INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN **COMMERCE & MANAGEMENT**



A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland with IC Value of 5.09 & number of libraries all around the world.

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than 1771 Cities in 148 countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

CONTENTS

Sr. No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page No.
1.	ECONOMIC ANALYSIS OF SAFFRON PRODUCTION IN IRAN	1
2.	DR. MASSOUD KHEIRANDISH, M. V. SRINIVASA GOWDA & DR. SAJAD ABDULLAH SARAF WHY CONSISTENCY OF ACCOUNTING STANDARDS MATTERS: A CONTRIBUTION TO THE PRINCIPLES -VERSUS - RULES DEBATE IN FINANCIAL REPORTING	5
3.	DR. FISSEHA GIRMAY TESSEMA EVALUATING THE FINANCIAL SOUNDNESS OF SELECTED COMMERCIAL BANKS IN SRI LANKA: AN APPLICATION OF BANKOMETER MODEL ANAMA AT HAS AND BORD BANKOMETER OF SELECTED COMMERCIAL BANKS IN SRI LANKA: AN APPLICATION OF BANKOMETER	12
4.	NIMALATHASAN, B., BALAPUTHIRAN, S & PRIYA, K A STUDY ON FDI IN SULTANATE OF OMAN	15
5.	BOARD SIZE, CHIEF COMPLIANCE OFFICER AND FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE OF BANKS IN NIGERIA	19
6.	A STUDY ON EMPLOYEE JOB SATISFACTION IN CONSTRUCTION COMPANIES IN VIETNAM	23
7.	FACTORS INFLUENCE FINANCIAL DECISIONS UNDER THE PYRAMID OF NATURAL CONSTRAINTS	28
8.	A STUDY ON UNPRINCIPLED SELLING PRACTICES TOWARDS THE PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY IN INDIA	31
9.	JOB STRESS & EMPLOYEE BURNOUT: AN OVERVIEW	35
10.	DEEPIKA SHARMA & DR. M. L. GUPTA THE CONSUMER BEHAVIOR TOWARDS PACKAGE OF COSMETICS HEMAPATIL & DR. B BAKKAPA	38
11.	NPA MANAGEMENT IN PUBLIC SECTOR BANKS: A STUDY OF CANARA BANK AND STATE BANK OF INDIA K. V. RAMESH & A. SUDHAKAR	42
12.	A STUDY ON CONSUMERS PERCEPTION TOWARDS GREEN PACKAGING INITIATIVES WITH REFERENCE TO CONSUMERS IN PUDUKKOTTAI DISTRICT DR. S. SOLAIAPPAN & S. PALANIAPPAN	50
13.	THE EMPIRICAL EVIDENCES OF SLOWDOWN OF FDI INFLOW IN INDIA SINCE 2009 PEARLY JERRY	55
14.	CORPORATE REPORTING - ITs IMPACT ON INDIVIDUAL INVESTORS DR. P. SAIRANI & ANNIE KAVITA	62
15.	KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT STRATEGY AND ACTION PLAN FOR SUCCESSFUL IMPLEMENTATION C. RAMANIGOPAL	67
16.	HUMAN RESOURCE ACCOUNTING IN INDIA – QUANTIFICATION OF QUALITATIVE FACTORS OF EMPLOYEES DR. A. CHANDRA MOHAN, S C RAJAN DANIEL & DR. N. KISHOREBABU	70
17.	THE IMPACT OF ADVERTISING APPEALS ON CUSTOMER BUYING BEHAVIOR GUNJAN BAHETI, DR. RAJENDRA KUMAR JAIN & NIDHI JAIN	75
18.	ASSESSMENT OF LIQUIDITY IN INDIAN PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY – A STUDY K. PADMINI & C. SIVARAMI REDDY	79
19.	LIQUIDITY MANAGEMENT: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY OF CUDDAPAH SPINNING MILLS LIMITED, KADAPA (AP) N. VENKATA RAMANA	83
20.	INTRAPRENEURSHIP AND ORGANIZATIONAL KNOWLEDGE IN THE CORPORATE ENVIRONMENT: A THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK DR. LEENA JAMES	89
21.	SUGAR INDUSTRY IN INDIA – AN OVERVIEW V. RAMESH BABU & DR. M. MADHUSUDHANA VARMA	93
22.	PEPPER PRODUCTION TREND IN INDIA: AN OVERVIEW DR. P. CHENNAKRISHNAN	101
23.	FINANCING STRATEGIES FOR SMEs IN INDIA – A WAY OUT AMITESH KAPOOR	104
24.	BRAND LOYALTY- A MEASURE DR. Y. JAHANGIR	112
25.	ANALYSIS OF LIQUIDITY, PROFITABILITY AND WORKING CAPITAL MANAGEMENT - AN EMPIRICAL STUDY ON BSE LISTED COMPANIES HUMA KHAN	116
26.	COMPLAINTS MANAGEMENT IN BANKS: AN AID TO CUSTOMER SATISFACTION DR. HARPREET KAUR KOHLI	120
27.	PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT: A HOLISTIC REQUIREMENT FOR ORGANIZATIONS DR. RAJNI SINGH	124
28.	WORK EFFICIENCY ACQUISITION: AN IMPERATIVE NEED FOR HUMAN RESOURCE PROFESSIONAL DR. L. N. ARYA & SATYAM PINCHA	128
29.	RETENTION AND SATISFACTION OF CONSUMERS: A STUDY OF UNIVERSITY OF JAMMU ANJU THAPA	132
30.	CUSTOMER SATISFACTION TOWARDS VARIOUS FACILITIES PROVIDED BY PUBLIC BANKS (A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF PNB AND SBP IN JIND DISTRICT, HARYANA) ANJU BALA	136
	REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK	142

CHIEF PATRON

PROF. K. K. AGGARWAL

Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Delhi
Founder Vice-Chancellor, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi
Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

FOUNDER PATRON

LATE SH. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Former State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana Former Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri Former President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

CO-ORDINATOR

DR. SAMBHAV GARG

Faculty, M. M. Institute of Management, MaharishiMarkandeshwarUniversity, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana

ADVISORS

DR. PRIYA RANJAN TRIVEDI

Chancellor, The Global Open University, Nagaland

PROF. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Director A. C. D., School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

PROF. M. N. SHARMA

Chairman, M.B.A., HaryanaCollege of Technology & Management, Kaithal

PROF. S. L. MAHANDRU

Principal (Retd.), MaharajaAgrasenCollege, Jagadhri

EDITOR

PROF. R. K. SHARMA

Professor, Bharti Vidyapeeth University Institute of Management & Research, New Delhi

CO-EDITOR.

DR. BHAVET

Faculty, M. M. Institute of Management, MaharishiMarkandeshwarUniversity, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

DR. RAJESH MODI

Faculty, YanbuIndustrialCollege, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

PROF. SANJIV MITTAL

UniversitySchool of Management Studies, Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

PROF. ANIL K. SAINI

Chairperson (CRC), Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

DR. SAMBHAVNA

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

DR. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, P.J.L.N.GovernmentCollege, Faridabad

DR. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE

Asst. Professor, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

DR. MOHITA

Faculty, Yamuna Institute of Engineering & Technology, Village Gadholi, P. O. Gadhola, Yamunanagar

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

PROF. NAWAB ALI KHAN

Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

PROF. ABHAY BANSAL

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity University, Noida

PROF. V. SELVAM

SSL, VIT University, Vellore

PROF. N. SUNDARAM

VITUniversity, Vellore

DR. PARDEEP AHLAWAT

Associate Professor, Institute of Management Studies & Research, MaharshiDayanandUniversity, Rohtak

DR. S. TABASSUM SULTANA

Associate Professor, Department of Business Management, Matrusri Institute of P.G. Studies, Hyderabad

TECHNICAL ADVISOR

AMITA

Faculty, Government M. S., Mohali

DR. MOHITA

Faculty, Yamuna Institute of Engineering & Technology, Village Gadholi, P. O. Gadhola, Yamunanagar

FINANCIAL ADVISORS

DICKIN GOYAL

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

NEENA

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

LEGAL ADVISORS

JITENDER S. CHAHAL

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

SUPERINTENDENT

SURENDER KUMAR POONIA

d)

e)

2.

CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to recent developments & practices in the area of Computer, Business, Finance, Marketing, Human Resource Management, General Management, Banking, Insurance, Corporate Governance and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting Education; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Monetary Policy; Portfolio & Security Analysis; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Business Education; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law, Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labor Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; Public Administration; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism, Hospitality & Leisure; Transportation/Physical Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Digital Logic; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Multimedia; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic and Web Design. The above mentioned tracks are only indicative, and not exhaustive.

Anybody can submit the soft copy of his/her manuscript **anytime** in M.S. Word format after preparing the same as per our submission guidelines duly available on our website under the heading guidelines for submission, at the email address: infoijrcm@gmail.com.

GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT

CC	DVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION: DATED:
	HE EDITOR RCM
Su	ubject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF .
(€	e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)
DI	EAR SIR/MADAM
PI	ease find my submission of manuscript entitled '
	nereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore, it has neither been published elsewhere in any language fully or partly, nor is it nder review for publication elsewhere.
I a	affirm that all the author (s) have seen and agreed to the submitted version of the manuscript and their inclusion of name (s) as co-author (s).
	so, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the formalities as given on the website of the journal & you are free to publish our ontribution in any of your journals.
	AME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: esignation:
Af	ffiliation with full address, contact numbers & Pin Code:
	esidential address with Pin Code: Obile Number (s):
	andline Number (s):
	mail Address:
Al	ternate E-mail Address:
N	OTES:
a)	The whole manuscript is required to be in ONE MS WORD FILE only (pdf. version is liable to be rejected without any consideration), which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript.
b)	The sender is required to mention the following in the SUBJECT COLUMN of the mail: New Manuscript for Review in the area of (Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/ Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)
c'	There is no need to give any text in the body of mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any specific message w.r.t. to the manuscript.

Abstract alone will not be considered for review, and the author is required to submit the complete manuscript in the first instance.

MANUSCRIPT TITLE: The title of the paper should be in a 12 point Calibri Font. It should be bold typed, centered and fully capitalised.

The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of manuscript, within two days of submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending separate mail to the journal.

AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS: The author (s) full name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline numbers, and email/alternate email

ABSTRACT: Abstract should be in fully italicized text, not exceeding 250 words. The abstract must be informative and explain the background, aims, methods,

The total size of the file containing the manuscript is required to be below 500 KB.

address should be in italic & 11-point Calibri Font. It must be centered underneath the title.

results & conclusion in a single para. Abbreviations must be mentioned in full.

- 5. **KEYWORDS**: Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of five. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stops at the end.
- 6. MANUSCRIPT: Manuscript must be in <u>BRITISH ENGLISH</u> prepared on a standard A4 size <u>PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER</u>. It must be prepared on a single space and single column with 1" margin set for top, bottom, left and right. It should be typed in 8 point Calibri Font with page numbers at the bottom and centre of every page. It should be free from grammatical, spelling and punctuation errors and must be thoroughly edited.
- 7. **HEADINGS**: All the headings should be in a 10 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
- 8. SUB-HEADINGS: All the sub-headings should be in a 8 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
- 9. MAIN TEXT: The main text should follow the following sequence:

INTRODUCTION

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

OBJECTIVES

HYPOTHESES

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

FINDINGS

RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS

CONCLUSIONS

SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

REFERENCES

APPENDIX/ANNEXURE

It should be in a 8 point Calibri Font, single spaced and justified. The manuscript should preferably not exceed 5000 WORDS.

- 10. **FIGURES & TABLES**: These should be simple, crystal clear, centered, separately numbered &self explained, and **titles must be above the table/figure**. Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure. It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text.
- 11. **EQUATIONS**: These should be consecutively numbered in parentheses, horizontally centered with equation number placed at the right.
- 12. **REFERENCES**: The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript and they are supposed to follow **Harvard Style of Referencing**. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
- All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
- Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
- When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc, in chronologically ascending order.
- Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
- The title of books and journals should be in italics. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working
 papers, unpublished material, etc.
- For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parentheses.
- The location of endnotes within the text should be indicated by superscript numbers.

PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:

BOOKS

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

 Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

CONFERENCE PAPERS

• Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–22 June.

UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS AND THESES

Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

ONLINE RESOURCES

Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

WEBSITES

Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Natural Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp

ANALYSIS OF LIQUIDITY, PROFITABILITY AND WORKING CAPITAL MANAGEMENT - AN EMPIRICAL STUDY ON BSE LISTED COMPANIES

HUMA KHAN ASST. PROFESSOR LAKSHMI NARAIN ACADEMY OF MANAGEMENT LNCT GROUP OF COLLEGE GWALIOR

ABSTRACT

Businesses are responsible for the growth of the country in the same way the three main important components are more responsible to the success of the organizations which is profits, liquidity and working capital. "Cash is the lifeblood of business" In business, cash is king, particularly during tough economic times or when the markets are turbulent. Without cash, company cannot survive and to take advantage of business opportunities, it's necessary to maintain liquidity position to overcome the difficulties. Working capital may be regarded as the back bone of business. Many organizations that are profitable on paper are forced to cease trading due to an inability to meet short-term debts when they fall due. In order to remain in business it is essential that an organization successfully manages its working capital. The working capital management plays an important role for success or failure of firm in business because of its effect on firm's profitability as well on liquidity. And in same way Profits are useful to judge the strength of the business and it is earn with the help of contribution of liquidity and working capital management. This study is based on secondary data collected from listed companies in Bombay stock exchange. This paper is going to find out the impact of liquidity, profitability and working capital. This paper going to use regression and correlation to find out the impact and relationship between the variables.

KEYWORDS

liquidity, profitability and working capital.

INTRODUCTION

iquidity: The term liquidity is used in various ways, all relating to availability of, access to, or convertibility into cash. An institution is said to have liquidity if it can easily meet its needs for cash either because it has cash on hand or can otherwise raise or borrow cash. A market is said to be liquid if the instruments it trades can easily be bought or sold in quantity with little impact on market. **Profitability:** it is a class of financial metrics that are used to assess a business's ability to generate earnings as compared to its expenses and other relevant costs incurred during a specific period of time. For most of these ratios, having a higher value relative to a competitor's ratio or the same ratio from a previous period is indicative that the company is doing well. There are some benefits are useful for every kind of organization allocate costs quickly and easily and speed up budgeting and reporting. These term also known as working capital policies.

Working capital: it is the device of finance. Working capital management is a very important component of corporate finance because it directly affects the liquidity and profitability of the company. It deals with current assets and current liabilities. Working capital is that part of company's capital which is used for purchasing raw material and involve in sundry debtors. The goal of working capital management is to ensure that the firm is able to continue its operations and that it has sufficient cash flow to satisfy both maturing short-term debt and upcoming operational expenses. Gross working capital:-Total or gross working capital is that working capital which is used for all the current assets. Net Working Capital:-Net working capital is the excess of current assets over current liabilities.Net Working Capital = Total Current Assets – Total Current Liabilities.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The empirical studies conducted in India as well as abroad are presented to discern the impact of liquidity, working capital and profitability on firm's growth i. e. profitability, liquidity and working capital.

Vishnani Sushma and Kr. Shah Bhupesh, in this study, "Impact of Working Capital Management Policies on Corporate Performance—An Empirical Study" Chatterjee Saswata (2010), "the Impact of Working Capital Management on the Profitability of the Listed Companies in the London Stock Exchange". Zubairi H. Jamal (2010), "Impact of Working Capital Management and Capital Structure on Profitability of Automobile Firms in Pakistan" Singh J P and Pandey Shishir (2008), "Impact of Working Capital Management in the Profitability of Hindalco Industries Limited." Lamberg Sanna and Valming Sandra(2009), "Impact of Liquidity Management on Profitability: A study of the adaption of liquidity strategies in a financial crisis" Greg Hundley, Carol K. Jacobson and Seung Ho Park(1996), "Effects of Profitability and Liquidity on R&D Intensity: Japanese and U.S.Companies Compared" Étienne Bordeleau and Christopher Graham(2010), "The Impact of Liquidity on Bank Profitability" Sadana Sanjay Kumar "Conceptual Analysis of Working Capital and its Impact on Profitability."

OBJECTIVES

- 1. To analyze the impact of liquidity on profitability and working capital.
- 2. To study the impact of profitability on liquidity and working capital.\
- 3. To study the impact of working capital on profitability and liquidity.
- 4. To open new vistas for further researches.

METHODOLOGY OF THE STUDY

The research design chosen is descriptive as the study reveals the existing facts. The research concentrated on the service and non service sectors. Population of the study was all companies listed in the BSE. The data was used on the basis of probability random sampling and collected data from 20 companies listed in BSE (Bombay Stock Exchange) group A. Secondary data were collected from the books, journals and internet. The data was analyzed through the regression analysis to find out the impact of the liquidity on working capital and profitability, profitability on working capital and liquidity and working capital on profitability and liquidity of businesses. Correlation analysis was used to find out the relationship between liquidity, profitability and working capital.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Regression Analysis

Hypothesis: - Using the parameters the following hypotheses have been tested.

 $\textit{Case 'A':-} \ \textit{H}_{\textit{0}} \ \textit{(Null Hypothesis):} \ \text{There is no significant impact of liquidity on working capital and profitability.}$

Coefficient

Cause	Effect	model	Unstandardized coefficient		Standardized coefficient beta	T	Sig.
			В	Std. error			
Liquidity	W.C.	1(Constant)	2437.181	1234.932		1.974	.064
		Liquidity	2.403	.286	.893	8.412	.000
Liquidity	W.C.	1(Constant)	(Constant) 3627.526 1554.932			2.333	.031
		Liquidity	1.992	.200	.920	9.947	.000
Liquidity	W.C.	1(Constant)	4617.040	2252.967		2.049	.055
		Liquidity	1.687	.585	.562	2.882	.010
Liquidity	Profitability	1(Constant)	2075.034	453.477		4.576	.000
		Liquidity	.254	.105	.496	2.425	.026
Liquidity	Profitability	1(Constant)	2510.083	501.135		5.009	.000
		Liquidity	.194	.065	.578	3.005	.008
Liquidity	Profitability	1(Constant)	2918.244	683.210		4.271	.000
		Liquidity	.216	.177	.275	1.216	.240
	Liquidity Liquidity Liquidity Liquidity Liquidity	Liquidity W.C. Liquidity W.C. Liquidity W.C. Liquidity Profitability Liquidity Profitability	Liquidity W.C. 1(Constant) Liquidity W.C. 1(Constant) Liquidity Liquidity W.C. 1(Constant) Liquidity Liquidity W.C. 1(Constant) Liquidity Liquidity Profitability 1(Constant) Liquidity Liquidity Profitability 1(Constant) Liquidity Liquidity Profitability 1(Constant) Liquidity Liquidity Profitability 1(Constant)	B Liquidity W.C. 1(Constant) 2437.181 Liquidity 2.403 1(Constant) 3627.526 Liquidity 1.992 Liquidity 1.687 Liquidity 1.687 Liquidity 1.687 Liquidity 2.75.034 Liquidity 1.254 Liquidit	Liquidity W.C. 1(Constant) 2437.181 1234.932 Liquidity 2.403 .286 Liquidity 1(Constant) 3627.526 1554.932 Liquidity 1.992 .200 Liquidity 1.687 .585 Liquidity 1.687 .585 Liquidity 2.54 .105 Liquidity 1(Constant) 2510.083 501.135 Liquidity .194 .065 Liquidity Profitability 1(Constant) 2918.244 683.210	Liquidity W.C. 1(Constant) 2437.181 1234.932 Liquidity 2.403 .286 .893 Liquidity 1(Constant) 3627.526 1554.932 Liquidity 1.992 .200 .920 Liquidity 1.687 .585 .562 Liquidity 1(Constant) 2075.034 453.477 Liquidity .254 .105 .496 Liquidity 1(Constant) 2510.083 501.135 Liquidity Profitability 1(Constant) 2918.244 683.210	Liquidity W.C. 1(Constant) 2437.181 1234.932 1.974 Liquidity 2.403 .286 .893 8.412 Liquidity 1(Constant) 3627.526 1554.932 2.333 Liquidity 1.992 .200 .920 9.947 Liquidity 1.687 .585 .562 2.882 Liquidity 1.687 .585 .562 2.882 Liquidity 2.54 .105 .496 2.425 Liquidity 1(Constant) 2510.083 501.135 5.009 Liquidity 1.94 .065 .578 3.005 Liquidity 1(Constant) 2918.244 683.210 4.271

a. Dependent Variable: working capital and profitability

V = a + hy

Y = 2437.181+2.403x, Y= 3627.526+1.992x, Y= 4617.040+1.687x

Y = working capital (dependent variable), X = Liquidity (independent variable)

Y = a + bx

Y= 2075.034+.254x, Y= 2510.083+.194x, Y=2918.244+.216x

Y = Profitability (dependent variable), X = Liquidity (independent variable)

The linear regression was applied between "liquidity" (independent variable), "working capital" and "profitability" (dependent variable). The results of regression in the year 2009, 2010 and 2011 suggest that the liquidity (independent variable) has an impact on working capital (dependent variable) signified by the coefficient beta factors of 0.893, 0.920 and 0.562. And profitability (dependent variable) signified by the coefficient beta factors of .496, .578 and .275.In 2009, as the value of T is 8.412 (working capital) and 2.425 (profitability), which is not accepted at 0.000 (working capital) and .026 (profitability) level of significance hence the hypothesis that there is no significant impact of liquidity on working capital and profitability) is not accepted. In 2010, as the value of T is 9.947,(working capital) and 3.005 (profitability) which is not accepted at 0.000 (working capital) and .008 (profitability) level of significance hence the hypothesis that there is no significant impact of liquidity on working capital and profitability is not accepted. In 2011, as the value of T is 2.882, (working capital) and 1.216 (profitability) which is not accepted at 0.010 (working capital) and .240 (profitability) level of significance hence the hypothesis that there is no significant impact of liquidity on working capital and profitability is not accepted.

Case 'B':- Ho (Null Hypothesis): There is no significant impact of profitability on working capital and liquidity.

Coefficient

year	Cause	Effect	model	Unstandardized coefficient		Standardized coefficient beta	T	Sig.
				В	Std. error			
2009	Profitability	W.C.	1(Constant)	-975.206	3034.871		321	.752
			profitability	3.457	.932	.658	3.711	.002
2010	Profitability	W.C.	1(Constant)	-2615.9 <mark>59</mark>	4703.091		556	.585
			profitability	3.965	1.200	.614	3.303	.004
2011	Profitability	W.C.	1(Constant)	2107.435	3405.701		.619	.544
			profitability	1.739	.805	.454	2.161	.044
2009	Profitability	Liquidity	1(Constant)	-148.540	1300.579		114	.910
			profitability	.968	.399	.496	2.425	.026
2010	Profitability	Liquidity	1(Constant)	-2303.472	2245.922		-1.026	.319
			profitability	1.722	.573	.578	3.005	.008
2011	Profitability	Liquidity	1(Constant)	781.759	1224.105		.639	.531
			profitability	.352	.289	.275	1.216	.240

Dependent Variable: working capital and liquidity

Y=a+bx

Y = -975.206+3.457x, Y= -2615.959+3.965x, Y= 2107.435+1.739x

Y = working capital (dependent variable), X = Profitability (independent variable)

Y = a + bx

Y=-148.540+.968x, Y=-2303.472+1.722x, Y=781.759+.352x

Y = Liquidity (dependent variable), X = Profitability (independent variable)

The linear regression was applied between "profitability" (independent variable), "working capital" and "liquidity" (dependent variable). The results of regression in the year 2009, 2010 and 2011 suggest that the profitability (independent variable) has an impact on working capital (dependent variable) signified by the coefficient beta factors of 0.658, 0.614 and 0.454 and liquidity (dependent variable) signified by the coefficient beta factors of 0.496, 0.578 and 0.275.In 2009, as the value of T is 3.711 (working capital) and 2.425 (liquidity), which is not accepted at 0.002 (working capital) and 0.026 (liquidity) level of significance hence the hypothesis that there is no significant impact of profitability on working capital and liquidity is not accepted. In 2010, as the value of T is 3.303,(working capital) and 3.005 (liquidity) which is not accepted at 0.004 (working capital) and 0.008 (liquidity) level of significance hence the hypothesis that there is no significant impact of profitability on working capital and liquidity is not accepted. In 2011, as the value of T is 2.161, (working capital) and 1.216 (liquidity) which is not accepted at 0.044 (working capital) and 0.240 (liquidity) level of significance hence the hypothesis that there is no significant impact of profitability on working capital and liquidity is not accepted.

 $\textit{Case 'C':-} \ \textit{H}_{\textit{0}} \ \textit{(Null Hypothesis):} \ \text{There is no significant impact of working capital on profitability and liquidity.}$

Coefficient

IIL								
Year	Cause	Effect	model	Unstandardized coefficient		Standardized coefficient beta	Т	Sig.
				В	Std. error			
2009	W.C.	profitability	1(Constant)	1653.536	429.113		3.853	.001
			W.C.	.125	.034	.658	3.711	.002
2010	W.C.	Profitability	1(Constant)	2177.880	525.901		4.141	.001
			W.C.	.095	.029	.614	3.303	.004
2011	W.C.	Profitability	1(Constant)	2402.773	696.956		3.448	.003
			W.C.	.118	.055	.454	2.161	.044
2009	W.C.	Liquidity	1(Constant)	-308.140	500.790		615	.546
			W.C.	.332	.039	.893	8.412	.000
2010	W.C.	Liquidity	1(Constant)	-1073.875	779.242		-1.378	.185
			W.C.	.425	.043	.920	9.947	.000
2011	W.C.	Liquidity	1(Constant)	474.225	826.072		.574	.573
			W.C.	.187	.065	.562	2.882	.010

a. Dependent Variable: profitability and liquidity

Y = a + bx

Y = 1653.536+.125x, Y= 2177.880+.095x, Y= 2402.773+.118x

Y = Profitability (dependent variable), X = Working Capital (independent variable)

Y = a + bx

Y= -308.140+.332x, Y= -1073.875+.425x, Y=474.225+.187x

Y = Liquidity (dependent variable), X = Working Capital (independent variable)

The linear regression was applied between "working capital" (independent variable), "profitability" and "liquidity" (dependent variable). The results of regression in the year 2009, 2010 and 2011 suggest that the working capital (independent variable) has an impact on profitability (dependent variable) signified by the coefficient beta factors of 0.658, 0.614 and 0 .454 and liquidity (dependent variable) signified by the coefficient beta factors of 0.893, 0.920 and 0.562.In 2009, as the value of T is 3.711 (profitability) and 8.412 (liquidity), which is not accepted at 0.002 (profitability) and 0.000 (liquidity) level of significance hence the hypothesis that there is no significant impact of working capital on profitability and liquidity is not accepted. In 2010, as the value of T is 3.303,(profitability) and 9.947 (liquidity) which is not accepted at 0.004 (profitability) and 0.000 (liquidity) level of significance hence the hypothesis that there is no significant impact of working capital on profitability and liquidity is not accepted. In 2011, as the value of T is 2.161, (profitability) and 2.882 (liquidity) which is not accepted at 0.044 (profitability) and 0.010 (liquidity) level of significance hence the hypothesis that there is no significant impact of liquidity on working capital and profitability is not accepted.

Correlation Analysis

To determine strength of linear relationship between variables correlation coefficients are calculated. These correlation analyses were interpreted on the scale offered by Guilford (1956) (i.) R < 0.20 indicates slight, almost negligible relationship (iii) r = 0.20 to 0.40 indicates low correlation, definite but small relationship; (iii) r = 0.40 to 0.70 indicates moderate correlation, substantial relationship; r = 0.70 to 0.90 shows high correlation and (iv) if r = 0.90 is indicative of very high correlation, very dependable relationship.

Year	Variable		Profitability	Liquidity	W. C.
2009	Profitability Liquidity W.C.	Profitability Pearson Correlation	1	.496*	.658**
		Sig. (2-tailed)		.026	.002
		N	20	20	20
		Liquidity	.496 [*]	1	.893**
		Pearson Correlation			
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.026		.000
		N	20	20	20
		W.C.	.658**	.893**	1
		Pearson Correlation			
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.002	.000	
		N	20	20	20

2010	Profitability Liquidity W.C.	Profitability Pearson Correlation	1	.578**	.614**
		Sig. (2-tailed)		.008	.004
		N	20	20	20
	70.	Liquidity Pearson Correlation	.578**	1	.920**
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.008		.000
		N	20	20	20
		W.C. Pearson Correlation	.614**	.920**	1
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.004	.000	
		N	20	20	20

ſ	2011	Profitability Liquidity W.C.	Profitability Pearson Correlation	1	.275	.454
ľ			Sig. (2-tailed)		.240	.044
			N	20	20	20
			Liquidity	.275	1	.562**
			Pearson Correlation			
			Sig. (2-tailed)	.240		.010
				20	20	20
				.454*	.562**	1
			Sig. (2-tailed)	.044	.010	
			N	20	20	20

^{*.} Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

^{**.} Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

The correlation analysis of the variables indicates the relationship between the variables. The relationship between profitability, liquidity and working capital. The correlation is analyzed on the basis of three years.

The relationship between **profitability and liquidity** in the year **2009** is having marked relationship as the value $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{0.496}$ which according to Guilford (1956) the variables have **substantial relationship**: $\mathbf{r} = 0.40$ to 0.70 indicates moderate correlation, substantial relationship. The relationship between **profitability and working capital** in the year **2009** is having marked relationship as the value $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{0.658}$ which according to Guilford (1956) the variables have **substantial relationship**: $\mathbf{r} = 0.40$ to 0.70 indicates moderate correlation, substantial relationship. The relationship between **liquidity and working capital** in the year **2009** is having marked relationship as the value $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{0.893}$ which according to Guilford (1956) the variables have **high relationship**: $\mathbf{r} = 0.70$ to 0.90 shows high correlation.

The relationship between **profitability and liquidity** in the year **2010** is having marked relationship as the value $\bf r = 0.578$ which according to Guilford (1956) the variables have **substantial relationship**: $\bf r = 0.40$ to 0.70 indicates moderate correlation, substantial relationship. The relationship between **profitability and working capital** in the year **2010** is having marked relationship as the value $\bf r = 0.614$ which according to Guilford (1956) the variables have **substantial relationship**: $\bf r = 0.40$ to 0.70 indicates moderate correlation, substantial relationship. The relationship between **liquidity and working capital** in the year **2010** is having marked relationship as the value $\bf r = 0.920$ which according to Guilford (1956) the variables have **very high relationship**: $\bf r = 0.90$ is indicative of very high correlation, very dependable relationship. The relationship between **profitability and liquidity** in the year **2011** is having marked relationship as the value $\bf r = 0.275$ which according to Guilford (1956) the variables have **small relationship**: $\bf r = 0.20$ to 0.40 indicates low correlation, definite but small relationship. The relationship between **profitability and working capital** in the year **2011** is having marked relationship as the value $\bf r = 0.40$ to 0.70 indicates moderate correlation, substantial relationship. The relationship between **liquidity and working capital** in the year **2011** is having marked relationship as the value $\bf r = 0.562$ which according to Guilford (1956) the variables have **substantial relationship**: $\bf r = 0.40$ to 0.70 indicates moderate correlation, substantial relationship.

From the above analysis the result is, there is substantial relationship between the liquidity, profitability and working capital because business growth is based on the profitability of firms and profitability requires optimum level of working capital and liquidity and has to maintain that level. So the result shows the positive relationship between them.

CONCLUSION

Innovation is indispensable for the success of every kind of business firms. If business concerns wants to survive in the market they have to make their product and services innovative and to take the right opportunities at the right time. For this purpose the business concerns have to increase their earning capacity i.e. profitability, working capital as well as liquidity position.

The study was done to explore that weather liquidity has an impact on working capital and profitability, profitability on working capital and liquidity and also relationship between them in respective three years. The results indicate that all aspects are interrelated and based on each other because the firms have to maintain liquidity position, working capital and also its profits and results suggest that there is positive relationship between them.

REFERENCES

- 1. Angelini Paolo, Clerc Laurent, Curdia Vasco, Gambacorta Leonardo, Gerali Andrea, Locarno Alberto, Motto Roberto, Röger Werner, Heuvel Skander Van den, and Vlček Jan (2011), Long-Term Impact on Economic Performance and Fluctuations, February 22, 2011, Bank of Italy Occasional Paper No. 87.
- 2. Chatterjee Saswata (April 2010), the Impact of Working Capital Management on the Profitability of the Listed Companies in the London Stock Exchange.
- 3. Étienne Bordeleau and Christopher Graham (December, 2010), The Impact of Liquidity on Bank Profitability, Working Papers with number 10-38.
- 4. Greg Hundley, Carol K. Jacobson and Seung Ho Park (Dec, 1996), Effects of Profitability and Liquidity on R&D Intensity: Japanese and U.S. Companies Compared, The Academy of Management Journal, Published by: Academy of Management, Vol. 39.
- 5. Lamberg Sanna and Valming Sandra (2009), Impact of Liquidity Management on Profitability: A study of the adaption of liquidity strategies in a financial crisis.
- 6. Sadana Sanjay Kumar, Conceptual Analysis of Working Capital and its Impact on Profitability.
- 7. Singh J P and Pandey Shishir (December 4, 2008), Impact of Working Capital Management in the Profitability of Hindalco Industries Limited, The IUP Journal of Financial Economics, pages: 62-72. Vishnani Sushma and Kr. Shah Bupesh, Impact of Working Capital Management Policies on Corporate Performance an empirical study.
- 8. Zubairi H. Jamal (July 31, 2010), Impact of Working Capital Management and Capital Structure on Profitability of Automobile Firms in Pakistan.



REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

Dear Readers

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce and Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue as well as on the journal as a whole, on our E-mail i.e. infoijrcm@gmail.com for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries please feel free to contact us on our E-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-

Co-ordinator

ABOUT THE JOURNAL

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active cooperation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.







