INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE & MANAGEMENT



A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at:

Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, ProQuest, U.S.A., Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A., Google Scholar,

Indian Citation Index (ICI), J-Gage, India [link of the same is duly available at Inflibnet of University Grants Commission (U.G.C.)

Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland with IC Value of 5.09 (2012) & number of libraries all around the world.

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than 6575 Cities in 197 countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

CONTENTS

Sr. No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page No.
1.	A STUDY ON EMPLOYEE PROCUREMENT, COMPENSATION AND JOB SATISFACTION OF AXIS BANK IN GUJARAT VIDHI ZALA & Dr. KETAKI P. SHETH	1
2.	ANALYSES OF CONSUMER BEHAVIOUR IN RELATION TO MARKETING STRATEGIES WITH REFERENCE TO SMARTPHONE: A STUDY OF NORTH KARNATAKA PARAMANAND DASAR & Dr. S. G. HUNDEKAR	6
3.	COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE BARRIERS IN THE GROWTH OF CASHLESS TRANSACTIONS IN RURAL AND URBAN AREAS NITIN KUMAR & Dr. BHARTI DIXIT	14
4.	AN IMPACT OF CORPORATE GOVERNANCE ON FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE OF SELECTED NON-FINANCIAL COMPANIES PAYAL S. JOGANI & Dr. RUPAL N. PATEL	18
5.	THE INFLUENCE OF POLITICAL RISK FACTORS ON PROJECT COMPLETION IN ETHIOPIA KENO TELILA MIJENA	21
	REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK & DISCLAIMER	30

FOUNDER PATRON

Late Sh. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Former State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana Former Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri Former President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

CO-ORDINATOR

Dr. BHAVFT

Former Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Engineering & Technology, Urjani

ADVISOR

Prof. S. L. MAHANDRU

Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

EDITOR

Dr. NAWAB ALI KHAN

Professor & Dean, Faculty of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

CO-EDITOR

Dr. G. BRINDHA

Professor & Head, Dr.M.G.R. Educational & Research Institute (Deemed to be University), Chennai

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

Dr. SIKANDER KUMAR

Vice Chancellor, Himachal Pradesh University, Shimla, Himachal Pradesh

Dr. A SAJEEVAN RAO

Professor & Director, Accurate Institute of Advanced Management, Greater Noida

Dr. CHRISTIAN EHIOBUCHE

Professor of Global Business/Management, Larry L Luing School of Business, Berkeley College, USA

Dr. JOSÉ G. VARGAS-HERNÁNDEZ

Research Professor, University Center for Economic & Managerial Sciences, University of Guadalajara, Guadalajara, Mexico

Dr. TEGUH WIDODO

Dean, Faculty of Applied Science, Telkom University, Bandung Technoplex, Jl. Telekomunikasi, Indonesia

Dr. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Professor, School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

Dr. KAUP MOHAMED

Dean & Managing Director, London American City College/ICBEST, United Arab Emirates

Dr. D. S. CHAUBEY

Professor & Dean (Research & Studies), Uttaranchal University, Dehradun

Dr. ARAMIDE OLUFEMI KUNLE

Dean, Department of General Studies, The Polytechnic, Ibadan, Nigeria

Dr. SYED TABASSUM SULTANA

Principal, Matrusri Institute of Post Graduate Studies, Hyderabad

Dr. MIKE AMUHAYA IRAVO

Principal, Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture & Tech., Westlands Campus, Nairobi-Kenya

Dr. NEPOMUCENO TIU

Chief Librarian & Professor, Lyceum of the Philippines University, Laguna, Philippines

Dr. BOYINA RUPINI

Director, School of ITS, Indira Gandhi National Open University, New Delhi

Dr. FERIT ÖLÇER

Professor & Head of Division of Management & Organization, Department of Business Administration, Faculty of Economics & Business Administration Sciences, Mustafa Kemal University, Turkey

Dr. SANJIV MITTAL

Professor & Dean, University School of Management Studies, GGS Indraprastha University, Delhi

Dr. SHIB SHANKAR ROY

Professor, Department of Marketing, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi, Bangladesh

Dr. SRINIVAS MADISHETTI

Professor, School of Business, Mzumbe University, Tanzania

Dr. ABHAY BANSAL

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engg. & Tech., Amity University, Noida **Dr. KEVIN LOW LOCK TENG**

Associate Professor, Deputy Dean, Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman, Kampar, Perak, Malaysia

Dr. OKAN VELI ŞAFAKLI

Professor & Dean, European University of Lefke, Lefke, Cyprus

Dr. V. SELVAM

Associate Professor, SSL, VIT University, Vellore

Dr. BORIS MILOVIC

Associate Professor, Faculty of Sport, Union Nikola Tesla University, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr. N. SUNDARAM

Associate Professor, VIT University, Vellore

Dr. IQBAL THONSE HAWALDAR

Associate Professor, College of Business Administration, Kingdom University, Bahrain

Dr. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, Government College, Hodal

Dr. ALEXANDER MOSESOV

Associate Professor, Kazakh-British Technical University (KBTU), Almaty, Kazakhstan

RODRECK CHIRAU

Associate Professor, Botho University, Francistown, Botswana

Dr. PARDEEP AHLAWAT

Associate Professor, Institute of Management Studies & Research, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak **Dr. DEEPANJANA VARSHNEY**

Associate Professor, Department of Business Administration, King Abdulaziz University, Saudi Arabia

Dr. BIEMBA MALITI

Associate Professor, School of Business, The Copperbelt University, Main Campus, Zambia

Dr. SHIKHA GUPTA

Associate Professor, Lingaya's Lalita Devi Institute of Management & Sciences, New Delhi

Dr. KIARASH JAHANPOUR

Dean of Technology Management Faculty, Farabi Institute of Higher Education, Karaj, Alborz, I.R. Iran

Dr. SAMBHAVNA

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

YU-BING WANG

Faculty, department of Marketing, Feng Chia University, Taichung, Taiwan

Dr. TITUS AMODU UMORU

Professor, Kwara State University, Kwara State, Nigeria

Dr. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE

Faculty, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

Dr. THAMPOE MANAGALESWARAN

Faculty, Vavuniya Campus, University of Jaffna, Sri Lanka

Dr. JASVEEN KAUR

Head of the Department/Chairperson, University Business School, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar **SURAJ GAUDEL**

BBA Program Coordinator, LA GRANDEE International College, Simalchaur - 8, Pokhara, Nepal

Dr. RAJESH MODI

Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Dr. BHAVET

Former Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Engineering & Technology, Urjani

FORMER TECHNICAL ADVISOR

FINANCIAL ADVISORS

DICKEN GOYAL

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

NEENA

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

LEGAL ADVISORS

JITENDER S. CHAHAL

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

SUPERINTENDENT

SURENDER KUMAR POONIA

1.

Nationality

CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to the recent developments & practices in the areas of Computer Science & Applications; Commerce; Business; Finance; Marketing; Human Resource Management; General Management; Banking; Economics; Tourism Administration & Management; Education; Law; Library & Information Science; Defence & Strategic Studies; Electronic Science; Corporate Governance; Industrial Relations; and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Rural Economics; Co-operation; Dewelopment Planning; Development Studies; Applied Economics; Development Economics; Business Economics; Monetary Policy; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Political Science; Continuing Education; Labour Welfare; Philosophy; Psychology; Sociology; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law; Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labour Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; International Relations; Human Rights & Duties; Public Administration; Population Studies; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism & Hospitality; Transportation Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic; Web Design and emerging paradigms in allied subjects.

Anybody can submit the soft copy of unpublished novel; original; empirical and high quality research work/manuscript anytime in M.S. Word format after preparing the same as per our GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION; at our email address i.e. infoijrcm@gmail.com or online by clicking the link online submission as given on our website (FOR ONLINE SUBMISSION, CLICK HERE).

GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION C	OF MANUSCRIPT
COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:	
	DATED:
THE EDITOR	
IJRCM	
Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF	
(e.g. Finance/Mkt./HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/	IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, please
<mark>specify</mark>)	
DEAR SIR/MADAM	
Please find my submission of manuscript titled 'your journals.	
I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore fully or partly, nor it is under review for publication elsewhere.	, it has neither been published anywhere in any languag
I affirm that all the co-authors of this manuscript have seen the submitted vertheir names as co-authors.	ersion of the manuscript and have agreed to inclusion o
Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I agree to comply with the formalitie discretion to publish our contribution in any of its journals.	s as given on the website of the journal. The Journal ha
NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR	:
Designation/Post*	:
Institution/College/University with full address & Pin Code	:
Residential address with Pin Code	:
Mobile Number (s) with country ISD code	:
Is WhatsApp or Viber active on your above noted Mobile Number (Yes/No)	:
Landline Number (s) with country ISD code	:
E-mail Address	:
Alternate E-mail Address	:

^{*} i.e. Alumnus (Male Alumni), Alumna (Female Alumni), Student, Research Scholar (M. Phil), Research Scholar (Ph. D.), JRF, Research Assistant, Assistant Lecturer, Lecturer, Senior Lecturer, Junior Assistant Professor, Assistant Professor, Senior Assistant Professor, Co-ordinator, Reader, Associate Professor, Professor, Head, Vice-Principal, Dy. Director, Principal, Director, Dean, President, Vice Chancellor, Industry Designation etc. The qualification of author is not acceptable for the purpose.

NOTES:

- a) The whole manuscript has to be in **ONE MS WORD FILE** only, which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript. <u>pdf.</u> <u>version</u> is liable to be rejected without any consideration.
- b) The sender is required to mention the following in the SUBJECT COLUMN of the mail:
 - **New Manuscript for Review in the area of** (e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, please specify)
- c) There is no need to give any text in the body of the mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any **specific message** w.r.t. to the manuscript.
- d) The total size of the file containing the manuscript is expected to be below 1000 KB.
- e) Only the **Abstract will not be considered for review** and the author is required to submit the **complete manuscript** in the first instance.
- f) The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email within twenty-four hours and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of the manuscript, within two days of its submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending a separate mail to the journal.
- g) The author (s) name or details should not appear anywhere on the body of the manuscript, except on the covering letter and the cover page of the manuscript, in the manner as mentioned in the guidelines.
- 2. MANUSCRIPT TITLE: The title of the paper should be typed in **bold letters**, **centered** and **fully capitalised**.
- 3. AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS: Author (s) name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline number (s), and email/alternate email address should be given underneath the title.
- 4. **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:** Acknowledgements can be given to reviewers, guides, funding institutions, etc., if any.
- 5. **ABSTRACT**: Abstract should be in **fully Italic printing**, ranging between **150** to **300 words**. The abstract must be informative and elucidating the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a **SINGLE PARA**. **Abbreviations must be mentioned in full**.
- 6. **KEYWORDS**: Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of **five**. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stop at the end. All words of the keywords, including the first one should be in small letters, except special words e.g. name of the Countries, abbreviations etc.
- 7. **JEL CODE:** Provide the appropriate Journal of Economic Literature Classification System code (s). JEL codes are available at www.aea-web.org/econlit/jelCodes.php. However, mentioning of JEL Code is not mandatory.
- 8. **MANUSCRIPT:** Manuscript must be in <u>BRITISH ENGLISH</u> prepared on a standard A4 size <u>PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER</u>. It should be free from any errors i.e. <u>grammatical</u>, <u>spelling</u> or <u>punctuation</u>. It must be thoroughly edited at your end.
- 9. **HEADINGS**: All the headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
- 10. **SUB-HEADINGS**: All the sub-headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
- 11. MAIN TEXT:

THE MAIN TEXT SHOULD FOLLOW THE FOLLOWING SEQUENCE:

INTRODUCTION

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

OBJECTIVES

HYPOTHESIS (ES)

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

FINDINGS

RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS

CONCLUSIONS

LIMITATIONS

SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

REFERENCES

APPENDIX/ANNEXURE

The manuscript should preferably be in 2000 to 5000 WORDS, But the limits can vary depending on the nature of the manuscript.

- 12. **FIGURES & TABLES**: These should be simple, crystal **CLEAR**, **centered**, **separately numbered** & self-explained, and the **titles must be above the table/figure**. **Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure**. *It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text*.
- 13. **EQUATIONS/FORMULAE**: These should be consecutively numbered in parenthesis, left aligned with equation/formulae number placed at the right. The equation editor provided with standard versions of Microsoft Word may be utilised. If any other equation editor is utilised, author must confirm that these equations may be viewed and edited in versions of Microsoft Office that does not have the editor.
- 14. **ACRONYMS**: These should not be used in the abstract. The use of acronyms is elsewhere is acceptable. Acronyms should be defined on its first use in each section e.g. Reserve Bank of India (RBI). Acronyms should be redefined on first use in subsequent sections.
- 15. **REFERENCES**: The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. *The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript* and they may follow Harvard Style of Referencing. Also check to ensure that everything that you are including in the reference section is duly cited in the paper. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
- All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
- Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
- When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc., in chronologically ascending order.
- Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
- The title of books and journals should be in italic printing. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
- For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parenthesis.
- *Headers, footers, endnotes* and *footnotes* should *not be used* in the document. However, you can mention short notes to elucidate some specific point, which may be placed in number orders before the references.

PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:

BOOKS

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

• Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

• Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

CONFERENCE PAPERS

• Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–23

UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS

Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

ONLINE RESOURCES

Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

WEBSITES

Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF THE BARRIERS IN THE GROWTH OF CASHLESS TRANSACTIONS IN RURAL AND URBAN AREAS

NITIN KUMAR
ASST. PROFESSOR
SRM INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY
GHAZIABAD

Dr. BHARTI DIXIT ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR SHAHEED MANGAL PANDEY GGPG COLLEGE MEERUT

ABSTRACT

The study finds out that the key barriers in the growth of cashless transactions in rural areas are non-availability of internet, non-availability of smart phone, slow internet speed, non-familiarty with payment trnasfer methods, poor mobile network, cost of internet and lack of merchant or seller's acceptance. All these components reveal that there is a serious need of improvement in the mobile and internet infrastructure in rural areas. Moreover, due to poor literacy rate, people are not familiar with the payment transfer methods. Merchant's in the rural areas do not accept digital payment due to the poor literacy rate and poor mobile and internet network. The study also finds that key barriers in the growth of cashless transactions in urban areas are slow internet speed, non-familiarity with payment transfer methods, fear of online fraud and cost of internet. The quality of mobile and internet network seem to be good in urban areas as the study shows that it is not a barrier in the growth of cashless transactions. People in urban areas have fear of online fraud whereas they do not consider transaction cost as barrier in the adoption and growth of cashless transactions.

KEYWORDS

rural & urban, cashless economy, digital payment, electronic payment system.

JEL CODES

G20, G29.

INTRODUCTION

ashless or cash-lite economy has become one of the important goals of the government after demonetization. The act of demonetization suddenly created the crisis of cash in the economy as 86% of the cash in circulation was demonetized on 8th November, 2016. Though initially government announced that the objective of demonetization was attack on the black money, counterfeit currency and to fight the corruption. But later, the cashless economy also become one of the prime objectives of demonetization. There was serious shortage of cash in the economy and people found it difficult to even pay for the goods and services of daily needs. Government took this shortage as an opportunity and started promoting the usage of cashless transactions with greater intensity. Government created pressure on banks to rapidly increase the number of PoS on retail outlets. New payment methods like payment through BHIM and UPI were made available by National Payment Corporation of India (NPCI). This shortage of cash was also an opportunity for mobile wallet and mobile banking service providers to bring in more and more people in the purview of cashless transactions as people also did not have any choice but to use cashless payment methods to make payment. Though, the cashless transactions grew at a faster rate after demonetization but at the same time people faced various problems in adopting the same. Moreover, the problems faced by people in rural were more challenging. This study is an attempt to compare the barriers of cashless transactions in rural and urban areas.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

(Jonathan Brugge, 2018) argued in a study conducted by *McKinsey & Company* that convenience is an important determinant of cashless transactions. The convenience of making payment and safety and security drive people towards cashless transactions. (M. Humbani, 2017) argued in a study conducted in South Africa that speed of transaction, wider acceptability of cashless payment methods and security are the important determinants of cashless transactions. (Emrah Oney, 2017) argued that the perceived trust and security both have major impact on the growth of cashless transactions. Further, he argued that the technical protection is one of the strongest determinants of perceived security and risk. (Pedro de Almeida, 2018) argued that people are moving from cash to digital or cashless transactions at an extreme pace as it is convenient, secure, speedy and it reduces the cost of transactions. But the growth in the usage of cashless transactions may also result in the increase in security threats and financial frauds. (Mona Sinha, 2018) argued that people are concerned about their privacy and it affects the attitude towards the adoption of cashless payment methods. (Thanh D. Nguyen, 2018) argued in a study conducted in Vietnam, that the ease of use and the usefulness are the key contributors in the growth of cashless transactions. (Van Son Dinh, 2018) also conducted similar kind of study and the findings were almost similar in both the studies. He also argued that errors in transactions, banking fraud, privacy and security are the barriers in the growth cashless transactions. (Mallat, 2007) argued in a qualitative study conducted on the adoption of cashless payment methods that convenience like avoiding long queue for payment, urgency, requirement of loose cash and paucity of time are the determinants of cashless transactions. Whereas, risk, transaction charges and lack of acceptability by seller are the key impediments in the adoption of cashless transactions.

NEED AND IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY

Review of literature suggested that many studies have been conducted to identify the determinants and barriers of cashless transactions across the globe. These studies were conducted in different countries, different conditions and during different time frame. Furthermore, many researchers examined the behaviour of people regarding the adoption of cashless payment methods. It was found that people are concerned about the security, privacy and banking frauds but at the same time, they also acknowledge the ease of use and convenience of making payment. But the researches who have examined the barriers of cashless transactions in rural and urban areas are almost non-existent. So, the researcher has attempted to identify the barriers of cashless transactions in rural and urban areas and also conducted a comparative study of the same.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

Followings are the specific objectives of the study:

- 1. To examine the barriers in the growth of cashless transactions in rural areas.
- 2. To examine the barriers in the growth of cashless transactions in urban areas.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

To examine the barriers in the growth of cashless transactions, an exploratory study is conducted. Researcher conducted a survey and collected primary data required data for the analysis. A structured questionnaire was designed containing the question regarding the demographic profile of the respondents including their education and family income level. Thereafter, respondents were asked the problems, they faced in adopting the cashless transactions during the period of demonetization. Respondents were given a questionnaire on a five-point Likert scale. So, the current study is empirical and quantitative in approach. The data was collected from 1096 respondents. Thereafter, it was data was coded and recoded in excel so that it can be synchronized with SPSS software for analysis. To conduct the comparative study, ten components of barriers were identified. To, fulfil the objectives of the study, multiple regression model was used. Further, to test the statistical significance of calculated R Square value, Annova for multiple regression was used.

ANALYSIS

Barriers in the Growth of the Adoption of Cashless Transactions in Rural Area - Regression Analysis Model

Regression analysis model has been used to the determine the barriers in the growth of cashless transactions. Ten components have been identified to use the regression model to determine the barriers in the growth of cashless transactions in rural areas. These components are (i) Non-availability of internet, (ii) Non-availability of smart phone, (iii) Slow internet speed (iv) Non-familiarity with payment transfer method (v) Fear of online fraud (vi) Submission of documents for KYC (vii) Poor mobile network, (viii) Cost of internet, (ix) Lack of merchant/seller acceptance, (x) Transaction cost. A linear relationship is assumed between the barriers in the growth of cashless transactions and these ten factors. The regression equation hence derived, is as under —

Barriers in Growth Cashless Transactions (Rural) = a + b1 * (Non-availability of internet) + b2 * (Non-availability of smart phone) + b3 * (Slow internet speed) + b4 * (Non-familiarity with payment transfer method) + b5 * (Fear of online fraud) + b6 * (Submission of documents for KYC) + b7 * (Poor mobile network) + b8 * (Cost of internet) + b9 * (Lack of merchant/seller acceptance) + b10 * (Transaction cost)

Where, 'a' is the autonomous part of the equation which indicates the fixed part that will impact the barriers of cashless transactions regardless the impact of the other factors under the regression analysis. The b1, b2, b3, b4, b5, b6, b7, b8, b9, b10 are the induced parts of the equation, which are also known as the regression coefficient of different components.

TABLE 1.1: MODEL SUMMARY OF THE REGRESSION ANALYSIS - RURAL

Model	Model R R Square Adjusted R Square Std. Error of the Estima						
1 .708 ^a .501 .492 .809							
a. Predictors: (Constant), Transaction Cost, Non-familiarity with Payment Transfer Method, Slow Internet							
Speed, Submission of Documents for KYC, Lack of Merchant/Seller Acceptance, Poor Mobile Network, Fear of							
Online Fraud.	Non-availability of in	nternet. Cost of Inter	net. Non-availability of smar	t phone			

It can be seen from the table 1.1 that the value of the adjusted R Square is.501. in indicates that all the ten components taken into consideration in this analysis, contribute around 50 per cent as the impediments of cashless transactions and the remaining 50 per cent may be due to the other factors which have not been taken into account in the analysis of the current study.

Further, to test whether the calculated adjusted R Square is statistically significant or not, ANOVA test for the regression analysis has been performed, the output of the same is shown in table 1.2. It can be observed from the table 1.2 that the calculated F value is 51.267 with a sig. value = 0.000, which is less than 0.05 (i.e. less than the 5% level of significance).

TABLE 1.2: ANOVA FOR REGRESSION ANALYSIS - RURAL

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	335.702	10	33.570	51.267	.000 ^b
	Residual	333.953	510	.655		
	Total	669.655	520			

a. Dependent Variable: Barriers faced in the growth of cashless transactions in rural area

Hence, it can be concluded that the overall impact of all the components (50%) as the barriers in the growth of cashless transactions is statistically significant.

TABLE 1.3: COEFFICIENTS OF REGRESSION ANALYSIS

Std. Error .214 .049 .051 .056 .062	.046 .385 .122 .215	5.137 1.039 7.620 3.184	.000
.049 .051 .056	.385 .122	1.039 7.620 3.184	.299
.051 .056	.385 .122	7.620 3.184	.000
.056	.122	3.184	
			വാ
.062	.215		.002
		4.114	.000
.063	.027	.570	.569
.067	.052	1.053	.293
.069	.160	3.597	.000
.061	.174	3.670	.000
.054	.190	4.210	.000
	057	1.540	.124
_	.054		.054 .190 4.210

From the table above, we can derive the regression equation as under-

Barriers in the Growth of Cashless Transactions (Rural) = 1.1 + 0.046 * (Non-availability of internet) + 0.385 * (Non-availability of smart phone) + 0.122 * (Slow internet speed) + 0.215 * (Non-familiarity with payment transfer method) + 0.027* (Fear of online fraud) + 0.052 * (Submission of documents for KYC) + 0.160 * (Poor mobile network) + 0.174 * (Cost of internet) + 0.190* (Lack of merchant/seller acceptance) + 0.057* (Transaction cost)

It can be seen from the regression equation and table 1.3 that all the ten factors are have positively impacted as the barriers in the growth of cashless transactions in rural areas. It can also be observed that non-availability of internet contributes only 4.6% as the barrier in the growth of cashless transactions in rural areas which is not very significant. As the mobile and internet have penetrated even in the remote areas of the country and these are available in the rural areas also, so it not a significant barrier in the growth of cashless transactions. It can be seen that non-availability of smart phone contributes as 38.5% as the barrier in the growth of cashless transactions in rural areas. It indicates that in rural areas people still do not have smart phones due to which they are unable to use digital transactions. Slow internet speed contributes 12.2% as a barrier in the growth of cashless transactions. Though the internet is available at almost everywhere in India but still there are some connectivity issues specially in rural and hill areas. It can also be observed that non-familiarity with payment transfer method is also a significant barrier as it contributes 21.5% as a barrier in the growth of cashless transactions. Non-familiarity with payment transfer method in rural areas is found mainly due to the lack of technical know-how and lower literacy rate. Further, this is interesting to note that fear of online fraud contributes just 2.7% as a barrier in the growth of cashless transactions. Another component i.e. submission of documents for KYC contributes 5.2% which is also not very significant and it indicates that people in rural areas do not hesitate much in sharing their documents for KYC. Poor mobile network contributes 16% as the barrier in the growth of cashless

b. Predictors: (Constant), Transaction Cost, Non-familiarity with Payment Transfer Method, Slow Internet Speed, Submission of Documents for KYC, Lack of Merchant/Seller Acceptance, Poor Mobile Network, Fear of Online Fraud, Non-availability of internet, Cost of Internet, Non-availability of smart phone

transactions. Though mobile and internet has penetrated in rural areas but the quality of network remains an issue. So, this is also a significant contributor. It can also be observed from the table that cost of internet contributed 17.4% as the barrier in the growth of digital transactions. It indicates that in rural areas people still believe that access to internet is expensive. Further, the lack of merchant/seller's acceptance contributed 19% as the barrier which indicates that merchants or the sellers still rely on cash transactions in rural areas and even if people are willing to pay, using digital transactions, they are unable to do due the unacceptability. The last component under consideration is the transaction cost which contributes 5.7% as barrier in the growth of cashless transactions in rural areas. In general, people have a misconception that cash is free as they do not realize the underlying cost, they are incurring in using cash. The opportunity cost of earning interest, fear of theft and the cost of acquiring cash is generally not considered by people. So, even if people are asked to pay any transaction cost, they try to avoid it by using cash.

Barriers in the Growth of the Adoption of Cashless Transactions in Urban Area - Regression Analysis Model

Regression analysis model has been used to the determine the barriers in the growth of cashless transactions. Ten components have been identified to use the regression model to determine the barriers in the growth of cashless transactions in urban areas. These components are (i) Non-availability of internet, (ii) Non-availability of smart phone, (iii) Slow internet speed (iv) Non-familiarity with payment transfer method (v) Fear of online fraud (vi) Submission of documents for KYC (vii) Poor mobile network, (viii) Cost of internet, (ix) Lack of merchant/seller acceptance, (x) Transaction cost. A linear relationship is assumed between the barriers in the growth of cashless transactions and these ten factors. The regression equation hence derived, is as under —

Barriers in Growth Cashless Transactions (Urban) = a + b1 * (Non-availability of internet) + b2 * (Non-availability of smart phone) + b3 * (Slow internet speed) + b4 * (Non-familiarity with payment transfer method) + b5 * (Fear of online fraud) + b6 * (Submission of documents for KYC) + b7 * (Poor mobile network) + b8 * (Cost of internet) + b9 * (Lack of merchant/seller acceptance) + b10 * (Transaction cost)

Where, 'a' is the autonomous part of the equation which indicates the fixed part that will impact the barriers of cashless transactions regardless the impact of the other factors under the regression analysis. The b1, b2, b3, b4, b5, b6, b7, b8, b9, b10 are the induced parts of the equation, which are also known as the regression coefficient of different components.

TABLE 1.4: MODEL SUMMARY OF THE REGRESSION ANALYSIS - URBAN

Model	R	R Square Adjusted R Square		Std. Error of the Estimate			
1 .377 ^a .142 .127 1.058							
a. Predictors: (Constant), Transaction Cost, Non-familiarity with Payment Transfer Method, Slow Internet							
Speed, Subm	ission of Documents	for KYC. Lack of Mer	chant/Seller Acceptance, Po	or Mobile Network. Fear of			

It can be seen from the table 1.4 that the value of the adjusted R Square is.142. It indicates that all the ten components taken into consideration in this analysis, contribute around 14.2 per cent as the impediments of cashless transactions and the remaining 85.8% may be due to the other factors which have not been taken into account in the analysis of the current study.

Online Fraud, Non-availability of internet, Cost of Internet, Non-availability of smart phone

Further, to test whether the calculated adjusted R Square is statistically significant or not, ANOVA test for the regression analysis has been performed, the output of the same is shown in table 1.5. It can be observed from the table 1.5 that the calculated F value is 9.330 with a sig. value = 0.000, which is less than 0.05 (i.e. less than the 5% level of significance).

TABLE 1.5: ANOVA® FOR REGRESSION ANALYSIS - URBAN

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	104.404	10	10.440	9.330	.000b
	Residual	630.009	563	1.119		
	Total	734.413	573			

a. Dependent Variable: Barriers faced in the growth of cashless transactions in rural area

Hence, it can be concluded that the overall impact of all the components (14.2%) as the barriers in the growth of cashless transactions in urban areas is statistically significant.

From the table 1.6, we can derive the regression equation as under -

Barriers in the Growth of Cashless Transactions (Urban) = 1.336 + 0.031 * (Non-availability of internet) + 0.084 * (Non-availability of smart phone) + 0.176 * (Slow internet speed) + 0.271 * (Non-familiarity with payment transfer method) + 0.078* (Fear of online fraud) + 0.011 * (Submission of documents for KYC) + 0.000 * (Poor mobile network) + 0.162 * (Cost of internet) + 0.017* (Lack of merchant/seller acceptance) + 0.024* (Transaction cost)

TABLE 1.6: COEFFICIENTS OF REGRESSION ANALYSIS - URBAN

Model	Unstandar	dized Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients		C:-
iviodei	B Std. Error B		Beta	τ	Sig.
1 (Constant)	1.336	.195		6.858	.000
Non-availability of internet	.034	.080	.031	.427	.670
Non-availability of smart phone	.086	.076	.084	1.128	.260
Slow Internet Speed	.195	.060	.176	3.271	.001
Non-familiarity with Payment Transfer Method	.249	.053	.271	4.692	.000
Fear of Online Fraud	.082	.056	.078	1.475	.141
Submission of Documents for KYC	.013	.059	.011	.221	.825
Poor Mobile Network	.000	.064	.000	.002	.998
Cost of Internet	.186	.063	.162	2.976	.003
Lack of Merchant/Seller Acceptance	.017	.060	.017	.288	.774
Transaction Cost	.024	.060	.024	.406	.685

It can be seen from the table 1.6 that non-availability of internet contributes just 3.1% as the barrier in the growth of cashless transactions in urban areas. Telecom companies have been strengthening their mobile network specially in urban areas. Moreover, these companies have been ensuring the users that their network is strong and users can check the network coverage themselves. So, the non-availability of internet is a small contributor as the barrier in the growth of cashless transactions. Further, it can be observed that non-availability of smart phone contributes 8.4% as the barrier in the growth of cashless transactions. The next component i.e. slow internet speed contributes 17.6% which indicates that people feel that the speed of internet is not up to the mark and it creates doubt regarding the success rate of the transactions in the mind of the users. Non-familiarity with payment system contributes 27.1% as the barrier in the growth of cashless transactions. It indicates that even in urban areas people are not familiar with the payment transfer methods. Fear of online fraud contributes 7.8% which indicates that people have fear in their mind that if they share their bank account details online with vendors of cashless transactions, they may be the victim of cyber fraud and lose their money. It can be seen that submission of document for KYC contributes just 1.1% as the barrier which indicates that people in urban areas do not hesitate in submitting their documents for KYC. This is interesting to note that poor mobile network's contributions as the barrier in the growth cashless transaction in zero. It shows that people in urban areas are generally satisfied with the coverage and quality of mobile network. Cost of internet was the next component under consideration and it contributes 16.2% as barrier in the growth of cashless transactions. Though, Indian consumers enjoy the cheapest data

b. Predictors: (Constant), Transaction Cost, Non-familiarity with Payment Transfer Method, Slow Internet Speed, Submission of Documents for KYC, Lack of Merchant/Seller Acceptance, Poor Mobile Network, Fear of Online Fraud, Non-availability of internet, Cost of Internet, Non-availability of smart phone

rates in the entire world but they still feel that the cost of data is a barrier. It can be observed from that table that lack of merchant's acceptance contributes just 1.7% as the barrier in the growth of cashless transactions which indicates that most of small and large merchants accept the digital payments in various modes. The last component under consideration was the transaction cost which contributes 2.4% as the barrier. It is an indication that very few people consider transaction cost as barrier in the growth of cashless transactions.

FINDINGS

The impediments or the barreirs in the rural and urban areas differ from each other. In rural areas, non-avaiability of smart phone, slow internet speed, non-familiarity with the payment transfer methods, poor mobile network, cost of internet and lack of acceptance by merchants are the key barreiers in the growth of cashless or digital transactions. Whereas, non-availibility of internet, fear of online fraud, submission of documents for KYC, and transaction cost also contributed postively as the barreries in the growth of cashless transactions but the contribution is not significant. In urban areas, slow internet speed, non-familiarity with payment transfer, fear of online fraud and cost of internet are the key barriers in the growth of cashless transactons. Whereas, non-availability of internet, non-availability of smart phone submission of documents for KYC, poor mobile network, lack of sellers acceptance and transaction cost also contributed positively as the barriers in the growth of cashless transactions but the contribution was not significant.

RECOMMONDATIONS

On the basis of the findings, it is recommonded that there is a need to create awareness in rural areas about the benefits of the cashless transactions among people so that more and more people can start using the cashless tranactions. Apart from it, telecom companies are required to make their telecom infrastructrue more strong and reliable in rural areas. Government need to devise policies which can help in increasing the literacy rate in rural areas. Moreover, the safety and security is a major concern of people specially in urban areas, so there is an urgent need to work upon the technological advancements in this direction to build faith among people that their money will remain safe if they use online payment transfer methods.

CONCLUSION

The study revealed that the key barriers in the growth of cashless transactions in rural areas are non-availability of internet, non-availability of smart phone, slow internet speed, non-familiarty with payment trnasfer methods, poor mobile network, cost of internet and lack of merchant or seller's acceptance. All these components reveal that there is a serious need of improvement in the mobile and internet infrastructure in rural areas. Moreover, due to poor literacy rate, people are not familiar with the payment transfer methods. Merchant's in the rural areas do not accept digital payment due to the poor literacy rate and poor mobile and internet network.

The study also revealed that key barriers in the growth of cashless transactions in urban areas are slow internet speed, non-familiarity with payment transfer methods, fear of online fraud and cost of internet. The quality of mobile and internet network seem to be good in urban areas as the study shows that it is not a barrier in the growth of cashless transactions. People in urban areas have fear of online fraud whereas they do not consider transaction cost as barrier in the adoption and growth of cashless transactions.

LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

The study has taken only ten components under consideration to do the comparative analysis whereas there can be many more factors or components whose impact can be analysed and tested statistically to understand and identify the barriers in the growth of cashless transactions. Moreover, the demographic profile of the respondents has also not taken into account like age, education, profession, income level which may have significant impact in the growth of cashless tranactions in rural and urban areas which can further help in developing the greater insights of the barriers of cashless transactions.

SCOPE OF FURTHER RESEARCH

The barreris or the impediments in the growth of cashless transations can further be explored from other perspectives like literacy rate, occupation, gender, consumer bahaviour and other psychological factors. Moreover, apart from the barriers, the reasons of growth or the determinants of cashless transactions can also be explored in future studies.

REFERENCES

- Brooks, D. G. (2016). The Digital Revolution in Financial Inclusion: International Development in the Fintech Era. New Political Economy. doi:10.1080/13563467.2017.1259298
- 2. Emrah Oney, G. O. (2017). The Determinants of Electronic Payment Systems Usage from Consumers' Perspective. *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istraživanja*. doi:10.1080/1331677X.2017.1305791
- 3. Giovanni Immordino, F. F. (2017). Cashless Payments and Tax Evasion. European Journal of Political Economy. doi:/10.1016/j.ejpoleco.2017.11.001
- Jonathan Brugge, O. D. (2018, August). https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/financial-services/our-insights/attacking-the-cost-of-cash. (Mckinsey & Company) Retrieved December 09, 2019, from https://www.mckinsey.com: https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/financial-services/our-insights/attacking-the-cost-of-cash
- M. Humbani, M. W. (2017, November 7). A Cashless Society for All: Determining Consumers' Readiness to Adopt Mobile Payment Services. JOURNAL OF AFRICAN BUSINESS. doi:10.1080/15228916.2017.1396792
- 6. Mallat, N. (2007, November 5). Exploring Consumer Adoption of Mobile Payments A Qualitative Study. *Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 413-432. doi:10.1016/j.jsis.2007.08.001
- 7. Mona Sinha, H. M. (2018). Mobile Payments in India: The Privacy Factor. International Journal of Bank Marketing. doi:10.1108/IJBM-05-2017-0099
- 8. Pedro de Almeida, P. F. (2018, July 4). Societal Risks of the end of Physical Cash. Futures, 47-60. doi:10.1016/j.futures.2018.07.004
- 9. Thanh D. Nguyen, P. A. (2018). The Roles of Perceived Risk and Trust on E–Payment Adoption. *Econometrics for Financial Applications, Studies in Computational Intelligence*. doi:https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-73150-6_68
- 10. Van Son Dinh, H. V. (2018). Cash or Cashless? Promoting Consumers' Adoption of Mobile Payments in an Emerging Economy. *Strategic Direction, 34*(1), 1-4. doi:https://doi.org/10.1108/SD-08-2017-0126
- 11. Walter Engert, B. S. (2018, October). Is a Cashless Society Problematic? Canada: Currency Department, Funds Management Department, Bank of Canada.

REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

Dear Readers

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce & Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue, as well as on the journal as a whole, on our e-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries, please feel free to contact us on our e-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward to an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-

Co-ordinator

DISCLAIMER

The information and opinions presented in the Journal reflect the views of the authors and not of the Journal or its Editorial Board or the Publishers/Editors. Publication does not constitute endorsement by the journal. Neither the Journal nor its publishers/Editors/Editorial Board nor anyone else involved in creating, producing or delivering the journal or the materials contained therein, assumes any liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information provided in the journal, nor shall they be liable for any direct, incidental, special, consequential or punitive damages arising out of the use of information/material contained in the journal. The journal, neither its publishers/Editors/ Editorial Board, nor any other party involved in the preparation of material contained in the journal represents or warrants that the information contained herein is in every respect accurate or complete, and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such material. Readers are encouraged to confirm the information contained herein with other sources. The responsibility of the contents and the opinions expressed in this journal are exclusively of the author (s) concerned.

ABOUT THE JOURNAL

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active cooperation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.







