

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE & MANAGEMENT

I
J
R
C
M



A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

Indexed & Listed at:

Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, ProQuest, U.S.A., The American Economic Association's electronic bibliography, EconLit, U.S.A., EBSCO Publishing, U.S.A.,

Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland, Open J-Gate, India [link of the same is duly available at Infibnet of University Grants Commission (U.G.C.)]

as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A.

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than Hundred & Thirty Two countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

Ground Floor, Building No. 1041-C-1, Devi Bhawan Bazar, JAGADHRI – 135 003, Yamunanagar, Haryana, INDIA

www.ijrcm.org.in

CONTENTS

Sr. No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page No.
1.	INNOVATION AS A SECRET FOR ORGANIZATIONAL SUCCESS: A LITERATURE REVIEW BASED ON INNOVATION IN ORGANIZATIONAL ENVIRONMENT <i>IMALI N. FERNANDO & T. C. WIJESINGHE</i>	1
2.	THE IMPACT OF SMALL BUSINESS MANAGEMENT ON PRODUCT QUALITY, PRODUCT FEATURES AND PRODUCT POSITIONING IN IBADAN METROPOLITAN, OYO STATE, NIGERIA <i>DR. HALIRU BALA</i>	5
3.	OWNERSHIP MIX AND FIRM'S RISK TAKING BEHAVIOR: EVIDENCE FROM PAKISTANI CAPITAL MARKET <i>SHAHAB-UD-DIN, DR. UMARA NOREEN & GIRMA TILAHUN</i>	10
4.	THE IMPACT OF STUDENTS' DIVERSITY ON GROUP WORK IN BAHIR DAR UNIVERSITY AND GONDER UNIVERSITY <i>GIRMA TILAHUN</i>	15
5.	A STUDY ON MOTIVES AND AWARENESS LEVELS OF STOCK MARKET INVESTORS – A CASE STUDY WITH REFERENCE TO ANANTAPUR DISTRICT IN A.P. <i>DR. P.BASIAH & K. TEJA PRIYANKA YADAV</i>	22
6.	SERVICE QUALITY AND PATIENT'S SATISFACTION TOWARDS PRIVATE HEALTH CARE INDUSTRIES IN INDIA <i>DR. A. P SINGH & SATENDRA THAKUR</i>	31
7.	IPO'S PERFORMANCE AND ITS RELATIONSHIP WITH QIB SUBSCRIPTIONS AND GRADE <i>DR. R DURAIPANDIAN & SURESH A.S</i>	35
8.	ECONOMICS OF FISHERMEN IN AKOLA DISTRICT <i>DR. ANILKUMAR RATHOD</i>	39
9.	CUSTOMER RELATIONSHIP MANAGEMENT IN INSURANCE SECTOR - A STUDY OF PERCEPTIONS OF CUSTOMERS AND EMPLOYEES IN VISAKHAPATNAM CITY <i>DR. MVS.SRINIVASA RAO</i>	41
10.	AN INNOVATIVE CRITICAL APPROACH TOWARDS ETHICAL BRANDING AND CORPORATE REPUTATION IN BUSINESS WORLD <i>DR. SURENDRA KUMAR & ARUSHI BHASIN</i>	45
11.	IMPACT OF AGGRESSIVE WORKING CAPITAL MANAGEMENT POLICY ON FIRMS' PROFITABILITY <i>A. PALANI & DR. A. PEER MOHIDEEN</i>	49
12.	ORGANISATIONAL SUPPORT FOR CAREER DEVELOPMENT OF EMPLOYEES – A STUDY ON BBK LEATHERS PRIVATE LTD. <i>A. SEEMA & DR. S. SUJATHA</i>	54
13.	PERCEPTION AND CONSUMER BEHAVIOUR TOWARDS PRIVATE LABELS AT RETAIL OUTLET IN CHENNAI CITY – AN EMPIRICAL VIEW <i>V.VARATHARAJ, S. VASANTHA & DR. R.SANTHI</i>	60
14.	THE EFFECTIVENESS OF HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PRACTICES ON HOTEL PERFORMANCE <i>DR. HAITHAM M. A. NAKHLEH., NISHA V. PATEL & DR. UMESH R. DANGARWALA</i>	64
15.	ROLE OF RISK AND RETURN IN INVESTMENT DECISIONS AMONG AUTOMOBILE AND BANK STOCKS AND PORTFOLIO SELECTION <i>S.PRAVEENA & DR. K. MAHENDRAN</i>	70
16.	STAKEHOLDERS' ROLE IN SUSTAINABLE TOURISM DEVELOPMENT: A CASE STUDY OF NORTH EAST AND LADAKH <i>VIVEK SHARMA & JEET DOGRA</i>	76
17.	STRESS MANAGEMENT FACTORS AND ITS INTERRELATIONSHIP WITH JOB SATISFACTION <i>ANIL KUMAR & NEELAM RATHEE</i>	80
18.	LEADERSHIP DEVELOPMENT FOR EXCELLENCE: A REVIEW <i>SHRADDHA KULKARNI</i>	86
19.	IMPACT OF TRAINING AND DEVELOPMENT IN PRODUCTIVITY MANAGEMENT– A STUDY <i>VENUKUMAR G</i>	90
20.	DEMAND ESTIMATION UNDER PUSH MARKETING STRATEGY: TOOL TO MITIGATE BULLWHIP EFFECT <i>SACHIN GUPTA</i>	93
21.	THE IMPACT OF WORKING CAPITAL MANAGEMENT ON PROFITABILITY AND LIQUIDITY <i>REKHA RAHEJA, RAJESH BHARDWAJ & PRIYANKA</i>	99
22.	MANAGING EMPLOYEE RETENTION AND TURNOVER IN THE RETAIL SECTOR <i>RASHMI KODIKAL, DR. P PAKKEERAPPA & NIDA AHMED</i>	103
23.	A STUDY ON AWARENESS OF ADVERTISING – WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO STUDENTS OF ARTS AND SCIENCE COLLEGES AFFILIATED TO MANONMANIAM SUNDARANAR UNIVERSITY, TIRUNELVELI <i>S. JEYARADHA, DR. K. KAMALAKANNAN & V. SANGEETHA</i>	108
24.	PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT AS EFFECTIVE TOOL FOR SUSTAINABLE COMPETITIVENESS IN THE AIRPORT AUTHORITY OF INDIA <i>DR. KAMESHWAR PANDIT & PREETI RAINA</i>	111
25.	SALES: A LUCRATIVE BASKET FOR CONSUMERS AND SHOPKEEPERS <i>PREETI SODHI & PRATIBHA THAPA</i>	117
26.	CONSUMER PERCEPTION OF BRANDED PETROL IN NAVI MUMBAI <i>DR. ELIZABETH MATHEWS & SANGEETA TANAJI KAMBLE</i>	123
27.	STRESS MANAGEMENT- A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF SELECTED PUBLIC & PRIVATE SECTOR ORGANIZATION IN CHHATTISGARH <i>RUCHI SINHA</i>	126
28.	QUALITY OF WORK LIFE AMONG LIBRARY PROFESSIONALS IN HARYANA STATE <i>SOMVIR & SUDHA KAUSHIK</i>	131
29.	STUDY ON THE ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERNS ON CONSUMERS PURCHASING PATTERNS IN KOLKATA CITY <i>HINDOL ROY</i>	135
30.	INVESTORS ATTITUDE TOWARDS INVESTMENT OPTION IN NELLORE REGION <i>V. G. MURUGAN</i>	139
	REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK	144

CHIEF PATRON

PROF. K. K. AGGARWAL

Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Delhi
Founder Vice-Chancellor, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi
Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

PATRON

SH. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Ex. State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana
Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri
President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

CO-ORDINATOR

DR. SAMBHAV GARG

Faculty, M. M. Institute of Management, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana

ADVISORS

DR. PRIYA RANJAN TRIVEDI

Chancellor, The Global Open University, Nagaland

PROF. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Director A. C. D., School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

PROF. M. N. SHARMA

Chairman, M.B.A., Haryana College of Technology & Management, Kaithal

PROF. S. L. MAHANDRU

Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

EDITOR

PROF. R. K. SHARMA

Professor, Bharti Vidyapeeth University Institute of Management & Research, New Delhi

CO-EDITOR

DR. BHAVET

Faculty, M. M. Institute of Management, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

DR. RAJESH MODI

Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

PROF. SANJIV MITTAL

University School of Management Studies, Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

PROF. ROSHAN LAL

Head & Convener Ph. D. Programme, M. M. Institute of Management, M. M. University, Mullana

PROF. ANIL K. SAINI

Chairperson (CRC), Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

DR. SAMBHAVNA

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

DR. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, P. J. L. N. Government College, Faridabad

DR. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE

Asst. Professor, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

MOHITA

Faculty, Yamuna Institute of Engineering & Technology, Village Gadholi, P. O. Gadholi, Yamunanagar

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

PROF. NAWAB ALI KHAN

Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

PROF. ABHAY BANSAL

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity University, Noida

PROF. V. SELVAM

SSL, VIT University, Vellore

DR. N. SUNDARAM

Associate Professor, VIT University, Vellore

DR. PARDEEP AHLAWAT

Reader, Institute of Management Studies & Research, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak

S. TABASSUM SULTANA

Associate Professor, Department of Business Management, Matrusri Institute of P.G. Studies, Hyderabad

TECHNICAL ADVISOR

AMITA

Faculty, Government M. S., Mohali

MOHITA

Faculty, Yamuna Institute of Engineering & Technology, Village Gadholi, P. O. Gadholi, Yamunanagar

FINANCIAL ADVISORS

DICKIN GOYAL

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

NEENA

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

LEGAL ADVISORS

JITENDER S. CHAHAL

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

SUPERINTENDENT

SURENDER KUMAR POONIA

CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to recent developments & practices in the area of Computer, Business, Finance, Marketing, Human Resource Management, General Management, Banking, Insurance, Corporate Governance and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting Education; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Monetary Policy; Portfolio & Security Analysis; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Business Education; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law, Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labor Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; Public Administration; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism, Hospitality & Leisure; Transportation/Physical Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Digital Logic; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Multimedia; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic and Web Design. The above mentioned tracks are only indicative, and not exhaustive.

Anybody can submit the soft copy of his/her manuscript **anytime** in M.S. Word format after preparing the same as per our submission guidelines duly available on our website under the heading guidelines for submission, at the email addresses: info@ijrcm@gmail.com or info@ijrcm.org.in.

GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT

1. **COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:**

DATED: _____

THE EDITOR
IJRCM

Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF _____.

(e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)

DEAR SIR/MADAM

Please find my submission of manuscript entitled ' _____ ' for possible publication in your journals.

I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore, it has neither been published elsewhere in any language fully or partly, nor is it under review for publication elsewhere.

I affirm that all the author (s) have seen and agreed to the submitted version of the manuscript and their inclusion of name (s) as co-author (s).

Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the formalities as given on the website of the journal & you are free to publish our contribution in any of your journals.

NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Designation:

Affiliation with full address, contact numbers & Pin Code:

Residential address with Pin Code:

Mobile Number (s):

Landline Number (s):

E-mail Address:

Alternate E-mail Address:

NOTES:

- a) The whole manuscript is required to be in **ONE MS WORD FILE** only (pdf. version is liable to be rejected without any consideration), which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript.
- b) The sender is required to mention the following in the **SUBJECT COLUMN** of the mail:
New Manuscript for Review in the area of (Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)
- c) There is no need to give any text in the body of mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any specific message w.r.t. to the manuscript.
- d) The total size of the file containing the manuscript is required to be below **500 KB**.
- e) Abstract alone will not be considered for review, and the author is required to submit the complete manuscript in the first instance.
- f) The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of manuscript, within two days of submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending separate mail to the journal.

2. **MANUSCRIPT TITLE:** The title of the paper should be in a 12 point Calibri Font. It should be bold typed, centered and fully capitalised.

3. **AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS:** The author (s) **full name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline numbers**, and **email/alternate email address** should be in italic & 11-point Calibri Font. It must be centered underneath the title.

4. **ABSTRACT:** Abstract should be in fully italicized text, not exceeding 250 words. The abstract must be informative and explain the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a single para. Abbreviations must be mentioned in full.

5. **KEYWORDS:** Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of five. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stops at the end.
6. **MANUSCRIPT:** Manuscript must be in **BRITISH ENGLISH** prepared on a standard A4 size **PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER**. It must be prepared on a single space and single column with 1" margin set for top, bottom, left and right. It should be typed in 8 point Calibri Font with page numbers at the bottom and centre of every page. It should be free from grammatical, spelling and punctuation errors and must be thoroughly edited.
7. **HEADINGS:** All the headings should be in a 10 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
8. **SUB-HEADINGS:** All the sub-headings should be in a 8 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
9. **MAIN TEXT:** The main text should follow the following sequence:

INTRODUCTION**REVIEW OF LITERATURE****NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY****STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM****OBJECTIVES****HYPOTHESES****RESEARCH METHODOLOGY****RESULTS & DISCUSSION****FINDINGS****RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS****CONCLUSIONS****SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH****ACKNOWLEDGMENTS****REFERENCES****APPENDIX/ANNEXURE**

It should be in a 8 point Calibri Font, single spaced and justified. The manuscript should preferably not exceed **5000 WORDS**.

10. **FIGURES & TABLES:** These should be simple, centered, separately numbered & self explained, and **titles must be above the table/figure**. Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure. It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text.
11. **EQUATIONS:** These should be consecutively numbered in parentheses, horizontally centered with equation number placed at the right.
12. **REFERENCES:** The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript and they are supposed to follow **Harvard Style of Referencing**. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
 - All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
 - Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
 - When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc, in chronologically ascending order.
 - Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
 - The title of books and journals should be in italics. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
 - For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parentheses.
 - The location of endnotes within the text should be indicated by superscript numbers.

PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:**BOOKS**

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

- Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

- Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

CONFERENCE PAPERS

- Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19-22 June.

UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS AND THESES

- Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

ONLINE RESOURCES

- Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

WEBSITE

- Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Natural Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 <http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp>

IMPACT OF AGGRESSIVE WORKING CAPITAL MANAGEMENT POLICY ON FIRMS' PROFITABILITY**A. PALANI****ASST. PROFESSOR****DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT STUDIES****AALIM MUHAMMED SALEGH COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING****AVADI****DR. A. PEER MOHIDEEN****ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR****PG & RESEARCH DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****KHADIR MOHIDEEN COLLEGE****ADIRAMPATTINAM****ABSTRACT**

A firm may adopt an aggressive working capital management policy with a low level of current assets as a percentage of total assets, or it may also be used for the financing decisions of the firm in the form of high level of current liabilities as a percentage of total liabilities. This study investigates the potential relationship of aggressive/conservative policies with the accounting and market measures of profitability of India firms using a panel data set for the period 1998-2005. The present study is expected to contribute to better understand these policies and their impact on profitability, especially in emerging markets like India. Finally, since good economic conditions tend to be reflected in a firm's profitability (Lamberson, 1995), this phenomenon has been controlled for the evolution of the economic cycle using the GDPGR variable, which measures the real annual GDP growth in India for each of the study year from 2002 to 2010. The present study investigates the relationship between the aggressive/conservative working capital asset management and financing policies and its impact on profitability of 204 India firms divided into 16 industrial groups by BSE for the period 2002-2010. The impact of aggressive/conservative working capital investment and the financing policies has been examined using panel data regression models between working capital policies and profitability. The study finds a negative relationship between the profitability measures of firms and degree of aggressiveness of working capital investment and financing policies. The firms report negative returns if they follow an aggressive working capital policy.

KEYWORDS

working capital management, financial policies.

INTRODUCTION

The corporate finance literature has traditionally focused on the study of long-term financial decisions, particularly investments, capital structure, dividends or company valuation decisions. However, short-term assets and liabilities are important components of total assets and need to be carefully analyzed. Management of these short-term assets and liabilities warrants a careful investigation since the working capital management plays an important role in a firm's profitability and risk as well as its value (Smith, 1980). Efficient management of working capital is a fundamental part of the overall corporate strategy in creating the shareholders' value. Firms try to keep an optimal level of working capital that maximizes their value (Deloof, 2003; Howorth and Westhead, 2003 and Afza and Nazir, 2007).

In general, from the perspective of Chief Financial Officer (CFO), working capital management is a simple and straightforward concept of ensuring the ability of the organization to fund the difference between the short-term assets and short-term liabilities (Harris, 2005). However, a 'Total' approach is desired as it can cover all the company's activities relating to vendor, customer and product (Hall, 2002). In practice, working capital management has become one of the most important issues in the organizations where many financial executives are struggling to identify the basic working capital drivers and an appropriate level of working capital (Lamberson, 1995). Consequently, companies can minimize risk and improve the overall performance by understanding the role and drivers of working capital management.

A firm may adopt an aggressive working capital management policy with a low level of current assets as a percentage of total assets, or it may also be used for the financing decisions of the firm in the form of high level of current liabilities as a percentage of total liabilities. Excessive levels of current assets may have a negative effect on the firm's profitability, whereas a low level of current assets may lead to a lower level of liquidity and stock outs, resulting in difficulties in maintaining smooth operations (Van Horne and Wachowicz, 2004).

The main objective of working capital management is to maintain an optimal balance between each of the working capital components. Business success heavily depends on the financial executives' ability to effectively manage receivables, inventory, and payables (Filbeck and Krueger, 2005). Firms can reduce their financing costs and/or increase the funds available for expansion projects by minimizing the amount of investment tied up in current assets. Most of the financial managers' time and efforts are allocated towards bringing non-optimal levels of current assets and liabilities back to optimal levels (Lamberson, 1995). An optimal level of working capital would be the one in which a balance is achieved between risk and efficiency. It requires continuous monitoring to maintain proper level in various components of working capital, i.e., cash receivables, inventory and payables, etc.

In general, current assets are considered as one of the important components of total assets of a firm. A firm may be able to reduce the investment in fixed assets by renting or leasing plant and machinery, whereas the same policy cannot be followed for the components of working capital. The high level of current assets may reduce the risk of liquidity associated with the opportunity cost of funds that may have been invested in long-term assets. Though the impact of working capital policies on profitability is highly important, only a few empirical studies have been carried out to examine this relationship. This study investigates the potential relationship of aggressive/conservative policies with the accounting and market measures of profitability of India firms using a panel data set for the period 2002-2010. The present study is expected to contribute to better understand these policies and their impact on profitability, especially in emerging markets like Pakistan.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Many studies have analyzed the financial ratios as a part of working capital management; however, very few of them have discussed the working capital policies in specific. Gupta (1969) and Gupta and Huefner (1972) examined the differences in financial ratio averages among industries. The conclusion of both the studies was that differences do exist in mean profitability, activity, leverage and liquidity ratios among industry groups. Johnson (1970) extended this work by finding cross-sectional stability of ratio groupings for both retailers and primary manufacturers. Pinches *et al.* (1973) used factor analysis to develop seven classifications of ratios and found that the classifications were stable over the 1951-1969 time period.

Filbeck and Krueger (2005) highlighted the importance of efficient working capital management by analyzing the working capital management policies of 32 non-financial industries in the US. According to their findings, significant differences exist among industries in working capital practices overtime. Moreover, these

working capital practices, themselves, change significantly within industries overtime. Similar studies were conducted by Gombola and Ketz (1983), Long *et al.* (1993), Soenen (1993) and Maxwell *et al.* (1998).

However, Weinraub and Visscher (1998) discussed the issue of aggressive and conservative working capital management policies by using quarterly data for the period 1984-93 of the US firms. Their study considered 10 diverse industry groups to examine the relative relationship between their aggressive/conservative working capital policies. Their study concluded that the industries had distinctive and significantly different working capital management policies. Moreover, the relative nature of the working capital management policies exhibited remarkable stability over the 10-year study period. The study also showed a high and significant negative correlation between industry asset and liability policies and found that when relatively aggressive working capital asset policies are followed, they are balanced by relatively conservative working capital financial policies.

In literature, there is a long debate on the risk/return tradeoff among different working capital policies (Pinches, 1991; Brigham and Ehrhardt, 2004; Gitman, 2005 and Moyer *et al.*, 2005). More aggressive working capital policies are associated with higher return and risk, while conservative working capital policies are associated with lower risk and return (Gardner *et al.*, 1986 and Weinraub and Visscher, 1998). Working capital management is important because of its effects on the firms' profitability and risk, and consequently its value (Smith, 1980). The greater the investment in current assets, the lower the risk, but also the lower the profitability obtained. Contrary to this, Carpenter and Johnson (1983) provided empirical evidence that there is no linear relationship between the level of current assets and revenue systematic risk of the US firms; however, some indications of a possible nonlinear relationship were found, which were not highly statistically significant.

Soenen (1993) investigated the relationship between the net trade cycle as a measure of working capital and return on investment in the US firms. The results of chi-square test indicated a negative relationship between the length of net trade cycle and return on assets. Furthermore, this inverse relationship was found different across industries depending on the type of industry. A significant relationship for about half of the industries studied indicated that results might vary from industry to industry. Another aspect of working capital management has been analyzed by Lamberson (1995) who studied how small firms respond to changes in economic activities by changing their working capital requirements and level of current assets and liabilities. Current ratio, current assets to total assets ratio and inventory to total assets ratio were used as a measure of working capital requirement, while the index of annual average coincident economic indicator was used as a measure of economic activity.

Contrary to the expectations, the study found that there is a very small relationship between changes in economic conditions and changes in working capital.

In order to validate the results of Soenen (1993) on a large sample and with a longer time period, Jose. (1996) examined the relationship between aggressive working capital management and profitability of the US firms using Cash Conversion Cycle (CCC) as a measure of working capital management, where a shorter CCC represents the aggressiveness of working capital management. The results indicated a significant negative relationship between the CCC and profitability, indicating that more aggressive working capital management is associated with higher profitability. Shin and Soenen (1998) concluded that Profitability reducing the level of current assets to a reasonable extent increases a firm's profitability. Similarly, Deloof (2003) analyzed a sample of large Belgian firms for the period 1992-1996 and the results confirmed that Belgian firms can improve their profitability by reducing the number of days accounts receivable are outstanding and reducing inventories. Teruel and Solano (2005) suggested that managers can create value by reducing their firms' number of days' accounts receivable and inventories. Similarly, shortening the CCC also improves the firms' profitability.

In the India context, Rehman (2006) investigated the impact of working capital management on the profitability of 94 Indian firms listed on Islamabad Stock Exchange (ISE) for the period 1999-2004. He studied the impact of the different variables of working capital management, including average collection period, inventory turnover in days, average payment period and CCC on the net operating profitability of firms. He concluded that there is a strong negative relationship between working capital ratios mentioned above and profitability of firms. Furthermore, managers can create a positive value for the shareholders by reducing the CCC up to an optimal level. Similar studies on working capital and profitability include Smith and Begemann (1997), Howorth and Westhead (2003), Eljelly (2004), Ghosh and Maji (2004) and Lazaridis and Tryfonidis (2006).

Afza and Nazir (2007) investigated the relationship between the aggressive and conservative working capital policies for 17 industrial groups and a large sample of 263 public limited companies listed on Indian Stock Exchange using cross-sectional data for the period 1998-2003. Using Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) and Least Significant Difference (LSD) test, the study found significant differences among their working capital investment and financing policies across different industries. Moreover, rank order correlation confirmed that these significant differences were remarkably stable over the six-year study period. Finally, ordinary least regression analysis found a negative relationship between the profitability measures of firms and the degree of aggressiveness of working capital investment and financing policies. The present study further validates the impact of the degree of aggressiveness of working capital policies on market measures of profitability, i.e., Tobin's *q* using panel data approach.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

VARIABLES USED IN THE STUDY

This study uses aggressive investment policy as used by Weinraub and Visscher (1998), who analyzed working capital policies of 126 industrial firms in the market. Aggressive Investment Policy (AIP) results in minimal level of investment in current assets versus fixed assets. In contrast, a conservative investment policy places a greater proportion of capital in liquid assets with the opportunity cost of less profitability. If the level of current assets increases in proportion to the total assets of the firm, the management is said to be more conservative in managing the current assets of the firm. In order to measure the degree of aggressiveness of working capital investment policy, the following ratio was used:

$$\text{AIP} = \frac{\text{Total Current Assets TCA}}{\text{Total Assets TA}}$$

where a lower ratio means a relatively aggressive policy.

On the other hand, an Aggressive Financing Policy (AFP) utilizes higher levels of current liabilities and less long-term debt. In contrast, a conservative financing policy uses more long-term debt and capital and less current liabilities. The firms are more aggressive in terms of current liabilities management if they are concentrating on the use of more current liabilities which put their liquidity on risk. The degree of aggressiveness of a financing policy adopted by a firm is measured by working capital financing policy, and the following ratio is used:

$$\text{AFP} = \frac{\text{Total Current Liabilities TCL}}{\text{Total Assets TA}}$$

where a higher ratio means a relatively aggressive policy.

The impact of working capital policies on the profitability has been analyzed through accounting measures of profitability as well as market measures of profitability, i.e., Return on Assets (ROA) and Tobin's *q*. These variables of return are calculated as:

$$\text{ROA} = \frac{\text{Net Earnings After Taxes NEAT}}{\text{Book Value of Assets BVA}}$$

Tobin's q compares the value of a company given by financial markets with the value of a company's assets. A low q (between 0 and 1) means that the cost to replace a firm's assets is greater than the value of its stock. This implies that the stock is undervalued. Conversely, a high q (greater than 1) implies that a firm's stock is more expensive than the replacement cost of its assets, which implies that the stock is overvalued. It is calculated as:

$$\text{Market Value of Firm MVF}$$

Tobins q

$$\text{Book Value of Assets BVA}$$

where Market Value of Firm (MVF) is the sum of book value of long plus short term and market value of equity. Market value of equity is calculated by multiplying the number of shares outstanding with the current market price of the stock in a particular year.

CONTROL VARIABLES

In working capital literature, various studies have used the control variables along with the main variables of working capital in order to have an opposite analysis of working capital management on the profitability of firms (Lamberson, 1995; Smith and Begemann, 1997; Deelof, 2003; Eljelly, 2004; Teruel and Solano, 2005 and Lazaridis and Tryfonidis, 2006). On the same lines, along with working capital variables, the present study has taken into consideration some control variables relating to firms such as the size of the firm, the growth in its sales, and its financial leverage. The size of the firm (*SIZE*) has been measured by the logarithm of its total assets, as the original large value of total assets may disturb the analysis. The growth of firm (*GROWTH*) is measured by variation in its annual sales value with reference to previous year's sales $[(Sales_t - Sales_{t-1})/Sales_{t-1}]$. Moreover, the financial leverage (*LVRG*) was taken as the debt to equity ratio of each firm for the whole sample period. Some studies, like Deelof (2003) in his study of large Belgian firms, also considered the ratio of fixed financial assets to total assets as a control variable; however, this variable cannot be included in the present study because of unavailability of data, as most of the firms do not disclose full information in their financial statements. Finally, since good economic conditions tend to be reflected in a firm's profitability (Lamberson, 1995), this phenomenon has been controlled for the evolution of the economic cycle using the *GDPGR* variable, which measures the real annual GDP growth in India for each of the study year from 2002 to 2010.

SAMPLE AND DATA

The sample of the study consists of all non-financial firms listed on the Bombay Stock Exchange (BSE). BSE has divided the non-financial firms into various industrial sectors based on their nature of business. In order to be included in the sample, a firm must be in business for the whole study period. Also, firms should neither have been delisted by the BSE nor merged with any other firm during the whole window period. New incumbents in the market during the study period have also not been included in the sample. Furthermore, firms must have complete data for the period 2002-2010. Firms with negative equity during the study period have also been excluded. Thus, the final sample consists of 204 non-financial firms from 17 various industrial sectors.

This study used annual financial data of 204 non-financial firms for the period 2002-2010. The panel data set was developed for eight years and for the 204 sampled firms which produced 1,632 year-end observations. The required financial data for the purpose of the study was obtained from the respective companies' annual reports and publications of State Bank of India. The data regarding annual average market prices was collected from the daily quotations of BSE.

ANALYSIS

Table 1 presents the results of regression model in which the impact of working capital investment policy on the performance measurements has been examined. The F -values of regression models run are found statistically significant, whereas Durbin-Watson statistics of more than 1.8 indicate less correlation among the independent variables of the regressions models. The t -statistics of working capital investment policy is positive and statistically significant at 1% level for Return on Assets and Tobin's q . The positive coefficient of *TCA/TA* indicates a negative relationship between the degree of aggressiveness of investment policy and return on assets. As the *TCA/TA* increases, the degree of aggressiveness decreases, and return on assets increases. Therefore, there is a negative relationship between the relative degree of aggressiveness of working capital investment policies of firms and both performance measures, i.e., ROA and Tobin's q . This similarity in market and accounting returns confirms the notion that investors do not believe in the adoption of aggressive approach in the working capital management, hence, they do not give any additional weight to the firms on BSE.

Table 2 reports regression results for working capital financing policy and the performance measures. The F -value of regression models and Durbin-Watson statistics indicate similar results as reported in Table 1. The negative value of β coefficient for *TCL/TA* also points out the negative relationship between the aggressiveness of working capital financing policy and return on assets. The higher the *TCL/TA* ratio, the more aggressive the financing policy, that yields negative return on assets. However, surprisingly, the relationship between Tobin's q and working capital financing policy has been established as positive and statistically significant. Investors were found giving more weight to the firms which are adopting an aggressive approach towards working capital financing policy and having higher levels of short-term and spontaneous financing on their balance sheets.

TABLE 1: REGRESSION ANALYSIS OF PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND WORKING CAPITAL INVESTMENT POLICY

Variables	ROA		Tobin's q	
	β	t-value	β	t-value
<i>TCA/TA</i>	0.158	6.506***	0.149	6.156***
<i>SIZE</i>	0.082	3.363***	0.092	3.771***
<i>GROWTH</i>	0.137	3.805	-0.004	-0.104
<i>GDPGR</i>	0.043	1.759*	0.162	6.627***
<i>LVRG</i>	-0.202	-5.606***	0.004	0.101
F-value		17.166***		19.245***
N		1,632		-
D-W		1.875		1.948

Note: *** and * indicate significance levels at 1% and 10% respectively.

TABLE 2: REGRESSION ANALYSIS OF PERFORMANCE MEASURES AND WORKING CAPITAL FINANCING POLICY

Variables	ROA		Tobin's q	
	β	t-value	β	t-value
<i>TCL/TA</i>	-0.171	-6.940***	0.087	3.506***
<i>SIZE</i>	0.064	2.630***	0.087	3.522***
<i>GROWTH</i>	0.116	3.204***	0.011	0.312
<i>GDPGR</i>	0.011	0.440	0.163	6.578***
<i>LVRG</i>	-0.168	-4.628***	-0.013	-0.354
F-value		18.363***		13.946***
N		1,632		-
D-W		1.822		1.923

Note: *** and * indicate significance levels at 1% and 10% respectively.

The control variables used in the regression models are natural log of firm size, sales growth, real GDP growth and the average leverage. All the control variables have their impact on the performance of the firms. Firms' size causes the returns of the firms to be increased and it is found to be statistically significant. Moreover, *GROWTH* and *LVRG* are found to be significantly associated with the book-based returns on assets which confirm the notion that leverage and growth are strongly correlated with the book value-based performance measures (DeLoof, 2003 and Eljelly, 2004). Real GDP growth may not affect the returns based on book values; however, investors may react positively to a positive change in the level of economic activity which is in accordance with the findings of Lamberson (1995).

The above results contradict the findings of Gardner *et al.* (1986), DeLoof (2003), Eljelly (2004) and Teruel and Solano (2005); however, they are in accordance with Afza and Nazir (2007) and produced a negative relationship between the aggressiveness of working capital policies and accounting measures of profitability. Managers cannot create value if they adopt an aggressive approach towards working capital investment and working capital financing policy. However, if firms adopt aggressive approach in managing their short-term liabilities, investors give more value to those firms. The degree of aggressiveness of working capital policies adopted helps only in creating shareholders' wealth through increased market performance, whereas accounting performance cannot be increased by being aggressive in managing the working capital requirements. The results of this study are somewhat different from those conducted in the developed economies. India is one of the emerging economies and India markets are not fully transparent and efficient to fully absorb the impact of information. The study results confirm this state of India markets.

CONCLUSION

The present study investigates the relationship between the aggressive/conservative working capital asset management and financing policies and its impact on profitability of 204 India firms divided into 16 industrial groups by BSE for the period 2002-2010. The impact of aggressive/conservative working capital investment and the financing policies has been examined using panel data regression models between working capital policies and profitability. The study finds a negative relationship between the profitability measures of firms and degree of aggressiveness of working capital investment and financing policies. The firms report negative returns if they follow an aggressive working capital policy. These results were further validated by examining the impact of aggressive working capital policies on market measures of profitability, which was not tested before. The results of Tobin's *q* were in line of the accounting measures of profitability and produced almost similar results for working capital investment policy. However, investors were found giving more value to those firms that are more aggressive in managing their current liabilities.

The study used a new measure of profitability, i.e., Tobin's *q* and panel data regression analysis, to investigate the relationship between working capital management and firm returns in India. The findings of the present study are expected to contribute significantly to finance literature. The results of the present study are in contradiction to those of some earlier studies on the issue. This phenomenon may be attributed to the inconsistent and volatile economic conditions of India. The reasons for this contradiction may further be explored in future researches.

The study also suggests some policy implications for the managers and prospective investors in the emerging market of India. Firms with more aggressive policy towards working capital may not be able to generate more profit. So, as far as the book value performance is concerned, managers cannot generate more returns on assets by following aggressive approach towards short-term assets and liabilities. On the other hand, investors are found giving more value to the firms that adopt an aggressive approach towards working capital financing policies. The market value of firms using high level of current liabilities in their financing is more than the book value. The investors believe that firms with less equity and less long-term loans would be able to perform better than the others. However, there are various other factors like agency problem which may play a pivotal role in such cases, and so these factors may further be explored in future.

REFERENCES

1. Afza T and Nazir M S (2007), "Working Capital Management Practices of Firms: Empirical Evidence from Pakistan", in the Proceedings of 9th South Asian Management Forum (SAMF) held on February 24-25, pp. 334-343, North South University, Dhaka, Bangladesh.
2. Brigham E F and Ehrhardt M C (2004), *Financial Management: Theory and Practice*, 11th Edition, South-Western College Publishing, New York.
3. Carpenter M D and Johnson K H (1983), "The Association Between Working Capital Policy and Operating Risk", *Financial Review*, Vol. 18, No. 3, p. 106.
4. DeLoof M (2003), "Does Working Capital Management Affect Profitability of Belgian Firms?", *Journal of Business, Finance and Accounting*, Vol. 30, Nos. 3-4, pp. 573-587.
5. Eljelly A M A (2004), "Liquidity-Profitability Tradeoff: An Empirical Investigation in an Emerging Market", *International Journal of Commerce and Management*, Vol. 14, No. 2, pp. 48-61.
6. Filbeck G and Krueger T (2005), "Industry Related Differences in Working Capital Management", *Mid-American Journal of Business*, Vol. 20, No. 2, pp. 11-18.
7. Gardner M J, Mills D L and Pope R A (1986), "Working Capital Policy and Operating Risk: An Empirical Analysis", *Financial Review*, Vol. 21, No. 3, p. 31.
8. Ghosh S K and Maji S G (2004), "Working Capital Management Efficiency: A Study on the Indian Cement Industry", *Management Accountant*, Vol. 39, No. 5, pp. 363-372.
9. Gitman L A (2005), *Principles of Managerial Finance*, 11th Edition, Addison Wesley Publishers, New York.
10. Gombola M J and Ketz J E (1983), "Financial Ratio Patterns in Retail and Manufacturing Organizations", *Financial Management*, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp. 45-56.
11. Gupta M C (1969), "The Effects of Size, Growth and Industry on the Financial Structure of Manufacturing Companies", *Journal of Finance*, Vol. 24, No. 3, pp. 517-529.
12. Gupta M C and Huefner R J (1972), "A Cluster Analysis Study of Financial Ratios and Industry Characteristics", *Journal of Accounting Research*, Vol. 10, No. 1, pp. 77-95.
13. Hall C (2002), "'Total' Working Capital Management", *AFP Exchange*, Vol. 22, No. 6, pp. 26-32.
14. Harris A (2005), "Working Capital Management: Difficult, but Rewarding", *Financial Executive*, Vol. 21, No. 4, pp. 52-53.
15. Howorth C and Westhead P (2003), "The Focus of Working Capital Management in UK Small Firms", *Management Accounting Research*, Vol. 14, No. 2, pp. 94-111.
16. Johnson C G (1970), "Ratio Analysis and the Prediction of Firm Failure: Comment", *Journal of Finance*, Vol. 25, No. 5, pp. 1166-1168.
17. Jose M L, Lancaster C and Stevens J L (1996), "Corporate Returns and Cash Conversion Cycle", *Journal of Economics and Finance*, Vol. 20, No. 1, pp. 33-46.
18. Lamberson M (1995), "Changes in Working Capital of Small Firms in Relation to Changes in Economic Activity", *Mid-American Journal of Business*, Vol. 10, No. 2, pp. 45-50.
19. Lazaridis I and Tryfonidis D (2006), "Relationship between Working Capital Management and Profitability of Listed Companies in the Athens Stock Exchange", *Journal of Financial Management and Analysis*, Vol. 19, No. 1, pp. 26-35.
20. Long M S, Malitz I B and Ravid S A (1993), "Trade Credit, Quality Guarantees, and Product Marketability", *Financial Management*, Vol. 22, No. 4, pp. 117-127.
21. Maxwell C E, Gitman L J and Smith S A M (1998), "Working Capital Management and Financial-Service Consumption Preferences of US and Foreign Firms: A Comparison of 1979 and 1996 Preferences", *Financial Practice and Education*, Vol. 8, No. 2, pp. 46-52.
22. Moyer R C, McGuigan J R and Kretlow W J (2005), *Contemporary Financial Management*, 10th Edition, South-Western College Publishing, New York.
23. Pinches G E (1992), *Essentials of Financial Management*, 4th Edition, HarperCollins College Publishers, New York.
24. Pinches G E, Mingo K A and Caruthers J K (1973), "The Stability of Financial Patterns in Industrial Organizations", *Journal of Finance*, Vol. 28, No. 3, pp. 389-396.
25. Rehman A (2006), "Working Capital Management and Profitability: Case of India Firms (Unpublished Dissertation)", COMSATS Institute of Information Technology (CIIT), Islamabad, Pakistan.

26. Shin H H and Soenen L (1998), "Efficiency of Working Capital and Corporate Profitability", *Financial Practice and Education*, Vol. 8, No. 2, pp. 37-45.
27. Smith K (1980), *Profitability versus Liquidity Tradeoffs in Working Capital Management*, in *Readings on the Management of Working Capital*, West Publishing Company, St. Paul, New York.
28. Smith M B and Begemann E (1997), "Measuring Association Between Working Capital and Return on Investment", *South Africa Journal of Business Management*, Vol. 28, No. 1, pp. 1-5.
29. Soenen L A (1993), "Cash Conversion Cycle and Corporate Profitability", *Journal of Cash Management*, Vol. 13, No. 4, pp. 53-58.
30. Teruel P J G and Solano P M (2005), "Effects of Working Capital Management on SME Profitability", *International Journal of Managerial Finance*, Vol. 3, No. 2, pp. 164-177.
31. Van Horne J C and Wachowicz J M (2004), *Fundamentals of Financial Management*, 12th Edition, Prentice Hall Publishers, New York.
32. Weinraub H J and Visscher S (1998), "Industry Practice Relating to Aggressive Conservative Working Capital Policies", *Journal of Financial and Strategic Decision*, Vol. 11, No. 2, pp. 11-18.



REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

Dear Readers

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce and Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue as well as on the journal as a whole, on our E-mails i.e. **infoijrcm@gmail.com** or **info@ijrcm.org.in** for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries please feel free to contact us on our E-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-

Co-ordinator

ABOUT THE JOURNAL

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active co-operation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.

Our Other Journals

