

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE & MANAGEMENT

I
J
R
C
M



A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories
Indexed & Listed at:

Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, ProQuest, U.S.A., EBSCO Publishing, U.S.A., Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A.
as well as in Open J-Gate, India (link of the same is duly available at Inlibnet of University Grants Commission (U.G.C.))

Registered & Listed at: Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than 1388 Cities in 138 countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

Ground Floor, Building No. 1041-C-1, Devi Bhawan Bazar, JAGADHRI – 135 003, Yamunanagar, Haryana, INDIA

www.ijrcm.org.in

CONTENTS

| Sr. No. | TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S) | Page No. |
|---------|--|----------|
| 1. | INTANGIBLE VALUE ACCUMULATION IN CULTURAL AND CREATIVE INDUSTRIES <i>DR. SHULIEN CHANG</i> | 1 |
| 2. | STRATEGIES IN MANAGING BARRIERS TO CUSTOMER SATISFACTION <i>DR. ANTHONY .A. IJEWERE & EDITH .O.ODIA</i> | 8 |
| 3. | A STUDY ON CONSUMER ATTITUDE TOWARDS DEPARTMENTAL STORES IN COIMBATORE CITY, TAMILNADU <i>DR. J. GOPU & T. GIRIJA</i> | 15 |
| 4. | FACTORS DETERMINING CONSUMER PREFERENCES FOR BRAND EXTENSIONS <i>DR. NANJUNDARAJ PREM ANAND</i> | 20 |
| 5. | ENFORCING THE INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL REPORTING STANDARDS WORLDWIDE <i>ENAHORO, JOHN & NDAYIZEYE GERVAIS</i> | 27 |
| 6. | ASSESSING THE IMPACT OF THE GLOBAL FINANCIAL CRISIS ON AFRICAN MICROFINANCE INSTITUTION PERFORMANCE: EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE FROM EAST AFRICA <i>TILAHUN AEMIRO TEHULU</i> | 32 |
| 7. | SOME HIDDEN TRUTHS ABOUT MANAGEMENT OF WORKPLACE ENVIRONMENT <i>MUHAMMAD RIZWAN, SYED USMAN ALI GILLANI, DIL JAN KHAN, FAWAD SABOOR & MUHAMMAD USMAN</i> | 37 |
| 8. | INVESTORS' PERCEPTION IN MUTUAL FUND INVESTMENTS (A STUDY IN SELECTED MUTUAL FUND ORGANIZATIONS IN VISAKHAPATNAM) <i>B. MURALI KRISHNA, K. RAKESH & P.V.S. SIVA KUMAR</i> | 43 |
| 9. | GREEN FINANCIAL INITIATIVES – CURRENT TRENDS AND FUTUTURE OPPORTUNITIES <i>SWETA KUMARI, GAGANDEEP NAGRA, DR. R .GOPAL & DR. RENU VERMA</i> | 48 |
| 10. | A STUDY ON EFFECT OF DEPRECIATION METHODS ON NET PROFIT OF BUSINESSES <i>DR. SURENDRA GOLE & ABHAY INGLE</i> | 52 |
| 11. | STRATEGIC HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT FOR HIGH PERFORMANCE ORGANIZATIONS <i>AJIT KUMAR KAR</i> | 54 |
| 12. | THE MARKETING PROBLEMS OF CARDAMOM GROWERS IN TAMIL NADU AND KERALA - A COMPARATIVE STUDY <i>P. SELVAMANI & DR. M. SYED ZAFAR</i> | 60 |
| 13. | THE EMPIRICAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN TRADING VOLUME, RETURNS AND VOLATILITY <i>DR. BAL KRISHAN & DR. REKHA GUPTA</i> | 69 |
| 14. | IMPACT OF EMPLOYEE SATISFACTION AND UNION – MANAGEMENT RELATION ON ENHANCED CUSTOMER SATISFACTION- REGRESSION ANALYSIS [A STUDY OF ANDHRA PRADESH STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION (A.P.S.R.T.C)] <i>A. R. VIJAYA CHANDRAN & DR. V. M. PRASAD</i> | 74 |
| 15. | MARKETING STRATEGIES IN HEALTHCARE <i>DR. SOMU.G</i> | 76 |
| 16. | MANAGEMENT OF TRANSLATION EXPOSURE: A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF MNCs IN INDIA <i>DR. MANISHA GOEL</i> | 80 |
| 17. | DIFFERENT RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN PERCEPTIONS OF DEVELOPMENTAL PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL AND WORK PERFORMANCE <i>DR. VENKATESH. J, VIVEKANANDAN. K & BALAJI. D</i> | 87 |
| 18. | A COMPARATIVE ASSESSMENT OF RURAL AND URBAN CONSUMERS' ATTITUDE TOWARDS THE PRACTICE OF MARKETING CONCEPTS BY MARKETERS <i>DR. DEBASIS BHATTACHARYA & DIPAK SAHA</i> | 91 |
| 19. | RELEVANCE OF TPM IN INDIAN INDUSTRIES: LITERATURE REVIEW <i>DR. A. K. GUPTA & NARENDER</i> | 97 |
| 20. | CAPITAL STRUCTURE ANALYSIS IN TATA STEEL LIMITED <i>DR. ASHA SHARMA</i> | 100 |
| 21. | AN ANALYTICAL STUDY ON EFFECTS OF CORPORATE GOVERNANCE DISCLOSURE TO FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE <i>PAYAL THAKAR, JAIMIN H. TRIVEDI & CHHAYA PRAJAPATI</i> | 108 |
| 22. | A STUDY OF IMPACT OF WORKING CAPITAL MANAGEMENT ON FIRM'S PERFORMANCE: EVIDENCE FROM CEMENT INDUSTRY IN INDIA FOR THE PERIOD 2007-2011 <i>ZOHRA ZABEEN SABUNWALA</i> | 115 |
| 23. | INDUSTRIALISATION IN HIMACHAL PRADESH: PROBLEMS, PROSPECTS AND ALTERNATIVE STRATEGIES (A CASE STUDY OF KANGRA DISTRICT) <i>CHAMAN LAL</i> | 121 |
| 24. | INTERNAL BRANDING AS A MANAGEMENT STRATEGY: A CASE OF ORGANIZED RETAIL SECTOR <i>GIRISH MUDE, SWAPNIL UNDALE & VRUSHALI DAIGAVHANE</i> | 126 |
| 25. | FINANCIAL REPORTING FRAMEWORK FOR CARBON CREDIT ACCOUNTING <i>TULIKA SOOD</i> | 130 |
| 26. | A STUDY OF INFLUENCES ON CONSUMER PRE PURCHASE ATTITUDE <i>ANILKUMAR. N</i> | 133 |
| 27. | CONSUMPTION PATTERN OF BUYERS OF BAKERY PRODUCTS: A STUDY CONDUCTED IN KERALA <i>NEMAT SHEEREEN S</i> | 141 |
| 28. | GLOBAL FINANCIAL CRISIS - PERSPECTIVE 2007 TO DATE & BEYOND (LEADERSHIP OF INDIA'S FINANCIAL SYSTEM) <i>AMIT GUPTA</i> | 146 |
| 29. | PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL OF INDIAN BANKING SECTOR: A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF SELECTED PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SECTOR BANKS <i>SAHILA CHAUDHRY</i> | 155 |
| 30. | A STUDY ON INTERACTIVE MEDIA'S INFLUENCE ON PURCHASE DECISION OF YOUTH <i>JATIN PANDEY</i> | 165 |
| | REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK | 170 |

CHIEF PATRON

PROF. K. K. AGGARWAL

Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Delhi
Founder Vice-Chancellor, GuruGobindSinghIndraprasthaUniversity, Delhi
Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, GuruJambheshwarUniversity, Hisar

PATRON

SH. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Ex.State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana
Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri
President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

CO-ORDINATOR

DR. SAMBHAV GARG

Faculty, M. M. Institute of Management, MaharishiMarkandeshwarUniversity, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana

ADVISORS

DR. PRIYA RANJAN TRIVEDI

Chancellor, The Global Open University, Nagaland

PROF. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Director A. C. D., School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

PROF. M. N. SHARMA

Chairman, M.B.A., HaryanaCollege of Technology & Management, Kaithal

PROF. S. L. MAHANDRU

Principal (Retd.), MaharajaAgrasenCollege, Jagadhri

EDITOR

PROF. R. K. SHARMA

Professor, Bharti Vidyapeeth University Institute of Management & Research, New Delhi

CO-EDITOR

DR. BHAVET

Faculty, M. M. Institute of Management, MaharishiMarkandeshwarUniversity, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

DR. RAJESH MODI

Faculty, YanbuIndustrialCollege, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

PROF. SANJIV MITTAL

UniversitySchool of Management Studies, GuruGobindSinghI. P. University, Delhi

PROF. ANIL K. SAINI

Chairperson (CRC), GuruGobindSinghI. P. University, Delhi

DR. SAMBHAVNA

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

DR. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, P.J.L.N.Government College, Faridabad

DR. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE

Asst. Professor, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

MOHITA

Faculty, Yamuna Institute of Engineering & Technology, Village Gadholi, P. O. Gadholi, Yamunanagar

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

PROF. NAWAB ALI KHAN

Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

PROF. ABHAY BANSAL

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity University, Noida

PROF. V. SELVAM

SSL, VIT University, Vellore

DR. N. SUNDARAM

Professor, VIT University, Vellore

DR. PARDEEP AHLAWAT

Reader, Institute of Management Studies & Research, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak

S. TABASSUM SULTANA

Associate Professor, Department of Business Management, Matrusri Institute of P.G. Studies, Hyderabad

TECHNICAL ADVISOR

AMITA

Faculty, Government M. S., Mohali

MOHITA

Faculty, Yamuna Institute of Engineering & Technology, Village Gadholi, P. O. Gadholi, Yamunanagar

FINANCIAL ADVISORS

DICKIN GOYAL

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

NEENA

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

LEGAL ADVISORS

JITENDER S. CHAHAL

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

SUPERINTENDENT

SURENDER KUMAR POONIA

CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to recent developments & practices in the area of Computer, Business, Finance, Marketing, Human Resource Management, General Management, Banking, Insurance, Corporate Governance and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting Education; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Monetary Policy; Portfolio & Security Analysis; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Business Education; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law, Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labor Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; Public Administration; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism, Hospitality & Leisure; Transportation/Physical Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Digital Logic; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Multimedia; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic and Web Design. The above mentioned tracks are only indicative, and not exhaustive.

Anybody can submit the soft copy of his/her manuscript **anytime** in M.S. Word format after preparing the same as per our submission guidelines duly available on our website under the heading guidelines for submission, at the email addresses: infoijrcm@gmail.com or info@ijrcm.org.in.

GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT

1. **COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:**

DATED: _____

THE EDITOR
IJRCM

Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF

(e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)

DEAR SIR/MADAM

Please find my submission of manuscript entitled '_____ ' for possible publication in your journals.

I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore, it has neither been published elsewhere in any language fully or partly, nor is it under review for publication elsewhere.

I affirm that all the author (s) have seen and agreed to the submitted version of the manuscript and their inclusion of name (s) as co-author (s).

Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the formalities as given on the website of the journal & you are free to publish our contribution in any of your journals.

NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Designation:

Affiliation with full address, contact numbers & Pin Code:

Residential address with Pin Code:

Mobile Number (s):

Landline Number (s):

E-mail Address:

Alternate E-mail Address:

NOTES:

- a) The whole manuscript is required to be in **ONE MS WORD FILE** only (pdf. version is liable to be rejected without any consideration), which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript.
- b) The sender is required to mention the following in the **SUBJECT COLUMN** of the mail:
New Manuscript for Review in the area of (Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)
- c) There is no need to give any text in the body of mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any specific message w.r.t. to the manuscript.
- d) The total size of the file containing the manuscript is required to be below **500 KB**.
- e) Abstract alone will not be considered for review, and the author is required to submit the complete manuscript in the first instance.
- f) The journal gives acknowledgment w.r.t. the receipt of every email and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of manuscript, within two days of submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending separate mail to the journal.

2. **MANUSCRIPT TITLE:** The title of the paper should be in a 12 point Calibri Font. It should be bold typed, centered and fully capitalised.

3. **AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS:** The author (s) **full name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline numbers, and email/alternate email address** should be in italic & 11-point Calibri Font. It must be centered underneath the title.

4. **ABSTRACT:** Abstract should be in fully italicized text, not exceeding 250 words. The abstract must be informative and explain the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a single para. Abbreviations must be mentioned in full.

5. **KEYWORDS:** Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of five. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stops at the end.
6. **MANUSCRIPT:** Manuscript must be in **BRITISH ENGLISH** prepared on a standard A4 size **PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER**. It must be prepared on a single space and single column with 1" margin set for top, bottom, left and right. It should be typed in 8 point Calibri Font with page numbers at the bottom and centre of every page. It should be free from grammatical, spelling and punctuation errors and must be thoroughly edited.
7. **HEADINGS:** All the headings should be in a 10 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
8. **SUB-HEADINGS:** All the sub-headings should be in a 8 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
9. **MAIN TEXT:** The main text should follow the following sequence:

INTRODUCTION**REVIEW OF LITERATURE****NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY****STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM****OBJECTIVES****HYPOTHESES****RESEARCH METHODOLOGY****RESULTS & DISCUSSION****FINDINGS****RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS****CONCLUSIONS****SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH****ACKNOWLEDGMENTS****REFERENCES****APPENDIX/ANNEXURE**

It should be in a 8 point Calibri Font, single spaced and justified. The manuscript should preferably not exceed **5000 WORDS**.

10. **FIGURES & TABLES:** These should be simple, crystal clear, centered, separately numbered & self explained, and **titles must be above the table/figure. Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure.** It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text.
11. **EQUATIONS:** These should be consecutively numbered in parentheses, horizontally centered with equation number placed at the right.
12. **REFERENCES:** The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript and they are supposed to follow **Harvard Style of Referencing**. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
 - All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
 - Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
 - When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc, in chronologically ascending order.
 - Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
 - The title of books and journals should be in italics. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
 - For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parentheses.
 - The location of endnotes within the text should be indicated by superscript numbers.

PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:**BOOKS**

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

- Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

- Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

CONFERENCE PAPERS

- Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–22 June.

UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS AND THESES

- Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

ONLINE RESOURCES

- Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

WEBSITE

Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Natural Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 <http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp>

THE EMPIRICAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN TRADING VOLUME, RETURNS AND VOLATILITY

DR. BAL KRISHAN
PROFESSOR
DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
HIMACHAL PRADESH UNIVERSITY
SHIMLA

DR. REKHA GUPTA
ASST. PROFESSOR
GOVERNMENT P. G. COLLEGE
UNA

ABSTRACT

This paper examines the causal and dynamic relationship between stock returns and trading volume for six indices. For this purpose Unit root test, Granger Causality Tests, VAR and GARCH (1,1) have been used. There is strong evidence of asymmetry in the relationship between the volatilities and trading volume; trading volume and volatilities are important in predicting their future dynamics as well as those of the return, but return has a very limited impact on the future dynamics of trading volume. The Granger-causality test shows the evidence of unidirectional causality running from volume to return for almost indices. This study also shows that data related to return, trading volume and volatilities are stationarity. According to GARCH model values of parameters are more than .90. Therefore, weak form efficiency does not exist in Indian stock market. It concludes that lagged trading volume and volatilities contain information which can be useful in predicting current stock returns.

KEYWORDS

stock returns, trading volume, six indices.

INTRODUCTION

In a stock market, relationship between return, volatility and trading volume are more important, because this empirical relationship helps in understanding the competing theories of dissemination of information flow into the market. This may also help in event (informational event/liquidity event) studies by improving the construction of test and its validity. This relationship is also critical in assessing the empirical distribution of returns as many financial models are based on an assumed distribution of return series. Similar to returns and volume, considerable attention has also been given to understand the relationship between volatility and trading volume of an asset by the researchers.

Understanding the relationship between returns, volatility and trading volume in financial markets is equally important for traders, researchers and policy makers. The distribution of returns has implications for various financial models and risk management practices. The dynamic relationship between returns and trading volume helps to understand the market clearing process and frictions in the market. Also, implications trading volume in forecasting volatility help segments like traders, with a very short term investment horizon and many portfolio managers that have a medium to long term investment horizon. In emerging markets, in Indian stock market context specifically, very few empirical studies have been reported on mentioned issues. This paper reports an empirical study for Indian Stock market.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

There have been number of empirical studies in developed markets that provide evidence on their relationship between trading volume and stock returns. In the sequential information arrival model (arrival model and distribution model), the flow of information to the market participants is considered to be asymmetric (i.e., the new information is not disseminated to all market participants simultaneously); the model allows several intermediate equilibrium positions before the final market equilibrium is reached. Due to this sequential information flow, lagged trading volume may contain information that can be useful in predicting current stock returns and lagged stock returns may contain information that can be useful in predicting current trading volume. This suggests a positive causality between trading volume and stock returns running from either direction. The mixture of distribution model suggests a unidirectional causality from trading volume to stock returns. In this model, Epps (1976) uses trading volume to measure disagreement among traders; investors revise their reservation prices when the new information reaches the market and the level of trading volume increases as the degree of disagreement among market participants widens. Karpoff (1987) provides a comprehensive review of theoretical and empirical work together with reasons for the importance of understanding this relationship. Researchers in this area have examined the volume-price/return relationship in a variety of contexts by employing a range of analytical techniques. Chordia and Swaminathan (2000) examine the interrelationship between trading volume and the predictability of short-term stock returns. They find that daily and weekly returns of high volume portfolios lead returns of low volume portfolios. The authors attribute these findings to the differences in the speed of price adjustment to information between the two types of stocks; stocks in low volume portfolios respond slowly to market-wide information while their high volume counterparts responding promptly to such information. Sarika and Balwinder (2008) found the causality test support the sequentially arrival of information hypothesis, which implies that new information is not simultaneously available to all traders and it takes time to absorb, which hamper the price discovery efficiency of the market. Thus, volume provides information on the precision and dispersion of information signals rather than saving as a proxy for the information signal itself. This paper investigates the causal and dynamic relationship between stock returns and trading volume for six indices.

HYPOTHESIS

To address the objective of the study and after the review of literature, the following hypotheses are formulated and put on test using collected data.

Hypothesis 1: Existence of Unit Root (non stationarity) in stock indices;

Hypothesis 2: No causality is found between returns and volume (return and volatility);

Hypothesis 3: No dynamic relationship is measured between returns and trading volume and volatility and trading volume; and

Hypothesis 4: There is no effect of conditional volatility.

DATA AND RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This paper investigates the relationship between stock indices trading volume, returns and volume and volatilities. For this purpose the data for the six indices NSE NIFTY (1997 to 2010), BANK NIFTY (2006 to 2010), CNX10001 (2006 to 2010), CNX MIDCAP (2006 to 2010), CNX NIFTY JUNIOR (1997 to 2010) and S&P CNX50001 (1999 to 2010) are retrieved from NSE India website. The dataset used in this study comprises of the daily equity indices and the corresponding trading volume series. Share price of each stock index is obtained as:

$$\text{Share price} = \frac{P_H + P_L}{2}$$

P_H = Highest market price during the day;

P_L = Lowest market price during the day.

The daily return of the stock markets is calculated as:

$$R_t = \ln(P_t - P_{t-1})$$

Following methods are used to test stationarity of time series, causalities and effect of conditional volatility.

Testing for stationarity is done by using both the Augmented Dickey-Fuller and the Phillips-Perron tests. Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test is most frequently used test of unit root. It is based on simple logic. A non-stationary process has infinite memory as it does not show decay in a shock that takes place in the process. Every random shock carries away the process from its earlier level not to return back again unless another random shock push it towards its previous level. PP Test performs a Phillips-Perron univariate unit root test. This test assumes that the true underlying process is a unit root process with drift. A statistical approach proposed by Clive W Granger (1969) to infer cause and effect relationship between two or more time series is known as Granger causality. Granger

Causality is based on the simple logic that effect cannot precede cause. It is important to note that the statement “ X Granger causes Y ” does not imply that Y is the effect or the result of X . Granger causality measures precedence and information contents. For causality Test, Granger test is used. The vector auto regression (VAR) test is commonly used for forecasting systems of interrelated time series and for analyzing the dynamic impact of random disturbances on the system of variables. VAR process assumes that white noise is independently distributed with zero mean. To test the conditional variance effect GARCH (1, 1) is used.

RESULTS

AUGMENTED DICKEY-FULLER TEST

Augmented Dickey-Fuller and Phillips-Perron tests are used to test a time series for stationarity. Augmented Dickey-Fuller test statistic for six indices is presented in table-I. It can be concluded that ADF statistic are significant at one and five per cent level. Null hypotheses about the existence of a unit root can be rejected for all indices, using intercept term and intercept and trend in the test equation at the level form. It reveals that null hypotheses are rejected. It can be concluded that all indices are stationarity in their level forms.

PHILLIPS-PERRON TEST

The Phillips-Perron test provides an alternative way for checking the stationarity of a time series. Table-II shows output related to PP test. This indicates that alternative hypotheses are accepted, statistics are significant at one and five per cent level. It reveals that the time series of various stock indices under study are stationarity at their level form. Both tests are supporting the stationarity of indices.

GRANGER CAUSALITY TEST

Granger Causality Test involves examining the short run cause and effect relationship. The results of this test are summarized in table-III. It depicts that returns are insignificantly affected by trading volume of almost indices (except CNX MID CAP), but trading volume has significant effect on return up to one day lag. But it presents different results, when analysis is done on volatility and trading volume. It states the two-way causality between volatility and trading volume of all indices. It can be said that preceding information related to return volatility (trading volume) has significant effect.

VECTOR AUTO REGRESSION MODEL

VAR test has been used for testing the effect of lagged variables such as return (volatility) and trading volume. The results of this model are presented in table-IV. It depicts that trading volume of NSE Nifty, CNX 10001, CNX MID, CNX NIFTY JUNIOR and S&P CNX 50001 have significant effect on returns up to one day lag. Thus, trading volume is also significantly affected by return (CNX MID CAP, BANK NIFTY AND CNX NIFTY JUNIOR). It reveals that there is lagged relationship between these two variables.

The autoregressive coefficient of volatilities on past volume and autoregressive coefficient of volume on past volatilities are also presented in table-IV. It indicates that trading volume and volatility have significant effect of six indices up to one day lag. These results provide evidence that in Indian market, information is processed sequentially.

GARCH MODEL

In order to investigate the effect of trading volume and conditional volatilities, time series of all stock returns are using GARCH (1, 1) model with a volume parameter in the mean equation. The results of this model are reported in table-V. It reveals that coefficient of trading volume is positive, but significant only in case of CNX1001 and CNX MID CAP. Further significant ARCH and GARCH coefficient indicate that conditional variance is affected by lagged variance, which implies that previous information shocks significantly affect current return. It also shows that parameters are positive and significant at one and five per cent level, the sum of parameters of all indices are greater than 0.90.

Further, GARCH (1, 1) is also studied in case of volume and volatilities relationship which is presented in table-V. It shows that there is positive impact of trading volume and parameters are positive and significant. It reveals that Indian stock market is not efficient in weak form.

CONCLUSION

This study investigates relationship between the stock price and trading volume. The findings of the present study are as follows:

- Data of all indices are stationarity.
- The findings indicate a statistically significant causality running from trading volume to return for NSE Nifty, CNX 10001, CNX Mid, CNX Nifty junior and S&P CNX 50001. On the other hand, a significant causal effect from stock returns to trading volume is detected only for CNX MID CAP, BANK NIFTY AND CNX NIFTY JUNIOR.
- The results of relation between trading volume and conditional volatility support strong contemporaneous relationship between trading volume and conditional volatility. It indicates that parameter related to ARCH and GARCH are significant and more than 0.90. Therefore, the trading volume is a better proxy of information than the return itself.

On comparing the present study with the previous studies (Blume), it has been found that there is quite variation in the results. The results of this study fully support the Epps model.

REFERENCES

1. Epps, T.W., and Epps, M.L. (1976), “The stochastic dependence of security price changes and transaction volumes: implications for the Mixture-of-distributions hypothesis” *Econometrica*, 44: 305-321.
2. Karpoff, J.M. (1987), “The relations between price changes and trading volume: A survey”, *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, 22: 109-126.
3. Sarika and Balwinder (2008), “An empirical analysis of stock price-volume relationship in Indian stock market”, *Vision*, pp. 1-13.
4. Swaminathan, (2000), “Price momentum and Trading Volume”, *The Journal of Finance*, 55.5, pp.1017-1069.

TABLES

TABLE I: UNIT ROOT TEST RESULTS

| Augmented Dickey-Fuller test | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|------------|---------|
| Variables | Return | | Volume | | Volatility | |
| | Statistic | p value | Statistic | p value | Statistic | p value |
| with constant | -27.5566 | 0 | -2.6166 | 0.0897 | -13.8666 | 0 |
| with constant and trend | -27.5637 | 0 | -3.57437 | 0.0322 | -13.9158 | 0 |
| with constant | -25.6746 | 0 | -2.87581 | 0.0485 | -18.029 | 0 |
| with constant and trend | -25.6719 | 0 | -4.52881 | 0.0014 | -18.0273 | 0 |
| with constant | -27.5686 | 0 | -3.87278 | 0.0023 | -21.7908 | 0 |
| with constant and trend | -27.5614 | 0 | -4.53801 | 0.0013 | -21.8023 | 0 |
| with constant | -27.0517 | 0 | -3.54911 | 0.007 | -19.8809 | 0 |
| with constant and trend | -27.0573 | 0 | -6.85413 | 0 | -19.9188 | 0 |
| with constant | -29.9117 | 0 | -3.08659 | 0.0277 | -12.6827 | 0 |
| with constant and trend | -29.908 | 0 | -5.28906 | 0 | -12.6842 | 0 |
| with constant | -35.3006 | 0 | -2.88494 | 0.0472 | -28.1761 | 0 |
| with constant and trend | -35.2966 | 0 | -5.04899 | 0.0002 | -28.175 | 0 |

TABLE II: UNIT ROOT TEST RESULTS

PHILLIPS-PERRON TEST

| Variables | | Return | | Volume | | Volatility | |
|------------------|-------------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|------------|---------|
| | | Statistic | p value | Statistic | p value | Statistic | p value |
| NSE NIFTY | with constant | -38.0088 | 0 | -11.5809 | 0 | -41.33 | 0 |
| | with constant and trend | -37.9463 | 0 | -21.4595 | 0 | -41.2546 | 0 |
| BANK NIFTY | with constant | -25.3705 | 0 | -13.1634 | 0 | -26.3588 | 0 |
| | with constant and trend | -25.3714 | 0 | -15.7125 | 0 | -26.3504 | 0 |
| CNX10001 | with constant | -27.5569 | 0 | -9.58211 | 0 | -22.7189 | 0 |
| | with constant and trend | -27.5437 | 0 | -12.828 | 0 | -22.7174 | 0 |
| CNX MIDCAP | with constant | -27.0931 | 0 | -11.8965 | 0 | -19.7506 | 0 |
| | with constant and trend | -27.0917 | 0 | -15.7179 | 0 | -19.7879 | 0 |
| CNX NIFTY JUNIOR | with constant | -44.5331 | 0.0001 | -9.55507 | 0 | -47.4581 | 0.0001 |
| | with constant and trend | -44.5268 | 0 | -25.3097 | 0 | -47.4467 | 0 |
| S&P CNX 50001 | with constant | -42.472 | 0 | -6.64107 | 0 | -44.4229 | 0.0001 |
| | with constant and trend | -42.4651 | 0 | -20.8054 | 0 | -44.413 | 0 |

TABLE III: GRANGER CAUSALITY RESULTS

| VARIABLES | NULL HYPOTHESIS | F-STATISTIC | P-VALUE | NULL HYPOTHESIS | F-STATISTIC | P-VALUE |
|------------------|----------------------------|-------------|----------|------------------------------|-------------|----------|
| NSE NIFTY | V does not Granger Cause R | 0.58943 | 0.4427 | V does not Granger Cause R*R | 19.8535** | 9.00E-06 |
| | R does not Granger Cause V | 21.9587** | 3.00E-06 | R*R does not Granger Cause V | 7.36249** | 0.0067 |
| BANK NIFTY | V does not Granger Cause R | 3.59219 | 0.0583 | V does not Granger Cause R*R | 33.5508 | 9.00E-09 |
| | R does not Granger Cause V | 0.41685 | 0.5186 | R*R does not Granger Cause V | 49.3849 | 4.00E-12 |
| CNX10001 | V does not Granger Cause R | 1.49939 | 0.221 | V does not Granger Cause R*R | 7.84482 | 0.0052 |
| | R does not Granger Cause V | 32.3454** | 2.00E-08 | R*R does not Granger Cause V | 43.4875 | 7.00E-11 |
| CNX MIDCAP | V does not Granger Cause R | 7.4245** | 0.0065 | V does not Granger Cause R*R | 0.65303 | 0.4192 |
| | R does not Granger Cause V | 18.2498** | 2.00E-05 | R*R does not Granger Cause V | 5.64092 | 0.0177 |
| CNX NIFTY JUNIOR | V does not Granger Cause R | 2.58063 | 0.1083 | V does not Granger Cause R*R | 7.65915 | 0.0057 |
| | R does not Granger Cause V | 11.4641** | 0.0007 | R*R does not Granger Cause V | 10.1638 | 0.0014 |
| S&P CNX 50001 | V does not Granger Cause R | 1.33599 | 0.2478 | V does not Granger Cause R*R | 6.24317 | 0.0125 |
| | R does not Granger Cause V | 47.8989** | 6.00E-12 | R*R does not Granger Cause V | 71.678 | 4.00E-17 |

V-VOLUME
R-RETURN
R*R-VOLATILITY



TABLE IV: VECTOR AUTOREGRESSION ESTIMATES

| | | t-statistics in [] | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| | R | V | R*R | V | R*R | V | R | V | R*R | V | | | |
| NSE NIFTY | R(-1) | 0.314726 [16.0350] | 1.72E+08 [4.05114] | R*R(-1) | 0.331683 [16.9106] | 3.88E+09 [4.23112] | BANK NIFTY | R(-1) | 0.271425 [8.99440] | 1617233 [0.19252] | R*R(-1) | 0.258289 [8.54027] | 1.09E+09 [7.06778] |
| | R(-2) | -0.13598 [-6.58187] | 7336790 [0.16387] | R*R(-2) | 0.055003 [2.66477] | ##### [-0.55235] | | R(-2) | -0.08106 [-2.59656] | 5560613 [0.63991] | R*R(-2) | 0.042521 [1.33730] | ##### [-2.44779] |
| | R(-3) | 0.043613 [2.09539] | -1.4E+07 [-0.30388] | R*R(-3) | -0.01631 [-0.79041] | 4.03E+08 [0.41749] | | R(-3) | 0.049931 [1.59660] | -1.5E+07 [-1.66889] | R*R(-3) | -1.62E-02 [-0.50821] | 1.75E+08 [1.07463] |
| | R(-4) | -0.00013 [-0.00613] | 11807455 [0.26413] | R*R(-4) | 0.069809 [3.39013] | ##### [-2.47925] | | R(-4) | -0.05678 [-1.81883] | -1.2E+07 [-1.34840] | R*R(-4) | 5.85E-02 [1.83338] | ##### [-1.87682] |
| | R(-5) | -0.03748 [-1.90680] | 2099382 [0.04929] | R*R(-5) | 0.038407 [1.95583] | ##### [-1.57758] | | R(-5) | -0.05676 [-1.88220] | -1916111 [-0.22825] | R*R(-5) | -1.81E-02 [-0.58669] | ##### [-1.98026] |
| | V(-1) | -3.11E-12 [-0.34752] | 0.502973 [25.9134] | V(-1) | 1.37E-12 [3.29259] | 0.493734 [25.4424] | | V(-1) | 2.15E-10 [2.01770] | 0.403816 [13.6142] | V(-1) | 1.45E-11 [2.50707] | 0.410418 [13.8883] |
| | V(-2) | 6.97E-12 [0.69595] | 0.101384 [4.67099] | V(-2) | -1.12E-13 [-0.24326] | 0.096116 [4.45000] | | V(-2) | -1.92E-10 [-1.66266] | 0.188765 [5.87936] | V(-2) | 3.16E-12 [0.50297] | 0.162146 [5.04768] |
| | V(-3) | 2.86E-12 [0.28548] | 0.09142 [4.20810] | V(-3) | -8.73E-13 [-1.88912] | 0.097724 [4.52483] | | V(-3) | 1.39E-10 [1.18757] | 0.098651 [3.03579] | V(-3) | 7.99E-13 [0.12628] | 0.10914 [3.37752] |
| | V(-4) | 2.82E-12 [0.201178] | 0.100566 [4.63176] | V(-4) | 6.45E-13 [1.39488] | 0.108356 [5.01137] | | V(-4) | 4.72E-11 [0.40870] | 0.042895 [1.33456] | V(-4) | -1.41E-13 [-0.02247] | 0.043561 [1.86055] |
| | V(-5) | -5.14E-12 [-0.57417] | 0.167997 [8.66566] | V(-5) | -5.25E-13 [-1.26748] | 0.169891 [8.77636] | | V(-5) | -1.27E-10 [-1.19480] | 0.196543 [6.62500] | V(-5) | -1.84E-12 [-0.32553] | 0.199143 [6.88382] |
| C | -9.01E-05 [-0.18573] | 3925424 [3.73253] | C | 5.77E-05 [2.55534] | 3878217 [3.67420] | C | -0.00076 [-0.56211] | 1329910 [3.54855] | C | 4.40E-05 [0.61427] | 1299178 [3.55197] | | |
| CNX10001 | R(-1) | 0.201016 [6.62148] | 5.03E+08 [4.68869] | R*R(-1) | 0.404418 [13.3013] | 1.44E+10 [7.32829] | CNX MIDCAP | R(-1) | 0.194589 [6.43335] | 1.54E+08 [4.73581] | R*R(-1) | 0.506734 [16.7515] | 1.84E+09 [3.16929] |
| | R(-2) | -0.07136 [-2.27722] | 2.06E+08 [1.85603] | R*R(-2) | -0.02676 [-0.79479] | ##### [-2.92587] | | R(-2) | -0.03925 [-1.26384] | 51164291 [1.29391] | R*R(-2) | -0.07599 [-2.23318] | ##### [3.37752] |
| | R(-3) | 0.027128 [0.86322] | -7.5E+07 [-0.67279] | R*R(-3) | 0.00823 [0.24353] | 3.92E+09 [1.79093] | | R(-3) | 0.043542 [1.40562] | -3.8E+07 [-1.12885] | R*R(-3) | 5.11E-03 [0.14986] | ##### [-0.31895] |
| | R(-4) | -0.03258 [-1.03865] | 1.26E+08 [1.13203] | R*R(-4) | 0.081393 [2.41367] | ##### [-2.11387] | | R(-4) | -0.00843 [-0.27240] | 41325578 [1.29391] | R*R(-4) | 5.99E-02 [1.76284] | ##### [-0.40935] |
| | R(-5) | -0.05138 [-1.67104] | -4.7E+07 [-0.43087] | R*R(-5) | -0.01649 [-0.53133] | ##### [-0.82684] | | R(-5) | -0.04091 [-1.34637] | -3.3E+07 [-0.99483] | R*R(-5) | -0.02553 [-0.84149] | ##### [-1.21723] |
| | V(-1) | 1.52E-11 [1.78097] | 0.493455 [16.3834] | V(-1) | 1.75E-12 [3.76246] | 0.515708 [17.1095] | | V(-1) | 1.04E-10 [3.71938] | 0.47098 [15.5860] | V(-1) | 4.04E-12 [2.56325] | 0.48786 [16.1585] |
| | V(-2) | -7.03E-12 [-0.74077] | 0.130732 [3.89372] | V(-2) | -1.03E-12 [-1.95219] | 0.083126 [2.43514] | | V(-2) | -1.24E-11 [-0.40135] | 0.193928 [5.82783] | V(-2) | -3.18E-12 [-1.81665] | 0.196972 [5.86648] |
| | V(-3) | -1.37E-12 [-0.14374] | 0.068657 [2.03553] | V(-3) | -5.09E-13 [-0.96532] | 0.112528 [3.29814] | | V(-3) | -2.71E-11 [-0.86537] | 0.048947 [1.45177] | V(-3) | -1.32E-12 [-0.74093] | 0.05741 [1.68387] |
| | V(-4) | 2.23E-12 [0.23535] | 0.11211 [3.34203] | V(-4) | 7.79E-13 [1.48218] | 0.095958 [2.82192] | | V(-4) | 6.60E-12 [0.21421] | 0.111917 [3.37067] | V(-4) | 1.78E-12 [1.01861] | 1.04E-01 [3.08779] |
| | V(-5) | -4.84E-12 [-0.57046] | 0.132256 [4.40711] | V(-5) | -6.52E-13 [-1.42278] | 0.130958 [4.42029] | | V(-5) | -4.77E-11 [-1.71382] | 0.070154 [2.33746] | V(-5) | -1.40E-12 [-0.88937] | 0.05855 [1.94516] |
| C | -0.00069 [-0.51519] | 17339003 [3.67388] | C | 9.04E-05 [1.25491] | 15503245 [3.32494] | C | -0.00148 [-0.95993] | 8517667 [5.14052] | C | 0.000188 [2.17987] | 7982406 [4.82918] | | |
| CNX NIFTY JUNIOR | R(-1) | 0.273258 [15.7786] | 85329984 [4.33659] | R*R(-1) | 0.332653 [19.2085] | 1.80E+09 [4.68278] | S&P CNX 50001 | R(-1) | 0.229471 [12.1343] | 5.23E+08 [7.44342] | R*R(-1) | 0.286734 [15.0613] | 1.10E+10 [8.02306] |
| | R(-2) | -0.09787 [-5.44008] | 18951385 [0.92715] | R*R(-2) | 0.083137 [4.55516] | ##### [-1.87280] | | R(-2) | -0.07753 [-3.96014] | 2.52E+08 [3.45798] | R*R(-2) | 0.067266 [3.35067] | ##### [-0.74550] |
| | R(-3) | 0.060806 [3.37404] | -1.3E+07 [-0.64712] | R*R(-3) | -0.00283 [-0.15469] | 2.49E+08 [0.61231] | | R(-3) | 0.046468 [2.36698] | -1E+07 [-0.13913] | R*R(-3) | 0.010977 [0.54588] | ##### [-0.26781] |
| | R(-4) | -7.31E-05 [-0.00407] | 23503180 [1.15228] | R*R(-4) | 0.071735 [3.93053] | ##### [-2.42514] | | R(-4) | 0.010735 [0.54832] | 98010995 [1.34676] | R*R(-4) | 0.057469 [2.86635] | ##### [-2.16529] |
| | R(-5) | -0.01816 [-1.04931] | -3.5E+07 [-1.77772] | R*R(-5) | 0.024458 [1.40757] | ##### [-1.23527] | | R(-5) | -0.03455 [-1.81017] | -7.5E+07 [-1.05279] | R*R(-5) | 0.024357 [1.26636] | ##### [-1.63712] |
| | V(-1) | 6.32E-11 [4.17133] | 0.577108 [33.5262] | V(-1) | 1.96E-12 [2.53058] | 0.578834 [33.6140] | | V(-1) | 1.05E-11 [2.08672] | 0.498813 [26.5805] | V(-1) | 8.74E-13 [3.32298] | 0.523928 [27.7278] |
| | V(-2) | -2.64E-11 [-1.50657] | 0.134832 [6.78174] | V(-2) | -7.60E-13 [-0.84914] | 0.134427 [6.76012] | | V(-2) | -5.19E-12 [-0.92416] | 0.157711 [7.56013] | V(-2) | -5.65E-13 [-1.90385] | 0.145334 [6.81903] |
| | V(-3) | -2.11E-11 [-1.20051] | 0.067119 [3.35770] | V(-3) | -8.94E-13 [-0.99296] | 0.071916 [3.59841] | | V(-3) | -3.73E-13 [-0.06595] | 0.049221 [2.33822] | V(-3) | -1.47E-13 [-0.49086] | 0.056941 [2.65153] |
| | V(-4) | 9.65E-12 [0.55127] | 0.076425 [3.84208] | V(-4) | 7.51E-13 [0.83819] | 0.072566 [3.64924] | | V(-4) | 3.11E-12 [0.55463] | 0.140942 [6.75584] | V(-4) | 2.34E-13 [0.78614] | 0.131594 [6.16572] |
| | V(-5) | -2.17E-11 [-1.43044] | 0.108777 [6.31339] | V(-5) | -6.10E-13 [-0.78895] | 0.107531 [6.26537] | | V(-5) | -6.77E-12 [-1.34259] | 0.127777 [6.82006] | V(-5) | -2.88E-13 [-1.09999] | 0.118323 [6.29610] |
| C | 0.000347 [0.78484] | 2013570 [4.00707] | C | 0.000141 [5.96439] | 2070035 [3.95762] | C | 0.000162 [0.30618] | 6712062 [3.41805] | C | 0.000118 [4.20424] | 5647414 [2.80597] | | |

TABLE V: GARCH (1,1)

| Dependent Variable: RETURN and independent variable lag returns (1) and lag TRADING VOLUME (1 – 5) | | | | | Dependent Variable: VOLATILITY and independent variable lag VOLATILITY (1) and lag TRADING VOLUME(1 – 5) | | | | |
|--|-------------|------------|-------------|--------|--|-------------|------------|-------------|--------|
| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | z-Statistic | Prob. | Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | z-Statistic | Prob. |
| NSE NIFTY | | | | | NSE NIFTY | | | | |
| C | 0.000673 | 0.000348 | 1.931602 | 0.0534 | C | 5.77E-05 | 6.52E-05 | 0.884574 | 0.3764 |
| R(-1) | 0.283832 | 0.018642 | 15.22522 | 0 | RR(-1) | 0.331683 | 0.098526 | 3.366467 | 0.0008 |
| V(-1) | 4.23E-12 | 7.03E-12 | 0.601834 | 0.5473 | V(-1) | 1.34E-12 | 6.27E-12 | 0.214304 | 0.8303 |
| V(-2) | 6.16E-12 | 8.85E-12 | 0.695583 | 0.4867 | V(-2) | -1.18E-13 | 6.49E-12 | -0.01823 | 0.9855 |
| V(-3) | -2.24E-12 | 9.36E-12 | -0.23902 | 0.8111 | V(-3) | -8.68E-13 | 1.06E-11 | -0.0816 | 0.935 |
| V(-4) | -3.45E-12 | 9.43E-12 | -0.36622 | 0.7142 | V(-4) | 6.42E-13 | 1.13E-11 | 0.05689 | 0.9546 |
| V(-5) | -1.03E-12 | 7.65E-12 | -0.13432 | 0.8932 | V(-5) | -5.22E-13 | 9.23E-12 | -0.05655 | 0.9549 |
| Variance Equation | | | | | Variance Equation | | | | |
| C | 6.99E-06 | 1.04E-06 | 6.694926 | 0 | C | 2.74E-07 | 4.77E-08 | 5.757176 | 0 |
| RESID(-1)^2 | 0.21871 | 0.014582 | 14.99884 | 0 | RESID(-1)^2 | 0.15 | 0.031795 | 4.71773 | 0 |
| GARCH(-1) | 0.757761 | 0.017538 | 43.20614 | 0 | GARCH(-1) | 0.6 | 0.067465 | 8.893464 | 0 |
| BANK NIFTY | | | | | BANK NIFTY | | | | |
| C | 0.001602 | 0.000591 | 2.708939 | 0.0067 | C | 0.000308 | 1.03E-05 | 29.89529 | 0 |
| R(-1) | 0.260862 | 0.02861 | 9.117713 | 0 | VA(-1) | 0.400266 | 0.025605 | 15.63207 | 0 |
| VA(-1) | 0.623282 | 1.050903 | 0.593092 | 0.5531 | VO(-1) | 5.82E-12 | 1.29E-12 | 4.51347 | 0 |
| VA(-2) | 0.177401 | 1.017258 | 0.174391 | 0.8616 | VO(-2) | -5.57E-12 | 1.35E-12 | -4.11299 | 0 |
| VA(-3) | -0.66311 | 1.130364 | -0.58663 | 0.5575 | VO(-3) | -9.44E-12 | 1.18E-12 | -7.97553 | 0 |
| VA(-4) | 0.127459 | 1.011747 | 0.125979 | 0.8997 | VO(-4) | -2.38E-12 | 1.15E-12 | -2.0618 | 0.0392 |
| VA(-5) | -0.14622 | 1.125909 | -0.12987 | 0.8967 | VO(-5) | -3.87E-12 | 1.33E-12 | -2.90312 | 0.0037 |
| Variance Equation | | | | | Variance Equation | | | | |
| C | 1.06E-05 | 2.86E-06 | 3.711239 | 0.0002 | C | 9.95E-10 | 1.59E-09 | 0.624458 | 0.5323 |
| RESID(-1)^2 | 0.179643 | 0.021799 | 8.240858 | 0 | RESID(-1)^2 | 1.907163 | 0.057069 | 33.41862 | 0 |
| GARCH(-1) | 0.814454 | 0.020987 | 38.80796 | 0 | GARCH(-1) | 0.495384 | 0.007349 | 67.40583 | 0 |
| CNX10001 | | | | | CNX10001 | | | | |
| C | 0.001517 | 0.000869 | 1.745292 | 0.0809 | C | 9.20E-05 | 0.000151 | 0.608768 | 0.5427 |
| R(-1) | 0.229796 | 0.029514 | 7.785975 | 0 | VA(-1) | 0.400587 | 0.134236 | 2.984195 | 0.0028 |
| V(-1) | 1.27E-11 | 6.05E-12 | 2.10574 | 0.0352 | V(-1) | 1.64E-12 | 7.05E-12 | 0.232593 | 0.8161 |
| V(-2) | -2.93E-12 | 7.61E-12 | -0.38537 | 0.7 | V(-2) | -1.01E-12 | 1.37E-11 | -0.07349 | 0.9414 |
| V(-3) | -9.43E-12 | 7.91E-12 | -1.19244 | 0.2331 | V(-3) | -3.46E-13 | 1.56E-11 | -0.02218 | 0.9823 |
| V(-4) | 5.94E-12 | 7.08E-12 | 0.838478 | 0.4018 | V(-4) | 5.42E-13 | 2.20E-11 | 0.024649 | 0.9803 |
| V(-5) | -6.72E-12 | 6.35E-12 | -1.05755 | 0.2903 | V(-5) | -5.77E-13 | 2.25E-11 | -0.02571 | 0.9795 |
| Variance Equation | | | | | Variance Equation | | | | |
| C | 6.38E-06 | 1.67E-06 | 3.822605 | 0.0001 | C | 6.17E-07 | 1.25E-07 | 4.938036 | 0 |
| RESID(-1)^2 | 0.250704 | 0.02368 | 10.58717 | 0 | RESID(-1)^2 | 0.15 | 0.034817 | 4.308274 | 0 |
| GARCH(-1) | 0.762749 | 0.022472 | 33.94275 | 0 | GARCH(-1) | 0.6 | 0.077502 | 7.741706 | 0 |
| CNX MIDCAP | | | | | CNX MIDCAP | | | | |
| C | 0.002101 | 0.000907 | 2.316362 | 0.0205 | C | 5.44E-05 | 1.04E-05 | 5.24504 | 0 |
| SERIES01(-1) | 0.208078 | 0.032324 | 6.43727 | 0 | SERIES03(-1) | 0.473558 | 0.041721 | 11.35053 | 0 |
| SERIES02(-1) | 4.67E-11 | 1.93E-11 | 2.423512 | 0.0154 | SERIES02(-1) | -8.80E-13 | 2.57E-13 | -3.42354 | 0.0006 |
| SERIES02(-2) | 1.47E-11 | 2.03E-11 | 0.722403 | 0.47 | SERIES02(-2) | 7.11E-13 | 3.53E-13 | 2.012137 | 0.0442 |
| SERIES02(-3) | -4.70E-11 | 1.75E-11 | -2.6932 | 0.0071 | SERIES02(-3) | -1.31E-12 | 3.85E-13 | -3.40439 | 0.0007 |
| SERIES02(-4) | 7.19E-12 | 1.97E-11 | 0.365115 | 0.715 | SERIES02(-4) | 5.64E-13 | 2.31E-13 | 2.440211 | 0.0147 |
| SERIES02(-5) | -2.63E-11 | 1.79E-11 | -1.4713 | 0.1412 | SERIES02(-5) | 9.18E-13 | 1.83E-13 | 5.010849 | 0 |
| Variance Equation | | | | | Variance Equation | | | | |
| C | 8.76E-06 | 2.19E-06 | 3.994918 | 0.0001 | C | 2.58E-08 | 1.24E-09 | 20.77307 | 0 |
| RESID(-1)^2 | 0.306522 | 0.031114 | 9.851617 | 0 | RESID(-1)^2 | 2.188296 | 0.066769 | 32.77411 | 0 |
| GARCH(-1) | 0.706557 | 0.025327 | 27.89688 | 0 | GARCH(-1) | 0.308906 | 0.010521 | 29.35983 | 0 |
| CNX NIFTY JUNIOR | | | | | CNX NIFTY JUNIOR | | | | |
| C | 0.001101 | 0.000246 | 4.480098 | 0 | C | 7.67E-05 | 2.98E-06 | 25.6919 | 0 |
| R(-1) | 0.267961 | 0.017859 | 15.00466 | 0 | VA(-1) | 0.358345 | 0.015028 | 23.84592 | 0 |
| VA(-1) | 0.447071 | 0.656529 | 0.680961 | 0.4959 | VO(-1) | 1.50E-13 | 1.33E-13 | 1.123685 | 0.2611 |
| VA(-2) | 0.945858 | 0.738191 | 1.281318 | 0.2001 | VO(-2) | -1.06E-12 | 1.56E-13 | -6.80258 | 0 |
| VA(-3) | 0.635843 | 0.703737 | 0.903524 | 0.3662 | VO(-3) | -1.25E-12 | 1.80E-13 | -6.90943 | 0 |
| VA(-4) | -0.9947 | 0.714456 | -1.39225 | 0.1638 | VO(-4) | 1.97E-12 | 1.55E-13 | 12.69614 | 0 |
| VA(-5) | -0.09333 | 0.686028 | -0.13604 | 0.8918 | VO(-5) | 5.42E-14 | 1.25E-13 | 0.43538 | 0.6633 |
| Variance Equation | | | | | Variance Equation | | | | |
| C | 8.06E-06 | 1.12E-06 | 7.178415 | 0 | C | 1.09E-08 | 3.42E-10 | 31.91224 | 0 |
| RESID(-1)^2 | 0.206142 | 0.0136 | 15.15792 | 0 | RESID(-1)^2 | 1.215973 | 0.022654 | 53.67494 | 0 |
| GARCH(-1) | 0.780556 | 0.013592 | 57.42706 | 0 | GARCH(-1) | 0.50734 | 0.005554 | 91.35233 | 0 |
| S&P CNX 50001 | | | | | S&P CNX 50001 | | | | |
| C | 0.001339 | 0.000239 | 5.611245 | 0 | C | 0.000142 | 7.16E-05 | 1.988379 | 0.0468 |
| R(-1) | 0.23086 | 1.91E-02 | 1.21E+01 | 0 | VA(-1) | 0.319564 | 0.080822 | 3.953928 | 0.0001 |
| VA(-1) | 0.578375 | 0.86686 | 0.667208 | 0.5046 | VO(-1) | 1.03E-12 | 8.11E-12 | 0.126869 | 0.899 |
| VA(-2) | 0.794707 | 9.23E-01 | 8.61E-01 | 0.3892 | VO(-2) | -6.98E-13 | 1.07E-11 | -0.06546 | 0.9478 |
| VA(-3) | 0.443599 | 0.771689 | 0.574841 | 0.5654 | VO(-3) | 7.52E-15 | 1.46E-11 | 0.000517 | 0.9996 |
| VA(-4) | -1.03462 | 0.850514 | -1.21646 | 0.2238 | VO(-4) | 1.46E-13 | 1.53E-11 | 0.009505 | 0.9924 |
| VA(-5) | 0.180756 | 0.785644 | 0.230074 | 0.818 | VO(-5) | -3.42E-13 | 1.54E-11 | -0.02214 | 0.9823 |
| Variance Equation | | | | | Variance Equation | | | | |
| C | 7.68E-06 | 9.85E-07 | 7.792479 | 0 | C | 4.45E-07 | 5.75E-08 | 7.73439 | 0 |
| RESID(-1)^2 | 0.221488 | 0.012815 | 17.28351 | 0 | RESID(-1)^2 | 0.15 | 0.024356 | 6.158589 | 0 |
| GARCH(-1) | 0.765754 | 0.014338 | 53.4072 | 0 | GARCH(-1) | 0.6 | 0.05143 | 11.66645 | 0 |

REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

Dear Readers

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce and Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue as well as on the journal as a whole, on our E-mails i.e. **infoijrcm@gmail.com** or **info@ijrcm.org.in** for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries please feel free to contact us on our E-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-

Co-ordinator

ABOUT THE JOURNAL

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active co-operation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.

Our Other Journals

