

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE, ECONOMICS AND MANAGEMENT **CONTENTS**

Sr. No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page No.
1.	IMPACT OF GOVERNMENT INTERVENTION ON THE GROWTH OF SMALL AND MEDIUM SCALE ENTERPRISES IN IMO STATE VIVIAN CHIZOMA ONWUKWE & MARTIN IKECHUKWU IFEANACHO	1
2.	A STUDY OF FACULTY MOTIVATIONAL AND ROLE DYNAMICS IN HIGHER EDUCATION DR. DEEPANJANA VARSHNEY (SENGUPTA)	6
3.	THE ROLE OF SMALL URBAN TOWNS IN IMPROVING RURAL LIVELIHOOD - CASE STUDY: FERESMAY, RAMA AND MAYKINETAL CENTRAL ZONE, TIGRAY, NORTHERN ETHIOPIA BIHON KASSA ABRHA & GEBREMEDHIN YIHDEGOTEKLU	10
4.	FACULTY DEVELOPMENT IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES: A CASE STUDY OF PAKISTAN MUHAMMAD ZAHEER	16
5.	HUMAN CAPITAL DEVELOPMENT IN INSTRUCTIONAL SUPERVISION: WINDOW OF HOPE OR WOE? MIGHT KOJO ABREH	21
6.	THE SUSTAINABILITY OF ICT ECONOMY DEVELOPMENT KEVIN LOCK-TENG, LOW	25
7.	EFFECT OF BOARD SIZE ON COMPANY PERFORMANCE IN THE LISTED FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS IN SRI LANKA LINGESIYA YASOTHARALINGAM	32
8.	FUNDAMENTALS OF ENTREPRENEURIAL COMPETENCY: TIME ELEMENT AND DISCIPLINE IN SHG MODEL - AN EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS NIRANJAN SHETTY	37
9.	BASKET PEG OR FLEX: A TEMPLATE FOR ASSESSING THE COMPETITIVENESS OF PAKISTAN'S TRADE SECTOR SEEMAB RANA	43
10.	WOMEN ENTREPRENEURS IN INDIA: OPPORTUNITIES AND CHALLENGES ANIL KUMAR .S. HAGARGI & DR. RAJNALKAR LAXMAN	50
11.	ENTREPRENEURSHIP DEVELOPMENT – A CASE STUDY OF A VILLAGE IN YSR DISTRICT DR. G. VIJAYA BHARATHI, C. SIVARAMI REDDY, DR. P. MOHAN REDDY & P. HARINATHA REDDY	54
12.	LEADERSHIP AND ORGANISATIONAL EFFECTIVENESS - A CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK DR. ASHOK AIMA & NAVEEDA SEHER	58
13.	SHAREHOLDER WEALTH EFFECTS TO MERGER ANNOUNCEMENTS IN INDIAN IT INDUSTRY DR. MALABIKA DEO & MOHAMMAD AASIF SHAH	61
14.	ANALYZING BANK COMPETITIVENESS USING CUSTOMER VALUE: AN EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS PRIYA PONRAJ & DR. G. RAJENDRAN	67
15.	MERGER AND ACQUISITION ACTIVITY IN THE INDIAN MANUFACTURING SECTOR AND SHAREHOLDER VALUE ADDITION IN THE MERGED ENTITIES DR. V. K. SHOBHANA & DR. K. MANJULA	74
16.	FACTOR INFLUENCES AND INDIVIDUAL INVESTOR BEHAVIOUR: THE STUDY OF INDIAN STOCK MARKET B. G. SRINIVASA & DR. K. A. RASURE	79
17 .	STUDY THE PERFORMANCE OF STATE BANK OF INDIA IN COMPARISON TO ICICI FOR THE PERIOD 2001-09: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY ANOOP MOHANTY, SUMEET BAJWA & ANUJ MOHANTY	84
18.	LIFE SATISFACTION AMONG ASHA WORKERS VIJAYA U. PATIL & RUKMINI S.	97
19.	MICROFINANCE THROUGH COOPERATIVES: PERFORMANCE AND PROSPECTS DR. SUBRATA MUKHERJEE	102
20.	A STUDY ON CUSTOMER SATISFACTION TOWARDS CROSS SELLING OF INSURANCE PRODUCT AND SUPPLEMENTARY SERVICES—WITH REFERENCE TO PRIVATE SECTOR BANKS IN COIMBATORE DISTRICT DR. C. MEERA & DR. M. ESWARI	107
21.	FINANCIAL DISTRESS: BANKRUPTCY MEASURES IN ALEMBIC PHARMA: Z-SCORE MODEL D. SASIKALA	112
22.	ESTIMATING THE CONTRIBUTION OF FOREST TO ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT: A CASE STUDY OF NTFPS IN KARNATAKA A. R. KULKARNI & D. R. REVANKAR	117
23.	SUSTAINABILITY ISSUES IN EMERGING ECONOMIES - A STUDY WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO INDIAN ECONOMY ANIRUDH SRIRAAM, VIVEK PRATAP SINGH & DR. AJAY SHARMA	122
24.	STUDY OF CUSTOMER RELATIONSHIP MANAGEMENT IN RURAL GROCERY SHOPS DR. P. B. DESAI	128
25.	HEALTH AND DEVELOPMENT OF HEALTH CARE IN INDIA ZIBA ASL GHORBANI (PATANGIA)	131
	REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK	136

A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at: Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, ProQuest, U.S.A., The American Economic Association's electronic bibliography, EconLit, U.S.A., Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland, Open J-Gage, India as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A.

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than Hundred & Fifteen countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

CHIEF PATRON

PROF. K. K. AGGARWAL

Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Delhi
Founder Vice-Chancellor, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi
Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

PATRON

SH. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Ex. State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

CO-ORDINATOR

DR. BHAVET

Faculty, M. M. Institute of Management, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana

ADVISORS

PROF. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Director A. C. D., School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

PROF. M. N. SHARMA

Chairman, M.B.A., Haryana College of Technology & Management, Kaithal

PROF. S. L. MAHANDRU

Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

EDITOR

PROF. R. K. SHARMA

Dean (Academics), Tecnia Institute of Advanced Studies, Delhi

CO-EDITOR

DR. SAMBHAV GARG

Faculty, M. M. Institute of Management, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

DR. AMBIKA ZUTSHI

Faculty, School of Management & Marketing, Deakin University, Australia

DR. VIVEK NATRAJAN

Faculty, Lomar University, U.S.A.

DR. RAJESH MODI

Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

PROF. SIKANDER KUMAR

Chairman, Department of Economics, Himachal Pradesh University, Shimla, Himachal Pradesh

PROF. SANJIV MITTAL

University School of Management Studies, Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

PROF. RAJENDER GUPTA

Convener, Board of Studies in Economics, University of Jammu, Jammu

PROF. NAWAB ALI KHAN

Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

PROF. S. P. TIWARI

Department of Economics & Rural Development, Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia Avadh University, Faizabad

DR. ANIL CHANDHOK

Professor, Faculty of Management, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana

DR. ASHOK KUMAR CHAUHAN

Reader, Department of Economics, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra

DR. SAMBHAVNA

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

DR. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, P. J. L. N. Government College, Faridabad

DR. VIVEK CHAWLA

Associate Professor, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra

DR. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE

Asst. Professor, Government F. G. College Chitguppa, Bidar, Karnataka

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

PROF. ABHAY BANSAL

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity University, Noida

PARVEEN KHURANA

Associate Professor, Mukand Lal National College, Yamuna Nagar

SHASHI KHURANA

Associate Professor, S. M. S. Khalsa Lubana Girls College, Barara, Ambala

SUNIL KUMAR KARWASRA

Vice-Principal, Defence College of Education, Tohana, Fatehabad

DR. VIKAS CHOUDHARY

Asst. Professor, N.I.T. (University), Kurukshetra

TECHNICAL ADVISORS

AMITA

Faculty, Government H. S., Mohali

MOHITA

Faculty, Yamuna Institute of Engineering & Technology, Village Gadholi, P. O. Gadhola, Yamunanagar

FINANCIAL ADVISORS

DICKIN GOYAL

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

NEENA

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

LEGAL ADVISORS

JITENDER S. CHAHAL

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

SUPERINTENDENT

SURENDER KUMAR POONIA

1.

CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to recent developments & practices in the area of Computer, Business, Finance, Marketing, Human Resource Management, General Management, Banking, Insurance, Corporate Governance and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting Education; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Monetary Policy; Portfolio & Security Analysis; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Business Education; Business Information Systems (MIS); Business Law, Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labor Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; Public Administration; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism, Hospitality & Leisure; Transportation/Physical Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Digital Logic; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Multimedia; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic and Web Design. The above mentioned tracks are only indicative, and not exhaustive.

Anybody can submit the soft copy of his/her manuscript **anytime** in M.S. Word format after preparing the same as per our submission guidelines duly available on our website under the heading guidelines for submission, at the email addresses: info@ijrcm.org.in.

GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT

COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:	
	DATED:
THE EDITOR	
IJRCM	
Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF	
(e.g. Computer/IT/Finance/Marketing/HRM/G	eneral Management/other, please specify).
DEAR SIR/MADAM	
Please find my submission of manuscript titled '	' for possible publication in your journal.
I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore nor is it under review for publication anywhere.	, it has neither been published elsewhere in any language fully or partly,
I affirm that all author (s) have seen and agreed to the submitted version of the	ne manuscript and their inclusion of name (s) as co-author (s).
Also, if our/my manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the form contribution to any of your journals.	alities as given on the website of journal & you are free to publish our
NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:	
Designation:	
Affiliation with full address & Pin Code:	
Residential address with Pin Code:	

Mobile Number (s):

Landline Number (s):

E-mail Address:

Alternate E-mail Address:

- 2. INTRODUCTION: Manuscript must be in British English prepared on a standard A4 size paper setting. It must be prepared on a single space and single column with 1" margin set for top, bottom, left and right. It should be typed in 8 point Calibri Font with page numbers at the bottom and centre of the every page.
- 3. MANUSCRIPT TITLE: The title of the paper should be in a 12 point Calibri Font. It should be bold typed, centered and fully capitalised.
- 4. **AUTHOR NAME(S) & AFFILIATIONS:** The author (s) full name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline numbers, and email/alternate email address should be in italic & 11-point Calibri Font. It must be centered underneath the title.
- 5. **ABSTRACT**: Abstract should be in fully italicized text, not exceeding 250 words. The abstract must be informative and explain the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a single para.
- 6. **KEYWORDS**: Abstract must be followed by list of keywords, subject to the maximum of five. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stops at the end.
- 7. **HEADINGS**: All the headings should be in a 10 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
- 8. **SUB-HEADINGS**: All the sub-headings should be in a 8 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
- 9. MAIN TEXT: The main text should be in a 8 point Calibri Font, single spaced and justified.
- 10. **FIGURES &TABLES**: These should be simple, centered, separately numbered & self explained, and titles must be above the tables/figures. Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure. It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text.
- 11. **EQUATIONS**: These should be consecutively numbered in parentheses, horizontally centered with equation number placed at the right.
- 12. **REFERENCES**: The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. It must be single spaced, and at the end of the manuscript. The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript and they are supposed to follow **Harvard Style of Referencing**. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per following:
- All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
- Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
- When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc, in chronologically ascending order.
- Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
- The title of books and journals should be in italics. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
- For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parentheses.
- The location of endnotes within the text should be indicated by superscript numbers.

PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:

BOOKS

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio," Ohio State University.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

• Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

CONFERENCE PAPERS

 Garg Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–22 June.

UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS AND THESES

Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

ONLINE RESOURCES

• Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

WEBSITE

Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Natural Gas Policy, Economic and Political Weekly, Viewed on July 05, 2011 http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp

ESTIMATING THE CONTRIBUTION OF FOREST TO ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT: A CASE STUDY OF NTFPS IN KARNATAKA

A. R. KULKARNI
ASST. PROFESSOR
CENTRE FOR MULTI-DISCIPLINARY DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH
DHARWAD

D. R. REVANKAR

RESEARCH ASSISTANT

CENTRE FOR MULTI-DISCIPLINARY DEVELOPMENT RESEARCH

DHARWAD

ABSTRACT

The paper tries to estimate the value of non-timber forest products (NTFPs) collected by the local people across different types of forests in Karnataka. It shows that on an average 55 per cent of households who are living in the vicinity of forest, collect these products. The average estimated value of NTFPs collected by households is Rs.6752 per household during the year 2003-04. The value of NTFPs collected by households varies from Rs. 15427 in Tropical Thorn forest to Rs. 3420 in Evergreen forest per hectare. The total estimated value of NTFP collected by the local people is Rs. 1858 crores in Karnataka.

KEYWORDS

Forest, NTFP, SDP.

INTRODUCTION

TFPs are the biological materials other than wood, which are extracted from natural forests for human use. These products are usually extracted with simple, traditional techniques causing little damage on ecosystems¹. Forests provide wide range of goods and services, which have significant economic value. These include fertile soil and wood, non-timber products (NTFPs), recreation, landscape value and a wide range of environmental benefits such as climate regulation, watershed protection and the conservation of biodiversity. Though they are providing many benefits and services to the survival of the human beings, they have been depleted in terms of area and productivity. Depletion of forests in terms of transfer of forest land to other land uses and illegal cutting, etc are mainly because of underestimation of benefits of forests. Therefore, forest resources needs to be valued for better management and protection of forests. This would lead to optimum resource allocation for forest sector which is currently getting lower budgetary allocation.

Forests play very important role in the socio-economic development of the state by providing timber, firewood and NTFPs. These NTFPs provide sustenance to the rural and tribal people, who collect a large part of their daily necessities, including food and medicines, from the forests. Most of these products represent a direct subsidy to the rural poor, and constitute an integral element of the factors alleviating their poverty. For landless and marginal farmers living in the vicinity of forests, forest-related activities generate their primary source of income. In Karnataka, collection of non-timber forest produce is being entrusted to Tribal Societies wherever these exist. There are 19 such Tribal Societies in Karnataka. Wherever the societies do not exist the leases for NTFP collection are granted through tender-cum-auction sales. There are about 70 to 80 various NTFPs available in Karnataka. The major NTFPs collected in Karnataka are; *Beedi leaves, Honey, Wax, Tamarind, Seegekai, Cashew nut, Alalekai, Antwalkai, Fruits, Rosha Grass, Gum, Halmaddi, Nellikai, Ivory, Muruganahuli, Amsole, Vatehuli, Ramapatra, Uppigehuli, and others*². The value of these officially extracted NTFPs is Rs.37 crore during the year 2003-04. Apart from these officially collected NTFPs, the local people who live in the vicinity of the forest collect variety of NTFPs. These NTFPs collected by rural and tribal people are not included in the contributions of the forests to the state economy. Failure to take these resources into account means not only neglecting a considerable source of wealth, but also prevents optimal resource allocation. Estimation of total value of NTFPs help in understanding the magnitude and importance of NTFPs in the economy of Karnataka. In this context, the present paper attempts to estimate the value of NTFPs that are collected by local people in Karnataka. The paper is organized as follows: Section 1 gives introduction, section 2 presents literature review on valuation of NTFPs, in section 3 the sampling and

LITERATURE REVIEW ON VALUATION OF NTFPS

The studies dealing with the valuation of NTFPs are presented in tabular format for better understanding about the main objectives, methods and findings of various studies (table 1). The strengths and weaknesses of the Valuation Methods used in some studies are also presented in table 2.

TABLE 1: STUDIES ON VALUATION OF NTFPs

Study (Year)	Methodology	Findings
1. Lal ³ (1990)	Used market prices to value NTFPs available in India.	Value of wood Rs.118.8 billion per year.
		Fodder Rs. 22 billion per year.
		Other NTFPs Rs. 10.9 billion per year.
2. Chopra ⁴ (1993)	Estimated the value of NTFPs for tropical deciduous forests in India. Used various valuation techniques to estimate the value of NTFPs. Following methods are used for valuation. Fuelwood: substitute good approach (price of soft coke) and labour input-cost of time spent in collection. Fodder: Market value of fertilizer and milk output from cattle feeding on established pasture and scrubland. Other forest products: Labour inputs –cost of time spent in collection.	The direct use value is US\$220 per hectare per year.
3. Gunatilake	Study area: Knuckles National Wilderness Area in the Kandy and Mat ale districts	NTFPs provided 16.2 % and 5.3 % of total and cash
& Others⁵	of Sri Lanka. Direct use values of NTFPs are calculated excluding illegal extraction	income of the household per year. The value of NTFP
(1993)	of wildlife, poles and ratten or products collected irregularly. Market prices of products or prices of close substitutes are used to value the NTFPs.	extraction is US\$92 per hectare per year.
4. Howard ⁶	Valuation of NTFPs is under taken as a part of financial and economic CBA with	Direct use of wood and NTFPs by local communities is
(1995)	regard to Uganda's protected area system.	estimated at about US\$74 million per year.
5. Lescuyer &	An attempt has been made to estimate monetary valuation of all nutritious NTFP	The value of NTFP extractions in Goute is FF 17945 for
Guillaume ⁷	extracted by a rural village population in the East Cameroon. Three economic	one year.
(1996)	valuation techniques have been used for NTFPs, i.e., local market prices, the	
	market price of the substitute of the non-marketed NTFP, and NTFP value by	
	knowing the time spent in forest for its collect by the gatherer. Market price	
	method and market substitute's method have produced results. But their	
	application and results are questionable.	
6.Emerton&	Used participatory method for valuation of forest resources in Aberdares, in	By using this method, timber, medicines, honey, building
Mogaka ⁸	Kenya. They used pictures to value forest use.	materials, wild foods, and hunting, grazing, charcoal, fuel
(1996)		wood are valued. This exercise demonstrates how it is
		possible to link local categories of value and find a
		common 'currency' which can bridge the gaps between
		commercial and subsistence activities.
7.Chopra &	Estimated value of NTFPs based on the market price in two representative	Value of NTFPs found quite high when compared to
Kadekodi ⁹	watersheds of the Yamuna river basin.	timber output.
(1997)		
8. Adger, et	Estimates value of NTFPs in Mexico. These estimates are based on the shadow	It is found that the use value of NTFPs is likely to be
al ¹⁰ (2002)	prices.	relatively high compared to other values, and possibly
		very high in certain regions.

TABLE: 2 STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES OF THE VALUATION METHODS

Valuation Method	Strengths	Weaknesses
Local market Price method	The resulting value estimates are derived from true household choices, facing prices that are 'real'. They reflect local demand and supply conditions.	Prices vary widely according to the place. Market transaction takes place in an oligopoly contest and not in pure perfect competition. NTFP economic value is diminished because it is the price of the first transaction that is chosen for the valuation. In general, the valuator is free to set the price. Product prices also vary according to the season. Often, the valuation use a low price set when supply exceeds demand. This choice is arbitrary and indicates a minimal or conservative NTFP value is sought. Application of this valuation technique implies a preliminary choice of the valuator as to the level of value he wants to set.
Valuation using substitute of the non- marketed NTFP	Substitute goods approaches may be used whenever close market substitutes for non-timber benefits exist.	Using a market substitute to give a value to a gathered product is difficult. Accepting the word of the villagers concerning their food substitutes is one thing; imputing a monetary value to these products is another. Furthermore, they are substitutable as food, but not economically or monetarily. It is not sure that a gatherer is willing to exchange his NTFP harvest against an equivalent non-market substitute harvest, even if he obtains the same satisfaction in consumption. It can be said that, in an economy where currency is rare, values of use do not correspond to values of exchange.
Travel Cost	This method recognizes that for some goods or services the consumer may have to incur substantial costs (in time or money), to obtain the particular good or service. For example, a recreation experience may involve considerable travel expenses; and gathering free fuel wood may require a considerable amount of time. It assumes that the value to the consumer is at least equal to the travel costs the consumer is willing to incur to obtain the desired good or service.	Quantity of NTFP collected and the time spent in gathering are required to value the resources. Similarly, it is difficult to consider gathering time as an opportunity cost. Because, many times people remain unemployed. Many times, quantity collected is not at all related to gathering time because of un certainly. In dry regions, people collect fuel wood from forests. In case of necessary products it is difficult to assign a value based on the transport cost.

Source: International Institute for Environment and Development (2003)

SAMPLING AND METHODOLOGY

The study was conducted in Karnataka. The state is one of the southern states of the country and it has around 20 percent of the total geographical area under forest. The forest in the state constitutes some of the most magnificent forests like: evergreen forest, semi-evergreen forest, moist deciduous forest, dry deciduous forest, and thorn forests. About 60 percent of the Western Ghats are located in the state. Table 1 shows area under forest in Karnataka.

Belgaum Bagalkot Raichur

Belgaum Bagalkot Raichur

Dharwad Koppal Gadag Bellary

Uttar Kannad Hever!

Devangere Chitradurga Shimoge

Udupi Chikmangalut Tumkur Kolar

Hassan Bangalore

Dakshim Kannad Mandya

Kodagu Mysore

Chamrainagan

TABLE: 1 AREA UNDER FOREST IN KARNATAKA

Forest Types	Area (Sq.Km)	%
Evergreen	4350	11.36
Semi-evergreen	1450	3.79
Moist Deciduous	5780	15.10
Dry Deciduous	7270	18.99
Thorn Forests (Scrub)	8340	21.78
Unwooded	11094	28.98
Total	38284	100.00

Source: Govt. of Karnataka 2004

For collecting primary data, the districts with different forest types have been selected. The selected districts are Tumkur, Chikkamagalur, Hassan, Dakshina Kannada, and Uttar Kannada. In each selected district, the forest ranges and villages having higher proportion of forest area are selected. Table 2 shows sample frame of the study.

TABLE: 2 SAMPLE FRAME OF THE STUDY					
District	Ranges Village Name Type of Forest Forest Are		Forest Area (Ha)	Population	
Chikkamagalore	Mudigere	Urubage	Ever Green	897	811
	Chikkamagalore	Marle	Tropical Thorn	533	2611
	Aldur	Kundur	SE & MD	2050	2257
Hassan	Sakkeshpur	Heggadde	Ever Green	3600	2466
	Arasikere	Shankarnahalli	Tropical Thorn	724	1244
Tumkur	Bukkapatna	Bellaru	Dry Decidious	325	2166
Dakshina Kannada	Puttur Range	Nikkiladi	Dry Decidious	80.3	1815
	Sullia	Maddappadi	SE & MD	4595	1640
	Subramanya	Subramanya	SE & MD	2915.5	3447
Uttara Kannada	Jagalbet	Jagalbet	SE & MD	9096	2059

In each selected villages 50 households have been randomly selected for getting detailed information about the quantity and value of NTFPs collected. The percentage of households covered for the study are 21 percent of SC, 13 percent of ST, 13 percent of OBC, 8 percent of Minorities and 46 percent of Others. Totally 500 households have been selected from 10 villages spread across 5 districts in Karnataka. Total values of NTFPs have been estimated using 'local market prices'.

FINDINGS OF THE STUDY

In the selected villages a significant proportion of households (55 per cent) collect NTFPs (Table 3). The collection of NTFPs depends upon several factors such as, availability of NTFPs in the forest, accessibility to the forest, availability of these products in the private lands, and fear of wild animals, etc. Therefore the

proportion of households collecting NTFPs varies across the villages. The proportion of households collecting NTFPs is highest in villages having semi evergreen and moist deciduous (SE & MD) forests while the villages having evergreen forests account for lowest collection of NTFPs in this region.

TABLE: 3 HOUSEHOLDS COLLECTING NTFPs IN STUDY AREA

Type of Forest	Total No of HHs in the Sample	No. of HHs Collecting NTFPs	% of HHs Collecting NTFPs
Evergreen	100	36	36.0
SE & MD	200	137	68.5
Dry Deciduous	100	59	59.0
Tropical Thorny	100	44	44.0
Total	500	276	55.2

In the selected villages, households collect various types of products from forest, such as; fuel wood, fodder, *muttal leaves, honey, nelli, magadi beru, kada bike hannu, gum, tumbare leaves, wax, tupra furit, medicinal leaves, seegekai, gaaliaubhadi balli, geru, malli balli, otae, vate, etc.* There is variation in products and quantity collected across the villages. On average households collect 18 quintals of fuel wood, 12 quintals of fodder, 16 quintals of *Muttal* leaves, 60 Kilograms of Tumbare leaves, 9 kilograms of Nelli kai and 8 kilograms of Sigekai in the selected villages. Households collect these NTFPs for their home consumption and for sale. In the selected villages, about 90 percent of the households collect these NTFPs mainly for home consumption and remaining 10 percent of households collect mainly for sale. It is observed that mainly landless and marginal farm households are engaged in collection and sale of these products. Fuel wood is the main item sold and other items are sold in small quantities. The selling and buying of NTFPs takes place mostly in the village or in the nearby village. The households collecting these NTFPs sell their products to rich households in the village. NTFPs are available in the particular season and in that season these are collected and marketed.

The value of NTFPs is obtained by multiplying the price into the quantity of NTFPs collected. Table 4 shows the average value of NTFPs collected by sample households. It shows that the average value of NTFPs collected by sample households is Rs. 6752 and it varies across the different types of forest regions from Rs. 3420 to Rs.15427.

TABLE: 4 VALUE OF NTFPS COLLECTED BY SAMPLE HOUSEHOLDS (Rs/HH/Annum)

Households in Different Types of Forests	Value of NTFPs Collected (Rs/HH)	
Evergreen	3420	
Semi-evergreen & Moist Deciduous	4204	
Dry Decidious	7113	
Tropical Thorn	15427	
Average	6752	

In order to know the magnitude of value of NTFPs collected in the selected villages we multiplied value of NTFPs collected per household by total forest area in the village and by total number of households. To get the value of NTFPs collected per hectare, we divided the total value of NTFPs collected in the village by total forest area in the village. Table 5 the shows estimated value of NTFPs per hectare across different types of forests in selected villages.

TABLE: 5 VALUE OF NTFPS IN SELECTED VILLAGES ACROSS FORESTS TYPES (Rs/ Hectare /Annum)

Type of Forest	Value of NTFP/HH/Year (Rs)	Forest Area (Ha)	Total No of HHs	Total Value of NTFP (Rs)	Value of NTFP Collected Per Hactare * (Rs)
Ever Green	3420	4497	655	2240414	498
SE & MD	4204	18657	1881	7908537	424
Dry Deciduous	7113	405	796	5661553	13979
Tropical Thorny	15427	1257	771	11894075	9462
Total	6752*	24816	4103	27704579	1116

Note: * obtained by dividing the total value of NTFPs in the study area by the total households in the study area.

Table 5 shows that value of NTFP collected per hectare is more in case of dry deciduous forests and tropical thorny forests. Though there is abundant forest in and around the villages in evergreen and SE & MD types of forest; the value of NTFP collected by the villagers is less than expected. This is mainly due to two reasons. First, households depend on the private land for their biomass requirements and second, the various restrictions of forest department are imposed on collecting NTFPs in these areas.

Estimates of Value of NTFPs for Karnataka

In order to arrive at the total value of NTFPs for Karnataka, we use the estimates of the value of NTFPs per hectare (from Table 5) and multiply the same by the total forest area in Karnataka. Table 6 shows total value of NTFPs collected by households in Karnataka. It shows that the value of NTFPs collected by the households in Karnataka is Rs. 1857.7 crores for the year 2003-04.

TABLE: 6 VALUE OF NTFPS COLLECTED BY HOUSEHOLDS IN KARNATAKA

Forest Types	Value of NTFPs Collected / Ha By HHs in Selected	Total Forest area in	Total Value of NTFPs Collected by HHs in
	Villages (Rs)	Karnataka (Ha)	Karnataka (Rs. crore)
Evergreen	498	435000	21.7
Semi-evergreen	424	145000	6.1
Moist deciduous	424	578000	24.5
Dry deciduous	13979	727000	1016.3
Thorn Forests (Scrub)	9462	834000	789.1
Total	1116.0@	3828400	1857.7

@ is average and not total

CONCLUDING OBSERVATIONS

In the selected villages 55 percent of the households collect NTFPs. About 90 of households use these NTFPs mainly for home consumption and remaining 10 percent of households sell these products in the local market. Fuel wood, fodder and *Muttal* leaves are the main products collected and the value of NTFPs collected per household is Rs.6752. The total value of NTFPs collected by the households in Karnataka is Rs. 1857.7 crores for the year 2003-04. The value of NTFPs collected by households is about 50 percent higher than the recorded value of NTFPs. Under estimation of value of NTFPs has resulted in less allocation of resources towards this sector and depletion of forest. Understanding of the total value of NTFPs and their importance in livelihoods of households would lead to higher allocation of resources, better management of forests and finally these would help in providing sustainable livelihood opportunities.

REFERENCES

Adger and Others. Tropical forest values in Mexico" in Valuing the Environment in Developing Countries- Case Studies. 2002. Edited by David Pearce, Corin Pearce and Charles Palme

Chopra K. and G. Kadekodi. Natural Resource Accounting In The Yamuna Basin: Accounting For Forest Resources. 1997. IEG Monograph, New Delhi.

Chopra, K. The Value of Non-Timber Forest Products: An Estimation for Tropical Deciduous Forests in India. 1993. Economic Botany, Vol-47, No-3, Pp-251-257. Emerton lucy and Mogaka Hexron. Participatory Environmental Valuation of Forest Resources in the Aberdares, Kenya. 1996. PLA notes, London

Government of Karnataka. Annual Administration Report. Forest Department, Varies Issues.

Government of Karnataka. State Forestry Action Programme (1997-2017) Karnataka Forest Department. 1996. Govt of Karnataka, Pp-23.

Government of Karnataka. State of the Environment Report and Action Plan-2003. 2004. Department of Forest, Ecology and Environment, Government of India, Bangalore.

Gunatilake, H.M, Senaratne, D.M.A.H and P.Abeygunawardena. Role of Non-Timber Forest Products in the Economy of Peripheral Communities of Knuckles National Wilderness Area of Sri Lanka: A Farming Systems Approach. 1993. *Economic Botany*, Vol-47, No-3, Pp-275-281.

Howard, P. The Economics of Protected Areas in Uganda: Costs, Benefits, and Policy Issues. 1995. Dissertation. University of Edinburgh, summarized in Bagri, A., Blockhus, J., Grey, F. and F. Vorhies (eds), 1998. Economic Values of Protected Areas: A Guide for Protected Area Managers, IUCN: Gland.

International Institute for Environment and Development (2003), "Valuing Forests- A Review of methods and applications in developing countries (2003)" Environmental Economics Programme, London.

Lal, J.B. Economic Value of India's Forest Stock. Van Vigyan (Journal of the Society of Indian Foresters). 1990. Vol-28, No-3, Pp-63-72.

Lescuyer and Guillaume. Monetary Valuation of the Non-Timber Forest Products (NTFPs): Does it Contribute to Determine a Sustainable Management of those Resources?. 1996. 6th IASCP Conference, California.



REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

Dear Readers

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce, Economics & Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue as well as on the journal as a whole, on our E-mails i.e. infoijrcm@gmail.com or info@ijrcm.org.in for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries please feel free to contact us on our E-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-

Co-ordinator