them and nobody explained their purpose, usage and storage, type of food recommended during drug therapy etc.
- There was no cross verification and checking of patient prescriptions by the expert in pharmacy.

- No therapeutic drug monitoring by clinical pharmacist/ clinical technician was observed in all selected care units.
- Nurses played a key role in drug therapy management in all selected care units.

SUGGESTIONS

- The findings of the study suggest that the role of pharmacists should be changed from product oriented to patient-oriented.
- Pharmaceutical care activities and intervention outcomes should be documented.
- Pharmacist's role should be activated and utilized to add value to patient care and reduce overall health care cost, prevent adverse drug reactions, reduce drug interactions and drug related problems.
- Ward round pharmaceutical care services and patient centred pharmaceutical care services are very essential to avoid drug related morbidity and mortality.
- Health care organizations should implement proven medication safety practices and standard therapeutic guidelines.
- Ensure that people do not suffer unnecessarily from illness caused by inappropriate or inadequate consumption of medicines.
- Lack of administrative support is considered a major obstacle to pharmaceutical care provision. Hence, necessary support should be provided.
- There is a need to update the existing pharmacy department and need to expand its pharmaceutical services.
- Separate health care teams are need to be constituted based on the type of disease and nature of illness. The team should normally consist of doctor, nurse, clinical pharmacist / clinical technician, senior pharmacist and junior pharmacist.
- State and central governments should take all possible measures to control the bad practices in healthcare profession and introduce policies to improve the quality of care, to reshape care around the patient and improve access to care and make better use of the skills and expertise of all health care professionals.

REFERENCES

- 1. Agrawal D. Health sector reforms: Relevance in India. Indian J Community Med. 2006;31: 220-2.
- 2. Jenkinson C, Coulter A, Bruster S, Richards N, Chandola T. Patients' experiences and satisfaction with health care: Results of a questionnaire study of specific aspects of care. *Qual Saf Health Care*. 2002; 11:335–9. [PMC free article] [PubMed]
- 3. McKinley RK, Roberts C. Patient satisfaction with out of hours primary medical care. Qual Health Care. 2001; 10:23–8. [PMC free article] [PubMed]
- 4. World Health Organization. The World Health Report 2000-Health Systems: Improving Performance. Geneva: WHO; 2000.
- 5. Rao KD, Peters DH, Bandeen-Roche K. Towards patient-centered health services in India- a scale to measure patient perceptions of quality. *Int J Qual Health Care*. 2006; 18:414–21. [PubMed]
- 6. Pascoe GC. Patient satisfaction in primary health care: A literature review and analysis. Evaluation and program planning. 1983;6(3-4):185-210.
- 7. Bhanu Prakash. Patient satisfaction. J. Cutan. Aesthet. Surg, 2010;3(3):151-155.

REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

Dear Readers

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce & Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue, as well as on the journal as a whole, on our e-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries, please feel free to contact us on our e-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward to an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-

Co-ordinator

DISCLAIMER

The information and opinions presented in the Journal reflect the views of the authors and not of the Journal or its Editorial Board or the Publishers/Editors. Publication does not constitute endorsement by the journal. Neither the Journal nor its publishers/Editors/Editorial Board nor anyone else involved in creating, producing or delivering the journal or the materials contained therein, assumes any liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information provided in the journal, nor shall they be liable for any direct, incidental, special, consequential or punitive damages arising out of the use of information/material contained in the journal. The journal, neither its publishers/Editors/ Editorial Board, nor any other party involved in the preparation of material contained in the journal represents or warrants that the information contained herein is in every respect accurate or complete, and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such material. Readers are encouraged to confirm the information contained herein with other sources. The responsibility of the contents and the opinions expressed in this journal are exclusively of the author (s) concerned.

ABOUT THE JOURNAL

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active cooperation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.







