

# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE & MANAGEMENT

I  
J  
R  
C  
M



A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

*Indexed & Listed at:*

Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, ProQuest, U.S.A., EBSCO Publishing, U.S.A., Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A.

Open J-Gate, India [link of the same is duly available at Inlibnet of University Grants Commission (U.G.C.)],

Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland with IC Value of 5.09 & number of libraries all around the world.

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than 2255 Cities in 155 countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

Ground Floor, Building No. 1041-C-1, Devi Bhawan Bazar, JAGADHRI – 135 003, Yamunanagar, Haryana, INDIA

<http://ijrcm.org.in/>

# CONTENTS

Sr. No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page No.
1.	THE CONTRIBUTION OF FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT PRACTICES TO FINANCIAL CHALLENGES FACING LOCAL AUTHORITIES IN SERVICE DELIVERY IN KENYA JOHN NTOITI, ROSELYN W. GAKURE, DR. ANTONY WAITITU & DR. MOUNI GEKARA	1
2.	OUTBOUND INDIAN TOURIST'S PERCEPTION OF SINGAPORE TOURISM : A LESSON FOR INDIAN TOURISM DR. RAVINDER VINAYEK & ARCHANA BHATIA	14
3.	EVALUATION OF PRODUCTIVITY AND PROFITABILITY OF SELECT SCHEDULED COMMERCIAL BANKS IN INDIA DR. U.JERINABI & LALITHA DEVI.T	22
4.	CHARACTERISTICS OF LAISSEZ-FAIRE LEADERSHIP STYLE: A CASE STUDY DR. L. JIBON KUMAR SHARMA & DR. S. KESHORJIT SINGH	29
5.	TOTAL QUALITY MANAGEMENT AND CREATIVE THINKING IN THE HEALTH CARE INDUSTRY DR. CHRIS EHIOTBUCHÉ	32
6.	IMPACT OF CSR INITIATIVES ON CONSUMER BEHAVIOR PUSHKALA MURALIDHARAN, DR. C. MADHAVI & DR. SITALAKSHMI RAMANAN	37
7.	CAPITAL STRUCTURE (DEBT-EQUITY) OF INDIAN REAL-ESTATE INDUSTRY (IREI): A STUDY K KISHORE KUMAR REDDY & C. SIVARAMI REDDY	42
8.	CONSUMER BEHAVIOR, ATTITUDE & COGNITIVE DISSONANCE TOWARDS MWO: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY WITH SPECIFIC RELEVANCE TO URBAN MIDDLE CLASS OF KOCHI ANIL KUMAR.N & DR. JELSY JOSEPH	47
9.	VENTURE CAPITAL INVESTMENTS IN INDIA SRINIVAS K T	57
10.	CHILDREN'S UNDERSTANDING OF TV ADVERTISING: A STUDY OF THE CHILDREN IN DELHI AND NCR ZUHAIB MUSTAFA, DR. RESHMA NASREEN & DR. FAROOQ AHMAD SHAH	61
11.	IMPACT OF CELEBRITIES ADVERTISEMENT TOWARDS COLLEGE STUDENTS S.JEYARADHA & DR. K. KAMALAKANNAN	66
12.	DETERMINANTS OF PROFITABILITY OF COMMERCIAL BANKS IN INDIA DR. UPASNA JOSHI & NEETI KHULLAR	71
13.	A STUDY ON ENVIRONMENT FRIENDLY MARKETING AVINASH PAREEK & DR. SATYAM PINCHA	77
14.	EMERGING TRENDS OF UNIVERSAL BANKING IN INDIA RENU SINGLA & KALIKA JAIN	81
15.	THE IMPACT OF THE PERCEIVED QUALITY, CUSTOMER SATISFACTION, BRAND TRUST AND CONTEXTUAL FACTORS ON BRAND LOYALTY MUHAMMAD RIZWAN, ALI USMAN, TAJAMUL HUSSAIN, AKASHA SHAFIQ, SANA RAUF & QURAT UL AIAN AYAZ	83
16.	HOW TO REMOVE THE UNCERTAINTY CONCERNING THE CONTINUITY OF ENTITY: THE STRONGEST EVIDENCE FROM THE VIEW POINT OF THE AUDITORS IN SAUDI ARABIA DR. BADI SALEM ALRAWASHDEH	90
17.	EMPIRICAL INVESTIGATION OF SERVICE QUALITY IN RETAIL BANKING: COMPARISON OF STATE BANK OF INDIA AND ICICI BANK, AHMADABAD RASHI M. GOPLANI	93
18.	CONSUMER LITERACY REGARDING CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT, 1986 SUDESH KHARB	97
19.	A STUDY ON ETHICAL ASPECTS OF ACCOUNTING PROFESSION- AN EXPLORATORY RESEARCH IN MSMEs CHANDRA HARIHARAN IYER & DR. G.RAVINDRAN	105
20.	THE ROLES AND CHALLENGES OF SHARE COMPANIES IN ETHIOPIA NIGUS ABERA	110
21.	CONSUMER MARKET PURCHASE DECISION: A STUDY ON DURABLE GOODS IN JAFFNA DISTRICT SATHANA.VAIKUNTHAVASAN	115
22.	RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE: EVIDENCE FROM INDIAN STOCK MARKET POOJA V. MEHTA	121
23.	AN EMPIRICAL STUDY ON FACTORS AFFECTING CONSUMER SATISFACTION IRSHAD AHMAD BHAT, NAFEEES AHMAD RATHER & FAROOQ AHMAD MIR	125
24.	RISKS IN HOUSING FINANCE THE COMMERCIAL BANKS EXPOSED TO – AN OVERVIEW RAJU D	129
25.	THE CAPITAL STRUCTURE PUZZLE SHIVI KHANNA	134
26.	FOREIGN DIRECT INVESTMENT IN INDIA: A CRITICAL ANALYSIS BHUSHAN AZAD	137
27.	CONSTRAINTS IN FINANCING OF SMEs: A CONCEPTUAL PAPER IN THE PERSPECTIVE OF PAKISTAN AAMIR AZEEM & ASMA RAFIQUE CHUGHTAI	143
28.	A SIMPLE PRICING MODEL FOR CALL OPTIONS TRADED IN NSE NIFTY OPTION MARKET: THEORY, MODEL & EMPIRICAL TEST JAYAPALAN.C	147
29.	AFFECT OF SALES PROMOTIONAL TOOLS ON PURCHASE INTENTIONS OF CONSUMERS MUHAMMAD RIZWAN, MUHAMMAD ATIF MAHAR, NOMAN SHOUKAT, RANA ZEESHAN JAVID, GULAN KHAN, MUHAMMAD DILSHAD BHATTI & MUSAB MAMOON KHICHI	156
30.	SOCIAL MEDIA MARKETING: AN IMPORTANT PHASE IN MODERN BUSINESS MANAGEMENT MAHESH L & DR. AMULYA.M	162
	REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK	165

## CHIEF PATRON

**PROF. K. K. AGGARWAL**

Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Delhi  
Founder Vice-Chancellor, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi  
Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

## FOUNDER PATRON

**LATE SH. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL**

Former State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana  
Former Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri  
Former President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

## CO-ORDINATOR

**DR. SAMBHAV GARG**

Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Business & Management, Urjani

## ADVISORS

**DR. PRIYA RANJAN TRIVEDI**

Chancellor, The Global Open University, Nagaland

**PROF. M. S. SENAM RAJU**

Director A. C. D., School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

**PROF. M. N. SHARMA**

Chairman, M.B.A., Haryana College of Technology & Management, Kaithal

**PROF. S. L. MAHANDRU**

Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

## EDITOR

**PROF. R. K. SHARMA**

Professor, Bharti Vidyapeeth University Institute of Management & Research, New Delhi

## CO-EDITOR

**DR. BHAVET**

Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Business & Management, Urjani

## EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

**DR. RAJESH MODI**

Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

**PROF. SANJIV MITTAL**

University School of Management Studies, Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

**PROF. ANIL K. SAINI**

Chairperson (CRC), Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

**DR. SAMBHAVNA**

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

**DR. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA**

Associate Professor, P.J.L.N.Government College, Faridabad

**DR. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE**

Asst. Professor, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

## *ASSOCIATE EDITORS*

**PROF. NAWAB ALI KHAN**

Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

**PROF. ABHAY BANSAL**

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity University, Noida

**PROF. V. SELVAM**

SSL, VIT University, Vellore

**PROF. N. SUNDARAM**

VIT University, Vellore

**DR. PARDEEP AHLAWAT**

Associate Professor, Institute of Management Studies & Research, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak

**DR. S. TABASSUM SULTANA**

Associate Professor, Department of Business Management, Matrusri Institute of P.G. Studies, Hyderabad

## *TECHNICAL ADVISOR*

**AMITA**

Faculty, Government M. S., Mohali

## *FINANCIAL ADVISORS*

**DICKIN GOYAL**

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

**NEENA**

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

## *LEGAL ADVISORS*

**JITENDER S. CHAHAL**

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

**CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA**

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

## *SUPERINTENDENT*

**SURENDER KUMAR POONIA**

## **CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS**

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to recent developments & practices in the area of Computer, Business, Finance, Marketing, Human Resource Management, General Management, Banking, Insurance, Corporate Governance and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting Education; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Monetary Policy; Portfolio & Security Analysis; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Business Education; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law, Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labor Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; Public Administration; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism, Hospitality & Leisure; Transportation/Physical Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Digital Logic; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Multimedia; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic and Web Design. The above mentioned tracks are only indicative, and not exhaustive.

Anybody can submit the soft copy of his/her manuscript **anytime** in M.S. Word format after preparing the same as per our submission guidelines duly available on our website under the heading guidelines for submission, at the email address: [infoijrcm@gmail.com](mailto:infoijrcm@gmail.com).

## **GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT**

### 1. **COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:**

DATED: \_\_\_\_\_

**THE EDITOR**  
IJRCM

**Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF**

(e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)

**DEAR SIR/MADAM**

Please find my submission of manuscript entitled '\_\_\_\_\_ ' for possible publication in your journals.

I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore, it has neither been published elsewhere in any language fully or partly, nor is it under review for publication elsewhere.

I affirm that all the author (s) have seen and agreed to the submitted version of the manuscript and their inclusion of name (s) as co-author (s).

Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the formalities as given on the website of the journal & you are free to publish our contribution in any of your journals.

#### **NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:**

Designation:

Affiliation with full address, contact numbers & Pin Code:

Residential address with Pin Code:

Mobile Number (s):

Landline Number (s):

E-mail Address:

Alternate E-mail Address:

#### **NOTES:**

- a) The whole manuscript is required to be in **ONE MS WORD FILE** only (pdf. version is liable to be rejected without any consideration), which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript.
- b) The sender is required to mention the following in the **SUBJECT COLUMN** of the mail:  
**New Manuscript for Review in the area of (Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)**
- c) There is no need to give any text in the body of mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any specific message w.r.t. to the manuscript.
- d) The total size of the file containing the manuscript is required to be below **500 KB**.
- e) Abstract alone will not be considered for review, and the author is required to submit the complete manuscript in the first instance.
- f) The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of manuscript, within two days of submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending separate mail to the journal.

2. **MANUSCRIPT TITLE:** The title of the paper should be in a 12 point Calibri Font. It should be bold typed, centered and fully capitalised.

3. **AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS:** The author (s) **full name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline numbers**, and **email/alternate email address** should be in italic & 11-point Calibri Font. It must be centered underneath the title.

4. **ABSTRACT:** Abstract should be in fully italicized text, not exceeding 250 words. The abstract must be informative and explain the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a single para. Abbreviations must be mentioned in full.

5. **KEYWORDS:** Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of five. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stops at the end.
6. **MANUSCRIPT:** Manuscript must be in **BRITISH ENGLISH** prepared on a standard A4 size **PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER**. It must be prepared on a single space and single column with 1" margin set for top, bottom, left and right. It should be typed in 8 point Calibri Font with page numbers at the bottom and centre of every page. It should be free from grammatical, spelling and punctuation errors and must be thoroughly edited.
7. **HEADINGS:** All the headings should be in a 10 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
8. **SUB-HEADINGS:** All the sub-headings should be in a 8 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
9. **MAIN TEXT:** The main text should follow the following sequence:

**INTRODUCTION**

**REVIEW OF LITERATURE**

**NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY**

**STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM**

**OBJECTIVES**

**HYPOTHESES**

**RESEARCH METHODOLOGY**

**RESULTS & DISCUSSION**

**FINDINGS**

**RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS**

**CONCLUSIONS**

**SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH**

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

**REFERENCES**

**APPENDIX/ANNEXURE**

It should be in a 8 point Calibri Font, single spaced and justified. The manuscript should preferably not exceed **5000 WORDS**.

10. **FIGURES & TABLES:** These should be simple, crystal clear, centered, separately numbered & self explained, and **titles must be above the table/figure**. **Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure**. It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text.
11. **EQUATIONS:** These should be consecutively numbered in parentheses, horizontally centered with equation number placed at the right.
12. **REFERENCES:** The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript and they are supposed to follow **Harvard Style of Referencing**. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
  - All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
  - Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
  - When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc, in chronologically ascending order.
  - Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
  - The title of books and journals should be in italics. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
  - For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parentheses.
  - The location of endnotes within the text should be indicated by superscript numbers.

**PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:**

**BOOKS**

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

**CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS**

- Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

**JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES**

- Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

**CONFERENCE PAPERS**

- Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–22 June.

**UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS AND THESES**

- Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

**ONLINE RESOURCES**

- Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

**WEBSITES**

- Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Natural Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 <http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp>



# RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE: EVIDENCE FROM INDIAN STOCK MARKET

**POOJA V. MEHTA**

**LECTURER**

**L. R. VALIYA ARTS & P. R. MEHTA COMMERCE COLLEGE**

**BHAVNAGAR**

## ABSTRACT

*The purpose of this study was to understand the relationship between capital structure and financial performance of the companies. For this purpose, the study used definition of capital structure in scope of book value to market value and measures were assumed for financial performance. In this paper, I applied the data of 200 companies listed on National Stock Exchange (NSE) of India in a 5 year time horizon (2008-2012). Results of my study demonstrated that capital structure influences financial performance. The significance of the influence of capital structure on performance is respectively belonged to measures of adjusted value, market value and book value.*

## JEL CODES

G32, G39

## KEYWORDS

Capital structure, Financial performance, Market Value

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Financial performance is a subjective measure of how well a firm can use its' assets from its' primary business to generate revenues. Erasmus, (2008) noted that financial performance measures like profitability and liquidity among others provided a valuable tool to stakeholders to evaluate the past financial performance and the current position of a firm. Brigham and Gapenski (1996) argued that in theory, the Modigliani and Miller model was valid however in practice, bankruptcy costs did exist and that these costs were directly proportional to the debt levels in a firm. This conclusion implied a direct relationship between capital structure and financial performance of a firm.

Berger & Udell (2006) concluded that more efficient firms were more likely to earn a higher return from a given capital structure, and that higher returns can act as a cushion against portfolio risk so that more efficient firms are in a better position to substitute equity for debt in their capital structure. This is an incidental of the trade-off theory of capital structure where differences in efficiency enable firms to alter their optimal capital structure either upward or downwards. In addition, Singh & Hamid, (1992) in their research, used data on the largest companies in selected developing countries and found that firms in developing countries used more of debt finance in financing their growth than was the case in industrialized countries. Abor, (2005a) also found a positive relationship between total assets and return on equity and that profitable firms in Ghana depended more on debt as a main financing option due to a perceived low financial risk.

Financing decisions are one of the most critical areas for finance managers. It has direct impact on capital structure and financial performance of the companies. It is a topic that continues to keep researchers pondering. Capital structure is directly related with the financing decision of the company. Primarily, it consists of the debt and equity used to finance the firm. Researchers continue to analyze capital structures and try to determine whether optimal capital structures exist. An optimal capital structure is usually defined as one that will minimize a firm's cost of capital, while maximizing shareholder's wealth. Hence, capital structure decisions have great impact on the financial performance of the firm. Exactly how firms choose the amount of debt and equity in their capital structures remains an enigma. Are firms mostly influenced by the traditional capital structures of their industries or are there other reasons behind their actions? The answers to these questions are very important, because the actions of managers will affect the performance of the firm, as well as will influence how investors perceive the firm. Much of the theory in corporate sector is based on the assumption that the goal of a firm should be to maximize the wealth of its current shareholders. One of the major cornerstones of determining this goal is financial ratios. Financial ratios are commonly used to measure firm's performance. Generally, corporations include these in their annual reports to stakeholders. Investment analysts provide these to investors who are considering the purchase of a firm's securities.

## 2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

### 2.1 CAPITAL STRUCTURE THEORY

Capital structure puts into perspective the way in which a firm finances its operations Brigham,(2004), this can either be through debt or equity capital or a combination of both David, (1979). Capital structure theory as attributed to Modigliani and Miller concluded that it doesn't matter how a firm finances its' operations and that the value of a firm is independent of its' capital structure making capital structure irrelevant. The study was based on the assumption that there were no brokerage costs, earnings before interest and tax were not affected by the use of debt and that investors could borrow at the same rate as corporations and lastly there was no information asymmetry. Although this statement didn't reject the possible preference of a firm's owner to a certain type of financing over others, it did affect the irrelevance of the value of the firm to the means of financing it given a perfect market (Fischer, Heinkel, & Zechner, 1989). A number of theories were from then onward advanced to explain capital structure notable among which are the pecking order theory and trade off theory which have been often than not a centre of debate.

### 2.2 TRADE-OFF THEORY OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND TAXES

Myers, (2001) in his research on capital structure noted that the trade-off theory justifies moderate debt ratios. The purpose of the trade-off theory of capital structure is to explain the strategy a firm uses to finance investments which may be by equity and sometimes by debt. Tradeoff theory predicts that a weak firm will rely exclusively on a bank for debt capital. That is, for weak firms, bank debt dominates any mix of market and bank debt regardless of the priority structure. This result contradicts the notion that small/young firms avoid public debt because they lack access to such markets or face prohibitive costs in so doing (Hackbarth, Hennessy, & Leland, 2007). Within the tradeoff theory, there is a debt "pecking-order" with bank debt being preferred to market debt due to the lower implied bankruptcy costs. When the bank holds all ex post bargaining power, the desired level of debt tax shields can be achieved using only bank debt(Hackbarth et al., 2007).

Myers, (2001) noted that the firm would borrow up to the point where the marginal value of tax shields on additional debt is offset by the increase in the present value of possible costs of financial distress. According to Modigliani & Miller, (1958), the attractiveness of debt decreases with the personal tax on the interest income. A firm experiences financial distress when the firm is unable to cope with the debt holders' obligations. If the firm continues to fail in making payments to the debt holders, the firm can even be insolvent. The theory can be explained by costs of financial distress and agency costs (Pandey, 2005)..

### 2.3. DETERMINANTS OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE

#### 2.3.1 INTRODUCTION

A number of empirical studies have identified firm level characteristics that affect the capital structure of firms and these include:-

**2.3.2 ASSET STRUCTURE**

The asset structure of a firm plays a significant role in determining its capital structure. The degree to which the firm's assets are tangible should result in the firm having greater liquidation value Titman & Wessels, (1988a); Harris & Raviv, (1991). Bradley *et al* (1984) assert that firms that invest heavily in tangible assets also have higher financial leverage since they borrow at lower interest rates if their debt is secured with such assets. It is believed that debt may be more readily used if there are durable assets to serve as collateral (Wedig, Sloan, Hassan, & Morrissey, 1988). This will result in firms with assets that have greater liquidation value having relatively easier access to finance at lower cost.

Empirical research done by Bradley *et al* (1984); Wedig *et al.*, (1988); Friend & Lang (1988b); Mackie-Mason, (1990b); Rajan & Zingales, Shyam-Sunder, (1995); and Myers, 1999; Hovakimian *et al.*, (2004b), Kim and Sorensen 1986, suggested a positive relationship between asset structure and leverage for the firms, and a negative coefficient between depreciation expense as a percentage of total assets and financial leverage. In other studies done by Van der Wijst & Thurik, (1993) and Chittenden *et al.*, (1996); Jordan *et al.*, 1998; Michaelas *et al.*, (1999); Cassar *et al.*, (2003); Hall *et al.*, (2004) suggested a positive relationship between asset structure and long-term debt, and a negative relationship between asset structure and short-term debt. However, Esperanca *et al.*, (2003) also found a positive relationship between asset structure and both long-term and short-term debt. The level of tangible fixed assets therefore may help firms to obtain more long-term debt.

**2.3.3 FIRM SIZE**

Large firms are seen to be more diversified and therefore have lower variance in earnings which gives them an upper hand in tolerating high debt ratios (Castanias, 1983). Smaller firms on the other hand may find it relatively more costly to resolve. Thus lenders to larger firms are more likely to recover their funds than lenders to smaller firms. This simply means that larger firms will have higher debt. Empirical evidence on the relationship between size and capital structure supports a positive relationship. Scholarly works as done by Barclay & Smith, (1996), Al-Sakran, (2001) and Hovakimian *et al.*, (2004a) suggest that smaller firms are likely to use equity finance while larger firms are likely to use debt. Cassar *et al.*, (2003), Esperanca *et al.*, (2003) and Hall *et al.*, (2004) found a positive relationship between firm size and long term debt ratios but a negative relationship between size and short term debt ratios.

**2.3.4 FIRM AGE**

As a firm continues in business, it establishes itself as a going concern thereby increasing its capacity to take on more debt. This therefore makes age positively related to debt. Age of the firm is a standard measure of reputation in capital structure models because as a firm continues longer in business, it establishes itself as a going concern and therefore increases its capacity to take on more debt making age positively related to debt. Hall *et al.*, (2004) concurred to the above aspect of capital structure noting that age is positively related to long-term debt but negatively related to short-term debt. Esperanca *et al.*, (2003), however, found that age is negatively related to both long-term and short-term debt. Green, (2002) also found that age has a negative influence on the probability of incurring debt in the initial capital equation, and no impact in the additional capital equation.

**2.3.5 FIRM GROWTH**

Growth is likely to place a greater demand on internally generated funds and push the firm into borrowing (Hall *et al.*, 2004). According to Marsh, (1982), firms with high growth will capture relatively higher debt ratios. In the case of small firms with more concentrated ownership, it is expected that high growth firms will require more external financing and should display higher leverage (Heshmati, 2002). Aryeetey, (1994) noted that growing Medium Sized Enterprises appear more likely to use external finance although it is difficult to determine whether finance induces growth or the opposite or both. As enterprises grow through different stages, they are also expected to shift financing sources. They may probably move from internal sources to external sources Aryeetey, (1994). Myers, (1977) however, holds the view that firms with growth opportunities will have a smaller proportion of debt in their capital structure.

**2.3.6 FIRM RISK**

Risk levels are one of the primary determinants of a firm's capital structure Kale *et al.*, (1991). If a firm's operating risk is more volatile than the firm's earnings stream, the chance of the firm defaulting and being exposed to bankruptcy and agency costs is high. According to Johnson (1997), firms with more volatile earnings growth may experience more situations in which cash flows are too low for debt service.

In spite of the above studies advanced, a number of studies have indicated an inverse relationship between risk and debt ratio Bradley *et al.*, (1984); Titman *et al.*, (1988a); Friend *et al.*, (1988a); Mackie-Mason, (1990a); Kale *et al.*, (1991). Other studies suggest a positive relationship Jordan *et al.*, 1998; Michaelas *et al.*, (1999). Esperanca *et al.*, (2003) also found a positive relationship between firm risk and both long-term and short-term debt.

**2.4 COMPONENTS OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE****2.4.1 EQUITY FINANCING**

If a firm doesn't use debt financing, it's referred to as an unlevered firm (Brigham 2004). This brings about what is referred to as business risk which is defined as riskiness inherent in the firm's operations if it doesn't use debt. If a firm doesn't use debt then its return on invested capital shall be measured by return on equity which is denoted by net income to common stock holders divided by common equity.

$$ROE = \frac{\text{Net income to common stock holders}}{\text{Common equity}}$$

This simply means that the business risk of a leverage free firm will be measured by the standard deviation of its Return on equity Brigham & Houston, (2007). The question is if a firm's Return on capital is measured using Return on equity in the absence of debt will the efficiency ratios exert a significant effect on leverage?

**2.4.2 DEBT FINANCING**

When a firm decides to use debt financing for its operations it's faced with a financial risk and it's referred to as a levered firm. Brigham & Houston, (2007) defined financial risk as that additional risk placed on common stock holders as a result of the decision to finance using debt. Financing risk is the probability that the earnings of the firm will not be as projected because of the method of financing. He also continues by saying that financing risk arises because debt has a fixed financing obligation usually in the form of interest which must be met when the obligation falls due before the shareholders can share in the retained earnings.

**3. IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY**

Financing decisions are one of the most critical areas for finance managers. It has direct impact on capital structure and financial performance of the companies. It has always been an area for interest for researchers to understand the relationship between capital structure and financial performance of the company. This study provides a unique opportunity to examine the validity of the above statement and whether the financial performance of India firms can be explained by finance theory. Survival and growth needs resources but financing of these resources has limitation. Therefore, application of these should be in a way that creates an appropriate share of value for both providers and users of resources. Providers of resources are related with different levels of risk, benefit and control. Consequently, their expected returns are not the same. Use of debt leads to tax savings but on-time interest payments is a risk-taking way. On the other hand, lavishing stock holders wealth increases the value of expected returns of share holders so financing expenses will also be high. Thus a capital structure that means a merger of sources of finance minimizes the average costs of capital and leads to good performance is considered optimized one. The objective of this paper is to investigate the impact of financial leverage on the performance of publicly traded Indian companies.

**4. STATEMENT OF PROBLEM**

Although there has been a great deal of research on the subject of capital structure, this study makes a contribution to the literature in this area because it is an attempt to unfold the capital structure practices of companies operating in a unique environment. This is the environment where there are no personal taxes, a flat corporate tax rate, and a financial market system that is not very efficient. However, before looking into the specifics of these companies, it would be more appropriate to review the literature available on this subject to see if the results drawn from our analysis are in conformity with the trends in capital structure. The purpose of this paper is to demonstrate the impact of defining the main variables of capital structure and performance on experimental results.



## 5. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY, HYPOTHESES AND DATA VARIABLES

The purpose of this paper is to demonstrate the impact of defining the main variables of capital structure and performance on experimental results. Therefore, the following hypotheses are extracted:

- 1) There is a significant relation between capital structure and return on investment (ROI);
- 2) There is a significant relation between capital structure and Return on equity (ROE);
- 3) There is a significant relation between capital structure and return on stock (RET);
- 4) There is a significant relation between capital structure and earnings before tax to sale ratio (EBT / S); and
- 5) There is a significant relation between capital structure and operational profit to sale ratio (OPR/S).

The starting point of my study is the firms listed at the National Stock Exchange of India. The 200 respondent firms in National Stock Exchange of India constituted the sample in our empirical test of the theoretical model. For these firms we collect data for the five-year period 2008-2012 from Prowess database of CMIE. Moreover, financial firms were excluded due to the peculiarity in terms of operations, structure of assets and liabilities that would hinder analysis and inter-company comparisons.

Internal secondary data was used in order to estimate the value of the dependent variable as well as the values of the independent explanatory variables. Archives, reports and documents are examples of internal secondary data. In the case of missing information, complementary data was collected by using reports available in the library and on the internet. Data was processed by descriptive statistics containing Mean, S.D and inferential statistics containing Pearson Correlation, ANOVA test using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS).

## 6. DATA ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

Data analysis is done as mentioned above and the results are drawn thereof.

### 6.1 DATA ANALYSIS

After gathering necessary data, they were analyzed by Excel and the variables were calculated. Then the variables entered in SPSS software and then correlation between dependent and independent variables were measured by using Pearson correlation coefficient. The difference between variables of capital structure is a result of the way of assessing equity in adjusted debt ratios, average price of selected firms at the end of the terms and average of shares in each of the studying terms has been used. For computing the market value of leverage, we use market value and the number of issued stock at the end of each term. To test the hypotheses, correlation matrix between capital structure and performance is used. Also to show the meaning fullness of the correlation between variables, instead of critical value of student's T test, significance level has been used when significance level is less than %5, H<sub>0</sub> (null hypothesis) is rejected. In H<sub>0</sub>, it is assumed that there is not a link between two variables. Table 1 represents the empirical results from correlation matrix between variables. It is obvious that almost all the correlations (except tow items) are meaningful in level of %1.

TABLE 1: THE RESULTS OF CORRELATION

$OPR / S_{it}$	$EBT / S_{it}$	$RET_{it}$	$ROE_{it}$	$ROI_{it}$	Variable
-0.204	-0.252	0.042*	0.184	-0.329	$BV_{it}$
-0.423	-0.536	-0.0162	-0.37	-0.604	$MV_{it}$
0.52	-0.649	-0.105	-0.438	-0.695	$AjMV_{it}$

Correlation in significance level of %95 isn't meaningful (other results are meaningful)

According to obtained results,  $AjMV$  v(adjusted market value),  $MV$  (market value) and  $BV$  (book value) of capital structure respectively have the most correlation with financial performance measures;

$rPr, AjMV > rPr, MV > rPr, BV$

### 6.2 RESULTS

Tests on coefficient of correlation demonstrated that there is a meaningful link between tree variables of capital structure and five variables of performance except the link between return on stock and book value of capital structure that is not meaningful in significance level of 95%. This correlation between return on stock and adjusted market value is 95% and among other variables is equal to 99%. Results from tests on correlations and regression revealed that except the link between return on stock (hypothesis 3) in which the correlation between return on stock and market value of capital structure is statistically stronger, in the other correlations, adjusted value has the strongest relationship with performance measures. The negative relationship is consistent with Myers' (1984) notion that in general firms prefer internal to external financing sources. Profits as internal sources reduce the dependency of firms on leverage.

TABLE 2: THE RESULTS FROM TESTS ON HYPOTHESIS

Hypothesis	Relationship between leverage	Result	$\alpha$	Meaningful variables
1	$ROI_{it}$	Confirmed	%5	$BV_{it,3} - MV_{it,2} - AjMV_{it,1}$
2	$ROE_{it}$	Confirmed	%5	$BV_{it,3} - MV_{it,2} - AjMV_{it,1}$
3	$RET_{it}$	Confirmed	%5	$AjMV_{it,2} - MV_{it,1}$
4	$EBT / S_{it}$	Confirmed	%5	$BV_{it,3} - MV_{it,2} - AjMV_{it,1}$
5	$OPR / S_{it}$	Confirmed	%5	$BV_{it,3} - MV_{it,2} - AjMV_{it,1}$

Rajan and Zingales (1995) found that if return on stock and investments are fixed in a short term, and the main way of external financing is debt, there is a negative correlation between performance and leverage.

## 7. FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS

One of main factors subject to intense debate in capital structure studies is whether to use the market value or the book value of debt and equity as the correct measure of leverage. Those who favor the use of the book value measure present two strong arguments. First, the main cost of borrowing is the expected cost of financial distress in the event of bankruptcy. Financial distress affects the weighted average cost of capital and consequently the optimal leverage. In such a situation, the value of the distressed firm is closer to its book value. Once the debt has been issued, changes in the market value of that debt do not affect the interest tax shield cash savings. Furthermore, if bankruptcy occurs, the accurate measure of debt-holders' liability is the book value of debt and not the market value of debt. Second, previous studies have shown that managers think in terms of book rather than market values. Unlike market values, book values are more easily accessible, more accurately recorded and not subject to market volatility. On the other hand, those who prefer the market value to book value argue that the market value ultimately determines the real value of a firm. They suggest that it is possible for a firm to have a negative book value of equity while simultaneously enjoying a positive market value. This is possible because a negative book value reflects previous losses while a positive market value denotes the expected future cash flows of the firm. In practice, both measures of book and market values are often used. Results of this study demonstrated that market value and adjusted value measures of capital structure in comparison with book value measures have stronger link with performance. This means market value should be taken more into consideration in evaluating capital structure. Many measures of firm performance, such as a firm's profitability, are negatively correlated with financial leverage. This result can be interpreted in this way that high leverages companies would have less profitability. In other words, debt level is over than optimized level and in comparison to advantages of tax shield, incensement of financial distress costs has more significance. There are other evidences for this relationship as following: Informational asymmetry and high costs of external resources and inefficiency of the market. Total liabilities ratio (TL) is used as the main measure of leverage and all the others are employed for robustness checks. Why do we regard total liabilities ratio a more appropriate measure for capital structure? We argue that, firstly, when a firm wants to obtain more debt, the creditor will consider not only how much the firm's long term

debt is, but also how much the firm's current debt and total liabilities are. So the portion of other liabilities will affect the debt capacity of a firm. Second, current debt is a quite steady part of total assets. The reasons behind using of debts by Indian companies may be constant interest rate in any level of debt and risk. Totally, with respect to observed link between capital structure and performance, the conclusion is that company that has high profitability and good performance have less debt. These results are consistent with the results of Mayers, Stulz, Rajan and Zingales. On the method side, it would be desirable to investigate the determinants of capital structure over a longer period of time and over a number of economic cycles. Finally, the analysis could be improved by differentiating between types of debt such as long-term and short-term debt.

## 8. REFERENCES

1. Abor, J. (2005), "The effect of capital structure on profitability: an empirical analysis of listed firms in Ghana", *Journal of Risk Finance*, Vol. 6 pp.438-47.
2. Abor, J. (2007), "Debt policy and performance of SMEs: evidence from Ghanaian and South Africa firms", *Journal of Risk Finance*, Vol. 8 pp.364-79.
3. Berger, A., Bonaccorsi di Patti, E. (2006), "Capital structure and firm performance: a new approach to testing agency theory and an application to the banking industry", *Journal of Banking and Finance*, Vol. 30 pp.1065-102.
4. Booth, L., Aivazian, V., Hunt, A., Maksimovic, D. (2001), "Capital structure in developing countries", *Journal of Finance*, Vol. 56 pp.87-130.
5. Brav, A., Graham, J., Harvey, C., Michaely, R. (2005), "Payout policy in the 21<sup>st</sup> century", *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 77 pp.483-527.
6. Cai, Jie and Zhang, Zhe. (2005). Capital structure dynamics and stock returns, The university of Iowa, Department of finance, working paper (January) WWW.FMA Champion, D. (1999), "Finance: the joy of leverage", *Harvard Business Review*, Vol. 77 pp.19-22.
7. Dimitrov V. and Jain. P. (2003). The information content of change in financial leverage, Working Paper, Georgetown University.
8. Eldomiaty, T. (2007), "Determinants of corporate capital structure: evidence from an emerging economy", *International Journal of Commerce and Management*, Vol. 17 pp.25-43.
9. Fama, E.F., and French K.R. (2002). Testing Trade-Off and Pecking Order Predictions about Dividends and Debt. *The Review of Financial Studies*, Vol. 15, No.1, pp. 1-33. Friend, I., Lang, L. (1988), "An empirical test of the impact of managerial self-interest on corporate capital structure", *Journal of Finance*, Vol. 43 pp.271-81.
10. Ghosh, C., Nag, R., Sirmans, C. (2000), "The pricing of seasoned equity offerings: evidence from REITs", *Real Estate Economics*, Vol. 28 pp.363-84.
11. Gleason, K., Mathur, L., Mathur, I. (2000), "The interrelationship between culture, capital structure, and performance: evidence from European retailers", *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 50 pp.185-91.
12. Hadlock, C., James, C. (2002), "Do banks provide financial slack?", *Journal of Finance*, Vol. 57 pp.1383-420.
13. Harris, M., Raviv, A. (1988), "Corporate control contests and capital structure", *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 20 pp.55-86.
14. Huang, Guihai and Song, Frank M. (2005). The determinants of capital structure: Evidence from China. *China Economic Review*, Vol. 22, pp. 8.
15. Ibrahim El-Sayed Ebaid, (2009), ".....", *The Journal of Risk and Finance*, Vol. 10, Issue 5, pp. 477-487
16. Jensen, M. and Meckling, W. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial Behavior, Agency Costs and Ownership Structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 3, pp. 305-360. Jensen, M.C. (1986). Agency Costs of Free Cash Flow, Corporate Finance and Takeovers. *American Economic Review*, Vol. 26(May), pp. 323
17. Jermias, J. (2008), "The relative influence of competitive intensity and business strategy on the relationship between financial leverage and performance", *British Accounting Review*, Vol. 40 pp.71-86.
18. Kester, W. (1986), "Capital and ownership structure: a comparison of United States and Japanese manufacturing corporations", *Financial Management*, Vol. 15 pp.5-16. Kyereboah-Coleman, A. (2007), "The impact of capital structure on the performance of microfinance institutions", *Journal of Risk Finance*, Vol. 8 pp.56-71.
19. Meyers, S.C. (1984). The Capital Structure Puzzle. *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 39, No. 3, pp. 575-592.
20. Modigliani, F and Miller, M.H. (1958). The cost of capital, corporation finance and the theory of investment, *American Economic Review*, June, pp. 261-297.
21. Myers, S. C. and Majluf, N. S. (1984). Corporate Financing and Investment Decisions When Firms Have Information That Investors Do Not Have. *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 13, pp. 187-221.
22. Rajan, R.G and Zingales, L. (1995). What Do We Know about Capital Structure? Some Evidence from International Data. *Journal of Finance*, Vol. 50, No. 5, pp. 1421-1460. Roden, D., Lewellen, W. (1995), "Corporate capital structure decisions: evidence from leveraged buyouts", *Financial Management*, Vol. 24 pp.76-87.
23. Simerly, R., Li, M. (2000), "Environmental dynamism, financial leverage and performance: a theoretical integration and an empirical test", *Strategic Management Journal*, Vol. 21 pp.31-49.
24. Stowe, J.D., Robinson, T.R., Pinto, J.E. & McLeavy, D.W. (2002). Analysis of equity investments: Valuation, Baltimore: AIMR.
25. Stulz, R. (1990). Managerial discretion and optimal financing policies, *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 26, pp. 145-158.
26. Taub, A. (1975), "Determinants of firm's capital structure", *Review of Economics and Statistics*, Vol. 57 pp.410-6.
27. Titman, S., Wessels, R. (1988), "The determinants of capital structure", *Journal of Finance*, Vol. 43 pp.1-19.
28. Wald, J. (1999), "How firm characteristics affect capital structure: an international comparison", *Journal of Financial Research*, Vol. 22 pp.161-87.
29. Welch, I. (2004), "Capital structure and stock returns", *Journal of Political Economy*, Vol. 112 pp.106-31
30. Williams, J. (1987), "Perquisites, risk, and capital structure", *Journal of Finance*, Vol. 42 pp.29-49.
31. Zeitun, R., Tian, G. (2007), "Capital structure and corporate performance: evidence from Jordan", *Australasian Accounting Business and Finance Journal*, Vol. 1 pp.40-53.

## **REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK**

**Dear Readers**

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce and Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue as well as on the journal as a whole, on our E-mail i.e. **infoijrcm@gmail.com** for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries please feel free to contact us on our E-mail [infoijrcm@gmail.com](mailto:infoijrcm@gmail.com).

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

**Academically yours**

Sd/-

**Co-ordinator**

## ABOUT THE JOURNAL

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active co-operation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.

### *Our Other Journals*

