INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN **COMPUTER APPLICATION & MANAGEMENT**



A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland with IC Value of 5.09 & number of libraries all around the world.

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than 1866 Cities in 152 countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

Ground Floor, Building No. 1041-C-1, Devi Bhawan Bazar, JAGADHRI – 135 003, Yamunanagar, Haryana, INDIA

CONTENTS

| Sr. No. | TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S) | Page No |
|-------------|---|---------|
| 1. | FACTORS INFLUENCING KNOWLEDGE SHARING BEHAVIOUR: DEVELOPING A THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK | 1 |
| 2. | SOOFI ANWAR & DR. K. DURGA PRASAD THE IMPACT OF DIRECT AND INDIRECT FACTORS INFLUENCING BRAND EQUITY FOR ONLINE COMPANIES | 4 |
| ۷. | SEYED ALIAKBAR AHMADI, MOHAMMADREZA DARAEI & EHSAN POUSTIAN | - |
| 3. | INTEGRATION OF TECHNOLOGY IN TEACHING SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL SOCIAL STUDIES: THE COMPUTER ASSISTED INSTRUCTION EFFECT ON STUDENTS' PERFORMANCE | 9 |
| 4. | PAUL DELA AHIATROGAH, BRANDFORD BERVELL & AUGUSTINE YAKUBU USING THE ANALYTIC HIERARCHY PROCESS TO ENHANCE PARTICIPATORY DECISION-MAKING IN MULTI-STAKEHOLDER INFRASTRUCTURE | 16 |
| | PROJECTS: A PIPELINE PROJECT CASE STUDY VICTOR. A. AKUJURU & BANKOLE. O. AWUZIE | |
| 5. | PRACTICAL IMPLEMENTATION OF THE MODEL FOR 'ORGANIZING, MEASURING, ANALYZING STUDENTS' KNOWLEDGE AND PERFORMANCE' ROY MATHEW | 24 |
| 6. | DETERMINANTS OF SMALLHOLDERS' PARTICIPATION IN LOCAL BASED SEED PRODUCTION SYSTEM: THE CASE OF ONION SEED IN EAST SHOA ZONE OF THE OROMIYA NATIONAL REGIONAL STATE, ETHIOPIA FREAW DEMISE & DAWIT ALEMU | 34 |
| 7. | COINTEGRATION OF KARACHI STOCK MARKET WITH OTHER ASIAN STOCK MARKETS FEHMIDA AKRAM, AISHA AKRAM, SHANZA ARSHAD & MAZHAR IQBAL | 43 |
| 8. | SURVEY ON FACTORS INFLUENCING THE PERFORMANCE OF PLM SYSTEM IN AUTO INDUSTRY M. S. GOPINATHA, DR. VISHNUKANTH S. CHATPALLI & DR. K.S. SRIDHAR | 47 |
| 9. | A STUDY ON QUALITY WORK LIFE WITH REFERENCE TO ENGINEERING COLLEGES AT BANGALORE | 53 |
| 10. | SUNIL RASHINKAR, SRI HARI.V, DR.SREENIVAS.D.L, LAKSHMI NARAYANA.K & SHAZIA KAUSAR POWER & AREA EFFICIENT ROUTER IN 2-D MESH NETWORK-ON-CHIP USING LOW POWER METHODOLOGY — GATE LEVEL POWER OPTIMIZATION | 61 |
| 11. | SUDHIR N. SHELKE & PRAMOD B. PATIL THE STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF STRUCTURE OF MANUFACTURING SECTOR — WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO BANGALORE INDUSTRIAL REGION | 68 |
| 12 . | A. T. SRINIVASA & DR. MUNIVENKATAPPA A STUDY ON CONSUMER PERCEPTION OF TATA LPT 3118 12 TYRE VEHICLE OVER 10 TYRE VEHICLES WITH REFERENCE TO THOOTHUKUDI | 75 |
| 13. | S. JEBASEELI NOVALEENA & DR. A. RANGAWSAMY EMPLOYEE ABSENTEEISM IN HEALTH CARE INDUSTRY: A CONCERN FOR THE ORGANIZATION | 83 |
| 14. | DR. C. SWARNALATHA & T.S. PRASANNA WEB CONTENT TRUST ASSESSMENT MODEL USING ADVANCE WEB MINING TECHNIQUES | 86 |
| 15. | KETAN.J.PATEL, KETAN.D.PATEL & DR. V. R. RATHOD PERFORMANCE OF PROFITABILITY MANAGEMENT IN AMARA RAJA BATTERIES LIMITED", TIRUPATI - AN EVALUATION | 89 |
| 16. | K.KALYANI & DR. P. MOHAN REDDY AN ELABORATION LIKELIHOOD MODEL APPROACH TO PACKAGING AND CHILD-PARENT INTERACTION | 93 |
| 17. | SURAJ KUSHE SHEKHAR & DR. P.T RAVEENDRAN RELATIONSHIP OF FINANCIAL REPORTING QUALITY WITH SALES VOLATILITY AND BOOK TO MARKET VALUE | 97 |
| 18. | MOHAMMAD REZA RAZDAR & DR. B. H. SURESH WORKING CAPITAL MANAGEMENT IN MANUFACTURING INDUSTRY: A STUDY WITH REFERENCE TO SELECTED MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES IN INDIA | 101 |
| 19. | KUSHALAPPA. S & SHARMILA KUNDER TALENT ACQUISITION AND RETENTION: A STUDY IN INDIAN SMALL AND MEDIUM ENTERPRISES | 106 |
| 20. | DR. SNIGDHARANI MISHRA & JASMINE T. JHA MONITORING SYSTEM FOR TERRORISTS AND DANGEROUS PRISONERS | 111 |
| 21. | DR. PRAMOD BUBNA TRENDS OF FOREIGN DIRECT INVESTMENT IN INDIA DR. KARAMAN R. SINGULGUEGNAND. BUNANNA R. RAISGUERUAR DIMAN | 114 |
| 22. | CONCURRENCY CONTROL IN DBMS WITH TIMESTAMPS | 119 |
| 23. | A STUDY ON PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM (PMS) WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO COTELLIGENT R. SWETTIA | 121 |
| 24. | P SWETHA AN ANALYSIS OF CHILD'S INFLUENCE IN CHOOSING THE SNACKS ITEMS WITH SPECIAL REFERENCES TO SIVAKASI AREA IN TAMILNADU T. DHANALAKSHMI | 125 |
| 25. | MEASURING SERVICE QUALITY OF RAILWAY PLATFORMS IN INDIA: A CASE-STUDY OF EAST-COAST RAILWAYS DR. SRINIBASH DASH, SRI SISIR RANJAN DASH & SRI SUBRAT KUMAR PRADHAN | 129 |
| 26. | A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF FINANCIAL STATEMENT OF DISTRICT CO-OPERATIVE DAIRIES OF NORTH GUJARAT PATEL RAJESHKUMAR G., PRAJAPATI RASIKBHAI I. & PATEL NITINKUMAR P. | 135 |
| 27. | WORLD WIDE MIDDLE WARE TECHNOLOGIES | 139 |
| 28. | M.DHANAMALAR & B.AYSHWARYA CRITICAL FACTORS FOR SUCCESSFUL SC COLLABORATION: AN INTERPRETIVE STRUCTURAL MODELING APPROACH KAUSTUBH JOSHI & ANIKET JADHAV | 143 |
| 29. | AN EMPIRICAL INVESTIGATION OF INVENTORY MANAGEMENT PRACTICES OF MYSORE PAPER MILLS LIMITED BHADRAVATHI – A CASE STUDY | 150 |
| 30. | A STUDY ON PERFORMANCE OF MOBILE BAKING SERVICES AND MODELS IN INDIA | 156 |
| | M.GANGU NAIDU REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK | 161 |

CHIEF PATRON

PROF. K. K. AGGARWAL

Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Delhi Founder Vice-Chancellor, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

FOUNDER PATRON

LATE SH. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Former State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana Former Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri Former President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

CO-ORDINATOR

DR. SAMBHAV GARG

Faculty, M. M. Institute of Management, MaharishiMarkandeshwarUniversity, Mullana

<u>ADVISORS</u>

DR. PRIYA RANJAN TRIVEDI

Chancellor, The Global Open University, Nagaland

PROF. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Director A. C. D., School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

PROF. S. L. MAHANDRU

Principal (Retd.), MaharajaAgrasenCollege, Jagadhri

EDITOR

PROF. R. K. SHARMA

Professor, Bharti Vidyapeeth University Institute of Management & Research, New Delhi

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

DR. RAJESH MODI

Faculty, YanbulndustrialCollege, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

PROF. PARVEEN KUMAR

Director, M.C.A., Meerut Institute of Engineering & Technology, Meerut, U. P.

PROF. H. R. SHARMA

Director, Chhatarpati Shivaji Institute of Technology, Durg, C.G.

PROF. MANOHAR LAL

Director & Chairman, School of Information & Computer Sciences, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

PROF. ANIL K. SAINI

Chairperson (CRC), Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

PROF. R. K. CHOUDHARY

Director, Asia Pacific Institute of Information Technology, Panipat

DR. ASHWANI KUSH

Head, Computer Science, UniversityCollege, KurukshetraUniversity, Kurukshetra

DR. BHARAT BHUSHAN

Head, Department of Computer Science & Applications, Guru Nanak Khalsa College, Yamunanagar

DR. VIJAYPAL SINGH DHAKA

Dean (Academics), Rajasthan Institute of Engineering & Technology, Jaipur

DR. SAMBHAVNA

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

DR. MOHINDER CHAND

Associate Professor, KurukshetraUniversity, Kurukshetra

DR. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, P.J.L.N.GovernmentCollege, Faridabad

DR. SAMBHAV GARG

Faculty, M. M. Institute of Management, MaharishiMarkandeshwarUniversity, Mullana

DR. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE

Asst. Professor, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

DR. BHAVET

Faculty, M. M. Institute of Management, MaharishiMarkandeshwarUniversity, Mullana

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

PROF. ABHAY BANSAL

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity University, Noida

PROF. NAWAB ALI KHAN

Department of Commerce, AligarhMuslimUniversity, Aligarh, U.P.

ASHISH CHOPRA

Sr. Lecturer, Doon Valley Institute of Engineering & Technology, Karnal

<u>TECHNICAL ADVISOR</u>

AMITA

Faculty, Government M. S., Mohali

FINANCIAL ADVISORS

DICKIN GOYAL

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

NEENA

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

LEGAL ADVISORS

JITENDER S. CHAHAL

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

<u>SUPERINTENDENT</u>

SURFNDER KUMAR POONIA

c)

e)

2

3

CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to recent developments & practices in the area of Computer, Business, Finance, Marketing, Human Resource Management, General Management, Banking, Insurance, Corporate Governance and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting Education; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Monetary Policy; Portfolio & Security Analysis; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Business Education; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law, Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labor Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; Public Administration; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism, Hospitality & Leisure; Transportation/Physical Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Digital Logic; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Multimedia; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic and Web Design. The above mentioned tracks are only indicative, and not exhaustive.

Anybody can submit the soft copy of his/her manuscript **anytime** in M.S. Word format after preparing the same as per our submission guidelines duly available on our website under the heading guidelines for submission, at the email address: infoijrcm@gmail.com.

GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT

| CO | COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION: DATED: | | | |
|-------|--|---------------------------------|--|--|
| THE | THE EDITOR | DATED | | |
| IJRC | URCM | | | |
| Sub | Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF . | | | |
| (e. | $(e.g.\ Finance/Marketing/HRM/General\ Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/Engineering/Mathematics/otherwise. A supplied of the property of the p$ | er, please specify) | | |
| DEA | DEAR SIR/MADAM | | | |
| Plea | Please find my submission of manuscript entitled '' for possible publication in | your journals. | | |
| | I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore, it has neither been published elsewhere in any lan under review for publication elsewhere. | guage fully or partly, nor is i | | |
| I aff | I affirm that all the author (s) have seen and agreed to the submitted version of the manuscript and their inclusion of name (s) as c | o-author (s). | | |
| | Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the formalities as given on the website of the journal & contribution in any of your journals. | you are free to publish ou | | |
| NAI | NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR: | | | |
| | Designation: | | | |
| | Affiliation with full address, contact numbers & Pin Code: | | | |
| | Residential address with Pin Code: | | | |
| | Mobile Number (s): Landline Number (s): | | | |
| | E-mail Address: | | | |
| | Atternate E-mail Address: | | | |
| , | | | | |
| NO. | NOTES: | | | |
| a) | | eration), which will start fron | | |
| | the covering letter, inside the manuscript. | | | |
| b) | | | | |
| | New Manuscript for Review in the area of (Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/C | omputer/IT/ | | |
| | Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify) | | | |

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMPUTER APPLICATION & MANAGEMENT

Abstract alone will not be considered for review, and the author is required to submit the complete manuscript in the first instance.

MANUSCRIPT TITLE: The title of the paper should be in a 12 point Calibri Font. It should be bold typed, centered and fully capitalised.

There is no need to give any text in the body of mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any specific message w.r.t. to the manuscript.

AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS: The author (s) full name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline numbers, and email/alternate email

ABSTRACT: Abstract should be in fully italicized text, not exceeding 250 words. The abstract must be informative and explain the background, aims, methods,

The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of manuscript, within two days of submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending separate mail to the journal.

The total size of the file containing the manuscript is required to be below 500 KB.

address should be in italic & 11-point Calibri Font. It must be centered underneath the title.

results & conclusion in a single para. Abbreviations must be mentioned in full.

- 5. **KEYWORDS**: Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of five. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stops at the end.
- 6. MANUSCRIPT: Manuscript must be in <u>BRITISH ENGLISH</u> prepared on a standard A4 size <u>PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER</u>. It must be prepared on a single space and single column with 1" margin set for top, bottom, left and right. It should be typed in 8 point Calibri Font with page numbers at the bottom and centre of every page. It should be free from grammatical, spelling and punctuation errors and must be thoroughly edited.
- 7. **HEADINGS**: All the headings should be in a 10 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
- 8. SUB-HEADINGS: All the sub-headings should be in a 8 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
- 9. MAIN TEXT: The main text should follow the following sequence:

INTRODUCTION

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

OBJECTIVES

HYPOTHESES

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

FINDINGS

RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS

CONCLUSIONS

SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

REFERENCES

APPENDIX/ANNEXURE

It should be in a 8 point Calibri Font, single spaced and justified. The manuscript should preferably not exceed 5000 WORDS.

- 10. **FIGURES & TABLES**: These should be simple, crystal clear, centered, separately numbered &self explained, and **titles must be above the table/figure**. Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure. It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text.
- 11. **EQUATIONS**: These should be consecutively numbered in parentheses, horizontally centered with equation number placed at the right.
- 12. **REFERENCES**: The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript and they are supposed to follow **Harvard Style of Referencing**. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
- All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
- Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
- When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc, in chronologically ascending order.
- Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
- The title of books and journals should be in italics. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
- For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parentheses.
- The location of endnotes within the text should be indicated by superscript numbers.

PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:

BOOKS

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

 Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

 Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

CONFERENCE PAPERS

 Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–22 June.

UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS AND THESES

Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, KurukshetraUniversity, Kurukshetra.

ONLINE RESOURCES

Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

WEBSITES

Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Natural Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp

FACTORS INFLUENCING KNOWLEDGE SHARING BEHAVIOUR: DEVELOPING A THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

SOOFI ANWAR ASST. PROFESSOR DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT BIRLA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY- INTERNATIONAL CENTRE UNITED ARAB EMIRATES

DR. K. DURGA PRASAD HEAD DEPARTMENT OF MANAGEMENT BIRLA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY- INTERNATIONAL CENTRE UNITED ARAB EMIRATES

ABSTRACT

In today's knowledge driven economy, creating a pro knowledge sharing environment and reinforcing actual knowledge sharing behavior is central to the success of any knowledge management (KM) initiative. Universities and Higher Education Institutes have an enormous scope to apply KM to acquire, use and leverage knowledge. This paper attempts to explore the factors that encourage or inhibit faculty knowledge sharing behaviour. The various factors influencing knowledge sharing have been categorized into individual, organizational and technological factors. Understanding of these motivators and barriers to knowledge sharing will help the top management of knowledge based organizations such as Universities and Higher Education Institutes to develop appropriate knowledge sharing strategies to instill knowledge sharing culture within the organization and foster the knowledge sharing behaviour of its faculty.

KEYWORDS

knowledge sharing behaviour, knowledge management.

INTRODUCTION

n recent years, attempts to capture and leverage a firm's knowledge resources have become a major drive to the success of any organization. In today's knowledge driven economy, knowledge has become the strategic asset of an organization and especially in high knowledge intensive organizations, knowledge related competencies are the primary source of competitive advantage (Kankanhalli *et al.*, 2005, Ried, 2003). Organizations are now attempting to manage knowledge in a more systematic and effective way. Knowledge Management (KM) initiatives are widely applied by the organizations to encourage the creation and sharing of knowledge. Effective implementation of knowledge management (KM) depends on several factors which include leadership, organizational culture, IT infrastructure, positive attitudes of the employees to share expertise and so on. A successful KM implies a good combination of both human participation and IT collaboration tools.

Within the overall KM domain, a critical area that needs more attention is knowledge sharing (KS). Knowledge sharing is central to the success of any knowledge management program. In the literature concerning KM within an organization, most researchers acknowledge the role of knowledge sharing and the need to foster knowledge sharing (Davenport and Prusak, 1998; Alavi and Leidner, 2001, Bartol and Srivastava, 2002, Cabrera and Cabrera, 2002, Bock et al, 2005). Although there are many benefits associated with knowledge sharing (Kautz and Mahnke, 2003), for the most part its facilitators are unknown (Szulanski, 1996; Wiig, 1997) and the organizational and individual enablers of knowledge sharing are not properly clarified (Connelly and Kelloway, 2003). Unfortunately, people do not share their knowledge under all circumstances and they enough reasons not to share their knowledge as much as the organization would like them to (Cho, Li, and Su, 2007).

Knowledge resides within individuals (Nonaka and Konno 1998) and, more specifically, in the employees who create, recognize, archive, access, and apply knowledge in carrying out their tasks. Consequently, the movement of knowledge across individual and organizational boundaries, into and from repositories, and into organizational routines and practices is ultimately dependent on employees' knowledge-sharing behaviours. When knowledge sharing is limited across an organization, the likelihood increases that knowledge gaps will arise, and these gaps are likely to produce less-than-desirable work outcomes (Baird and Henderson 2001). Even with the codification of knowledge, knowledge objects remain unexposed to (and hence unrecognizable by) others until the knowledge owner makes the objects available. In a practical sense, knowledge sharing cannot be forced but can only be encouraged and facilitated (Gibbert and Krause 2002). When people are motivated enough to share, a KM initiative will find its success (Connelly & Kelloway, 2001).

KNOWLEDGE

One of the most common ways to define knowledge is by differentiating it by what it is not by using the components of data, information and knowledge. Data is considered to include numbers, images, words and sounds that are derived from observation and measurement and is still "raw", not analyzed. Information is then perceived as data that has been analyzed and arranged in a meaningful pattern, meaning that some intellectual input has been added to the raw data. Knowledge is considered to be information that has interpretation and meaning attached to it, adding a further layer of intellectual analysis. Davenport and Prusak (1998), defines knowledge as a fluid mix of framed experience, values, contextual information and expert insights that provides a framework for evaluating and incorporating new experiences and information. Bartol and Srivastava (2002) consider knowledge a broad concept which "includes information, ideas and expertise relevant for tasks performed by individuals, teams, work units and the organization as a whole". Awad and Ghaziri(2004) define knowledge as human understanding of a specialized field of interest that has been acquired through study and experience.

TYPES OF KNOWLEDGE

The most commonly used classification of knowledge in KM literature is the one between explicit knowledge and tacit knowledge (Ein-Dor 2006; Hislop, 2005). Explicit is knowledge is regarded as objective, composed of facts that can be codified into a tangible form like words and graphs, and is separate from individual and social values(Hislop, 2005). Explicit knowledge can be easily transferred to be available for enquirer and thus can produce greater value (McKenzie and Van Winkelen, 2004).

In contrast, tacit knowledge is personal, intuitive, insightful, context-sensitive, dynamically created and experienced-based, subjective and experiential (Nonaka, Toyama and Nagata, 2000; Greiner et al., 2007), and resides within the minds of people (Steward, 1999). It is hard to formalize and communicate to others. Tacit knowledge is deeply rooted in an individual's actions and experience, as well as in the ideals, values or emotions he or she embraces (Nonaka and Konno, 1998). It is something known but not easily articulated (Dixon, 2000). It is more problematic because it is not so easily disseminated (Mullin, 2005). This suggests that tacit knowledge is non-transferable without the exchange of key personnel and all the systems that support them, and may be best transferred through more interpersonal means and using processes that are less structured.

KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT

Knowledge management has been defined as the process of capturing, storing, sharing, and using knowledge (Davenport and Prusak 1998). Rowley (2000) describes the term KM as follows:

"Knowledge management is concerned with the exploitation and development of the knowledge assets of an organization with a view to furthering the organization's objectives. The knowledge to be managed includes both explicit, documented knowledge, and tacit, subjective knowledge. Management entails all of those processes associated with the identification, sharing, and creation of knowledge. This requires systems for the creation and maintenance of knowledge repositories, and to cultivate and facilitate the sharing of knowledge and organizational learning. Organizations that succeed in knowledge management are likely to view knowledge as an asset and to develop organizational norms and values, which support the creation and sharing of knowledge". In brief, KM is the management of processes that govern the creation, dissemination, and utilization of knowledge by merging technologies, organizational structures and people to create the most effective learning, problem solving, and decision-making in an organization.

KNOWLEDGE SHARING

Knowledge sharing means the methods and willingness of individuals in an organization to share the knowledge they have with others in the organization. Knowledge can be shared between and among individuals, within and among teams and organizational units and among organizations (King 2006). According to King (2006) sharing behaviour can be different whether tacit or explicit knowledge is shared. Knowledge sharing cannot be forced or mandated, but instead the behaviour should be facilitated and encouraged (Bock et al, 2005).

Knowledge sharing concerns the willingness of individuals in an organization to share with others the knowledge they have acquired or created (Gibbert and Krause, 2002). A firm can successfully promote a knowledge sharing culture not only by directly incorporating knowledge in its business strategy, but also by changing employee attitudes and behaviours to promote willing and consistent knowledge sharing (Connelly and Kelloway, 2003; Lin and Lee, 2004). The sharing could be done directly via communication or indirectly via some knowledge archive. Sharing of tacit knowledge mainly takes place through socialization, but the knowledge does not become explicit and the organization as a whole cannot not easily use it. Making tacit knowledge explicit allows it to be shared within the organization and can be stored or formalized.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The extensive review of previous literature on knowledge sharing recognizes the existence of different influences on employee knowledge sharing activities, such as individual, organizational, and technology factors (Lee and Choi, 2003; Connelly and Kelloway, 2003; Taylor and Wright, 2004). Referring to the individual dimension, most authors agree that knowledge sharing depends on individual characteristics, including experience, values, motivation, and beliefs. Wasko and Faraj (2005) suggested that individual motivators may enable employee willingness to share knowledge. Employees are motivated when they think that knowledge sharing behaviours will be worth the effort and able to help others. Therefore, the expectation of individual benefits can promote employees to share knowledge with colleagues. Furthermore, referring to the organizational dimension, organizational climate is usually made to capture efficiently the benefits of innovation-supportive culture (Saleh and Wang, 1993). In the context of knowledge sharing, the different aspects of organizational climate are critical drivers of knowledge sharing, such as reward systems linked to knowledge sharing (Bartol and Srivastava, 2002), open leadership climate (Taylor and Wright, 2004), and top management support (MacNeil, 2003; MacNeil, 2004). Finally, referring the technology dimension, ICT can be effectively used to facilitate the codification, integration, and dissemination of organizational knowledge (Song, 2002).

PREVIOUS EMPIRICAL STUDIES ON KNOWLEDGE SHARING

Block, Zmud, Kim and Lee (2005) studied factors influencing an individual's knowledge sharing behaviour in corporate in respect of extrinsic motivators, social-psychological forces and organizational climate factors. The motivational drivers found were anticipated extrinsic rewards (montetary incentives or points towards promotion), anticipated reciprocal relationships (desire to maintain relationship with others), sense of self worth(sense of value brought by knowledge sharing through competence or power), fairness (trusting organizational climate), innovativeness (organizational climate that is tolerant of well-reasoned failure and where information flows freely) and affiliation (organizational climate with pro-social norms and willingness to help others).

Bock et al (2005) summarizes the factors of organizational climate that have an influence on individual's knowledge sharing behaviour to be climate where individuals highly trust each other and the organization, climate that is open with free flowing information, climate that is tolerant of failure and climate where pro social norms and willingness to help are valued.

Kim and Lee (2006) studied the impact of organizational context and IT on employees' perception of knowledge sharing. Research showed that social networks, centralization of organization structure, performance based reward systems, employee usage of IT applications and user friendly IT systems significantly affect employee knowledge sharing capabilities in both public and private sector. Social networks, performance based reward systems, employee usage of IT applications and user friendly IT systems influence positively on sharing knowledge, while centralization of organizational structure was seen to negatively influence the behaviour.

So and Bolloju (2005) researched the intention of IT professionals to share and reuse knowledge. All direct determinants of intention to share knowledge, except for subjective norm and intention to reuse knowledge were significant. All direct determinants except for subjective norm and intention to reuse knowledge were significant. The strongest significance was in the attitude towards intention to share knowledge, thus indicating that management should build positive attitude in their employees through improving relationships and recognition of their contributions.

Szulanki (1996) states that motivational forces derives from one of two bases: personal belief structure and institutional structures meaning the organizational climate including norms and values. In individual level knowledge sharing can be impacted by concerns over the individual's status or 'competitive advantage' over others being lost by sharing the valuable knowledge one possess (Huber 2001; Riege 2005; Hislop 2005; Fink and Disterer 2006). Riege (2005), Rugullies (2003), Garfield (2006) highlighted the importance of time in knowledge sharing, referring to lack of contact time and interaction between other knowledge workers in the organization and also lack of time to share knowledge in general when priorities are elsewhere. In order to motivate employees to share their knowledge, making sure they know the benefit it can bring to them and the entire organization is also important (Rugullies 2003; Garfield 2006).

Lu, Leung and Koch (2006) conducted two studies in the People's Republic of China to understand factors influencing knowledge sharing behaviour within an organization. The result indicated that knowledge sharing behaviour is influenced by individual, interpersonal and organizational factors. From individual factors, greed (non cooperative behaviour, desire to use others' knowledge without reciprocation) was shown to reduce knowledge sharing and self efficacy (perception of person's ability to valuable contributions and their criticality) to increase it. Co-worker collegiality (interpersonal trust and teamwork) was shown to indirectly influence knowledge sharing in interpersonal level by lowering greed and increasing self efficacy. At organizational level, organizational support was proven to increase knowledge sharing by resulting in higher use of IT. The use of IT was related to sharing explicit knowledge than tacit knowledge, proving that technology alone does not support efficient knowledge sharing.

Neo (2002) in a study of knowledge sharing practices in a Singapore news company found that cultural factors have significant impact on individual's decision to share or hoard knowledge. His study revealed that lack of motivation; management support, trust, and teamwork spirit were considered as major barriers to knowledge sharing. It was also observed that 'knowledge is power' mentality was hindering to promote a knowledge sharing culture in the company. Incentives and reward mechanisms were considered favourable components of organizational culture for creating knowledge friendly environment.

Meenakshi (2002) and Sundari (2003) surveyed the perceptions of teachers in Singapore schools about sharing knowledge in schools. They reported that teachers perceived sharing with their colleagues very helpful in enhancing learning and also viewed their own knowledge worthy of sharing with other colleagues. Teachers were willing to share and preferred knowledge sharing through casual meetings with their colleagues, online communication, peer coaching, and interactive workshops. They took full advantage of information technology for knowledge sharing but found them stressed because of time pressure. These studies stressed that to make knowledge sharing popular in schools KM practices must be embedded into teaching and learning activities.

Lim, Tang, and Yang (20004) reviewed the factors affecting the individual's knowledge sharing behaviour in the organizational context focusing on the impact of financial rewards and organizational behaviour on knowledge sharing. They noted that the knowledge sharing attitudes were more evident in a face-to-face context rather than the electronic medium. Employees were found to be more willing to share knowledge with increased rewards. The study recommended customizing the reward systems and knowledge sharing contexts in order to facilitate a smoother flow of knowledge in the enterprise.

Sun and Scott (2005) investigated barriers in knowledge transfer in four different levels in organizational learning: individual, team, organizational and interorganizational. Factors found to be more significant barrier were, fear of loss of ownership, fear of loss of control of knowledge, individual values in respect of team values, personality differences and skills of communication and persuasion.

SUMMARY OF FACTORS INFLUENCING KNOWLEDGE SHARING BEHAVIOUR

Based on the extensive review of previous literature on knowledge sharing, the factors influencing knowledge sharing may be summarised as individual, organizational, and technology factors (Lee and Choi, 2003; Connelly and Kelloway, 2003; Taylor and Wright, 2004).

ROLE OF INDIVIDUAL FACTORS IN KNOWLEDGE SHARING

Individual factors play a significant role in either encouraging or discouraging knowledge sharing behaviour. Some of the major individual factors influencing knowledge sharing are the perceived reciprocal benefits, reputation enhancement, rewards and incentives for knowledge sharing, fear of loss of knowledge power, enjoyment in helping others, degree of competition and seniority in the hierarchy, self efficacy and attitude towards knowledge sharing.

ROLE OF PERCEIVED ORGANIZATIONAL CLIMATE ON KNOWLEDGE SHARING

Organizational climate guides the employee behaviour by conveying to them what behaviour is appropriate and desirable. Numerous studies have recognized the role of organizational climate as a critical driver of knowledge sharing behaviour and emphasized the need to create knowledge sharing organizational climate. The major organizational factors contributing to knowledge sharing may be summarised as top management leadership and support, teamwork, fairness, affiliation and innovativeness, organizational rewards for knowledge sharing and facilities for knowledge sharing available in the organizations.

ROLE OF TECHNOLOGICAL FACTORS ON KNOWLEDGE SHARING

Information and communication technology (ICT) use and knowledge sharing are closely linked, because ICT can enable rapid search, access and retrieval and sharing of knowledge. Past empirical findings emphasise the role of technological factors on influencing knowledge sharing behaviour. The role of technological factors in positively or negatively influencing knowledge sharing can be summarised in terms of perceived usefulness of technology, availability of technology and the degree of usage of ICT.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

For any organization to stay ahead of their competition, they must be able to exploit the internal knowledge that resides within the human memory of their skilled employees. To convert individual knowledge into organizational knowledge, individuals must consciously undertake the task of sharing their knowledge. Therefore an understanding of factors influencing knowledge sharing will help the organization to build knowledge sharing climate and encourage knowledge sharing. From the overall analysis of previous empirical findings on knowledge sharing behaviour, the factors influencing knowledge sharing can be broadly categorized into individual, organizational and technological factors. Knowledge sharing behaviour is a complex process that is determined by multiple factors ranging from soft factors (organizational culture and climate, individual attitude etc) and hard factors (IT, organizational incentive systems etc). Thus understanding of these factors influencing knowledge sharing behaviour will help the top management and leadership of knowledge intensive organizations such as Universities and Higher Education Institutes to contribute towards formulating motivational strategies to enhance knowledge sharing behaviour of its faculties and build knowledge sharing climate within the organization through effective strategies to foster, facilitate and reinforce the knowledge sharing behaviour of its faculty members.

REFERENCES

- 1. Al-Alawi, A. I., Al-Marzooqi, N. Y., Mohammed, Y. F. (2007). "Organizational Culture and Knowledge Sharing: Critical Success Factors", Journal of Knowledge Management, 11 (2): 22-42.
- 2. Ardichvili, A., Page, V., & Wentling, T. (2003). Motivation and barriers to participation in virtual knowledge-sharing communities of practice. Journal of Knowledge Management, 7(1), 64-77.
- 3. Bock, G. W., Zmud, R. W., Kim, Y. G. & Lee, Jae-Nam. (2005). Behavioral intention formation in knowledge sharing: examining the roles of extrinsic motivators, social-psychological forces, and organizational climate. MIS Quarterly, 29(1), 87-111.
- 4. Bock, G.W., & Kim, Y. G. (2002). Breaking the myths of rewards: an exploratory study of attitudes about knowledge sharing. Information Resources Management Journal. 15(2), 14-21
- 5. Cabrera, Á., Collins, W. C., Salgado, J. F. (2006). "Determinants of Individual Engagement in Knowledge Sharing", International Journal of Human Resource Management, 17 (2): 245-264.
- 6. Chennamaneni, A. (2006). "Determinants of Knowledge Sharing Behaviors: Developing and Testing an Integrated Theoretical Model", Unpublished Doctoral Thesis
- 7. Cummings, J. (2003). "Knowledge Sharing: A Review of the Literature", The World Bank, Washington, D.C, OECD Working Paper
- 8. Davenport, T. H. & Prusak, L. (1998). Working knowledge: How organizations manage what they know. Boston: Harvard Business School Press
- 9. Dvenport Thomas H (2005) Thinking for a living-How to get better performance and results from knowledge workers, Boston Mass, Harvard Business School Press
- 10. Fink, Dieter and Distere, Georg (2006). Knowledge Management in Professional Service Firms. In David G Schwartz ed. Encyclopedia of Knowledge Management London; Idea Group 381-388
- 11. Garfield S. (2006): 10 reasons why people don't share their knowledge, KM Review Volume 9, No. 2, May/June 2006 PP. 10-11
- 12. Gold, A. H., Malhotra, A., Segars, A. H. (2001). "Knowledge Management: An Organizational Capabilities Perspective", Journal of Management Information Systems, 18(1): 185-214.
- 13. Hislop, Donald (2005). Knowledge Management in Organizations- A Critical Introduction, New York: Oxford University Pres
- 14. Huber G.P. (2001): Transfer of Knowledge in Knowledge Management System: Unexplored Issues and Suggested Studies. European Journal of Information Systems(10) pp. 72-79
- 15. Kankahalli, A., Tanudidjaja, F., Sutanto, J., Tan, B. C. Y. (2003). "The Role of IT in Successful Knowledge Management Initiatives", Communication of the ACM, 46 (9): 69-73.
- 16. Kim S. Lee, H (2006): The impact of organizational context and information technology on employee information sharing capabilities. Public Administration Review, May/June 2006, pp. 370-385
- 17. Lee, H. and Choi, B. (2003), "Knowledge management enablers, processes, and organizational performance: an integrative view and empirical examination", Journal of Management Information Systems, Vol. 20 No. 1, pp. 179-228.
- 18. Lee, J. H., Kim, Y. G. and Kim, M. Y. (2006), "Effects of managerial drivers and climate maturity on knowledge-management performance: empirical validation", Information Resources Management Journal, Vol. 19 No. 3, pp. 48-60.
- 19. Riege, A. (2005). "Three-dozen Knowledge-sharing Barriers Managers Must Consider", Journal of Knowledge Management, 9 (3): 18-35.

REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

Dear Readers

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Computer Application and Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue as well as on the journal as a whole, on our E-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries please feel free to contact us on our E-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-

Co-ordinator

ABOUT THE JOURNAL

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active cooperation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.







