

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE & MANAGEMENT

I
J
R
C
M



A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories
Indexed & Listed at:

Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, ProQuest, U.S.A., The American Economic Association's electronic bibliography, EconLit, U.S.A., EBSCO Publishing, U.S.A.,
Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland, Open J-Gate, India [link of the same is duly available at Infilbnet of University Grants Commission (U.G.C.)]
as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A.

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than Hundred & Twenty One countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

Ground Floor, Building No. 1041-C-1, Devi Bhawan Bazar, JAGADHRI – 135 003, Yamunanagar, Haryana, INDIA

www.ijrcm.org.in

CONTENTS

Sr. No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page No.
1.	GUDRI KE LAL - MANAGEMENT GURU ANNA HAZARE – A HOPE OF 'CORRUPTION' FREE INDIA <i>DR. SANGEETA MOHAN & KRISHNA MOHAN SHARMA</i>	1
2.	STUDENTS BEHAVIOUR AND THE QUALITY OF EDUCATION IN ETHIOPIAN SECONDARY SCHOOLS (THE CASE OF EASTERN ZONE OF TIGRAI REGION, ETHIOPIA) <i>DR. HAILAY GEBRETINSAE BEYENE & MRUTS DESTA YEEBIYO</i>	6
3.	POLICY STABILITY: A HOPE FOR INDUSTRIAL AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT IN NIGERIA <i>DR. AHMAD MUHAMMAD TSAUNI</i>	13
4.	MOTIVATION & PRODUCTIVITY RELATIONSHIP: A STUDY ON THE SUPERSTORES OF DHAKA <i>MD. SHEHUB BIN HASAN, HUSSAIN AHMED ENAMUL HUDA & ABU MD. ABDULLAH</i>	19
5.	ANALYSIS OF MACROECONOMIC FACTORS AFFECTING THE INFLOW OF FOREIGN DIRECT INVESTMENT IN MALAYSIA <i>MUKHIDDIN JUMA'EV & JALAL HANAYSHA</i>	25
6.	CONSUMER ATTITUDE TOWARDS GREEN PRODUCTS OF FMCG SECTOR: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY <i>DR. K. P. V. RAMANAKUMAR, MANOJKRISHNAN C.G & SUMA.S.R</i>	34
7.	CELEBRITIES AS BRAND ENDORSERS - AN ANALYTICAL STUDY <i>DR. AJIT SHRINGARPURE & ARCHANA DADHE</i>	39
8.	IMPACT OF FOREIGN INSTITUTIONAL INVESTORS ON INDIAN CAPITAL MARKET <i>DR. U. BRAHMAM & M. NAGENDRA</i>	43
9.	PROCESS, PROVISIONS AND BENEFITS OF SECURITIZATION - AN EMPIRICAL STUDY <i>DR. S. MURALIDHAR & N. L. VIJAYA</i>	47
10.	WORK LIFE BALANCE AMONG HUMAN RESOURCES, EMERGING TRENDS IN SELECT CORPORATE BUSINESSES IN INDIA AND ABROAD - A STUDY <i>DR. V. V. S. K. PRASAD</i>	51
11.	GREEN MARKETING: INDIAN CONSUMER AWARENESS AND MARKETING INFLUENCE ON BUYING DECISION <i>DR. KRISHNA KUMAR VELURI</i>	60
12.	ANALYSIS OF HUMAN RESOURCE PRACTICES FOR HEALTH CARE REFORMS: A CASE STUDY OF JALGAON DISTRICT <i>DR. P.T. CHOUDHARI & SAROJ B. PATIL</i>	66
13.	THE IMPACT OF GLOBAL FINANCIAL CRISIS ON INDIAN STOCK MARKETS <i>DR. B. J. QUEENSLY JEYANTHI, DR. ALBERT WILLIAM SJ & S. TITUS KALAVATHY</i>	71
14.	INVENTORY AND WORKING CAPITAL MANAGEMENT: A CASE STUDY OF PHARMACEUTICAL SECTOR <i>DR. TEJ SINGH</i>	76
15.	PERFORMANCE OF RRBs: POST TRANSFORMATION <i>DR. ISHWARA. P & DR. CIRAPPA. I. B</i>	82
16.	MANAGEMENT BY OBJECTIVES (MBO): A RATIONAL MODEL FOR STRESS MANAGEMENT <i>DR. H. RAMAKRISHNA</i>	86
17.	A STUDY ON INFLUENCING FACTORS IMPACTING CONSUMERS FOOD CHOICE WITH REFERENCE TO READY-TO-EAT SEGMENT IN SOUTHERN INDIA <i>VIJAYABASKAR MASILAMANI & DR. N. SUNDARAM</i>	91
18.	QUALITY OF WORK LIFE AND ITS RELATION WITH JOB SATISFACTION AMONG INDIAN BANKS <i>DR. GIRISH TANEJA & LALITA KUMARI</i>	97
19.	FACTORS AFFECTING THE STRESS AND INFLUENCE OF STRESS INDICATORS ON LEVEL OF ORGANIZATIONAL STRESS AMONG THE WOMEN EMPLOYEES IN IT SECTOR <i>SATHYAPRIYA.J & DR. P. AMUTHALAKSHMI</i>	107
20.	DOES EDUCATED WOMEN PLAY A SIGNIFICANT ROLE IN HOUSEHOLD DECISION MAKING: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY FROM KOLKATA SLUM AREAS <i>ANIRBAN MANDAL & GITANJALI HAJRA</i>	113
21.	INVESTOR'S BEHAVIOR IN VELLORE DISTRICT <i>P.VINOTH RAJ</i>	122
22.	IMPACT OF EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE ON EMPLOYEE ENGAGEMENT – AN ASSESSMENT WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO RELIANCE COMMUNICATION LIMITED, NAVI MUMBAI <i>SHAKTI AWASTHI & KOHINOOR AKHTAR</i>	131
23.	A STUDY ON BRAND AWARENESS AND INFLUENCE OF BRAND LOYALTY ON WOMEN FOOTWEAR IN SANGLI CITY, MAHARASHTRA <i>JYOTI INDUPRATAP YADAV</i>	139
24.	CUSTOMER SATISFACTION AND EXPECTATION TOWARDS BUSINESS LINE NEWSPAPER: A RESEARCH CONDUCTED IN KOLKATA <i>DEBARUN CHAKRABORTY</i>	143
25.	INTEREST RATE FUTURES MARKET IN INDIA <i>DIVYA SRIVASTAVA</i>	149
	REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK	157

CHIEF PATRON

PROF. K. K. AGGARWAL

Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Delhi
Founder Vice-Chancellor, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi
Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

PATRON

SH. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Ex. State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana
Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri
President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

CO-ORDINATOR

DR. SAMBHAV GARG

Faculty, M. M. Institute of Management, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana

ADVISORS

DR. PRIYA RANJAN TRIVEDI

Chancellor, The Global Open University, Nagaland

PROF. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Director A. C. D., School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

PROF. M. N. SHARMA

Chairman, M.B.A., Haryana College of Technology & Management, Kaithal

PROF. S. L. MAHANDRU

Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

EDITOR

PROF. R. K. SHARMA

Professor, Bharti Vidyapeeth University Institute of Management & Research, New Delhi

CO-EDITOR

DR. BHAVET

Faculty, M. M. Institute of Management, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

DR. RAJESH MODI

Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

PROF. SANJIV MITTAL

University School of Management Studies, Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

PROF. ROSHAN LAL

Head & Convener Ph. D. Programme, M. M. Institute of Management, M. M. University, Mullana

PROF. ANIL K. SAINI

Chairperson (CRC), Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

DR. SAMBHAVNA

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

DR. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, P. J. L. N. Government College, Faridabad

DR. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE

Asst. Professor, Government F. G. College Chitguppa, Bidar, Karnataka

MOHITA

Faculty, Yamuna Institute of Engineering & Technology, Village Gadholi, P. O. Gadholi, Yamunanagar

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

PROF. NAWAB ALI KHAN

Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

PROF. ABHAY BANSAL

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity University, Noida

DR. KUMARDATT A. GANJRE

Director, Mandar Education Society's 'Rajaram Shinde College of M.B.A.', Pedhambe – 400 706, Maharashtra

DR. V. SELVAM

Divisional Leader – Commerce SSL, VIT University, Vellore

DR. N. SUNDARAM

Associate Professor, VIT University, Vellore

DR. PARDEEP AHLAWAT

Reader, Institute of Management Studies & Research, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak

S. TABASSUM SULTANA

Asst. Professor, Department of Business Management, Matrusri Institute of P.G. Studies, Hyderabad

TECHNICAL ADVISOR

AMITA

Faculty, Government M. S., Mohali

MOHITA

Faculty, Yamuna Institute of Engineering & Technology, Village Gadholi, P. O. Gadholi, Yamunanagar

FINANCIAL ADVISORS

DICKIN GOYAL

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

NEENA

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

LEGAL ADVISORS

JITENDER S. CHAHAL

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

SUPERINTENDENT

SURENDER KUMAR POONIA

CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to recent developments & practices in the area of Computer, Business, Finance, Marketing, Human Resource Management, General Management, Banking, Insurance, Corporate Governance and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting Education; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Monetary Policy; Portfolio & Security Analysis; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Business Education; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law, Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labor Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; Public Administration; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism, Hospitality & Leisure; Transportation/Physical Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Digital Logic; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Multimedia; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic and Web Design. The above mentioned tracks are only indicative, and not exhaustive.

Anybody can submit the soft copy of his/her manuscript **anytime** in M.S. Word format after preparing the same as per our submission guidelines duly available on our website under the heading guidelines for submission, at the email addresses: infoijrcm@gmail.com or info@ijrcm.org.in.

GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT

1. **COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:**

DATED: _____

THE EDITOR
IJRCM

Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF _____.

(e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)

DEAR SIR/MADAM

Please find my submission of manuscript entitled '_____ ' for possible publication in your journals.

I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore, it has neither been published elsewhere in any language fully or partly, nor is it under review for publication elsewhere.

I affirm that all the author (s) have seen and agreed to the submitted version of the manuscript and their inclusion of name (s) as co-author (s).

Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the formalities as given on the website of the journal & you are free to publish our contribution in any of your journals.

NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Designation:

Affiliation with full address, contact numbers & Pin Code:

Residential address with Pin Code:

Mobile Number (s):

Landline Number (s):

E-mail Address:

Alternate E-mail Address:

NOTES:

- a) The whole manuscript is required to be in **ONE MS WORD FILE** only (pdf. version is liable to be rejected without any consideration), which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript.
- b) The sender is required to mention the following in the **SUBJECT COLUMN** of the mail:
New Manuscript for Review in the area of (Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)
- c) There is no need to give any text in the body of mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any specific message w.r.t. to the manuscript.
- d) The total size of the file containing the manuscript is required to be below **500 KB**.
- e) Abstract alone will not be considered for review, and the author is required to submit the complete manuscript in the first instance.
- f) The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of manuscript, within two days of submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending separate mail to the journal.

2. **MANUSCRIPT TITLE:** The title of the paper should be in a 12 point Calibri Font. It should be bold typed, centered and fully capitalised.

3. **AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS:** The author (s) **full name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline numbers, and email/alternate email address** should be in italic & 11-point Calibri Font. It must be centered underneath the title.

4. **ABSTRACT:** Abstract should be in fully italicized text, not exceeding 250 words. The abstract must be informative and explain the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a single para. Abbreviations must be mentioned in full.

5. **KEYWORDS:** Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of five. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stops at the end.
6. **MANUSCRIPT:** Manuscript must be in **BRITISH ENGLISH** prepared on a standard A4 size **PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER**. It must be prepared on a single space and single column with 1" margin set for top, bottom, left and right. It should be typed in 8 point Calibri Font with page numbers at the bottom and centre of every page. It should be free from grammatical, spelling and punctuation errors and must be thoroughly edited.
7. **HEADINGS:** All the headings should be in a 10 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
8. **SUB-HEADINGS:** All the sub-headings should be in a 8 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
9. **MAIN TEXT:** The main text should follow the following sequence:

INTRODUCTION**REVIEW OF LITERATURE****NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY****STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM****OBJECTIVES****HYPOTHESES****RESEARCH METHODOLOGY****RESULTS & DISCUSSION****FINDINGS****RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS****CONCLUSIONS****SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH****ACKNOWLEDGMENTS****REFERENCES****APPENDIX/ANNEXURE**

It should be in a 8 point Calibri Font, single spaced and justified. The manuscript should preferably not exceed **5000 WORDS**.

10. **FIGURES & TABLES:** These should be simple, centered, separately numbered & self explained, and **titles must be above the table/figure. Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure.** It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text.
11. **EQUATIONS:** These should be consecutively numbered in parentheses, horizontally centered with equation number placed at the right.
12. **REFERENCES:** The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript and they are supposed to follow **Harvard Style of Referencing**. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
 - All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
 - Use **(ed.)** for one editor, and **(ed.s)** for multiple editors.
 - When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc, in chronologically ascending order.
 - Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
 - The title of books and journals should be in italics. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
 - For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parentheses.
 - The location of endnotes within the text should be indicated by superscript numbers.

PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:**BOOKS**

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

- Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

- Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

CONFERENCE PAPERS

- Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19-22 June.

UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS AND THESES

- Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

ONLINE RESOURCES

- Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

WEBSITE

- Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Natural Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 <http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp>

PERFORMANCE OF RRBs: POST TRANSFORMATION

DR. ISHWARA. P
ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
MANGALORE UNIVERSITY
MANGALAGANGOTTHRI

DR. CIRAPPA. I. B
ASST. PROFESSOR
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE,
DAVANAGERE UNIVERSITY
SHIVAGANGOTTHRI, THOLUHUNSE

ABSTRACT

In this paper an attempt has been made to study the performance of the RRBs since 1980 to 2009. In order to know the implications of transformation of RRBs in 2004, the study focuses financial results before and after amalgamation. RRBs were established "with a view to developing the rural economy by providing, for the purpose of development of agriculture, trade, commerce, industry and other productive activities in the rural areas, credit and other facilities, particularly to small and marginal farmers, agricultural laborers, artisans and small entrepreneurs, and for matters connected therewith and incidental thereto" (RRBs Act, 1976). RRBs alone have organized roughly 12 lakh self-help groups, 45 per cent of the total self-help groups in the country. RRBs have also issued over 40 lakh Kisan Credit Cards to the farmers and organized over 5,000 out of 11,000 farmers' clubs under NABARD scheme. Though the growth in credit when seen in isolation gives an impression of the impressive strides made by RRBs in disbursing credit, they account for a very small proportion (around 3 per cent) of the total assets of the Indian banking sector, despite their significant branch network. While C-D ratio for 50 RRBs was more than 60 per cent that for 87 banks was less than 40 per cent in March 2004. The Government of India (Ministry of Finance) issued notifications on September 12, 2005 for amalgamation of 28 RRBs into nine new RRBs sponsored by nine banks in six States. These amalgamations have become effective from September 12, 2005. After amalgamation, RRBs transformation has resulted in a 200 per cent increase in net profits, a 100 per cent increase in business, a gradual reduction in the number of loss-making banks and addition of 1,000 outlets. All this has been because of consolidation among RRBs. The Central government initiated the process of amalgamating RRBs in September, 2005. Then there were 196 RRBs. The study reveals that, RRBs seem to have better Non-Performing Assets (NPA) management with net NPA coming down every year after the amalgamation. In 2005-06, the net NPA stood at 3.96 per cent. It declined to 1.98 per in 2008-09. RRBs are extending loans to non-agricultural sector in rural areas also. They are broad-basing their credit pattern. Malaprabha Grameen Bank went ahead to finance vehicles for rural transport system. Financing of vehicles for rural transportation helped villagers, as they sold their produce in urban areas. The reduction in number of RRBs has not resulted in any sudden reduction in staff strength since there was no termination of services of employees after amalgamation. Unlike commercial banks no voluntary retirement schemes were introduced in RRBs.

KEYWORDS

RRBs, Finance, Rural economy development.

INTRODUCTION

Regional Rural Banks have been in existence for around three decades in the Indian financial scene. Inception of regional rural banks (RRBs) can be seen as a unique experiment as well as experience in improving the efficacy of rural credit delivery mechanism in India. With joint share holding by Central Government, the concerned State Government and the sponsoring bank, an effort was made to integrate commercial banking within the broad policy thrust towards social banking keeping in view the local peculiarities. The genesis of the RRBs can be traced to the need for a stronger institutional arrangement for providing rural credit. The Narsimham committee conceptualized the creation of RRBs in 1975 as a new set of regionally oriented rural banks, which would combine the local feel and familiarity of rural problems characteristic of cooperatives with the professionalism and large resource base of commercial banks. Subsequently, the RRBs were set up through the promulgation of RRB Act 1 of 1976. Their equity is held by the Central Government, concerned State Government and the Sponsor Bank in the proportion of 50:15:35. RRBs were supposed to evolve as specialized rural financial institutions for developing the rural economy by providing credit to small and marginal farmers, agricultural labourers, artisans and small entrepreneurs. Over the years, the RRBs, which are often viewed as the small man's bank, have taken deep roots and have become a sort of inseparable part of the rural credit structure. They have played a key role in rural institutional financing in terms of geographical coverage, clientele outreach and business volume as also contribution to development of the rural economy. A remarkable feature of their performance over the past three decades has been the massive expansion of their retail network in rural areas. From a modest beginning of 6 RRBs with 17 branches covering 12 districts in December 1975, the numbers have grown into 196 RRBs with 14,446 branches working in 518 districts across the country in March 2004. RRBs have a large branch network in the rural area forming around 43 per cent of the total rural branches of commercial banks. The rural orientation of RRBs is formidable with rural and semi urban branches constituting over 97 per cent of their branch network. The growth in the branch network has enabled the RRBs to expand banking activities in the unbanked areas and mobilize rural savings. The mandate of promoting banking with a rural focus, however, would be an enduring phenomenon only when the financial health of the RRBs is sound. With built-in restrictions on their operations, it is common to expect that the financial health of the RRBs itself would be a matter of concern.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

RRBs though operate with a rural focus are primarily scheduled commercial banks with a commercial orientation. Beginning with the seminal contribution of Haslem (1968), the literature probing into factors influencing performance of banks recognizes two broad sets of factors, i.e., internal factors and factors external to the bank. The internal determinants originate from the balance sheets and/or profit and loss accounts of the bank concerned and are often termed as micro or bank-specific determinants of profitability. The external determinants are systemic forces that reflect the economic environment which conditions the operation and performance of financial institutions. A number of explanatory variables have been suggested in the literature for both the internal and external determinants. Goddard *et al.* (2004) given the nature of banking business, the need for risk management is of crucial importance for a bank's financial health. Risk management is a reflection of the quality of the assets with a bank and availability of liquidity with it. During periods of uncertainty and economic slowdown, banks may prefer a more diversified portfolio to avoid adverse selection and may also raise their liquid holdings in order to reduce risk. Credit risk is found to have a negative impact on profitability (Millerand Noulas, 1997). This result may be explained by taking into account the fact that more the financial institutions are exposed to high-risk loans; the higher is the accumulation of unpaid loans implying that these loan losses have produced lower returns to many commercial banks (Athanasoglou, Brissimis and Delis, 2005). The literature on RRBs recognizes a host of reasons responsible for their poor financial health. According to the Narasimham Committee, RRBs have low earning capacity. They have not been able to earn much profit in view of their policy of restricting their

operations to target groups. The recovery position of RRBs is not satisfactory. There are a large number of defaulters. Their cost of operation has been high on account of the increase in the salary scales of the employees in line with the salary structure of the employees of commercial banks. In most cases, these banks followed the same methods of operation and procedures as followed by commercial banks. Therefore, these procedures have not found favor with the rural masses. In many cases, banks have not been located at the right place. For instance, the sponsoring banks are also running their branches in the same areas where RRBs are operating. The issue whether location matters for the performance has been addressed in some detail by Malhotra (2002). Considering 22 different parameters that impact on the functioning of RRBs for the year 2000, Malhotra asserts that geographical location of RRBs is not the limiting factor for their performance. He further finds that 'it is the specific nourishment which each RRB receives from its sponsor bank, is cardinal to its performance'. In other words, the umbilical cord had its effect on the performance of RRBs. The limitation of the study is that the financial health of the sponsor bank was not considered directly to infer about the umbilical cord hypothesis. Nitin and Thorat (2004) on a different note provide a penetrating analysis as to how constraints in the institutional dimension⁵ have seriously impaired the governance of the RRBs. They have argued that perverse institutional arrangements that gave rise to incompatible incentive structures for key stakeholders such as political leaders, policy makers, bank staff and clients have acted as constraints on their performance. The lackluster performance of the RRBs during the last two decades, according to the authors can be largely attributed to their lack of commercial orientation. An appropriate restructuring strategy would require identifying the problems leading to the non satisfactory performance of the RRBs.

RESTRUCTURING STRATEGIES

The financial viability of RRBs has engaged the attention of the policy makers from time to time. In fact, as early as 1981, the Committee to Review Arrangements for Institutional Credit for Agriculture and Rural Development (CRATICARD) addressed the issue of financial viability of the RRBs. The CRATICARD recommended that 'the loss incurred by a RRB should be made good annually by the shareholders in the same proportion of their shareholdings'. Though this recommendation was not accepted, under a scheme of recapitalization, financial support was provided by the shareholders in the proportion of their shareholdings. Subsequently, a number of committees have come out with different suggestions to address the financial non-viability of RRBs. For instance, the Working Group on RRBs (Kelkar Committee) in 1984 recommended that small and uneconomic RRBs should be merged in the interest of economic viability. Five years down the line, in a similar vein, the Agricultural Credit Review Committee (Khusro Committee), 1989 pointed out that 'the weaknesses of RRBs are endemic to the system and non-viability is built into it, and the only option was to merge the RRBs with the sponsor banks. The objective of serving the weaker sections effectively could be achieved only by self-sustaining credit institutions'. The Committee on Restructuring of RRBs, 1994 (Bhandari Committee) identified 49 RRBs for comprehensive restructuring. It recommended greater devolution of decision-making powers to the Boards of RRBs in the matters of business development and staff matters. The option of liquidation again was mooted by the Committee on Revamping of RRBs, 1996 (Basu Committee). The Expert Group on RRBs in 1997 (Thingalaya Committee) held that very weak RRBs should be viewed separately and possibility of their liquidation be recognized. They might be merged with neighboring RRBs. The Expert Committee on Rural Credit, 2001 (Vyas Committee I) was of the view that the sponsor bank should ensure necessary autonomy for RRBs in their credit and other portfolio management system. Subsequently, another committee under the Chairmanship of Chalapathy Rao in 2003 (Chalapathy Rao Committee) recommended that the entire system of RRBs may be consolidated while retaining the advantages of regional character of these institutions. As part of the process, some sponsor banks may be eased out. The sponsoring institutions may include other approved financial institutions as well, in addition to commercial banks. The Group of CMDs of Select Public Sector Banks, 2004 (Purwar Committee) recommended the amalgamation of RRBs on regional basis into six commercial banks - one each for the Northern, Southern, Eastern, Western, Central and North-Eastern Regions. Thus one finds that a host of options have been suggested starting with vertical merger (with sponsor banks), horizontal merger (amongst RRBs operating in a particular region) to liquidation by different committees that have gone into the issue of financial viability and restructuring strategies for the RRBs. More recently, a committee under the Chairmanship of A.V Sardesai revisited the issue of restructuring the RRBs (Sardesai Committee, 2005). The Sardesai committee held that 'to improve the operational viability of RRBs and take advantage of the economies of scale, the route of merger/amalgamation of RRBs may be considered taking into account the views of the various stakeholders'. Merger of RRBs with the sponsor bank is not provided in the RRB Act 1976. Mergers, even if allowed, would not be a desirable way of restructuring. The Committee was of the view that merging a RRB with its sponsor bank would go against the very spirit of setting up of RRBs as local entities and for providing credit primarily to weaker sections. Having discussed various options for restructuring, the Committee was of the view that 'a change in sponsor banks may, in some cases help in improving the performance of RRBs. A change in sponsorship may, *inter alia*; improve the competitiveness, work culture, management and efficiency of the concerned RRBs'. Against this backdrop, an empirical study has been conducted to know the performance of RRBs before and after reconstruction.

PERFORMANCE OF RRBs BEFORE RECONSTRUCTION

The RRBs, over the years have made impressive strides on various business indicators. For instance, deposits of RRBs have grown by 18 times and advances by 13 times between 1980 and 1990. Between 1990 and 2004, deposits and advances grew by 14 times and 7 times, respectively (Table 1). Between the year 2000 and 2004, loans disbursed by RRBs more than doubled reflecting the efforts taken by the banks to improve credit flow to the rural sector. The average per branch advances also increased from Rs.25 lakh in March 1990 to Rs.154 lakh in March 2003. When one considers the deployment of credit relative to the mobilization of resources, the credit-deposit (C-D) ratio of RRBs were more than 100 per cent during the first decade of their operations up to 1987. Though the C-D ratio subsequently became lower, of late, it has shown an improvement and went up from around 39 per cent in March 2000 to 44.5 per cent in March 2004.

As regards their financial status, during the year 2003-04, 163 RRBs earned profits amounting to Rs.953 crore while 33 RRBs incurred losses to the tune of Rs.184 crore. Ninety RRBs had accumulated losses as on March 31, 2004. Aggregate accumulated loss of RRBs amounted to Rs. 2,725 crore during the year 2003-04. Of the 90 RRBs having accumulated loss, 53 RRBs had eroded their entire owned funds as also a part of their deposits. Furthermore, non-performing assets (NPAs) of the RRBs in absolute terms stood at Rs.3, 299 crore as on March 31, 2004. The percentage of gross NPAs was 12.6 during the year ending March 31, 2004. While 103 RRBs had gross NPAs less than the national average, 93 had NPAs more than it.

TABLE 1: PERFORMANCE OF RRBs BEFORE RECONSTRUCTION: SELECT INDICATORS (Rs. Crore)

Parameters	1980	1985	1990	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
No of RRBs	85	188	196	196	196	196	196	196	196	196	196	196	196
Capital	21	46	91	166	358	705	1118	1380	1959	2049	2143	2141	2221
Deposits	222	1315	4023	11,141	14,171	17,976	22,191	27,059	32,226	38,294	44,539	49,582	56,295
Investments	20	164	60	1348	2879	3891	5280	6680	7760	8800	9471	17,138	21,286
Advances	262	1405	3384	5987	7057	7908	9021	10,559	12,427	15,050	17,710	20,934	25,038
Total assets	426	2320	6081	14,886	18,969	24,376	29,468	35,820	42,236	49,596	56,802	62,500	70,195
Interest Earned	NA	NA	480	1158	1421	2033	2624	3281	3938	4619	5191	5391	5535
Other income	NA	NA	113	72	89	103	136	151	207	240	370	430	697
Total income	NA	NA	593	1230	1511	2136	2760	3432	4145	4859	5561	5821	6231
Interest expended	NA	NA	326	851	1065	1462	1773	2131	2565	2966	3329	3440	3363
Operating expenses	NA	NA	254	657	726	804	845	982	1056	1165	1459	1667	1825
Provisions and contingencies	NA	NA	NA	120	171	673	72	99	96	128	163	132	289
Total expenses	NA	NA	581	1509	1791	2265	2617	3113	3621	4130	4787	5107	5187
Operating profit	NA	NA	12	-279	-280	-129	143	319	524	729	774	714	1044

Source: RBI Bulletin

REGIONAL RURAL BANKS- CONSOLIDATING AFTER TRANSFORMATION

Over the past five years, RRBs transformation has resulted in a 200 per cent increase in net profits, a 100 per cent increase in business, a gradual reduction in the number of loss-making banks and addition of 1,000 outlets. All this has been because of consolidation among RRBs. The Central government initiated the process of amalgamating RRBs in September, 2005. Then there were 196 RRBs. At the end of March 2006, the number came down to 133, and it consolidated to 82 by the end of March 2010. Though the number of RRBs has come down by more than half, their branch network has seen modest growth of about 6 per cent. At the end of the last fiscal, the number of branches reached 15,475 as against 14,489 in 2005-06. Amalgamation and reduction of number resulted in rationalization to a great extent. This helped merge the weak RRBs with the stronger ones. For the strong RRBs, amalgamation gave the benefit of extending business operation to new areas without much cost. It helped increase customer base, reduce credit concentration risks by spreading the risk to more sectors and more agricultural crops. KVGB (Karnataka Vikas Grameen Bank) was formed by amalgamating Malaprabha Grameena Bank, Bijapur Grameen Bank, Nethravathi Grameen Bank and Varada Grameen Banks. The first two were big banks and the latter two small. The management completed the merger in fifteen days and was able to bring in the strong points of Malaprabha Grameen Bank and Bijapur Grameen Bank. If weak bank adopts the policies of strong banks, the amalgamated entity becomes stronger.

NET PROFITS RISE

From the above table, it is clear that there were as many as 133 RRBs that posted a profit of Rs 617 crore in 2005-06 with 22 making loss that year. However with consolidation in the subsequent years, the net profit jumped to Rs 1,884 crore in 2009-10 with the number of RRBs being reduced to 82. Of them, only three incurred a loss. The Trend and Progress of Banking in India 2009-10, shows that all RRBs taken together reported a net profit of Rs 1,884 crore showing a growth of 41.1 per cent in 2009-10. As a result, there was a marginal rise in the Return on Assets (ROA) of RRBs from 1 per cent in 2008-09 to 1.1 per cent in 2009-10. The RoA of RRBs in 2009-10 thus worked out to be relatively higher than that of scheduled commercial banks. This trend mainly because of expansion of service area after amalgamation has helped increase net profits. Earlier, RRBs were confined to one or two districts. The amalgamation of different RRBs of the same sponsor bank in a State helped the combined entity increase business and profits and also RRBs were permitted to open branches at taluk headquarters.

TABLE-II: PERFORMANCE OF RRBs AFTER CONSOLIDATING

Net profit (in Rs crores)	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
	617	625	1027	1335	1884
No. of RRBs	133	96	90	86	82
(Profit / loss making RRBs)	111/22	81/15	82/8	80/6	79/3
No. of branches	14,489	14,563	14,790	15,524	15,475
Deposits (in Rs crores)	71,329	83,144	99,093	1,20,189	1,45,035
Loans & advances (in Rs crores)	38,520	47,326	57,568	65,609	79,157
NII (in Rs crores)	2852	3407	3982	4479	5570
Other income (in Rs crores)	433	540	667	810	890
Wage bill (in Rs crores)	1848	2051	2054	2291	2676
CD ratio (in %)	55.7	58.3	59.5	56.4	57.6
Share of CASA in deposits (in %)	59.14	61.21	59.63	58.35	57.90
Share of priority sector adv in total advances (in %)	81	82.2	82.9	83.4	82.2
Share of agri advances to total (in %)	54.2	56.6	56.3	55.1	54.8
Gross NPA (in p.c)	7.3	6.55	6.1	4.2	NA
Net NPA (in p.c)	3.96	3.46	3.4	1.8	NA

Source: RRBs reports on trend and progress of banking in India 2010

Increase incompetency of the staff, management quality, supports from sponsor banks and National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development through refinancing at reasonable rates, comparatively large share of low cost deposits (current accounts, savings accounts, or CASA), lower operational costs, expertise acquired in asset management over time, effective investment management etc., were instrumental in the growth in net profit. RRBs are now allowed to do para-banking activities such as life and non-life insurance business, helping them increase their non-interest income. Additionally, increased computerization of RRBs, including introduction of core banking solution in some RRBs has resulted in reduced operational expenses. The total business of KVGB, which was around Rs 3,000 crore during its formation in 2005, crossed Rs 8,000 crore in 2009-10. The bank recorded a net profit of Rs 72.14 crore in 2009-10.

NPA MANAGEMENT GETS BETTER

RRBs seem to have better non-performing assets (NPA) management with net NPA coming down every year after the amalgamation. In 2005-06, the net NPA stood at 3.96 per cent. It declined to 1.98 per cent in 2008-09. For better NPA management, RRBs are concentrating on rural credit, and the major portion of this is agricultural credit. The average size of a loan account is low and in certain RRBs, it is as low as Rs 50,000. Credit risk is thus well spread over several accounts, unlike commercial banks where the size of the loan account is much bigger and risk is more. RRBs keep good rapport and liaison with rural borrowers and the follow-up of advances is effective. Problems of the borrowers are addressed promptly and remedial steps are taken timely. Rural borrowers are more amicable, and they accept RRBs as 'friend, philosopher and guide'.

CASA PERFORMANCE BETTER

For RRBs, the ratio of low-cost deposits to the total deposits continues to remain above their big brothers. However, the share of CASA has also witnessed gradual decline in the recent years. RRBs that managed to mobilize 61.21 per cent of CASA of total deposits in 2006-07 could not continue that further. In 2009-10, the share of CASA was at 57.9 per cent. They can take solace in the fact that their CASA share is more than that of their sponsoring public sector banks. The RRBs that managed to mobilize around Rs 38,233 crore in their savings accounts in 2005-06 took it to the level of Rs 75,906 crore in 2009-10. Experts felt that being the bankers for the villagers has helped RRBs garner more CASA than the commercial banks.

AGRICULTURE ADVANCES RATIO

The Report on Trend and Progress of Banking in India 2009-10 is concerned the share of agricultural credit from RRBs, was on a decline in the recent years. While agricultural credit to total credit was 54.2 per cent in 2005-06 it increased to just 54.8 per cent in 2009-10. Considering the increase in the volume of business over the years, this appears to be a bit low. Although, RRBs managed to take this share to 56.6 per cent in 2006-07, that did not continue for long. RRBs are extending loans to non-agricultural sector in rural areas also. They are broad-basing their credit pattern. Malaprabha Grameen Bank went ahead to finance vehicles for rural transport system. Financing of vehicles for rural transportation helped villagers, as they sold their produce in urban areas. On the return journey, they would bring items required by the villagers and sell them at their door steps.

WAGE BILL

The component of wage bill in the expenditure of RRBs continues to increase with every year. While wage bills stood at Rs 1,848 crore in 2005-06, it reached Rs 2,646 crore in 2009-10. The reduction in number of RRBs has not resulted in any sudden reduction in staff strength since there was no termination of services of

employees after amalgamation. Unlike commercial banks no voluntary retirement schemes were introduced in RRBs. Earlier, the wages RRB staffs were not on par with that of officers and employees of commercial bank/public sector banks. The wage revision effected in commercial/public sector banks is now extended to RRB staff also. There has been substantial increase in dearness allowance component, which has also contributed towards the increased wage bill. It is believed that passion for working in rural banks is the most important factor that drives efficient working in RRBs. Employees coming to the RRBs on deputation from the sponsor banks should have inclination for working in those banks and be partners in progress. In most cases, nearly 90 per cent staff at the RRBs is locals having better contact in their areas of operation. This is also essential for the successful running of an RRB. This builds team spirit among staff members.

MAJOR OBSERVATION

1. RRBs were established "with a view to developing the rural economy by providing, for the purpose of development of agriculture, trade, commerce, industry and other productive activities in the rural areas, credit and other facilities, particularly to small and marginal farmers, agricultural laborers, artisans and small entrepreneurs, and for matters connected therewith and incidental thereto"(RRBs Act, 1976).
2. RRBs alone have organized roughly 12 lakh self-help groups, 45 per cent of the total self-help groups in the country. RRBs have also issued over 40 lakh Kisan Credit Cards to the farmers and organized over 5,000 out of 11,000 farmers' clubs under NABARD scheme.
3. Lack of a single owner with clear ownership and control, and no prospects for profits, diffused accountability and weakened oversight of the RRBs.
4. Though the growth in credit when seen in isolation gives an impression of the impressive strides made by RRBs in disbursing credit, they account for a very small proportion (around 3 per cent) of the total assets of the Indian banking sector, despite their significant branch network.
5. While C-D ratio for 50 RRBs was more than 60 per cent that for 87 banks was less than 40 per cent in March 2004.
6. Specifically, the sponsor bank contributes thirty-five per cent of issued capital of a RRB, appoints its chairman, advises on decisions regarding investments, monitor its progress and suggest corrective measures to be taken by the RRB.
7. The Government of India (Ministry of Finance) issued nine notifications on September 12, 2005 for amalgamation of 28 RRBs into nine new RRBs sponsored by nine banks in six States. These amalgamations have become effective from September 12, 2005.
8. After amalgamation, RRBs transformation has resulted in a 200 per cent increase in net profits, a 100 per cent increase in business, a gradual reduction in the number of loss-making banks and addition of 1,000 outlets. All this has been because of consolidation among RRBs. The Central government initiated the process of amalgamating RRBs in September, 2005. Then there were 196 RRBs.
9. At the end of the last fiscal 2009-10, the number of branches reached 15,475 as against 14,489 in 2005-06. Amalgamation and reduction of number resulted in rationalization to a great extent. This helped merge the weak RRBs with the stronger ones.
10. The amalgamation of different RRBs of the same sponsor bank in a State helped the combined entity increase business and profits and also RRBs were permitted to open branches at taluk headquarters.
11. RRBs seem to have better Non-Performing Assets (NPA) management with net NPA coming down every year after the amalgamation. In 2005-06, the net NPA stood at 3.96 per cent. It declined to 1.98 per in 2008-09.
12. It is proved that being the bankers for the villagers has helped RRBs garner more CASA than the commercial banks.
13. RRBs are extending loans to non-agricultural sector in rural areas also. They are broad-basing their credit pattern. Malaprabha Grameen Bank went ahead to finance vehicles for rural transport system. Financing of vehicles for rural transportation helped villagers, as they sold their produce in urban areas.
14. The reduction in number of RRBs has not resulted in any sudden reduction in staff strength since there was no termination of services of employees after amalgamation. Unlike commercial banks no voluntary retirement schemes were introduced in RRBs.

REFERENCES

1. Akhavein, J.D., Berger, A.N., Humphrey, D.B. (1997): "The Effects of Megamergers on Efficiency and Prices: Evidence from a Bank Profit Function", *Finance and Economic Discussion Series 9*, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.
2. Arellano, M., and Bover, O. (1995): "Another Look at the Instrumental Variables Estimation of Error Components Models," *Journal of Econometrics*, 68, pp.29-51.
3. Athanasoglou Panayiotis P., Brissimis Sophocles N. and Delis Matthaios D. (2005): "Bank- Specific, Industry-Specific and Macroeconomic Determinants of Bank Profitability", *Bank of Greece Working PaperNo. 25*.
4. Balachandher K G, John Staunton and Balashanmugam (2004): "Determinants of Commercial Bank Profitability in Malaysia" Papers presented at the 12th Annual Australian Conference on Finance and Banking.
5. Barth, J.R., Caprio, G., Levine, R. (2004): "Bank supervision and regulation: What Works Best?" *Journal of Financial Intermediation*, 13 (2), pp. 205-248.
6. Benston, George J., Gerald A. Hanweck and David B. Humphrey (1982): "Scale Economies in Banking", *Journal of Money, Credit, and Banking*, Vol.XIV, No. 4, part 1, November.
7. Bikker, J.A., Hu, H. (2002): "Cyclical Patterns in Profits, Provisioning and Lending of Banks and Procyclicality of the New Basel Capital Requirements", *BNL Quarterly Review*, 221, pp.143-175.
8. Bell Frederick W. and Nell Mushy (1969): "Impact of Market Structure on the Price of a Commercial Banking Service", *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, May.
9. Bose, Sukanya (2005): "Regional Rural Banks: The Past and the Present Debate", *Macro Scan*, URL: http://www.macrosan.com/fet/jul05/fet200705RRB_Debate.htm.
10. Bourke, P. (1989): "Concentration and other Determinants of Bank Profitability in Europe, North America and Australia", *Journal of Banking and Finance*, Vol.13, pp. 65-79.
11. Das Prasant (2000): "Sustainability Through Outreach - The Malbar Lighthouse Shows the Way." *National Seminar on Best Practices in RRBs, BIRD, Lucknow*.
12. Goddard, J., Molyneux, P., Wilson, J.O.S. (2004): "The Profitability of European Banks: A Cross-Sectional and Dynamic Panel Analysis", *Manchester School* 72 (3), pp.363- 381.

REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

Dear Readers

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce and Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue as well as on the journal as a whole, on our E-mails i.e. **infoijrcm@gmail.com** or **info@ijrcm.org.in** for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries please feel free to contact us on our E-mail **infoijrcm@gmail.com**.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-

Co-ordinator

ABOUT THE JOURNAL

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active co-operation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.

Our Other Journals

