

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMPUTER APPLICATION & MANAGEMENT

I
J
R
C
M



A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

Indexed & Listed at:

Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, ProQuest, U.S.A., EBSCO Publishing, U.S.A., Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A.

Open J-Gate, India [link of the same is duly available at Inlibnet of University Grants Commission (U.G.C.)].

Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland with IC Value of 5.09 & number of libraries all around the world.

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than 2980 Cities in 165 countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

Ground Floor, Building No. 1041-C-1, Devi Bhawan Bazar, JAGADHRI – 135 003, Yamunanagar, Haryana, INDIA

<http://ijrcm.org.in/>

CONTENTS

Sr. No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page No.
1.	HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT PRACTICES IN HOSPITALS <i>THOTA AMRUTHA VALLI & T. SUBBARAYUDU</i>	1
2.	INVENTORY MODELS FOR DETERIORATING ITEMS WITH STOCK DEPENDENT PRODUCTION AND DEMAND RATES HAVING WEIBULL DECAY <i>ESSEY KEBEDE MULUNEH & K. SRINIVASA RAO</i>	4
3.	CHALLENGES BEFORE BUSINESS EDUCATION IN INDIA <i>DR. SONAL SHARMA & DR. M. K. SINGH</i>	18
4.	MULTI-CORE PROGRAMMING PERFORMANCE AND ANALYZES <i>AJITKUMAR M. PUNDGE, DR. PRAPTI DESHMUKH, SANJAY AZADE & SATISH SANKAYE</i>	22
5.	STUDY ON STREET LIGHTS EXECUTION USING SIMULATION MODEL WITH EXCLUSIVE FOCUS ON ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND NEURAL NETWORKS <i>ROOPSINGH TAKUR & KARUPPASAMY PANDIAN SP</i>	25
6.	EFFICIENT VIDEO TRANSMISSION FOR WIRELESS COMMUNICATION NETWORKS USING ZIGBEE PROTOCOL <i>MEENAKSHI.S, RAJKUMAR.S & S.MUTHUKUMARASAMY</i>	29
7.	WIRELESS COMMUNICATION <i>K. KRISHNAVENI</i>	33
8.	SPIRAL SECURITY MODEL TO COUNTER THE THREATS DUE TO HUMAN FACTORS IN WEB APPLICATIONS <i>BISWAJIT TRIPATHY & JIBITESH MISHRA</i>	36
9.	AN EFFICIENT METHOD FOR IMAGE RESTORATION FROM MOTION BLUR AND ADDITIVE WHITE GAUSSIAN DENOISING USING FUZZY DE-NOISING AND RICHARDSON LUCY DECONVOLUTION <i>N. UMADEVI & R. SUDHAMATHI</i>	40
10.	STUDY OF LITERATURE FOR EFFECTIVE BUSINESS COMMUNICATION <i>DR. PAWAN KUMAR SHARMA</i>	43
11.	A PROCEDURAL APPROACH TO BRANDING HR <i>DR. KALPANA KONERU & HYMAVATHI CHUNDURI</i>	46
12.	BUYING BEHAVIOUR OF CONSUMERS WITH REGARD TO SOFT DRINKS WITH REFERENCE TO COIMBATORE CITY <i>DR. A. KUMUDHA & THILAGA. S</i>	52
13.	IMPACT OF GLOBAL FINANCIAL CRISIS ON THE FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE OF SELECTED PUBLIC SECTOR BANKS IN INDIA <i>DR. V. MOHANRAJ & S.GOMATHI</i>	57
14.	ELLIPTIC CURVE CRYPTOGRAPHY <i>SANJEEV & DR. NAVEEN VERMA</i>	62
15.	IMPACT OF STRESS ON ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE AMONG POST GRADUATE STUDENTS <i>NEELUFER ASLAM, DR. SRILEKHA GOVEAS & SUMI THOMAS</i>	66
16.	THE NEXT BIG THING IN COMPUTING IS CLOUD COMPUTING: AN INTRODUCTION, CONCEPT AND ISSUES <i>C.VENISH RAJA & A.PAPPU RAJAN</i>	71
17.	ADOPTION OF CONTEMPORARY COST MANAGEMENT TECHNIQUES FOR BETTER MANAGEMENT OF COSTS <i>MANMEET KAUR & RAVINDER KAUR</i>	74
18.	JOB SATISFACTION AMONG THE EMPLOYEES OF INSURANCE SECTOR: A STUDY OF SELECTED PRIVATE INSURANCE COMPANIES IN RAJASTHAN <i>SHUBHASHREE SHARMA</i>	79
19.	CORPORATE FUNDING OF POLITICAL PARTIES UNDER NEW COMPANY LAW <i>MINNY NARANG</i>	84
20.	SIGFREE WITH EXTENDED INSTRUCTION SEQUENCE GRAPH FOR DATA FLOW ANOMALY AND PROXY <i>SHAIK SHAFIA</i>	91
21.	A STUDY ON CHALLENGES OF INDIAN HOSPITALITY INDUSTRY AND REMEDIES FOR SUSTAINABILITY IN THE EVER CHANGING MARKET SCENARIO <i>USHA DINAKARAN</i>	101
22.	A STUDY ON PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF PUBLIC & PRIVATE SECTOR MUTUAL FUNDS IN INDIA <i>DR. BHUPENDRA SINGH HADA</i>	106
23.	DETERMINANTS OF RURAL HOUSEHOLDS LOAN REPAYMENT PERFORMANCE, IN OROMIA NATIONAL REGIONAL STATE: THE CASE OF DODOTA WODEDA <i>SOLOLOMON ALEMU & ADDISU BAJIRA</i>	112
24.	AN ANALYSIS OF CELEBRITY ENDORSEMENT IN INDIA REGIONAL VS. NATIONAL CELEBRITIES <i>CHARUL CHATURVEDI & DR. SUMAN PATHAK</i>	119
25.	TERRITORIAL ACCOMMODATION OF ETHNIC CONFLICT AND ITS NEXUS WITH POST CONFLICT STATE BUILDING AND PEACE <i>BEDASA TECHAN TEFERA</i>	124
26.	GREEN BANKING SERVICES FOR SUSTAINABILITY <i>VIJAY PULICHERI & SANGEPU RAJASHEKHAR</i>	132
27.	IMPLEMENTATION OF DIRECT TAX CODE (DTC): PROBLEMS AND PROSPECTS <i>AKSHATHA B.G.</i>	136
28.	SERVICE QUALITY AND CUSTOMER SATISFACTION OF PEOPLE'S BANK IN JAFFNA DISTRICT <i>K.THARMILA</i>	142
29.	STAFF DEVELOPMENT FOR AUSTRALIAN HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONALS <i>DR. DAVID JOSEPH PEREIRA</i>	150
30.	HYBRID SCHEDULING ALGORITHM FOR WIMAX- PBD RR <i>UMESH SINGH VISEN</i>	153
	REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK & DISCLAIMER	156

CHIEF PATRON

PROF. K. K. AGGARWAL

Chairman, Malaviya National Institute of Technology, Jaipur

(An institute of National Importance & fully funded by Ministry of Human Resource Development, Government of India)

Chancellor, K. R. Mangalam University, Gurgaon

Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Faridabad

Founder Vice-Chancellor (1998-2008), Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi

Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

FOUNDER PATRON

LATE SH. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Former State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana

Former Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri

Former President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

CO-ORDINATOR

DR. SAMBHAV GARG

Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Business & Management, Urjani

ADVISORS

DR. PRIYA RANJAN TRIVEDI

Chancellor, The Global Open University, Nagaland

PROF. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Director A. C. D., School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

PROF. S. L. MAHANDRU

Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

EDITOR

PROF. R. K. SHARMA

Professor, Bharti Vidyapeeth University Institute of Management & Research, New Delhi

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

DR. RAJESH MODI

Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

PROF. PARVEEN KUMAR

Director, M.C.A., Meerut Institute of Engineering & Technology, Meerut, U. P.

PROF. H. R. SHARMA

Director, Chhatrapati Shivaji Institute of Technology, Durg, C.G.

PROF. MANOHAR LAL

Director & Chairman, School of Information & Computer Sciences, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

PROF. ANIL K. SAINI

Chairperson (CRC), Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

PROF. R. K. CHOUDHARY

Director, Asia Pacific Institute of Information Technology, Panipat

DR. ASHWANI KUSH

Head, Computer Science, University College, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra

DR. BHARAT BHUSHAN

Head, Department of Computer Science & Applications, Guru Nanak Khalsa College, Yamunanagar

DR. VIJAYPAL SINGH DHAKA

Dean (Academics), Rajasthan Institute of Engineering & Technology, Jaipur

DR. SAMBHAVNA

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

DR. MOHINDER CHAND

Associate Professor, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra

DR. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, P.J.L.N. Government College, Faridabad

DR. SAMBHAV GARG

Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Business & Management, Urjani

DR. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE

Asst. Professor, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

DR. BHAVET

Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Business & Management, Urjani

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

PROF. ABHAY BANSAL

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity University, Noida

PROF. NAWAB ALI KHAN

Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

ASHISH CHOPRA

Sr. Lecturer, Doon Valley Institute of Engineering & Technology, Karnal

TECHNICAL ADVISOR

AMITA

Faculty, Government M. S., Mohali

FINANCIAL ADVISORS

DICKIN GOYAL

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

NEENA

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

LEGAL ADVISORS

JITENDER S. CHAHAL

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

SUPERINTENDENT

SURENDER KUMAR POONIA

CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to recent developments & practices in the areas of Computer Science & Applications; Commerce; Business; Finance; Marketing; Human Resource Management; General Management; Banking; Economics; Tourism Administration & Management; Education; Law; Library & Information Science; Defence & Strategic Studies; Electronic Science; Corporate Governance; Industrial Relations; and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Rural Economics; Co-operation; Demography; Development Planning; Development Studies; Applied Economics; Development Economics; Business Economics; Monetary Policy; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Political Science; Continuing Education; Labour Welfare; Philosophy; Psychology; Sociology; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law; Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labour Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; International Relations; Human Rights & Duties; Public Administration; Population Studies; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism & Hospitality; Transportation Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic; Web Design and emerging paradigms in allied subjects.

Anybody can submit the **soft copy** of unpublished novel; original; empirical and high quality **research work/manuscript** **anytime** in **M.S. Word format** after preparing the same as per our **GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION**; at our email address i.e. infoijrcm@gmail.com or online by clicking the link **online submission** as given on our website ([FOR ONLINE SUBMISSION, CLICK HERE](#)).

GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT

1. **COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:**

DATED: _____

THE EDITOR
IJRCM

Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF

(e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)

DEAR SIR/MADAM

Please find my submission of manuscript entitled '_____ ' for possible publication in your journals.

I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore, it has neither been published elsewhere in any language fully or partly, nor is it under review for publication elsewhere.

I affirm that all the author (s) have seen and agreed to the submitted version of the manuscript and their inclusion of name (s) as co-author (s).

Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the formalities as given on the website of the journal & you are free to publish our contribution in any of your journals.

NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Designation:
Affiliation with full address, contact numbers & Pin Code:
Residential address with Pin Code:
Mobile Number (s):
Landline Number (s):
E-mail Address:
Alternate E-mail Address:

NOTES:

- a) The whole manuscript is required to be in **ONE MS WORD FILE** only (pdf. version is liable to be rejected without any consideration), which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript.
- b) The sender is required to mention the following in the **SUBJECT COLUMN** of the mail:
New Manuscript for Review in the area of (Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)
- c) There is no need to give any text in the body of mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any specific message w.r.t. to the manuscript.
- d) The total size of the file containing the manuscript is required to be below **500 KB**.
- e) Abstract alone will not be considered for review, and the author is required to submit the complete manuscript in the first instance.
- f) The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of manuscript, within two days of submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending separate mail to the journal.

2. **MANUSCRIPT TITLE:** The title of the paper should be in a 12 point Calibri Font. It should be bold typed, centered and fully capitalised.

3. **AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS:** The author (s) **full name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline numbers**, and **email/alternate email address** should be in italic & 11-point Calibri Font. It must be centered underneath the title.

4. **ABSTRACT:** Abstract should be in fully italicized text, not exceeding 250 words. The abstract must be informative and explain the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a single para. Abbreviations must be mentioned in full.

5. **KEYWORDS:** Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of five. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stops at the end.
6. **MANUSCRIPT:** Manuscript must be in **BRITISH ENGLISH** prepared on a standard A4 size **PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER**. It must be prepared on a single space and single column with 1" margin set for top, bottom, left and right. It should be typed in 8 point Calibri Font with page numbers at the bottom and centre of every page. It should be free from grammatical, spelling and punctuation errors and must be thoroughly edited.
7. **HEADINGS:** All the headings should be in a 10 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
8. **SUB-HEADINGS:** All the sub-headings should be in a 8 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
9. **MAIN TEXT:** The main text should follow the following sequence:

INTRODUCTION**REVIEW OF LITERATURE****NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY****STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM****OBJECTIVES****HYPOTHESES****RESEARCH METHODOLOGY****RESULTS & DISCUSSION****FINDINGS****RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS****CONCLUSIONS****SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH****ACKNOWLEDGMENTS****REFERENCES****APPENDIX/ANNEXURE**

It should be in a 8 point Calibri Font, single spaced and justified. The manuscript should preferably not exceed **5000 WORDS**.

10. **FIGURES & TABLES:** These should be simple, crystal clear, centered, separately numbered & self explained, and **titles must be above the table/figure. Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure.** It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text.
11. **EQUATIONS:** These should be consecutively numbered in parentheses, horizontally centered with equation number placed at the right.
12. **REFERENCES:** The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript and they are supposed to follow **Harvard Style of Referencing**. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
 - All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
 - Use **(ed.)** for one editor, and **(ed.s)** for multiple editors.
 - When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc, in chronologically ascending order.
 - Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
 - The title of books and journals should be in italics. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
 - For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parentheses.
 - The location of endnotes within the text should be indicated by superscript numbers.

PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:**BOOKS**

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

- Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

- Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

CONFERENCE PAPERS

- Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19-22 June.

UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS AND THESES

- Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

ONLINE RESOURCES

- Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

WEBSITES

- Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Natural Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 <http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp>

DR. BHUPENDRA SINGH HADA
ASST. PROFESSOR
SCHOOL OF BUSINESS AND MANAGEMENT
JAIPUR NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
JAIPUR

ABSTRACT

Mutual fund provides a readymade option to households for portfolio diversification as well as relative risk aversion through collecting and investing their savings in different risk-return profile instruments. Its performance depends on the performance of underlying portfolio. If one or more schemes perform badly in the portfolio, that can affect or hurt the investment decisions of investors and may get them out from the scenario of wealth creation process. For saving investors' money from such a hazard, it becomes necessary to evaluate the performance of mutual fund portfolio so that investors can take/judge their investment decisions rationally. This evaluation would help in checking the prime idea of "putting all eggs in different baskets" behind mutual funds and guessing that how far this idea is doing well for investors. Therefore, our study has attempted to evaluate the comparative performance of public and private sector mutual fund schemes in the Indian Mutual fund Industry has witnessed a structural transformation during the past few years. Therefore it becomes important to examine the performance of the mutual fund in the changed environment. This paper has evaluated the performance of Indian Mutual fund scheme from 1st April, 2007 to 31 March, 2012. To examine the funds sensitivity to the market fluctuations in terms of beta. To appraise investment performance of mutual funds with risk adjustment the theoretical parameters as suggested by Sharpe, Treynor and Jensen. To rank the funds according to Sharpe's, Treynors and Jenson's performance measure.

KEYWORDS

risk and return, Sharpe's, Treynors and Jenson's ratio, NAV.

1. INTRODUCTION

Mutual Funds over the years have gained immensely in their popularity. Apart from the many advantages that investing in mutual funds provide like diversification, professional management, the ease of investment process has proved to be a major enabling factor. However, with the introduction of innovative products, the world of mutual funds nowadays has a lot to offer to its investors. With the introduction of diverse options, investors need to choose a mutual fund that meets his risk acceptance and his risk capacity levels and has similar investment objectives as the investor.

With the plethora of schemes available in the Indian markets, an investor needs to evaluate and consider various factors before making an investment decision. Since not everyone has the time or inclination to invest and do the analysis himself, the job is best left to a professional. Since Indian economy is no more a closed market, and has started integrating with the world markets, external factors which are complex in nature affect us too. Factors such as an increase in short-term US interest rates, the hike in crude prices, or any major happening in Asian market have a deep impact on the Indian stock market. Although it is not possible for an individual investor to understand Indian companies and investing in such an environment, the process can become fairly time consuming. Mutual funds (whose fund managers are paid to understand these issues and whose Asset Management Company invests in research) provide an option of investing without getting lost in the complexities.

Most importantly, mutual funds provide risk diversification: diversification of a portfolio is amongst the primary tenets of portfolio structuring, and a necessary one to reduce the level of risk assumed by the portfolio holder. Most of us are not necessarily well qualified to apply the theories of portfolio structuring to our holdings and hence would be better off leaving that to a professional. Mutual funds represent one such option. Lastly, Evaluate past performance, look for stability and although past performance is no guarantee of future performance, it is a useful way to assess how well or badly a fund has performed in comparison to its stated objectives and peer group. A good way to do this would be to identify the five best performing funds (within your selected investment objectives) over various periods, say 3 months, 6 months, one year, two years and three years.

Shortlist funds that appear in the top 5 in each of these time horizons as they would have thus demonstrated their ability to be not only good but also, consistent performers. An investor can choose the fund on various criteria according to his investment objective, to name a few:

- Thorough analysis of fund performance of schemes over the last few years managed by the fund house and its consistent return in the volatile market.
- The fund house should be professional, with efficient management and administration.
- The corpus the fund is holding in its scheme over the period of time.
- Proper adequacies of disclosures have to see and also make a note of any hidden charges carried by them.
- The price at which you can enter/exit (i.e. entry load / exit load) the scheme and its impact on overall return

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Barua and Verma (1991) provided empirical evidence of equity mutual fund performance in India. They studied the investment performance of India's first 7-year close-end equity mutual fund, Master share. They found that the fund performed satisfactory for large investor in terms of rate of return. Ippolito (1992) expressed that fund/scheme selection by investors is based on past performance of the funds and money flows into winning funds more rapidly than they flow out of losing funds. Sarkar and Majumdar (1995) evaluated financial performance of five close-ended growth funds for the period February 1991 to August 1993, concluded that the performance was below average in terms of alpha values (all negative and statistically not significant) and funds possessed high risk.

Jaydev (1996) evaluated performance of two schemes during the period, June 1992 to March 1994 in terms of returns / benchmark comparison, diversification, selectivity and market timing skills. He concluded that the schemes failed to perform better than the market portfolio (ET's ordinary share price index). Gupta and Sehgal (1997) evaluated mutual fund performance over a four-year period, 1992-96. The sample consisted of 80 mutual fund schemes. They concluded that mutual fund industry performed well during the period of study. The performance was evaluated in terms of benchmark comparison, performance from one period to the next and their risk-return characteristics.

Mishra (2001) evaluated performance over a period, April 1992 to December 1996. The sample size was 24 public sector sponsored mutual funds. The performance was evaluated in terms of rate of return, Treynor, Sharpe and Jensen measures of performance. The study concluded dismal performance of PSU mutual funds in India, in general, during the period, 1992-96.

Zakri Y. Bello (2005) matched a sample of socially responsible stock mutual funds matched to randomly selected conventional funds of similar net assets to investigate differences in characteristics of assets held, degree of portfolio diversification and variable effects of diversification on investment performance. The study found that socially responsible funds do not differ significantly from conventional funds in terms of any of these attributes. Moreover, the effect of diversification on investment performance is not different between the two groups. Both groups underperformed the Domini 400 Social Index and S & P 500 during the study period.

Mayank V. Bhatt and Chetan C. Patel (2008) studied the performance comparison of different mutual funds schemes in India through Sharpe index model and concluded that mutual funds are the most popular and safe parameter for an investor to invest.

Kavita Chavali and Shefali Jain (2009) evaluated the performance of equity linked savings schemes and concluded that the fund chosen by the investor should match the risk appetite of the investor. Narayan Rao and M. Ravindran evaluated performance of Indian mutual funds in a bear market through relative performance index, risk-return analysis, Treynor ratio, Sharpe ratio, Jensen measure, and Fama's measure. The results of performance measures suggested that most of mutual fund schemes in the sample of 58 were able to satisfy investor's expectations by giving excess returns over expected returns based on both premium for systematic risk and total risk.

Mutual Fund as an investment vehicle is capturing the attention of various segments of the society, like academicians, industrialists, financial intermediaries, investors and regulators.

3. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES/RESEARCH QUESTIONS/HYPOTHESES

The major objectives of the study are:-

1. To evaluate Mutual Fund Schemes in respect of their risk & return.
2. Analyzing the performance of mutual fund schemes with their benchmark
3. Finding the Volatility of mutual fund schemes by using beta.
4. Provide information about pros and cons of investing in Mutual Funds.

4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

Data Sources: Mutual funds which have been operating for greater than five years and performing during the period of study (i.e. 2007 –2012) were selected for the present research. There were 340 such mutual funds belonging to categories - Money market category funds, Debt Category Funds, Equity category funds and balanced category funds, etc. The reasons for studying the performance of mutual fund for a period of five years (2007- 2012) are:

- A large number of mutual funds have been affected during 2007 - 2012.
- The mutual fund industry in India registered notable growth & fluctuations during 2007 – 2012 Period.
- The Indian stock market has fluctuated exceptionally well during 2007 – 2012.

It gives brief ideas related to Mutual Fund investment like

- Comparison of different mutual funds & their schemes
- By using what kind of tool customer can safely invest his money
- Information on the risks & returns involved in mutual funds
- Giving the right information to the investor about the safe investments in mutual fund's schemes.
- Analysis of the performance of mutual fund schemes with their Benchmark
- Provide information about pros and cons of investing in Mutual Funds
- Safety of the investment to the customer.

Type of research: Type of research is Descriptive research, which is Quantitative in nature.

The whole study can be termed as critical study of risk & return of investment in mutual funds. It is purely a quantitative study of available secondary data.

Sample Size: Sample size of the study was as below:

Method of sampling is convenience sampling. Six mutual funds and their schemes are selected.

The present study is a sample study. Samples were selected from top & representative mutual funds offered by domestic and foreign AMC's operating in India. Samples drawn for the study includes six funds & their schemes from AMCs, operating in India.

SAMPLE PROFILE

Mutual Funds'Schemes of

- 1 UTI mutual fund
- 2 SBI mutual funds
- 3 Reliance mutual funds
- 4 Franklin Templeton mutual funds

PERFORMANCE MEASURES

The Treynor Measure

Developed by Jack Treynor, this performance measure evaluates funds on the basis of Treynor's Index. This Index is a ratio of return generated by the fund over and above risk free rate of return (generally taken to be the return on securities backed by the government, as there is no credit risk associated), during a given period and systematic risk associated with it (beta). Symbolically, it can be represented as:

Treynor's Index (Ti) = (Ri - Rf)/Bi.

Where, Ri represents return on fund, Rf is risk free rate of return and Bi is beta of the fund.

All risk-averse investors would like to maximize this value. While a high and positive Treynor's Index shows a superior risk adjusted performance of a fund, a low and negative Treynor's Index is an indication of unfavorable performance.

The Sharpe Measure

In this model, performance of a fund is evaluated on the basis of Sharpe Ratio, which is a ratio of returns generated by the fund over and above risk free rate of return and the total risk associated with it. According to Sharpe, it is the total risk of the fund that the investors are concerned about. So, the model evaluates funds on the basis of reward per unit of total risk. Symbolically, it can be written as:

Sharpe Index (Si) = (Ri - Rf)/Si

Where, Si is standard deviation of the fund. While a high and positive Sharpe Ratio shows a superior risk adjusted performance of a fund, a low and negative Sharpe Ratio is an indication of unfavorable performance

Jenson Model

Jenson's model proposes another risk adjusted performance measure. This measure was developed by Michael Jenson and is sometimes referred to as the Differential Return Method. This measure involves evaluation of the returns that the fund has generated. The surplus between the two returns is called Alpha, which measures the performance of a fund compared with the actual returns over the period. Required return of a fund at a given level of risk (Bi) can be calculated as:

Ri = Rf + Bi (Rm - Rf)

Where, Rm is average market return during the given period. After calculating it, alpha can be obtained by subtracting returns of the fund.

Higher alpha represents superior performance of the fund and vice versa. Limitation of this model is that it considers only systematic risk not the entire risk associated with the fund and an ordinary investor cannot mitigate unsystematic risk, as his knowledge of market is primitive.

Beta

Market risk is commonly measured by the Beta co-efficient. Beta reflects the sensitivity of the fund's return to fluctuations in the Market Index. The formula for calculating Beta may be stated as;

Beta value= (σi /σm) X pim

Where —σi is the standard deviation of the fund, —σm is the standard deviation of the Market, and —pim is the correlation coefficient of the portfolio with market.

Standard Deviation

Standard deviation is a measure of dispersion in return. A high value of standard deviation means high risk.

HYPOTHESES OF THE STUDY

1. There is no significant difference between the returns of different mutual fund schemes of respective mutual fund categories.
2. There is no significant difference between the returns of mutual funds of different mutual funds categories. The broader hypothesis for the study would be as under.

Ho: There would be no significant difference in performance of various selected six Mutual Funds in various sectors.

H1: There would be significant difference in performance of various selected six Mutual Funds in various sectors.

Above Hypothesis would be expected to review with following sub – parameters which are as under.

- a) Level of Risk
- b) Level of Return
- c) Values of Book Value Ratio and Price Earnings Ratio.
- d) Assets under Management
- e) Diversification of Assets
- f) Net Assets Value.

5. ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION:**STUDY OF RETURNS OF GROWTH SCHEMES**

Scheme Name	1month %	3month %	6month %	1year %	3 year %	5 years %	Since Inception	Category	Structure
Reliance Growth- Growth	-5.99	-11.12	-19.21	-26.89	18.92	6.38	24.77	Equity	Open Ended
Templet on India Growth Fund - Growth	-6.12	-8.72	-21.04	-29.05	20.63	7.03	15.71	Equity	Open Ended
UTI Equity Fund - Growth	0.51	-3.96	-11.14	-11.30	24.46	8.20	9.09	Equity	Open Ended
SBI Magnum Equity Fund - Growth	1.05	-3.79	-11.54	-10.56	25.29	6.67	10.52	Equity	Open Ended

STUDY OF RETURNS OF DIVIDEND SCHEMES

Scheme Name	1month %	3month %	6month %	1year %	3 year %	5 years %	Since Inception	Category	Structure
Reliance Growth- Growth	-5.99	-11.11	-19.20	-26.89	18.92	6.37	16.96	Equity	Open Ended
Templet on India Growth Fund - Growth	-6.12	-8.72	-21.04	-29.05	20.64	7.03	13.65	Equity	Open Ended
UTI Equity Fund - Growth	0.51	-3.95	-11.13	-11.30	24.43	8.03	8.94	Equity	Open Ended
SBI Magnum Equity Fund - Growth	1.05	-3.78	-11.55	-11.55	25.48	6.76	10.60	Equity	Open Ended

STUDY OF RETURNS OF BALANCED SCHEMES

Scheme Name	1month %	3month %	6 month %	1year %	3 year %	5 years %	Since Inception	Category	Structure
FT India Balance d Fund - Dividend	1.47	-2.78	-7.48	-5.78	17.94	6.66	11.35	Equity & Debt	Open Ended
FT India Balance d Fund - Growth	1.47	-2.78 -	-7.48	5.78	17.93	6.66	13.38	Equity & Debt	Open Ended
UTI Balanced Fund - Dividend	0.73	-4.65	-11.67	-12.47	18.33	4.75	9.39	Equity & Debt	Open Ended
SBI Magnum Balance d Fund - Dividend	1.13	-3.71	-12.41	-14.97	16.09	4.02	12.96	Equity & Debt	Open Ended
UTI Balanced Fund - Growth	0.70	-4.64	-11.64	-12.47	18.55	4.90	12.85	Equity & Debt	Open Ended
SBI Magnum Balance d Fund - Growth	1.13	-3.70	-12.40	-14.96	16.19	4.05	13.72	Equity & Debt	Open Ended

STUDY OF RETURNS OF LIQUID SCHEMES

Scheme Name	1month %	3month %	6month %	1year %	3 year %	5 years %	Since Inception	Category	Structure
Reliance Liquid Fund – Daily Div	0.63	1.82	3.61	7.05	5.17	5.83	4.90	Short Term Debt	Open Ended
Templet on India Cash Mgmt. A/c Fund -Dividend	0.48	1.40	2.69	5.21	3.48	4.24	3.57	Short Term Debt	Open Ended
UTI Liquid Fund – Cash Plan - Daily Div	0.65	1.88	3.74	7.24	5.11	5.72	4.54	Short Term Debt	Ope Ended
SBI Premier Liquid Fund – IP - Daily Div	0.62	1.80	3.57	6.99	5.00	5.51	4.41	Short Term Debt	Open Ended
Reliance Liquid Fund – Growth	0.79	2.32	4.61	9.08	6.65	7.47	7.23	Short Term Debt	Open Ended
Templet on India Cash Mgmt. A/c Fund - Growth	0.61	1.78	3.46	6.78	4.55	5.49	5.38	Short Term Debt	Open Ended
UTI Liquid Fund – Cash Plan - Growth	0.74	2.16	4.31	8.41	6.09	6.89	6.28	Short Term Debt	Open Ended
SBI Premier Liquid Fund – IP - Growth	0.79	2.29	4.56	8.97	6.41	7.06	6.49	Short Term Debt	Open Ended

STUDY OF RETURNS OF INCOME SCHEMES

Scheme Name	1month %	3month %	6month %	1year %	3 year %	5 years %	Since Inception	Category	Structure
Reliance Income Fund – Retail - Dividend	2.07	2.29	4.03	6.01	2.51	7.57	6.23	Debts	Open Ended
Templeton India Income Fund - Dividend	0.63	1.65	3.68	7.69	5.07	5.72	5.64	Debts	Open Ended
UTI Bond Fund - Dividend	1.24	2.74	5.53	10.26	2.87	7.95	7.34	Debts	Open Ended
SBI Magnum Income Fund - Dividend	1.63	2.82	4.61	8.15	3.22	3.94	4.73	Debts	Open Ended
Reliance Income Fund – Retail - Growth	2.07	2.29	4.03	6.57	3.37	7.91	9.14	Debts	Open Ended
Templeton India Income Fund - Growth	0.63	1.80	3.83	8.24	5.61	6.73	8.90	Debts	Open Ended
UTI Bond Fund - Growth	1.59	3.10	6.16	11.21	3.63	7.37	8.56	Debts	Open Ended
SBI Magnum Income Fund - Growth	1.63	2.82	4.83	8.62	3.64	4.94	7.23	Debts	Open Ended

CALCULATIONS AND STUDIES OF DIFFERENT MEASURES OF RISK

S.D., Beta, Sharpe & Treynor of Equity & Growth Schemes as on 31st March, 2012

Scheme Name	S.D.	Beta	Sharpe	Treynor
Reliance Growth - Growth	3.73	0.86	0.05	0.23
Templeton India Growth Fund - Growth	3.75	0.86	0.06	0.25
UTI Equity Fund - Growth	3.08	0.73	0.08	0.33
SBI Magnum Equity Fund - Growth	3.76	0.88	0.06	0.24

S.D., Beta, Sharpe & Treynor of Growth dividend Schemes as on 31st March, 2012

Scheme Name	S.D.	Beta	Sharpe	Treynor
Reliance Growth - Dividend	3.73	0.86	0.05	0.23
Templeton India Growth Fund - Dividend	3.75	0.86	0.06	0.25
UTI Equity Fund - Dividend	3.08	0.73	0.08	0.33
SBI Magnum Equity Fund - Dividend	3.75	0.88	0.06	0.25

S.D., Beta, Sharpe & Treynor of Balanced Schemes as on 31st March, 2012

Scheme Name	S.D.	Beta	Sharpe	Treynor
FT India Balanced Fund -Dividend	2.46	0.90	0.05	0.15
FT India Balanced Fund - Growth	2.46	0.90	0.05	0.15
UTI Balanced Fund - Dividend	2.72	0.98	0.06	0.17
SBI Magnum Balanced Fund - Dividend	2.97	1.08	0.04	0.10
UTI Balanced Fund – Growth	2.72	0.98	0.06	0.17
SBI Magnum Balanced Fund - Growth	2.97	1.08	0.04	0.10

S.D., Beta, Sharpe & Treynor of Liquid Schemes of Selected Mutual Funds as on 31st March, 2012.

Scheme Name	S.D.	Beta	Sharpe	Treynor
Reliance Liquid Fund – Daily Div	0.03	0.46	-0.26	-0.02
Templeton India Cash Mgmt. A/c Fund - Dividend	0.03	0.45	-1.38	-0.09
UTI Liquid Fund – Cash Plan -Daily Div	0.03	0.50	-0.32	-0.02
SBI Premier Liquid Fund – IP - Daily Div	0.03	0.44	-0.45	-0.03
Reliance Liquid Fund – Growth	0.04	0.60	0.53	0.03
Templeton India Cash Mgmt. A/c Fund - Growth	0.04	0.58	-0.53	-0.03
UTI Liquid Fund – Cash Plan -Growth	0.04	0.58	0.24	0.01
SBI Premier Liquid Fund – IP –Growth	0.04	0.57	0.34	0.02

S.D., Beta, Sharpe & Treynor of Income Schemes of Selected Mutual Funds as on 31st March, 2012.

Scheme Name	S.D.	Beta	Sharpe	Treynor
Reliance Income Fund – Retail- Dividend	0.81	1.99	0.06	0.02
Templeton India Income Fund- Dividend	0.36	0.89	0.05	0.02
UTI Bond Fund - Dividend	0.82	1.78	0.05	0.02
SBI Magnum Income Fund - Dividend	0.62	1.44	0.01	0.01
Reliance Income Fund – Retail - Growth	0.80	2.00	0.08	0.03
Templeton India Income Fund - Growth	0.37	0.91	0.08	0.03
UTI Bond Fund - Growth	0.82	1.79	0.07	0.03
SBI Magnum Income Fund - Growth	0.62	1.43	0.02	0.01

6. RECOMMENDATION/SUGGESTION/FINDINGS

RESEARCH FINDINGS

This research work has find out the following facts and figures about the risk and returns of selected Mutual Funds Schemes as on 31st December, 2011.

- (1) Not any of mutual fund schemes is best on the short-term basis. Hence, on the basis of recent returns, UTI Equity-G is the best options and on the basis of far previous year returns, Reliance Growth.G is the best options. Therefore on overall basis Reliance Growth.G is the best option.
- (2) Not any of mutual fund schemes is best on the short-term basis. On the basis of recent returns, UTI Equity-D is the best options and on the basis of far previous year returns, Reliance Growth.D is the best options. Therefore on overall basis Reliance Growth.G is the best option.
- (3) Returns are positive for 1 month of FT India Bal. Fund.D&G, SBI Mag. Bal. Fund.D&G and UTI Bal. Fund.D&G . That is on the basis of 1 month return FT India Bal. Fund.D&G, SBI Mag. Bal. Fund.D&G are the best option. Options with negative returns are not selected. On the basis of long-term returns & returns since inception SBI Mag. Bal. Fund.D&G & FT India Bal. Fund.D&G are the best options. Therefore on overall basis SBI Mag. Bal. Fund.D&G is the best option.
- (4) The top selected liquid schemes are Reliance Liq. Fund-G, SBI Pre. Liq. Fund-IP.G, UTI Liq. Fund.CP-G and in their descending order for short-term up to 1 year, i.e. best one is Reliance Liq. Fund-G on the basis of short-term, i.e. up to 1 year. On the basis of 3 yrs., 5 yrs. and return since inception, maximum return is secured by the Reliance Liq. Fund-G, then by the SBI Pre. Liq. Fund-IP.G, UTI Liq. Fund.CP-G in their descending order for 3 yrs., 5 yrs. returns and

- SBI Pre. Liq. Fund-IP.G and UTI Liq. Fund.CP-G for return since inception. Therefore, Reliance Liq. Fund-G is the best one on overall basis long-term and short-term both.
- (5) On the basis of all the short-term period up to 1 year, we see that UTI has performed well on the basis of 3 months, 6 months and 1 year. But in the last 1 month Reliance have performed well comparative to others in their descending order. Hence, best option is UTI Bond Fund-G on short-term as well as long-term basis. But Templ. India Income Fund-G&D have secured more returns for 5 years period comparative to others & best one is Templ. India Income Fund-G.
 - (6) On the basis of Standard Deviation., SBI Mag. Equity Fund.G, Templ. India Growth Fund-G, Reliance Growth-G and UTI Equity Fund-G are most risky in their descending order. UTI Equity Fund-G is least risky on all risk bases. On the basis of Beta the pattern is almost similar to the previous one. As per Sharpe and Treynor, returns are good enough or compensate the risk taken by investors by investing in these. The best option is UTI Equity Fund-G.
 - (7) On the basis of Standard Deviation, SBI Mag. Equity Fund.D, Templ. India Growth Fund-D, Reliance Growth-D and UTI Equity Fund-D are most risky in their descending order. UTI Equity Fund-D is least risky on all risk bases. On the basis of Beta, the pattern is almost similar to the previous one. As per Sharpe and Treynor, returns are good enough or compensate the risk taken by investors by investing in these. The best options, in their descending order, are UTI Equity Fund-D.
 - (8) On the basis of Standard Deviation. SBI Mag. Balance Fund. D&G, UTI Balance Fund-D&G and FT India Balance Fund-D&G are most risky in their descending order. FT India Balance Fund-D&G are least risky on all risk bases. On the basis of Beta, the pattern is almost similar to the previous one. As per Sharpe and Treynor, returns are good enough or compensate the risk taken by investors by investing in these. Therefore, on overall basis UTI Balance Fund-D&G are the best options.
 - (9) On the basis of Standard Deviation. Reliance Liq. Fund-Dly D, SBI Pre. Liq. Fund-IP.Dly D, UTI Liq. Fund.CP-Dly D and Templ. India CM A/c Fund-D are least risky with same risk. Schemes with dividend option are least risky on all risk bases. On the basis of Beta, the pattern is little bit different. The pattern is Reliance Liq. Fund-G, SBI Pre. Liq. Fund-IP.Dly D in their descending order of risk. SBI Pre. Liq. Fund-IP.Dly D is the least risky. Sharpe and Treynor are positive only for Reliance Liq. Fund-G, and SBI Pre. Liq. Fund-IP.G, UTI Liq. Fund.CP-G. That shows that the returns are good enough or compensate the risk taken by investors by investing only for/in Reliance Liq. Fund-G and SBI Pre. Liq. Fund-IP.G, UTI Liq. Fund. Therefore the best one is Reliance Liq. Fund-G and the worst one is Templ. India CM A/c Fund-D.
 - (10) On the basis of Standard Deviation. UTI Bond Fund-D&G, Reliance Income Fund-Ret.- D&G, SBI Mag. Income Fund. D&G and Templ. India Income Fund-D&G are most risky in their descending order. Templ. India Income Fund-D&G are least risky on all risk bases. On the basis of Beta, the pattern is almost similar to the previous one. As per Sharpe and Treynor, returns are somewhat enough or compensate the risk taken by investors by investing in these. The best options, in their descending order, Templ. India Income Fund-G, Reliance Income Fund-Ret.-G, Therefore, on overall basis Templ. India Income Fund-G is the best options.

7. LIMITATION OF THE STUDY

This report gives an insight about mutual funds and mutual fund Schemes but with few limitations as follows:

The big question is how to judge a mutual fund before investing? It is important for an investor to consider a fund's performance over several years.

The report only analyses mutual fund schemes of only some funds. There are around 44 AMCs offering wide range of schemes but to analyze all of them is a tedious task.

Information is mainly collected regarding top and representative mutual funds.

Different fund managers adopt different strategies to improve performance. While one fund manager may have invested in speculative stocks over a period, another one who have invested in speculative stocks may have struck gold in that year to outperform the former by a long way.

Lack of proper knowledge and awareness about advantages and disadvantages associated with various schemes among the investor.

- The time period for the project was limited and information provided is limited to the extent of internet and journals.
- The sample size is limited to only six mutual funds.
- The study is limited to mutual fund schemes in respect of their risk, return and liquidity.
- The analysis is mainly based on share price and unit price information.

To get an insight in the process of portfolio allocation and deployment of funds by fund manager is difficult.

The project is unable to analysis each and every scheme of mutual funds to create the ideal portfolio.

The portfolio of mutual fund investments can change according to the market conditions.

8. CONCLUSIONS

This research work has find out the following facts and figures about the risk and returns of selected Mutual Funds Schemes as on 31st March, 2012. On these risk and returns we conclude that.

ON THE BASIS OF RETURN

The major market of Mutual Fund is in Income schemes, Growth schemes and Liquid schemes, out of which Growth market is mostly leaded by UTI and Templeton on the basis of, mainly, returns up to 5 years. No doubt that in Growth SBI and Reliance have also performed well, out of which one is private Indian MF and other is Bank sponsored MF.

The situation is same in both, dividend & growth options of Equity & Growth schemes of selected Mutual Funds.

In Balanced schemes, Franklin Templeton and then UTI & SBI are also performing well. On overall basis SBI Mag. Bal. Fund D&G is the best option.

In Liquid & Money Market schemes, the situation are little bit different with the leading Mutual Funds Reliance, SBI and UTI are performing well in their descending order.

Therefore, Reliance-G is the best one on overall basis long-term and short-term both.

In Income & Bond schemes, leading players Templeton and UTI, Reliance are performing well in their descending order. SBI has also performed well with other on the same criteria, i.e. on the basis of returns.

With Dividend option, Reliance Growth-D, UTI Equity Fund-D, Templeton India Growth Fund-D all are best on the basis of NAV creation through their overall long term better performance in descending order.

With Growth option, Reliance Growth-G is best on the basis of NAV creation through their overall long term better performance in descending order.

ON THE BASIS OF RISK

Risk is highest with SBI, Templeton, Reliance and UTI in their descending order in Equity & Growth segment with both the options. UTI is least risky on all risk bases. On the basis of risk adjusted return best options, in their descending order, is UTI Equity & Growth segment with both the options. Therefore, UTI is also the best options on, both, the risk & return bases.

SBI and UTI most risky in their descending order in the balance segment. Franklin Templeton is least risky on all risk bases. On the basis of risk adjusted return best options, UTI balanced fund D&G. Therefore, on overall basis UTI is the best option.

In Liquid & Money Market segment, schemes with dividend option are least risky on all risk bases. In which SBI is the least risky. On the basis of risk adjusted return best options, in their descending order, are Reliance, SBI and UTI with Growth option. Therefore, the best one is Reliance-G and the worst one is Templeton-D.

UTI and Reliance are most risky in their descending order in Income & Bond segment. Templeton is least risky on all risk bases. On the basis of risk adjusted return best options, are Templeton and Reliance with Growth options. Therefore, on overall basis Templeton-G is the best options.

But in the present scenario market is not performing well. That's why MFs schemes are not performing well except liquid and income schemes in the short run.

So, in nutshell, we can say that Reliance and SBI are beneficial for investment in Liquid & Money Market schemes in all aspects. Templeton and Reliance are best for Income &

Bond schemes. UTI & Templeton are best for Equity & Growth schemes and Franklin Templeton is best for balanced scheme.

These are best in different schemes because these are best approximately in each and every aspect of these schemes. Hence, we can say that the...

- (1) Mutual Funds are growing in number and gaining popularity among small investors with an exception of last 1 month to 1 year, especially in the case of Equity & Growth schemes and balanced scheme.
- (2) Preferences of investors are different towards different type of schemes as well as organization. Acceptability of Mutual Funds has changed the pattern of investments.
- (3) Mutual Funds generally in the long run outperform the market.
Returns provided by the Mutual Funds are generally better in long run than the returns provided by the other similar investment. Movement in market prices of schemes is positively related to the movements in NAV.
- (4) The return and risk-adjusted return on Mutual Funds are satisfactory in long run except during the recession.

REFERENCES

1. Agrawal M.R.: Financial Management-Garima Publications, Jaipur, 2008.
2. Avadhani V.A.: Marketing of Financial Services-Himalaya Publishing House, New Delhi, 2006.
3. Bahtt R.S.: UTI and Mutual Funds – A Study —, UTI ICM, Navi Mumbai.
4. Bansal, Lalit: Mutual Funds- Management and Working ,, Deep and Deep Publication, New Delhi.
5. Bhat Sudhindra: Financial Management-Excel Books, New Delhi, 2008.
6. Bhole L.M. : 1999 Unit Trust of India and Mutual Funds Financial Institutions and Marktes, Tata McGraw Hills Publishing Limited ,New Delhi, P.217.
7. Chandra Prasana: Financial Management-TATA McGraw Hill Publishing Co. Ltd, New Delhi, 2008.
8. Fischer & Jordan: Security Analysis and Portfolio Management- PHI Learning Pvt. Ltd, New Delhi, 2009.
9. Khan M.Y. & Jain P.K.: Financial Management-TATA McGraw Hill Publishing Co. Ltd, New Delhi, 2008.
10. Khandelwal, Gupta Agrawal & Ahmad: Quantitative Techniques for Management- Ajmera Book Company, Jaipur, 2008.
11. Kothari C.R.: Research Methodology-New Age International (P) Ltd. Publisher, New Delhi, 2005.
12. Pandey I. M.: Financial Management-Vikas Publishing House Pvt. Ltd, Noida, 2008.

REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

Dear Readers

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Computer Application & Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue as well as on the journal as a whole, on our E-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries please feel free to contact us on our E-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-

Co-ordinator

DISCLAIMER

The information and opinions presented in the Journal reflect the views of the authors and not of the Journal or its Editorial Board or the Publishers/Editors. Publication does not constitute endorsement by the journal. Neither the Journal nor its publishers/Editors/Editorial Board nor anyone else involved in creating, producing or delivering the journal or the materials contained therein, assumes any liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information provided in the journal, nor shall they be liable for any direct, indirect, incidental, special, consequential or punitive damages arising out of the use of information/material contained in the journal. The journal, nor its publishers/Editors/Editorial Board, nor any other party involved in the preparation of material contained in the journal represents or warrants that the information contained herein is in every respect accurate or complete, and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such material. Readers are encouraged to confirm the information contained herein with other sources. The responsibility of the contents and the opinions expressed in this journal is exclusively of the author (s) concerned.

ABOUT THE JOURNAL

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active co-operation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.

Our Other Journals

