INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE & MANAGEMENT



Ulrich's Periodicals Directory @, ProQuest, U.S.A., Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A., Google Scholar

Indian Citation Index (ICI), J-Gage, India [link of the same is duly available at Inflibnet of University Grants Commission (U.G.C.)

Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland with IC Value of 5.09 (2012) & number of libraries all around the world.

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than 7144 Cities in 197 countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

CONTENTS

Sr. No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page No.
1.	A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF NON-PERFORMING ASSETS IN THE INDIAN PUBLIC-SECTOR BANKS ADITYA AGARWAL & Dr. RAMESH CHANDRA BABU T	1
2.	A STUDY ON IMPACT OF CELEBRITY ENDORSEMENT AMONG CONSUMERS BUYING MULTI-BRAND PRODUCTS IN COIMBATORE DISTRICT P. VIMAL KUMAR & Dr. D. PARASAKTHI	6
3.	EMPLOYEE PROVISIONS AND BENEFITS FACILITATING WORK LIFE BALANCE: A STUDY OF THE MANAGEMENT STAFF OF NRL, ASSAM Dr. RINKI DAS	12
4.	MULTIGENERATIONAL WORKFORCE AND MOTIVATING FACTORS TOWARDS TEACHING JOB ROLES IN HIGHER EDUCATION MAHNOOR SAHRASH	16
5.	STOCK SPLITS ANNOUNCEMENT EFFECT ON SHARE PRICES: EVIDENCE FROM NIFTY 100 COMPANIES PARUL PAL & PARVATHY VK	22
	REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK & DISCLAIMER	27

FOUNDER PATRON

Late Sh. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Former State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana Former Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri Former President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

CO-ORDINATOR

Dr. BHAVFT

Former Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Engineering & Technology, Urjani

ADVISOR

Prof. S. L. MAHANDRU

Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

EDITOR

Dr. NAWAB ALI KHAN

Professor & Dean, Faculty of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

CO-EDITOR

Dr. G. BRINDHA

Professor & Head, Dr.M.G.R. Educational & Research Institute (Deemed to be University), Chennai

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

Dr. SIKANDER KUMAR

Vice Chancellor, Himachal Pradesh University, Shimla, Himachal Pradesh

Dr. A SAJEEVAN RAO

Professor & Director, Accurate Institute of Advanced Management, Greater Noida

Dr. CHRISTIAN EHIOBUCHE

Professor of Global Business/Management, Larry L Luing School of Business, Berkeley College, USA

Dr. JOSÉ G. VARGAS-HERNÁNDEZ

Research Professor, University Center for Economic & Managerial Sciences, University of Guadalajara, Guadalajara, Mexico

Dr. TEGUH WIDODO

Dean, Faculty of Applied Science, Telkom University, Bandung Technoplex, Jl. Telekomunikasi, Indonesia

Dr. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Professor, School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

Dr. KAUP MOHAMED

Dean & Managing Director, London American City College/ICBEST, United Arab Emirates

Dr. D. S. CHAUBEY

Professor & Dean (Research & Studies), Uttaranchal University, Dehradun

Dr. ARAMIDE OLUFEMI KUNLE

Dean, Department of General Studies, The Polytechnic, Ibadan, Nigeria

Dr. SYED TABASSUM SULTANA

Principal, Matrusri Institute of Post Graduate Studies, Hyderabad

Dr. MIKE AMUHAYA IRAVO

Principal, Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture & Tech., Westlands Campus, Nairobi-Kenya

Dr. NEPOMUCENO TIU

Chief Librarian & Professor, Lyceum of the Philippines University, Laguna, Philippines

Dr. BOYINA RUPINI

Director, School of ITS, Indira Gandhi National Open University, New Delhi

Dr. FERIT ÖLÇER

Professor & Head of Division of Management & Organization, Department of Business Administration, Faculty of Economics & Business Administration Sciences, Mustafa Kemal University, Turkey

Dr. SANJIV MITTAL

Professor & Dean, University School of Management Studies, GGS Indraprastha University, Delhi

Dr. SHIB SHANKAR ROY

Professor, Department of Marketing, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi, Bangladesh

Dr. SRINIVAS MADISHETTI

Professor, School of Business, Mzumbe University, Tanzania

Dr. ABHAY BANSAL

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engg. & Tech., Amity University, Noida **Dr. KEVIN LOW LOCK TENG**

Associate Professor, Deputy Dean, Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman, Kampar, Perak, Malaysia

Dr. OKAN VELI ŞAFAKLI

Professor & Dean, European University of Lefke, Lefke, Cyprus

D. M. OFIMANA

Dr. V. SELVAM

Associate Professor, SSL, VIT University, Vellore

Dr. BORIS MILOVIC

Associate Professor, Faculty of Sport, Union Nikola Tesla University, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr. N. SUNDARAM

Associate Professor, VIT University, Vellore

Dr. IQBAL THONSE HAWALDAR

Associate Professor, College of Business Administration, Kingdom University, Bahrain

Dr. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, Government College, Hodal

Dr. ALEXANDER MOSESOV

Associate Professor, Kazakh-British Technical University (KBTU), Almaty, Kazakhstan

RODRECK CHIRAU

Associate Professor, Botho University, Francistown, Botswana

Dr. PARDEEP AHLAWAT

Associate Professor, Institute of Management Studies & Research, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak

Dr. DEEPANJANA VARSHNEY

Associate Professor, Department of Business Administration, King Abdulaziz University, Saudi Arabia

Dr. BIEMBA MALITI

Associate Professor, School of Business, The Copperbelt University, Main Campus, Zambia

Dr. SHIKHA GUPTA

Associate Professor, Lingaya's Lalita Devi Institute of Management & Sciences, New Delhi

Dr. KIARASH JAHANPOUR

Dean of Technology Management Faculty, Farabi Institute of Higher Education, Karaj, Alborz, I.R. Iran

Dr. SAMBHAVNA

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

YU-BING WANG

Faculty, department of Marketing, Feng Chia University, Taichung, Taiwan

Dr. TITUS AMODU UMORU

Professor, Kwara State University, Kwara State, Nigeria

Dr. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE

Faculty, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

Dr. THAMPOE MANAGALESWARAN

Faculty, Vavuniya Campus, University of Jaffna, Sri Lanka

Dr. JASVEEN KAUR

Head of the Department/Chairperson, University Business School, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar **SURAJ GAUDEL**

BBA Program Coordinator, LA GRANDEE International College, Simalchaur - 8, Pokhara, Nepal

Dr. RAJESH MODI

Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Dr. BHAVET

Former Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Engineering & Technology, Urjani

FORMER TECHNICAL ADVISOR

FINANCIAL ADVISORS

DICKEN GOYAL

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

NEENA

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

LEGAL ADVISORS

JITENDER S. CHAHAL

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

SUPERINTENDENT

SURENDER KUMAR POONIA

1.

Nationality

CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to the recent developments & practices in the areas of Computer Science & Applications; Commerce; Business; Finance; Marketing; Human Resource Management; General Management; Banking; Economics; Tourism Administration & Management; Education; Law; Library & Information Science; Defence & Strategic Studies; Electronic Science; Corporate Governance; Industrial Relations; and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Rural Economics; Co-operation; Dewelopment Planning; Development Studies; Applied Economics; Development Economics; Business Economics; Monetary Policy; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Political Science; Continuing Education; Labour Welfare; Philosophy; Psychology; Sociology; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law; Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labour Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; International Relations; Human Rights & Duties; Public Administration; Population Studies; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism & Hospitality; Transportation Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic; Web Design and emerging paradigms in allied subjects.

Anybody can submit the soft copy of unpublished novel; original; empirical and high quality research work/manuscript anytime in M.S. Word format after preparing the same as per our GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION; at our email address i.e. infoijrcm@gmail.com or online by clicking the link online submission as given on our website (FOR ONLINE SUBMISSION, CLICK HERE).

GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION (<u>DF MANUSCRIPT</u>
COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:	
	DATED:
THE EDITOR	
IJRCM	
Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF	
(e.g. Finance/Mkt./HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/	IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, please
<mark>specify</mark>)	
DEAR SIR/MADAM	
Please find my submission of manuscript titled 'your journals.	
I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore fully or partly, nor it is under review for publication elsewhere.	, it has neither been published anywhere in any language
I affirm that all the co-authors of this manuscript have seen the submitted v their names as co-authors.	ersion of the manuscript and have agreed to inclusion o
Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I agree to comply with the formalitie discretion to publish our contribution in any of its journals.	s as given on the website of the journal. The Journal has
NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR	:
Designation/Post*	:
Institution/College/University with full address & Pin Code	:
Residential address with Pin Code	:
Mobile Number (s) with country ISD code	:
Is WhatsApp or Viber active on your above noted Mobile Number (Yes/No)	:
Landline Number (s) with country ISD code	:
E-mail Address	:
Alternate E-mail Address	:

^{*} i.e. Alumnus (Male Alumni), Alumna (Female Alumni), Student, Research Scholar (M. Phil), Research Scholar (Ph. D.), JRF, Research Assistant, Assistant Lecturer, Lecturer, Senior Lecturer, Junior Assistant Professor, Assistant Professor, Senior Assistant Professor, Co-ordinator, Reader, Associate Professor, Professor, Head, Vice-Principal, Dy. Director, Principal, Director, Dean, President, Vice Chancellor, Industry Designation etc. The qualification of author is not acceptable for the purpose.

NOTES:

- a) The whole manuscript has to be in **ONE MS WORD FILE** only, which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript. <u>pdf.</u> <u>version</u> is liable to be rejected without any consideration.
- b) The sender is required to mention the following in the SUBJECT COLUMN of the mail:
 - **New Manuscript for Review in the area of** (e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, please specify)
- c) There is no need to give any text in the body of the mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any **specific message** w.r.t. to the manuscript.
- d) The total size of the file containing the manuscript is expected to be below 1000 KB.
- e) Only the **Abstract will not be considered for review** and the author is required to submit the **complete manuscript** in the first instance.
- f) The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email within twenty-four hours and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of the manuscript, within two days of its submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending a separate mail to the journal.
- g) The author (s) name or details should not appear anywhere on the body of the manuscript, except on the covering letter and the cover page of the manuscript, in the manner as mentioned in the guidelines.
- 2. MANUSCRIPT TITLE: The title of the paper should be typed in **bold letters**, **centered** and **fully capitalised**.
- 3. AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS: Author (s) name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline number (s), and email/alternate email address should be given underneath the title.
- 4. **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:** Acknowledgements can be given to reviewers, guides, funding institutions, etc., if any.
- 5. **ABSTRACT**: Abstract should be in **fully Italic printing**, ranging between **150** to **300 words**. The abstract must be informative and elucidating the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a **SINGLE PARA**. **Abbreviations must be mentioned in full**.
- 6. **KEYWORDS**: Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of **five**. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stop at the end. All words of the keywords, including the first one should be in small letters, except special words e.g. name of the Countries, abbreviations etc.
- 7. **JEL CODE:** Provide the appropriate Journal of Economic Literature Classification System code (s). JEL codes are available at www.aea-web.org/econlit/jelCodes.php. However, mentioning of JEL Code is not mandatory.
- 8. **MANUSCRIPT:** Manuscript must be in <u>BRITISH ENGLISH</u> prepared on a standard A4 size <u>PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER</u>. It should be free from any errors i.e. <u>grammatical</u>, <u>spelling</u> or <u>punctuation</u>. It must be thoroughly edited at your end.
- 9. **HEADINGS**: All the headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
- 10. **SUB-HEADINGS**: All the sub-headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
- 11. MAIN TEXT:

THE MAIN TEXT SHOULD FOLLOW THE FOLLOWING SEQUENCE:

INTRODUCTION

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

OBJECTIVES

HYPOTHESIS (ES)

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

FINDINGS

RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS

CONCLUSIONS

LIMITATIONS

SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

REFERENCES

APPENDIX/ANNEXURE

The manuscript should preferably be in **2000** to **5000 WORDS**, But the limits can vary depending on the nature of the manuscript.

- 12. **FIGURES & TABLES**: These should be simple, crystal **CLEAR**, **centered**, **separately numbered** & self-explained, and the **titles must be above the table/figure**. **Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure**. *It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text*.
- 13. **EQUATIONS/FORMULAE:** These should be consecutively numbered in parenthesis, left aligned with equation/formulae number placed at the right. The equation editor provided with standard versions of Microsoft Word may be utilised. If any other equation editor is utilised, author must confirm that these equations may be viewed and edited in versions of Microsoft Office that does not have the editor.
- 14. **ACRONYMS**: These should not be used in the abstract. The use of acronyms is elsewhere is acceptable. Acronyms should be defined on its first use in each section e.g. Reserve Bank of India (RBI). Acronyms should be redefined on first use in subsequent sections.
- 15. **REFERENCES**: The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. *The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript* and they may follow Harvard Style of Referencing. Also check to ensure that everything that you are including in the reference section is duly cited in the paper. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
- All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
- Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
- When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc., in chronologically ascending order.
- Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
- The title of books and journals should be in italic printing. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
- For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parenthesis.
- Headers, footers, endnotes and footnotes should not be used in the document. However, you can mention short notes to elucidate some specific point, which may be placed in number orders before the references.

PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:

BOOKS

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

• Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

• Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

CONFERENCE PAPERS

• Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–23

UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS

Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

ONLINE RESOURCES

Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

WEBSITES

Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp

STOCK SPLITS ANNOUNCEMENT EFFECT ON SHARE PRICES: EVIDENCE FROM NIFTY 100 COMPANIES

PARUL PAL
STUDENT
SCHOOL OF BUSINESS AND MANAGEMENT
CHRIST (DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY)
BANGALORE

PARVATHY VK
ASST. PROFESSOR
SCHOOL OF BUSINESS AND MANAGEMENT
CHRIST (DEEMED TO BE UNIVERSITY)
BANGALORE

ABSTRACT

The research tries to find out the impact of stock split announcement on stock prices. Samples were chosen from Nifty100 companies of NSE. The study employs the market model-event study methodology with an event window of 21 days (10 days prior to split and 10 days' post-split) and split announcement date (0) as the event date, to examine the market reaction. The market-adjusted model was used to measure abnormal returns. Average abnormal returns (AARs) and cumulative mean abnormal returns (CAARs) were determined. For the study, statistical methods such as the paired sample t-test were used to test the significance of the event during pre and post event period on AAR. From the study, it can be inferred that stock split announcement had negative insignificant impact on AAR.

KEYWORDS

stock split, abnormal return, cumulative average abnormal return, nifty 100, event study methodology.

JEL CODES

C58, D53.

1. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, stock splits have been very common occurrences throughout the world. The popularity of the stock splits may be decided by the fact that the number of stock splits between companies has risen in almost all advanced countries. In India, the adoption of stock splits as a strategic financial management method can be judged by the fact that more than two dozen companies took part in stock splits within one year of the abolition of the value-added principle by the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) in 1999. Some prominent stock splitting companies included Infosys Technologies, Wipro, Zee Telefilms, HDFC, ACC. and Polaris Software Satvam Computers.

Both publicly traded firms have a set number of shares which are outstanding and share-sharing is a corporate share in which the corporation chooses to raise the number of shares by selling additional shares to the existing shareholder. It lowers the face value of the shares without increasing any additional capital. In fact, the overall capitalization of the market remains the same and the nominal value of the share falls. For e.g. A company issued 100 shares priced at Rs.50 per share, the market capitalization being Rs.5000 = 100×50 . If the company divides it's 2-for-1 share, which ensures that its shareholders would hold two shares for each share owned by them. So there are now 200 shares in the stock and each shareholder owns twice as many shares. The price of each share is set to Rs.25 = Rs.5000/2000. The capitalization of the market is $200 \times Rs.25 = Rs.5000$, which is the same as before the break. Shares are divided in order to infuse liquidity and make it accessible for investors who have not been able to buy it.

In India stock splits came into being after the abolition of the share par value by the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) in 1999, given that the shares are dematerialized and the face value after split does not decrease below Re.1. Prior to that, corporate activities relating to existing shares were primarily related to dividends, rights issues and bonus issues. Prior to 1999, there were no split of shares by Indian firms, and the corporate acts relating to existing shares were primarily related to the payment of dividends and the granting of rights and bonus shares.

Why do stocks split?

The key advantage of a share split is to make the stock of a company easy for small investors to purchase. Many corporations (specifically their boards of directors) have divided their shares regularly during their existence in order to retain a desirable share price. It is important to remember that, in turn, derivative assets, such as options, would become more affordable after stock splits. To be precisely clear, the splitting of shares has no effect on the cumulative value of your investment. Well, at least in theory. In the real world, there is no doubt that the condition surrounding the split will push a stock higher or lower.

Here are 3 reasons: Making stock more affordable: the main aim of share-sharing is to reduce the price of expensive stock—especially relative to the level of prices of peers in the industry—to make it accessible to more investors.

Create more liquidity: When the share splits, it increases the number of shares in circulation, making it easier for the stock to sell. Increased liquidity is better for investors as it makes buying and selling stocks at equal prices much easier. Trades can also be made much quicker.

Revive interest: when the stock splits, the company is always viewed as a profitable company which helps the company to revive interest.

Motivation for Stock Splits

Stock splits shall not entail any cash inflow or outflow either from businesses or from their shareholders. While the number of shares owned by the shareholders would increase, the value of the shares would decrease proportionately. The overall par value of the shares owned by the shareholder shall remain the same as before the division of the shares. As a result, shareholders who are concerned with the cash flows of the company and the portion of the cash flows to which they are entitled are not supposed to be impacted by stock split decisions, as their status is the same as at the previous stage, even after stock splitting. Stock splits do not have any tax benefits or drawbacks for corporations or their owners. There is no improvement in the rights of the shareholder in any way in relation to that of the corporation.

Theoretically, there is neither gain nor gain. Any or any damages resulting from the division of shares by the shareholders. They still possess the same capital asset, but in a different form. However, the shareholder can benefit on account of an increase in the market value of the shares due to an increase in the frequency of trading. Considering that stock splits may not have any cash flow or tax consequences, one might wonder what the reasons for stock splits are. The signalling impact may be one explanation for stock splits.

The relationship between stock splits and share prices has been the subject of empirical debate in the finance literature. Since the split date is well known in advance, it does not contain any new details. No major price reaction to split announcements would be expected. However, contrary to theoretical forecasts, empirical studies have reported a statistically significant market price response. Firms reporting splits see a spike in stock prices on average.

Share Split allows the company to reinforce the psychological bond between the investors and the company, as the shares of the company become accessible to investors and the investor is given the opportunity to increase the total shareholder base of the company. Increased liquidity is beneficial to the company because

it can lead to a narrower bid – demand range (the difference between the price someone is willing to sell a security for and the price someone is willing to purchase that security at). The smaller distribution of the bid-ask would make it easier for workers and the company to collect money from their held shares.

Be cautious on stock splitting?

While stock splits help to make priced shares more accessible for small investors as well as to fund homes that aim to tone up their portfolios, investors should not make their investment decisions on the basis of stock splits alone. As the stock split does not alter the fundamentals of the business, the sudden increase in the share price cannot be maintained.

The initial reaction may have been due to higher demand in the secondary market. While stock splitting may be an effective tool for corporate governance to maximize shareholder wealth, it may be misused by some promoters to artificially prop up stock prices. As a result, stock splitting presents several problems for investors to find successful stocks.

2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Anubha Vashisht (2017) the goal of this paper is to analyze the key reasons, advantages and drawbacks of stock splits. Stock split involves transferring one share of a higher par value to a certain number of shares of a lower par value in a given ratio. Stock splits have become very common phenomena all over the world. In India stock splits came into existence after SEBI abolished the par value of the shares in 1999, given that the shares are dematerialized and the face value after split does not decrease below Re. 1. Since then, a lot of Indian companies have gone to share splits. The key reasons for the stock split are the signalling impact on the future prospects of the company, the reduction of the per share price in order to make it more liquid, the desire of the management to have diffused ownership, and the use of the share split as a defense to a possible hostile takeover. There are some problems related to stock splits that need to be given due consideration before deciding on stock splits. These concerns include the expense of share splits, the impulsive disposal of shares due to a fall in the market price of shares caused by share splits, the possibility of lower share prices, listing requirements and record-keeping challenges. Dr. Disha Pathak, P Kalyani (2020) Corporate events have had a major effect on the movement of stock prices, which has attracted many researchers. The present analysis therefore focuses on analyzing the price movements of stocks in relation to the stock split announcement. In the present analysis, a standard event study approach was used to study the effect of stock split announcements on abnormal returns (ARs) for 5 selected shares, which declared the division of shares between January 2019 and March 2020 about 60 days of the announcement date. Average abnormal returns (AARs) and cumulative mean abnormal returns (CAARs) were determined. Paired t-tests were used to assess the significance of the event during the AAR pre-and post-event era. From the study, it can be inferred that stock split announcement had negative significant impact on AAR.Dr. Parminder Bajaj, Harman Arora (2017) this paper was adopted to focus on the effect of the allocation of shares on the capital of shareholders and the competitiveness of the company. First, a secondary inquiry was carried out into the idea of stock splitting, stock splitting, the effect of stock splitting and the difference between the question of incentives and stock splitting. the effect of stock splits on stock prices and the insider trade on stock split declarations were concentrated on touching the base on the objectives and the speculation to be investigated. Subsequently, the examination method was formulated to determine the effect of the share split on the money-related ratios, such as earnings per share, return on equity, benefit per share, and the ratio of price to earnings. Nagendra Marisetty, M. Suresh Babu (2018) This article analyzes the corporate (stock split) reporting effect on stock price activity in India by using 10 samples from different capitalizations and different sectors that were ex-split (Corporate Action) in 2017. The event analysis and the student evaluation used to know the findings. Empirical findings indicate that mean abnormal return (0.93 per cent), cumulative mean abnormal returns (4.24) are positive on event day, but statistically average abnormal returns are not significant at 5 per cent on event day. Major positive cumulative mean abnormal return (6.30) on the-1st day after event and negative cumulative mean abnormal return (-2.67)-9th day after event, these findings help the investor can make positive abnormal returns until the event day after the investor has received negative abnormal returns. Asha Nadig (2015) This study explores the stock market response to stock splits between 2002 and 2013 in 6 sectors of BSE—Auto, Bankex, Consumer Durables, FMCG, Health Care and IT—to find out whether or not the Indian stock market is semi-efficient. The approach used is the business model event analysis. Samples of 14 stock splits are known to be distributed across 6 sectors. The findings show that there are substantial positive abnormal returns prior to split alerts. On the day of the split announcement, 1 sector reacts positively (Health Care—3.3%) and 5 reacts negatively (Auto -1 percent, Bankex -0.9 percent, CD -0.3 percent, FMCG -1 percent, and IT-1 percent). The findings show that the Null Hypothesis is accepted that there is no significant AAR around stock split announcement date. Suresha B and Dr. Gajendra Naidu (2013) investigated how the market reacts to the stock split announcement news, using the event study technique for Nifty stocks from 1995 to 2011 to assess whether the investor would benefit or lose an above-normal return by depending on the public information given in the stock split announcement. They used the risk-adjusted event study technique for studies where there is an excessive irregular return during the event warning window. Abnormal returns were measured using the market model and t-tests were performed to assess the significance. The study found that there were substantial positive abnormal returns on AD 0, but under a short duration of AD+3 abnormal return. D.Bhuvaneshwari and Dr. K. Ramya (2014) aim to understand the behavior of Indian share prices in relation to stock split announcements. A typical event analysis approach is adopted in this study to analyze the effect and price reactions of NSE CNX Nifty companies from January 2006 to December 2013 about 60 days of the announcement date. Abnormal returns have been measured and t-tests are performed to assess the significance. The research concluded that stock split announcements had a positive influence around announcement dates on share prices. BS Bodla and Shaili Gupta's stock split strategy aimed to make scrip more liquid and affordable to the average investor because with this corporate action the face value of the shares will reduce and number of shares would increase. Many investors do not like to buy high priced stock, but will consider a stock after a split when the share price is lower. Even though the market capitalization does not change due to mere splitting up of shares, greater liquidity and higher demand on the share will drive the share price up, thereby increasing the company's market capitalization and value. A company split its shares usually when it is priced above the trading range. According to EMH, any event which does not contain any information should not affect price and returns on the announcement date. Under the above mentioned aim of stock split, there arise a need to examine impact of this action on stock prices. This paper is an attempt to investigate such type of announcement effect with the help of Event Study methodology. The reference period for the study ranges from 2005-2016. The study is based on 27 stock split announcements of Indian IT industry. The results show that there is no significant difference between Average Abnormal Returns before the announcement and after the announcement of stock splits. Hence, India's stock market is said to be efficient.

3. STATEMENT OF PROBLEM

There are several studies that have been conducted on stock split in various stock markets in the world and they have given mixed results. Very few studies have been done to show the Impact of stock split announcement on share prices in the given time frame to give a conclusive result. Therefore, thorough this research an attempt is made to find out the actual impact of stock split announcements on stock prices.

4. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

- 1. To examine whether the Average abnormal returns are significant or insignificant around the stock split announcement date.
- 2. To examine whether there is any significant difference in the abnormal returns pre and post stock split announcement.
- To analyse the Stock market reaction to stock split announcement of Nifty 100 Companies.

5. SCOPE OF THE STUDY

This study focusses on the Nifty100 companies listed at the National Stock Exchange that have split their shares for a period of 5 years ranging from 2015-2020. The study is based on the observation made on the Indian Stock market. It gives scope for further studies in Indian market on corporate actions like dividend announcements, mergers news, consolidation etc. in indexes or other sectors stock.

5. HYPOTHESIS

To check whether the Average abnormal returns are significant or insignificant.

- H₀: There is no significant abnormal returns around the stock split announcement.
- H₁: There is significant abnormal returns around the stock split announcement.

To check whether there is any significant difference in the abnormal returns pre and post stock split announcement.

- H₀: There is no significant difference in abnormal returns pre and post announcement of stock split.
- H₁: There is significant difference in abnormal returns pre and post announcement of stock split.

7. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The research is descriptive in nature and secondary data have been used. The three-stage approach is used in this analysis. The first stage consists of finding out the parameters such as beta on the basis of the ex-post returns on stocks and the market index and the projected returns on each stock based on the market model. In the second level, the calculated parameters are used to measure abnormal returns around the date of the source. In this analysis, the date of the stock split announcement is specified as Day 0 or Source Day. If the source day is a non-trading day, the immediately following trading day shall be known as the source day. It could also be listed as an event day. The pre-announcement period shall include 10 trading days before the stock split announcement, i.e. days-10 to-1. The post-announcement period shall include 10 trading days after the stock split announcement, i.e. days +1 to +10. Thus, the event window of 21 trading days (including day 0 as the source day) was considered for the analysis. This is the Estimated ARs are averaged across securities for the estimation of Average Abnormal Returns (AARs) and AARs for the determination of Cumulative Average Abnormal Returns (CAARs). The estimation window is t = -252 to t = -10 relative to the event day t = 0. Estimation window will help in estimating the relationship between a company's returns and the benchmark index and calculation of intercept, slope RSQ and t-test.

TABLE 1: COMPANIES WITH STOCK SPLIT ANNOUNCEMENT

S.No.	Company Name	NSE Symbol	Source Date	Old FV	New FV
1	Bajaj Finance Ltd.	BAJFINANCE	9-Aug-16	10	2
2	Bank of Baroda	BANKBARODA	22-Jan-15	10	2
3	Berger Paints India Ltd.	BERGEPAINT	1-Aug-15	2	1
4	Britannia Industries Ltd.	BRITANNIA	29-Nov-18	2	1
5	Cadila Healthcare Ltd.	CADILAHC	10-Jun-15	5	1
6	Container Corporation of India Ltd.	CONCOR	26-Jun-18	10	5
7	Eicher Motors Ltd.	EICHERMOT	24-Aug-20	10	1
8	Grasim Industries Ltd.	GRASIM	10-Jun-16	10	2
9	HDFC Bank Ltd.	HDFCBANK	19-Sep-19	2	1
10	Indraprastha Gas Ltd.	IGL	11-Sep-17	10	2
11	JSW Steel Ltd.	JSWSTEEL	1-Apr-17	10	1
12	Tech Mahindra Ltd.	TECHM	19-Mar-15	10	5
13	United Spirits Ltd.	MCDOWELL-N	15-Jun-18	10	2

8. EMPIRICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Abnormal Return

Abnormal return, sometimes referred to as "excess return," applies to unanticipated safety/stock gains (or losses). The disparity between the real returns that investors earn on the asset and the anticipated returns defines abnormal returns.

TABLE 2: ABNORMAL RETURNS OF ALL THE SELECTED NIFTY 100 COMPANIES.

			Abnormal Returns of all the Companies											
Event Window	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	Sum of AR
-10	-0.004	-0.018	0.012	-0.008	-0.009	0.011	-0.028	0.004	0.013	-0.003	-0.003	-0.009	-0.020	-0.063
-9	0.027	-0.024	0.003	0.004	0.034	-0.013	0.000	-0.004	-0.007	-0.001	-0.004	-0.003	0.018	0.030
-8	0.017	0.010	-0.010	0.016	-0.012	-0.008	0.031	-0.004	-0.004	-0.002	-0.001	-0.019	-0.010	0.004
-7	0.019	0.006	-0.016	0.000	0.034	-0.032	-0.021	-0.003	-0.003	-0.017	-0.015	0.010	0.003	-0.035
-6	-0.013	-0.002	0.005	-0.009	0.008	-0.023	-0.064	0.006	-0.003	0.013	0.015	0.027	-0.001	-0.039
-5	0.015	-0.019	0.004	0.022	0.020	0.036	0.041	-0.023	0.013	-0.005	0.001	-0.027	0.001	0.079
-4	0.012	-0.021	0.102	0.006	-0.009	0.000	0.000	0.023	-0.014	0.012	0.000	-0.011	0.003	0.104
-3	-0.008	-0.004	-0.034	-0.009	0.002	-0.011	-0.010	0.001	0.000	-0.002	0.007	0.003	0.006	-0.059
-2	-0.005	-0.023	-0.020	0.000	0.002	-0.014	0.011	0.000	0.002	0.004	0.002	0.008	-0.001	-0.036
-1	0.011	0.031	0.028	-0.016	-0.027	0.004	0.009	0.004	-0.013	-0.002	0.002	-0.022	0.011	0.020
0	-0.679	-0.014	0.087	0.007	0.018	0.002	-0.005	0.024	0.019	-0.010	-0.010	-0.712	-0.021	-1.294
1	-0.001	-0.018	0.015	0.041	-0.009	-0.020	0.017	0.016	0.033	0.002	0.063	-0.012	-0.016	0.111
2	-0.037	-0.014	-0.063	-0.026	-0.030	0.015	0.007	-0.012	0.020	0.004	-0.001	-0.033	0.021	-0.148
3	0.001	0.000	0.017	0.000	-0.009	0.054	-0.008	-0.011	-0.002	0.021	0.004	0.003	-0.017	0.053
4	-0.005	-0.035	-0.028	0.007	0.000	-0.019	-0.018	-0.001	0.002	-0.012	0.006	-0.006	-0.017	-0.126
5	-0.016	-0.092	-0.048	-0.022	0.029	0.009	-0.033	-0.021	-0.009	-0.017	0.038	-0.003	0.030	-0.155
6	-0.016	-0.029	0.024	-0.001	0.009	0.000	0.010	-0.005	0.006	-0.001	0.001	-0.009	-0.003	-0.016
7	0.000	-0.006	-0.008	0.007	-0.013	-0.018	0.011	0.004	-0.009	0.019	0.001	-0.036	0.037	-0.013
8	-0.007	0.006	0.004	-0.001	-0.011	0.022	0.027	-0.002	0.027	0.000	-0.001	0.003	-0.022	0.044
9	0.040	-0.036	-0.003	0.003	0.024	0.021	-0.007	-0.013	-0.016	-0.014	-0.015	0.000	-0.021	-0.037
10	-0.001	0.001	-0.006	0.022	0.010	-0.001	0.003	-0.001	-0.016	0.001	0.019	0.013	-0.007	0.038

The table reveals that a higher percentage of companies had an extraordinary negative abnormal return on the day of the announcement. Of the 13 firms, 7 had negative abnormal returns, while 6 had positive abnormal returns on the day of the announcement.

It is clearly seen in the table that the pre-announcement case window contains 5 negative returns and 5 positive returns. There are 6 negative returns and 4 positive returns in the post-announcement period, which means investors will expect negative abnormal returns after the stock split is announced.

Average Abnormal Returns: Abnormal returns monitoring is not conducted on each bond, but is achieved aggregately on each day of the occurrence duration by evaluating the cumulative abnormal returns on cross-sectional securities.

Cumulative Average Abnormal Returns: The sum of all abnormal returns is the cumulative abnormal return (CAR). It is a measure of the abnormal performance over the duration of the incident. The sum of average abnormal returns is CAAR.

TABLE 3: AAR, CAAR AND T- VALUES

Average abnormal returns, Cumulative average abnormal returns and calculated t values of selected sample companies.								
Event Window	AAR	CAR	CAAR	STD DEV	STD ERROR	T-VALUE		
-10	-0.005	-0.063	-0.005	0.013	0.004	-1.37		
-9	0.002	-0.033	-0.003	0.016	0.004	0.52		
-8	0.000	-0.028	-0.002	0.014	0.004	0.09		
-7	-0.003	-0.064	-0.005	0.018	0.005	-0.54		
-6	-0.003	-0.103	-0.008	0.022	0.006	-0.49		
-5	0.006	-0.024	-0.002	0.021	0.006	1.02		
-4	0.008	0.079	0.006	0.031	0.009	0.94		
-3	-0.005	0.020	0.002	0.011	0.003	-1.54		
-2	-0.003	-0.016	-0.001	0.010	0.003	-0.95		
-1	0.002	0.004	0.000	0.018	0.005	0.31		
0	-0.100	-1.290	-0.099	0.266	0.074	-1.35		
1	0.009	-1.179	-0.091	0.026	0.007	1.21		
2	-0.011	-1.327	-0.102	0.025	0.007	-1.62		
3	0.004	-1.274	-0.098	0.018	0.005	0.80		
4	-0.010	-1.401	-0.108	0.013	0.004	-2.69		
5	-0.012	-1.555	-0.120	0.035	0.010	-1.22		
6	-0.001	-1.572	-0.121	0.013	0.004	-0.35		
7	-0.001	-1.584	-0.122	0.018	0.005	-0.20		
8	0.003	-1.541	-0.119	0.014	0.004	0.84		
9	-0.003	-1.578	-0.121	0.021	0.006	-0.50		
10	0.003	-1.539	-0.118	0.011	0.003	1.00		

* 5% level of significance 2.179

Hypothesis 1: To check whether the Average abnormal returns are significant or insignificant.

The study carried out on a sample of 13 stock splits and tracks the AR created by the Nifty 100 companies.

 H_0 : There is no significant abnormal returns around the stock split announcement.

 H_1 : There is significant abnormal returns around the stock split announcement.

Empirical Analysis

The significance level is at 5% where T value (2 tailed) is 2.179. The T values found are below 2.179, which implies that the null hypothesis is accepted. This means that that there is no significant abnormal returns around the stock split announcement.

It is found that abnormal returns are positive before the day of the event, but returns were negative on the day of the event.

The AAR values shown in the table indicate that there are both positive and negative returns fluctuating around the day of the announcement. During the 10 day pre announcement period, the AAR was positive for five days and negative for five days. There were 4 positive AARs and 6 negative AARs during the post-annunciation are

The effect of the announcement was found to be insignificant and the share price response was found to be negative at -10 percent on the day of the occurrence, and it was also clearly seen that the stock split announcements did not provide the companies with significant positive details. It is also implied that around the stock split announcements, there are substantial abnormal returns, but they are unfavorable. Average abnormal returns are not important at 5% significance level on event day.

The research analyzed the market response to the stock split announcement by regularly observing AAR and CAAR. During the following days, the AAR is negative: -10,-7,-6,-3,-2 suggesting that the Index (Nifty 100) returns were more than the stock returns.

As the AAR is negative on the date of the stock split announcement and positive on the following day of the announcement, the market reaction to the stock split is very fast. In all days after the stock split date and positive prior to the date of the stock split notice, the CAAR tends to be negative.

On the basis of the results, it can also be argued that there is a difference in the overall abnormal returns before and after the stock split as the returns were negative on the date of the stock split and the returns were positive before and after the stock split.

The mean AAR before the stock split can be found to be higher than the mean AAR after the split happened. This shows that in the pre-split era, investors will receive extra sums of abnormal returns.

9. CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

The study showed, using an event study approach, that investors did not gain substantial returns on the announcement day and around the market split announcement times. Paired Sample T test was used for AAR to interpret the results. These facts demonstrate that stock split announcements lead to abnormal returns that are more negative.

By observing stock average abnormal returns and cumulative average abnormal returns. It is concluded that investors react differently around stock split announcement date (positively before event day & on event day negatively and positively after event day). It is also concluded that abnormal returns are not significant at 5% significance level during the window period (21 days).

The purpose of this analysis is to see how the market responds to the stock split announcement, with specific focus on detecting some substantial difference between the announcement of the AAR pre and post stock split. The analysis shows that AARs are not significant and are negative on the announcement day. On the day of the stock split announcement, this analysis showed that there was a negative abnormal return. There is no substantial change before and after the market split in the average abnormal return. The study conducted shows that there is a negative post-split market response in the case of the Indian stock market.

10. SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

• The present study takes into account the NSE listed companies only to find out the impact of stock split announcement on share prices. This study can be extended by adding BSE listed companies to the analysis.

- In order to see if the factors driving the stock split decision are the same or different in different industries, more study on stock split should be carried out wisely by the industry
- There are two dates for stock split, one is announcement date and one is the Ex-split date and the further researches can be done by taking the ex-split date as the announcement date to see if there is substantial difference in the returns.
- Future studies could also explore reverse splits which is yet to happen in India.
- There are no studies in India that address the differentiating factors splitting and non-splitting companies. Future studies can be performed to understand the distinctions between splitting and non-splitting factors of splitting of businesses.
- The further research could be a combined study on stock splits, bonuses and stock dividend.

REFERENCES

- 1. BS Bodla, S. G. (2018). Effect of Stock Split announcement on Shareholder's wealth: A case of Indian IT Industry. TSME Journal of Management. Vol.8, no.1(Jan-June, 2018), pp 10-20.
- 2. D.Bhuvaneshwari, D. K. (March 2014). Impact of Stock Split Announcement on Stock Prices. International Journal of Management (IJM) ISSN 0976-6502 (Print) ISSN 0976-6510 (Online) Volume 5, Issue 3, pp. 36-46.
- 3. Dr. Disha Pathak, P. K. (October 2020). The Impact of Stock Split Announcement On Stock Price Movement: Analysis from Indian Stock Market. International Research Journal of Modernization in Engineering Technology and Science e-ISSN: 2582-5208, Volume:02/Issue:10.
- 4. Dr. Parminder Bajaj, H. A. (January-February 2017). Effect of Stock Split on the Shareholder's Wealth and Company's Profitability. International Journal of Engineering and Management Research ISSN (ONLINE): 2250-0758, ISSN (PRINT): 2394-6962 Volume-7, Issue-1, pp. 20-27.
- 5. Gupta, N. M. (July December 2010). Impact of Dividend Announcement on Stock Prices. International Journal of Information Technology and Knowledge Management Volume 2, No. 2, pp. 405-410.
- 6. Marisetty Nagendra, P. M. (May 2018). Impact of Corporate (Stock Split) Action on Stock Price in India. International Research Journal of Business and Management IRJBM ISSN 2322-083X Volume No XI Issue 5.
- 7. Nadig, A. (March 2015). An Empirical Study of Stock Split Announcements of Select BSE Sectors using Event Study Methodology. SDMIMD Journal of Management, ISSN: 0976-0652, Vol 6 | Issue 1.
- 8. Suresha B, D. N. (January 2013). An empirical study on price pressure and liquidity effect of stock split announcement" Evidence from Indian market. International Journal of Marketing and Technology ISSN: 2249-1058 Volume 3, Issue 1.
- 9. Vashisht, A. (February 2017). Stock Splits in India. International Journal of Science Technology and Management ISSN (0) 2394-1537 ISSN (P) 2394-1529 Vol. No.6, Issue No. 2.

REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

Dear Readers

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce & Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue, as well as on the journal as a whole, on our e-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries, please feel free to contact us on our e-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward to an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-

Co-ordinator

DISCLAIMER

The information and opinions presented in the Journal reflect the views of the authors and not of the Journal or its Editorial Board or the Publishers/Editors. Publication does not constitute endorsement by the journal. Neither the Journal nor its publishers/Editors/Editorial Board nor anyone else involved in creating, producing or delivering the journal or the materials contained therein, assumes any liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information provided in the journal, nor shall they be liable for any direct, incidental, special, consequential or punitive damages arising out of the use of information/material contained in the journal. The journal, neither its publishers/Editors/ Editorial Board, nor any other party involved in the preparation of material contained in the journal represents or warrants that the information contained herein is in every respect accurate or complete, and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such material. Readers are encouraged to confirm the information contained herein with other sources. The responsibility of the contents and the opinions expressed in this journal are exclusively of the author (s) concerned.

ABOUT THE JOURNAL

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active cooperation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.







