## INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE & MANAGEMENT



A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at:

Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, ProQuest, U.S.A., Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A., Google Scholar, Indian Citation Index (ICI), J-Gage, India filmk of the same is duly available at Infilibret of University Grants Commission (U.G.C.), Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland with IC Value of 5.09 (2012) & number of libraries all around the world. Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than 7144 Cities in 197 countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis. Ground Floor, Building No. 1041-C-1, Devi Bhawan Bazar, JAGADHRI – 135 003, Yamunanagar, Haryana, INDIA

http://ijrcm.org.in/

# **CONTENTS**

Sr. No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)		
1.	A DETAILED STUDY ON THE VARIOUS FACTORS THAT MAKE THE DIFFERENTIATION IN THE SALES PROMOTION PRACTICES AND CRM ACTIVITIES AT SELECT RETAIL OUTLETS IN MYSORE CITY	1	
	Dr. M. S. YATHISH CHANDRA & AASHISH.C.I		
2.	A CASE STUDY OF PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL SYSTEM IN PUBLIC SECTOR AND PRIVATE SECTOR BANKS AT UTTARAKHAND SNEHA DOHARE & Dr. NIRDESH KUMAR SINGH	5	
3.	IMPACT OF COVID-19 ON RETURNS OF LARGE, MID AND SMALL CAP STOCK INDICES OF NATIONAL STOCK EXCHANGE THANGUDU VARUN RAJ	9	
4.	A CASE STUDY ON CANARA BANK SHRUSTI MULGUND	15	
	<b>REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK &amp; DISCLAIMER</b>	20	

### FOUNDER PATRON

### Late Sh. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Former State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana Former Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri Former President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

### CO-ORDINATOR

**Dr. BHAVET** Former Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Engineering & Technology, Urjani

### <u>ADVISOR</u>

**Prof. S. L. MAHANDRU** Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

### EDITOR

### Dr. NAWAB ALI KHAN

Professor & Dean, Faculty of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

### <u>CO-EDITOR</u>

### Dr. G. BRINDHA

Professor & Head, Dr.M.G.R. Educational & Research Institute (Deemed to be University), Chennai

### EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

### Dr. SIKANDER KUMAR

Vice Chancellor, Himachal Pradesh University, Shimla, Himachal Pradesh

### Dr. A SAJEEVAN RAO

Professor & Director, Accurate Institute of Advanced Management, Greater Noida

### **Dr. CHRISTIAN EHIOBUCHE**

Professor of Global Business/Management, Larry L Luing School of Business, Berkeley College, USA

### Dr. JOSÉ G. VARGAS-HERNÁNDEZ

Research Professor, University Center for Economic & Managerial Sciences, University of Guadalajara, Guadalajara, Mexico

Dr. TEGUH WIDODO

Dean, Faculty of Applied Science, Telkom University, Bandung Technoplex, Jl. Telekomunikasi, Indonesia

### Dr. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Professor, School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

### **Dr. KAUP MOHAMED**

Dean & Managing Director, London American City College/ICBEST, United Arab Emirates

### Dr. D. S. CHAUBEY

Professor & Dean (Research & Studies), Uttaranchal University, Dehradun

### Dr. ARAMIDE OLUFEMI KUNLE

Dean, Department of General Studies, The Polytechnic, Ibadan, Nigeria

### **Dr. SYED TABASSUM SULTANA**

Principal, Matrusri Institute of Post Graduate Studies, Hyderabad

### Dr. MIKE AMUHAYA IRAVO

Principal, Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture & Tech., Westlands Campus, Nairobi-Kenya Dr. NEPOMUCENO TIU

Chief Librarian & Professor, Lyceum of the Philippines University, Laguna, Philippines

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE & MANAGEMENT

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories http://ijrcm.org.in/

### Dr. BOYINA RUPINI

Director, School of ITS, Indira Gandhi National Open University, New Delhi

### Dr. FERIT ÖLÇER

Professor & Head of Division of Management & Organization, Department of Business Administration, Faculty of Economics & Business Administration Sciences, Mustafa Kemal University, Turkey

### Dr. SANJIV MITTAL

Professor & Dean, University School of Management Studies, GGS Indraprastha University, Delhi

Dr. SHIB SHANKAR ROY

Professor, Department of Marketing, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi, Bangladesh

### Dr. SRINIVAS MADISHETTI

Professor, School of Business, Mzumbe University, Tanzania

### Dr. ABHAY BANSAL

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engg. & Tech., Amity University, Noida

### Dr. KEVIN LOW LOCK TENG

Associate Professor, Deputy Dean, Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman, Kampar, Perak, Malaysia

### Dr. OKAN VELI ŞAFAKLI

Professor & Dean, European University of Lefke, Lefke, Cyprus

### Dr. V. SELVAM

Associate Professor, SSL, VIT University, Vellore

### **Dr. BORIS MILOVIC**

Associate Professor, Faculty of Sport, Union Nikola Tesla University, Belgrade, Serbia

### Dr. N. SUNDARAM

Associate Professor, VIT University, Vellore

### Dr. IQBAL THONSE HAWALDAR

Associate Professor, College of Business Administration, Kingdom University, Bahrain

### Dr. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, Government College, Hodal

### Dr. ALEXANDER MOSESOV

Associate Professor, Kazakh-British Technical University (KBTU), Almaty, Kazakhstan

### **RODRECK CHIRAU**

Associate Professor, Botho University, Francistown, Botswana

### Dr. PARDEEP AHLAWAT

Associate Professor, Institute of Management Studies & Research, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak Dr. DEEPANJANA VARSHNEY

Associate Professor, Department of Business Administration, King Abdulaziz University, Saudi Arabia

### Dr. BIEMBA MALITI

Associate Professor, School of Business, The Copperbelt University, Main Campus, Zambia

### Dr. SHIKHA GUPTA

Associate Professor, Lingaya's Lalita Devi Institute of Management & Sciences, New Delhi

### Dr. KIARASH JAHANPOUR

Dean of Technology Management Faculty, Farabi Institute of Higher Education, Karaj, Alborz, I.R. Iran

### Dr. SAMBHAVNA

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

### **YU-BING WANG**

Faculty, department of Marketing, Feng Chia University, Taichung, Taiwan

### Dr. TITUS AMODU UMORU

Professor, Kwara State University, Kwara State, Nigeria

### Dr. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE

Faculty, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

**INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE & MANAGEMENT** 

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories http://ijrcm.org.in/

### Dr. THAMPOE MANAGALESWARAN

Faculty, Vavuniya Campus, University of Jaffna, Sri Lanka

### Dr. JASVEEN KAUR

Head of the Department/Chairperson, University Business School, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar

**SURAJ GAUDEL** 

BBA Program Coordinator, LA GRANDEE International College, Simalchaur - 8, Pokhara, Nepal

Dr. RAJESH MODI

Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Dr. BHAVET

Former Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Engineering & Technology, Urjani

FORMER TECHNICAL ADVISOR

ΑΜΙΤΑ

FINANCIAL ADVISORS

DICKEN GOYAL Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula NEENA Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

### LEGAL ADVISORS

JITENDER S. CHAHAL Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T. CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

### SUPERINTENDENT

SURENDER KUMAR POONIA

### CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to the recent developments & practices in the areas of Computer Science & Applications; Commerce; Business; Finance; Marketing; Human Resource Management; General Management; Banking; Economics; Tourism Administration & Management; Education; Law; Library & Information Science; Defence & Strategic Studies; Electronic Science; Corporate Governance; Industrial Relations; and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Rural Economics; Co-operation; Demography: Development Planning; Development Studies; Applied Economics; Development Economics; Business Economics; Monetary Policy; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Political Science; Continuing Education; Labour Welfare; Philosophy; Psychology; Sociology; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law; Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labour Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; International Relations; Human Rights & Duties; Public Administration; Population Studies; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism & Hospitality; Transportation Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic; Web Design and emerging paradigms in allied subjects.

Anybody can submit the **soft copy** of unpublished novel; original; empirical and high quality **research work/manuscript anytime** in <u>M.S. Word format</u> after preparing the same as per our **GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION**; at our email address i.e. <u>infoijrcm@gmail.com</u> or online by clicking the link **online submission** as given on our website (*FOR ONLINE SUBMISSION, CLICK HERE*).

### **GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT**

### 1. COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

### THE EDITOR

IJRCM

### Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF

(e.g. Finance/Mkt./HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, please specify

### DEAR SIR/MADAM

Please find my submission of manuscript titled '\_\_\_\_\_\_' for likely publication in one of your journals.

I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore, it has neither been published anywhere in any language fully or partly, nor it is under review for publication elsewhere.

I affirm that all the co-authors of this manuscript have seen the submitted version of the manuscript and have agreed to inclusion of their names as co-authors.

Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I agree to comply with the formalities as given on the website of the journal. The Journal has discretion to publish our contribution in any of its journals.

NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR	:
Designation/Post*	:
Institution/College/University with full address & Pin Code	:
Residential address with Pin Code	:
Mobile Number (s) with country ISD code	:
Is WhatsApp or Viber active on your above noted Mobile Number (Yes/No)	:
Landline Number (s) with country ISD code	:
E-mail Address	:
Alternate E-mail Address	:
Nationality	:

\* i.e. Alumnus (Male Alumni), Alumna (Female Alumni), Student, Research Scholar (M. Phil), Research Scholar (Ph. D.), JRF, Research Assistant, Assistant Lecturer, Lecturer, Senior Lecturer, Junior Assistant Professor, Assistant Professor, Senior Assistant Professor, Co-ordinator, Reader, Associate Professor, Professor, Head, Vice-Principal, Dy. Director, Principal, Director, Dean, President, Vice Chancellor, Industry Designation etc. <u>The qualification of</u> <u>author is not acceptable for the purpose</u>.

### NOTES:

- a) The whole manuscript has to be in **ONE MS WORD FILE** only, which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript. <u>*pdf.*</u> <u>*version*</u> *is liable to be rejected without any consideration*.
- b) The sender is required to mention the following in the SUBJECT COLUMN of the mail:

**New Manuscript for Review in the area of** (e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, please specify)

- c) There is no need to give any text in the body of the mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any **specific message** w.r.t. to the manuscript.
- d) The total size of the file containing the manuscript is expected to be below 1000 KB.
- e) Only the **Abstract will not be considered for review** and the author is required to submit the **complete manuscript** in the first instance.
- f) The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email within twenty-four hours and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of the manuscript, within two days of its submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending a separate mail to the journal.
- g) The author (s) name or details should not appear anywhere on the body of the manuscript, except on the covering letter and the cover page of the manuscript, in the manner as mentioned in the guidelines.
- 2. **MANUSCRIPT TITLE**: The title of the paper should be typed in **bold letters**, centered and fully capitalised.
- 3. **AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS**: Author (s) **name**, **designation**, **affiliation** (s), **address**, **mobile/landline number** (s), and **email/alternate email address** should be given underneath the title.
- 4. **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**: Acknowledgements can be given to reviewers, guides, funding institutions, etc., if any.
- 5. **ABSTRACT**: Abstract should be in **fully Italic printing**, ranging between **150** to **300 words**. The abstract must be informative and elucidating the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a **SINGLE PARA**. *Abbreviations must be mentioned in full*.
- 6. **KEYWORDS**: Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of **five**. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stop at the end. All words of the keywords, including the first one should be in small letters, except special words e.g. name of the Countries, abbreviations etc.
- 7. **JEL CODE:** Provide the appropriate Journal of Economic Literature Classification System code (s). JEL codes are available at www.aea-web.org/econlit/jelCodes.php. However, mentioning of JEL Code is not mandatory.
- 8. **MANUSCRIPT**: Manuscript must be in <u>BRITISH ENGLISH</u> prepared on a standard A4 size <u>PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER</u>. It should be free from any errors i.e. grammatical, spelling or punctuation. It must be thoroughly edited at your end.
- 9. HEADINGS: All the headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
- 10. **SUB-HEADINGS:** All the sub-headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
- 11. MAIN TEXT:

#### THE MAIN TEXT SHOULD FOLLOW THE FOLLOWING SEQUENCE:

INTRODUCTION REVIEW OF LITERATURE NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM OBJECTIVES HYPOTHESIS (ES) RESEARCH METHODOLOGY RESULTS & DISCUSSION FINDINGS RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS CONCLUSIONS LIMITATIONS SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH REFERENCES APPENDIX/ANNEXURE

The manuscript should preferably be in 2000 to 5000 WORDS, But the limits can vary depending on the nature of the manuscript.

- 12. **FIGURES & TABLES:** These should be simple, crystal **CLEAR**, **centered**, **separately numbered** & self-explained, and the **titles must be above the table/figure**. Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure. It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text.
- 13. **EQUATIONS/FORMULAE:** These should be consecutively numbered in parenthesis, left aligned with equation/formulae number placed at the right. The equation editor provided with standard versions of Microsoft Word may be utilised. If any other equation editor is utilised, author must confirm that these equations may be viewed and edited in versions of Microsoft Office that does not have the editor.
- 14. **ACRONYMS:** These should not be used in the abstract. The use of acronyms is elsewhere is acceptable. Acronyms should be defined on its first use in each section e.g. Reserve Bank of India (RBI). Acronyms should be redefined on first use in subsequent sections.
- 15. **REFERENCES**: The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. *The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript* and they may follow Harvard Style of Referencing. Also check to ensure that everything that you are including in the reference section is duly cited in the paper. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
- All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
- Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
- When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc., in chronologically ascending order.
- Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
- The title of books and journals should be in italic printing. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
- For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parenthesis.
- *Headers, footers, endnotes and footnotes should not be used in the document.* However, you can mention short notes to elucidate some specific point, which may be placed in number orders before the references.

PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:

### BOOKS

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

### **CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS**

• Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

### JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

### **CONFERENCE PAPERS**

Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–23

#### UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS

Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

### **ONLINE RESOURCES**

Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

### WEBSITES

• Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp

### A CASE STUDY OF PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL SYSTEM IN PUBLIC SECTOR AND PRIVATE SECTOR BANKS AT UTTARAKHAND

### SNEHA DOHARE ASST. PROFESSOR COLLEGE OF AGRIBUSINESS MANAGEMENT PANTNAGAR

### Dr. NIRDESH KUMAR SINGH ASST. PROFESSOR COLLEGE OF AGRIBUSINESS MANAGEMENT PANTNAGAR

### ABSTRACT

An extension of banks' functions has also extended the obligations on employees' in the banking sector. Long working hours and overburden of work on an employee are resulting in the inefficiency of employees. All this truly results in failure of achieving organizational goals and rise in the issue of unjustified remuneration. Performance Appraisal System seems to a solution for all these issues in all public sector and private sector banks. The current study focuses on how most of the banks are following the latest objective oriented KRAs based appraisal system. The procedure and other important aspects of the study are covered through the collection of primary data by structured schedules with in-depth interviews. All Regional HR Officers and Chief Managers of the three public sectors and three private sector banks were considered as a sample. The research design is an effort to capture the real outlook of the current appraisal system in the public sector and private sector banks through case study survey method in area district Udham Singh Nagar of Uttarakhand state.

#### **KEYWORDS**

KRAs, performance appraisal system, public sector banks, private sector banks.

**JEL CODES** G20, G21.

#### INTRODUCTION

The present spectacular banking system in India is not devised overnight; it took numerous decades for evolution. The Indian banking system is not as simple in a way as it reflects, it has manifold stratum. Now the banking system is not limited up to the financial transactions, credits, and financial facilities. Apparently, it increases more obligations of HR practices again to judge the temperament of these challenges and define the functions and responsibilities to counteract these challenges and grab different prospects.

Changing the banking environment and stiff competition is bringing a lot of unwanted pressure on its employees. Banks are facing two major challenges: risk management and workforce management. Long sitting hours, additional field work with the workload and fast pace developing digital banking without any perky growth is bringing dissatisfaction among employees or increase in attrition rate. A competent workforce is becoming scarce in both terms quantity as well as eminence. In case any of resource is getting deficit or requires compromises in quality. It should be properly maintained for the benefit of the public at large. Currently, scholars contradict that employee's perception, emotion and attitude play a vital role in determining the efficacy of a performance appraisal system. Indeed, appraisal reactions i.e. satisfaction, acceptability and motivation to utilize feedback, are cited as a trend in appraisal research during the past ten years in a recent review of the literature (Levy and William, 2004).

### **REVIEW OF LITERATURE**

Performance appraisal activity in an organization is a mandatory and unavoidable task and it advocates that organizations with a higher aspiration for its standard aims must consider its human resource as higher valuable component (Abasilim, Ugochukwu David 2013). There will more chances of employee's better performance if employees will be aware of the consequences of performance appraisal positive & negative impacts. They may put more effort into the enhanced performance as better incentives and promotions depend upon their quality performance (De Nisi & Pritchard 2006)

Both public & private sector and accentuated the methods followed by both sectors in containing the talent with the organization for achieving organizational goals (Begum et al. 2015). Allahabad Bank has introduced a system that aims in assisting officers to make them aware of their strength and weaknesses and encourage the job improvement of performance. Employees' perceives greater fairness of performance appraisal system in private sector banks as compared to public sector banks (Shrivastava and Purang 2011). According to (Rao and Rani 2014) the fundamental aspects of performance appraisal errors and rating errors are foundation for analyzing the effects of rating errors on employee satisfaction and the interrelationship between them. Private Banks implemented modern techniques of performance appraisal far earlier.

### **PROBLEM STATEMENT**

Banks are service-oriented organizations and the way staff members behave and conduct themselves in the public defines the growth of the bank and increase in the number of customers. It creates a need for banks to think and reinstate to monitor those competitive skills. Often public and private both banks were failed to decide the annual increment based on appraisal ratings on a regular basis consequently banks are left with no appropriate mechanism to recognize and compensate top-performing employees and poor performers. Though the goals are set atthe beginning of the financial year, yet they end up with surprising results. The performance appraisal mechanism fails to attain many expectations. The fairness of performance appraisal and performance-based payment practices emerged as significant predictors of the commitment of banks' employees. Thus, the proposed study will strive to identify the variables contributing to performance appraisal practices.

#### **RESEARCH OBJECTIVES**

- 1. To study the current performance appraisal system of public sector banks and private sector banks.
- 2. To compare the performance appraisal system of public sector banks and private sector banks.

### **RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

The research design opted is a case study method, the current research design strives to accumulate greater insight into study matter. In current study district Udham Singh Nagar of Uttarakhand was considered as the universe for the study, in which all Public Sector & Private Sector banks were included. Primary and

### VOLUME NO. 12 (2021), ISSUE NO. 02 (FEBRUARY)

secondary data both data sources were considered. The primary data was collected top three public sector banks and top three private sector banks were taken as a sample on judgmental sampling– Public Sector Banks: State Bank of India, Bank of Baroda, and in Private Sector Banks: HDFC Bank, Axis Bank, ICICI Bank. Whereas, the Regional HR Manager, Branch Heads and Chief Managers of public, private sector banks' employees' data was collected through without replacement sampling method. The primary data is collected through structured schedules with unstructured in-depth interviews.

#### **RESULTS & DISCUSSION**

#### Public Sector Banks Performance Appraisal System

The Performance Appraisal System in public sector banks is regulated as per the RBI guidelines, which is open for all to access. These guidelines define all norms and regulations apropos all aspect in the banking sector from HR policies to financial issues. Due to prominent human resource management related issues, these banks consider performance appraisal system one of the most crucial parts of human resource management in banks. It also helps to allocate a suitable person in the required place. The design of performance appraisal system comprises various sub-factors that need to be well thought-out while designing the Performance Appraisal System (PAS) components like format, responsibilities, and employees' participation are some prominently discussed issues. Most of the public sector banks follow *Key Resulting Areas (KRAs)* for the Performance Appraisal System (PAS) is used for measuring the individual performance. The PAS is based on appropriate KRAs for each employee. These KRAs are customized for each individual need to ensure the success of the performance appraisal process. These KRAs are properly synchronized among KRAs, employee's duties and employee's designation. Allocation of inappropriate KRAs can turn an efficient employee into inefficient. The headquarters design KRAs for almost every department separately. The headquarters are supposed to designs these KRAs at very outsized scale. **Evaluation Standards& Performance Criteria** 

All three public sector banks' were evaluating employees' outcomes on the basis of pre-defined standards. Each bank has already categorized the performance standards and each time an employee performs; he /she fall into a certain category. Most of the performance criteria are based on KRA's, which put objectives into three major outcomes: Performance related Quantitative criteria, Qualitative criteria, and budgetary criteria. The quantitative and budgetary can be put into the same category, but the only difference is budgetary is target based while quantitative is business based. Here qualitative is consist of the third part that cannot be counted or calculated in a numerical term like customer satisfaction, relationship building, and attitude with colleagues, etc. Automatic system or head offices enter the budgetary criteria.

#### Appraisal Purposes, Communication Frequencies and Procedures

All public sector banks were evaluating employees' for the promotion purpose, but even this purpose is limited for senior employees'. Another purpose is preparing a report of the employees that can be used on the later career stages of employees for various decisions. All banks are primarily promoting open door policies and direct communication among superior and subordinates. The performance appraisal system is conducted in all three public sector banks understudy annually. KRAs are decided at the beginning of every financial year after reviewing past ratings. The KRAs sustains until the end of the corresponding financial year. According to Regional HR officers the order for a procedure starts in two phases: The objective settings with rating distribution and employee's participation with post appraisal behavioral survey.

- > Under the entire process, the KRAs are designed and decided at the headquarters level and then sent to the regional office, without any alteration in it.
- Regional Offices sent it to offices without any further changes.
- > Only regional offices have the authority to rectify the headquarter decisions.
- > After regional offices branch offices are the next stoppage for KRAs where branch manager distributes it among all the respective employees.
- Employees passively accept the performance appraisal targets, if he/ she found any hassle in it than he/she can send a request through proper channel for reconsideration.

The performance ratings are distributed generally in the first quarter of every financial year, where system ratings are automatically allocated to the individual based on their performance against KRA's, although division for distribution of KRA has been done at the head office level. A proper meeting is held for discussion regarding each individual KRA's & ratings. At this stage discussion also takes place about the shortcoming of performance and characteristics of KRA. **Employees' Participation and Post Appraisal Treatment** 

In the regular course of action, the subordinate can meet the superior whenever he/she feels any hassle in work. But, there is no procedure for formal face to face interaction in all three sample public banks during the process. There are proper appeal systems for the employees to appeal if they feel any injustice in the performance appraisal system. Thus, to overcome this limitation there is a proper system of appeal exists. Through the proper channel, any individual may appeal to regional offices regarding incorrect KRA's. Even Post Appraisal Attitude Survey system subsists to address the employee's satisfaction issues if it is related to the appraisal system. Superior and subordinate both plays an equally prominent role in performance appraisal system, all responsibilities are bear up by employee since the insertion of KRA's till the end actually. All public sector banks understudy provides proper training of evaluation regarding rating to raters for reduction of biasness in the rating process.

#### Performance Appraisal System in Private Sector Banks

Though private sector banks also come under RBI mandates, they must adhere all guidelines issued by the RBI, still, due to their ownership, they contain some autonomy in their hands to carry out the functions for maintaining profitability. All private sector banks are putting outstanding efforts in designing and opting the best performance appraisal system for the timely accomplishment of goals and objectives by linking them to the individual's goals. All private sector banks are exercising KRAs like public sector bank, their design has large variations in themselves. All three private sector banks understudy has well-defined and articulated performance appraisal system based on KRA. In which there are two broad categories of KRA's: quantifiable & non-quantified.

#### **Evaluation Standards& Performance Criteria**

The evaluation system of PAS in private sector banks understudy contains variations even though they all belong to the same fraternity. KRAs are categorized on the basis of score or marks in all banks (including banks understudy). All private banks have categorized the score on the basis of their own convenience in some categories, in some banks it is in quantitative calculated in terms of marks while other it is being calculated on the basis of non-quantitative category. All banks have distinct the criteria for performance as per their policies and procedures, i.e. In the first sample bank performance is directly linked with revenue and operational. This directly categorized into the financial and non-financial category. Quantitative parameters explain targets and activities in numerical form on the other hand non-quantitative is a concern with additional activities that are related to behavioral terms specifically.

#### Appraisal Purposes, Communication Frequencies and Procedures

Incentives and promotion are being directly linked to the performance appraisal system in all private sector banks understudy. The primary purposes of performance appraisal in private sector banks are promotion & increment (whereas the degree of relatedness varies from bank to bank). The banks, have an open communication system, a separate meeting for discussion of performance objectives is called and handled by the manager or branch manager. In which all subordinates participate actively. But, the rating and evaluation of the appraisal system are discussed in a closed system. The input of all performance related data takes place throughout the year but ratings of evaluation process occur once in the year. At the beginning of the financial year branch manager and HR collectively sets the performance objectives for all employees. In all three sample bank, there was no legal issue is involved. KRA's (objective sets) is bases along with all behavioral outcomes for employees rating. Discussion regarding performance rating may vary from person to person.

#### **Employees' Participation and Post Appraisal Treatment**

If the individual feels keen desire to interact than the individual can go through proper channel & get connected to authorities through video conference. On time of outcome discussion meeting, the individual may get equal opportunity to defend oneself. Self-appraisal concept has adhered in the other two private banks understudy. The employee needs to input some of the dates at rating phase but it cannot be considered as a self-appraisal. When an employee feels unsatisfied with results and feels that do not feel fair, there is a system to inform to appeal about injustice or some ambiguity in KRA's outcomes. There is only one private bank that handles their post-appraisal queries and issues actively.

### VOLUME NO. 12 (2021), ISSUE NO. 02 (FEBRUARY)

It is observed that employee does not get an opportunity to attend the post-appraisal meetings or there is no meetings takes place in all sample private banks understudy. They do not get an opportunity in the appraisal system to share their any opinion or influence their decision. All banks handle the deployment of employees' responsibility differently. In all three private sector banks, superior takes no responsibilities in the performance appraisal system. In all three banks reductions of biasness is being actively handled. But, in the first sample private sector banks a moderation committee exists. The moderation committee checks the rating and if they find something unsatisfactory the superior may be called for discussion. Whereas in the other two sample private banks, there is no such type of committee.

### FINDINGS

The cross-bank analysis—a summary of the key findings of the multiple comparisons of performance appraisal system in public sector & private sector banks.

Research Objec- tive	Performance Ap- praisal System Fea- tures	Public sector banks	Private sector banks
	Appraisal format	<ul> <li>Performance is KRA based: Well-defined and specifically customized as per each individual job profile, department &amp; section.</li> <li>Fully Online&amp; Fully automated</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Performance is KRA based: Well-defined and specifically customized as per each individual job profile, department &amp; section.</li> <li>Fully Online&amp; Fully automated</li> </ul>
	Evaluation bench- mark	<ul><li>i. Grade "AAA" or "Excellent" to "E" or "Poor"</li><li>ii. based on marks out of 100</li></ul>	<ul> <li>i. Ratings are provided on like 1 to 5.</li> <li>ii. On the basis of percentage</li> <li>iii. Out of 500 marks</li> </ul>
Design of the appraisal system	Performance criteria Appraisal purpose	Quantitative, Qualitative and Budgetary         i.       Achieving organizational goal         ii.       Promotion (limited only for seniors)         iii.       No link with incentives, bonus, and T&D	Financial & Non-Financial         i.       Achieving organizational goal         ii.       Promotion & incentives (completely linked for all)         iii.       No link with Training & Development
	Communication	i. Open System ii. Depends on employee	Open System for KRAs but for ratings it's closed
	Frequency Performance objec- tive setting process	Annually         At the beginning of the financial year         i.       Head Quarters sets objectives sent it to Regional Offices than Head Offices to Branch Offices, where employee accept it passively         ii.       None of the employees takes part	<ul> <li>Annually (break in monthly parts)</li> <li>At the beginning of the financial year <ol> <li>Head Quarters HR and Branch Head collectively set</li> <li>Employees are not involved at this stage</li> </ol> </li> </ul>
The procedure of the appraisal system	Distribution of per- formance rating& ex- planation	<ul> <li>At the end of the financial year</li> <li>i. Allocated from Head Quarter or System generated so no explanation required</li> <li>ii. In one bank manager sends it secretly so no explanations</li> <li>iii. In one bank understudy employees also do selfappraise KRAs</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>At the end of the financial year</li> <li>i. Allocated from Head Quarter or System generated so no explanation required</li> <li>ii. In one bank manager sends it &amp; no explanations</li> </ul>
	Employee's partici- pation& Feedback	If feels he/she can discuss KRAs i. Equal opportunity for employees ii. Feedback is also expected	Employees equally participate in the evaluation meet- ing
	Appeal system Post appraisal atti- tude survey	Employees can appeal if they feel unsatisfied It occurs once in a year	Proper grievance redressal committee is formulated No there is no post-appraisal meeting
Manager & em- ployee responsi- bilities	Manager responsibil- ities Employee responsi-	Equally responsibilities from the insertion of KRAs Passively accepts the KRAs, they have to achieve it ac-	The manager has no responsibilities apart from re- source allocation All responsibilities after once KRAs allocated
	bilities Reducing manager biasness	cordingly Proper training is provided to raters for reduction of bi- asness	Moderation committee exists or explanations call could be done by HR for unjustified ratings

### **RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS**

All banks either its public sector or private sector cases following objective based on KRAs based. Despite banks are pursuing the latest performance appraisal systemas reflected by the above table. Still, there are many gaps in the expected outcome and actual outcomes. To bridge the gaps following are the suggestions:

- Modification in Procedure of Setting Objectives: All sample banks understudy, either its public sector or private sector sets objectives on PAN India basis. That leads to the absence of employee-centric KRA's. A very minuscule modification that employees' portal should be open for a bit longer after the complete evaluation process. So they can also put suggestions in their new KRA for avoiding complications at later stages.
- Feedback Frequency & One on One interaction: The feedback for the whole process occurs once in a year, which escorts piling-up all issues together. Due to several issues together, it is difficult for an employee to narrate as well manager for handling them. Regular meetings related to visiting KRAs can resolve this by one issue at a time. On the other hand, face to face interaction may reduce discrepancies in thought related to KRAs bring & more cohesiveness in employee and manager.
- Biasness & Injustice reduction: Formulation of biasness reduction committee like in one sample bank is a good initiative that should be followed by all banks.
   On the other, a standing committee should also be there to handle employee's issues at random.

### CONCLUSION

Despite some minor loopholes, the current performance appraisal system followed by banks is suitable for all. Only after reconsidering the minor issues like Changes in procedure of objective setting, increase in feedback frequency, eliminate the lack of any feedback, defined authorities of managers for employees in appraisal responsibility and healthy discussions for further improvement in performances. These are some alteration that can make the current appraisal system more efficient. The current demand of banking sector ought to revisit all the deprived areas for allocation of suitable ratings to each individual. To boost employee morale and it can contribute to the organizational goal.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Angelo S. DeNisi & Robert D. Pritchard, (2006), "Performance Appraisal, Performance Management and Improving Individual Performance: A Motivational Framework," Management and Organization Review, *The International Association for Chinese Management Research*, vol. 2(2), pages 253-277, July.
- 2. Begum, Sameera, et al. (2015), "A Study on Performance Appraisal Private Sector Vs. Public Sector", *Journal of Business and Management*, ISSN: 2319-7668. Volume 17, Issue 2, PP 75-80
- 3. El Ejere, UD Abasilim (2013), "Impact of Transactional and Transformational Leadership Styles on Organizational Performance: Empirical Evidence from Nigeria", The Journal of Commerce 5 (No. 1), 30-41
- 4. Levy, P. E., & Williams, J. R. (2004), "The Social Context of Performance Appraisal: A Review and Framework for the Future", Journal of Management, 30(6)
- 5. Rao. M.S.V. & Rani. S. S. (2014), "A Study on Performance Appraisal Errors of Public Sector Bank Managers in Chennai City", Proceedings of The Second International Conference on Global Business Economics, Finance and Chennai, India, July, Paper ID: C474.
- 6. Shrivastav. A. and Purang. P. (2011), "Employee Perception of Performance Appraisals: A Comparative Study on Indian Banks", *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 22:03, 632-647.

## REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

### **Dear Readers**

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce & Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue, as well as on the journal as a whole, on our e-mail <u>infoijrcm@gmail.com</u> for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries, please feel free to contact us on our e-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward to an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-Co-ordinator

# **DISCLAIMER**

The information and opinions presented in the Journal reflect the views of the authors and not of the Journal or its Editorial Board or the Publishers/Editors. Publication does not constitute endorsement by the journal. Neither the Journal nor its publishers/Editors/Editorial Board nor anyone else involved in creating, producing or delivering the journal or the materials contained therein, assumes any liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information provided in the journal, nor shall they be liable for any direct, indirect, incidental, special, consequential or punitive damages arising out of the use of information/material contained in the journal. The journal, neither its publishers/Editors/ Editorial Board, nor any other party involved in the preparation of material contained in the journal represents or warrants that the information contained herein is in every respect accurate or complete, and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such material. Readers are encouraged to confirm the information contained herein with other sources. The responsibility of the contents and the opinions expressed in this journal are exclusively of the author (s) concerned.

### **ABOUT THE JOURNAL**

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active cooperation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.

Our Other Fournals





