

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE & MANAGEMENT

I
J
R
C
M



A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

Indexed & Listed at:

Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, ProQuest, U.S.A., EBSCO Publishing, U.S.A., Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A.

Open J-Gate, India [link of the same is duly available at Inlibnet of University Grants Commission (U.G.C.)].

Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland with IC Value of 5.09 & number of libraries all around the world.

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than 3130 Cities in 166 countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

Ground Floor, Building No. 1041-C-1, Devi Bhawan Bazar, JAGADHRI – 135 003, Yamunanagar, Haryana, INDIA

<http://ijrcm.org.in/>

CONTENTS

Sr. No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page No.
1.	A REVIEW OF RESEARCH ON FACTORS INFLUENCING IMPLEMENTATION SUCCESS OF ACTIVITY BASED COSTING <i>SHAFEQ HAMOUD M. AL-SAIDI & H. NANJE GOWDA</i>	1
2.	DATA MINING APPLICATIONS IN BANKING AND FINANCIAL SECTORS <i>M JAHNAVI & DR. J KATYAYANI</i>	6
3.	EVA: ARE FIRMS WITH HIGHER EVA TRANSLATING INTO MAXIMIZING RETURNS FOR SHAREHOLDERS - A STUDY ON SELECTED INDIAN IT COMPANIES <i>KANTESHA SANNINGAMMANAVARA, LAKSHMI P & RAMYA T J</i>	11
4.	A SURVEY ON DERIVATIVE AWARENESS AND USAGE BY MSMES IN LUCKNOW CHIKAN CLUSTER <i>RAM MOHAN MISHRA & DR. P. V. RAJEEV</i>	18
5.	QUALITY OF WORK LIFE AND SELF EVALUATION OF PERFORMANCE: PARAMETERS AT WORK PLACE <i>G.S.INDUMATHI & DR.R.THAMIL SELVAN</i>	22
6.	SALES PROMOTION PRACTICES IN APPAREL RETAIL SECTOR AND CHALLENGES AHEAD <i>RAM MOHAN</i>	25
7.	RELEVANCE OF INFORMATION SOURCE AND USE IN BUSINESS ORGANIZATION: A CASE STUDY OF SMALL SCALE TRADERS IN IBADAN SOUTH WESTERN-NIGERIA <i>ADEBAYO JOHN OLUWASEYE & SALAU IDRIS TUNDE</i>	29
8.	GLASS CEILING: HAS IT BEEN BROKEN OR STILL PERSISTS? <i>SHIVANI INDER & SWATI VASHISTHA</i>	36
9.	TO STUDY THE FACTOR AFFECTING THE PURCHASE DECISIONS OF CUSTOMERS TOWARDS THE PURCHASE OF CONSUMER GOODS: A STUDY CARRIED OUT AT BHIWANI DISTRICT <i>DR. SONIA & DR. GARIMA DALAL</i>	39
10.	PEOPLES PARTICIPATION IN GRAM SABHA AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT: A STUDY <i>C. U. DHAVALESHWAR</i>	44
11.	EMPIRICAL STUDY ON OUTBOUND LOGISTICS OF FERTILIZERS IN NFCL <i>PRASADA RAO BONDADA</i>	47
12.	AN EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS OF THE OPERATING PERFORMANCE OF CROSS-BORDER ACQUISITIONS BY INDIAN MULTINATIONALS <i>SAKSHI MITTAL</i>	51
13.	STUDYING THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ISSUING ACCEPTABLE AUDITING REPORT WITH A CORPORATE GOVERNANCE SYSTEM AND BOARD OF DIRECTORS' CHARACTERISTICS IN ELECTRICITY DISTRIBUTION COMPANIES IN IRAN <i>SEYYED SAMANEH SALEHI & MOHAMMAD MOHSEN NOURBAKHS</i>	57
14.	TRANSFER OF TRAINING AMONG THE PARTICIPANTS ATTENDING MULTIPLE SKILLS FOR ADMINISTRATIVE SUPPORT STAFF <i>MOHD AMIN AHMAD</i>	62
15.	GROWTH AND PATTERN OF PUBLIC EXPENDITURE IN HARYANA <i>DEEPAK VATS</i>	66
	REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK & DISCLAIMER	73

CHIEF PATRON

PROF. K. K. AGGARWAL

Chairman, Malaviya National Institute of Technology, Jaipur
(An institute of National Importance & fully funded by Ministry of Human Resource Development, Government of India)
Chancellor, K. R. Mangalam University, Gurgaon
Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Faridabad
Founder Vice-Chancellor (1998-2008), Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi
Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

FOUNDER PATRON

LATE SH. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Former State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana
Former Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri
Former President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

CO-ORDINATOR

DR. SAMBHAV GARG

Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Business & Management, Urjani

ADVISORS

DR. PRIYA RANJAN TRIVEDI

Chancellor, The Global Open University, Nagaland

PROF. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Director A. C. D., School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

PROF. M. N. SHARMA

Chairman, M.B.A., Haryana College of Technology & Management, Kaithal

PROF. S. L. MAHANDRU

Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

EDITOR

PROF. R. K. SHARMA

Professor, Bharti Vidyapeeth University Institute of Management & Research, New Delhi

CO-EDITOR

DR. BHAVET

Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Business & Management, Urjani

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

DR. RAJESH MODI

Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

PROF. SANJIV MITTAL

University School of Management Studies, Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

PROF. ANIL K. SAINI

Chairperson (CRC), GuruGobindSinghI. P. University, Delhi

DR. SAMBHAVNA

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

DR. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, P.J.L.N.GovernmentCollege, Faridabad

DR. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE

Asst. Professor, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

PROF. NAWAB ALI KHAN

Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

PROF. ABHAY BANSAL

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity University, Noida

PROF. V. SELVAM

SSL, VIT University, Vellore

PROF. N. SUNDARAM

VITUniversity, Vellore

DR. PARDEEP AHLAWAT

Associate Professor, Institute of Management Studies & Research, MaharshiDayanandUniversity, Rohtak

DR. S. TABASSUM SULTANA

Associate Professor, Department of Business Management, Matrusri Institute of P.G. Studies, Hyderabad

TECHNICAL ADVISOR

AMITA

Faculty, Government M. S., Mohali

FINANCIAL ADVISORS

DICKIN GOYAL

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

NEENA

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

LEGAL ADVISORS

JITENDER S. CHAHAL

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

SUPERINTENDENT

SURENDER KUMAR POONIA

CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to recent developments & practices in the areas of Computer Science & Applications; Commerce; Business; Finance; Marketing; Human Resource Management; General Management; Banking; Economics; Tourism Administration & Management; Education; Law; Library & Information Science; Defence & Strategic Studies; Electronic Science; Corporate Governance; Industrial Relations; and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Rural Economics; Co-operation; Demography; Development Planning; Development Studies; Applied Economics; Development Economics; Business Economics; Monetary Policy; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Political Science; Continuing Education; Labour Welfare; Philosophy; Psychology; Sociology; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law; Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labour Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; International Relations; Human Rights & Duties; Public Administration; Population Studies; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism & Hospitality; Transportation Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic; Web Design and emerging paradigms in allied subjects.

Anybody can submit the **soft copy** of unpublished novel; original; empirical and high quality **research work/manuscript** **anytime** in **M.S. Word format** after preparing the same as per our **GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION**; at our email address i.e. infoijrcm@gmail.com or online by clicking the link **online submission** as given on our website ([FOR ONLINE SUBMISSION, CLICK HERE](#)).

GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT

1. **COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:**

DATED: _____

THE EDITOR
IJRCM

Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF

(e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)

DEAR SIR/MADAM

Please find my submission of manuscript entitled '_____ ' for possible publication in your journals.

I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore, it has neither been published elsewhere in any language fully or partly, nor is it under review for publication elsewhere.

I affirm that all the author (s) have seen and agreed to the submitted version of the manuscript and their inclusion of name (s) as co-author (s).

Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the formalities as given on the website of the journal & you are free to publish our contribution in any of your journals.

NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Designation:
Affiliation with full address, contact numbers & Pin Code:
Residential address with Pin Code:
Mobile Number (s):
Landline Number (s):
E-mail Address:
Alternate E-mail Address:

NOTES:

- a) The whole manuscript is required to be in **ONE MS WORD FILE** only (pdf. version is liable to be rejected without any consideration), which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript.
- b) The sender is required to mention the following in the **SUBJECT COLUMN** of the mail:
New Manuscript for Review in the area of (Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)
- c) There is no need to give any text in the body of mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any specific message w.r.t. to the manuscript.
- d) The total size of the file containing the manuscript is required to be below **500 KB**.
- e) Abstract alone will not be considered for review, and the author is required to submit the complete manuscript in the first instance.
- f) The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of manuscript, within two days of submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending separate mail to the journal.

2. **MANUSCRIPT TITLE:** The title of the paper should be in a 12 point Calibri Font. It should be bold typed, centered and fully capitalised.

3. **AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS:** The author (s) **full name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline numbers, and email/alternate email address** should be in italic & 11-point Calibri Font. It must be centered underneath the title.

4. **ABSTRACT:** Abstract should be in fully italicized text, not exceeding 250 words. The abstract must be informative and explain the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a single para. Abbreviations must be mentioned in full.

5. **KEYWORDS:** Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of five. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stops at the end.
6. **MANUSCRIPT:** Manuscript must be in **BRITISH ENGLISH** prepared on a standard A4 size **PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER**. It must be prepared on a single space and single column with 1" margin set for top, bottom, left and right. It should be typed in 8 point Calibri Font with page numbers at the bottom and centre of every page. It should be free from grammatical, spelling and punctuation errors and must be thoroughly edited.
7. **HEADINGS:** All the headings should be in a 10 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
8. **SUB-HEADINGS:** All the sub-headings should be in a 8 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
9. **MAIN TEXT:** The main text should follow the following sequence:

INTRODUCTION**REVIEW OF LITERATURE****NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY****STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM****OBJECTIVES****HYPOTHESES****RESEARCH METHODOLOGY****RESULTS & DISCUSSION****FINDINGS****RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS****CONCLUSIONS****SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH****ACKNOWLEDGMENTS****REFERENCES****APPENDIX/ANNEXURE**

It should be in a 8 point Calibri Font, single spaced and justified. The manuscript should preferably not exceed **5000 WORDS**.

10. **FIGURES & TABLES:** These should be simple, crystal clear, centered, separately numbered & self explained, and **titles must be above the table/figure. Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure.** It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text.
11. **EQUATIONS:** These should be consecutively numbered in parentheses, horizontally centered with equation number placed at the right.
12. **REFERENCES:** The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript and they are supposed to follow **Harvard Style of Referencing**. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
 - All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
 - Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
 - When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc, in chronologically ascending order.
 - Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
 - The title of books and journals should be in italics. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
 - For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parentheses.
 - The location of endnotes within the text should be indicated by superscript numbers.

PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:**BOOKS**

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

- Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

- Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

CONFERENCE PAPERS

- Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19-22 June.

UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS AND THESES

- Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

ONLINE RESOURCES

- Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

WEBSITES

- Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Natural Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 <http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp>

EVA: ARE FIRMS WITH HIGHER EVA TRANSLATING INTO MAXIMIZING RETURNS FOR SHAREHOLDERS - A STUDY ON SELECTED INDIAN IT COMPANIES

KANTESHA SANNINGAMMANAVARA

ASST. PROFESSOR

**DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT STUDIES & RESEARCH CENTRE
VIDYAVARDHAKA COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
MYSORE**

LAKSHMI P

ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR

**DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT STUDIES & RESEARCH CENTRE
VIDYAVARDHAKA COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
MYSORE**

RAMYA T J

ASST. PROFESSOR

**DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT STUDIES & RESEARCH CENTRE
VIDYAVARDHAKA COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING
MYSORE**

ABSTRACT

Today's business is about creating value for shareholders while maximizing the wealth. As more and more retail investors are investing in equity market expecting good returns on their investments, it becomes necessary for the organizations to perform effectively in diverge circumstances and enhance the quality of the expected return for the Investor. Even though there are various measures of shareholders value, such as EPS and new measures like Strategic Profit Models, PE ratio, Economic Value Added. This study which is in descriptive nature is aimed at effectiveness of EVA as a true measure of Shareholders value. For the purpose of this study, we have selected 3 listed companies from IT Sectors, which are capital oriented and needs huge influx of funds over a period of time in ever changing technology. The study is based on the publicly available data, viz. annual reports, balance sheets and stock market. Further the study also tried to assess the correlation between shareholders value with the stock market price, to check whether the higher EVA translates to the maximization of share holders value over a long period of time by testing the hypothesis. The study revealed that there is no strong pattern of EVA of selected IT companies during the period. The wealth created by most companies in year 2008 and 2012 has decreased because of higher cost of capital than that of other years

KEYWORDS

Correlation, EVA, IT Sector, Returns.

JEL CODE

G10, G11, G13.

INTRODUCTION

A company can best maximize wealth by leveraging its most distinctive and proprietary assets - the talent, ingenuity, and energy of its people". That's what EVA does, and that's what makes it so powerful. *Joel M. Stern, CEO, Stern Stewart & Co., 1995*

The important goal of financial management is to create highest capital employees (owners & lenders) wealth and consequently enhancing the value of the firm. The question arises is, which measure valuates firms value properly. In answer to this question, it can be said, various accounting based measures like Earning Per Share (EPS), Return on Equity (ROI); Return on Capital Employed (ROCE) and growth in sales have been used to evaluated the performance of the business. But the problem with these performance measures is that they lack a proper benchmark for comparison. The shareholders require at least a minimum rate of return that the above mentioned performance measures ignore.

In order to overcome the limitations of accounting based measures of financial performance, Joel Stern, managing partner of M/s Stern Stewart & Co. introduced a modified concept of economic profit in 1990 in the name of Economic Value Added (EVA) as measure of business performance.

Market prices of the shares reflect the performance of the company. Today, wealth created by the company is more important than the profit it earns or the dividend it pays to the shareholders. Creating value for shareholder's value is the core of every business. This is natural since shareholders own the company and as rational investors expect good long-term yield on their investment. Commonly used accounting measures are Earnings per Share, Return on Investment, Net Profit Ratio etc.

Apart from this, value based metrics of performance evaluation like EVA (Economic Value Added), MVA (Market Value Added), CVA (Cash Value Added) and Cash Flow Return on Investment have come into existence. These all the performance metrics which seek to measure the periodic performance in terms of change in value.

Economic Value Added is a comprehensive measure of operating performance. It measures the change in financial worth of an enterprise from one year to the next. It is a more comprehensive financial measurement tool than net income (revenues minus expenses) alone, because it includes the cost of the capital used to generate that income.

In simple words EVA is —The monetary value of an entity at the end of a time period minus the monetary value of that same entity at the beginning of that time period.

What separates EVA from other performance metrics such as EPS, EBITDA, and ROIC is that it measures all of the costs of running a business—operating and financing.

Economic value added is the performance metric that calculates the value creation of the shareholders investment. Economic value added is the method to determine whether the firm is earning the higher rate of return on the entire funds at which the cost of funds are measured in terms of weighted average cost of capital.

RATIONALE FOR USING EVA

What an accountant calls profits in an income statement includes a charge for the debt capital employed which is commonly referred to as interest expense. However, an income statement does not include a charge for the equity capital that was employed during the accounting period. Therefore, EVA goes beyond conventional accounting standards by including a provision for the cost of equity capital. The cost of equity needs to be factored into business investment decisions in order to enhance shareholder value.

Although EVA is measured in financial analysis, its primary purpose is to shape management behavior. EVA can be used as a performance measure to evaluate an overall company, a division within a company, a location within a division, or an individual manager. By setting goals, EVA can become a motivational tool at various levels of management. EVA can also be used in downsizing decisions.

Perhaps the real key to appreciating EVA lies in its simplicity. Often time's non-financial managers are hard pressed to understand financial tools; EVA can help to facilitate communication thereby enhancing coordination within a company. Managers need to train to recognize the opportunity to strive for an increase in economic value added. Once properly trained, managers can then pinpoint key financial focal concerns germane to decisions

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

For investing in shares, it is crucial for the investors to be sure of the reasonable share price in the determined date and predicting the future changes. In this regard, literatures have produced some variables like return on assets (ROA), book value per share (BVPS), cash flow per share, firm's size, payout ratio, price-earnings (P/E) ratio, earning per share (EPS), dividend per share (DPS), return of equity (ROE) etc. EVA is one of these variables affecting market price of shares that correlates better with stock price than any other measures: by 50% compared with upto 30% for other metrics (Stern and Shiely, 2004.)

Pal Singh and Garg (2004) have compared some selected financial variables like ROCE, EPS, ARNW, MVA, Kp, Lp and NPV with EVA. They observed in almost all cases, the positive relationship has been established between the variables under reference. The different correlation matrix tables have approved that EVA is also giving the results in the same direction for the rationale underlying. During the multiple regression analysis in their study, it became apparent that EVA was the single largest and most consistent variable, which has a decisive role in predicting the MVA. Their study concludes that the relationship between EVA and MVA is statistically significant

Sparling and Turvey (2003) revisit the relationship between EVA and shareholder return and reexamine the evidence and issues surrounding the use of EVA as a tool for valuing investments. Using the Stern Stewart Fortune 1000 data, they examine two potential relationships for 33 food companies listed in the database. The correlations found were extremely weak in all instances tested

Loi and Liow (2002) examine the implications of economic value added (EVA) on real estate corporate strategies. The economic profit of 19 Singapore property companies is computed. Overall, according to the results, they suggest that most property companies failed to generate enough periodic income to cover their cost of capital. Hence, the companies appear to be destroying rather than creating corporate wealth. They also highlight some reasons why economic value added (EVA) tends to understate the true economic performance of real estate, both as an investment and as a business unit.

Bardia (2002) wrangled that the concept of EVA is better than the concept of accounting profit as a tool a value creation because it considers the overall cost of capital. In this paper an attempt has been made to analyze the financial performance of Infosys Technologies Ltd. On the basis of traditional parameters like ROCE, ROE, EPS, etc. and the new performance measure EVA.

Ray (2001) analyzes the efficiency of Economic Value Added, the relatively new financial-management tool. This analysis offers a new definition of value, and suggests that the missing link in the EVA process is productivity, generally found to be the engine of all economic growth

Thibadoux, Scheidt, and Jeffords (1999) believe Using economic value added (EVA) as an evaluation tool ensures that when managers make strategic decisions they will do so in their own best interests as well as those of shareholders since positive changes in EVA will be impounded in stock prices. They have recognized the value of using EVA in over 300 major corporations worldwide. They state these techniques can be adopted by any oil and gas company involved in any phase of industry activity, including exploration, supply, processing and distribution.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

There are various views with respect that whether traditional measures or value based measures influences the share prices. Considering this, it is interesting to examine which of the performance measure has the more influence on the share prices. Question is to be addressed whether there is the relationship between EVA & ROCE with respect to the share price.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

1. To measure EVA & ROCE for the selected companies.
2. To calculate the relationship between EVA with respect to the share prices movement of the selected companies.
3. To calculate the relationship between ROCE with respect to the share prices movement of the selected companies.
4. To analyze weather the company is creating wealth to shareholders

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

TYPE OF RESEARCH

This study is a descriptive type of research

SAMPLING DESIGN

The Sampling method used here is Non-Probability Sampling. Companies listed in the stock exchange are considered for the sample because market prices and other information are available. Since BSE SENSEX is a good proxy for the whole market, so companies will be selected from the BSE Index.

Since the large number of companies available in stock market and the calculations would be at larger extent so the sample of 3 companies have been selected of only IT sector. Such a selection is undertaken as these units represented the population in a better way. The companies listed in the stock exchange, and since the financial information is easily available and the market prices are obtained easily

SAMPLE SIZE

Sample includes three IT companies in the stock market (for which relevant data is available), for a period of 5 years starting from FY 2008-09 to FY 2012-13

1. Wipro
2. Infosys.
3. TCS

SOURCES OF DATA

DATA SOURCES

Historical share prices of the sample companies and the index points for the period has been taken from the database of the Capital Market Publishers (India) Ltd, Capital line. Financial statements of the sample companies have also been taken from the same sources.

Secondary Data: This type of data is generally taken from newspapers, magazines, bulletins, reports, journals

- Historical share prices of the sample companies.
- Index values of the BSE.
- Financial Information of the Sample companies.

HYPOTHESIS TESTING

Hypothesis 1

H0: There is **no** significant relationship between EVA and Share prices.

H1: There is significant relationship between EVA and Share prices.

Hypothesis 2

H20: There is **no** significant relationship between ROCE and Share prices.

H21: There is significant relationship between ROCE and Share prices.

TESTING OF HYPOTHESIS

- The hypothesis is tested using multiple regression and T statistic. Regression is the process of predicting one variable from another by statistical means using previous data.
- T statistic is used to test the significance of the regression as a whole. If T calculated is smaller than T tabulated, then the null hypothesis is accepted.
- The hypothesis is tested using multiple regression tool and T statistic. Multiple regressions are a statistical process by which several independent variables to predict one dependant variable.

LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

1. The study is limited to three IT companies that are included in the BSE.
2. The research was carried out for only 5 years

METHOD ADOPTED TO CALCULATE EVA

$EVA = NOPAT - (WACC \times TC)$

Where-

1. NOPAT is Net Operating Profit After Taxes
2. WACC is Weighted Average Cost Of Capital (Equity & Debt) Calculated as follows:

$WACC = K_d (1-t) \times WD + K_e \times WE + K_p \times WP$

W1 is weight of Debt

W2 is weight Of Equity

W3 is weight of Preference

1. **Cost of Debt (Kd):** is the effective cost of Debt, which is calculated by dividing the total interest by the total debt.
2. **Cost of Equity (ke):** is calculated using the Capital Asset Pricing Model developed by Modigliani and Miller.
 $K_e = R_f + \beta (R_m - R_f)$
3. **Cost of Preference (Kp):** is the effective cost of preference, which is calculated by dividing the total Dividend Preference by the total preference capital.
4. **Risk free rate of return (Rf):** is the risk free rate, i.e., the rate of interest for 1-year government securities. These rates are obtained from the website of Reserve Bank of India.
5. **Market Return (Rm):** is the return for the market. It is calculated by using the formula given below for the index values. $R_m = \text{Average of return on market for all the 4 years.}$

$\text{Return} = \text{Closing index value} - \text{opening index value} / \text{Opening index value} \times 100$

6. **Beta values:** for all the sample companies for all the 4 years are calculated by finding the slope between log normal of share prices of all the companies and log normal of the index values. Log normal of the values is considered to remove abnormalities if any and convert them into normal distribution.
7. **MVA (Market Value Added):** A calculation that shows the difference between the market value of a company and the capital contributed by investors (both bondholders and shareholders). In other words, it is the sum of all capital claims held against the company plus the market value of debt and equity. Calculated as: $MVA = \text{Companies Market Value} - \text{Invested Capital}$
8. **Return On the Capital Employed (ROCE) -** A ratio that calculates the efficiency and the profitability of the company Capital Investment. Calculated as: $ROCE = EBIT / \text{Total Assets} - \text{Current Liabilities}$

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

TABLE – 01: EVA & MARKET PRICES OF A SAMPLE COMPANIES 2008-09 TO 2012-13

Company		2008-09	2009-10	2010-11	2011-12	2012-13
Wipro	Share Price	364.74	313.24	141.62	418.62	492.00
	EVA	6837.59	9677.33	3370.93	3086.68	3294.38
	ROC (%)	87.14	67.40	25.84	23.09	22.93
Infosys	Share price	2242.00	1758.00	1125.00	2606.00	3449.00
	EVA	3353.91	4536.29	4039.53	2472.87	-3783.99
	ROC (%)	37.42	38.65	40.25	34.10	35.74
TCS	Share Prices	625.00	532.55	242.00	750.00	1165.00
	EVA	3805.67	3611.02	4352.47	6641.99	8596.65
	ROC (%)	51.35	43.91	42.44	45.04	44.77

Source: Share price & ROCE from moneycontrol.com, EVA calculated (annexure)

TABLE 02: HYPOTHESIS TESTING – WIPRO -TESTING OF SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN EVA & SHARE PRICES

MODEL SUMMARY				
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.112 ^a	.013	-.316	3352.97661
a. Predictors: (Constant), EVA				

ANOVA ^b					
Model	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1 Regression	432222.131	1	432222.131	.038	.857 ^a
Residual	33727356.513	3	11242452.171		
Total	34159578.644	4			
a. Predictors: (Constant), EVA					
b. Dependent Variable: share price					

COEFFICIENTS ^a						
Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant EVA)	6114.38	4640.8		1.318	0.279
	share price	-2.489	12.692	-0.112	-0.196	0.857

a. Dependent Variable: share price

TESTING OF SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ROCE & SHARE PRICES

MODEL SUMMARY				
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.053 ^a	.003	-.330	34.64702

a. Predictors: (Constant), ROCE

ANOVA ^b						
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	10.139	1	10.139	0.008	0.933 ^a
	Residual	3601.248	3	1200.416		
	Total	3611.386	4			

a. Predictors: (Constant), ROCE
b. Dependent Variable: share price

COEFFICIENTS ^a						
Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(constant roce)	49.451	47.954		1.031	.378
	Share price	-.012	.131	-0.053	-0.092	.933

a. Dependent Variable: share price

Interpretation

1. Since the R is showing the value of 0.112^a this indicates that there is low co-relation between EVA with respect to the share prices.
 2. Since the Value of R square is 1.3% approximately for EVA, it shows that Share Price is affected by 1.3 per cent by EVA and remaining 98.7 per cent by some other factor.
 3. Since the Value of R square is 0.03 per cent approximately for ROCE, it shows that Share Price is affected by 0.03 per cent by ROCE and remaining 99.97 per cent by some other factor.
 4. Since value of share price, EVA & ROCE are greater than 5% level of significance; therefore it shows that there is no significant relationship between EVA & Share Price, MVA & Share Price and ROCE & Share Price.
- Therefore, Null Hypothesis is accepted and Alternative Hypothesis is rejected.

TABLE 03: HYPOTHESIS TESTING – INFOSYS TECHNOLOGIES LTD -TESTING OF SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN EVA & SHARE PRICES

MODEL SUMMARY				
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	0.866 ^a	0.750	0.667	1958.65896

a. Predictors: (Constant), EVA

ANOVA ^b						
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	34617792.850	1	34617792.850	9.024	0.057 ^a
	Residual	11509034.754	3	3836344.918		
	Total	46126827.604	4			

a. Predictors: (Constant), EVA
b. Dependent Variable: share price

COEFFICIENTS ^a						
Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(constant eva)	9638.850	2648.160		3.640	0.036
	share price	-3.357	1.118	-0.866	-3.004	0.057

a. Dependent Variable: share price

TESTING OF SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ROCE & SHARE PRICES

MODEL SUMMARY				
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	0.829 ^a	0.688	0.584	1.55375
a. Predictors: (Constant), ROCE				

ANOVA ^b						
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	15.947	1	15.947	6.606	0.082 ^a
	Residual	7.242	3	2.414		
	Total	23.190	4			
a. Predictors: (Constant), ROCE						
b. Dependent Variable: share price						

COEFFICIENTS ^a						
Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	T	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(constant roce)	42.327	2.101		20.149	0.000
	share price	-0.002	0.001	-0.829	-2.570	0.082
a. Dependent Variable: share price						

Interpretation

1. Since the R is more than 50 per cent for EVA, it shows that there is highly positively co –relation between the EVA with respect to the share prices.
 2. Since the Value of R square is 75% approximately for EVA, it shows that Share Price is affected by 75 per cent and remaining 25 per cent by some other factor.
 3. Since the Value of R square is 69 per cent approximately for ROCE, it shows that Share Price is affected by 69 per cent by EVA and remaining 31 per cent by some other factor.
 4. Since value of share price, EVA & ROCE are greater than 5% level of significance; therefore it shows that there is no significant relationship between EVA & Share Price, MVA & Share Price and ROCE & Share Price.
- Therefore, Null Hypothesis is accepted and Alternative Hypothesis is rejected

TABLE 04: HYPOTHESIS TESTING – TCS LTD -TESTING OF SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN EVA & SHARE PRICES

MODEL SUMMARY				
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	0.842 ^a	0.708	0.611	1345.16507
a. Predictors: (Constant), EVA				

ANOVA ^b						
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	13172347.709	1	13172347.709	7.280	00.074 ^a
	Residual	5428407.195	3	1809469.065		
	Total	18600754.905	4			
a. Predictors: (Constant), EVA						
b. Dependent Variable: share price						

COEFFICIENTS ^a						
Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(constant eva)	1833.363	1452.886		1.262	0.296
	share price	5.381	1.994	0.842	2.698	0.074
a. Dependent Variable: share price						

TESTING OF SIGNIFICANT RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ROCE & SHARE PRICES

MODEL SUMMARY				
Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	0.187 ^a	0.035	-0.287	3.88218
a. Predictors: (Constant), ROCE				

ANOVA ^b						
Model		Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	1.645	1	1.645	0.109	0.763 ^a
	Residual	45.214	3	15.071		
	Total	46.859	4			
a. Predictors: (Constant), ROCE						
b. Dependent Variable: share price						

COEFFICIENTS ^a						
Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(constant)	44.241	4.193		10.551	0.002
	share price	0.002	0.006	0.187	0.330	0.763
a. Dependent Variable: share price						

Interpretation

1. Since the R less than 50 per cent for EVA, it shows that there is highly low positive co-relation between the EVA with respect to the share prices.
2. Since the Value of R square is 71 per cent approximately for EVA, it shows that Share Price is affected by 71 per cent and remaining 29 per cent by some other factor.
3. Since the Value of R square is 3.5 per cent approximately for ROCE, it shows that Share Price is affected by 3.5 per cent by ROCE and remaining 96.5 per cent by some other factor.
4. Since value of share price, EVA & ROCE are greater than 5% level of significance; therefore it shows that there is no significant relationship between EVA & Share Price and ROCE & Share Price.

Therefore, Null Hypothesis is accepted and Alternative Hypothesis is rejected

RECOMMENDATIONS & SUGGESTIONS

1. As from the above analysis it is clear that EVA is performance measurement metric as it is number of factors like Capital & Debt employed, have impact on the DPS & EPS of the company. It can be understood that investor not to give importance to EVA for making investment decision.
2. It is understood that the EVA has no impact on the share price, from the investors point of view investment should not be on the basis of EVA.
3. EVA primarily reveals the Debt & Capital employed and shows the risk employed which is measured in terms of WACC at which WACC is positive then the investment decisions should be made.
4. It is also recommended that EVA is better reflecting in performance of the firm so EVA should be employed to measure the performance of the company.

CONCLUSION

The above discussion explains the importance of using EVA as a tool for measuring financial performance. The study reveals that there is no strong pattern of EVA of selected companies during the period. The wealth created by most companies in year 2008-09 and 2012-13 is decreasing because of higher cost of capital than that of other years.

The central idea of EVA is subtracting the cost of capital from the firm's profits to measure, as the term indicates, the economic additional value produced by the firm to its owners over the weighted cost of the capital employed. This raised the question of the effect of the debt and equity cost components on the behavior of EVA. It was observed that the cost of debt has little effect on the EVA's. On the other hand, as is expected, EVA behaves in a linear fashion with respect to the cost of equity. It was also observed that even EVA is much more unstable than the other performance measure.

It is also observed that there is no strong relation between EVA and market prices of the companies. Thus, it can be understood that investor do not give so importance to EVA for its investment decision. Extensive study is required to establish the influence of other factors like non-fund based income, spread, deployment of funds, market price, etc. It is also expected that the usage of EVA as a financial performance tool will also be more in India.

REFERENCES

1. Abate, J. A., J. L. Grant and B. G. Stewart (2004), 'The EVA Style of Investing', *Journal of Portfolio Management*, 30(4), pp. 61-72.
2. Athanassakos, G. (2007), "Value-based management, EVA and stock price performance in Canada", *Management Decision*, Vol. 45 No. 9, pp. 1397-1411.
3. Bacidore, J. M., J. A. Boquist, T. T. Milbourn and A. V. Thakor (1997), 'The Search for the Best Financial Performance Measure', *Financial Analysts Journal*, 52(3), pp. 11-20.
4. Chen, C. J. P., S. Chen and X. Su (2001), 'Is Accounting Information Value-Relevant in the Emerging Chinese Stock Market?', *Journal of International Accounting Auditing & Taxation*, 10(1), pp. 1-22.
5. Fiordelisi, F. (2007), "Shareholder value efficiency in European banking", *Journal of Banking & Finance* 31 (2007) pp. 2151-2171.
6. Khatik S. K., and Singh Pradeep Kumar, (2010), "Economic Value Added in Indian Perspective", *Journal of Accounting and Finance*, Vol. 24, No. 2, pp. 76-84.
7. Kumar, A. & Pal, K. (2008). Awareness of Economic Value added among Indian corporate managers: evidences from a primary survey. *The ICAI Journal of Applied Finance*, 14(8), 53-65.
8. Lee, S. and Kim, W.G. (2009) 'EVA, refined EVA, MVA or traditional performance measures in hospitality industry', *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, Volume 28, Issue No. 3, pp. 439-445.
9. Lindholm, A.L. and Levainen, K.I (2006), "A Framework for Identifying and Measuring Value Added by Corporate Real Estate", *Journal of Corporate Real Estate*, Vol. 8 No. 1 pp. 38-46.
10. Patel, R. and Patel, M. (2012) 'Impact of EVA on share price', *International Journal of Contemporary Business Studies*, Volume 3, Issue No. 1, January, pp. 24-34.

11. Sakthivel N., "Shareholders' Value in Indian Pharmaceutical Industry: An Empirical Analysis", *Indian Journal of Commerce & Management Studies*, Vol. II, No. 1 January, pp 87-99, 2011.
12. Sharma A.K., and Satish Kumar, "Effectiveness of Economic Value Added and Conventional Performance Measures in Selected Indian Companies – An Empirical Investigation", *NIFM Journal of Public Financial Management*, Vol. II, No. I, pp. 43-62, 2010.
13. Vijaykumar, A.(2012) 'EVA and other accounting performance indicator: an empirical analysis of Indian automobile industry', *International Journal of Management and Technology*, Volume 2, Issue No. 3, pp.131-153.



REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

Dear Readers

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce & Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue as well as on the journal as a whole, on our E-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries please feel free to contact us on our E-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-
Co-ordinator

DISCLAIMER

The information and opinions presented in the Journal reflect the views of the authors and not of the Journal or its Editorial Board or the Publishers/Editors. Publication does not constitute endorsement by the journal. Neither the Journal nor its publishers/Editors/Editorial Board nor anyone else involved in creating, producing or delivering the journal or the materials contained therein, assumes any liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information provided in the journal, nor shall they be liable for any direct, indirect, incidental, special, consequential or punitive damages arising out of the use of information/material contained in the journal. The journal, nor its publishers/Editors/Editorial Board, nor any other party involved in the preparation of material contained in the journal represents or warrants that the information contained herein is in every respect accurate or complete, and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such material. Readers are encouraged to confirm the information contained herein with other sources. The responsibility of the contents and the opinions expressed in this journal is exclusively of the author (s) concerned.

ABOUT THE JOURNAL

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active co-operation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.

Our Other Journals

