INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMPUTER APPLICATION & MANAGEMENT



Ulrich's Periodicals Directory @, ProQuest, U.S.A., EBSCO Publishing, U.S.A., Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A., Google Scholar.

Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland with IC Value of 5.09 & number of libraries all around the world.

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than 5555 Cities in 190 countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

Ground Floor, Building No. 1041-C-1, Devi Bhawan Bazar, JAGADHRI – 135 003, Yamunanagar, Haryana, INDIA

CONTENTS

| Sr. No. | TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S) | Page No. |
|------------|--|-------------|
| 1. | AN ANALYSIS OF CONSUMER BUYING BEHAVIOUR TOWARDS PURCHASE OF MID-SEGMENT PASSENGER CARS WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO BHOPAL AND JABALPUR CITY MANISHA KINKAR & DR. N. K. SHUKLA | 1 |
| 2. | DEPOSITORY SYSTEM IN INDIAN CAPITAL MARKET: AN OVERVIEW DR. DEVINDER SHARMA & BHUSHAN AZAD | 11 |
| 3. | DISTRIBUTION PATTERN OF HOUSEHOLD ASSETS AMONG LANDLESS HOUSEHOLDS IN RURAL PUNJAB SARBJEET SINGH, BALWINDER SINGH & SARBJIT KAUR | 15 |
| 4. | A COMPARATIVE STUDY ON ICICI PRUDENTIAL LIFE INSURANCE AND SBI LIFE INSURANCE COMPANIES IN CHICKBALLAPUR DISTRICT LOKESH G R & DR. N SANDHYA | 21 |
| 5. | PRICING DYNAMICS OF GOLD IN INDIAN COMMODITY MARKET PRERNA, POOJA & DR. KAMAL AGARWAL | 24 |
| 6. | SELF-HEALING USING BACKBONE ROSY PAWAR & DR. ASHOK KUMAR | 29 |
| 7. | DAWN OF IND AS ARUNA BHASKAR & LAVANYA K N | 32 |
| 8. | ANALYSING THE BALANCE OF PAYMENT POSITION OF INDIA SAYANTANI BANERJEE | 36 |
| 9. | A STANDARD EVACUATION PROCESS OF MOBILE AGENTS USING PRE-PROCESSING TECHNIQUES L. KATHIRVELKUMARAN & R. MURALIDHARAN | 40 |
| 10. | GLOBALIZATION OF MARKETS AND STRATEGIES ADOPTED BY DEVELOPING NATIONS DR. GURJEET KAUR & ABHIMANYU VERMA | 44 |
| 11. | A FIRM'S PERSPECTIVE OF NON-FINANCIAL REPORTING PRAKHAR WADHWA | 47 |
| 12. | A REVIEW ON NETWORK SECURITY AND CRYPTOGRAPHY KIRAN SAHU | 51 |
| 13. | THE IMPACT OF EMPLOYER BRANDING ON EMPLOYEE BEHAVIOR AND MOTIVATION HANSIKA KHURANA | 56 |
| 14. | A STUDY OF AVAILABLE BENEFITS TO PROVIDE EASE OF DOING BUSINESS MOHD SAZID | 63 |
| 15. | COOPERATIVE AS AN ALTERNATIVE WAY TO FINANCIAL INCLUSION AND HUMAN DEVELOPMENT: A STUDY IN PURBA MEDINIPUR DISTRICT DR. SIDDHARTHA CHATTERJEE | 67 |
| 16. | IMPACT OF INDIAN MACRO ECONOMIC DRIVERS OF EMPLOYMENT GROWTH AND PATTERN PRERNA, POOJA & DR. UPENDRA SINGH | 73 |
| 17. | AN ACCURATE HEALTHCARE COST PREDICTION USING VOTE BASED CLASSIFICATION TECHNIQUE RADHESHYAM ACHOLIYA & AMIT VAJPAYEE | 77 |
| 18. | ASSESSING ROLE OF DIGITALIZATION IN IT BUSINESS PROCESS MANAGEMENT RANJITH GOPALAN | 83 |
| 19. | FINANCING OF INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANIES IN INDIA: A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF IIFCL AND IDFC MANJULA SHUKLA | 89 |
| 20. | CRYPTOCURRENCY: DAWN OF A NEW ECONOMY SAPNA | 93 |
| | REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK & DISCLAIMER | 97 |

CHIEF PATRON

Prof. (Dr.) K. K. AGGARWAL

Chairman, Malaviya National Institute of Technology, Jaipur

(An institute of National Importance & fully funded by Ministry of Human Resource Development, Government of India)

Chancellor, K. R. Mangalam University, Gurgaon

Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Faridabad

Founder Vice-Chancellor (1998-2008), Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi

Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

FOUNDER PATRON

Late Sh. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Former State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana Former Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri Former President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

FORMER CO-ORDINATOR

Dr. S. GARG

Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Business & Management, Urjani

ADVISOR

Prof. S. L. MAHANDRU

Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

EDITOR

Dr. R. K. SHARMA

Professor & Dean, Bharti Vidyapeeth University Institute of Management & Research, New Delhi

CO-EDITOR.

Dr. BHAVET

Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Engineering & Technology, Urjani

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

Dr. CHRISTIAN EHIOBUCHE

Professor of Global Business/Management, Larry L Luing School of Business, Berkeley College, USA

Dr. SIKANDER KUMAR

Chairman, Department of Economics, Himachal Pradesh University, Shimla, Himachal Pradesh

Dr. JOSÉ G. VARGAS-HERNÁNDEZ

Research Professor, University Center for Economic & Managerial Sciences, University of Guadalajara, Guadalajara, Mexico

Dr. RAJENDER GUPTA

Convener, Board of Studies in Economics, University of Jammu, Jammu

Dr. TEGUH WIDODO

Dean, Faculty of Applied Science, Telkom University, Bandung Technoplex, Jl. Telekomunikasi, Indonesia

Dr. S. P. TIWARI

Head, Department of Economics & Rural Development, Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia Avadh University, Faizabad

Dr. KAUP MOHAMED

Dean & Managing Director, London American City College/ICBEST, United Arab Emirates

SUNIL KUMAR KARWASRA

Principal, Aakash College of Education, ChanderKalan, Tohana, Fatehabad

Dr. MIKE AMUHAYA IRAVO

Principal, Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture & Tech., Westlands Campus, Nairobi-Kenya

Dr. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Professor, School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

Dr. NEPOMUCENO TIU

Chief Librarian & Professor, Lyceum of the Philippines University, Laguna, Philippines

Dr. PARVEEN KUMAR

Professor, Department of Computer Science, NIMS University, Jaipur

Dr. ANA ŠTAMBUK

Head of Department of Statistics, Faculty of Economics, University of Rijeka, Rijeka, Croatia

Dr. H. R. SHARMA

Director, Chhatarpati Shivaji Institute of Technology, Durg, C.G.

Dr. CLIFFORD OBIYO OFURUM

Professor of Accounting & Finance, Faculty of Management Sciences, University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria

Dr. SHIB SHANKAR ROY

Professor, Department of Marketing, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi, Bangladesh

Dr. MANOHAR LAL

Director & Chairman, School of Information & Computer Sciences, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

Dr. SRINIVAS MADISHETTI

Professor, School of Business, Mzumbe University, Tanzania

Dr. ANIL K. SAINI

Professor, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi

Dr. R. K. CHOUDHARY

Director, Asia Pacific Institute of Information Technology, Panipat

Dr. VIJAYPAL SINGH DHAKA

Dean (Academics), Rajasthan Institute of Engineering & Technology, Jaipur

Dr. NAWAB ALI KHAN

Professor & Dean, Faculty of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

Dr. EGWAKHE A. JOHNSON

Professor & Director, Babcock Centre for Executive Development, Babcock University, Nigeria

Dr. ASHWANI KUSH

Head, Computer Science, University College, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra

Dr. ABHAY BANSAL

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engg. & Tech., Amity University, Noida

Dr. BHARAT BHUSHAN

Head, Department of Computer Science & Applications, Guru Nanak Khalsa College, Yamunanagar

MUDENDA COLLINS

Head, Operations & Supply Chain, School of Business, The Copperbelt University, Zambia

Dr. JAYASHREE SHANTARAM PATIL (DAKE)

Faculty in Economics, KPB Hinduja College of Commerce, Mumbai

Dr. MURAT DARÇIN

Associate Dean, Gendarmerie and Coast Guard Academy, Ankara, Turkey

Dr. YOUNOS VAKIL ALROAIA

Head of International Center, DOS in Management, Semnan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Semnan, Iran

SHASHI KHURANA

Associate Professor, S. M. S. Khalsa Lubana Girls College, Barara, Ambala

Dr. SEOW TA WEEA

Associate Professor, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, Parit Raja, Malaysia

Dr. OKAN VELI ŞAFAKLI

Associate Professor, European University of Lefke, Lefke, Cyprus

Dr. MOHINDER CHAND

Associate Professor, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra

Dr. BORIS MILOVIC

Associate Professor, Faculty of Sport, Union Nikola Tesla University, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr. IQBAL THONSE HAWALDAR

Associate Professor, College of Business Administration, Kingdom University, Bahrain

Dr. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, Government College, Hodal

Dr. ALEXANDER MOSESOV

Associate Professor, Kazakh-British Technical University (KBTU), Almaty, Kazakhstan

Dr. MOHAMMAD TALHA

Associate Professor, Department of Accounting & MIS, College of Industrial Management, King Fahd University of Petroleum & Minerals, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia

Dr. ASHOK KUMAR CHAUHAN

Reader, Department of Economics, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra

Dr. RAJESH MODI

Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

WILLIAM NKOMO

Asst. Head of the Department, Faculty of Computing, Botho University, Francistown, Botswana
YU-BING WANG

Faculty, department of Marketing, Feng Chia University, Taichung, Taiwan

Dr. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE

Faculty, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

Dr. MELAKE TEWOLDE TECLEGHIORGIS

Faculty, College of Business & Economics, Department of Economics, Asmara, Eritrea

Dr. BHAVET

Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Engineering & Technology, Urjani

Dr. THAMPOE MANAGALESWARAN

Faculty, Vavuniya Campus, University of Jaffna, Sri Lanka

Dr. ASHISH CHOPRA

Faculty, Department of Computer Applications, National Institute of Technology, Kurukshetra **SURAJ GAUDEL**

BBA Program Coordinator, LA GRANDEE International College, Simalchaur - 8, Pokhara, Nepal

Dr. SAMBHAVNA

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

FORMER TECHNICAL ADVISOR

AMITA

FINANCIAL ADVISORS

DICKEN GOYAL

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

NEENA

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

LEGAL ADVISORS

JITENDER S. CHAHAL

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

SUPERINTENDENT

SURENDER KUMAR POONIA

1.

CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to the recent developments & practices in the areas of Computer Science & Applications; Commerce; Business; Finance; Marketing; Human Resource Management; General Management; Banking; Economics; Tourism Administration & Management; Education; Law; Library & Information Science; Defence & Strategic Studies; Electronic Science; Corporate Governance; Industrial Relations; and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Rural Economics; Co-operation; Demography: Development Planning; Development Studies; Applied Economics; Development Economics; Business Economics; Monetary Policy; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Political Science; Continuing Education; Labour Welfare; Philosophy; Psychology; Sociology; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law; Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labour Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations: International Relations: Human Rights & Duties: Public Administration: Population Studies: Purchasing/Materials Management: Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism & Hospitality; Transportation Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic; Web Design and emerging paradigms in allied subjects.

Anybody can submit the soft copy of unpublished novel; original; empirical and high quality research work/manuscript anytime in M.S. Word format after preparing the same as per our GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION; at our email address i.e. infoijrcm@gmail.com or online by clicking the link online submission as given on our website (FOR ONLINE SUBMISSION, CLICK HERE).

| GUIDETINES LOK SORWISS | IUN UT MANUSCRIPI |
|---|---|
| COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION: | |
| | DATED: |
| THE EDITOR | |
| | |
| IJRCM | |
| Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF | |
| (e.g. Finance/Mkt./HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/C | omputer/IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, please |
| <mark>specify</mark>) | |
| DEAR SIR/MADAM | |
| Please find my submission of manuscript titled 'your journals. | |
| I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Fur fully or partly, nor it is under review for publication elsewhere. | thermore, it has neither been published anywhere in any language |
| I affirm that all the co-authors of this manuscript have seen the sultheir names as co-authors. | omitted version of the manuscript and have agreed to inclusion of |
| Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I agree to comply with the discretion to publish our contribution in any of its journals. | formalities as given on the website of the journal. The Journal has |
| NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR | : |
| Designation/Post* | : |
| Institution/College/University with full address & Pin Code | : |
| Residential address with Pin Code | : |
| Mobile Number (s) with country ISD code | : |

* i.e. Alumnus (Male Alumni), Alumna (Female Alumni), Student, Research Scholar (M. Phil), Research Scholar (Ph. D.), JRF, Research Assistant, Assistant Lecturer, Lecturer, Senior Lecturer, Junior Assistant Professor, Assistant Professor, Senior Assistant Professor, Co-ordinator, Reader, Associate Professor, Professor, Head, Vice-Principal, Dy. Director, Principal, Director, Dean, President, Vice Chancellor, Industry Designation etc. The qualification of author is not acceptable for the purpose.

Is WhatsApp or Viber active on your above noted Mobile Number (Yes/No)

Landline Number (s) with country ISD code

F-mail Address

Nationality

Alternate E-mail Address

NOTES:

- a) The whole manuscript has to be in **ONE MS WORD FILE** only, which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript. <u>pdf.</u> <u>version</u> is liable to be rejected without any consideration.
- b) The sender is required to mention the following in the SUBJECT COLUMN of the mail:
 - **New Manuscript for Review in the area of** (e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, please specify)
- c) There is no need to give any text in the body of the mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any **specific message** w.r.t. to the manuscript.
- d) The total size of the file containing the manuscript is expected to be below 1000 KB.
- e) Only the Abstract will not be considered for review and the author is required to submit the complete manuscript in the first instance.
- f) The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email within twenty-four hours and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of the manuscript, within two days of its submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending a separate mail to the journal.
- g) The author (s) name or details should not appear anywhere on the body of the manuscript, except on the covering letter and the cover page of the manuscript, in the manner as mentioned in the guidelines.
- 2. MANUSCRIPT TITLE: The title of the paper should be typed in bold letters, centered and fully capitalised.
- 3. AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS: Author (s) name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline number (s), and email/alternate email address should be given underneath the title.
- 4. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS: Acknowledgements can be given to reviewers, guides, funding institutions, etc., if any.
- 5. **ABSTRACT:** Abstract should be in **fully Italic printing**, ranging between **150** to **300 words**. The abstract must be informative and elucidating the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a **SINGLE PARA**. **Abbreviations must be mentioned in full**.
- 6. **KEYWORDS**: Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of **five**. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stop at the end. All words of the keywords, including the first one should be in small letters, except special words e.g. name of the Countries, abbreviations etc.
- 7. **JEL CODE**: Provide the appropriate Journal of Economic Literature Classification System code (s). JEL codes are available at www.aea-web.org/econlit/jelCodes.php. However, mentioning of JEL Code is not mandatory.
- 8. **MANUSCRIPT**: Manuscript must be in <u>BRITISH ENGLISH</u> prepared on a standard A4 size <u>PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER</u>. It should be free from any errors i.e. grammatical, spelling or punctuation. It must be thoroughly edited at your end.
- 9. HEADINGS: All the headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
- SUB-HEADINGS: All the sub-headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
- 11. MAIN TEXT:

THE MAIN TEXT SHOULD FOLLOW THE FOLLOWING SEQUENCE:

INTRODUCTION

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

OBJECTIVES

HYPOTHESIS (ES)

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

FINDINGS

RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS

CONCLUSIONS

LIMITATIONS

SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

REFERENCES

APPENDIX/ANNEXURE

The manuscript should preferably be in 2000 to 5000 WORDS. But the limits can vary depending on the nature of the manuscript.

- 12. **FIGURES & TABLES**: These should be simple, crystal **CLEAR**, **centered**, **separately numbered** & self-explained, and the **titles must be above the table/figure**. **Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure**. *It should be ensured that the tables/figures are*referred to from the main text.
- 13. **EQUATIONS/FORMULAE**: These should be consecutively numbered in parenthesis, left aligned with equation/formulae number placed at the right. The equation editor provided with standard versions of Microsoft Word may be utilised. If any other equation editor is utilised, author must confirm that these equations may be viewed and edited in versions of Microsoft Office that does not have the editor.
- 14. **ACRONYMS**: These should not be used in the abstract. The use of acronyms is elsewhere is acceptable. Acronyms should be defined on its first use in each section e.g. Reserve Bank of India (RBI). Acronyms should be redefined on first use in subsequent sections.
- 15. **REFERENCES:** The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. *The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript* and they may follow Harvard Style of Referencing. Also check to ensure that everything that you are including in the reference section is duly cited in the paper. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
- All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
- Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
- When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc., in chronologically ascending
 order.
- Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
- The title of books and journals should be in italic printing. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
- For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parenthesis.
- Headers, footers, endnotes and footnotes should not be used in the document. However, you can mention short notes to elucidate some specific point, which may be placed in number orders before the references.

PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:

BOOKS

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

• Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

• Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

CONFERENCE PAPERS

• Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–23

UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS

Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

ONLINE RESOURCES

Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

WEBSITES

• Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp

FINANCING OF INFRASTRUCTURE COMPANIES IN INDIA: A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF IIFCL AND IDFC

MANJULA SHUKLA RESEARCH SCHOLAR BANARAS HINDU UNIVERSITY VARANASI

ABSTRACT

Increasing investment in infrastructure calls for attention towards various methods of financing infrastructure projects. Among the various sources of financing, commercial banks are the major source of debt financing for infrastructure projects. However, the 'Assets-Liability Mismatch' brings to front the role of Infrastructure Finance Companies (IFCs) in providing long-term finance to infrastructure projects. The present study attempts to analyze the financial performance of two major public sector IFCs in India viz. the IIFCL (India Infrastructure Finance Company Limited) and IDFC (Infrastructure Development Finance Company). The study compares the performance of the two companies for a period of five years on the basis of financial ratios by applying independent samples t-test. The results reveal that there is no significant difference between the performance of the companies on the basis of long-term profitability and capital adequacy. The performance is also not significantly different on the liquidity front. However, the performance of the companies differs significantly on the basis of long-term solvency position.

KEYWORDS

IDFC, IIFCL, infrastructure, infrastructure finance companies, financial performance.

JEL CODES

G23, H54, O18.

INTRODUCTION

nfrastructure can be elucidated as the basic physical system of a business or a nation. It includes transportation, communication, sewage, water and electric system, etc. Infrastructure ventures need high investment, and also they are an inevitable part of national and economic growth. Infrastructure is a major sector that propels overall development of the Indian economy. The Gross Capital Formation (GCF) as an indicator of investment in infrastructure, grew from 5.6% of GDP in FY07 to 6.5% of GDP in FY12. Overall share of investment in infrastructure in GDP over the Eleventh Five-Year Plan period was 7.1%, up from 5% in Tenth Plan. Such an increasing trend in investment in infrastructure calls for an increased attention towards development of various methods of financing the infrastructure projects. The methods employed to finance the infrastructure projects in India can be broadly divided into two categories viz. (i) Equity financing which is done by domestic and foreign investors, public utilities, institutional investors, government funds, etc. and (ii) debt financing which is done through bond markets, commercial banks, external commercial borrowings, etc. Among the stated sources of financing, commercial banks are the major source of debt financing for the infrastructure projects. However, the major obstacle that these banks face in providing long-term finance to infrastructure projects is the problem of 'Asset-Liability Mismatch' wherein, the commercial banks have to provide finance to the projects for a period of 10-15 years, whereas, bank deposits have maturity of less than 3 years. In such circumstances, the role of Infrastructure Finance Companies (IFC) is a non-deposit accepting loan company which deploys a minimum of 75 percent of its total assets in infrastructure loans. Some of the major Infrastructure Finance Companies (IFC) in India are Rural Electrification Corporation (REC), Infrastructure Finance Corporation (IDFC), Industrial Finance Corporation of India (IFCI), Power Finance Corporation (

The present study attempts to study the financial performance of two major public sector IFCs in India viz. India Infrastructure Finance Company Limited (IIFCL) and Infrastructure Development Finance Company (IDFC).

INDIA INFRASTRUCTURE FINANCE COMPANY LIMITED (IIFCL)

IIFCL is an Indian company, wholly-owned by Government of India, set up in 2006 and provides long-term finance to viable infrastructure projects through the Scheme for Financing Viable Infrastructure Projects through a special purpose vehicle called IIFCL, broadly referred to as SIFTI. IIFCL has been registered as an NBFC-ND-IFC with RBI since September 2013. IIFCL provides assistance to varied sectors including transportation, energy, water, sanitation, communication, social and commercial infrastructure, etc. Till 30th June, 2016, IIFCL, on a standalone basis, has made a cumulative gross sanctions of over Rs. 69,700 Crore under direct lending to more than 390 projects and has made cumulative disbursements of over Rs. 49,700 Crore, including disbursements under Refinance and Takeout finance. The IIFCL provides loans for infrastructure projects through various modes like direct financing, takeout financing, credit enhancement scheme and refinance scheme.

INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT FINANCE COMPANY (IDFC)

Infrastructure development finance company is an India-based finance company. It provides financial and advisory services to infrastructure projects along with asset management services and investment banking. IDFC was set up in January 1997 with registered head office at Chennai. In 1998 it registered itself with RBI as a NBFC and in 1999 it became a Public Financial Institution. IDFC registered as a merchant banker and an underwriter in 2000 and in 2001 as a debenture trustee under Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI). In 2002, the company incorporated IDFC Assets Management Company Ltd., as a subsidiary company. On April 2, 2014, RBI granted in-principal approval to IDFC to set up banks. The IDFC bank started operations on October 1, 2015.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

India being the largest democracy in the world, and on its path of becoming a developed nation, needs a right balance of urban development and infrastructure growth. The infrastructure sector is vital in propelling India's overall development. However, India lacks in infrastructure development, even in the basic housing and sanitation infrastructure. India needs an improved investment in infrastructure projects. In July 2016, Mr. Nitin Gadkari, Minister of Road Transport and Highways, and Shipping, had announced that the Government targets an investment of Rs. 25 trillion (US\$ 376.53 billion) in infrastructure over a period of three years. The infrastructure gap in India cannot be overlooked. According to World Economic Forum's Global Competitiveness Report of 2014-15, India ranks 87th out of 148 countries for its infrastructure. Infrastructure financing was traditionally done by the Government and the public sector. Commercial banks are the major source of financing the infrastructure projects, however, with their own financing limitations. Therefore, there is a growing need to bring the Infrastructure Finance Companies operating in India to come to the forefront in financing of the infrastructure projects.

According to Bond, Platz and Magnusson (2012) in developing countries there is a shortage of long-term, local-currency financing for infrastructure projects, which hampers economic growth. Factors like inadequate fiscal transfers and low creditworthiness brings difficulties for local government to fully fund the projects. According to RBI, the need for infrastructure finance must be met by long term finance institutions. (Chakrabarty, 2010) However, according to Mor and Sehrawat (2006) Development Finance Institutions (DFIs) are undercapitalized and un-profitable and also the Infrastructure Finance Companies are showing slow growth.

As per the ICRA Research Services 'Infrastructure Finance Companies Update for FY 2014-15', the growth rate of IFCs in 2015 was significantly lower than the CAGR reported over the last five years. It also concluded that there could be a sturdy accrual in the delinquent exposures and the IFCs could spot asset quality pressures over the medium term.

Under such circumstances, it is essential to evaluate the financial health of the IFCs. Therefore, the present study evaluates the financial performance of two major public sector IFCs i.e. IIFCL and IDFC for a period of five years.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

The objectives of the study are as follows:

- 1. To study the financial performance of IIFCL and IDFC for a period of five years.
- 2. To comparatively evaluate the financial performance of the two infrastructure finance companies for a period of five years.

HYPOTHESIS OF THE STUDY

- H_11 : There is a significant difference between the capital adequacy ratio of IIFCL and IDFC.
- H_12 : There is a significant difference between the return on equity of IIFCL and IDFC.
- H₁3: There is a significant difference between the return on assets of IIFCL and IDFC.
- H_14 : There is a significant difference between the earnings per share of IIFCL and IDFC.
- H₁5: There is a significant difference between the gross non-performing asset ratio of IIFCL and IDFC.
- H₁6: There is a significant difference between the net non-performing asset ratio of IIFCL and IDFC.
- H₁7: There is a significant difference between the current ratio of IIFCL and IDFC.
- H₁8: There is a significant difference between the quick ratio of IIFCL and IDFC.
- H_19 : There is a significant difference between the debt-equity ratio of IIFCL and IDFC.
- H₁10: There is a significant difference between the solvency ratio of IIFCL and IDFC.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The study is totally based on secondary data collected from different sources like the annual reports of IIFCL and IDFC for the FY 2011 to FY 2015, the official website of the companies, RBI reports, the Five-Year Plans, etc. The financial performance of the companies has been measured by various accounting ratios viz. capital adequacy ratio, return on equity, return on assets, earnings per share, gross non-performing asset ratio and net non-performing asset ratio, debt-equity ratio, solvency ratio, etc. In the case of absence of information regarding the financial ratios of the companies for specific years, the ratios have been manually calculated by the author.

The performance of the companies have been shown with the help of descriptive statistics and various graphs showing the trend of performance of the two companies for the period of five years. Comparative performance evaluation of the two companies has been done by applying independent samples t-test on the financial ratios depicting performance of the companies. Statistical software packages SPSS and MS-Excel have been used for the analysis.

DESCRIPTION OF VARIABLES

- CAPITAL ADEQUACY RATIO (CAR): Capital adequacy ratio (CAR) is the ratio of a financial institution's capital in relation to its risk weighted assets and current
 liabilities. It is decided by the central bank and bank regulators to prevent the financial intermediaries from taking excess leverage and becoming insolvent
 in the process.
 - CAR = (Tier I + Tier II + Tier III (Capital Funds))/ Risk Weighted Assets
- 2. RETURN ON EQUITY (ROE): Return on equity (ROE) is the amount of net income returned as a percentage of shareholders equity. It measures a corporation's profitability by revealing how much profit a company generates with the money shareholders have invested. It is expressed as percentage and is calculated as:
 - ROE = Net Income/Shareholders Equity
- 3. RETURN ON ASSETS (ROA): Return on assets is an indicator of how profitable a company is relative to its total assets. ROA gives an idea as to how efficient management is at using its assets to generate earnings. Also referred to as 'return on investment', it is calculated as:

 ROA = Net Income/Total Assets
- **4. EARNINGS PER SHARE (EPS)**: Earnings per share (EPS) is the portion of a company's profit allocated to each outstanding share of common stock. EPS serves as an indicator of a company's profitability. It is calculated as:
 - EPS = (Net Income- Dividends on Preferred Stock)/Average Outstanding Shares
- 5. GROSS NON-PERFORMING ASSETS RATIO (GNPA): Gross NPA is the amount outstanding in the borrowal account, in the books of the financial intermediary other than interest which has been recorded and not debited to the borrowal account. Gross NPA ratio is the ratio of gross NPAs and the total loan advanced by the institution:
 - GNPA Ratio = Gross non-performing assets/ Total Advances
- 6. NET NPA RATIO (NNPA): The net NPA ratio is used as a measure of the overall quality of the financial intermediary's loan book. Net NPAs are calculated by reducing cumulative balance of provisions outstanding at a period from gross NPAs. Higher ratio reflects bad quality of loans.
 Net NPA Ratio = Net NPAs/Total Advances
- 7. **CURRENT RATIO:** The current ratio is a liquidity ratio that measures a company's ability to pay short-term and long-term obligations. It considers the total current assets of the company relative to the total current liabilities of the company.
 - Current Ratio = Current Assets / Current Liabilities
- 8. QUICK RATIO: The quick ratio is an indicator of a company's short-term liquidity. It measures a company's ability to meet its short-term obligations with its most liquid assets. Therefore, the ratio excludes inventories and prepaid expenses from current assets.

 Quick Ratio = (Current Assets- Inventories- Prepaid Expenses) / Current Liabilities
- 9. DEBT-EQUITY RATIO: Debt-Equity ratio is a solvency ratio used to measure a company's long-term solvency and financial leverage. It indicates how much debt a company is using to finance its assets relative to the amount of value represented in shareholder's equity.
 Debt-Equity Ratio = Long-term Debt / Total Equity
- 10. SOLVENCY RATIO: This ratio examines whether the total realizable amount from all assets of a firm is enough to repay all of its external liabilities or not. It shows the relationship between total assets and external liabilities of the company.
 Solvency Ratio = Total Outside Liabilities / Total Assets

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE OF IIFCL

The financial performance of India Infrastructure Finance Company Limited (IIFCL) for the period spanning from FY 2011 to FY 2015, measured through various accounting ratios viz. capital adequacy ratios, ROA, ROE, EPS, are as presented in Table I.

FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE OF IDFC

The financial performance of India Infrastructure Finance Company Limited (IIFCL) for the period spanning from FY 2011 to FY 2015, measured through various accounting ratios viz. capital adequacy ratios, ROA, ROE, EPS, etc. are as presented in Table II.

TABLE I: FINANCIAL RATIOS OF IIFCL FROM FY 2011 TO FY 2015

| YEAR | CAPITAL ADEQUACY RATIO (%) | ROE | ROA | EPS | GNPA | NNPA | CURRENT | QUICK | DEBT | SOLVENCY |
|---------|----------------------------|-------|------|-------|-----------|-----------|---------|-------|---------------------|----------|
| | | (%) | (%) | (Rs.) | RATIO (%) | RATIO (%) | RATIO | RATIO | EQUITY RATIO | RATIO |
| 2010-11 | * | 11.98 | 1.94 | 2.31 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 13.07 | 13.07 | 8.15 | 0.89 |
| 2011-12 | * | 9.80 | 1.41 | 1.72 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 2.88 | 2.88 | 5.73 | 0.87 |
| 2012-13 | 19.04 | 24.56 | 3.32 | 3.79 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.29 | 1.29 | 3.73 | 0.86 |
| 2013-14 | 24.82 | 18.74 | 2.25 | 2.84 | 3.79 | 2.80 | 4.86 | 4.86 | 5.17 | 0.85 |
| 2014-15 | 25.14 | 12.67 | 1.34 | 1.53 | 2.45 | 1.53 | 5.84 | 5.84 | 4.43 | 0.83 |

TABLE II: FINANCIAL RATIOS OF IDFC FROM FY 2011 TO FY 2015

| YEAR | CAPITAL ADEQUACY RATIO (%) | ROE | ROA | EPS | GNPA | NNPA | CURRENT | QUICK | DEBT | SOLVENCY |
|---------|----------------------------|-------|------|-------|-----------|-----------|---------|-------|---------------------|----------|
| | | (%) | (%) | (Rs.) | RATIO (%) | RATIO (%) | RATIO | RATIO | EQUITY RATIO | RATIO |
| 2010-11 | 24.30 | 10.30 | 2.10 | 10.78 | 0.70 | 0.20 | 8.47 | 24.78 | 3.63 | 0.77 |
| 2011-12 | 22.32 | 12.20 | 2.50 | 11.20 | 0.60 | 0.40 | 2.25 | 4.52 | 3.06 | 0.79 |
| 2012-13 | 22.10 | 14.00 | 2.80 | 12.13 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 2.63 | 3.53 | 2.98 | 0.81 |
| 2013-14 | 20.79 | 13.00 | 2.90 | 10.09 | 0.30 | 0.15 | 2.60 | 4.83 | 3.14 | 0.79 |
| 2014-15 | 24.00 | 12.90 | 3.00 | 8.56 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 1.45 | 3.77 | 3.25 | 0.80 |

COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF IIFCL AND IDFC

Comparative evaluation of the financial performance of IIFCL and IDFC has been done by applying independent samples t-test to each ratio individually. The independent samples t-test compares the means of two independent groups in order to determine whether there is statistical evidence that the associated population means are significantly different. In the present study, the t-test has been applied to various ratios for a period of only five years. Some methodologist believe that t-test must not be applied when sample sizes are extremely small (N<=5). However, some studies have proved that it is totally feasible to apply t-test on extremely small samples. (Winter, 2010)

CAPITAL ADEQUACY RATIO: An independent samples t-test was conducted to compare the Capital Adequacy Ratios (CAR) of the two companies IIFCL and IDFC. There was no significant difference between the CAR of IIFCL (M=23.00, SD= 3.43) and CAR of IDFC (M= 22.70, SD= 1.45); t (6) =1.77, p= 0.866 at 0.05 level of significance. Therefore, the alternate hypothesis (H_11) is rejected and null hypothesis (H_01) is accepted.

The CAR of IIFCL was nil for the FY 11 and FY 12. However, the CAR of IIFCL was lower than CAR of IDFC for the FY 13. Thereafter, the CAR of IIFCL has shown an increasing trend and has been more than CAR of IDFC for the FY 14 and FY 15. The CAR of IDFC had shown a declining trend from FY 11 to FY 14. However, it showed a slight increase from 20.79 to 24 in the FY 15.

RETURN ON EQUITY (ROE): An independent samples t-test was conducted to compare the ROE of the two companies i.e. IIFCL and IDFC. There was no significant difference in the ROE of IIFCL (M= 15.55%, SD= 6.03) and ROE of IDFC (M= 12.48%, SD= 1.37); t (8) = 1.11, p= 0.299 at 0.05 level of significance. Therefore, the alternate hypothesis (H₁2) is rejected and null hypothesis (H₀2) is accepted.

The ROE of IIFCL has shown a slight decline from 11.98% in FY 11 to 9.8% in the FY 12, thereafter showing a drastic increase to 24.56% in FY 13. However, it showed a declining trend for the FY 14 and FY 15. ROE of IDFC has shown an increasing trend from FY 11 (ROE= 10.30%) to FY 13 (ROE= 14.00%). Thereafter, it declined to 13% in FY 14 and further declined to 12.9% in FY 15.

RETURN ON ASSETS (ROA): There was no significant difference between the ROA of IIFCL (M=2.05%, SD=0.80) and ROA of IDFC (M=2.66%, SD=0.36); t (8) = -1.54, p=0.162 at 0.05 level of significance. Therefore, the alternate hypothesis (H₁3) is rejected and null hypothesis (H₀3) is accepted.

The ROA of IIFCL declined from 1.94% in FY 11 to 1.41% in FY 12, showing an increase to 3.32% in FY 13. It declined to 2.25% in FY 14 and further declined to 1.34% in FY 15. The ROA of IDFC on the other hand has shown a continuous increasing trend from FY 11 (ROA= 2.10%) to FY 15 (ROA= 3.00%).

EARNINGS PER SHARE (EPS): There was a significant difference in the EPS of IIFCL ($M= \frac{3}{2}.40$, SD= 0.92) and EPS of IDFC ($M=\frac{3}{2}.60$, SD= 1.33); t (8) = -11.215, p= 0.000 at 0.05 level of significance. Therefore, the alternate hypothesis (H_14) is accepted and null hypothesis (H_04) is not accepted.

EPS of IIFCL shows a decline from ₹ 2.13 in FY 11 to ₹ 1.72 in FY 12. Thereafter, the EPS of IIFCL increased to ₹ 3.79 in the FY 13, declining to ₹ 2.84 in FY 14 and further declining to ₹ 1.53 in FY 15. The EPS of IDFC shows an increasing trend from ₹10.78 in FY 11 to ₹12.13 in FY 13. Thereafter, it shows a declining trend to ₹ 8.56 in FY 15.

GROSS NON-PERFORMING ASSETS: There was no significant difference between the GNPA of IIFCL (M= 1.24, SD= 1.77) and GNPA of IDFC (M= 0.39, SD= 0.24); t (8) = 1.07, p= 0.315 at α = 0.05. Therefore, the alternate hypothesis (H₁5) is rejected and the corresponding null hypothesis (H₀5) is accepted.

The GNPA level of IIFCL has been at 0% from FY 11 to FY 13. Thereafter, the GNPA of IIFCL showed a drastic increase to 3.79% in FY 14 but further declined to 2.45% in FY 15. The GNPA ratio of IDFC showed a declining trend from 0.7% in FY 11 to 0.15% in FY 13. Thereafter, there was an increase in GNPA ratio of IDFC to 0.3% in FY 14, but declined slightly to 0.2% in FY 15.

NET NON-PERFORMING ASSETS: There was no significant difference between the NNPA ratio of IIFCL (M= 0.86, SD= 1.26) and NNPA ratio of IDFC (M= 0.18, SD= 0.13); t (8) = 1.20, p= 0.263 at α = 0.05. Therefore, the alternate hypothesis (H₁6) is rejected and corresponding null hypothesis (H₀6) is accepted.

The NNPA ratio of IIFCL has been 0% from FY 11 to FY 13, due to absence of GNPA for the said period. However, it increased to 2.80% for the FY 14 and declined to 1.53% in FY 15. The NNPA ratio of IDFC on the other hand showed an increase from 0.2% in FY 11 to 0.4% in FY 12. It declined to 0.05% in FY 13, increased to 0.15% in FY 14 and declined again to 0.1% in FY 15.

CURRENT RATIO: There was no significant difference between the current ratio of IIFCL (M= 5.58, SD= 4.53) and current ratio of IDFC (M= 3.48, SD= 2.82); t (8) = 0.88, p= 0.404, at α = 0.05. Therefore, the alternate hypothesis (H₁7) is rejected and the corresponding null hypothesis (H₀7) is accepted.

Current ratio of IIFCL was as high as 13.07 for FY 11, declined drastically to 2.88 in FY 12, and went below the desired level of 2:1 in FY 13 at 1.29. However, it rose to 4.86 in FY 14 and further increased to 5.84 in FY 15. The current ratio of IDFC was high at 8.47 for FY 11, declined to 2.25 for FY 12 but slightly increased to 2.63 for FY 13. It declined to 2.60 in FY 14 and further declined to 1.45 (below the desired level of 2:1) for FY 15.

QUICK RATIO: There was no significant difference between the quick ratio of IJFCL (M= 5.58, SD= 4.53) and quick ratio of IDFC (M= 8.28, SD= 9.23); t (8) = -0.58, p= 0.574 at α = 0.05. Therefore, the alternate hypothesis (H₁8) is rejected and the corresponding null hypothesis (H₀8) is accepted.

The quick ratio of IIFCL was as high as 13.07 for FY 11, declined to 2.88 for FY 12, and further declined to 1,29 for FY 13. However, it increased to 4.86 for FY 14 and was 5.84 for FY 15. The quick ratio of IDFC has been more than the quick of IIFCL for the FY 11, FY 12 and FY 13. It became almost equal to quick ratio of IIFCL for FY 14 and was below that of IIFCL for FY 15. However, the quick ratio of both IIFCL and IDFC was not below the desired level of 1:1 for any financial year.

DEBT-EQUITY RATIO: There was a significant difference between the debt-equity ratio of IIFCL (M= 5.44, SD= 1.69) and debt-equity ratio of IDFC (M= 3.21, SD= 0.25); t (8) = 2.91, p= 0.019 at α = 0.05. Therefore, the alternate hypothesis (H₁9) is accepted and the corresponding null hypothesis (H₀9) is not accepted.

The debt-equity ratio of IIFCL has shown a decline from 8.15 for FY 11 to 3.73 for FY 13. It increased to 5.17 for FY 14 and declined again to 4.43 for FY 15. The debt-equity ratio of IDFC showed a declining trend from 3.63 for FY 11 to 2.98 for FY 13, increased to 3.14 for FY 14 and further increased to 3.25 for FY 15.

SOLVENCY RATIO: There was a significant difference between the solvency ratio of IIFCL (M= 0.86, SD= 0.02) and the solvency ratio of IDFC (M= 0.79, SD= 0.01); t (8) = 5.66, p= 0.000 at α = 0.05. Therefore, the alternate hypothesis (H₁10) is accepted and we fail to accept the corresponding null hypothesis (H₀10).

The solvency ratio of IJFCL has shown a continuous decline from 0.89 in FY 11 to 0.83 in FY 15. The solvency ratio of IDFC increased from 0.77 in FY 11 to 0.79 in FY 12 to 0.81 in FY 13. It declined to 0.79 in FY 14 and slightly increased to 0.80 in FY 15.

CONCLUSION

The present study attempted to comparatively evaluate the financial performance of the two major IFCs in India, viz. India Infrastructure Finance Company Limited (IIFCL) and Infrastructure Development Finance Company (IDFC) for a period of 5 years from FY 11 to FY 15. The comparison was based on ten financial ratios describing the liquidity position, the capital adequacy, the solvency position, etc. of the companies. The comparative evaluation was done with the application of independent samples t-test on each ratio individually, level of significance being at 0.05. The comparative performance of the companies was also studied with the help of suitable graphs. The following null hypothesis were rejected:

 H_04 : There is no significant difference between the earnings per share of IIFCL and IDFC.

 H_09 : There is no significant difference between the debt-equity ratios of IIFCL and IDFC.

 H_010 : There is no significant difference between the solvency ratios of IIFCL and IDFC.

As the results reveal, there is no significant difference between the capital adequacy positions of the two companies as well as between the long-term profitability position of the companies explained by the ROE and ROA of the companies. However, a significant difference exists regarding the earnings per share of the two companies, where IDFC shows a much better performance compared to IIFCL (see Table 4). There is also no significant difference in the performance of the companies regarding their NPA level and liquidity position, as explained by the current ratio and quick ratio. The companies have shown a significant difference in performance only on the solvency front. As shown in Table 9 and Table 10, there has been a significant difference between the solvency position of IIFCL and IDFC, where, IIFCL shows a better performance as compared to IDFC. It can also be seen that except the performance of the companies depicted by EPS and quick ratio, the performance parameters i.e. the financial ratios of IJFCL have shown less stability (more deviations from mean) as compared to the financial ratios of IJFCL

LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

The following are the limitations of the study:

- 1) The study is confined only to a period of five years. A longer time period may provide different results.
- 2) The financial performance of the firms is studied only on the basis of few selected financial ratios. Use of more ratios will give a better view of the performance of the companies.
- 3) The study only analyses the financial performance of the companies. Performance of the companies can also be studied in a broader perspective.
- 4) Only two of the infrastructure finance companies viz. IIFCL and IDFC have been chosen for the study. Performance evaluation of other IFCs will provide a better understanding of the functioning of IFCs as a whole.

REFERENCES

- 1. Anon., 2011-2015. *IDFC Annual Reports*, s.l.: Infrastructure Development Finance Company.
- . Anon., 2011-2015. IIFCL Annual Reports, s.l.: India Infrastructure Finance Company Limited.
- 3. Anon., 2011. Investment in Infrastructure during the Eleventh Fivr Year Plan, New Delhi: Secretariat for Infrastructure, Planning Commission, Government of India.
- 4. Anon., n.d. Infrastructure Funding Requirements and its Sources over the implementation period of the Twelfth Five Year Plan (2012-2017), s.l.: Working Sub-Group on Infrastructure Under Working Group on Savings.
- 5. Bond, D. L., Platz, D. & Magnusson, M., 2012. Financing small-scale infrastructure investments in developing countries. *DESA Working Paper No. 114*, pp. 1-16.
- 6. Chakrabarty, K. C., 2010. Infrastructure Finance: Experiences and the Road Ahead. Mumbai: RBI, 13th Financial Services Convention.
- 7. Lall, R. B. & Anand, R., 2009. Financing Infrastructure, s.l.: IDFC Occasional Paper Series.
- 8. Mor, N. & Sehrawat, S., n.d. Sources of Infrastructure Finance, s.l.: CAFS at IFMR, ICICI researchcentre.org.
- 9. Rastogi, A. & Rao, V., 2011. Product Innovations for Financing Infrastructure: South Asia Working Paper Series, s.l.: Asian Development Bank.

REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

Dear Readers

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Computer Application & Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue, as well as on the journal as a whole, on our e-mail **infoijrcm@gmail.com** for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries, please feel free to contact us on our e-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward to an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-

Co-ordinator

DISCLAIMER

The information and opinions presented in the Journal reflect the views of the authors and not of the Journal or its Editorial Board or the Publishers/Editors. Publication does not constitute endorsement by the journal. Neither the Journal nor its publishers/Editors/Editorial Board nor anyone else involved in creating, producing or delivering the journal or the materials contained therein, assumes any liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information provided in the journal, nor shall they be liable for any direct, incidental, special, consequential or punitive damages arising out of the use of information/material contained in the journal. The journal, neither its publishers/Editors/ Editorial Board, nor any other party involved in the preparation of material contained in the journal represents or warrants that the information contained herein is in every respect accurate or complete, and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such material. Readers are encouraged to confirm the information contained herein with other sources. The responsibility of the contents and the opinions expressed in this journal are exclusively of the author (s) concerned.

ABOUT THE JOURNAL

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active cooperation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.







