# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE & MANAGEMENT



A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at:

Ulrich's Periodicals Directory @, ProQuest, U.S.A., EBSCO Publishing, U.S.A., Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A

as well as in

Registered & Listed at: Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than Hundred & Thirty Two countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

## **CONTENTS**

Sr. No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page No.
1.	MEETING TODAY'S LEADERSHIP CHALLENGES IN A COMPLEX WORLD  IKECHUKWU NWOSU & NICK ANDERSON	1
2.	DO FINANCIAL AND NON-FINANCIAL VARIABLES EXPLAIN THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE COMPANIES' BOOK VALUE AND MARKET VALUE?	8
3.	MOHAMMAD REZA ABDOLI, MANSOOR GARKAZ & ALI MIRAZAMI  THE IMPACT OF EDUCATION ON CONSUMER ACTIVISM IN NIGERIA  DR. ANTHONY, A. HEWERE	14
4.	DR. ANTHONY .A. IJEWERE  FAMILY PLANNING PRACTICES IN ETHIOPIA WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO MEKELLE CITY	18
5.	DR. PUJARI KRISHNAIAH  VALUE RELEVANCE OF ACCOUNTING INFORMATION AND STOCK MARKET VULNERABILITY - A STUDY ON LISTED COMPANIES IN DHAKA STOCK EXCHANGE MUHAMMAD SHAHIN MIAH	23
6.	EFFECT OF AUDITOR QUALITY ON EARNINGS MANAGEMENT IN COMPANIES LISTED ON TEHRAN SECURITIES EXCHANGE  DR. MANSOUR GARKAZ	28
7.	HOW SAFE IS THE NIGERIAN CONSUMER  DR. ANTHONY .A. IJEWERE	32
8.	AN IMPERIAL STUDY ON THE RELATIVE IMPACT OF FACTORS AFFECTING THE IMAGE OF A BANK DR. DEEPAK GUPTA & DR. VIKRANT SINGH TOMAR	35
9.	STUDY OF FACTORS PROPELLING THE GROWTH OF PRIVATE LABELS IN INDIA  SANDEEP PURI, HARSH DWIVEDI & BHAWANA SHARMA	41
10.	SIGNIFICANCE OF CUSTOMER VALUE IN PURCHASE INTENTION IN BUILDING CUSTOMER EQUITY IN COMPETETIVE SCENERIO  BABEET GUPTA & DR. J. S. GREWAL	45
11.	EGO-WHAT MAKES EGO OUR GREATEST ASSET  COL. (RETD.) DR. R.M. NAIDU	49
12.	IMPACTS OF MICROFINANCE ON POVERTY ALLEVIATION AND THE EMPOWERMENT OF WOMEN WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO RURAL WOMEN EMPOWERMENT AND ENTREPRENEURSHIP DEVELOPMENT IN INDIA  ANIL KUMAR.B.KOTE & DR. P. M. HONNAKERI	51
13.	GENDER DIFFERENCE IN OCCUPATIONAL STRESS AND COPING STRATEGIES AMONG MIDDLE LEVEL MANAGERS IN PRIVATE SECTOR ORGANIZATIONS  D. CHITRA & DR. V. MAHALAKSHMI	55
14.	CUSTOMER VALUE OF HAIR CARE PRODUCT (WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO SHAMPOO) IN TIRUCHIRAPALLI DISTRICT	59
15.	INVESTING IN GOLD: A FUTURE PERSPECTIVE (WHY AND HOW TO INVEST IN 'GOLD' WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO COMMON INVESTOR)	63
16.	DR. RITU KOTHIWAL & ANKUR GOEL  CELEBRITY ENDORSEMENT: DOES IT MAKE ANY IMPACT ON CONSUMER BUYING BEHAVIOR?  SUBSER BANGUES DR. BICUA BANGUES & DR. MANOU BATWARDUAN.	67
17.	SUBEER BANERJEE, DR. RICHA BANERJEE & DR. MANOJ PATWARDHAN  CREDIT ANALYSIS IN INDIAN BANKS: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY  DR. SUNITA INDIAN & ANALYSIMAR	72
18.	DR. SUNITA JINDAL & AJAY KUMAR  ROLE OF SELF HELP GROUPS ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF WOMEN ENTREPRENEURS - A STUDY OF MYSORE DISTRICT, KARNATAKA STATE  CANTELLA V. & DR. L. DALAGUEKAR	77
19.	SAVITHA.V & DR. H. RAJASHEKAR  A STUDY ON CUSTOMERS SATISFACTION OF SELECTED NATIONALISED BANKS WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO COIMBATORE CITY  M. PRAKASH & A. PRABHU	81
20.	RETAILING BOOM: A CASE STUDY OF A TYPICAL SHOPPING MALL OF AURANGABAD CITY	86
21.	AMOL MURGAI  IMPACT OF MEDICAL TOURISM IN INDIA	91
22.	MAULIK C. PRAJAPATI & VIPUL B. PATEL  A STUDY ON CUSTOMER BUYING BEHAVIOUR OF DTH SERVICES IN PALAYAMKOTTAI	96
23.	T. SAMSON JOE DHINAKARAN  TARGET AND ACQUIRER'S FEATURES ANALYSIS IN VOLUNTARY AND FIAT DRIVEN MERGERS IN INDIAN BANKING SECTOR	99
24.	DR. SAVITHA G.LAKKOL  A STUDY OF STRATEGIC HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT IN MANUGRAPH	104
25.	REAL TIME OBSTACLES IN SUCCESSION PLANNING	114
26.	MEHULKUMAR G. THAKKAR  CONTEMPORARY ISSUES IN STRATEGIC MANAGEMENT FOR BUSINESS	122
27.	K. AMUTHA ANALYSING THE SIGNALING EFFECTS ON ICICI BANK'S SHARE PRICE — EMPIRICAL STUDY	126
28.	V. PRABAKARAN & D. LAKSHMI PRABHA  A PRAGMATIC EXPLORATION OF HRD CLIMATE PRACTICES IN STATE AND CENTRAL UNIVERSITIES  DR. PARVEZ ABDULLA, GAURAV SEHGAL & ASIF A. KHAN	130
29.	EVALUATION OF THE COMPATIBILITY OF THE BANK GUARANTEES ISSUED BY THE JK BANK LTD. WITH LAW AND POLICY - A CASE STUDY OF THE JK BANK LTD. IN SRINAGAR  MOHD YASIN WAN & S. Z. AMANI	140
30.	WAYS TO HIRE AND RETAIN GENERATION Y EMPLOYEES  DR. NITASHA KHATRI	145
	REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK	148

## CHIEF PATRON

#### PROF. K. K. AGGARWAL

Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Delhi
Founder Vice-Chancellor, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi
Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

## PATRON

#### SH. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Ex. State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

## CO-ORDINATOR

DR. SAMBHAV GARG

Faculty, M. M. Institute of Management, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana

## ADVISORS

#### DR. PRIYA RANJAN TRIVEDI

Chancellor, The Global Open University, Nagaland

PROF. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Director A. C. D., School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

PROF. M. N. SHARMA

Chairman, M.B.A., Haryana College of Technology & Management, Kaithal

PROF. S. L. MAHANDRU

Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

### EDITOR

PROF. R. K. SHARMA

Professor, Bharti Vidyapeeth University Institute of Management & Research, New Delhi

## CO-EDITOR

DR. BHAVET

Faculty, M. M. Institute of Management, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana

## EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

DR. RAJESH MODI

Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

**PROF. SANJIV MITTAL** 

University School of Management Studies, Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

**PROF. ANIL K. SAINI** 

Chairperson (CRC), Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

**DR. SAMBHAVNA** 

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

DR. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, P. J. L. N. Government College, Faridabad

#### **DR. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE**

Asst. Professor, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga MOHITA

Faculty, Yamuna Institute of Engineering & Technology, Village Gadholi, P. O. Gadhola, Yamunanagar

## <u>ASSOCIATE EDITORS</u>

**PROF. NAWAB ALI KHAN** 

Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

**PROF. ABHAY BANSAL** 

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity University, Noida

**PROF. V. SELVAM** 

SSL, VIT University, Vellore

DR. N. SUNDARAM

Associate Professor, VIT University, Vellore

**DR. PARDEEP AHLAWAT** 

Reader, Institute of Management Studies & Research, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak

S. TABASSUM SULTANA

Associate Professor, Department of Business Management, Matrusri Institute of P.G. Studies, Hyderabad

## TECHNICAL ADVISOR

**AMITA** 

Faculty, Government M. S., Mohali

**MOHITA** 

Faculty, Yamuna Institute of Engineering & Technology, Village Gadholi, P. O. Gadhola, Yamunanagar

## FINANCIAL ADVISORS

**DICKIN GOYAL** 

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

**NEENA** 

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

## LEGAL ADVISORS

**JITENDER S. CHAHAL** 

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

**CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA** 

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

**SUPERINTENDENT** 

SURENDER KUMAR POONIA

## CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to recent developments & practices in the area of Computer, Business, Finance, Marketing, Human Resource Management, General Management, Banking, Insurance, Corporate Governance and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting Education; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Monetary Policy; Portfolio & Security Analysis; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Business Education; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law, Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labor Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; Public Administration; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism, Hospitality & Leisure; Transportation/Physical Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Digital Logic; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Multimedia; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic and Web Design. The above mentioned tracks are only indicative, and not exhaustive.

Anybody can submit the soft copy of his/her manuscript **anytime** in M.S. Word format after preparing the same as per our submission guidelines duly available on our website under the heading guidelines for submission, at the email addresses: <a href="mailto:info@ijrcm.org.in">info@ijrcm.org.in</a>.

## GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT

	DATED:
THE EDITOR URCM	
Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF	
(e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology	ogy/Law/Computer/IT/Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)
DEAR SIR/MADAM	
Please find my submission of manuscript entitled '	' for possible publication in your journals.
I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermounder review for publication elsewhere.	re, it has neither been published elsewhere in any language fully or partl
,	
under review for publication elsewhere.	n of the manuscript and their inclusion of name (s) as co-author (s).
under review for publication elsewhere.  I affirm that all the author (s) have seen and agreed to the submitted version Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the f	n of the manuscript and their inclusion of name (s) as co-author (s).
under review for publication elsewhere.  I affirm that all the author (s) have seen and agreed to the submitted version.  Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the frontribution in any of your journals.  NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:  Designation:	n of the manuscript and their inclusion of name (s) as co-author (s).
under review for publication elsewhere.  I affirm that all the author (s) have seen and agreed to the submitted version Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the f contribution in any of your journals.  NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:  Designation:  Affiliation with full address, contact numbers & Pin Code:	n of the manuscript and their inclusion of name (s) as co-author (s).
under review for publication elsewhere.  I affirm that all the author (s) have seen and agreed to the submitted version Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the f contribution in any of your journals.  NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:  Designation:  Affiliation with full address, contact numbers & Pin Code:  Residential address with Pin Code:	n of the manuscript and their inclusion of name (s) as co-author (s).
under review for publication elsewhere.  I affirm that all the author (s) have seen and agreed to the submitted version Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the frontribution in any of your journals.  NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:  Designation:  Affiliation with full address, contact numbers & Pin Code:  Residential address with Pin Code:  Mobile Number (s):	n of the manuscript and their inclusion of name (s) as co-author (s).
under review for publication elsewhere.  I affirm that all the author (s) have seen and agreed to the submitted version Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the frontribution in any of your journals.  NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:  Designation:  Affiliation with full address, contact numbers & Pin Code:  Residential address with Pin Code:  Mobile Number (s):  Landline Number (s):	n of the manuscript and their inclusion of name (s) as co-author (s).
under review for publication elsewhere.  I affirm that all the author (s) have seen and agreed to the submitted version Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the frontribution in any of your journals.  NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:  Designation:  Affiliation with full address, contact numbers & Pin Code:  Residential address with Pin Code:  Mobile Number (s):	n of the manuscript and their inclusion of name (s) as co-author (s).

- the covering letter, inside the manuscript.

  b) The sender is required to mention the following in the SUBJECT COLUMN of the mail:
- New Manuscript for Review in the area of (Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/ Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)
- c) There is no need to give any text in the body of mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any specific message w.r.t. to the manuscript.
- d) The total size of the file containing the manuscript is required to be below **500 KB**.
- e) Abstract alone will not be considered for review, and the author is required to submit the complete manuscript in the first instance.
- f) The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of manuscript, within two days of submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending separate mail to the journal.
- 2. MANUSCRIPT TITLE: The title of the paper should be in a 12 point Calibri Font. It should be bold typed, centered and fully capitalised.
- 3. AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS: The author (s) full name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline numbers, and email/alternate email address should be in italic & 11-point Calibri Font. It must be centered underneath the title.
- 4. ABSTRACT: Abstract should be in fully italicized text, not exceeding 250 words. The abstract must be informative and explain the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a single para. Abbreviations must be mentioned in full.

- 5. **KEYWORDS**: Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of five. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stops at the end.
- 6. MANUSCRIPT: Manuscript must be in <u>BRITISH ENGLISH</u> prepared on a standard A4 size <u>PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER</u>. It must be prepared on a single space and single column with 1" margin set for top, bottom, left and right. It should be typed in 8 point Calibri Font with page numbers at the bottom and centre of every page. It should be free from grammatical, spelling and punctuation errors and must be thoroughly edited.
- 7. **HEADINGS**: All the headings should be in a 10 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
- 8. **SUB-HEADINGS**: All the sub-headings should be in a 8 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
- 9. MAIN TEXT: The main text should follow the following sequence:

INTRODUCTION

**REVIEW OF LITERATURE** 

**NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY** 

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

**OBJECTIVES** 

**HYPOTHESES** 

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

**RESULTS & DISCUSSION** 

**FINDINGS** 

RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS

CONCLUSIONS

SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS** 

REFERENCES

APPENDIX/ANNEXURE

It should be in a 8 point Calibri Font, single spaced and justified. The manuscript should preferably not exceed 5000 WORDS.

- 10. **FIGURES &TABLES**: These should be simple, crystal clear, centered, separately numbered & self explained, and **titles must be above the table/figure**. Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure. It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text.
- 11. **EQUATIONS**: These should be consecutively numbered in parentheses, horizontally centered with equation number placed at the right.
- 12. **REFERENCES**: The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript and they are supposed to follow **Harvard Style of Referencing**. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
- All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
- Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
- When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc, in chronologically ascending order.
- Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
- The title of books and journals should be in italics. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working
  papers, unpublished material, etc.
- For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parentheses.
- The location of endnotes within the text should be indicated by superscript numbers.

#### PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:

#### BOOKS

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

#### **CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS**

Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

#### JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

• Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

#### CONFERENCE PAPERS

• Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–22 June.

#### UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS AND THESES

Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

#### **ONLINE RESOURCES**

Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

#### WEBSITE

• Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Natural Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp

# GENDER DIFFERENCE IN OCCUPATIONAL STRESS AND COPING STRATEGIES AMONG MIDDLE LEVEL MANAGERS IN PRIVATE SECTOR ORGANIZATIONS

# D. CHITRA ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR PANIMALAR ENGINEERING COLLEGE CHENNAI

DR. V. MAHALAKSHMI
DEAN & HEAD
PANIMALAR ENGINEERING COLLEGE
CHENNAI

#### **ABSTRACT**

This study examines gender difference in occupational stress and coping strategies among Middle level managers in private sector organizations and data were collected through five point Likert scale questionnaire. Result of the survey analyzed by using independent t-test. Female middle level mangers reported sources of stress as mistakes at the job, less recognition from superior, lack of career and achievement and lack of personal level development at the job than male middle level managers. Male middle level managers reported higher stress for personality clashes with others. With respect to coping strategies, female middle level managers tend social support when they experience stress, while men tend to suppress their emotions and deal with problems in a logical and unemotional manner, and they cope stress with organizing works than females.

#### **KEYWORDS**

Coping Strategies, Gender, Occupational Stress,

#### INTRODUCTION

tress is a measure of the internal acting within a deformable body. Quantitatively, it is a measure of the average force per unit area of a surface within the body on which internal forces act. These internal forces are a reaction to external forces applied on the body. Stress is defined as any condition or characteristics of the work environment which threatens the individual's psychological and physiological homeostasis (Vivaen, Thompson, 1996). End of the twentieth century women's participation of work force has increased by significant way compared with men. According to census and statistical departmental statistics, labour force has contend 66.7% male employees and 31.5% female employees. Private sector has provided 46.9% jobs for male employees and 45.1% for female employees and public sector has provided 11.9% for male and 15.5% for female employees from the overall job market. Women's entering to the job market is a critical point to discuss various matters. From various aspects occupational stress is important matter to discuss among male and female employees

#### LITERATURE REVIEW

#### WOMEN, MEN, WORK AND OCCUPATIONAL STRESS

Many researches on gender differences in occupational stress have done by western researchers. Most occupational stresses are more conductive to men's success than women's (Tharenou et al., 1994). Women's minority managerial status and male-dominated company policies have been used to claim that female managers are subjected to a greater number of work related pressure than male managers (Davidson and Cooper, 1983). With the growing no of women in management, future productivity may depend on an organization's ability to integrate women in to the mainstream of organizational life (Offermann and Gowring, 1990). Female HR professionals reported significantly more pressure and reported a greater no of stress manifestations than their male counterparts (Davidson and Cooper, 1983). Previous research has examined the degree of occupational stress and gender difference which indicates females occupational stress is bigger than males occupational stress. Former researchers has indicated that female managers suffer from role conflicts and role ambiguity (terborg, 1985), which brings with it pressure felt from tokenism (Rosen, 1982; Davidson and cooper, 1983; Offermann and Armitage, 1993) isolation (Nelson and Quick, 1985; Davidson and Cooper, 1985) and not feeling fully accepted by their peers (kanter, 1977). Female managers are also more likely than male managers to suffer from a lack of support, be this lack of encouragement from superiors (Davidson and Cooper, 1983), absence of mentors (Jick and Mitz, 1985; Terborg, 1985) or lack of social support (Davidson and Cooper, 1983; Offermann and Gowring, 1990).

#### **OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY**

Find out the gender difference in occupational stress among middle level managers in a private sector organization

#### RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

#### SAMPLE AND QUESTIONNAIRE

The sample consisted of middle level managers in private sector organizations. Private organizations were selected as the sample. A total no of 120 questionnaires were distributed .110 were well completed. This constitutes a response rate of 98 per cent. The questionnaire designed as 5 point Likert scale (5=Strongly agree to 1=Strongly disagree) and questionnaire depend on Cooper's Occupational Stress Indicators and Coping Strategies.57 Male managers and 53 Female mangers who were responded to the survey. Data analyzed by using independent t-test through SPSS software.

#### **MEASURES**

#### **SOURCES OF STRESS**

Sources of stress adopted from Cooper et al's Occupational Stress Indicator (OSI). Sources of stress were assessed with 61 items adopted from Cooper et al.'s Occupational Stress Indicator (OSI). The psychometric properties of the OSI have been established in previous studies. Items were scored from 1 (very definitely is not a source of stress) to 6 (very definitely is a source of stress). The OSI consists of six subscales which tap six dimension of Stress as follows;

- 1. Factors intrinsic to the job define sources of stress start from aspects of the job. This included three areas which could influences to the stress: satisfaction of working environment, new technology, innovations & challenges and position of routine works.
- 2. Managerial role measures how individuals perceive the expectations that relating to the managerial works. These expectations define as the risk factor of the job, how could avoid mistakes of the job and the decision making power of the job as a Middle level manager
- Relationships with others define as stress originating from personal contacts at work such as lack of social support from superiors, subordinators and other worker and office politics.

- 4. Career and achievement measures the middle level managers' perceptions of their future career development, promotion prospects and perceived threats of job obsolescence.
- 5. Organization structure and climate define how stress starting from the bureaucratic nature of the organization, employee performance structure, organizational resources and training and development programs for middle level mangers to the organization.

#### **COPING STRATEGIES**

Coping strategies were assessed with 28 items adopted from the OSI. Items were scored from 1 (never) to 5 (very often). These items comprise six subscales which show six types of coping strategy:

- 1) Social support assesses the middle level managers' connections with employees and formal and informal social networks.
- 2) Task strategies measures the individual's planning and organizing ability for their tasks to manageable chunks.
- 3) Logic measures coping strategy which measured emotional and rational approach to stressful situations.
- 4) Home-work relationships assesses coping which involves work -family balance to dissipate stress.
- 5) Time management focuses as coping strategy to work priority setting and delegation of works to achieve the tasks.
- 6) Involvement assesses coping which involves the individual's job commitment, responsibility and acceptance of the situation in which they worked.

#### **RESEARCH FINDINGS**

#### **DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS**

Of the 110 respondents, 51 per cent are male (N = 57) and 49 per cent are female (N = 53). The mean age of respondents is 33 with range from 27 to 40. Middle level managerial positions used as assistant managers, senior executives, engineers and accountants. Sixty-six per cent of the respondents are single (N = 73), While 34 per cent are married (N = 37).

#### **GENDER DIFFERENCES IN SOURCES OF STRESS**

Analyses of independent t-test were used to test whether gender differences in occupational stress and coping strategies exist. Three covariates - job title, marital status, and age group were controlled. This is to ensure that any significant gender differences found in experienced stress and coping are attributed to gender and not to any of the covariates. Results of independent t-test are presented in Table I and show that only five sources of stress (factors intrinsic to the job; managerial role; relationships with others; career and achievement; and organization structure and climate) remained statistically significant after the three covariates were introduced.

To examine further gender differences in specific aspects of the job, t-tests were performed for male and female middle level managers on various items in each dimension of the OSI. Items on which significant gender differences occur are reported in Table.

TABLE 1: RESULTS OF INDEPENDENT T-TEST ON INDIVIDUAL STRESS ITEMS BETWEEN MALE AND FEMALE MIDDLE LEVEL MANAGERS

Factors intrinsic to the job   Satisfaction of working environment   4.02   3.64   0.01	Question no	Question no Dimension of Stress			Significant
1       Satisfaction of working environment       4.02       3.64       0.01         2       Working with new technology, innovation and challenges       3.75       3.51       0.09         3       Normal routine work than different task       3.23       3.06       0.43         Managerial role         4       Take the risk of job       3.42       3.68       0.21         5       Afraid to do mistakes at job       2.60       3.74       0.00         6       Make decision influence to organisation       3.74       3.91       0.23         Relationship with others         7       Lack of subordinate support       2.68       3.15       0.06         8       Hurts from office politics       2.95       3.23       0.06         9       Not recognized from superiors       2.21       3.00       0.00         10       Personality clashes with others       3.44       2.30       0.00         Career and achievement         11       Promoted by Organization at correct time       3.16       2.60       0.02         12       Achieved personal level development of the job       3.89       3.08       0.00         13       Lack of opportunity to career deve			Male	Female	(2-tailed test)
2       Working with new technology, innovation and challenges       3.75       3.51       0.09         3       Normal routine work than different task       3.23       3.06       0.43         Managerial role         4       Take the risk of job       3.42       3.68       0.21         5       Afraid to do mistakes at job       2.60       3.74       0.00         6       Make decision influence to organisation       3.74       3.91       0.23         Relationship with others         7       Lack of subordinate support       2.68       3.15       0.06         8       Hurts from office politics       2.95       3.23       0.06         9       Not recognized from superiors       2.21       3.00       0.00         10       Personality clashes with others       3.44       2.30       0.00         Career and achievement         11       Promoted by Organization at correct time       3.16       2.60       0.02         12       Achieved personal level development of the job       3.89       3.08       0.00         13       Lack of opportunity to career development       2.79       2.77       0.94         Organisation structure and climat		Factors intrinsic to the job			
Normal routine work than different task   3.23   3.06   0.43	1	Satisfaction of working environment	4.02	3.64	0.01
Managerial role           4         Take the risk of job         3.42         3.68         0.21           5         Afraid to do mistakes at job         2.60         3.74         0.00           6         Make decision influence to organisation         3.74         3.91         0.23           Relationship with others           7         Lack of subordinate support         2.68         3.15         0.06           8         Hurts from office politics         2.95         3.23         0.06           9         Not recognized from superiors         2.21         3.00         0.00           10         Personality clashes with others         3.44         2.30         0.00           Career and achievement           11         Promoted by Organization at correct time         3.16         2.60         0.02           12         Achieved personal level development of the job         3.89         3.08         0.00           13         Lack of opportunity to career development         2.79         2.77         0.94           Organisation structure and climate           14         No adequate guidelines and backup from superiors         2.60         2.38         0.30           15	2	Working with new technology, innovation and challenges	3.75	3.51	0.09
4       Take the risk of job       3.42       3.68       0.21         5       Afraid to do mistakes at job       2.60       3.74       0.00         6       Make decision influence to organisation       3.74       3.91       0.23         Relationship with others         7       Lack of subordinate support       2.68       3.15       0.06         8       Hurts from office politics       2.95       3.23       0.06         9       Not recognized from superiors       2.21       3.00       0.00         10       Personality clashes with others       3.44       2.30       0.00         Career and achievement         11       Promoted by Organization at correct time       3.16       2.60       0.02         12       Achieved personal level development of the job       3.89       3.08       0.00         13       Lack of opportunity to career development       2.79       2.77       0.94         Organisation structure and climate         14       No adequate guidelines and backup from superiors       2.60       2.38       0.30         15       No training and development structure for the job       2.32       2.68       0.12         16       No	3	Normal routine work than different task	3.23	3.06	0.43
5       Afraid to do mistakes at job       2.60       3.74       0.00         6       Make decision influence to organisation       3.74       3.91       0.23         Relationship with others         7       Lack of subordinate support       2.68       3.15       0.06         8       Hurts from office politics       2.95       3.23       0.06         9       Not recognized from superiors       2.21       3.00       0.00         10       Personality clashes with others       3.44       2.30       0.00         Career and achievement         11       Promoted by Organization at correct time       3.16       2.60       0.02         12       Achieved personal level development of the job       3.89       3.08       0.00         13       Lack of opportunity to career development       2.79       2.77       0.94         Organisation structure and climate         14       No adequate guidelines and backup from superiors       2.60       2.38       0.30         15       No training and development structure for the job       2.32       2.68       0.12         16       Not receiving feedback from top level       2.79       2.55       0.29		Managerial role			
6         Make decision influence to organisation         3.74         3.91         0.23           Relationship with others           7         Lack of subordinate support         2.68         3.15         0.06           8         Hurts from office politics         2.95         3.23         0.06           9         Not recognized from superiors         2.21         3.00         0.00           10         Personality clashes with others         3.44         2.30         0.00           Career and achievement           11         Promoted by Organization at correct time         3.16         2.60         0.02           12         Achieved personal level development of the job         3.89         3.08         0.00           13         Lack of opportunity to career development         2.79         2.77         0.94           Organisation structure and climate           14         No adequate guidelines and backup from superiors         2.60         2.38         0.30           15         No training and development structure for the job         2.32         2.68         0.12           16         Not receiving feedback from top level         2.79         2.55         0.29	4	Take the risk of job	3.42	3.68	0.21
Relationship with others   2.68   3.15   0.06	5	Afraid to do mistakes at job	2.60	3.74	0.00
7       Lack of subordinate support       2.68       3.15       0.06         8       Hurts from office politics       2.95       3.23       0.06         9       Not recognized from superiors       2.21       3.00       0.00         10       Personality clashes with others       3.44       2.30       0.00         Career and achievement         11       Promoted by Organization at correct time       3.16       2.60       0.02         12       Achieved personal level development of the job       3.89       3.08       0.00         13       Lack of opportunity to career development       2.79       2.77       0.94         Organisation structure and climate         14       No adequate guidelines and backup from superiors       2.60       2.38       0.30         15       No training and development structure for the job       2.32       2.68       0.12         16       Not receiving feedback from top level       2.79       2.55       0.29	6	Make decision influence to organisation	3.74	3.91	0.23
8       Hurts from office politics       2.95       3.23       0.06         9       Not recognized from superiors       2.21       3.00       0.00         10       Personality clashes with others       3.44       2.30       0.00         Career and achievement         11       Promoted by Organization at correct time       3.16       2.60       0.02         12       Achieved personal level development of the job       3.89       3.08       0.00         13       Lack of opportunity to career development       2.79       2.77       0.94         Organisation structure and climate         14       No adequate guidelines and backup from superiors       2.60       2.38       0.30         15       No training and development structure for the job       2.32       2.68       0.12         16       Not receiving feedback from top level       2.79       2.55       0.29		Relationship with others			
9       Not recognized from superiors       2.21       3.00       0.00         10       Personality clashes with others       3.44       2.30       0.00         Career and achievement         11       Promoted by Organization at correct time       3.16       2.60       0.02         12       Achieved personal level development of the job       3.89       3.08       0.00         13       Lack of opportunity to career development       2.79       2.77       0.94         Organisation structure and climate         14       No adequate guidelines and backup from superiors       2.60       2.38       0.30         15       No training and development structure for the job       2.32       2.68       0.12         16       Not receiving feedback from top level       2.79       2.55       0.29	7	Lack of subordinate support	2.68	3.15	0.06
10         Personality clashes with others         3.44         2.30         0.00           Career and achievement           11         Promoted by Organization at correct time         3.16         2.60         0.02           12         Achieved personal level development of the job         3.89         3.08         0.00           13         Lack of opportunity to career development         2.79         2.77         0.94           Organisation structure and climate           14         No adequate guidelines and backup from superiors         2.60         2.38         0.30           15         No training and development structure for the job         2.32         2.68         0.12           16         Not receiving feedback from top level         2.79         2.55         0.29	8	Hurts from office politics	2.95	3.23	0.06
Career and achievement	9	Not recognized from superiors	2.21	3.00	0.00
11       Promoted by Organization at correct time       3.16       2.60       0.02         12       Achieved personal level development of the job       3.89       3.08       0.00         13       Lack of opportunity to career development       2.79       2.77       0.94         Organisation structure and climate         14       No adequate guidelines and backup from superiors       2.60       2.38       0.30         15       No training and development structure for the job       2.32       2.68       0.12         16       Not receiving feedback from top level       2.79       2.55       0.29	10	Personality clashes with others	3.44	2.30	0.00
12       Achieved personal level development of the job       3.89       3.08       0.00         13       Lack of opportunity to career development       2.79       2.77       0.94         Organisation structure and climate         14       No adequate guidelines and backup from superiors       2.60       2.38       0.30         15       No training and development structure for the job       2.32       2.68       0.12         16       Not receiving feedback from top level       2.79       2.55       0.29		Career and achievement			
13 Lack of opportunity to career development 2.79 2.77 0.94  Organisation structure and climate  14 No adequate guidelines and backup from superiors 2.60 2.38 0.30  15 No training and development structure for the job 2.32 2.68 0.12  16 Not receiving feedback from top level 2.79 2.55 0.29	11	Promoted by Organization at correct time	3.16	2.60	0.02
Organisation structure and climate  No adequate guidelines and backup from superiors  No training and development structure for the job  Not receiving feedback from top level  Output  Devel  2.38  0.30  2.32  2.68  0.12  2.79  2.55  0.29	12	Achieved personal level development of the job	3.89	3.08	0.00
No adequate guidelines and backup from superiors 2.60 2.38 0.30 No training and development structure for the job 2.32 2.68 0.12 Not receiving feedback from top level 2.79 2.55 0.29	13	Lack of opportunity to career development	2.79	2.77	0.94
No training and development structure for the job 2.32 2.68 0.12 Not receiving feedback from top level 2.79 2.55 0.29		Organisation structure and climate			
Not receiving feedback from top level 2.79 2.55 0.29	14	No adequate guidelines and backup from superiors	2.60	2.38	0.30
	15	No training and development structure for the job	2.32	2.68	0.12
17 Resources not enough to work 2.51 2.28 0.24	16	Not receiving feedback from top level	2.79	2.55	0.29
	17	Resources not enough to work	2.51	2.28	0.24

#### FACTORS INTRINSIC TO THE JOB

Male managers reported significantly higher scores on three questionnaire items relating to factors intrinsic to the job. These items are:" satisfaction of working environment", "working with new technology, innovation and challenges", "normal routine work than different tasks". Results of Sig. (2-tailed) t-tests on these items are shown in Table I.

Males have satisfied with working environment, but working with new technology, innovation and challenges they have little bit of pressure than females as well as they are working under normal routine works than female workers in the work place. However as an overall dimension which factors intrinsic job factor which does not have a significant difference among male and female middle level manager in the work place.

#### MANAGERIAL ROLE

Female middle level managers scored significantly higher on the following items relating to the managerial role: "Afraid to do mistakes at the job", Make decisions influence to the organization" "Lack of subordinate support". Results of Sig. (2-tailed) t-tests on these items are shown in Table I. Female managers were more afraid to do mistakes the job and they does not have the subordinates support like male counterparts. Those were major source of job stress than male counter parts. However they reported their influencing rate to decision making was higher than male managers to the work.

#### **RELATIONSHIPS WITH OTHERS**

Women reported a significantly higher score several items on this subscale of the OSI: "Hurts from office politics", and "Not recognize from superiors" were significantly higher than male managers. Other hand males scored higher for the "Personality clashes with others "at the office. Results of Sig. (2-tailed) t-tests on these items are shown in Table I. Generally, Middle level mangers have extensive interactions with others in the organization as they need to deal with many different parties and fulfill the expectations of users, customers, clients, managers and departments. In this case female managers are rich with personal relationships without clashes. According to the research female managers has showed a considerable amount of stress originating from office politics and on lack of superior recognition in the office. While organizational politics also plague male managers, female managers may be more stressed by this as they are often excluded from political networks in the organizations.

#### **CAREER AND ACHIEVEMENT**

The following items related to career and achievement: "Promoted by the organization at correct times"," Achieved personal level development of the job"," Lack of opportunities to carrier developments". Results of Sig. (2-tailed) t-tests on these items are shown in Table I. Lack of opportunities for career development and lack of personal level development at the job often results in female managers perceptions that they are undervalued, thereby leading to much frustration and stress.

Another plausible explanation why female were show lower level promotion at the work place this was higher scores as a source of stress than their male counterparts. Freedman and Phillips suggest that the absence of career mentors and role models may lead women to recognize the lack of opportunities in their workplace and consequently adjust their aspiration levels downwards to match the career paths or jobs that appear to be available to them.

#### ORGANIZATION STRUCTURE AND CLIMATE

Organizational structure and climate indicate the following factors: "no adequate guidelines and backups from superiors", "lack of training and development structure for the job", "not receiving feedback from top level", "Resources are not enough to work ". Results of t-tests on these items are shown in Table I. Male managers indicated inadequate guidance and support from superiors, as well as organizational resources not sufficient to perform tasks, may be construed as a loss of control, thereby resulting in stress reactions. On the other hand, Female managers perceive a lack of feedback from top level and lack of training and development from the organization. However there were no significant difference of stress among male and female mangers regarding the organizational structure and climate.

#### **COPING STRATEGIES**

TABLE 2: RESULTS OF INDEPENDENT T-TEST ON INDIVIDUALS COPING STRATEGIES ON JOB STRESS BETWEEN MALE AND FEMALE MIDDLE LEVEL MANAGERS

Question no	Individual Item	MEAN		Significant
		Male	Female	(2-tailed test)
	Social Support			
18	Can easily understand subordinators	4.04	4.06	0.88
19	Can seek social support for any issue	2.77	3.81	0.00
	Task strategies			
20	Plan work as much as manageable	4.21	3.92	0.00
21	Good team player	3.88	3.85	0.76
	Logic			
22	Emotional when fallen in to stressful situation	2.60	3.34	0.00
23	Rational my managerial decision	3.40	3.49	0.50
	Homework Relationship			
24	Successfully balance work and family	3.68	3.94	0.07
25	No family affected problems to work	3.25	3.23	0.91
	Time management			
26	Delegate task and finish on time	3.35	3.34	0.95
27	Good practice to priority setting	3.68	3.77	0.35
	Job Involvement			
28	Good individual job commitment	4.00	3.98	0.87
29	Accepts work under any pressure	3.81	3.64	0.33

#### GENDER DIFFERENCES IN COPING STRATEGIES

To examine whether gender differences exist in coping strategies Sig. (2-tailed)-t test procedures were performed. The results in Table 2 show that gender differences exist for only three of the six coping strategies subscales. Female managers reported significantly higher scores on social support than their male counterparts while male managers reported significantly higher scores on logic (less emotional when fallen in stressful situation.) as a coping strategy than their female counterparts. And male managers plan work as manageable then female managers. No significant gender difference was found for task strategies, homework relationships, and job involvement. To examine further gender differences in specific aspects of coping strategies, t-tests were performed for male and female middle level managers on various items in the social support, time management and logic subscale of OSI. Items on which significant gender differences occur are reported in Table 2.

#### SOCIAL SUPPORT

Female managers reported significantly higher scores on questionnaire items relating to social support for any issue as a coping strategy. Results of t-tests on these items are shown in table 2

These results are also consistent with the findings of previous studies. For example, McDonald and Korabik found that females were more likely to talk to others about their problems and seek social support when they experienced stress in a similar way, Burke and Belcourt found that women tended to talk to their friends and family about Work-related problems more than men did. These findings seem to suggest that women are more willing to articulate their problems than men.

#### LOGIC

Female managers reported significantly higher scores on questionnaire items relating to emotional when fallen in to stressful situation as a coping strategy. Results of t-tests on these items are shown in Table 2. Generally, the results show that men may find it more difficult to articulate their problems and may suppress their emotions and not let their stress show possibly because such behaviours are in line with societal norms and expectations. This is particularly so in an Asian culture where articulation of problems by men is perceived as a sign of weakness.

#### TASK STRATEGIES

Male manger were more manageable when plan the works. There were significant differences among male and female mangers. Results of t-tests on these items are shown in Table 2.Rationaly of this would be, their mind freedom and less responsibilities from the home-work balance.

#### **CONCLUSION**

The objective of this study is to investigate gender differences in occupational stress and coping strategies among middle level manager. Results of independent t-tests reveal that gender differences exist in five of the OSI sub-dimensions, namely: Satisfaction of working environment ,Afraid to do mistakes at job, Achieved personal level development of the job, Personality clashes with others and Career promotions and achievement. Other sub-dimensions relating to the ISO (Occupational Stress Indicator) were not reported significant difference between male and female middle level managers job related stress.

Gender differences were found for three coping strategies, namely social support, Time management and Logic. Female middle level managers were more likely to seek social support than male middle level managers in dealing with stress. On the other hand, male middle level managers were more likely to engage in "logic", i.e. suppress their emotions and deal with stress in an objective and unemotional manner.

The results of the study are instructive in that they seem to suggest that some factors has changed since Davidson and Cooper's influential study on occupational stress among male and female managers more than a decade ago. Consistent with Davidson and Cooper's study, this results suggest that most of the factors which generate stress among female managers with gender discrimination from organizational policies and other colleagues at the workplace. The results of this study suggest that one of the source of stress for female middle level mangers arises from fear of making mistakes. This fear may stem from their lack of

confidence or lack of knowledge and skills in performing work tasks or nature of gender as psychological effect. In fact, Freedman and Phillips noted that women who are in male-dominated professions generally tend to lack confidence in their ability to excel in their jobs compared with their male colleagues.

Female middle level managers also scored significantly higher than their male counterparts on stress stemming from "Hurts with office politics". Nelson et al. attribute this to the marginalization of women from the organizational political networks. And the managerial position has traditionally been a male dominated one. Female middle level managers in the study scored significantly higher than their male colleagues on stress originating from inadequate support from their superiors and others at work. To the extent that supervisor support, encouragement and guidance are crucial in assisting the female middle level managers to get ahead in her profession, managers should make a conscientious effort to ensure that their female staff receive the support and guidance they need from their superiors.

Both male and female managerial positions exist and both parties are giving considerable contribution to the productivity. According to the research stress factor has change when relevant to the gender not like earlier time. It would be the development of physical facilities to do work for the female, social support for them as well as changing the attitudes of females for the balance career life as well.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. Arnold H.J., & Feldman, D.C. (1982), A multivariate analysis of the determinants of job turnover, Journal of Applied Psychology, 67, 350-360.
- 2. Adeline Broadbridge, (2000), Stress and the Female Retail Managers, Women in Management Review, volume 15, November 2000, University of Straline.
- 3. Baumeister, R.F., & Scher, S.J. (1988), Self-defeating behavior patterns among normal individuals: Review and analysis of common self-destructive tendencies, Psychological *Bulletin*, 104, 3-22.
- 4. Caplan, R.D., & Jones, K.W. (1975), Effects of workload, role ambiguity, and Type A personality on anxiety, depression and heart rate, *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 60, 713-719.
- 5. Carayon, P. (1994), Stressful jobs and non-stressful jobs: A cluster analysis of office jobs, Ergonomics, 37, 311-323.
- 6. Chemers, M.M., Hayes, R.B., Rhodenault, F., & Wysocki, J. (1985), A person-environment analysis of job stress: A contingency model explanation, *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 49, 628-635.
- 7. Cobb, S., & Rose, R.M. (1973), Hypertension, peptic ulcer, and diabetes in air traffic controllers, Journal of the American Medical Association, 224, 489-493.
- 8. Davidson, M., Cooper, C., (1983), Stress and the Woman Managers, Martin Robertson & Company publication, Oxford,.
- 9. Gender differences in Occupational Stress and Coping Strategies among IT Personnel, Women in Management Review, Volume 11 Number 1, pp. 20-28, MCB University Press-
- 10. Jick, T.D., Mitz, L.F., (1985), Sex differences in Work Stress, Academy of Management Review, No. 10, pp. 408-20.Vivien K.G. Lim ,Thompson S.H. Teo, (1996),
- 11. Sandra L. Fieelden, Cary L. Cooper, Women Managers and Stress: A Critical Analysis, Volume 20, Menchester school of Management, UK



## REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

#### **Dear Readers**

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce and Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue as well as on the journal as a whole, on our E-mails i.e. infoijrcm@gmail.com or info@ijrcm.org.in for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries please feel free to contact us on our E-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

**Academically yours** 

Sd/-

Co-ordinator

## **ABOUT THE JOURNAL**

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active cooperation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.







