# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE & MANAGEMENT



Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory @, ProQuest, U.S.A., EBSCO Publishing, U.S.A., Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A., Google Scholar,

en J-Gage, India [link of the same is duly available at Inflibnet of University Grants Commission (U.G.C

Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland with IC Value of 5.09 & number of libraries all around the world.

Circulated all over the world. Google has verified that scholars of more than 4767 Cities in 180 countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis. Ground Floor, Building No. 1041-C-1, Devi Bhawan Bazar, JAGADHRI – 135 003, Yamunanagar, Haryana, INDIA

http://ijrcm.org.in/

# **CONTENTS**

Sr.	TITLE $e_{-}$ NAME OF THE AUTHOD (S)	Page
No.	$\mathbf{HILE} \otimes \mathbf{NAME} \text{ OF IHE AUTHOR (5)}$	No.
1.	MAPPING PROFITABILITY OF PUBLIC SECTOR ENTERPRISES WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO BHEL	1
2	INFLUENCE OF JOB SATISFACTION ON LIFE SATISFACTION AMONG IT PROFESSIONALS: A STUDY	9
۷.	CONDUCTED IN FOUR CAPITAL CITIES OF SOUTH INDIA	5
	PETER VARGHESE & DR. SUSAN CHIRAYATH	
3.	HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT IN RETAIL INDUSTRY WITH REFERENCE TO TRAINING IN RETAIL IN	15
	PMKVY AND UP GOVERNMENT SCHEME	
	VAISHALI CHOURASIA	
4.	WORKING CAPITAL EFFICIENCY: A CASE STUDY OF ASSOCIATED CEMENT COMPANY LIMITED INDIA	21
F	DR. V. MUHANRAJ	24
5.	RASHMIS R & DR A M KADAKOI	24
6	GROWTH AND OPPORTUNITIES OF JUTE DIVERSIFIED PRODUCTS EXPORTS IN INDIA	26
0.	DR. K. THIRIPURASUNDARI & P. RATHI	20
7.	BABIES ARE OUR BUSINESS: PESTER POWER-THE CHOICE OF TODAY'S MARKETER	31
	ALPANA AGRAWAL & DR. S. K. GUPTA	
8.	IMPACTS OF ORGANISED RETAILING ON UNORGANISED RETAILERS: A STUDY WITH REFERENCE TO	36
	TAMILNADU	
	N. VIJAYALAKSHMI & DR. K. SALEEM KHAN	
9.	SAVING AND INVESTMENT BEHAVIOUR OF INDIA AND CHINA: A COMPARATIVE STUDY	40
10	REKTA RANI, BALA DEVI & RAJEST GATLAVVAT	40
10.	PALLARI GOGOL	43
11	AN EVALUATIVE STUDY ON VARIOUS SERVICES OF GUWAHATI MUNICIPAL CORPORATION (GMC)	45
	FROM ETHICAL POINT OF VIEW	
	DR. ARABINDA DEBNATH & BANAJEETA SHARMA	
<b>12</b> .	ANALYSIS OF PERSONAL FACTORS INFLUENCING INVESTMENTS IN CAPITAL MARKET	52
	K. CHITRADEVI	
13.	THE IMPACT OF ECONOMIC TRANSFORMATION PROGRAM (ETP) TOWARDS YOUTH IN MALAYSIA:	54
	GENERIC SKILLS MOHAMMAD NOOPIZZI IDDIN NOOH DR SYADIYAH ARDI II SHI KOR DR KHAIRII EAIZAI KHAIRI & DR	
	MICHAMINIAD NOORIZZODDIN NOOH, DR. STADITAH ABDOL SHOKOR, DR. KHAIKIL FAIZAL KHAIKI & DR. NI IRADI I RIDZWAN SHAH MOHD DAI I	
14	THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PORTFOLIO PERFORMANCE AND ASSET ALLOCATION POLICY	57
	MUKESH JINDAL	57
15.	ANALYSIS OF DEMOCRATIC MANAGEMENT BY COOPERATIVE SOCIETIES CASE OF COOPERATIVE	62
	UNIONS IN EAST WOLLEGA ZONE, OROMIA, ETHIOPIA	
	ASFAW TEMESGEN BARI	
<b>16</b> .	A STUDY ON EMPLOYEE WELFARE MEASURES AT VST TILLERS TRACTORS LIMITED, BANGALORE	68
47	PUSHPAL A STUDY FOR THE IOR SATISFACTION OF THE EMPLOYEES OF DINUAN ARMY CANTE (ARMY	75
17.	A STODY FOR THE JOB SATISFACTION OF THE EMPLOYEES OF DINJAN ARMY CANTE (ARMY	75
	DR. NARENDRA KUMAR	
18.	QUALITY OF BUSINESS ENVIRONMENT IN INDIA: A CROSS-COUNTRY INVESTIGATION INTO SOUTH	79
	ASIAN ECONOMIES	
	ASIF KHAN	
<b>19</b> .	CONSUMER ATTITUDE TOWARDS THE MARKETING-MIX OF THE GREEN PRODUCTS	86
	ARIF ANWAR & SUMAIRA JAN	
20.	E-CUIVINIERCE: TRANSACTION SECURITY ISSUE AND CHALLENGES	91
		0/
		94

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE & MANAGEMENT

## CHIEF PATRON

**PROF. K. K. AGGARWAL** 

Chairman, Malaviya National Institute of Technology, Jaipur (An institute of National Importance & fully funded by Ministry of Human Resource Development, Government of India) Chancellor, K. R. Mangalam University, Gurgaon Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Faridabad Founder Vice-Chancellor (1998-2008), Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

### FOUNDER PATRON

### LATE SH. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Former State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana Former Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri Former President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

### FORMER CO-ORDINATOR

DR. S. GARG Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Business & Management, Urjani

## ADVISORS

**PROF. M. S. SENAM RAJU** Director A. C. D., School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi **PROF. M. N. SHARMA** Chairman, M.B.A., Haryana College of Technology & Management, Kaithal **PROF. S. L. MAHANDRU** Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

### EDITOR

### **PROF. R. K. SHARMA**

Professor, Bharti Vidyapeeth University Institute of Management & Research, New Delhi

## <u>CO-EDITOR</u>

**DR. BHAVET** Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Engineering & Technology, Urjani

## EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

**DR. RAJESH MODI** Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia **PROF. SANJIV MITTAL** University School of Management Studies, Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi **PROF. ANIL K. SAINI** 

Chairperson (CRC), Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

### **DR. SAMBHAVNA**

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

DR. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, P. J. L. N. Government College, Faridabad

### **DR. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE**

Asst. Professor, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

**PROF. NAWAB ALI KHAN** Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

**PROF. ABHAY BANSAL** 

Head, Department of I.T., Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity University, Noida

**PROF. V. SELVAM** 

SSL, VIT University, Vellore

**PROF. N. SUNDARAM** 

VIT University, Vellore

**DR. PARDEEP AHLAWAT** 

Associate Professor, Institute of Management Studies & Research, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak DR. S. TABASSUM SULTANA

Associate Professor, Department of Business Management, Matrusri Institute of P.G. Studies, Hyderabad DR. JASVEEN KAUR

Asst. Professor, University Business School, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar

## FORMER TECHNICAL ADVISOR

**AMITA** Faculty, Government M. S., Mohali

### FINANCIAL ADVISORS

DICKIN GOYAL Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula NEENA Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

## LEGAL ADVISORS

JITENDER S. CHAHAL Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T. CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri



SURENDER KUMAR POONIA

### CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to recent developments & practices in the areas of Computer Science & Applications; Commerce; Business; Finance; Marketing; Human Resource Management; General Management; Banking; Economics; Tourism Administration & Management; Education; Law; Library & Information Science; Defence & Strategic Studies; Electronic Science; Corporate Governance; Industrial Relations; and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Rural Economics; Co-operation; Demography: Development Planning; Development Studies; Applied Economics; Development Economics; Business Economics; Monetary Policy; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Political Science; Continuing Education; Labour Welfare; Philosophy; Psychology; Sociology; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law; Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labour Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; International Relations; Human Rights & Duties; Public Administration; Population Studies; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism & Hospitality; Transportation Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic; Web Design and emerging paradigms in allied subjects.

Anybody can submit the **soft copy** of unpublished novel; original; empirical and high quality **research work/manuscript anytime** in <u>M.S. Word format</u> after preparing the same as per our **GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION**; at our email address i.e. <u>infoijrcm@gmail.com</u> or online by clicking the link **online submission** as given on our website (<u>FOR ONLINE SUBMISSION</u>, <u>CLICK HERE</u>).

### **GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT**

#### 1. COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

#### THE EDITOR

IJRCM

#### Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF

(e.g. Finance/Mkt./HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, please specify)

#### DEAR SIR/MADAM

Please find my submission of manuscript entitled '\_\_\_\_\_' for possible publication in one of your journals.

I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore, it has neither been published elsewhere in any language fully or partly, nor is it under review for publication elsewhere.

I affirm that all the co-authors of this manuscript have seen the submitted version of the manuscript and have agreed to their inclusion of names as co-authors.

Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I agree to comply with the formalities as given on the website of the journal. The Journal has discretion to publish our contribution in any of its journals.

NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR	:
Designation	:
Institution/College/University with full address & Pin Code	:
Residential address with Pin Code	:
Mobile Number (s) with country ISD code	:
Is WhatsApp or Viber active on your above noted Mobile Number (Yes/No)	:
Landline Number (s) with country ISD code	:
E-mail Address	:
Alternate E-mail Address	:
Nationality	:

#### NOTES:

- a) The whole manuscript has to be in **ONE MS WORD FILE** only, which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript. <u>*pdf.*</u> <u>version</u> is liable to be rejected without any consideration.
- b) The sender is required to mention the following in the SUBJECT COLUMN of the mail:

**New Manuscript for Review in the area of** (e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, please specify)

- c) There is no need to give any text in the body of mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any **specific message** w.r.t. to the manuscript.
- d) The total size of the file containing the manuscript is expected to be below 1000 KB.
- e) Abstract alone will not be considered for review and the author is required to submit the complete manuscript in the first instance.
- f) The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email within twenty four hours and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of manuscript, within two days of submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending a separate mail to the journal.
- g) The author (s) name or details should not appear anywhere on the body of the manuscript, except the covering letter and the cover page of the manuscript, in the manner as mentioned in the guidelines.
- 2. MANUSCRIPT TITLE: The title of the paper should be **bold typed**, **centered** and **fully capitalised**.
- 3. **AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS**: Author (s) **name**, **designation**, **affiliation** (s), **address**, **mobile/landline number** (s), and **email/alternate email address** should be given underneath the title.
- 4. **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**: Acknowledgements can be given to reviewers, guides, funding institutions, etc., if any.
- 5. **ABSTRACT**: Abstract should be in **fully italicized text**, ranging between **150** to **300 words**. The abstract must be informative and explain the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a **SINGLE PARA**. *Abbreviations must be mentioned in full*.
- 6. **KEYWORDS**: Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of **five**. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stop at the end. All words of the keywords, including the first one should be in small letters, except special words e.g. name of the Countries, abbreviations.
- 7. **JEL CODE**: Provide the appropriate Journal of Economic Literature Classification System code (s). JEL codes are available at www.aeaweb.org/econlit/jelCodes.php, however, mentioning JEL Code is not mandatory.
- 8. **MANUSCRIPT**: Manuscript must be in <u>BRITISH ENGLISH</u> prepared on a standard A4 size <u>PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER</u>. It should be free from any errors i.e. grammatical, spelling or punctuation. It must be thoroughly edited at your end.
- 9. **HEADINGS**: All the headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
- 10. **SUB-HEADINGS**: All the sub-headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
- 11. MAIN TEXT:

#### THE MAIN TEXT SHOULD FOLLOW THE FOLLOWING SEQUENCE:

INTRODUCTION REVIEW OF LITERATURE NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM OBJECTIVES HYPOTHESIS (ES) RESEARCH METHODOLOGY RESULTS & DISCUSSION FINDINGS RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS CONCLUSIONS LIMITATIONS SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH REFERENCES APPENDIX/ANNEXURE

The manuscript should preferably range from 2000 to 5000 WORDS.

- 12. **FIGURES & TABLES:** These should be simple, crystal **CLEAR**, **centered**, **separately numbered** & self explained, and **titles must be above the table/figure**. **Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure**. *It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text*.
- 13. **EQUATIONS/FORMULAE**: These should be consecutively numbered in parenthesis, horizontally centered with equation/formulae number placed at the right. The equation editor provided with standard versions of Microsoft Word should be utilised. If any other equation editor is utilised, author must confirm that these equations may be viewed and edited in versions of Microsoft Office that does not have the editor.
- 14. **ACRONYMS:** These should not be used in the abstract. The use of acronyms is elsewhere is acceptable. Acronyms should be defined on its first use in each section: Reserve Bank of India (RBI). Acronyms should be redefined on first use in subsequent sections.
- 15. **REFERENCES**: The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. *The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript* and they are supposed to follow Harvard Style of Referencing. Also check to make sure that everything that you are including in the reference section is duly cited in the paper. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
- All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
- Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
- When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc, in chronologically ascending order.
- Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
- The title of books and journals should be in italics. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
- For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parenthesis.
- *Headers, footers, endnotes and footnotes should not be used in the document.* However, you can mention short notes to elucidate some specific point, which may be placed in number orders after the references.

#### PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:

#### BOOKS

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

#### CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

• Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

#### JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

• Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

#### **CONFERENCE PAPERS**

• Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–23

#### UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS

• Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

#### **ONLINE RESOURCES**

Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

#### WEBSITES

Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp

#### THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PORTFOLIO PERFORMANCE AND ASSET ALLOCATION POLICY

#### MUKESH JINDAL ASSOCIATE FACULTY DEPARTMENT OF FINANCIAL STUDIES UNIVERSITY OF DELHI DELHI

#### **ABSTRACT**

Asset allocation is an important strategy for portfolio management. In its simplest terms, asset allocation refers to the process of adjusting the relative proportion of different asset classes in an investment portfolio. Asset allocation is based on the fact that both expected return and risk of each asset class are different. By combining asset classes in different proportions, it is possible to enhance the overall portfolio return and reduce risk. As per past research, it is believed that a portfolio return is dependent upon many important factors or strategies. The recognized strategies are a) Strategic Asset Allocation b) Sector/ Stock Selection, and c) Market Timing. The above strategies have also been recognized in the research papers of Hood, Brinson and Beebower (1986) and Singer, Beebower and Brinson (1991).

#### **KEYWORDS**

asset allocation, portfolio performance, mutual fund, portfolio optimization, investment strategies.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The literature on Asset Allocation has for long been an area of interest for both theoretical and empirical research. This consists of the finding of new research, using a growing arsenal of econometric techniques for identifying new strategies to improve portfolio performance.

Creating a suitable Asset Allocation is a dynamic process, and it is the most important factor in deciding portfolio's expected Return and Risk. Portfolio's Asset Allocation should be as per individual's goals at any point in time. Following are the different Asset Allocation Strategies in Portfolio Management

#### Strategic Asset Allocation

Strategic Asset Allocation is the process of finding the right mix between different asset classes based on respective asset class outlook and investors willingness to take risk. Once the Asset Allocation is decided and finalized, the strategy of buy and hold is followed. The Allocation mix is not changed during the holding period of portfolio. For example, if Debt has historically given 10% per annum and Equity has given 20% then the Asset Allocation mix of 50% in Equity and 50% in Debt will give an expected return 15% per annum.

#### Constant-Weighting Asset Allocation

Constant Weighting Asset Allocation is also referred to as Market Timing or Reallocation.

Rebalancing is the next step after Strategic Asset Allocation. In Strategic Asset Allocation, the asset mix is not changed or disturbed during the holding period of portfolio. Because of the buy and hold strategy, the risk of the portfolio changes with time. If Equity market goes up then Equity allocation in portfolio goes up which leads to higher overall risk and if Equity market goes down then Equity allocation of portfolio comes down and leads to reduction of portfolio. This may not be good for investor because of diversion of risk of the portfolio from the long term goal. To correct this and to control the unwanted Risk in Portoflio, Rebalancing or Constant Weighting Asset Allocation is done. The deviation of asset mix is corrected and Portfolio is brought to the original mix of Strategic Asset Allocation. The deviation is corrected by selling the asset which has gone up from the pre-defined mix and buying the Asset which has gone down in percentage terms.

There are no predefined rules for timing the portfolio rebalancing or constant-weighting asset allocation. Research shows that Rebalancing at higher frequency may not add value because of higher trading cost. The commonly used rebalancing frequency is either once a year or whenever the variance is more than 5% in portfolio.

Constant-Weighting Asset Allocation is also referred as Rebalancing.

#### Tactical Asset Allocation

A big disadvantage of Strategic Asset Allocation is that it is rigid and not ready to take advantage of short-term opportunities which become available in market. Extra Alpha can be created in portfolio if Asset Allocation mix is changed depending upon the outlook and valuation level of Asset Class. The flexibility in Tactical Asset Allocation makes it a very powerful strategy to add value in portfolio.

Tactical Asset Allocation is also referred as Reallocation.

Tactical asset allocation is usually considered to be a moderately active strategy. The strategy may or may not give higher return. It completely depends on the accuracy of timing of the advisor or investor. If the timing is good then it may lead to higher return. Tactical Asset Allocation is recommended only when someone has good knowledge of markets.

#### CONSTANT-WEIGHING ASSET ALLOCATION

Rebalancing is the next step after Strategic Asset Allocation. In Strategic Asset Allocation, the asset mix is not changed or disturbed during the holding period of portfolio. Because of the buy and hold strategy, the risk of the portfolio changes with time. If Equity market goes up then Equity allocation in portfolio goes up which leads to higher overall risk and if Equity market goes down then Equity allocation of portfolio comes down and leads to reduction of portfolio. This may not be good for investor because of diversion of risk of the portfolio from the long term goal. To correct this and to control the unwanted Risk in Portfolio, Rebalancing or Constant Weighting Asset Allocation is done. The deviation of asset mix is corrected and Portfolio is brought to the original mix of Strategic Asset Allocation. The deviation is corrected by selling the asset which has gone up from the pre-defined mix and buying the Asset which has gone down in percentage terms. There are no predefined rules for timing the portfolio rebalancing or constant-weighting asset allocation. Research shows that Rebalancing at higher frequency

may not add value because of higher trading cost. The commonly used rebalancing frequency is either once a year or whenever the variance is more than 5% in portfolio.

Constant Weighting Asset Allocation is also referred to as Market Timing or Reallocation.

Research by Vanguard has shown that risk-adjusted returns don't change much whether the portfolio rebalancing is done daily, monthly, annually or at any other frequency. Cost (taxes, time, and labor) is an important angle in Rebalancing. Higher the frequency, higher is the cost. A balance between rebalancing frequency and cost should be maintained.

#### **Costs of Rebalancing**

Following is the cost associated with Rebalancing:

- 1. Taxes (if applicable): Capital Gain tax will be applicable of there is gain on the units sold for rebalancing.
- 2. Transaction costs to execute and process the trades: There is transaction cost related to Rebalancing like Exit load, Commission, Impact cost, Bid and Ask spread etc.
- 3. Time and labor input costs: The cost of the man hours spent on computing and executing the rebalancing is included here. The costs may include management fees, if a professional manager is hired for rebalancing exercise.

#### TACTICAL ASSET ALLOCATION

Tactical Asset Allocation (TAA) or Reallocation or Market Timing is a dynamic strategy that actively adjusts a portfolio's strategic Asset Allocation (SAA) based on short-term market expectation, outlook or forecast. Although the successful implementation of a Tactical Asset Allocation strategy is often considered to be simple but it is actually very difficult. Research shows some Market Timing strategies have added value, on average Market Timing strategies have not produced significant excess returns over various time periods in Developed Markets. However, enough work on the value addition by Market Timing Strategies on Emerging Markets has not been done.

The main objective of Reallocation strategy is to take advantage of inefficiencies or temporary imbalances different asset or sub asset classes. Reallocation Strategy can add (or subtract) value, if designed, evaluated and executed appropriately.

#### The case for Tactical Asset Allocation

Tactical Asset Allocation attempts to add value to Strategic Asset Allocation by increasing allocation to those asset classes that are expected to outperform on a relative basis and decreasing exposure in those asset classes which are expected to underperform. In Reallocation, financial and economic variables are used to predict performance and assign relative short-term asset-class weightings.

Economically, Tactical Asset Allocation is based on the assumption that returns among asset classes will diverge temporarily from equilibrium levels, allowing the opportunity for excess returns from systematic and disciplines strategies.

A good Tactical Asset Allocation strategy will recognize the imbalance from equilibrium and recommend a overweight to an underpriced asset class.

#### **Tactical Asset Allocation Signals**

Some of the commonly used signals for Tactical Asset Allocation are as under:

- Earning Yield vs Bond Yields
- Business Cycle/ Macroeconomic Signals
- Valuation Signals
- Market Capitalization vs GDP
- Momentum Signals
- Sentiments Signals
- Liquidity Signals
- Economic and Industrial Growth
- Employment and productivity Signals

#### STRATEGIC ASSET ALLOCATION

In Asset allocation, it is assumed that both expected return and risk of each asset class are different. By combining asset classes in different proportions, it is possible to enhance the overall portfolio return and reduce risk.

As per past research, it is believed that a portfolio return is dependent upon many important factors or strategies. The recognized strategies are a) Strategic Asset Allocation b) Sector/ Stock Selection, and c) Market Timing. The above strategies have also been recognized in the research papers of Hood, Brinson and Beebower (1986) and Singer, Beebower and Brinson (1991).

Strategic Asset allocation is defined as an investment strategy in which the investor's funds are allocated or invested across available asset classes. The allocation percentage depends on some of the following factors:

- Age of person
- Willingness to take Risk
- Ability to take Risk
- Cash outflows in future
- Cash inflows in future
- Size of existing wealth
- Volatility of expected Future Wealth.
- Past experience of investing
- Risk Profile
- Longevity Risk
- Risk and Return expectation of each asset class.
- Correlation among asset classes

The assets are spread across different asset classes such that the resulting risk and return of overall portfolio matches as per the personal requirement of investor. Strategic Asset Allocation is also known as buy and hold strategy. Once the funds are allocated, the allocation is not changed. The Asset Allocation of portfolio continues which was defined in the very beginning. Strategic Asset Allocation is the most important strategy and a wrong Asset Allocation has the potential of affecting the investor negatively. Strategic Asset Allocation is good for passive investors who don't want the portfolio to be changed or churned regularly.

#### 2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The literature on Asset Allocation has for long been an area of interest for both theoretical and empirical research. This consists of the finding of new research, using a growing arsenal of econometric techniques for identifying new strategies to improve portfolio performance.

**Ibbotson and Kaplan (2000)** used 5 asset classes in their study "*Does Asset Allocation Policy Explain 40, 90, or 100 Percent of Performance?*" Ibbotson and Kaplan "examined the 10 year return of 94 US balanced mutual funds versus the corresponding indexed returns. The linear correlation between monthly index return series and the actual monthly actual return series was measured at 90.2%". Ibbotson concluded "(1) that asset allocation explained 40% of the variation of returns across funds, and (2) that it explained virtually 100% of the level of fund returns".

"Does asset allocation policy explain 40 percent, 90 percent, or 100 percent of performance? According to some well-known studies, more than 90 percent of the variability of a typical plan sponsor's performance over time is attributable to asset allocation. However, few people want to explain variability over time. Instead, an analyst might want to know how important it is in explaining the differences in return from one fund to another, or what percentage of the level of a typical fund's return is the result of asset allocation. To address these aspects of the role of asset allocation policy, they investigated these three questions".

1. How much of the variability of returns across time is explained by asset allocation policy?

2. How much of the variation of returns among funds is explained by differences in asset allocation policy?

3. What portion of the return level is explained by returns to asset allocation policy?"

"They examined 10 years of monthly returns to 94 balanced mutual funds and 5 years of quarterly returns to 58 pension funds. For the mutual funds, they used return-based style analysis for the entire 120-month period to estimate policy weights for each fund. They carried out the same type of analysis on quarterly returns of 58 pension funds for the five-year 1993-97 period. For the pension funds, rather than estimated policy weights, they used the actual policy weights and asset-class benchmarks of the pension funds".

"They answered the three questions as follows:

1) They regressed each fund's total returns against its policy return and recorded the RSQ value for each fund in the study. They found that, on average, about 90 percent of the variability of returns of a typical fund across time is explained by asset allocation policy. Most of a fund's ups and downs are explained by the ups and downs of the overall market.

#### VOLUME NO. 7 (2016), ISSUE NO. 02 (FEBRUARY)

- 2) They ran a cross-sectional regression of compound annual fund returns for the entire period on compound annual policy returns. They found that about 40 percent of the variation of returns from one fund to another is explained by policy return differences. For example, among mutual funds, if one fund's return is 13 percent and another fund's return is 8 percent, then on average, about 2 percent of the difference is explained by the difference in asset mix policy; the remaining 3 percent difference is explained by other factors, such as timing, security selection, and fee differences between the funds.
- 3) For each fund, they divided the compound annual policy return for the entire period by the compound annual fund return. They found that, on average, about 100 percent of the return level is explained by the return to asset allocation policy. Thus, the average fund's return to asset-mix policy is about the same as the return to the benchmarks for the asset classes".

Meir Statman (2000) "found that using the same parameters that explained BHB's 93.6% variance result, a hypothetical financial advisor with perfect foresight in *tactical* asset allocation performed 8.1% better per year, yet the strategic asset allocation still explained 89.4% of the variance. Thus, explaining variance does not explain performance. Statman says that strategic asset allocation is movement *along* the efficient frontier, whereas tactical asset allocation involves movement of the efficient frontier".

"A more common sense explanation of the Brinson, Hood, and Beebower study is that asset allocation explains more than 90% of the volatility of returns of an overall portfolio, but will not explain the ending results of portfolio over long periods of time. Hood notes in his review of the material over 20 years, however, that explaining performance over time is possible with the BHB approach but was not the focus of the original paper".

Wolfgang Drobetz and Friederike Kohler (2002) "found that by using the approach of Ibbotson and Kaplan (2000) on German and Swiss balanced mutual fund data they find that more than 80 percent of the variability in returns of a typical fund over time is explained by asset allocation policy, roughly 60 percent of the variation among funds is explained by policy, and more than 130 percent of the return level is explained, on average, by the policy return level".

"In this paper they analyse the contribution of asset allocation policy to the performance of 51 German and Swiss balanced mutual funds. While it is well known throughout the investment community that asset allocation policy is the major determinant of total fund performance, there is substantial disagreement about the exact magnitude. Following the approach in Ibbotson and Kaplan (2000), they demonstrate that the correct answer depends on the specific question being asked".

"They find that more than 80 percent of the variability in returns of a typical fund over time is explained by asset allocation policy, roughly 60 percent of the variation among funds is explained by policy, and more than 130 percent of the return level is explained, on average, by the policy return level. Comparing their empirical results to previous results by Brinson et al. (1986, 1991) and Ibbotson and Kaplan (2000) for U.S. pension fund and mutual fund data, they come to three conclusions".

"First, they find that the degree of active management is similar. Second, they document evidence that U.S. managers offer a more diverse range of mutual fund products, i.e., compared to their sample of German and Swiss funds, there are more pronounced differences in the asset allocation targets among funds. Finally, they report that, on average, active management (i.e., stock picking and/or timing) has not even been neutral to fund performance, but rather destroyed a significant portion of investors' value".

**S. Fowdar (2008)** "investigate the contribution of asset allocation policy to the performance of seven Mauritian mutual funds has being analysed. This study investigated whether asset allocation policy does contribute to the performance of Mauritian mutual funds. There is significant divergence of opinion as to the exact amount of the contribution of asset allocation. Following the method used by Ibbotson and Kaplan (2000) and Drobetz and Köhler (2002), the study revealed that the policy return explained approximately 33 percent of the variability of total fund return over time, around 22 percent of the variation across funds was explained by policy, and nearly 20.43 percent of the policy return level is incorporated in total return level on average".

"It can be inferred that the degree of active management is not similar since funds operating in more efficient markets than Mauritius, had a higher degree of active management. In addition, developed markets offer a wider range of mutual fund products".

James X. Xiong, Roger G. Ibbotson, Thomas M. Idzorek, and Peng Chen (2010) "found that considerable confusion surrounds both time-series and cross-sectional regressions and the importance of asset allocation. Cross-sectional regressions naturally remove market movements; therefore, the cross-sectional results in the literature are equivalent to analyses of excess market returns even though the regressions were performed on total returns. In contrast, time-series analyses of total returns do not naturally remove market movements. With market movements removed, asset allocation and active management are equally important in determining portfolio return differences within a peer group".

"Their study helped identify and alleviate a significant amount of the long-running confusion surrounding the importance of asset allocation. First, by decomposing a portfolio's total return into its three components—(1) the market return, (2) the asset allocation policy return in excess of the market return, and (3) the return from active portfolio management—they found that market return dominates the other two return components. Taken together, market return and asset allocation policy return in excess of market return dominate active portfolio management. This finding confirms the widely held belief that market return and asset allocation policy return in excess of market return and excellence of market return and collectively the dominant determinant of total return variations, but it clarifies the contribution of each. More importantly, after removing the dominant market return component of total return, they answered the question, Why do portfolio returns differ from one another within a peer group? Their results show that within a peer group, asset allocation policy return in excess of market return and active portfolio management are equally important".

"Critically, this finding is not the result of a mathematical truth. In contrast to the mathematical identity that in aggregate, active management is a zero-sum game (and thus, asset allocation policy explains 100 percent of aggregate pre-fee returns), the relative importance of both asset allocation policy return in excess of market return and active portfolio management is an empirical result that is highly dependent on the fund, the peer group, and the period being analyzed".

"The key insight that ultimately enabled us to conclude that asset allocation policy return in excess of market return and active portfolio management are equally important is the realization that cross-sectional regression on *total* returns is equivalent to cross-sectional regression on *excess market* returns because cross-sectional regression naturally removes market movement from each portfolio. They believe that this critical and subtle fact has not been clearly articulated in the past and has been overlooked by many researchers, especially when interpreting cross-sectional regression to the overall importance of asset allocation". "The insight that cross-sectional regression naturally removes market movement leads to the notion that removing market movement from traditional total return time-series regression is necessary should one want to put the time-series and cross-sectional approaches on an equal footing. After putting the two approaches on an equal footing, they found that the values of *R2* for the excess market time-series regressions and the cross-sectional regressions (on either type of return) are consistent".

"Finally, by examining period-by-period cross-sectional results and highlighting the sample period sensitivity of cross-sectional results, they explained why different researchers using the same regression technique can get widely different results. More specifically, cross-sectional fund dispersion variability is the primary cause of the period-by-period cross-sectional R2 variability".

**Colleen M. Jaconetti, Francis M. Kinniry Jr and Yan Zilbering (2010)** "found that the primary goal of a rebalancing strategy is to minimize risk relative to a target asset allocation, rather than to maximize returns. There is no optimal frequency or threshold when selecting a rebalancing strategy. This paper demonstrates that the risk-adjusted returns are not meaningfully different whether a portfolio is rebalanced daily, monthly, quarterly, or annually"

"The primary goal of a rebalancing strategy is to minimize risk relative to a target asset allocation, rather than to maximize returns. A portfolio's asset allocation is the major determinant of a portfolio's risk-and-return characteristics.1 Yet, over time, asset classes produce different returns, so the portfolio's asset allocation changes. Therefore, to recapture the portfolio's original risk-and-return characteristics, the portfolio should be rebalanced. In theory, investors select a rebalancing strategy that weighs their willingness to assume risk against expected returns net of the cost of rebalancing. Their findings indicate that there is no optimal frequency or threshold when selecting a rebalancing strategy. This paper demonstrates that the risk-adjusted returns are not meaningfully different whether a portfolio is rebalanced monthly, quarterly, or annually; however, the number of rebalancing events, and resulting costs (taxes, time, and labor) increase significantly. (For instance, monthly rebalancing with no threshold would require 1,008 rebalancing events, while annual rebalancing with a 10% threshold would require only 15 rebalancing events.) As a result, they conclude that for most broadly diversified stock and bond fund portfolios (assuming reasonable expectations regarding return patterns, average returns, and risk), annual or semi-annual monitoring, with rebalancing at 5% thresholds, is likely to produce a reasonable

#### http://ijrcm.org.in/

balance between risk control and cost minimization for most investors. Annual rebalancing is likely to be preferred when taxes or substantial time/costs are involved".

"Just as there is no universally optimal asset allocation, there is no universally optimal rebalancing strategy. The only clear advantage as far as maintaining a portfolio's risk-and-return characteristics is that a rebalanced portfolio more closely aligns with the characteristics of the target asset allocation than with a neverrebalanced portfolio. As their analysis shows, the risk-adjusted returns are not meaningfully different whether a portfolio is rebalanced monthly, quarterly, or annually; however, the number of rebalancing events and resulting costs increase significantly. As a result, they conclude that a rebalancing strategy based on reasonable monitoring frequencies (such as annual or semi-annual) and reasonable allocation thresholds (variations of 5% or so) is likely to provide sufficient risk control relative to the target asset allocation for most portfolios with broadly diversified stock and bond holdings".

Daniel, Julieann, Christos and Joanne of Vanguard's (2012) "research for U.S., Canadian, U.K., and Australian funds were proportionately much the same in terms of the degree to which asset allocation was found to explain return variability over time and dispersion of returns across funds. In addition, they found that indexed policy portfolios provided, on average, higher returns and lower volatility than actively managed funds. Also, the Sharpe Ratio of Active funds were less than the Policy Asset Allocation Portfolio".

"The importance of choosing a strategic asset allocation is now common knowledge to those in the investment advisory community. For general investors the question remains, however: How does asset allocation affect their risk-and-return expectation?"

#### CONCLUDING OBSERVATIONS

Globally lots of research has been done to understand the importance of Asset Allocation in Portfolio Performance. Majority of the work has been done in Developed Markets and limited research is available for Emerging Markets. In Developed Markets, the markets are assumed to be efficient which also gets reflected in higher contribution of Asset Allocation strategy to Portfolio Performance. The emerging markets which has weak or semi strong level of efficiency may have less contribution by Asset Allocation in Portfolio Performance. This study tries to investigate this difference in contribution.

Asset allocation is based on the fact that both expected return and risk of each asset class are different. By combining asset classes in different proportions, it is possible to enhance the overall portfolio return and reduce risk.

As per past research, it is believed that a portfolio return is dependent upon many important factors or strategies. The recognized strategies are a) Strategic Asset Allocation b) Sector/ Stock Selection, and c) Market Timing. The above strategies have also been recognized in the research papers of Hood, Brinson and Beebower (1986) and Singer, Beebower and Brinson (1991).

Evaluation of the contribution of strategies in portfolio Return and Risk across time is done for Balanced Funds and Income Funds. The past research work has shown the importance of Strategic Asset Allocation in Portfolio Performance. This study enhances the work by seeing the importance of portfolio strategy on different asset classes, holding periods, Risk and Asset Allocation Strategies.

This research contributes to the Asset Allocation Literature. Research also gives new directions & information on Asset Allocation Strategies and Portfolio Performance to Policy makers, Wealth Managers, Financial Advisors, Fund Managers, Asset Management Companies, Researchers and Credit Rating Agencies. Further research on the subject is recommended given its economic as well as academic importance.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Aït-Sahalia, Yacine and Michael Brandt (2001) "Variable Selection for Portfolio Choice", Journal of Finance 56, 1297–1351."
- 2. Balduzzi, Perluigi and Anthony Lynch, (1999) "Transaction Costs and Predictability: Some Utility Cost Calculations", Journal of Financial Economics 52, 47–78.
- 3. Barberis, Nicholas C., (2000), "Investing for the Long Run When Returns Are Predictable", Journal of Finance 55, 225–264.
- 4. Bekaert, Geert, Robert J. Hodrick, and David A. Marshall, (1997), "On Biases in Tests of the Expectations Hypothesis of the Term Structure of Interest Rates", Journal of Financial Economics 44, 309—348.
- 5. Black, F., and R. Litterman, (1992), "Global Portfolio Optimization", Financial Analysts Journal (September-October), 28-43
- 6. Bogle, J.C. (1994). Bogle on Mutual Funds, Irwin, NY
- 7. Brandt, Michael, (1999), "Estimating Portfolio and Consumption Choice: A Conditional Euler Equations Approach", Journal of Finance 54, 1609–1645.
- 8. Brennan, Michael J. and Y. Xia, (2001), "Dynamic Asset Allocation Under Inflation", Journal of Finance, 315–271
- 9. Brennan, Michael J., (1998), "The Role of Learning in Dynamic Portfolio Decisions", European Finance Review 1, 295-306.
- 10. Brennan, Michael J., Eduardo S. Schwartz, and Ronald Lagnado, (1997), "Strategic Asset Allocation", Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control 21, 1377– 1403.
- 11. Brennan, Michael J., Eduardo S. Schwartz, and Ronald Lagnado, (1999), "The Use of Treasury Bill Futures in Strategic Asset Allocation Programs", in William T.
- 12. Brinson, G., B. Singer, and G. Beebower, (1991), "Determinants of Portfolio Performance II: An Update", Financial Analyst Journal (May/June), 40-48
- 13. Brinson, G., L. Hood, and G. Beebower, (1986), "Determinants of Portfolio Performance", Financial Analyst Journal (July/August), 39-48
- 14. Campbell, John Y. and LuisM. Viceira, (2001), "Who Should Buy Long-Term Bonds?", American Economic Review 91, 99–127.
- 15. Campbell, John Y., (1987), "Stock Returns and the Term Structure", Journal of Financial Economics 18, 373-399.
- 16. Campbell, John Y., (1991), "A Variance Decomposition for Stock Returns", Economic Journal 101, 157-179.
- 17. Campbell, John Y., (1993), "Intertemporal Asset Pricing without Consumption Data", American Economic Review 83, 487-512.
- 18. Campbell, John Y., (1996), "Understanding Risk and Return", Journal of Political Economy 104, 298-345.
- 19. Campbell, John Y., (1999), "Asset Prices, Consumption, and the Business Cycle", in John Taylor and Michael Woodford eds. Handbook of Macroeconomics, North-Holland, Amsterdam.
- 20. Canner, Niko, N. Gregory Mankiw, and David N. Weil, (1997), "An Asset Allocation Puzzle", American Economic Review 87, 181-191.
- 21. Chacko, George and Luis Viceira, (1999), "Dynamic Consumption and Portfolio Choice with Stochastic Volatility in Incomplete Markets", NBER Working Paper 7377, National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, MA.
- 22. Dammon, Robert M., Chester S. Spatt, and Harold H. Zhang, (2001), "Optimal Consumption and Investment with Capital Gains Taxes", *Review of Financial Studies* 14, 583–616.
- 23. De Santis, G., (1995), "Volatility Bounds for Stochastic Discount Factors; Tests and Implications from International Financial Markets, working paper, Marshall School of Business", University of Southern California
- 24. Drobetz, W., (2001), "Avoiding the Pitfalls in Portfolio Optimization: Putting the Black-Littermann Approach at Work", Financial Markets and Portfolio Management, 59-75
- 25. Elkin, L. M., (1999). "How important is asset allocation", www.frontiercm.com
- 26. Epstein, Lawrence and Stanley Zin, (1989), "Substitution, Risk Aversion, and the Temporal Behavior of Consumption and Asset Returns: A Theoretical Framework", *Econometrica* 57, 937–69.
- 27. Epstein, Lawrence and Stanley Zin, (1991), "Substitution, Risk Aversion, and the Temporal Behavior of Consumption and Asset Returns: An Empirical Investigation", Journal of Political Economy 99, 263–286.
- 28. Fama, Eugene and G.William Schwert, (1977), "Asset Returns and Inflation", Journal of Financial Economics 5, 115–146.
- 29. Fama, Eugene and Kenneth French, (1988), "Dividend Yields and Expected Stock Returns", Journal of Financial Economics 22, 3–27.
- 30. Fama, Eugene and Kenneth French, (1988), "Permanent and Temporary Components of Stock Prices", Journal of Political Economy 96, 246–273.
- 31. Fama, Eugene and Kenneth French, (1989), "Business Conditions and Expected Returns on Stocks and Bonds", Journal of Financial Economics 25, 23–49.

#### VOLUME NO. 7 (2016), ISSUE NO. 02 (FEBRUARY)

- 32. Fama, Eugene, (1992) "The Information in the Term Structure", Journal of Financial Economics 13, 509-528.
- 33. Ferson, W, S. Foerster, and D. Keim, (1993), "General test of latent variable models and mean-variance spanning", Journal of Finance 48, 131-156
- 34. Ferson, W. E., and C. R. Harvey, (1993), "The risk und predictability of international equity returns", The Review of Financial Studies 6, 527-566
- 35. Fowdar, S., Sannassee, R., Lamport, M. and Chengapen, V. (2004). "An Investigation Into the Practice of Fund Management Techniques in Mauritius : The case of Mauritius", *EABR Conference*, June, Scotland.
- 36. Giovannini, Alberto and Philippe Weil, (1989), "Risk Aversion and Intertemporal Substitution in the Capital Asset Pricing Model", NBER Working Paper 2824, National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge, MA.
- 37. Glosten, Lawrence R., Ravi Jagannathan, and David Runkle, (1993), "On the Relation Between the Expected Value and the Volatility of the Nominal Excess Return on Stocks", Journal of Finance 48, 1779–1801.
- 38. Goetzmann, William N. and Philippe Jorion, (1993), "Testing the Predictive Power of Dividend Yields", Journal of Finance 48, 663-679.
- 39. Hansen, Lars P. and Ravi Jagannathan, (1991), "Restrictions on Intertemporal Marginal Rates of Substitution Implied by Asset Returns", Journal of Political Economy 99, 225-262.
- 40. Harvey, Campbell, (1989), "Time-Varying Conditional Covariances in Tests of Asset Pricing Models", Journal of Financial Economics 22, 305-334.
- 41. Harvey, Campbell, (1991), "The World Price of Covariance Risk", Journal of Finance 46, 111-157.
- 42. Hensel, C., D. Ezra und J. Ilkiw, (1991)," The importance of the Asset Allocation Decision", Financial Analyst Journal (July/August), 65-72.
- 43. Hodrick, Robert J., (1992), "Dividend Yields and Expected Stock Returns: Alternative Procedures for Inference and Measurement", *Review of Financial Studies* 5, 357–386.
- 44. Ibbotson, R., and P. Kaplan, (2000), "Does Asset Allocation Policy Explain 40, 90 or 100 Percent of Performance", *Financial Analyst Journal* (January/February), 26-33.
- 45. Lucas, L., and M. Riepe, (1996), "The role of returns-based style analysis: Understanding, implementing, and interpreting the technique", working paper, Ibbotson Associates, http://www.ibbotson.com/research/papers/mutual.asp
- 46. Malkiel, B.G., (1995). "Returns from Investing in equity Mutual Funds 1971 1991", Journal of Finance, June.
- 47. Sharpe, W., (1991), "The Arithmetic of Active Management", *Financial Analyst Journal* (January/February), 7-9 Sharpe, W. F., 1992, Asset allocation: Management style and performance measurement, *Journal of Portfolio Management* (Winter), 7-19
- 48. Surz, R., D. Stevens, and Wimer M., (1999), "The importance of Investment Policy", Journal of Investing (Winter), 80-85
- Wachter, Jessica, (2000), "Risk Aversion and Allocation to Long-Term Bonds", Chapter 2 in *Essays in Financial Economics*, PhD dissertation, Harvard University.
  Wachter, Jessica, (2002), "Portfolio and Consumption Decisions UnderMean-Reverting Returns: An Exact Solution for Complete Markets", *Journal of Financial*
- Wachter, Jessica, (2002), "Portfolio and Consumption Decisions UnderMean-Reverting Returns: An Exact Solution for Complete Markets", Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis.
- 51. Wydler, D., (1998), "Zur Auswirkung des Euro auf die Anlagestrategie, Finanzmarkt und Portfolio managemen"t, 119-123
- 52. Xia, Yihong, (2001), "Learning About Predictability: The Effects of Parameter Uncertainty on Dynamic Asset Allocation", Journal of Finance 56, 205–246.
- 53. Ziemba and John M. Mulvey eds. "World Wide Asset and Liability Modeling", Cambridge University Press, 205–228.

## **REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK**

#### **Dear Readers**

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce & Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue as well as on the journal as a whole, on our E-mail **infoijrcm@gmail.com** for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries, please feel free to contact us on our E-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-Co-ordinator

# **DISCLAIMER**

The information and opinions presented in the Journal reflect the views of the authors and not of the Journal or its Editorial Board or the Publishers/Editors. Publication does not constitute endorsement by the journal. Neither the Journal nor its publishers/Editors/Editorial Board nor anyone else involved in creating, producing or delivering the journal or the materials contained therein, assumes any liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information provided in the journal, nor shall they be liable for any direct, indirect, incidental, special, consequential or punitive damages arising out of the use of information/material contained in the journal. The journal, neither its publishers/Editors/ Editorial Board, nor any other party involved in the preparation of material contained in the journal represents or warrants that the information contained herein is in every respect accurate or complete, and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such material. Readers are encouraged to confirm the information contained herein with other sources. The responsibility of the contents and the opinions expressed in this journal are exclusively of the author (s) concerned.

### **ABOUT THE JOURNAL**

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active cooperation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.

Our Other Fournals





