INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE & MANAGEMENT



A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at:

Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, ProQuest, U.S.A., EBSCO Publishing, U.S.A., Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A., Google Scholar,

The American Economic Association's electronic bibliography, EconLit, U.S.A.

Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland with IC Value of 5.09 & number of libraries all around the world. Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than 5220 Cities in 187 countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

Ground Floor, Building No. 1041-C-1, Devi Bhawan Bazar, JAGADHRI – 135 003, Yamunanagar, Haryana, INDIA

http://ijrcm.org.in/

CONTENTS

| Sr. | $\frac{1}{2} \qquad \qquad$ | |
|-------------|--|-----|
| No. | IIILE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (5) | No. |
| 1. | DOES EXECUTIVES COMPENSATION STIMULUS FIRM INNOVATION? THE CASE OF TAIWAN | 1 |
| 2 | CORPORATE GOVERNANCE MECHANISMS IN INDIA: A CASE STUDY OF SELECTED FIRMS FROM TEN | 5 |
| | SECTORS | 5 |
| | R. C. NAGARAJU & DR. P. PARAMASHIVAIAH | |
| 3. | HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT- RECRUITMENT REWARD AND RETENTION | 11 |
| | SUMAIYA FATHIMA | 1.4 |
| 4. | SANDEEP NANDRAM DIVE & DR. VIIAY AMBADE | 14 |
| 5. | 5. COURTS' JURISDICTION FOR TAKING COGNIZANCE OF CRIMINAL COMPLAINTS FILED UNDER SECTION 142 | |
| | OF NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS ACT 1881 - THE NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENTS (AMENDMENT) ACT 2015 - | |
| | IT'S CAUSE AND CURE | |
| 6 | A STUDY ON CONSUMER'S ATTITUDE TOWARDS ADVERTISEMENTS THROUGH SOCIAL MEDIA WITH | 24 |
| 0. | SPECIAL REFERENCE TO FACEBOOK | 24 |
| | DR. M. SUMATHY & VIPIN.K.P | |
| 7. | ILLEGAL ECONOMY Vs. SOCIALIST SOCIETY | 28 |
| | DR. PRAMILA CHOUDHARY | |
| 8. | RISK AND RETURN ANALYSIS OF SELECT PSBs | 33 |
| 0 | N.V.RAWESH & DR. A. SUDHARAR | 15 |
| 9. | BEHAVIOUR | 45 |
| | SEEMI AZAM & RASHMI KUMAR | |
| 10 . | WOMEN EMPOWERMENT IN INDIA THROUGH CORPORATE SOCIAL RESPONSIBILITY: POLICIES & | 50 |
| | CHALLENGES: A CASE STUDY OF NTPC LTD. | |
| | DR. AJAY AGRAWAL, DR. AMITABH PANDE & POORVA PANDE SHARMA | |
| 11. | | 53 |
| | DR. GAJANAN MADIWAL | |
| 12. | THE YOUNG SAUDI EMPLOYEE AND THE CURRENT LABOR MARKET DYNAMICS OF SAUDI ARABIA: A | 56 |
| | PARADIGM SHIFT | |
| | DR. DEEPANJANA VARSHNEY | |
| 13. | MOBILE BANKING IN THE PRESENT SCENARIO | 61 |
| 14 | A STUDY ON INVESTORS' SATISFACTION TOWARDS MUTUAL FUNDS RETURNS WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE | 64 |
| 14. | TO CHITTOOR DISTRICT | 04 |
| | DR. U. RAGHAVENDRA PRASAD | |
| 15 . | IMPACT OF GLOBALIZATION ON SELECTION PROCEDURE AND TRAINING PROGRAMMES IN BANKING | 68 |
| | SECTOR | |
| 10 | ANAND T. DESHPANDE | 70 |
| 16. | AMIT KAPOOR | /3 |
| 17. | A STUDY ON CUSTOMER SATISFACTION OF HONDA ACTIVA AMONG WOMEN WITH REFERENCE TO KONNI | 76 |
| | TALUK, KERALA | - |
| | SWATHY. P | |
| 18. | THE FAVOURABLE DATA FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF M-GOVERNANCE BASAVARAJ NAGESH KADAMUDIMATHA | 80 |
| 19. | ROLE OF MICRO CREDIT PROGRAMME IN THE FINANCIAL AND SOCIAL EMPOWERMENT OF WOMEN | 84 |
| | ENTREPRENEURS | - |
| | SANTHOSH KUMAR.K | |
| 20 . | IMPACT OF NATURAL DISASTER ON TOURISM IN JAMMU AND KASHMIR: A CASE STUDY OF FLOOD 2014 | 88 |
| | | 01 |
| | | 21 |
| | INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE & MANAGEMENT | :: |

<u>CHIEF PATRON</u>

PROF. K. K. AGGARWAL

Chairman, Malaviya National Institute of Technology, Jaipur (An institute of National Importance & fully funded by Ministry of Human Resource Development, Government of India) Chancellor, K. R. Mangalam University, Gurgaon Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Faridabad Founder Vice-Chancellor (1998-2008), Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

FOUNDER PATRON

LATE SH. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Former State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana Former Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri Former President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

FORMER CO-ORDINATOR

DR. S. GARG Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Business & Management, Urjani

<u>ADVISORS</u>

PROF. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Director A. C. D., School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi **PROF. M. N. SHARMA** Chairman, M.B.A., Haryana College of Technology & Management, Kaithal **PROF. S. L. MAHANDRU** Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

<u>EDITOR</u>

PROF. R. K. SHARMA

Professor, Bharti Vidyapeeth University Institute of Management & Research, New Delhi

CO-EDITOR

DR. BHAVET

Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Engineering & Technology, Urjani

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

DR. RAJESH MODI Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia PROF. SANJIV MITTAL University School of Management Studies, Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi PROF. ANIL K. SAINI Chairperson (CRC), Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

DR. SAMBHAVNA

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

DR. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, P. J. L. N. Government College, Faridabad

DR. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE

Asst. Professor, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

PROF. NAWAB ALI KHAN Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

PROF. ABHAY BANSAL

Head, Department of I.T., Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity University, Noida

PROF. V. SELVAM

SSL, VIT University, Vellore

PROF. N. SUNDARAM

VIT University, Vellore

DR. PARDEEP AHLAWAT

Associate Professor, Institute of Management Studies & Research, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak DR. S. TABASSUM SULTANA

Associate Professor, Department of Business Management, Matrusri Institute of P.G. Studies, Hyderabad DR. JASVEEN KAUR

Asst. Professor, University Business School, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar

FORMER TECHNICAL ADVISOR

AMITA Faculty, Government M. S., Mohali

FINANCIAL ADVISORS

DICKIN GOYAL Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula NEENA Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

LEGAL ADVISORS

JITENDER S. CHAHAL Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T. CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri



SURENDER KUMAR POONIA

CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to the recent developments & practices in the areas of Computer Science & Applications; Commerce; Business; Finance; Marketing; Human Resource Management; General Management; Banking; Economics; Tourism Administration & Management; Education; Law; Library & Information Science; Defence & Strategic Studies; Electronic Science; Corporate Governance; Industrial Relations; and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Rural Economics; Co-operation; Demography: Development Planning; Development Studies; Applied Economics; Development Economics; Business Economics; Monetary Policy; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Political Science; Continuing Education; Labour Welfare; Philosophy; Psychology; Sociology; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law; Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labour Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; International Relations; Human Rights & Duties; Public Administration; Population Studies; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism & Hospitality; Transportation Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic; Web Design and emerging paradigms in allied subjects.

Anybody can submit the **soft copy** of unpublished novel; original; empirical and high quality **research work/manuscript anytime** in <u>M.S. Word format</u> after preparing the same as per our **GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION**; at our email address i.e. <u>infoijrcm@gmail.com</u> or online by clicking the link **online submission** as given on our website (*FOR ONLINE SUBMISSION, CLICK HERE*).

GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT

DATED: _____

THE EDITOR

IJRCM

Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF

(e.g. Finance/Mkt./HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, please specify)

DEAR SIR/MADAM

Please find my submission of manuscript titled '______' for likely publication in one of your journals.

I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore, it has neither been published anywhere in any language fully or partly, nor it is under review for publication elsewhere.

I affirm that all the co-authors of this manuscript have seen the submitted version of the manuscript and have agreed to inclusion of their names as co-authors.

Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I agree to comply with the formalities as given on the website of the journal. The Journal has discretion to publish our contribution in any of its journals.

| NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR | : |
|--|---|
| Designation/Post* | : |
| Institution/College/University with full address & Pin Code | : |
| Residential address with Pin Code | : |
| Mobile Number (s) with country ISD code | : |
| Is WhatsApp or Viber active on your above noted Mobile Number (Yes/No) | : |
| Landline Number (s) with country ISD code | : |
| E-mail Address | : |
| Alternate E-mail Address | : |
| Nationality | : |

* i.e. Alumnus (Male Alumni), Alumna (Female Alumni), Student, Research Scholar (M. Phil), Research Scholar (Ph. D.), JRF, Research Assistant, Assistant Lecturer, Lecturer, Senior Lecturer, Junior Assistant Professor, Assistant Professor, Senior Assistant Professor, Co-ordinator, Reader, Associate Professor, Professor, Head, Vice-Principal, Dy. Director, Principal, Director, Dean, President, Vice Chancellor, Industry Designation etc. <u>The qualification of</u> <u>author is not acceptable for the purpose</u>.

NOTES:

- a) The whole manuscript has to be in **ONE MS WORD FILE** only, which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript. <u>**pdf.**</u> <u>**version**</u> is liable to be rejected without any consideration.
- b) The sender is required to mention the following in the SUBJECT COLUMN of the mail:

New Manuscript for Review in the area of (e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, please specify)

- c) There is no need to give any text in the body of the mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any **specific message** w.r.t. to the manuscript.
- d) The total size of the file containing the manuscript is expected to be below 1000 KB.
- e) Only the **Abstract will not be considered for review** and the author is required to submit the **complete manuscript** in the first instance.
- f) **The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email within twenty-four hours** and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of the manuscript, within two days of its submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending a separate mail to the journal.
- g) The author (s) name or details should not appear anywhere on the body of the manuscript, except on the covering letter and the cover page of the manuscript, in the manner as mentioned in the guidelines.
- 2. MANUSCRIPT TITLE: The title of the paper should be typed in **bold letters**, centered and fully capitalised.
- 3. **AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS**: Author (s) **name**, **designation**, **affiliation** (s), **address**, **mobile/landline number** (s), and **email/alternate email address** should be given underneath the title.
- 4. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS: Acknowledgements can be given to reviewers, guides, funding institutions, etc., if any.
- 5. **ABSTRACT:** Abstract should be in **fully Italic printing**, ranging between **150** to **300 words**. The abstract must be informative and elucidating the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a **SINGLE PARA**. *Abbreviations must be mentioned in full*.
- 6. **KEYWORDS**: Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of **five**. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stop at the end. All words of the keywords, including the first one should be in small letters, except special words e.g. name of the Countries, abbreviations etc.
- 7. **JEL CODE**: Provide the appropriate Journal of Economic Literature Classification System code (s). JEL codes are available at www.aea-web.org/econlit/jelCodes.php. However, mentioning of JEL Code is not mandatory.
- 8. **MANUSCRIPT**: Manuscript must be in <u>BRITISH ENGLISH</u> prepared on a standard A4 size <u>PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER</u>. It should be free from any errors i.e. grammatical, spelling or punctuation. It must be thoroughly edited at your end.
- 9. HEADINGS: All the headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
- 10. **SUB-HEADINGS**: All the sub-headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
- 11. MAIN TEXT:

THE MAIN TEXT SHOULD FOLLOW THE FOLLOWING SEQUENCE:

INTRODUCTION
REVIEW OF LITERATURE
REVIEW OF LITERATURE
NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY
STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM
OBJECTIVES
OBJECTIVES
HYPOTHESIS (ES)
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY
RESULTS & DISCUSSION
FINDINGS
RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS
CONCLUSIONS
LIMITATIONS
SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH
REFERENCES
APPENDIX/ANNEXURE

The manuscript should preferably be in 2000 to 5000 WORDS, But the limits can vary depending on the nature of the manuscript

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE & MANAGEMENT

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

- 12. **FIGURES & TABLES**: These should be simple, crystal **CLEAR**, **centered**, **separately numbered** & self-explained, and the **titles must be above the table/figure**. Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure. It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text.
- 13. **EQUATIONS/FORMULAE:** These should be consecutively numbered in parenthesis, left aligned with equation/formulae number placed at the right. The equation editor provided with standard versions of Microsoft Word may be utilised. If any other equation editor is utilised, author must confirm that these equations may be viewed and edited in versions of Microsoft Office that does not have the editor.
- 14. **ACRONYMS**: These should not be used in the abstract. The use of acronyms is elsewhere is acceptable. Acronyms should be defined on its first use in each section e.g. Reserve Bank of India (RBI). Acronyms should be redefined on first use in subsequent sections.
- 15. **REFERENCES:** The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. *The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript* and they may follow Harvard Style of Referencing. Also check to ensure that everything that you are including in the reference section is duly cited in the paper. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
- All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
- Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
- When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc., in chronologically ascending order.
- Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
- The title of books and journals should be in italic printing. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
- For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parenthesis.
- *Headers, footers, endnotes* and *footnotes* should *not be used* in the document. However, you can mention short notes to elucidate some specific point, which may be placed in number orders before the references.

PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:

BOOKS

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

• Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

• Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

CONFERENCE PAPERS

• Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–23

UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS

• Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

ONLINE RESOURCES

Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

WEBSITES

Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp

RISK AND RETURN ANALYSIS OF SELECT PSBs

K.V.RAMESH RESEARCH SCHOLAR RAYALASEEMA UNIVERSITY KURNOOL

DR. A. SUDHAKAR PROFESSOR DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE DR. B. R. AMBEDKAR OPEN UNIVERSITY HYDERABAD

ABSTRACT

The paper makes an attempt to find the risk and return analysis of the selected banks Canara Bank and State Bank of India during the period under consideration. Risk and return are most important concepts in analysis of valuation of assets or securities. The term risk is the variability of actual return from the expected return associated with a given asset. The greater the variability, the riskier the security is. In other words, risk is the variability of returns from those that are expected. The more certain the return from an asset, the less the variability and therefore lower the risk. The concept of return refers to the actual income received plus any change in market price of an asset / investment. In selected study the market price and income received of the State Bank of India and Canara Bank. It is observed that Canara Bank has proven the general phenomenon of higher the risk, higher the return than that of State Bank India and it can be concluded that Canara Bank's in the stock market in India covers performance evaluation of them quoted at the Indian Stock Exchanges in stock market through risk and return analysis adopting Capital Asset Pricing Model framework. This is done on a yearly basis for better understanding and adjudging the performance of companies quoted at the Bombay Stock Exchange for the period 1995-2015.

KEYWORDS

capital asset pricing model, risk, return.

INTRODUCTION

isk concerns the expected value of one or more results of one or more future events. Technically, the value of those results may be positive or negative. The term risk may be traced back to classical Greek rizikon (Greek ριζα, riza), meaning root, later used in Latin for "cliff". The term is used in Homer's Rhapsody M of Odyssey "Sirens, Scylla, Charybdee and the bulls of Helios (Sun)" Odysseus tried to save himself from Charybdee at the cliffs of Scylla, where his ship was destroyed by heavy seas generated by Zeus as a punishment for his crew killing before the bulls of Helios (the god of the sun), by grapping the roots of a wild fig tree.

Scenario analysis matured during Cold War confrontations between major powers, notably the United States and the Soviet Union. It became widespread in insurance circles in the 1970s when major oil tanker disasters forced a more comprehensive foresight. The scientific approach to risk entered finance in the 1980s when financial derivatives proliferated. It reached general professions in the 1990s when the power of personal computing allowed for widespread data collection and numbers crunching.

There are many formal methods used to assess or to "measure" risk, considered as one of the critical indicators important for human decision making. In statistics, risk is often mapped to the probability of some event which is seen as undesirable. Usually, the probability of that event and some assessment of its expected harm must be combined into a believable scenario an outcome, which combines the set of risk, regret and reward probabilities into an expected value for that outcome. Thus, in statistical decision theory, the risk function of an estimator $\delta(x)$ for a parameter θ , calculated from some observables x, is defined as the expectation value of the loss function L.

In Information security, a risk is written as an asset, the threats to the asset and the vulnerability that can be exploited by the threats to impact the asset - an example being: Our desktop computers (asset) can be compromised by malware (threat) entering the environment as an email attachment (vulnerability).

CAPM decomposes a portfolio's risk into systematic and specific risk. **Systematic risk** is the risk of holding the market portfolio. As the market moves, each individual asset is more or less affected. To the extent that any asset participates in such general market moves, that asset entails systematic risk. **Specific risk** is the risk which is unique to an individual asset. It represents the component of an asset's return which is uncorrelated with general market moves.

According to CAPM, the marketplace compensates investors for taking systematic risk but not for taking specific risk. This is because specific risk can be diversified away. When an investor holds the market portfolio, each individual asset in that portfolio entails specific risk, but through diversification, the investor's net exposure is just the systematic risk of the market portfolio.

Systematic risk can be measured using beta. According to CAPM, the expected return of a stock equals the risk-free rate plus the portfolio's beta multiplied by the expected excess return of the market portfolio. Specifically, let z_s and z_m be random variables for the simple returns of the stock and the market over some

specified period. Let z_f be the known risk-free rate, also expressed as a simple return, and let β be the stock's beta. Then

$$E(Z_5) = z_f + \beta [E(Z_m) - z_f]_{(1)}$$

Where *E* denotes an expectation

Stated another way, the stock's excess expected return over the risk-free rate equals its beta times the market's expected excess return over the risk free rate. For example, suppose a stock has a beta of 0.8. The market has an expected annual return of 0.12 (that is 12%) and the risk-free rate is.02 (2%). Then the stock has an expected one-year return of

Because [1] is linear, it generalizes to portfolios. Let Zp be a portfolio's simple return, and let β now denote the portfolio's beta. We obtain $E(Zp) = zc + \beta [E(Zm) - zc]$

$$E(Zp) = z_f + \beta [E(Z_m) - z_f]_{(3)}$$

Formula [1] is the essential conclusion of CAPM. It states that a stock's or portfolio's excess expected return depends on its beta and not its volatility. Stated another way, excess return depends upon systematic risk and not on total risk.

We call CAPM a "capital asset pricing model" because, given a beta and an expected return for an asset, investors will bid its current price up or down and adjusted that expected return so that it satisfies formula [1]. Accordingly, the CAPM predicts the equilibrium price of an asset. This works because the model assumes that

all investors agree on the beta and expected return of any asset. In practice, this assumption is unreasonable, so the CAPM is largely of theoretical value. It is the most famous example of an equilibrium pricing model.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The objective is to study examine whether the Bank scrip's are overvalued or undervalued using CAPM Model and whether the actual return from the market is equal to the CAPM return under the calculated or not i.e. whether CAPM is able to predict the stock returns in the case of selected PSBs in India.

SAMPLE AND DATA SOURCES

The sample consists of 2 Public Sector Banks quoted at the Bombay Stock Exchange over the period 1995-96 to 2014-2015.

This study uses only the secondary data collected from research work on the topic, working papers, NSE and BSE websites and directories, CMIE data base-Prowess, Capital Market's Data Base, Capital Line, balance sheets of the select banks, public enterprise survey reports and RBI bulletins. Data thus collected is tabulated, processed analyzed, and presented in an understandable manner.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The research methodology for the various aspects of the study is explained here under:

Building on the Markowitz framework, Sharpe (1964), Lintner (1965) and Mossin (1966) independently developed what has come to be known as the Capital Asset Pricing Model (CAPM).

 $(R_i) = R_f + \beta_i [R_m - R_f]$

Where, R_f = Treasury bill rate

R_m = Return from the market of individual security

 β_i = Unsystematic risk / diversifiable risk of individual security

For the calculation of R_m, daily log returns are computed for the period of 1995-2010 for taking April 1st to 31st March as a period of one year.

 $((\log p_1 - \log p_0) / (\log p_0))^* 100$

Further, daily log returns are annualized using r1+r2+r3+----r n

These annualized returns are taken as Rm and Treasury bill rate as Rr. For the purpose of calculation of β (slope of the regression line), BSE Sensex Return is taken as independent and individual security return as dependent variables. Slope can be subjected to descriptive statistics.

The risk free rate for each year is obtained by averaging the monthly T-bill rates for each year. This risk free rate therefore varies from year to year.

| Year | Canara Bank | SBI | B S E Sensex | | |
|--|-------------|--------|--------------|--|--|
| 1995-96 | - | 0.024 | 0.001 | | |
| 1996-97 | - | 0.008 | 0.000 | | |
| 1997-98 | - | 0.010 | 0.007 | | |
| 1998-99 | - | -0.019 | -0.002 | | |
| 1999-00 | - | -0.002 | 0.014 | | |
| 2000-01 | - | 0.487 | -0.015 | | |
| 2001-02 | - | 0.008 | -0.002 | | |
| 2002-03 | 0.140 | 0.015 | -0.006 | | |
| 2003-04 | 0.064 | 0.054 | 0.029 | | |
| 2004-05 | 0.027 | 0.006 | 0.007 | | |
| 2005-06 | 0.023 | 0.023 | 0.025 | | |
| 2006-07 | -0.023 | 0.002 | 0.006 | | |
| 2007-08 | 0.013 | 0.027 | 0.008 | | |
| 2008-09 | -0.022 | -0.022 | -0.020 | | |
| 2009-10 | 0.068 | 0.038 | 0.026 | | |
| 2010-11 | 0.004 | 0.027 | 0.015 | | |
| 2011-12 | -0.004 | -0.004 | -0.004 | | |
| 2012-13 | 0.003 | -0.013 | 0.000 | | |
| 2013-14 | 0.007 | -0.024 | -0.004 | | |
| 2014-15 | 0.009 | 0.025 | -0.103 | | |
| Source: Calculated from daily stock prices | | | | | |

TABLE 1: AVERAGE DAILY RETURNS

Source: Calculated from daily stock prices

From the analysis above table it is observed that BSE Sensex returns are varying between -0.0020 and 0.029 whereas the Canara bank returns are varying between -0.022 and 0.14 and State Bank is varying between -0.022 to 0.487 which shows that the returns generated by State Bank are more consistent and they are more than the market and the Canara Bank.

| TABLE 2: AVERAGE DAILY RISK | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------|--------------|--|--|
| Year | Canara Bank | SBI | B S E Sensex | | |
| 1995-96 | - | 0.407 | 0.162 | | |
| 1996-97 | - | 0.533 | 0.215 | | |
| 1997-98 | - | 0.368 | 0.171 | | |
| 1998-99 | - | 0.587 | 0.239 | | |
| 1999-00 | - | 0.674 | 0.232 | | |
| 2000-01 | - | 0.001 | 0.257 | | |
| 2001-02 | - | 0.496 | 0.186 | | |
| 2002-03 | 0.951 | 0.269 | 0.126 | | |
| 2003-04 | 0.064 | 0.054 | 0.029 | | |
| 2004-05 | 0.651 | 0.420 | 0.174 | | |
| 2005-06 | 0.451 | 0.242 | 0.114 | | |
| 2006-07 | 0.580 | 0.330 | 0.187 | | |
| 2007-08 | 0.594 | 0.371 | 0.198 | | |
| 2008-09 | 0.639 | 0.511 | 0.301 | | |
| 2009-10 | 0.484 | 0.370 | 0.196 | | |
| 2010-11 | 0.113 | 0.484 | 0.370 | | |
| 2011-12 | 0.132 | 0.132 | 0.132 | | |
| 2012-13 | 0.081 | 0.356 | 0.233 | | |
| 2013-14 | 0.111 | 0.553 | 0.267 | | |
| 2014-15 | 0.085 | 0.468 | 1.860 | | |

Source: Calculated from daily stock prices

From the analysis of above table: 2 it is observed that the average daily risk of Canara Bank has varied between 0.064 to 0.951, market proxy between 0.029 to 0.370 which indicates that the market has borne less risk than the Canara bank for the said period. The State Bank of India's risk has varied between 0.001 and 0.674 indicating that the return of SBI is on a lower side compared to the Canara Bank and market proxy i.e., BSE sensex and risk is more than market proxy and less than Canara Bank for the entire study period.

TABLE 3: COMPARISON OF CANARA BANK WITH CAPM RETURNS OF SBI AND CANARA BANK AND BSE SENSEX RETURN

| Year | B S E Sensex | AMR Canara Bank | AMR SBI | CAPM Canara Bank | CAPM SBI | | |
|---------|--|-----------------|---------|------------------|----------|--|--|
| 1995-96 | 0.001 | - | 0.024 | - | -5.372 | | |
| 1996-97 | 0.000 | - | 0.008 | - | -12.603 | | |
| 1997-98 | 0.007 | - | 0.010 | - | -7.358 | | |
| 1998-99 | -0.002 | - | -0.019 | - | -7.021 | | |
| 1999-00 | 0.014 | - | -0.002 | - | -7.223 | | |
| 2000-01 | -0.015 | - | 0.487 | - | -1.932 | | |
| 2001-02 | -0.002 | - | 0.008 | - | 5.939 | | |
| 2002-03 | -0.006 | 0.140 | 0.015 | -26.882 | -0.893 | | |
| 2003-04 | 0.029 | 0.064 | 0.054 | -14.029 | -5.398 | | |
| 2004-05 | 0.007 | 0.027 | 0.006 | -6.345 | -5.115 | | |
| 2005-06 | 0.025 | 0.023 | 0.023 | -3.958 | -1.655 | | |
| 2006-07 | 0.006 | -0.023 | 0.002 | -7.087 | -1.386 | | |
| 2007-08 | 0.008 | 0.013 | 0.027 | -6.060 | -2.621 | | |
| 2008-09 | -0.020 | -0.022 | -0.022 | -2.404 | -2.980 | | |
| 2009-10 | 0.026 | 0.068 | 0.038 | -3.720 | -3.825 | | |
| 2010-11 | 0.168 | 0.370 | 0.157 | -4.263 | -4.560 | | |
| 2011-12 | 0.896 | -0.830 | 0.724 | -3.960 | -5.230 | | |
| 2012-13 | 0.814 | -3.288 | -0.073 | -5.724 | -17.877 | | |
| 2013-14 | 1.755 | -6.116 | -0.930 | -1.532 | -37.276 | | |
| 2014-15 | 2.203 | 6.022 | -25.032 | -4.741 | 2.310 | | |
| | Source: Calculated from daily stock prices | | | | | | |

From the analysis of above Table: 3, and Figure 1 below where the annual daily average return of SBI, Canara Bank and BSE Sensex with that of the CAPM returns of the SBI and Canara Bank. It is observed that the returns obtained from the model are negative which have ranged from -1.532 to -26.882 for Canara Bank and for SBI was at -0.893 to 2.310. This shows that the banks have generated more returns compared to the CAPM model returns other than the periods 2006-07, 2008-09 for Canara bank which was reported at -0.023 and -0.022. The State Bank of India has reported Annual average negative returns for the period of 1998-99, 1999-00 and 2008-09 at the rate of -0.019,-0.002 and -0.022. This shows that the shares of SBI and Canara Bank were over priced during the study period compared to the CAPM model returns.



TABLE 4: ANNUAL HOLDING PERIOD RETURNS

| 1995-96 1996-97 1997-98 1998-99 1999-00 2000-01 | 0.215 0.034 1.829 -0.417 | | 5.626 1.966 2.440 |
|---|-----------------------------------|--------|-------------------------|
| 1996-97 1997-98 1998-99 1999-00 2000-01 | 0.034 1.829 -0.417 | | 1.966 |
| 1997-98 1998-99 1999-00 2000-01 | 1.829 -0.417 | | 2 440 |
| 1998-99 1999-00 2000-01 | -0.417 | | 2.740 |
| 1999-00 2000-01 | | | -4.650 |
| 2000-01 | 3.539 | | -0.545 |
| 2000 01 | -3.838 | | 0.206 |
| 2001-02 | -0.425 | | 2.047 |
| 2002-03 | -1.578 | 9.393 | 3.828 |
| 2003-04 | 7.320 | 16.157 | 13.651 |
| 2004-05 | 1.757 | 6.891 | 1.483 |
| 2005-06 | 6.119 | 5.518 | 5.877 |
| 2006-07 | 1.611 | -5.393 | 0.503 |
| 2007-08 | 1.927 | 3.164 | 6.849 |
| 2008-09 | -4.957 | -5.310 | -5.327 |
| 2009-10 | 6.284 | 16.602 | 9.310 |
| 2010-11 | 1.072 | 6.937 | 3.716 |
| 2011-12 | -1.107 | -1.107 | -1.107 |
| 2012-13 | 0.814 | -3.288 | -0.072 |
| 2013-14 | 1.754 | -6.116 | -0.930 |
| 2014-15 | 2.203 | 6.022 | -25.032 |

From the analysis of above table 4, it is observed that the BSE sensex annual return varied between -4.957 to 7.320, whereas Canara bank between -5.393 and 16.602. Further it is observed that SBI's return was varying between -25.032 to 13.651. It can be concluded that Canara bank's return was the highest and more consistent throughout the study period without much inconsistencies. SBI has shown highest negative return in the year 2014-15 during the period of study under consideration because of the impact of increase in loss assets.

| TABLE 5: ANNUAL HOLDING PERIOD RISK | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------|--|
| Annual Risk | B S E Sensex | Canara Bank | SBI | |
| 1995-96 | 0.163 | | 0.549 | |
| 1996-97 | 0.215 | | 0.533 | |
| 1997-98 | 0.171 | | 0.368 | |
| 1998-99 | 0.239 | | 0.587 | |
| 1999-00 | 0.232 | | 0.674 | |
| 2000-01 | 0.257 | | 0.478 | |
| 2001-02 | 0.186 | | 0.497 | |
| 2002-03 | 0.126 | 0.951 | 0.269 | |
| 2003-04 | 0.160 | 0.788 | 0.355 | |
| 2004-05 | 0.174 | 0.651 | 0.420 | |
| 2005-06 | 0.114 | 0.450 | 0.241 | |
| 2006-07 | 0.187 | 0.580 | 0.330 | |
| 2007-08 | 0.198 | 0.594 | 0.371 | |
| 2008-09 | 0.301 | 0.640 | 0.512 | |
| 2009-10 | 0.196 | 0.484 | 0.370 | |
| 2010-11 | 0.113 | 0.344 | 0.242 | |
| 2011-12 | 0.132 | 0.381 | 0.292 | |
| 2012-13 | 0.081 | 0.356 | 0.233 | |
| 2013-14 | 0.111 | 0.553 | 0.267 | |
| 2014-15 | 0.085 | 0.468 | 1.860 | |

Source: Calculated from daily stock prices

The table 5 represents Annual holding period risk of BSE Sensex, Canara bank and SBI for the period of 1995-96 to 2004-15. It is observed from the analysis that the BSE Sensex varied between 0.081 to 0.001. The Canara bank between 0.344 and 0.951 while State Bank of India varied in between 0.233 to 1.860. From the above tables 5.4 and 5.5 it can be concluded that the general phenomenon of higher the risk, higher the return holds well in case of Canara bank.

| TABLE 0. IVIEAN HALF | | TEARLT RETORNS | | |
|----------------------|--------------|----------------|--------|--|
| Time Period | B S E Sensex | Canara Bank | SBI | |
| 1995-96 –I | 0.002 | | 0.025 | |
| 1995-96-II | -0.006 | | 0.013 | |
| 1996-97 - I | -0.005 | | 0.000 | |
| 1996-97 - II | 0.006 | | 0.015 | |
| 1997-98-I | 0.026 | | 0.008 | |
| 1997-98-II | 0.001 | | 0.025 | |
| 1998-99 –I | -0.025 | | -0.051 | |
| 1998-99 -II | 0.026 | | 0.033 | |
| 1999-00 –I | 0.029 | | 0.023 | |
| 1999-00 -II | 0.012 | | -0.014 | |
| 2000-01 -l | -0.013 | | -0.015 | |
| 2000-01 -II | -0.014 | | 0.023 | |
| 2001-02 –I | -0.025 | | -0.028 | |
| 2001-02 -II | 0.024 | | 0.040 | |
| 2002-03 –I | -0.015 | | -0.002 | |
| 2002-03 -II | 0.004 | 0.140 | 0.024 | |
| 2003-04 –I | 0.035 | 0.085 | 0.067 | |
| 2003-04 -II | 0.019 | 0.029 | 0.037 | |
| 2004-05 –I | 0.218 | 0.744 | 0.492 | |
| 2004-05 -II | 0.111 | 0.546 | 0.331 | |
| 2005-06 –I | 0.024 | 0.018 | 0.041 | |
| 2005-06 -II | 0.114 | 0.451 | 0.241 | |
| 2006-07 –I | 0.006 | 0.008 | 0.004 | |
| 2006-07 -II | 0.213 | 0.674 | 0.332 | |
| 2007-08 –I | 0.129 | 0.495 | 0.317 | |
| 2007-08 -II | 0.245 | 0.677 | 0.409 | |
| 2008-09 –I | 0.231 | 0.583 | 0.455 | |
| 2008-09 -II | 0.363 | 0.697 | 0.573 | |
| 2009-10 I | 0.249 | 0.573 | 0.458 | |
| 2009-10 II | 0.116 | 0.535 | 0.245 | |
| 2010-11 I | 0.011 | 0.045 | 0.044 | |
| 2010-11 II | -0.002 | 0.010 | -0.015 | |
| 2011-12 I | -0.014 | -0.044 | -0.038 | |
| 2011-12 II | 0.005 | 0.010 | 0.010 | |
| 2012-13 I | 0.006 | -0.012 | 0.007 | |
| 2012-13 II | 0.000 | -0.015 | -0.008 | |
| 2013-14 I | 0.002 | -0.075 | -0.026 | |
| 2013-14 II | 0.012 | 0.027 | 0.019 | |
| 2014-15 I | 0.014 | 0.042 | 0.026 | |
| 2014-15 II | 0.004 | 0.008 | -0.235 | |

TABLE 6: MEAN HALF YEARLY RETURNS

Source: Calculated from daily stock prices

Table 6 represents the half yearly average daily return of BSE Sensex, Canara bank and SBI. The feature which can be observed from analysis here is that if there is decline in the return, this return is being continued at least for the next half year also. For instance, Canara bank's return declined from 0.744 to 0.546 in 2004-

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE & MANAGEMENT A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories http://ijrcm.org.in/ 05 during first half year to the second half year of 2004-05 and consequently in the next half on 2005-06. The same declining trend has been observed in the case of SBI also and in the second half of 2014-15 SBI has shown a negative return.

| Sum | B S E Sensex | Canara Bank | SBI |
|--------------|--------------|-------------|--------|
| 1995-96 –I | 0.649 | | 4.026 |
| 1995-96-II | -0.435 | | 1.600 |
| 1996-97 – I | -0.458 | | 1.237 |
| 1996-97 – II | 0.492 | | 0.729 |
| 1997-98-I | 1.836 | | 0.330 |
| 1997-98-II | -0.008 | | 2.110 |
| 1998-99 –I | -2.745 | | -6.098 |
| 1998-99 -II | 2.329 | | 1.448 |
| 1999-00 –I | 2.933 | | 0.678 |
| 1999-00 -II | 0.606 | | -1.223 |
| 2000-01 –I | -2.336 | | -2.294 |
| 2000-01 -II | -1.502 | | 2.500 |
| 2001-02 –I | -3.053 | | -3.599 |
| 2001-02 -II | 2.628 | | 5.646 |
| 2002-03 -I | -1.823 | | 0.871 |
| 2002-03 -II | 0.244 | 9.393 | 2.957 |
| 2003-04 -I | 4.630 | 12.421 | 8.868 |
| 2003-04 -II | 2.690 | 3.736 | 4.783 |
| 2004-05 -I | 0.016 | 1.735 | -3.947 |
| 2004-05 -II | 1.741 | 5.156 | 5.430 |
| 2005-06 -I | 3.204 | 2.801 | 5.388 |
| 2005-06 -II | 6.119 | 5.518 | 5.877 |
| 2006-07 -I | 1.087 | 1.375 | 0.946 |
| 2006-07 -II | 1.611 | -5.393 | 0.503 |
| 2007-08 -I | 2.920 | 6.711 | 9.407 |
| 2007-08 -II | -0.994 | -3.547 | -2.558 |
| 2008-09 -I | -2.017 | -3.099 | -1.059 |
| 2008-09 -II | -2.939 | -2.211 | -4.268 |
| 2009-10 I | 6.039 | 12.389 | 9.987 |
| 2009-10 II | 0.245 | 4.213 | -0.677 |
| 2010-11 I | 1.147 | 5.346 | 5.287 |
| 2010-11 II | -0.512 | 1.164 | -1.986 |
| 2011-12 I | -1.829 | -5.801 | -4.721 |
| 2011-12 II | 0.964 | 1.865 | 2.167 |
| 2012-13 I | 0.659 | -1.387 | 0.438 |
| 2012-1311 | -0.015 | -1.996 | -1.304 |
| 2013-14 I | 0.190 | -10.406 | -3.683 |
| 2013-14 II | 1.185 | 2.237 | 1.969 |
| 2014-15 I | 1.649 | 4.215 | 3.083 |
| 2014-15 II | 0.614 | 0.979 | -27.83 |

TABLE 7: HALF YEARLY HOLDING PERIOD RETURN

Source: Calculated from daily stock prices

Table 7 represents the Holding period return for every 6 months for study period 1995-96 to 2014-15. It is observed from the analysis that the returns have increased as the holding period has increased compared to half yearly daily return. The rate of fluctuations in the returns has drastically reduced and there is a reversal of the trend that the decrease is being followed for consecutive half yearly. Example: Canara bank in 2004-05 first half was 1.735 and second half year was 5.156 and followed by a decrease to 2.801. On analysis it has been found that Canara Bank has shown highest holding period return of 12.421 during the first half of 2003-04 and lowest return in the first half of 2013-14 -10.406. While SBI has also shown the same trend however reported in 2014-15 second half a negative holding period return of 27.83 and highest holding returns in the first half of 2003-04 being 8.868. The remaining period they are showing a fluctuating trend throughout the study period.

| TABLE 8: DAILY AVERAGE QUARTERLY RETURN | | | | |
|---|--------------|-------------|--------|--|
| Daily Average | B S E Sensex | Canara Bank | SBI | |
| 1995-96 I | -0.013 | | -0.001 | |
| 1995-96 II | 0.022 | | 0.062 | |
| 1995-96 III | -0.031 | | -0.051 | |
| 1995-96 IV | 0.019 | | 0.080 | |
| 1996-97 I | 0.024 | | 0.056 | |
| 1996-97 II | -0.030 | | -0.048 | |
| 1996-97 III | -0.008 | | -0.032 | |
| 1996-97 IV | 0.009 | | 0.039 | |
| 1997-98 I | 0.039 | | 0.066 | |
| 1997-98 II | -0.021 | | -0.066 | |
| 1997-98 III | -0.023 | | -0.006 | |
| 1997-98 IV | 0.010 | | 0.038 | |
| 1998-99 I | -0.044 | | -0.093 | |
| 1998-99 II | -0.005 | | -0.007 | |
| 1998-99 III | 0.007 | | -0.114 | |
| 1998-99 IV | 0.039 | | 0.095 | |
| 1999-001 | 0.035 | | 0.078 | |

| 1999-00 II | 0.024 | | -0.042 |
|---------------|--------|--------|--------|
| 1999-00 III | 0.015 | | 0.024 |
| 1999-00 IV | -0.018 | | -0.076 |
| 2000-01 I | 0.003 | | 0.046 |
| 2000-01 II | -2.101 | | -5.118 |
| 2000-01 III | -0.009 | | 0.033 |
| 2000-01 IV | -0.021 | | -0.008 |
| 2001-02 I | -0.008 | | 0.033 |
| 2001-02 II | -0.033 | | -0.089 |
| 2001-02 III | 0.037 | | 0.021 |
| 2001-02 IV | 0.012 | | 0.050 |
| 2002-03 I | -0.015 | | 0.010 |
| 2002-03 II | -0.019 | | -0.014 |
| 2002-03 III | 0.029 | 0.053 | 0.062 |
| 2002-03 IV | -1.219 | 9.439 | -1.118 |
| 2003-04 I | 0.030 | 0.085 | 0.094 |
| 2003-04 II | 0.041 | 0.080 | 0.040 |
| 2003-04 III | 0.046 | 0.035 | 0.043 |
| 2003-04 IV | -0.014 | 0.012 | 0.018 |
| 2004-05 | -0.036 | -0.079 | -0.094 |
| 2004-05 II | 0.025 | 0.069 | 0.013 |
| 2004-05 III | 0.025 | 0.103 | 0.079 |
| 2004-05 IV | -0.005 | -0.037 | 0.003 |
| 2005-06 | 0.015 | 0.008 | 0.006 |
| 2005-06 II | 0.031 | 0.019 | 0.068 |
| 2005-06 III | 0.012 | 0.003 | -0.013 |
| 2005-06 IV | 0.031 | 0.033 | 0.015 |
| 2006-07 | -0.016 | -0.088 | -0.076 |
| 2006-07 II | 0.027 | 0.096 | 0.074 |
| 2006-07 III | 0.022 | -0.006 | 0.049 |
| 2006-07 IV | -0.012 | -0.121 | -0.059 |
| 2007-08 | 0.026 | 0.120 | 0.118 |
| 2007-08 II | 0.026 | 0.002 | 0.046 |
| 2007-08 III | 0.022 | 0.045 | 0.047 |
| 2007-08 IV | -0.045 | -0.161 | -0.090 |
| 2008-091 | -0.028 | -0.081 | -0.093 |
| 2008-09 II | -0.010 | 0.018 | 0.071 |
| 2008-09 III | -0.048 | 0.011 | -0.032 |
| 2008-09 IV | -0.004 | -0.054 | -0.054 |
| 2009-10 | 0.063 | 0.155 | 0.103 |
| 2009-10 II | 0.026 | 0.050 | 0.048 |
| 2009-10 III | 0.006 | 0.061 | 0.014 |
| 2009-10 IV | -0.002 | 0.004 | -0.022 |
| 2010-11 | 0.002 | 0.024 | 0.021 |
| 2010-11 II | 0.020 | 0.065 | 0.066 |
| 2010-11 III | 0.003 | 0.031 | -0.027 |
| 2010-11 IV | -0.009 | -0.012 | -0.003 |
| 2011-12 | -0.005 | -0.045 | -0.028 |
| 2011-12 II | -0.022 | -0.042 | -0.047 |
| 2011-12 III | -0.011 | -0.055 | -0.036 |
| 2011-12 IV | 0.019 | 0.070 | 0.054 |
| 2012-13 | 0.000 | -0.036 | 0.007 |
| 2012-13 - II | 0.012 | 0.012 | 0.008 |
| 2012-13 - III | 0.006 | 0.038 | 0.014 |
| 2012-13 - IV | -0.005 | -0.067 | -0.029 |
| 2013-14 | 0.005 | -0.016 | -0.012 |
| 2013-14 II | 0.014 | 0.073 | 0.020 |
| 2013-14 III | 0.009 | -0.018 | 0.018 |
| 2014-15 | 0.021 | 0.161 | 0.073 |
| 2014-15 II | 0.007 | -0.073 | -0.019 |
| 2014-15 III | 0.005 | 0.072 | -0.440 |
| 2014-15 IV | 0.003 | -0.053 | -0.044 |
| | | | |

Source: Calculated from daily stock prices

From the table 8 where daily average quarterly return is given, it is observed from the analysis that the returns in the consecutive quarters have same trends as that of the early quarters. It is also observed that the returns are lower than that of half yearly returns.

| | : AVERAGE DAIL | Y QUARTERLY R | ISK |
|--|--|--|---|
| Daily Risk | B S E Sensex | Canara Bank | SBI |
| 1995-96 I | 0.157 | | 0.362 |
| 1995-96 II | 0 117 | | 0 278 |
| 1005 06 11 | 0.117 | | 0.270 |
| 1995-96 11 | 0.160 | | 0.501 |
| 1995-96 IV | 0.200 | | 0.458 |
| 1996-97 I | 0.166 | | 0.472 |
| 1996-97 II | 0.171 | | 0.434 |
| 1996-97 III | 0.215 | | 0.478 |
| 1006 07 11/ | 0.276 | | 0.690 |
| 1990-9710 | 0.270 | | 0.060 |
| 1997-98 I | 0.132 | | 0.350 |
| 1997-98 II | 0.209 | | 0.536 |
| 1997-98 III | 0.174 | | 0.422 |
| 1997-98 IV | 0 202 | | 0 372 |
| 1008 00 1 | 0.202 | | 0.572 |
| 1998-991 | 0.265 | | 0.517 |
| 1998-99 II | 0.218 | | 0.521 |
| 1998-99 III | 0.202 | | 0.548 |
| 1998-99 IV | 0.217 | | 0.720 |
| 1999-001 | 0.283 | | 0 834 |
| 1000 00 1 | 0.203 | | 0.004 |
| 1999-00 11 | 0.164 | | 0.466 |
| 1999-00 III | 0.209 | | 0.552 |
| 1999-00 IV | 0.242 | | 0.782 |
| 2000-01 I | 0.346 | | 0.531 |
| 2000-01 11 | 0.227 | 1 | 0 384 |
| 2000 01 11 | 0.100 | | 0.304 |
| 2000-01 111 | 0.130 | | 0.421 |
| 2000-01 IV | 0.252 | | 0.551 |
| 2001-02 I | 0.199 | | 0.436 |
| 2001-02 II | 0.220 | | 0.506 |
| 2001-02 III | 0.165 | | 0.436 |
| 2001 02 11/ | 0.154 | | 0.570 |
| 2001-0210 | 0.134 | | 0.379 |
| 2002-031 | 0.156 | | 0.256 |
| 2002-03 II | 0.120 | | 0.209 |
| 2002-03 III | 0.106 | 0.553 | 0.256 |
| 2002-03 IV | 0.110 | 0.986 | 0.312 |
| 2003-041 | 0.129 | 0.949 | 0 279 |
| 2003 04 1 | 0.125 | 0.545 | 0.275 |
| 2005-04 11 | 0.100 | 0.715 | 0.575 |
| 2003-04 III | 0.147 | 0.608 | 0.280 |
| 2003-04 IV | 0.193 | 0.880 | 0.459 |
| 2004-05 I | 0.291 | 0.952 | 0.624 |
| 2004-05 II | 0 105 | 0.470 | 0 312 |
| 2004 05 11 | 0.105 | 0.470 | 0.210 |
| 2004-03 11 | 0.089 | 0.489 | 0.319 |
| 2004-05 IV | 0.129 | 0.598 | 0.342 |
| 2005-06 I | 0.107 | 0.343 | 0.224 |
| 2005-06 II | 0.111 | 0.362 | 0.226 |
| 2005-06 III | 0.137 | 0.505 | 0.293 |
| 2005 06 11/ | 0.101 | 0.572 | 0.207 |
| 2003-0010 | 0.101 | 0.372 | 0.207 |
| 2006-071 | 0.283 | 0.769 | 0.382 |
| 2006-07 II | 0.143 | 0 402 | - |
| 2006-07 11 | 0.1.10 | 0.492 | 0.269 |
| 2000-07 11 | 0.110 | 0.492 | 0.269 0.294 |
| 2006-07 IV | 0.110 0.168 | 0.492 0.460 0.557 | 0.269 0.294 0.356 |
| 2006-07 IV 2007-08 I | 0.110 0.168 0.101 | 0.492 0.460 0.557 0.541 | 0.269 0.294 0.356 0.305 |
| 2006-07 IV 2007-08 I | 0.110 0.168 0.101 0.152 | 0.492 0.460 0.557 0.541 | 0.269 0.294 0.356 0.305 |
| 2006-07 IV 2007-08 I 2007-08 II | 0.110 0.168 0.101 0.153 | 0.492 0.460 0.557 0.541 0.444 | 0.269 0.294 0.356 0.305 0.328 |
| 2007-08 II 2007-08 II 2007-08 III | 0.110 0.168 0.101 0.153 0.194 | 0.492 0.460 0.557 0.541 0.444 0.494 | 0.269 0.294 0.356 0.305 0.328 0.399 |
| 2006-07 IV 2006-07 IV 2007-08 I 2007-08 III 2007-08 IV | 0.110 0.110 0.168 0.101 0.153 0.194 0.287 | 0.492 0.460 0.557 0.541 0.444 0.494 0.814 | 0.269 0.294 0.356 0.305 0.328 0.399 0.411 |
| 2006-07 IV 2007-08 I 2007-08 II 2007-08 III 2007-08 IV 2008-09 I | 0.110 0.168 0.101 0.153 0.194 0.287 0.173 | 0.492 0.460 0.557 0.541 0.444 0.494 0.814 0.461 | 0.269 0.294 0.356 0.305 0.328 0.399 0.411 0.323 |
| 2006-07 IV 2007-08 I 2007-08 II 2007-08 III 2007-08 IV 2008-09 I 2008-09 II | 0.110 0.168 0.101 0.153 0.194 0.287 0.173 0.272 | 0.492 0.460 0.557 0.541 0.444 0.494 0.814 0.461 0.694 | 0.269 0.294 0.356 0.305 0.328 0.399 0.411 0.323 0.524 |
| 2006-07 IV 2007-08 I 2007-08 II 2007-08 III 2007-08 IV 2008-09 I 2008-09 II | 0.110 0.168 0.101 0.153 0.194 0.287 0.173 0.272 0.425 | 0.492 0.460 0.557 0.541 0.444 0.494 0.814 0.461 0.694 0.694 | 0.269 0.294 0.356 0.305 0.328 0.399 0.411 0.323 0.524 |
| 2008-07 IV 2007-08 I 2007-08 II 2007-08 III 2007-08 IV 2008-09 I 2008-09 II 2008-09 II | 0.110 0.168 0.101 0.153 0.194 0.287 0.173 0.272 0.435 0.325 | 0.492 0.460 0.557 0.541 0.444 0.494 0.814 0.461 0.461 0.713 0.685 | 0.269 0.294 0.356 0.305 0.328 0.399 0.411 0.323 0.524 0.670 |
| 2006-07 III 2007-08 I 2007-08 II 2007-08 III 2007-08 IV 2008-09 I 2008-09 II 2008-09 IV | 0.110 0.168 0.101 0.153 0.194 0.287 0.173 0.272 0.435 0.275 | 0.492 0.460 0.557 0.541 0.444 0.494 0.814 0.461 0.694 0.713 0.686 | 0.269 0.294 0.356 0.305 0.328 0.399 0.411 0.323 0.524 0.670 0.459 |
| 2006-07 III 2007-08 I 2007-08 II 2007-08 III 2007-08 IV 2008-09 I 2008-09 II 2008-09 III 2008-09 IV 2008-09 IV 2009-10 I | 0.110 0.168 0.101 0.153 0.194 0.287 0.173 0.272 0.435 0.275 0.311 | 0.492 0.460 0.557 0.541 0.444 0.494 0.814 0.461 0.694 0.713 0.686 0.654 | 0.269 0.294 0.356 0.305 0.328 0.399 0.411 0.323 0.524 0.670 0.459 0.565 |
| 2006-07 IV 2007-08 I 2007-08 II 2007-08 III 2007-08 IV 2008-09 I 2008-09 II 2008-09 IV 2008-09 IV 2009-10 I 2009-10 I | 0.110 0.168 0.101 0.153 0.194 0.287 0.173 0.272 0.435 0.275 0.311 0.181 | 0.492 0.460 0.557 0.541 0.444 0.494 0.494 0.461 0.461 0.694 0.713 0.686 0.654 0.487 | 0.269 0.294 0.356 0.305 0.328 0.399 0.411 0.323 0.524 0.670 0.459 0.565 0.348 |
| 2006-07 IV 2007-08 I 2007-08 II 2007-08 III 2007-08 IV 2008-09 I 2008-09 II 2008-09 II 2008-09 IV 2008-09 IV 2009-10 I 2009-10 II | 0.110 0.168 0.101 0.153 0.194 0.287 0.173 0.272 0.435 0.275 0.311 0.181 0.130 | 0.492 0.460 0.557 0.541 0.444 0.494 0.494 0.461 0.694 0.713 0.686 0.654 0.654 0.487 0.453 | 0.269 0.294 0.356 0.305 0.328 0.399 0.411 0.323 0.524 0.670 0.459 0.565 0.348 0.288 |
| 2006-07 IV 2007-08 I 2007-08 II 2007-08 III 2007-08 IV 2008-09 IV 2008-09 II 2008-09 II 2008-09 IV 2009-10 I 2009-10 II 2009-10 II | 0.110 0.110 0.168 0.101 0.153 0.194 0.287 0.173 0.272 0.435 0.275 0.311 0.181 0.130 0.101 | 0.492 0.460 0.557 0.541 0.444 0.494 0.814 0.461 0.694 0.713 0.686 0.654 0.487 0.487 0.453 0.287 | 0.269 0.294 0.356 0.305 0.328 0.399 0.411 0.323 0.524 0.670 0.459 0.565 0.348 0.288 0.288 |
| 2006-07 III 2006-07 IV 2007-08 II 2007-08 III 2007-08 IV 2008-09 I 2008-09 II 2008-09 III 2008-09 IV 2009-10 II 2009-10 II 2009-10 III 2009-10 IV 2009-10 IV | 0.110 0.168 0.101 0.153 0.194 0.287 0.173 0.272 0.435 0.275 0.311 0.181 0.130 0.101 0.124 | 0.492 0.460 0.557 0.541 0.444 0.494 0.814 0.461 0.694 0.713 0.686 0.654 0.487 0.453 0.287 0.381 | 0.269 0.294 0.356 0.305 0.328 0.399 0.411 0.323 0.524 0.670 0.459 0.565 0.348 0.288 0.288 0.288 |
| 2006-07 III 2006-07 IV 2007-08 I 2007-08 II 2007-08 IV 2008-09 I 2008-09 II 2008-09 II 2008-09 IV 2009-10 I 2009-10 II 2009-10 II 2009-10 IV 2009-10 IV 2009-10 IV | 0.110 0.168 0.101 0.153 0.194 0.287 0.173 0.272 0.435 0.275 0.311 0.181 0.130 0.101 0.124 | 0.492 0.460 0.557 0.541 0.444 0.494 0.814 0.461 0.694 0.713 0.686 0.654 0.487 0.453 0.287 0.281 | 0.269 0.294 0.356 0.305 0.328 0.399 0.411 0.323 0.524 0.670 0.459 0.565 0.348 0.288 0.187 0.227 |
| 2006-07 III 2006-07 IV 2007-08 I 2007-08 II 2007-08 IV 2008-09 I 2008-09 IV 2008-09 IV 2008-09 IV 2009-10 I 2009-10 I 2009-10 II 2009-10 IV 2009-10 IV 2010-11 II 2010-11 II | 0.110 0.168 0.101 0.153 0.194 0.287 0.173 0.272 0.435 0.275 0.311 0.181 0.130 0.101 0.124 0.071 | 0.492 0.460 0.557 0.541 0.444 0.494 0.494 0.461 0.694 0.713 0.686 0.654 0.487 0.453 0.287 0.281 0.248 | 0.269 0.294 0.356 0.305 0.328 0.399 0.411 0.323 0.524 0.670 0.459 0.565 0.348 0.288 0.187 0.227 0.203 |
| 2006-07 IV 2007-08 I 2007-08 II 2007-08 III 2007-08 IV 2008-09 II 2008-09 II 2008-09 IV 2009-10 I 2009-10 II 2009-10 II 2009-10 IV 2009-10 IV 2009-10 IV 2010-11 II 2010-11 III | 0.110 0.110 0.168 0.101 0.153 0.194 0.287 0.173 0.272 0.435 0.275 0.311 0.181 0.130 0.101 0.101 0.124 0.071 0.109 | 0.492 0.460 0.557 0.541 0.444 0.494 0.814 0.461 0.694 0.713 0.686 0.654 0.487 0.453 0.287 0.281 0.248 0.416 | 0.269 0.294 0.356 0.305 0.328 0.399 0.411 0.323 0.524 0.670 0.459 0.565 0.348 0.288 0.187 0.227 0.203 0.269 |
| 2006-07 IIV 2006-07 IV 2007-08 II 2007-08 III 2007-08 IV 2008-09 I 2008-09 II 2008-09 III 2008-09 IV 2009-10 II 2009-10 II 2009-10 III 2009-10 III 2009-11 II 2010-11 III 2010-11 IIV | 0.110 0.110 0.168 0.101 0.153 0.194 0.287 0.173 0.272 0.435 0.275 0.311 0.181 0.130 0.101 0.124 0.071 0.109 0.138 | 0.492 0.460 0.557 0.541 0.444 0.494 0.814 0.461 0.694 0.713 0.686 0.654 0.487 0.487 0.453 0.287 0.281 0.248 0.416 0.413 | 0.269 0.294 0.356 0.305 0.328 0.399 0.411 0.323 0.524 0.670 0.459 0.565 0.348 0.288 0.187 0.227 0.203 0.269 0.264 |
| 2006-07 IIV 2007-08 I 2007-08 II 2007-08 III 2007-08 IIV 2008-09 I 2008-09 II 2008-09 III 2008-09 IV 2009-10 I 2009-10 II 2009-10 II 2009-10 III 2009-10 III 2009-10 IIV 2010-11 II 2010-11 III 2010-11 IV 2010-11 IV 2011-12 I | 0.110 0.110 0.168 0.101 0.153 0.194 0.287 0.173 0.272 0.435 0.275 0.311 0.181 0.130 0.101 0.124 0.071 0.109 0.138 0.107 | 0.492 0.460 0.557 0.541 0.444 0.494 0.814 0.461 0.694 0.713 0.686 0.654 0.487 0.453 0.287 0.281 0.248 0.416 0.413 0.268 | 0.269 0.294 0.356 0.305 0.328 0.399 0.411 0.323 0.524 0.670 0.459 0.565 0.348 0.288 0.187 0.227 0.203 0.264 0.253 |
| 2006-07 III 2006-07 IV 2007-08 I 2007-08 II 2007-08 III 2007-08 IV 2008-09 I 2008-09 II 2008-09 IV 2008-09 IV 2009-10 II 2009-10 II 2009-10 II 2009-10 II 2009-10 IV 2010-11 II 2010-11 II 2010-11 IV 2011-12 I 2011-12 I | 0.110 0.110 0.168 0.001 0.153 0.194 0.287 0.173 0.272 0.435 0.275 0.311 0.181 0.130 0.101 0.124 0.071 0.109 0.138 0.107 0.109 | 0.492 0.460 0.557 0.541 0.444 0.494 0.814 0.461 0.694 0.713 0.686 0.654 0.487 0.453 0.287 0.281 0.248 0.416 0.413 0.268 0.337 | 0.269 0.294 0.356 0.305 0.328 0.399 0.411 0.323 0.524 0.670 0.459 0.565 0.348 0.288 0.187 0.227 0.203 0.269 0.264 0.253 0.253 |
| 2006-07 III 2006-07 IV 2007-08 I 2007-08 II 2007-08 III 2007-08 IV 2008-09 I 2008-09 II 2008-09 IV 2009-10 II 2009-10 II 2009-10 II 2009-10 II 2009-10 IV 2010-11 II 2010-11 II 2010-11 IV 2011-12 II 2011-12 II | 0.110 0.110 0.168 0.001 0.153 0.194 0.287 0.173 0.272 0.435 0.275 0.311 0.181 0.130 0.101 0.124 0.071 0.109 0.138 0.107 0.138 0.107 0.144 0.452 | 0.492 0.460 0.557 0.541 0.444 0.494 0.494 0.461 0.694 0.713 0.686 0.654 0.487 0.453 0.287 0.281 0.248 0.416 0.413 0.268 0.337 0.205 | 0.269 0.294 0.356 0.305 0.328 0.399 0.411 0.323 0.524 0.670 0.459 0.565 0.348 0.288 0.187 0.227 0.203 0.269 0.264 0.253 0.252 |
| 2006-07 IV 2007-08 I 2007-08 II 2007-08 III 2007-08 IV 2008-09 II 2008-09 II 2008-09 IV 2008-09 IV 2009-10 I 2009-10 II 2009-10 II 2009-10 II 2009-10 IV 2010-11 II 2010-11 II 2010-11 IV 2011-12 I 2011-12 II 2011-12 III | 0.110 0.110 0.168 0.101 0.153 0.194 0.287 0.173 0.272 0.435 0.275 0.311 0.181 0.130 0.101 0.124 0.071 0.109 0.138 0.107 0.144 0.153 | 0.492 0.460 0.557 0.541 0.494 0.494 0.494 0.461 0.461 0.694 0.713 0.686 0.654 0.487 0.453 0.287 0.281 0.248 0.416 0.413 0.268 0.337 0.395 | 0.269 0.294 0.356 0.305 0.328 0.399 0.411 0.323 0.524 0.670 0.459 0.565 0.348 0.288 0.187 0.227 0.203 0.269 0.264 0.253 0.252 0.334 |
| 2006-07 IIV 2007-08 II 2007-08 III 2007-08 III 2007-08 IIV 2008-09 II 2008-09 II 2008-09 III 2008-09 IV 2009-10 II 2009-10 II 2009-10 III 2009-10 III 2009-10 IIV 2010-11 II 2010-11 IIV 2011-12 II 2011-12 IIV 2011-12 IV | 0.110 0.110 0.168 0.101 0.153 0.194 0.287 0.173 0.272 0.435 0.275 0.311 0.181 0.130 0.101 0.124 0.071 0.109 0.138 0.107 0.144 0.153 0.119 | 0.492 0.460 0.557 0.541 0.444 0.494 0.814 0.461 0.694 0.713 0.686 0.654 0.487 0.453 0.287 0.281 0.248 0.416 0.413 0.268 0.337 0.395 0.491 | 0.269 0.294 0.356 0.305 0.328 0.399 0.411 0.323 0.524 0.670 0.459 0.565 0.348 0.288 0.288 0.288 0.287 0.203 0.269 0.264 0.253 0.252 0.334 0.320 |
| 2006-07 III 2006-07 IV 2007-08 II 2007-08 III 2007-08 III 2007-08 IV 2008-09 II 2008-09 II 2008-09 IV 2009-10 II 2009-10 II 2009-10 II 2009-10 II 2009-10 II 2009-10 II 2009-10 II 2009-10 II 2009-11 II 2010-11 III 2010-11 IV 2011-12 II 2011-12 II 2011-12 II 2011-12 II 2011-12 II 2011-12 II | 0.110 0.110 0.168 0.101 0.153 0.194 0.287 0.173 0.272 0.435 0.275 0.311 0.181 0.130 0.101 0.124 0.071 0.109 0.138 0.107 0.144 0.153 0.119 0.103 | 0.492 0.460 0.557 0.541 0.444 0.494 0.814 0.461 0.694 0.713 0.686 0.654 0.487 0.453 0.287 0.281 0.248 0.416 0.413 0.268 0.337 0.395 0.491 0.337 | 0.269 0.294 0.356 0.305 0.328 0.399 0.411 0.323 0.524 0.670 0.459 0.565 0.348 0.288 0.187 0.227 0.203 0.264 0.253 0.264 0.253 0.252 0.334 0.320 0.278 |

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE & MANAGEMENT A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories <u>http://ijrcm.org.in/</u>

| 2012 -13 III | 0.066 | 0.325 | 0.200 | |
|--|-------|-------|-------|--|
| 2012-13 IV | 0.070 | 0.365 | 0.195 | |
| 2013-14 I | 0.114 | 0.431 | 0.245 | |
| 2013-14 II | 0.153 | 0.710 | 0.340 | |
| 2013-14 III | 0.093 | 0.575 | 0.248 | |
| 2013-14 IV | 0.074 | 0.431 | 0.220 | |
| 2014-15 I | 0.089 | 0.658 | 0.298 | |
| 2014-15 II | 0.078 | 0.404 | 0.220 | |
| 2014-15 III | 0.076 | 0.378 | 3.807 | |
| 2014-15 IV | 0.099 | 0.355 | 0.363 | |
| Source: Calculated from daily stock prices | | | | |

From analysis of the table 9 where quarterly risk is given it is observed that the risk in the quarterly is more when compared to the half yearly and the risk bearing capacity of the individual is not spread over a period of time in case of daily risk. The common phenomena of higher the returns, higher the risk cannot be ruled out, when all the quarters are taken into account.

| TABLE 10: QUARTERLY HOLDING PERIOD RETURN | | | |
|---|--------------|-------------|---------|
| Daily Return | B S E Sensex | Canara Bank | SBI |
| 1995-96 I | -0.253 | | 1.086 |
| 1995-96 II | 0.903 | | 2.940 |
| 1995-96 III | -1.425 | | -2.520 |
| 1995-96 IV | 1.147 | | 5.039 |
| 1996-971 | 1.372 | | 3.328 |
| 1996-97 II | -1.986 | | -3.009 |
| 1996-97 III | -0.593 | | -2.792 |
| 1996-97 IV | 1.085 | | 3.520 |
| 1997-981 | 2.873 | | 3.907 |
| 1997-98 | -1.036 | | -3 577 |
| 1997-98 III | -0.772 | | -0.604 |
| 1997-98 IV | 0.764 | | 2 715 |
| 1998-991 | -2 181 | | -5 172 |
| 1998-99 | -0.565 | | -0.926 |
| 1998-99 11 | -0 173 | | -4 582 |
| 1998-99 11/ | 2 502 | | 6.031 |
| 1999-001 | 1 254 | | 2 1 3 7 |
| 1999-00 1 | 1.234 | | _1 / 58 |
| 1999-00 11 | 0.505 | | 0.657 |
| 1999-00 11/ | 0.011 | | 1 000 |
| 2000 01 1 | 0.011 | | 2 205 |
| 2000-011 | -0.574 | | 2.295 |
| 2000-01 II | -1.762 | | -4.569 |
| 2000-01 III | -0.542 | | 1.049 |
| 2000-0110 | -1.100 | | 1.044 |
| 2001-021 | -0.500 | | 1.844 |
| 2001-02 II | -2.553 | | -5.443 |
| 2001-02 III | 1.863 | | 2.041 |
| 2001-02 10 | 0.765 | | 3.605 |
| 2002-031 | -0.817 | | 1.683 |
| 2002-03 II | -1.006 | 0.200 | -0.812 |
| 2002-03 11 | 1.508 | 0.266 | 3.749 |
| 2002-03 IV | -1.264 | 9.127 | -0.792 |
| 2003-041 | 2.080 | 6.898 | 6.143 |
| 2003-04 11 | 2.549 | 5.523 | 2.725 |
| 2003-04 11 | 3.181 | 2.319 | 2.864 |
| 2003-04 IV | -0.491 | 1.417 | 1.919 |
| 2004-051 | -1./6/ | -3.394 | -5.349 |
| 2004-05 11 | 1.783 | 5.129 | 1.401 |
| 2004-05 11 | 1.927 | 6.159 | 5.290 |
| 2004-05 IV | -0.186 | -1.003 | 0.140 |
| 2005-061 | 1.165 | 0.997 | 0.581 |
| 2005-06 II | 2.039 | 1.804 | 4.807 |
| 2005-06 III | 0.936 | 0.743 | -0.468 |
| 2005-06 IV | 1.979 | 1.975 | 0.957 |
| 2006-07 I | -0.634 | -5.045 | -4.199 |
| 2006-07 II | 1.722 | 6.421 | 5.145 |
| 2006-07 III | 1.076 | -0.440 | 2.757 |
| 2006-07 IV | -0.552 | -6.329 | -3.199 |
| 2007-081 | 1.200 | 6.093 | 6.072 |
| 2007-08 II | 1.720 | 0.617 | 3.335 |
| 2007-08 III | 1.636 | 3.171 | 2.593 |
| 2007-08 IV | -2.630 | -6.718 | -5.150 |
| 2008-09 I | -1.559 | -4.373 | -5.021 |
| 2008-09 II | -0.458 | 1.274 | 3.962 |
| 2008-09 III | -3.030 | 0.049 | -1.657 |
| 2008-09 IV | 0.091 | -2.260 | -2.611 |

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE & MANAGEMENT A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories http://ijrcm.org.in/

| 2009-10 I | 4.301 | 8.722 | 6.895 |
|-------------|--------|--------|---------|
| 2009-10 II | 1.738 | 3.667 | 3.093 |
| 2009-10 III | 0.205 | 3.372 | 0.453 |
| 2009-10 IV | 0.040 | 0.841 | -1.130 |
| 2010-11 | -0.130 | 1.141 | 0.978 |
| 2010-11 II | 1.417 | 4.177 | 4.517 |
| 2010-11 III | 0.020 | 1.936 | -1.812 |
| 2010-11 IV | -0.527 | -0.499 | 0.178 |
| 2011-12 | -0.447 | -3.137 | -1.739 |
| 2011-12 II | -1.365 | -3.131 | -3.128 |
| 2011-12 III | -0.264 | -2.598 | -1.290 |
| 2011-12 IV | 0.909 | 3.516 | 2.750 |
| 2012-13 I | -0.095 | -2.170 | -0.047 |
| 2012 -13 II | 0.756 | 0.485 | 0.336 |
| 2012-13III | 0.296 | 2.135 | 0.498 |
| 2012-13IV | -0.461 | -4.973 | -2.144 |
| 2013-14 | 0.191 | -1.933 | -1.178 |
| 2013-14 II | -0.037 | -9.069 | -2.755 |
| 2012-13 III | 0.625 | 3.342 | 0.850 |
| 2013-14 IV | 0.695 | -0.398 | 1.294 |
| 2014-15 I | 1.187 | 8.788 | 4.279 |
| 2014-15 II | 0.297 | -4.470 | -1.268 |
| 2014-15 III | 0.449 | 4.249 | -25.132 |
| 2014-15 IV | 0.027 | -3.276 | -2.890 |

Source: Calculated from daily stock prices

From analysis of the table 10 where quarterly holding period return is given it is observed that the BSE sensex varied between -3.030 and 4.301. While Canara bank is varying between -9.069 to 9.127 in the quarters second of 2013-14 and fourth quarter of 2012-13 and SBI was varying between -25.132 (2014-15 third quarter) and 6.895 (2009-10 first quarter). The best performer is Canara bank when compared to SBI in quarterly holding period return since it is showing highest quarterly holding period returns during the study period.

| TABLE 11: DAILY HALF YEARLY RISK | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--|-------------|--------|--|--|
| Time period | B S E Sensex | Canara Bank | SBI | | |
| 1995-96 –I | 0.140 | | 0.326 | | |
| 1995-96-II | 0.183 | | 0.478 | | |
| 1996-97 - I | 0.170 | | 0.451 | | |
| 1996-97 - II | 0.255 | | 0.606 | | |
| 1997-98-I | 0.153 | | 0.336 | | |
| 1997-98-II | 0.188 | | 0.396 | | |
| 1998-99 –I | 0.250 | | 0.518 | | |
| 1998-99 -II | 0.208 | | 0.638 | | |
| 1999-00 –I | 0.228 | | 0.669 | | |
| 1999-00 -II | 0.237 | | 0.684 | | |
| 2000-01 –I | 0.291 | | 0.463 | | |
| 2000-01 -II | 0.221 | | 0.487 | | |
| 2001-02 –I | 0.209 | | 0.474 | | |
| 2001-02 -II | 0.159 | | 0.510 | | |
| 2002-03 –I | 0.139 | | 0.232 | | |
| 2002-03 -II | 0.110 | 0.951 | 0.286 | | |
| 2003-04 –I | 0.148 | 0.830 | 0.330 | | |
| 2003-04 -II | 0.172 | 0.751 | 0.381 | | |
| 2004-05 –I | -0.002 | 0.009 | -0.033 | | |
| 2004-05 -II | 0.013 | 0.042 | 0.041 | | |
| 2005-06 –I | 0.109 | 0.353 | 0.230 | | |
| 2005-06 -II | 0.024 | 0.020 | 0.022 | | |
| 2006-07 –I | 0.223 | 0.646 | 0.337 | | |
| 2006-07 -II | 0.005 | -0.022 | 0.001 | | |
| 2007-08 –I | 0.027 | 0.063 | 0.083 | | |
| 2007-08 -II | -0.008 | -0.031 | -0.017 | | |
| 2008-09 –I | -0.016 | -0.020 | -0.010 | | |
| 2008-09 -II | -0.026 | -0.018 | -0.039 | | |
| 2009-10 I | 0.048 | 0.104 | 0.081 | | |
| 2009-10 II | 0.002 | 0.038 | -0.006 | | |
| 2010-11-I | 0.101 | 0.264 | 0.216 | | |
| 2010-11-II | 0.123 | 0.412 | 0.265 | | |
| 2011-12-I | 0.127 | 0.303 | 0.251 | | |
| 2011-12-II | 0.136 | 0.447 | 0.327 | | |
| 2012-13-I | 0.093 | 0.364 | 0.263 | | |
| 2012-13-II | 0.068 | 0.352 | 0.198 | | |
| 2013-14-I | 0.134 | 0.596 | 0.297 | | |
| 2013-14 -II | 0.083 | 0.506 | 0.232 | | |
| 2014- 15 -I | 0.083 | 0.552 | 0.263 | | |
| 2014- 15 -II | 0.088 | 0.368 | 2.643 | | |
| Source: | Source: Calculated from daily stock prices | | | | |

From analysis of the table 11 where half yearly risk is given it is observed that BSE sensex varied between -0.002 and 0.291 while Canara bank varied between - 0.018 to 0.951 and SBI was varying between -0.006 and 2.643. The analysis of the above table reveals that the risk of Canara bank is the highest when compared to that of SBI.

| TABLE 12: HPK FIVE TEAKS RETURN | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------|-------------|---------|--|
| HPR | B S E Sensex | Canara Bank | SBI | |
| 1995-2000 | 5.200 | | 4.837 | |
| 2000-2005 | 3.236 | 32.440 | 21.215 | |
| 2005-2010 | 10.984 | 14.581 | 17.213 | |
| 2010-2015 | 4.502 | -1.057 | -26.117 | |
| - | | | | |

Source: Calculated from daily stock prices

TABLE 13: STANDARD DEVIATION FIVE YEARS

| STDEV | B S E Sensex | Canara Bank | SBI |
|-----------|--------------|-------------|-------|
| 1995-2000 | 0.206 | | 0.528 |
| 2000-2005 | 0.186 | 0.752 | 0.412 |
| 2005-2010 | 0.208 | 0.554 | 0.374 |
| 2010-2015 | 0.106 | 0.428 | 0.853 |

Source: Calculated from daily stock prices

From the analysis of tables 12 and 13 it is observed that Sensex 5 years yearly return was highest in 2005-2010 and it has the highest risk of 0.208 compared to the last three 5 years i.e., 1995-2000, 2000-2005 and 2010-2015. In case of Canara bank the common phenomenon of higher risk higher return is applicable the risk in 2000-2005 is 0.752 and the return is highest at 32.440 while it reported negative return during the five year 2010-2015 wherein the deviation being the lowest for the same period. The exception to the rule is that of SBI it reported highest risk of 0.853 with a lowest negative return at 26.117 percent during 2010-15. Whereas in the other three 5 years period/ terms the common phenomenon of higher the risk, greater the return holds valid.

| TABLE 14: AVERAGE DAILY FIVE YEARS RETURNS | | | | | | |
|--|-------|--------|--------|--|--|--|
| Average B S E Sensex Canara Bank SE | | | | | | |
| 1995-2000 | 0.008 | | 0.007 | | | |
| 2000-2005 | 0.005 | 0.113 | 0.033 | | | |
| 2005-2010 | 0.018 | 0.023 | 0.028 | | | |
| 2010-2015 | 0.004 | -0.001 | -0.021 | | | |
| C | | | | | | |

Source: Calculated from daily stock prices

The Average daily returns for 5 years is represented in table 14 which is showing that during the period 1995-2000 the market was yielding good returns whereas SBI was not yielding good returns. The phase of 2000-2005 and 2005-2010 was good for both Canara bank and SBI in terms of Average daily 5 year returns, while the five year period of 2010-2015 has found to be yielding a negative return in case of both Canara bank and SBI.

| TABLE 15: TEN YEARS | | | | |
|----------------------|--------------|-------------|--------|--|
| Year (1995-2005) | B S E Sensex | Canara Bank | SBI | |
| HPR | 8.436 | 32.440 | 26.052 | |
| STDEV | 0.196 | 0.752 | 0.472 | |
| Average Daily Return | 0.007 | 0.113 | 0.021 | |
| | | | | |
| Year (2005- 2015) | B S E Sensex | Canara Bank | SBI | |
| HPR | 15.722 | 13.886 | -8.541 | |
| STDEV | 0.165 | 0.495 | 0.660 | |
| Average Daily Return | 0.006 | 0.005 | -0.004 | |

Source: Calculated from daily stock prices

FIGURE 2: TEN YEAR HPR, RISK AND DAILY RETURN



From the analysis of table 15 and figure 2 it is observed that Average daily return and Average daily risk are touching the X axis and they are lying between 0.006 and 0.752. The 10 year holding period for Canara bank was highest at 32.440. It yielded better than SBI and total market. During the period 2005-2015 SBI performance as to the returns to the holding period has found to be negative.

| TABLE 16: COMPLETE STUDY PERIOD (1995-2015) | |
|---|--|
|---|--|

| ., | | | | |
|-------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------|
| | Particulars | B S E Sensex | Canara Bank | SBI |
| SUM | | 24.158 | 46.326 | 17.021 |
| STDEV | | 0.005 | 0.015 | 0.003 |
| AVG. | | 0.181 | 0.552 | 0.574 |
| | | | | |

Source: Calculated from daily stock prices

From the table 16 it is observed that the Canara bank yield was the highest at 46.326 and the risk was also highest at 0.015.

CONCLUSIONS

It can be concluded that Canara bank follows the common phenomenon that higher the risk higher the return. Canara Bank's return was not only higher than that of SBI but also more consistent throughout the study period. The other observation made from the analysis which is very important is that the equity shares are not for speculation but for investment in the long run holds good.

LIMITATIONS

- 1. Complete and comprehensive data is not assured, as it is collected from secondary sources as defined in the sample and data sources.
- 2. It is assumed that various effects of multiplicity of factors or triggers affecting stock prices are embedded in the return and risk aggregates and their movements. In spite of this an attempt is made to study the return's yearly averages.
- 3. The sample for the study is a mixed sample of institutions, which vary in their fundamentals and may be at different stages in their life cycle, size or profitability.
- 4. Application of log transformation of the return would return positive skewness of the return distribution. Such transformation will control the real distribution pattern and the chances of observing the real behavior of the investors is less.
- 5. In the case of Canara bank the data were available from November, 2002 only and hence analysis was carried out only for that period i.e., 2002 to 2015.

REFERENCES

- Agariya, Arun Kumar, Singh, Deepali. (2012), "CRM scale development & validation in Indian Banking Sector", Journal of Internet Banking & Commerce, Vol. 17 Issue 1, Special section pp.1-21.
- 2. Andrew F Perold, (2009), "Capital Asset Pricing Model", The Journal of Economic Perspectives, Vol.18, No.3, pp.3-24.
- 3. Balakrishnan, Lalitha, Srividya Prathiba, C.S (2012), "A Study on Challenges Faced by Private Sector Banks in the Globalised Economy", SIES Journal of Management, Vol. 8 Issue 2, pp.14-20.
- 4. Bodla, B. S.; Verma, Richa. (2006), "Determinants of Profitability of Banks in India: A Multivariate Analysis", Journal of Services Research., Vol. 6 Issue 2, pp.75-89.
- 5. Brunie D.A., (1990), "An Empirical evaluation of the Friedman Hypothesis of Inflation on Capital Asset Pricing", Financial Review, Vol.25, pp.297-319.
- 6. Deb, Joyeeta. Pranjana. (2010), "Income Diversification in Banking: A Branch Level study in North East India", The Journal of Management Awareness Vol. 13 Issue 1, pp.98-104.
- 7. Enrico De Giorgi and Thierry Post. (2008), "Reward- Risk Portfolio Selection, and the CAPM", Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis Vol. 43, No. 2, pp. 525-546.
- 8. Mahajan, Poonam; Bhatia, Aparna; Chander, Subhash. (2012), "ROA Performance of Public Sector Banks in India", IUP Journal of Bank Management, Vol. 11 Issue 3,pp22-35.
- 9. Obaidullah.M, (1994), "Indian Stock Market: Theories and Evidence", ICFAI Journal of Finance, Vol.2, pp.48-56.
- 10. Sen, Kakoli (2013) "Occupational role stress- An Exploratory Study in the Indian Public Sector Banks", Journal of Services Research., Vol. 12 Issue 2, pp.173-192.
- 11. Singh, Manjit; Kaushal, Robin (2012), "Factor Analysis Approach to Customers' Assessment of Electronic Payment and Clearing System in Indian Banking Sector", IUP Journal of Bank Management, Vol. 11 Issue 3, pp.64-75.
- 12. Siraj., K. K.; Pillai, P. Sudarsanan. (2011), "Asset Quality and Profitability of Indian Scheduled Commercial Banks during Global Financial Crisis", International Research Journal of Finance & Economics, Issue 80, pp.55-65.
- 13. Suryanarayan and Mohapatra. (2011), "Outsourcing Practices in the Indian Banking Sector: Benefits and Risks", Advances in Management, Vol. 4 Issue 12, pp.21-26.
- 14. T Manjunatha and T Mallikarjunappa, (2009), "Bivariate Analysis of Capital, Asset Pricing Model in, Indian Capital Market", Vikalpa Vol. 34 No 1 pp.47-59.
- 15. Yalwar Y.B. (1988), "Bombay Stock Exchange: Rates of Return and Efficiency", IIM, Bangalore, India, pp. 68-121.

REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

Dear Readers

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce & Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue, as well as on the journal as a whole, on our e-mail **infoijrcm@gmail.com** for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries, please feel free to contact us on our e-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward to an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-Co-ordinator

DISCLAIMER

The information and opinions presented in the Journal reflect the views of the authors and not of the Journal or its Editorial Board or the Publishers/Editors. Publication does not constitute endorsement by the journal. Neither the Journal nor its publishers/Editors/Editorial Board nor anyone else involved in creating, producing or delivering the journal or the materials contained therein, assumes any liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information provided in the journal, nor shall they be liable for any direct, indirect, incidental, special, consequential or punitive damages arising out of the use of information/material contained in the journal. The journal, neither its publishers/Editors/ Editorial Board, nor any other party involved in the preparation of material contained in the journal represents or warrants that the information contained herein is in every respect accurate or complete, and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such material. Readers are encouraged to confirm the information contained herein with other sources. The responsibility of the contents and the opinions expressed in this journal are exclusively of the author (s) concerned.

ABOUT THE JOURNAL

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active cooperation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.

Our Other Fournals





