

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE & MANAGEMENT

I
J
R
C
M



A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

Indexed & Listed at:

Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, ProQuest, U.S.A., The American Economic Association's electronic bibliography, EconLit, U.S.A., EBSCO Publishing, U.S.A.,
Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland, Open J-Gate, India [link of the same is duly available at Infibnet of University Grants Commission (U.G.C.)]
as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A.

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than Hundred & Thirty Two countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

Ground Floor, Building No. 1041-C-1, Devi Bhawan Bazar, JAGADHRI – 135 003, Yamunanagar, Haryana, INDIA

www.ijrcm.org.in

CONTENTS

Sr. No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page No.
1.	INNOVATION AS A SECRET FOR ORGANIZATIONAL SUCCESS: A LITERATURE REVIEW BASED ON INNOVATION IN ORGANIZATIONAL ENVIRONMENT <i>IMALI N. FERNANDO & T. C. WIJESINGHE</i>	1
2.	THE IMPACT OF SMALL BUSINESS MANAGEMENT ON PRODUCT QUALITY, PRODUCT FEATURES AND PRODUCT POSITIONING IN IBADAN METROPOLITAN, OYO STATE, NIGERIA <i>DR. HALIRU BALA</i>	5
3.	OWNERSHIP MIX AND FIRM'S RISK TAKING BEHAVIOR: EVIDENCE FROM PAKISTANI CAPITAL MARKET <i>SHAHAB-UD-DIN, DR. UMARA NOREEN & GIRMA TILAHUN</i>	10
4.	THE IMPACT OF STUDENTS' DIVERSITY ON GROUP WORK IN BAHIR DAR UNIVERSITY AND GONDER UNIVERSITY <i>GIRMA TILAHUN</i>	15
5.	A STUDY ON MOTIVES AND AWARENESS LEVELS OF STOCK MARKET INVESTORS – A CASE STUDY WITH REFERENCE TO ANANTAPUR DISTRICT IN A.P. <i>DR. P.BASIAH & K. TEJA PRIYANKA YADAV</i>	22
6.	SERVICE QUALITY AND PATIENT'S SATISFACTION TOWARDS PRIVATE HEALTH CARE INDUSTRIES IN INDIA <i>DR. A. P SINGH & SATENDRA THAKUR</i>	31
7.	IPO'S PERFORMANCE AND ITS RELATIONSHIP WITH QIB SUBSCRIPTIONS AND GRADE <i>DR. R DURAIPANDIAN & SURESH A.S</i>	35
8.	ECONOMICS OF FISHERMEN IN AKOLA DISTRICT <i>DR. ANILKUMAR RATHOD</i>	39
9.	CUSTOMER RELATIONSHIP MANAGEMENT IN INSURANCE SECTOR - A STUDY OF PERCEPTIONS OF CUSTOMERS AND EMPLOYEES IN VISAKHAPATNAM CITY <i>DR. MVS.SRINIVASA RAO</i>	41
10.	AN INNOVATIVE CRITICAL APPROACH TOWARDS ETHICAL BRANDING AND CORPORATE REPUTATION IN BUSINESS WORLD <i>DR. SURENDRA KUMAR & ARUSHI BHASIN</i>	45
11.	IMPACT OF AGGRESSIVE WORKING CAPITAL MANAGEMENT POLICY ON FIRMS' PROFITABILITY <i>A. PALANI & DR. A. PEER MOHIDEEN</i>	49
12.	ORGANISATIONAL SUPPORT FOR CAREER DEVELOPMENT OF EMPLOYEES – A STUDY ON BBK LEATHERS PRIVATE LTD. <i>A. SEEMA & DR. S. SUJATHA</i>	54
13.	PERCEPTION AND CONSUMER BEHAVIOUR TOWARDS PRIVATE LABELS AT RETAIL OUTLET IN CHENNAI CITY – AN EMPIRICAL VIEW <i>V.VARATHARAJ, S. VASANTHA & DR. R.SANTHI</i>	60
14.	THE EFFECTIVENESS OF HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PRACTICES ON HOTEL PERFORMANCE <i>DR. HAITHAM M. A. NAKHLEH., NISHA V. PATEL & DR. UMESH R. DANGARWALA</i>	64
15.	ROLE OF RISK AND RETURN IN INVESTMENT DECISIONS AMONG AUTOMOBILE AND BANK STOCKS AND PORTFOLIO SELECTION <i>S.PRAVEENA & DR. K. MAHENDRAN</i>	70
16.	STAKEHOLDERS' ROLE IN SUSTAINABLE TOURISM DEVELOPMENT: A CASE STUDY OF NORTH EAST AND LADAKH <i>VIVEK SHARMA & JEET DOGRA</i>	76
17.	STRESS MANAGEMENT FACTORS AND ITS INTERRELATIONSHIP WITH JOB SATISFACTION <i>ANIL KUMAR & NEELAM RATHEE</i>	80
18.	LEADERSHIP DEVELOPMENT FOR EXCELLENCE: A REVIEW <i>SHRADDHA KULKARNI</i>	86
19.	IMPACT OF TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT IN PRODUCTIVITY MANAGEMENT– A STUDY <i>VENUKUMAR G</i>	90
20.	DEMAND ESTIMATION UNDER PUSH MARKETING STRATEGY: TOOL TO MITIGATE BULLWHIP EFFECT <i>SACHIN GUPTA</i>	93
21.	THE IMPACT OF WORKING CAPITAL MANAGEMENT ON PROFITABILITY AND LIQUIDITY <i>REKHA RAHEJA, RAJESH BHARDWAJ & PRIYANKA</i>	99
22.	MANAGING EMPLOYEE RETENTION AND TURNOVER IN THE RETAIL SECTOR <i>RASHMI KODIKAL, DR. P PAKKEERAPPA & NIDA AHMED</i>	103
23.	A STUDY ON AWARENESS OF ADVERTISING – WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO STUDENTS OF ARTS AND SCIENCE COLLEGES AFFILIATED TO MANONMANIAM SUNDARANAR UNIVERSITY, TIRUNELVELI <i>S. JEYARADHA, DR. K. KAMALAKANNAN & V. SANGEETHA</i>	108
24.	PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT AS EFFECTIVE TOOL FOR SUSTAINABLE COMPETITIVENESS IN THE AIRPORT AUTHORITY OF INDIA <i>DR. KAMESHWAR PANDIT & PREETI RAINA</i>	111
25.	SALES: A LUCRATIVE BASKET FOR CONSUMERS AND SHOPKEEPERS <i>PREETI SODHI & PRATIBHA THAPA</i>	117
26.	CONSUMER PERCEPTION OF BRANDED PETROL IN NAVI MUMBAI <i>DR. ELIZABETH MATHEWS & SANGEETA TANAJI KAMBLE</i>	123
27.	STRESS MANAGEMENT- A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF SELECTED PUBLIC & PRIVATE SECTOR ORGANIZATION IN CHHATTISGARH <i>RUCHI SINHA</i>	126
28.	QUALITY OF WORK LIFE AMONG LIBRARY PROFESSIONALS IN HARYANA STATE <i>SOMVIR & SUDHA KAUSHIK</i>	131
29.	STUDY ON THE ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERNS ON CONSUMERS PURCHASING PATTERNS IN KOLKATA CITY <i>HINDOL ROY</i>	135
30.	INVESTORS ATTITUDE TOWARDS INVESTMENT OPTION IN NELLORE REGION <i>V. G. MURUGAN</i>	139
	REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK	144

CHIEF PATRON

PROF. K. K. AGGARWAL

Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Delhi
Founder Vice-Chancellor, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi
Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

PATRON

SH. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Ex. State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana
Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri
President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

CO-ORDINATOR

DR. SAMBHAV GARG

Faculty, M. M. Institute of Management, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana

ADVISORS

DR. PRIYA RANJAN TRIVEDI

Chancellor, The Global Open University, Nagaland

PROF. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Director A. C. D., School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

PROF. M. N. SHARMA

Chairman, M.B.A., Haryana College of Technology & Management, Kaithal

PROF. S. L. MAHANDRU

Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

EDITOR

PROF. R. K. SHARMA

Professor, Bharti Vidyapeeth University Institute of Management & Research, New Delhi

CO-EDITOR

DR. BHAVET

Faculty, M. M. Institute of Management, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

DR. RAJESH MODI

Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

PROF. SANJIV MITTAL

University School of Management Studies, Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

PROF. ROSHAN LAL

Head & Convener Ph. D. Programme, M. M. Institute of Management, M. M. University, Mullana

PROF. ANIL K. SAINI

Chairperson (CRC), Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

DR. SAMBHAVNA

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

DR. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, P. J. L. N. Government College, Faridabad

DR. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE

Asst. Professor, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

MOHITA

Faculty, Yamuna Institute of Engineering & Technology, Village Gadholi, P. O. Gadholi, Yamunanagar

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

PROF. NAWAB ALI KHAN

Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

PROF. ABHAY BANSAL

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity University, Noida

PROF. V. SELVAM

SSL, VIT University, Vellore

DR. N. SUNDARAM

Associate Professor, VIT University, Vellore

DR. PARDEEP AHLAWAT

Reader, Institute of Management Studies & Research, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak

S. TABASSUM SULTANA

Associate Professor, Department of Business Management, Matrusri Institute of P.G. Studies, Hyderabad

TECHNICAL ADVISOR

AMITA

Faculty, Government M. S., Mohali

MOHITA

Faculty, Yamuna Institute of Engineering & Technology, Village Gadholi, P. O. Gadholi, Yamunanagar

FINANCIAL ADVISORS

DICKIN GOYAL

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

NEENA

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

LEGAL ADVISORS

JITENDER S. CHAHAL

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

SUPERINTENDENT

SURENDER KUMAR POONIA

CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to recent developments & practices in the area of Computer, Business, Finance, Marketing, Human Resource Management, General Management, Banking, Insurance, Corporate Governance and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting Education; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Monetary Policy; Portfolio & Security Analysis; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Business Education; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law, Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labor Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; Public Administration; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism, Hospitality & Leisure; Transportation/Physical Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Digital Logic; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Multimedia; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic and Web Design. The above mentioned tracks are only indicative, and not exhaustive.

Anybody can submit the soft copy of his/her manuscript **anytime** in M.S. Word format after preparing the same as per our submission guidelines duly available on our website under the heading guidelines for submission, at the email addresses: info@ijrcm@gmail.com or info@ijrcm.org.in.

GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT

1. **COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:**

DATED: _____

THE EDITOR
IJRCM

Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF _____.

(e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)

DEAR SIR/MADAM

Please find my submission of manuscript entitled ' _____ ' for possible publication in your journals.

I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore, it has neither been published elsewhere in any language fully or partly, nor is it under review for publication elsewhere.

I affirm that all the author (s) have seen and agreed to the submitted version of the manuscript and their inclusion of name (s) as co-author (s).

Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the formalities as given on the website of the journal & you are free to publish our contribution in any of your journals.

NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Designation:

Affiliation with full address, contact numbers & Pin Code:

Residential address with Pin Code:

Mobile Number (s):

Landline Number (s):

E-mail Address:

Alternate E-mail Address:

NOTES:

- a) The whole manuscript is required to be in **ONE MS WORD FILE** only (pdf. version is liable to be rejected without any consideration), which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript.
- b) The sender is required to mention the following in the **SUBJECT COLUMN** of the mail:
New Manuscript for Review in the area of (Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)
- c) There is no need to give any text in the body of mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any specific message w.r.t. to the manuscript.
- d) The total size of the file containing the manuscript is required to be below **500 KB**.
- e) Abstract alone will not be considered for review, and the author is required to submit the complete manuscript in the first instance.
- f) The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of manuscript, within two days of submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending separate mail to the journal.

2. **MANUSCRIPT TITLE:** The title of the paper should be in a 12 point Calibri Font. It should be bold typed, centered and fully capitalised.

3. **AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS:** The author (s) **full name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline numbers**, and **email/alternate email address** should be in italic & 11-point Calibri Font. It must be centered underneath the title.

4. **ABSTRACT:** Abstract should be in fully italicized text, not exceeding 250 words. The abstract must be informative and explain the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a single para. Abbreviations must be mentioned in full.

5. **KEYWORDS:** Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of five. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stops at the end.
6. **MANUSCRIPT:** Manuscript must be in **BRITISH ENGLISH** prepared on a standard A4 size **PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER**. It must be prepared on a single space and single column with 1" margin set for top, bottom, left and right. It should be typed in 8 point Calibri Font with page numbers at the bottom and centre of every page. It should be free from grammatical, spelling and punctuation errors and must be thoroughly edited.
7. **HEADINGS:** All the headings should be in a 10 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
8. **SUB-HEADINGS:** All the sub-headings should be in a 8 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
9. **MAIN TEXT:** The main text should follow the following sequence:

INTRODUCTION**REVIEW OF LITERATURE****NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY****STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM****OBJECTIVES****HYPOTHESES****RESEARCH METHODOLOGY****RESULTS & DISCUSSION****FINDINGS****RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS****CONCLUSIONS****SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH****ACKNOWLEDGMENTS****REFERENCES****APPENDIX/ANNEXURE**

It should be in a 8 point Calibri Font, single spaced and justified. The manuscript should preferably not exceed **5000 WORDS**.

10. **FIGURES & TABLES:** These should be simple, centered, separately numbered & self explained, and **titles must be above the table/figure**. Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure. It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text.
11. **EQUATIONS:** These should be consecutively numbered in parentheses, horizontally centered with equation number placed at the right.
12. **REFERENCES:** The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript and they are supposed to follow **Harvard Style of Referencing**. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
 - All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
 - Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
 - When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc, in chronologically ascending order.
 - Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
 - The title of books and journals should be in italics. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
 - For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parentheses.
 - The location of endnotes within the text should be indicated by superscript numbers.

PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:**BOOKS**

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

- Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

- Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

CONFERENCE PAPERS

- Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19-22 June.

UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS AND THESES

- Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

ONLINE RESOURCES

- Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

WEBSITE

- Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Natural Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 <http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp>

AN INNOVATIVE CRITICAL APPROACH TOWARDS ETHICAL BRANDING AND CORPORATE REPUTATION IN BUSINESS WORLD

DR. SURENDRA KUMAR
ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR

BABU BANARASI DAS NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & MANAGEMENT
BBD UNIVERSITY
LUCKNOW

ARUSHI BHASIN
ASST. PROFESSOR

BABU BANARASI DAS NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & MANAGEMENT
BBD UNIVERSITY
LUCKNOW

ABSTRACT

This paper explores the concept of ethical branding and its link to corporate reputation. Brands have traditionally been studied only as an economic construct. Brands, as a social construct, have not yet been fully understood due to the lack of research. A corporate brand is a vital part of the corporate reputation management. An ethical brand enhances the firm's reputation; such a reputation reinforces the brand in turn. On the other hand, any unethical behaviour will severely damage or even destroy the total intangible asset as evidenced by the recent high profile corporate scandals. Ethical branding could provide the company with a differential advantage as a growing number of consumers become more ethically conscious.

KEYWORDS

Branding, Behaviour, Customer, Reputation, Corporate Social responsibility.

INTRODUCTION

There has been a growing research interest in the area of business and marketing ethics. Ethics has been studied in almost all business issues except branding. Not a single academic study has been found on branding ethics after an extensive literature search covering the following sources: three online database (ABI Inform Global, Ebsco and Infotrac), three journals (*Journal of Business Ethics*, *Journal of Brand Management* and *Journal of Product and Brand Management*), dozens of books and websites.

Brands may have been in existence for well over a thousand years. But never has any society before seen the power of branding as is witnessed today: Brands are prevalent in every aspect of human life: production and consumption, food and clothing, personality and lifestyle; and from pop culture to politics. Branding is no longer just about adding value to a product; branding represents and promotes lifestyles and brands themselves become a kind of culture. In the words of Hazel Kahan (quoted in Hall, 1999), brands are now gunning for a share of consumers' inner lives, their values, their beliefs, their politics; yes, their souls. The impact of brands and branding is far beyond the field of marketing and advertising. Branding is a social construct as well as an economic construct. As an economic construct, brands have been studied from both marketing and financial perspectives. As a social construct, brands have not yet been fully understood owing to the dearth of academic research in this area. Advertising is probably the most visible element of marketing but branding is at the centre of any marketing communications. Most problems with advertising have their roots in branding strategy. A notorious example is Benetton's shocking tactic advertising in the 1990s. However, little is known about the impact of branding (not advertising) on the stakeholders other than brand owners and users, and about the link between branding and corporate reputation. This paper aims to raise the awareness of ethical issues in corporate branding.

WHAT IS ETHICAL BRANDING?

Brand is a simple but very confused word with multiple meanings. The American Marketing Association defines a brand as: a name, term, sign, symbol, or design, or a combination of them, intended to identify the goods or services of one seller or group of sellers and differentiate them from those of competitors (Kotler, 2003). A brand may have many other meanings depending on the role it plays, the value it has and more importantly, to whom it is related. To brand owners, a brand is mainly a differentiation device: the living memory and the future of its products (Kapferer, 1997). To brand users, a brand may create an emotional bond with them which turns the brand into an icon. In the most developed role, brands represent not only the products or services a company provides but the firm itself, the brand is the company and brands become a synonym of the company's policy (Goodyear 1996; de Chernatony and McDonald, 2003). A brand is no longer just the interface between the company and its customers; to whom and to the general public, it is the face of the company. Branding is a key function in marketing that means much more than just giving a product a name. Branding at corporate level is essentially about developing and managing the relationship between the organisation and its various stakeholders as well as the general public. Should branding be ethical? It might seem that the answer is obvious: most companies would answer yes. However, it would be more difficult to find a universal agreement on what ethical branding is. Ethics refers to moral rules or principles of behaviour for deciding what is right and wrong. These principles are not always easy to define as a) it is often difficult to distinguish between ethics and legality; b) ethical values vary between individuals and organizations, and between different cultures; and they are changing over time. Ethics is a very complex subject. Marketing ethics is but a subset of business ethics which itself is a subset of ethics (Martin, 1985). Research on marketing ethics has so far been confined to general marketing issues, such as product safety, pricing, advertising and marketing research (Laczniak, 1993; Simith, 1995 and Murphy, 1999); little attention has been paid to branding. No business ethics books have been found to have reference to branding while leading branding texts have made no reference to ethics (Aaker, 1991; Kapferer, 1997; Keller, 1998 and de Chernatony and McDonald, 2003). A brand may be amoral, but there are ethical issues in branding. Ethical branding, as a subset of ethical marketing, relates to certain moral principles that define right and wrong behaviour in branding decisions. A brand needs to be evaluated not just by the economic or financial criteria but also by the moral ones. An ethical brand should

QUESTIONABLE BRANDING OBJECTIVES

With the continuous advancement of technology, most consumer products have become a kind of commodity, i.e. there are fewer and fewer genuine and tangible differences between competing offers. The Unique Selling Proposition (USP) is no longer valid and being replaced by so called the Emotional Selling Proposition (Aitchison, 1999:42). This provides brand advertisers with a powerful tool to manipulate the consumer's emotion in order to achieve brand differentiation. The conventional wisdom of branding believes that the ultimate aim of branding is to command a favourable position in the mind of consumers, distinct from competition (Ries and Trout, 1982). A successful brand is believed to bring its owner great financial value in terms of either higher sales or premium prices. The ultimate objectives in branding can be summarized as follows:

- To dominate the market (to reduce or eliminate competition)
- To increase customer loyalty (by increasing the switch cost)
- To raise the entry barriers (to fend off potential threat)

These branding objectives could be ethically questionable under scrutiny. Whilst there may be nothing wrong if one brand succeeds in dominating the market, it is a different matter if the brand aims at monopoly with active attempt to eliminate competition as in the recent case of Microsoft, which was imposed a record 497 million dollar fine by the EU for anti-competitive behaviour. As a human activity branding should be evaluated from a moral point of view. In the ruthless competition for market shares moral issues are probably the last concern for companies. The paradox is that the more successful a brand is in the marketplace, the more likely its branding strategy may become ethically questionable. Consider the following cases:

- Targeting at children as young as five years old who are impressionable;
- Alcoholic soft drink advertising encouraging under-age drinking
- Exaggerating non-existing benefits in a basically commodity product;
- False and misleading advertising;
- Promoting self-indulgence and conspicuous consumption (e.g. binge drinking and consumer debts)

A VULNERABLE ASSET

The image of a brand can also be affected by non-branding decisions that are made at the marketing or business level, for example, sweatshop accusations, animal testing, labour disputes, etc. Most business decisions that might eventually affect the organization's brand image are made by people other than the brand manager on financial criteria with little consideration for ethical issues. Whenever anything goes wrong, be it a small incident or a big crisis, it is the brand that takes the blame; the brand image and corporate reputation are always the victim. Brands became the mistaken identity in the debate between No Logo and Pro Logo camps (*The Economist*, 08/09/2009), as it is not the brand or logo, but the bad corporate policies that are responsible for all the wrongdoings. "Brands are not guilty of social and environmental damage – nor are they even a symbol of unethical working practice. Corporations are guilty and laws that allow unethical practice are guilty" (anonymous comment on brandchannel.com 29/10/2009). Enron's downfall was not caused by the branding but the corrupted top management. A brand simply becomes the easy target or scapegoat for corporate misbehavior. A brand is widely regarded as the most valuable asset an organisation has. An often-overlooked fact is that it is also the most vulnerable asset as well. A brand reputation established with millions pounds of investment over many years could be easily damaged or even destroyed overnight.

THE MULTIPLE IMAGES OF A BRAND

A brand owner might want create one single image for its brand that is positive and consistent. In reality a brand may simultaneously hold multiple images - external versus internal, intended versus perceived and positive to neutral to negative, depending on that who interprets these images. Consider the case of Coca Cola. Officially, the world's most valuable brand worth of \$68.9bn wants to promote itself as the following: *through our actions as local citizens, we strive every day to refresh the marketplace, enrich the workplace, preserve the environment and strengthen our communities* (cocacola.com). However, behind this seemingly noble statement, there is another Coca Cola whose aim, according to its former senior vice chairman, was *to encourage as many people as possible to drink as much Coca Cola as possible at the highest possible price so that the company could make even more money* (Zyman, 1992). What a sharp contrast between the words and the deeds. It is not uncommon to find such a great discrepancy in other well-known brands such as Nike and McDonalds. Another example is the fashion retailer French Connection. The firm's fortune changed when it re-branded itself as FCUK, deliberately provoking outrage through its association with the F-word. Is this clever or irresponsible branding? As many people in marketing still believe that "ethics does not sell" and or that such concerns are outside their responsibility, managers will continue to face the dilemma of cost versus conscience. This is reflected in a statement made by Enron's former CEO Jeffery Skilling who reputedly said *my job as a businessman is to maximize returns to shareholders. It is the government's job to step in if the product is dangerous* (*The Observer*, 28/07/2002). This has echoed with Friedman's influential yet largely outdated view that the social responsibility of business is to increase its profits (1970).

There could also be a gap in the brand images projected by product and corporate advertising. Brand communications aimed at one group of audience may not be appreciated (or would even be misinterpreted) by another. There is an inherent problem here. It is impossible for a brand with a single image to appeal to everyone. If a brand appeals to one group audience it may also alienate or even offend other groups. Does it matter?

DEFICIENCY IN BRAND MODELS

The main attention of brand management in the last 20 years has been largely confined to product branding while corporate branding has been overlooked. This is particularly true in the fast moving consumer goods sector, and is also reflected in the branding models and research. In the conventional brand models (Aaker, 1991; Kapferer, 1997 and Keller, 1997), the brand is related to only two types of audiences: brand owner and brand user. The value of brand equity is defined and measured by its economic performance in financial terms. This model, albeit useful in explaining the so-called brand power, has a number of deficiencies. Firstly, two basic elements are missing: legality and ethics, which form the foundation of brand equity. A good brand must be a legal as well as ethical one. Thus brand value needs to be assessed by both financial and ethical measures. Secondly, conventional brand models focus largely on product brands rather than corporate brands. Brands and branding have such a profound impact on the society as whole and not just on these people who buy them. There is a wider public, in addition to shareholders and consumers, who may be potentially affected by the branding decisions: employees, suppliers, and the wider community. Brands that satisfy one group may affect another negatively. The impact of branding on these stakeholders should also be taken into consideration. A good-brand is said to create financial value for its owner and emotional value for its users. What does a brand mean to the general public? Is it right that the interest of some stakeholders (brand owners and buyers) always outweigh the interest of other stakeholders? If a brand is studied in a broader social context, should it also bring public good to the society by symbolizing some basic human (moral) values, or is that asking too much?

CORPORATE BRAND EQUITY

While the primary purpose of product branding is to aid sales and profitability, the primary purpose of corporate branding is to embody the value system of the company and to help promote and enhance corporate reputation. Corporate brand equity relates to the attitudes and associations that wide stakeholders have of a company as opposed to those of an individual product (Larkin, 2003). A brand cannot be separated from the organisational context in which it was created or is developed and managed (Feldwick, 1996). Thus it can be argued that there is a link between brand values and an organization's corporate culture and/or mission statement. The recent fashion in branding is internal branding which believes that if employees fully understand and appreciate their brand they will be better able to provide the desired brand experience to consumers (Ind, 2009; Kunde and Cunningham, 2002). The brand can't just be a unique selling proposition. It has to be an "organising principle", uniting and directing the entire corporation. Employees can't just do a good day's work any more. They have to "live the brand" (Mitchell, 2009). However, this begs the question: does a brand have the same meaning to the management and employees as it has to the buyers? A brand is about the two key relationships: the relationship between the organisation and its customers, and the relationship between the organisation and other stakeholders and general public. The economic basis of a brand is that it should keep its promise of providing both physical and emotional benefits to its buyers. Similarly, the social basis of a brand is that it must stick to its core values: trust, honesty, and integrity. Like any other long-term relationship, a brand must be developed and maintained on the basis of trust. Once the trust is lost or destroyed by any corporate wrongdoing the brand is doomed to fail as evidenced by some biggest corporate scandals in the USA and Europe. If marketing is, like some researchers (Vitell and Grove, 1987; Dunfee, et al, 1999) believe, the most prone to unethical behaviour due to its inherent attributes then branding must share some of the blame.

BRANDING AND CSR

Corporate social responsibility (CSR) and business ethics are the two concepts that are often used inter-exchangeably but different. This area is further complicated by the use of other terms such as corporate reputation, corporate image, and corporate citizenship, to name but a few (for a comprehensive review on CSR, see Carroll, (1999)). According to Robin and Reidenbach (1987), CSR is related to the social contract between business and society in which it operates, while business ethics requires organizations to behave in accordance with carefully thought-out rules or moral philosophy. Socially "responsible" behaviour may be ethically neutral or even ethically unsound while actions dictated by moral philosophy may be socially unacceptable.

To its critics CSR is all about cover up and spin. Many companies used CSR as a kind of corporate PR rather than as genuine attempt to change the way they interact with society (WARC, 2003). When CSR is driven only by risk management it is not only fake and unsustainable, but also doomed to failure on its own term (Kitchin, 2003).

Instead of addressing real issues, CSR merely stages an elaborate pantomime to conceal or distract public attention away from the corporate illness. CSR never tells the audience what happened behind the scene, i.e. what is really going on inside the company. The greatest CSR show in recent years was put on by Enron: before its demise Enron had been on the list of the 100 Best Companies to Work for in America and received six environmental awards in 2000. It issued a triple bottom line report. It had great policies on climate change, human rights, and (yes indeed) anti-corruption. Its CEO gave speeches at ethics conferences and put together a statement of values emphasizing "communication, respect, and integrity." The company's stock was in many social investing mutual funds when it went down (Kelly, 2002).

Cause related marketing (CRM) or so-called third wave branding is another latest fad. The idea behind CRM is that aligning companies with causes that consumer's feel strongly about, will create social capital and there will be a strong association between consumers and companies (Dowling, 2009). As most marketing managers do not have adequate training or competence to decide which social cause to support and which to ignore, CRM is opportunistic and superficial at best. At worst it could bring in more trouble than benefit to the organisation as it risks alienating a large proportion of its potential consumers by taking stands on issues that are either controversial or have little to do with its core business, a good example is provided by Benetton's so-called social issue advertising.

ETHICAL BRANDING AND CORPORATE REPUTATION

Corporate reputation can be defined in terms of a number of attributes that form a buyer's perception as to whether a company is well known, good or bad, reliable, trustworthy, reputable and believable (Levitt, 1965). Corporate reputation is concerned with how people feel about a company based on whatever information (or misinformation) they have on, company activities, workplace, past performance and future prospects (Fombrun, 2000). According to Keller (1998), a socially responsible corporate image association involves the creation of consumer perceptions of a company as contributing to community programs, supporting artistic and social activities and generally attempting to improve the welfare of society as a whole.

A corporate brand is the core component of corporate reputation. Being the face of the organisation that owns it, a corporate brand has to communicate to a wider range of audiences than consumers and investors. There is an interesting relationship between corporate reputation and corporate performance. Corporate reputation is believed to have positive impact on a firm's market share and ultimately on the stock market value. According to one study of long-term stock price movements and company reputation changes, some 8-15% of a company's stock price can be accounted for by corporate reputation (Greyser, 1996). On the other hand, a company's corporate reputation is also affected by its past performance, both financial performance and social performance.

Clearly there is a close link between ethical branding and corporate reputation. These attributes may include: honesty, integrity, diversity, quality, respect, responsibility and accountability (cocacola.com), and define what an ethical brand stands for. An ethical brand enhances the firm's reputation; such a reputation reinforces the brand in turn. Ethical branding can be studied at both corporate and product levels. At the corporate level, a corporate brand is a vital part of the corporate reputation management. Any unethical behaviour will severely damage or even destroy the total intangible asset as evidenced by the some recent high profile scandals such as Enron and Anderson Consulting. Branding at the product level involves labelling, packaging and communicating. Although these do not have a direct impact upon the corporate brand, they can still affect the reputation of the organisation. Some corporate PR activities such as sponsorship and donations will not automatically change the public opinion if the company is generally perceived as unethical and not genuine; for example, the sponsorship of a research centre for corporate responsibility by a tobacco firm. Corporate donations and CSR should not be used as varnish to cover corporate misbehavior. The organisation needs to make systematic efforts to create and maintain an ethical corporate brand image that not only enhances its corporate reputation but also gives the business competitive advantages.

DOES THE CONSUMER REALLY CARE ABOUT BRANDING ETHICS?

A popular or successful brand may not be ethical (it could be a controversial one, such as the Pantaloon Fashions). On the other hand, ethical branding cannot guarantee a firm the success in the marketplace. Consumers generally do have ethical concerns but such concerns do not necessarily become manifest in their actual purchasing behaviour. So does ethical branding matter? The literature seems to be divided on the responses of consumers. One survey in India finds that ethical behaviour is an important consideration during the purchase decision and consumers are willing to pay higher prices for that firm's product (Chopra and Reddy, 1997). An Indian study concludes that although consumers are more sophisticated today, this does not necessarily translate into behaviour that favours ethical companies over unethical ones (Seth and Ambastha, 2009). Another Indian study finds that today's consumers, facing more choices in the marketplace and changes in lifestyle, their sophistication is in decline rather than increase (Trivedi and Bansal, 1996). The consequence of this decline is unsophisticated consumers tend to reward unethical business practices and punish ethical business behaviour. As far as ethical branding is concerned, two questions need to be asked: Do the brand users care? Do the general public care?

Despite the conflicting findings in the literature, society today seems to be more concerned about ethical issues in marketing compared with 20 years ago. The more high-profile a brand is the higher expectation in ethical behaviour the public would place upon the brand. As an increasing number of consumers become ethically conscious, they do take ethical issues in branding seriously. This will in turn force branding to become more ethically accountable.

CONCLUSIONS

Business is a human activity and, like most human activities, it has been and is likely to continue to be evaluated from a moral point of view (Robin and Reidenbach, 1987). Branding, as part of business, is no exception. There is still much confusion about whether a brand itself is unethical or whether something casts an unethical image on the brand. A brand is itself neither good nor bad. But the value a brand represents and branding decisions and practice, as a subset of marketing, can be ethical or unethical.

The age of differentiation in products or service is all but gone as there is virtually little difference between the competing offers. Consumers are well aware of this fact. A recent survey by the Marketing Forum /Consumer Association revealed a high degree of consumer skepticism and cynicism to branding. 78% consumers agreed with the statement that "Companies like to pretend their brands are really different, but actually there's rarely any substantial difference between them", while 76% agreed that many companies "see their brands as a way of pushing up prices" (Mitchell, 2009). Today's business organizations face the increasing pressure from two fronts: from shareholders the pressure to improve financial performance, from wide stakeholders to behave in a socially responsible way.

If corporate reputation is a valuable intangible asset that needs to be actively managed in the boardroom (Larkin, 2003) rather than be passively defended or rescued when in crisis, ethics and social responsibility hold the key in corporate communications. Ethical corporate branding has a greater role to play in the corporate reputation management. Corporate branding should provide a clear vision about how the firm's brands are going to make the world a better place and have a justified set of core values (de Chernatony and McDonald, 2003). This ethical brand positioning could benefit the company with a differential advantage over competition; and at the same time, could help overcome the increasing consumers' skepticism and cynicism towards branding communications.

Ethical branding is a new area with many complicated issues in need of research. These issues can be separated into two broad categories. Firstly, ethical issues in the branding decisions: naming, renaming, positioning and targeting. Enough has been written about the purported benefits that a brand brings to the consumer and its owner (Ambler, 1997). Further research should ask new questions: What is ethical branding? What criteria can be used to differentiate ethical branding from unethical branding? How does the company create and communicate an ethical brand? Does ethical branding affect consumers' purchasing decisions? Secondly, at a philosophical level: the relationship between brand/branding and society needs to be examined. Is the goal of branding primarily and exclusively to enrich its shareholders? What is the social purpose of branding? What are its impact and consequences? Should a brand stand for some core human values? How does this fit with the social role or CSR of the business?

REFERENCES

1. Aaker, D. (1991) *Managing brand equity*, Free Press
2. Aitchison, J. (1999) *Cutting edge advertising*, Prentice Hall Singapore
3. Ambler, T. (1997) "Do brands benefit consumers? *International Journal of Advertising*, 16, 167-198.
4. Carroll, A. B. (1999) "Corporate social responsibility, *Business and Society*, 38:3, 268-295
5. Seth, M and Ambastha, A, (2009) The myth of the ethical consumer - do ethics matter in purchase behaviour? *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 18:7, 560-77.
6. Cryer, E H and Reddy, W T (1997) The influence of firm behaviour on purchase intention: do consumers really care about business ethics? *Journal of consumer Marketing*, 14:6, 421-33
7. De Chernatony L. and McDonald M. (2003) *Creating Powerful Brands*, 3/e Elsevier
8. Dunfee, T. W., Smith, N. C. and Reddy, W. T. (1999) "Social contracts and marketing ethics", *Journal of Marketing*, 63 July 14-32
9. Dowling, G. (2009) *Creating corporate reputations*, Oxford University Press.
10. Feldwick, P. (1996) "What is brand equity anyway, and how do you measure it?" *Journal of the Market Research Society*, 38:2, 85-104
11. Fombrun, C. (2000) "The value to be found in corporate reputation", *Financial Times*, 4 December
12. Friedman, M. (1970) "The social responsibility of business is to increase its profits", *The New York Times Magazine*, 13 Sept.
13. Goodyear, M. (1996) "Divided by a common language", *Journal of Market Research Society*, 38:2, 110-22
14. Greyser, S. (1996) "Corporate reputation and the bottom line", speech at the launch of the International Corporate Identity Group, House of Lords, London
15. Hall, J. (1999) "Corporate ethics and the new commercial paradigm", *Journal of Brand Management*, 7:1 38-47
16. Ind, N. (2009) *Living the brand*, Kogan Page.
17. Kapferer, J. (1997) *Strategic brand management*, 2/e, Kogan Page
18. Kapferer, J. (2009) *Reinventing the brand*, Kogan Page
19. Keller, K. (1998) *Strategic brand management*, Prentice Hall
20. Kelly, M. (2002) "The next step for CSR: building economic democracy", *Business Ethics*, Summer Issue.
21. Kunde, J. and Cunningham, B. J. (2002) *Corporate religion*, FT Management.
22. Lacznia, G. R. "Marketing ethics: onward toward greater expectations", *Journal of Public Policy and Marketing*, 12:1, 91-96.
23. Larkin, J. (2003) *Strategic Reputation Risk Management*, Palgrave MacMillian.
24. Levitt, T (1965) *Industrial Purchasing Behaviour: A study of communication effects*. Harvard Business School, Boston, MA
25. Kitchin, T. (2003) "Human structure: an oxymoron", mutualmarketing.co.uk, 07/10/03
26. Martin, T. R. (1985) "Ethics in marketing: problems and prospects", in Lacznia, G. R. and Murphy. P. E. (Eds), *Marketing Ethics: Guideline for Managers*, Lexington Books, MA
27. Mitchell, A. (2009) "Rethinking brand thinking: the emperor's new clothes- A backlash against branding?", *Market Leader*, Issue 15
28. Murphy, P. E. (1999) "Ethics in advertising: review, analysis, and suggestions", *Journal of Public Policy and Marketing*, 17:2, 316-19.
29. Ries, A. and Trout, J. (1982) *Positioning: the battle for your mind*, Warner, NY.
30. Robin, D. P. and Reidenbach, R. E. (1987) "Social responsibility, ethics, and marketing strategy: closing the gap between concept and application", *Journal of Marketing*, 51, Jan., 44-58
31. Smith, N. C. (1995) "Marketing strategies for the ethics era", *Sloan Management Review*, Summer 85-97
32. Vitell, S. J. and Grove, S.J. (1987) "Marketing ethics and the techniques of neutralisation", *Journal of Business Ethics*, 6, 433-438
33. WARC (2003) "Corporate social responsibility – how far should it go?" Hot Topics, November, www.warc.com/fulltext/hottopics/78289.htm
34. Zagman, (1992) quoted in Kapferer (2009)

REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

Dear Readers

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce and Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue as well as on the journal as a whole, on our E-mails i.e. **infoijrcm@gmail.com** or **info@ijrcm.org.in** for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries please feel free to contact us on our E-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-

Co-ordinator

ABOUT THE JOURNAL

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active co-operation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.

Our Other Journals

