INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE, ECONOMICS & MANAGEMENT



A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories Indexed & Listed at:

Union's Periodicals Directory ©, ProQuest, U.S.A., Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A., Google Scholar

Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland with IC Value of 5.09 (2012) & number of libraries all around the world.

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than 6575 Cities in 197 countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

CONTENTS

Sr. No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page No.
1.	IMPACT OF FOREIGN DIRECT INVESTMENT ON ECONOMIC GROWTH OF ASEAN MEMBER COUNTRIES	1
	CHENG WEN LEE & AGUS FERNANDO	
2.	IMPACT OF INVESTOR SENTIMENT ON STOCK MARKET RETURNS: A STUDY OF THE INDIAN ECONOMY FROM BOTH DOMESTIC AND GLOBAL PERSPECTIVE	8
	SAURBHI SINGH, RAGHAV AGGARWAL, BANDANA KOCHHAR, Dr. HAMENDRA KUMAR PORWAL & Dr. ROHINI SINGH	
3.	IMPACT OF EDUCATION AND FAMILY INCOME ON THE GROWTH OF CASHLESS TRANSACTIONS NITIN KUMAR & Dr. BHARTI DIXIT	14
	WITH ROWAR & Dr. BHARTI BIATI	
4.	AN ANALYSIS OF THE INDIA'S EXTERNAL DEBT GROWTH P. LAKSHMI	20
5.	FINANCIAL INCLUSION AND DEVELOPMENT OF MICRO, SMALL AND MEDIUM SCALE ENTERPRISES IN INDIA	24
	VIJAY SHANIGARAPU & Dr. K. SRINIVAS	
	REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK & DISCLAIMER	28

FOUNDER PATRON

Late Sh. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Former State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana Former Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri Former President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

CO-ORDINATOR

Dr. BHAVET

Former Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Engineering & Technology, Urjani

ADVISOR

Prof. S. L. MAHANDRU

Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

EDITOR

Dr. NAWAB ALI KHAN

Professor & Dean, Faculty of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

CO-EDITOR

Dr. G. BRINDHA

Professor & Head, Dr.M.G.R. Educational & Research Institute (Deemed to be University), Chennai

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

Dr. TEGUH WIDODO

Dean, Faculty of Applied Science, Telkom University, Bandung Technoplex, Jl. Telekomunikasi, Indonesia

Dr. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Professor, School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

Dr. JOSÉ G. VARGAS-HERNÁNDEZ

Research Professor, University Center for Economic & Managerial Sciences, University of Guadalajara, Guadalajara, Mexico

Dr. CHRISTIAN EHIOBUCHE

Professor of Global Business/Management, Larry L Luing School of Business, Berkeley College, USA

Dr. SIKANDER KUMAR

Vice Chancellor, Himachal Pradesh University, Shimla, Himachal Pradesh

Dr. BOYINA RUPINI

Director, School of ITS, Indira Gandhi National Open University, New Delhi

Dr. MIKE AMUHAYA IRAVO

Principal, Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture & Tech., Westlands Campus, Nairobi-Kenya

Dr. SANJIV MITTAL

Professor & Dean, University School of Management Studies, GGS Indraprastha University, Delhi

Dr. D. S. CHAUBEY

Professor & Dean (Research & Studies), Uttaranchal University, Dehradun

Dr. A SAJEEVAN RAO

Professor & Director, Accurate Institute of Advanced Management, Greater Noida

Dr. NEPOMUCENO TIU

Chief Librarian & Professor, Lyceum of the Philippines University, Laguna, Philippines

Dr. RAJENDER GUPTA

Convener, Board of Studies in Economics, University of Jammu, Jammu

Dr. KAUP MOHAMED

Dean & Managing Director, London American City College/ICBEST, United Arab Emirates

Dr. DHANANJOY RAKSHIT

Dean, Faculty Council of PG Studies in Commerce and Professor & Head, Department of Commerce, Sidho-Kanho-Birsha University, Purulia

Dr. SHIB SHANKAR ROY

Professor, Department of Marketing, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi, Bangladesh

Dr. S. P. TIWARI

Head, Department of Economics & Rural Development, Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia Avadh University, Faizabad

Dr. SRINIVAS MADISHETTI

Professor, School of Business, Mzumbe University, Tanzania

Dr. ABHAY BANSAL

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engg. & Tech., Amity University, Noida

Dr. ARAMIDE OLUFEMI KUNLE

Dean, Department of General Studies, The Polytechnic, Ibadan, Nigeria

Dr. ANIL CHANDHOK

Professor, University School of Business, Chandigarh University, Gharuan

RODRECK CHIRAU

Associate Professor, Botho University, Francistown, Botswana

Dr. OKAN VELI ŞAFAKLI

Professor & Dean, European University of Lefke, Lefke, Cyprus

PARVEEN KHURANA

Associate Professor, Mukand Lal National College, Yamuna Nagar

Dr. KEVIN LOW LOCK TENG

Associate Professor, Deputy Dean, Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman, Kampar, Perak, Malaysia

Dr. BORIS MILOVIC

Associate Professor, Faculty of Sport, Union Nikola Tesla University, Belgrade, Serbia

SHASHI KHURANA

Associate Professor, S. M. S. Khalsa Lubana Girls College, Barara, Ambala

Dr. IQBAL THONSE HAWALDAR

Associate Professor, College of Business Administration, Kingdom University, Bahrain

Dr. DEEPANJANA VARSHNEY

Associate Professor, Department of Business Administration, King Abdulaziz University, Saudi Arabia

Dr. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, Government College, Hodal

Dr. BIEMBA MALITI

Associate Professor, School of Business, The Copperbelt University, Main Campus, Zambia

Dr. ALEXANDER MOSESOV

Associate Professor, Kazakh-British Technical University (KBTU), Almaty, Kazakhstan

Dr. VIVEK CHAWLA

Associate Professor, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra

Dr. FERIT ÖLÇER

Professor & Head of Division of Management & Organization, Department of Business Administration, Faculty of Economics & Business Administration Sciences, Mustafa Kemal University, Turkey

Dr. ASHOK KUMAR CHAUHAN

Reader, Department of Economics, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra

Dr. RAJESH MODI

Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

YU-BING WANG

Faculty, department of Marketing, Feng Chia University, Taichung, Taiwan

Dr. SAMBHAVNA

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

Dr. KIARASH JAHANPOUR

Dean of Technology Management Faculty, Farabi Institute of Higher Education, Karaj, Alborz, I.R. Iran

Dr. TITUS AMODU UMORU

Professor, Kwara State University, Kwara State, Nigeria

Dr. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE

Faculty, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

Dr. BHAVET

Former Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Engineering & Technology, Urjani

Dr. THAMPOE MANAGALESWARAN

Faculty, Vavuniya Campus, University of Jaffna, Sri Lanka

Dr. VIKAS CHOUDHARY

Faculty, N.I.T. (University), Kurukshetra

SURAJ GAUDEL

BBA Program Coordinator, LA GRANDEE International College, Simalchaur - 8, Pokhara, Nepal

Dr. DILIP KUMAR JHA

Faculty, Department of Economics, Guru Ghasidas Vishwavidyalaya, Bilaspur

<u>FORMER TECHNICAL ADVISOR</u>

AMITA

FINANCIAL ADVISORS

DICKEN GOYAL

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

NEENA

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

LEGAL ADVISORS

JITENDER S. CHAHAL

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

SUPERINTENDENT

SURENDER KUMAR POONIA

1

CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to the recent developments & practices in the areas of Computer Science & Applications; Commerce; Business; Finance; Marketing; Human Resource Management; General Management; Banking; Economics; Tourism Administration & Management; Education; Law; Library & Information Science; Defence & Strategic Studies; Electronic Science; Corporate Governance; Industrial Relations; and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Rural Economics; Co-operation; Dewelopment Planning; Development Studies; Applied Economics; Development Economics; Business Economics; Monetary Policy; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Political Science; Continuing Education; Labour Welfare; Philosophy; Psychology; Sociology; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law; Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labour Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; International Relations; Human Rights & Duties; Public Administration; Population Studies; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism & Hospitality; Transportation Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic; Web Design and emerging paradigms in allied subjects.

Anybody can submit the soft copy of unpublished novel; original; empirical and high quality research work/manuscript anytime in M.S. Word format after preparing the same as per our GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION; at our email address i.e. infoijrcm@gmail.com or online by clicking the link online submission as given on our website (FOR ONLINE SUBMISSION, CLICK HERE).

GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT

COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:	DATED:
THE EDITOR	
IJRCM	
Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF	
(e.g. Finance/Mkt./HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/ specify)	IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, please
DEAR SIR/MADAM	
Please find my submission of manuscript titled 'your journals.	
hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore fully or partly, nor it is under review for publication elsewhere.	e, it has neither been published anywhere in any languag
affirm that all the co-authors of this manuscript have seen the submitted value in the co-authors.	ersion of the manuscript and have agreed to inclusion of
Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I agree to comply with the formalitie discretion to publish our contribution in any of its journals.	es as given on the website of the journal. The Journal ha
NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR	:
Designation/Post*	:
Institution/College/University with full address & Pin Code	:
Residential address with Pin Code	:
Mobile Number (s) with country ISD code	:
Is WhatsApp or Viber active on your above noted Mobile Number (Yes/No)	:
Landline Number (s) with country ISD code	:

* i.e. Alumnus (Male Alumni), Alumna (Female Alumni), Student, Research Scholar (M. Phil), Research Scholar (Ph. D.), JRF, Research Assistant, Assistant Lecturer, Lecturer, Senior Lecturer, Junior Assistant Professor, Assistant Professor, Senior Assistant Professor, Co-ordinator, Reader, Associate Professor, Professor, Head, Vice-Principal, Dy. Director, Principal, Director, Dean, President, Vice Chancellor, Industry Designation etc. The qualification of

author is not acceptable for the purpose.

E-mail Address

Nationality

Alternate E-mail Address

NOTES:

- a) The whole manuscript has to be in **ONE MS WORD FILE** only, which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript. <u>pdf.</u> <u>version</u> is liable to be rejected without any consideration.
- b) The sender is required to mention the following in the SUBJECT COLUMN of the mail:
 - **New Manuscript for Review in the area of** (e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/IT/Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, please specify)
- c) There is no need to give any text in the body of the mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any **specific message** w.r.t. to the manuscript.
- d) The total size of the file containing the manuscript is expected to be below 1000 KB.
- e) Only the **Abstract will not be considered for review** and the author is required to submit the **complete manuscript** in the first instance.
- f) The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email within twenty-four hours and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of the manuscript, within two days of its submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending a separate mail to the journal.
- g) The author (s) name or details should not appear anywhere on the body of the manuscript, except on the covering letter and the cover page of the manuscript, in the manner as mentioned in the guidelines.
- 2. MANUSCRIPT TITLE: The title of the paper should be typed in **bold letters**, **centered** and **fully capitalised**.
- 3. AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS: Author (s) name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline number (s), and email/alternate email address should be given underneath the title.
- 4. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS: Acknowledgements can be given to reviewers, guides, funding institutions, etc., if any.
- 5. **ABSTRACT**: Abstract should be in **fully Italic printing**, ranging between **150** to **300 words**. The abstract must be informative and elucidating the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a **SINGLE PARA**. **Abbreviations must be mentioned in full**.
- 6. **KEYWORDS:** Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of **five**. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stop at the end. All words of the keywords, including the first one should be in small letters, except special words e.g. name of the Countries, abbreviations etc.
- 7. **JEL CODE:** Provide the appropriate Journal of Economic Literature Classification System code (s). JEL codes are available at www.aea-web.org/econlit/jelCodes.php. However, mentioning of JEL Code is not mandatory.
- 8. **MANUSCRIPT**: Manuscript must be in <u>BRITISH ENGLISH</u> prepared on a standard A4 size <u>PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER</u>. It should be free from any errors i.e. grammatical, spelling or punctuation. It must be thoroughly edited at your end.
- 9. **HEADINGS**: All the headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
- 10. **SUB-HEADINGS**: All the sub-headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
- 11. MAIN TEXT:

THE MAIN TEXT SHOULD FOLLOW THE FOLLOWING SEQUENCE:

INTRODUCTION

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

OBJECTIVES

HYPOTHESIS (ES)

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

FINDINGS

RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS

CONCLUSIONS

LIMITATIONS

SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

REFERENCES

APPENDIX/ANNEXURE

The manuscript should preferably be in **2000** to **5000 WORDS**, But the limits can vary depending on the nature of the manuscript

- 12. **FIGURES & TABLES:** These should be simple, crystal **CLEAR**, **centered**, **separately numbered** & self-explained, and the **titles must be above the table/figure**. **Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure**. *It should be ensured that the tables/figures are*referred to from the main text.
- 13. **EQUATIONS/FORMULAE:** These should be consecutively numbered in parenthesis, left aligned with equation/formulae number placed at the right. The equation editor provided with standard versions of Microsoft Word may be utilised. If any other equation editor is utilised, author must confirm that these equations may be viewed and edited in versions of Microsoft Office that does not have the editor.
- 14. **ACRONYMS**: These should not be used in the abstract. The use of acronyms is elsewhere is acceptable. Acronyms should be defined on its first use in each section e.g. Reserve Bank of India (RBI). Acronyms should be redefined on first use in subsequent sections.
- 15. **REFERENCES**: The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. *The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript* and they may follow Harvard Style of Referencing. Also check to ensure that everything that you are including in the reference section is duly cited in the paper. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
- All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
- Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
- When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc., in chronologically ascending order.
- Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
- The title of books and journals should be in italic printing. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
- For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parenthesis.
- Headers, footers, endnotes and footnotes should not be used in the document. However, you can mention short notes to elucidate some specific point, which may be placed in number orders before the references.

PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:

BOOKS

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

• Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

• Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

CONFERENCE PAPERS

Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–23

UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS

Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

ONLINE RESOURCES

Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

WEBSITES

Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp

IMPACT OF EDUCATION AND FAMILY INCOME ON THE GROWTH OF CASHLESS TRANSACTIONS

NITIN KUMAR ASST. PROFESSOR SRM INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY GHAZIABAD

Dr. BHARTI DIXIT ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR SHAHEED MANGAL PANDEY GGPG COLLEGE MEERUT

ABSTRACT

The study revealed that family income and education level impact the growth of the cashless transactions. Moreover, people whose education was graduate and above and family income was above five lakh, they started using digital transactions more frequently after demoentization. Though, the people who have their family income below five lakh and education upto 12th also started using cashless payment methods but their uasge were limited to digital wallets and digital payment for online shopping.

KEYWORDS

cashless economy, digital payment, electronic payment system.

JEL CODES

D31, L81.

INTRODUCTION

igital payment system has gained acceptance in India during last decade. There have been significant technological developments in making the payment systems secured and advanced. Many empirical studies suggest that especially after demonetization, digital transactions grew at a faster rate as 86% of the currency in circulation was withdrawn from the circulation. People found it difficult to purchase the goods and services of even daily needs. Government has also taken many new initiatives to spread the awareness and acceptability of digital transactions in India. Though, it is a challenging task in a country like India to create awareness about the benefits of digital transactions as the demography is highly diverse. Moreover, people have vested interests in avoiding the traceable payment channels. Education level and income might also play key role in the growth of cashless transactions. This paper is an attempt to enquire the impact of education and income on the growth cashless transactions after demonetization. Though, India is still in the initial phase of the adoption of cashless transactions as 98% consumer transactions by volume and 68% by value are carried out in cash (Venkatesh, 2017).

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

(Mukherjee, 2019) argued that cashless society was not the objective of demonetization but later on it was added as one of measures of demonetization. (Giovanni Immordino, 2017) argued that the if the payments are made through the debit or credit card, it reduces the tax evasion. Further, he argued that if debit and credit are used for cash withdrawal rather than making direct payment for purchases, it fosters the tax evasion. (Reserve Bank of India, 2019) mentioned in its report that India is experiencing the transition from in it payment ecosystem. People are being roped in the baking system through various schemes like Pradhan Mantri Jan Dhan Yojna, digitalization of payment transfers by the government. Approximately one billion debit cards and 50 million credit cards have been issued by the banks. (Jhaveri, 2019) argued that the usage of digital wallets boomed just after the demonetization and many digital wallet firms became successful overnight and favourite of investors. (Walter Engert, 2018) agued in a study conducted in Canada the countries where the demand of cash is declining, they need to address the concerns like operational reliability of payment networks, regulating the critical private networks and to provide a safe store of value in financial crisis. (Nag, 2016) argued that the act of demonetization was opposite to the helicopter money and moreover it was vacuum cleaning of money supply. Moreover, the unaccounted wealth and tax evasion will also continue only the players will change. (Shepard, 2016) argued that no economy can be fully cashless but the belief in cash is ingrained in Indian culture and the paradigm shift needs resources and time. (Sivathanu, 2017) argued that the digital payment service providers need to minimize the security and privacy risk of the users. Moreover, they also need to work upon the increasing the literacy of digital payment system.

NEED AND IMPORTANCE OF STUDY

Review of literature suggests that various studies have been conducted to evaluate the impact of demonetization on economy and also examine the importance of moving from cash to cashless economy. Furthermore, few studies examined the consumer behaviour of people in adopting the cashless payment methods. It was also found that researches which have examined the impact of education and income on the adoption of cashless transactions are by and large non-existent. So, the researcher has attempted to examine the impact of education and income on cashless transaction specially after demonetization.

OBJECTIVES OF STUDY

Followings are the specific objectives of the study:

- 1. To examine the impact of education level on the adoption of cashless payment methods
- 2. To examine the impact of income level on the adoption of cashless payment methods.

HYPOTHESIS

Following are the hypothesis of the study:

Hypothesis 1

 $\textbf{\textbf{Null Hypothesis:}} \ \textbf{Education is the determinant of cashless transactions.}$

Alternative Hypothesis: Education is not the determinant of cashless transactions.

Hypothesis 2

Null Hypothesis: Income is the determinant of cashless transactions.

Alternative Hypothesis: Income is not the determinant of cashless transactions.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

To test the hypothesis of the study, an exploratory study is conducted. Researcher conducted a primary research to collect the required data for analysis. A structured questionnaire was designed containing the question regarding the demographic profile of the respondents including their education and family income level. Thereafter, respondents were asked the questions related to the usage and patterns of cashless transactions before and after demonetization on a five-point Likert scale. So, the current study is empirical and quantitative in approach. The data was collected from 1096 respondents. Thereafter, it was data was coded and recoded in excel so that it can be synchronized with SPSS software for analysis. To test the hypothesis and fulfil the objectives of the study, One-Way ANOVA was used. Further, if statistically significant difference is found to exists in any of the components, the Post Hoc test (LSD) has been performed for those components to understand between which group the difference exists.

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

EVALUATION OF CASHLESS TRANSACTIONS ON THE BASIS OF ANNUAL FAMILY INCOME

To test whether there is any impact of of education on the growth of of cashless transaction or not, the researcher performed One Way Analysis of Variance (Education level wise). In this regard, researcher has identified the following hypothesis

The result of One-Way ANOVA (Education wise) is given in following table:

TABLE 1.1: ONE WAY ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (EDUCATION WISE)

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Overall Use of digital / cashless payment method	Between Groups	92.630	3	30.877	23.108	.000
	Within Groups	1459.103	1092	1.336		
	Total	1551.734	1095			

It is obvious from the table that, for the overall cashless transaction, the F value is = 23.108 with sig. value = 0.000, which is less than 0.05 (i.e. the value of 5% level of significant). Hence, we can say that overall cashless transactions differ significantly among the various education levels. Thus, the null hypothesis 1 is accepted at 5% and even 1% level of significant.

The post hoc test has been performed to understand the level of education between which, the changes are found to be statistically significant. The result as shown in the following table indicates that the cashless transactions change significantly between all the combination of education levels except between the groups 10th - 12th standard and Post Graduate & Above (sig. value in this combination is = 0.087 which is greater than 0.05).

TABLE 1.2: POST HOC TEST FOR LEVELS OF EDUCATION

Overall Use of digital / cashless payment method	Upto 12th	Graduate	Post Graduate & Above
Upto 10th	0.000	0.000	0.000
Upto 12th		0.000	0.087
Graduate			0.002

The same test has also been performed for all the components of cashless transactions. The ANOVA table is as under –

TABLE 1.3: ONE WAY ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (EDUCATION WISE)

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Visit bank for cheque/demand draft service	Between Groups	11.378	3	3.793	10.630	.000
	Within Groups	389.629	1092	.357		
	Total	401.007	1095			
Visit bank for fund transfer (IMPS/NEFT/RTGS)	Between Groups	2.257	3	.752	1.823	.141
	Within Groups	450.688	1092	.413		
	Total	452.945	1095			
Use of debit/credit card for cash withdrawal	Between Groups	13.172	3	4.391	6.739	.000
	Within Groups	711.452	1092	.652		
	Total	724.624	1095			
Use of internet banking for fund transfer (IMPS/NEFT/RTGS)	Between Groups	14.206	3	4.735	7.320	.000
	Within Groups	706.437	1092	.647		
	Total	720.642	1095			
Swiping of debit/credit card at PoS for shopping	Between Groups	34.237	3	11.412	15.085	.000
	Within Groups	826.154	1092	.757		
	Total	860.391	1095			
Use of debit/credit card for online shopping	Between Groups	124.430	3	41.477	31.800	.000
	Within Groups	1424.285	1092	1.304		
	Total	1548.715	1095			
Use of mobile banking/mobile wallet for payment	Between Groups	90.097	3	30.032	20.174	.000
	Within Groups	1625.669	1092	1.489		
	Total	1715.766	1095			

It is can be observed from the table that, the education levels have statistically significant impact on all the components except one of the components, viz. Visit bank for fund transfer (IMPS/NEFT/RTGS) as the value of F for this component is = 1.823 with sig. value = 0.141, which is greater than 0.05 (the 5% level of significant).

The result of the post hoc test for the components are shown in the table 1.4. the conclusion is shown in the self explanatory table below. The sig. values at 5% level for different components are shown by * sign, which indicates that the cashless transaction differes significantly with the change of the specific education groups.

The post hoc test has been performed to understand the level of education between which, the changes are found to be statistically significant. It can be observed from the table 1.4 that for the first component i.e. visit to bank for the purpose of cheque and demand draft, the cashless transactions change significantly between all the combination of education levels except between the groups 10th and 12th standard as the sig. value in this combination is = 0.61, which is greater than 0.05.

TABLE 1.4: LSD (LEAST SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE) - EDUCATION WISE MULTIPLE COMPARISONS

Row Labels	Upto 12th	Graduate	Post Graduate & Above
Visit bank for cheque/demand draft service			
Upto 10th	0.61	0.07	0.000*
Upto 12th		0.005*	0.000*
Graduate			0.008*
Visit bank for fund transfer (IMPS/NEFT/RTGS)			
Upto 10th	0.46	0.987	0.342
Upto 12th		0.314	0.03*
Graduate			0.142
Use of debit/credit card for cash withdrawal			
Upto 10th	0.001*	0.000*	0.000*
Upto 12th		0.371	0.817
Graduate			0.358
Use of internet banking for fund transfer (IMPS/NEFT/RTGS)			
Upto 10th	0.943	0.584	0.004*
Upto 12th		0.457	0.000*
Graduate			0.000*
Swiping of debit/credit card at PoS for shopping			
Upto 10th	0.138	0.000*	0.000*
Upto 12th		0.000*	0.000*
Graduate			0.841
Use of debit/credit card for online shopping			
Upto 10th	0.000*	0.000*	0.000*
Upto 12th		0.000*	0.675
Graduate			0.000*
Use of mobile banking/mobile wallet for payment			
Upto 10th	0.011*	0.000*	0.000*
Upto 12 th		0.000*	0.035
Graduate			0.000*
Note: * Sig. at 0.05	•	•	

In the next component of cashless transactions i.e. visit to bank for electronic fund transfer through IMPS/RTGS/NEFT, the cashless transactions do not change significantly between all the combination of education levels except between the groups 12th and post graduate and above. For rest of the combinations sig. value is greater than 0.05. It canth also be observed that for use of debit and credit card for cash withdrawal the cashless transactions change significantly between all the combination of education levels except between the groups 12th and graduate as the sig. value in this combination is = 0.371, which is greater than 0.05, 12th and post graduate and above as the sig. value in this combination is = 0.817, graduate and post graduate and above as the sig. value in this combination is = 0.358, which is greater than 0.05. In the use of internet banking for fund transfer, the cashless transactions change significantly between all the combination of education levels except between the groups 10th and 12th as the sig. value in this combination is = 0.943, which is greater than 0.05, 10th and graduate as the sig. value in this combination is = 0.584, which is greater than 0.05. It can also be seen from the table that for swiping the debit or credit card at PoS for shopping, the cashless transactions change significantly between all the combinations of education levels except between 10th and 12th as the sig. value in this combination is = 0.138, which is greater than 0.05 and between graduate and post graduate and above as the sig. value in this combination is = 0.841, which is greater than 0.05. In the use of debit or credit card for online shopping, the cashless transactions change significantly between all the combination is = 0.675, which is greater than 0.05. In the last component of cashless transactions i.e. use of mobile banking/moble wallets for payment, the cashless transactions change significantly between all the combinations of education levels.

EVALUATION OF CASHLESS TRANSACTIONS ON THE BASIS OF ANNUAL FAMILY INCOME

To test whether there is any impact of of annual family income on the overall as well as the components of cashless transaction or not, the researcher performed One Way Analysis of Variance (Income level-wise)

The result of One-Way ANOVA (income-wise) is given in the following table:

TABLE 1.5: ONE WAY ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (INCOME-WISE)

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Overall Use of digital / cashless payment method	Between Groups	20.838	4	5.210	3.713	.005
	Within Groups	1530.895	1091	1.403		
	Total	1551.734	1095			

It is obvious from the table that, for the overall cashless transaction, the F value is = 3.713 with sig. value = 0.005, which is less than 0.05 (i.e. the value of 5% level of significant). Hence, we can say that overall cashless transactions differ significantly among the various income levels. Thus, the null hypothesis 2 is accepted at 5% and even 1% level of significant.

The post hoc test has been performed to understand the level of income between which, the changes are found to be statistically significant. The result as shown in the table 1.6 indicates that the cashless transactions did not change significantly between all the combination of income levels except between the groups upto 3 lacks P.A. & between 3,00,001 to 5 Lacs P.A. (sig. value in this combination is = 0.004 which is less than 0.05), between 3,00,001 to 5 Lacs P.A. and between 5,00,001 to 8 Lacs P.A. (sig. value in this combination is = 0.001 which is less than 0.05), between 5,00,001 to 8 Lacs P.A. & between 8,00,001 to 12 Lacs P.A. (sig. value in this combination is = 0.019 which is less than 0.05).

TABLE 1.6: POST HOC TEST FOR LEVELS OF INCOME

Overall Use of digital / cashless payment method	Between 3,00,001 to 5 Lacs P.A.	Between 5,00,001 to 8 Lacs P.A.	Between 8,00,001 to 12 Lacs P.A.	Above 12,00,001 P.A.
Upto 3 Lacs P.A.	0.004*	0.494	0.056	0.371
Between 3,00,001 to 5 Lacs P.A.		0.001*	0.659	0.169
Between 5,00,001 to 8 Lacs P.A.			0.019*	0.165
Between 8.00.001 to 12 Lacs P.A.				0.406

To test whether there is any impact of of annual family income on the all the components of cashless transaction or not, the researcher performed One Way Analysis of Variance (Income level-wise).

It is obvious from the table 1.7 that, the income levels have statistically significant impact on all the components except one of the components, viz. use of debit/credit card for cash withdrawal as the value of F for this component is = 2.234 with sig. value = 0.063, which is greater than 0.05 (the 5% level of significant) and for use of mobile banking/mobile wallet for payment as the value of F for this component is = 2.320 with sig. value = 0.055, which is greater than 0.05 (the 5% level of significant).

TABLE 1.7: ONE WAY ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE (INCOME-WISE)

		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Visit bank for cheque/demand draft service	Between Groups	7.415	4	1.854	5.139	.000
	Within Groups	393.592	1091	.361		
	Total	401.007	1095			
Visit bank for fund transfer (IMPS/NEFT/RTGS)	Between Groups	4.844	4	1.211	2.948	.019
	Within Groups	448.101	1091	.411		
	Total	452.945	1095			
Use of debit/credit card for cash withdrawal	Between Groups	5.888	4	1.472	2.234	.063
	Within Groups	718.736	1091	.659		
	Total	724.624	1095			
Use of internet banking for fund transfer (IMPS/NEFT/RTGS)	Between Groups	22.524	4	5.631	8.800	.000
	Within Groups	698.118	1091	.640		
	Total	720.642	1095			
Swiping of debit/credit card at PoS for shopping	Between Groups	32.640	4	8.160	10.755	.000
	Within Groups	827.751	1091	.759		
	Total	860.391	1095			
Use of debit/credit card for online shopping	Between Groups	39.853	4	9.963	7.204	.000
	Within Groups	1508.863	1091	1.383		
	Total	1548.715	1095			
Use of mobile banking/mobile wallet for payment	Between Groups	14.473	4	3.618	2.320	.055
	Within Groups	1701.293	1091	1.559		
	Total	1715.766	1095			

The result of the post hoc test for the components are shown in the table 1.8. The sig. values at 5% level for different components are shown by * sign, which indicates that the cashless transaction differes significantly with the change of the specific income groups.

The post hoc test has been performed to understand the level of income between which, the changes are found to be statistically significant. The result as shown in the table 1.6 indicates that for visit to bank for cheque and demand draft, the cashless transactions changed significantly between all the combination of income levels except between the groups upto 3 lacks P.A. & between 3,00,001 to 5 Lacs P.A. (sig. value in this combination is = 0.974 which is greater than 0.05), between upto 3 lacks P.A. and between 5,00,001 to 8 Lacs P.A. (sig. value in this combination is = 0.053 which is greater than 0.05), between 5,00,001 to 8 Lacs P.A. and above 12,00,001 to 12 Lacs P.A. (sig. value in this combination is = 0.285 which is greater than 0.05). and between 8,00,001 to 12 Lacs P.A. and above 12,00,001 P.A. (sig. value in this combination is = 0.684 which is greater than 0.05). It can also be observed from the table that for the component visit to bank for elecronic fund trasnfer IMPS/RTGS/NEFT, all the combinations did not change significantly except between the groups upto 3 lacks P.A. & above 12,00,001 P.A. (sig. value in this combination is = 0.003 which is less than 0.05), between 3,00,001 to 5 Lacs P.A. & above 12,00,001 P.A. (sig. value in this combination is = 0.003 which is less than 0.05) and between between 8,00,001 to 12 Lacs P.A. & above 12,00,001 P.A. (sig. value in this combination is = 0.003 which is less than 0.05).

TABLE 1.8: LSD (LEAST SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE) – FAMILY INCOME-WISE MULTIPLE COMPARISONS						
Row Labels	Between 3,00,001	Between 5,00,001 to	Between 8,00,001 to	Above		
ROW Labels	to 5 Lacs P.A.	8 Lacs P.A.	12 Lacs P.A.	12,00,001 P.A		
Visit bank for cheque/demand draft service						
Upto 3 Lacs P.A.	0.974	0.053	0.003*	0.001*		
Between 3,00,001 to 5 Lacs P.A.		0.050*	0.003*	0.001*		
Between 5,00,001 to 8 Lacs P.A.			0.285	0.141		
Between 8,00,001 to 12 Lacs P.A.				0.684		
Visit bank for fund transfer (IMPS/NEFT/RTGS)						
Upto 3 Lacs P.A.	0.845	0.734	0.797	0.003*		
Between 3,00,001 to 5 Lacs P.A.		0.608	0.677	0.005*		
Between 5,00,001 to 8 Lacs P.A.			0.961	0.003*		
Between 8,00,001 to 12 Lacs P.A.				0.005*		
Use of debit/credit card for cash withdrawal						
Upto 3 Lacs P.A.	0.219	0.014*	0.072	0.850		
Between 3,00,001 to 5 Lacs P.A.		0.175	0.428	0.248		
Between 5,00,001 to 8 Lacs P.A.			0.676	0.028*		
Between 8,00,001 to 12 Lacs P.A.				0.093		
Use of internet banking for fund transfer (IMPS/NEFT/RTGS)					
Upto 3 Lacs P.A.	0.052	0.157	0.000*	0.000*		
Between 3,00,001 to 5 Lacs P.A.		0.744	0.045*	0.000*		
Between 5,00,001 to 8 Lacs P.A.			0.033*	0.000*		
Between 8,00,001 to 12 Lacs P.A.				0.085		
Swiping of debit/credit card at PoS for shopping						
Upto 3 Lacs P.A.	0.000*	0.000*	0.000*	0.000*		
Between 3,00,001 to 5 Lacs P.A.		0.125	0.160	0.197		
Between 5,00,001 to 8 Lacs P.A.			0.999	0.950		
Between 8,00,001 to 12 Lacs P.A.				0.953		
Use of debit/credit card for online shopping						
Upto 3 Lacs P.A.	0.000*	0.507	0.017*	0.837		
Between 3,00,001 to 5 Lacs P.A.		0.000*	0.301	0.002*		
Between 5,00,001 to 8 Lacs P.A.			0.006*	0.462		
Between 8,00,001 to 12 Lacs P.A.				0.068		
Use of mobile banking/mobile wallet for payment						
Upto 3 Lacs P.A.	0.005*	0.770	0.161	0.370		
Between 3,00,001 to 5 Lacs P.A.		0.027*	0.373	0.189		

Note: * Sig. at 0.05

Between 5,00,001 to 8 Lacs P.A.

Between 8,00,001 to 12 Lacs P.A.

For the next component of cashless transactions i.e. use of debit/credit card for cash withdrawal, it can be observed from the table that all the combinations did not change significantly except between the groups upto 3 lacks P.A. & between 5,00,001 to 8 Lacs P.A. (sig. value in this combination is = 0.014 which is less than 0.05) and between 5,00,001 to 8 Lacs P.A. & between above 12,00,001 P.A. (sig. value in this combination is = 0.028 which is less than 0.05). it is also shown in tha table that the use of internet banking for fund transfer IMPS/NEFT/RTGS, all the combinations changed significantly except between the groups upto 3 lacks P.A. & between 3,00,001 to 5 Lacs P.A. (sig. value in this combination is = 0.052 which is greater than 0.05), between the groups upto 3 lacks P.A. & between 5,00,001 to 8 Lacs P.A. (sig. value in this combination is = 0.157 which is greater than 0.05), between 3,00,001 to 5 Lacs P.A. and 5,00,001 to 8 Lacs P.A. (sig. value in this combination is = 0.744 which is greater than 0.05) and between 8,00,001 to 12 Lacs P.A. and above 12,00,001 Lacs P.A. (sig. value in this combination is = 0.085 which is greater than 0.05). the next component of cashless transactions swiping of debit /credit card at PoS for shopping changed significantly except between upto 3 lacks P.A. & between 3,00,001 to 5 Lacs P.A. (sig. value in this combination is = 0.000 which is less than 0.05), between upto 3 lacks P.A. & between 5,00,001 to 8 Lacs P.A. (sig. value in this combination is = 0.000 which is less than 0.05), between upto 3 lacks P.A. & between 8,00,001 to 12 Lacs P.A. (sig. value in this combination is = 0.000 which is less than 0.05), between upto 3 lacks P.A. & above 12,00,001 P.A. (sig. value in this combination is = 0.000 which is less than 0.05). it is also shown in the table that use of debit/credit card for online shopping changed significantly except between upto 3 lacks P.A. & between 3,00,001 to 5 Lacs P.A. (sig. value in this combination is = 0.000 which is less than 0.05), between upto 3 lacks P.A. & between 8,00,001 to 12 Lacs P.A. (sig. value in this combination is = 0.017 which is less than 0.05), between 3,00,001 to 5 Lacs P.A & 5,00,001 to 8 Lacs P.A. (sig. value in this combination is = 0.000 which is less than 0.05), between 3,00,001 to 5 Lacs P.A & above 12,00,001 P.A. (sig. value in this combination is = 0.002 which is less than 0.05) and between 5,00,001 to 8 Lacs P.A & 8,00,001 to 12 Lacs P.A. (sig. value in this combination is = 0.006 which is less than 0.05). Finally, the use of mobile banking/mobile wallet for payment changed significantly except between upto 3 lacks P.A. & between 3,00,001 to 5 Lacs P.A. (sig. value in this combination is = 0.005 which is less than 0.05) and between 3,00,001 to 5 Lacs P.A & 5,00,001 to 8 Lacs P.A. (sig. value in this combination is = 0.027 which is less than 0.05).

0.296

0.554

0.691

FINDINGS

Education level has significant impact on the growth and adoption of cashless transactions. People whose education is up to 12th class, their shift from the cashless transaction was limited to usage of digital wallets and debit and credit card for the payment of online shopping. Moreover, people whose education level was graduates and above, they started using various types of cashless transactions like, digital fund transfer through NEFT/IMPS/RTGS, internet banking, digital wallets and mobile banking. It was also found that people from all income groups started using digital payment methods after demonetization but the people whose family income is below five lakh, their frequency of using internet banking and digital fund transfer was not encouraging. Whereas, the usage of debit card and credit card for cash withdrawal declined among all income groups, usage of digital payment wallets increased and the overall usage of cashless payment methods also increased among income groups.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Demonetization has resulted in the growth of digital transactions in India but people still have few concerns in adopting the cashless transactions. People have few concerns like fear of online fraud, poor mobile network, transaction cost and submission of documents for KYC, which were reavealed in the current study. It is recommonded that government must do further research & development for technological advancement to make the payment systems more safe and secure. Further, the expansion of internet and mobile penetration will also help in the growth of cashless transactions. Transaction cost is an important concern due to which people skip the usage of digital transactions. Efforts to further reduce the transaction charges must be made.

CONCLUSION

The study revealed that family income and education level impact the growth of the cashless transactions. Moreover, people whose education was graduate and above and family income was above five lakh, they started using digital transactions more frequently after demoentization. Though, the people who have their family income below five lakh and education upto 12th also started using cashless payment methods but their uasge were limited to digital wallets and digital payment for online shopping.

LIMITATION OF THE STUDY

The study is limited to find the impact of education and family income on the growth of cashless transactions after demonetization. Though there can be many more factors whose impact can be analyised and tested statistically to understand their impact on cashless transactions. Moreover, study did not consider the residential status of the respondents whether they are from rural or urban background which can further help in developing the greater insights in the growth of cashless transactions.

SCOPE OF FURTHER RESEARCH

The growth of cashless transations can further be explored from other perspectives like the growth in rural and urban areas, on the basis of gender. Moreover, other determinants and impedements of cashless transactions can also be explored in future studies.

REFERENCES

- Brooks, D. G. (2016). The Digital Revolution in Financial Inclusion: International Development in the Fintech Era. New Political Economy. doi:10.1080/13563467.2017.1259298
- 2. Giovanni Immordino, F. F. (2017). Cashless Payments and Tax Evasion. European Journal of Political Economy. doi:/10.1016/j.ejpoleco.2017.11.001
- 3. Jhaveri, P. (2019, November 14). www.businessworld.in/article/The-Future-of-Mobile-Wallets/27-07-2019-173914/. Retrieved from www.businessworld.in.
- 4. Mukherjee, A. (2019, June 6). Cashless India Could be a Model for the World. Retrieved from https://economictimes.indiatimes.com: https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/industry/banking/finance/view-cashless-india-could-be-a-model-for-the-world/printarticle/69669960.cms
- 5. Nag, A. K. (2016, November 26). Lost Due To Demonetisation. Economic & Political Weekly, L1(48), 18-21.
- 6. Reserve Bank of India. (2019). Deepening of Digital Payment. Reserve Bank of India.
- 7. Shepard, W. (2016, December 14). https://www.forbes.com/sites/wadeshepard/2016/12/14/inside-indias-cashless-revolution/#f9a42dd4d124. Retrieved from https://www.forbes.com: https://www.forbes.com/sites/wadeshepard/2016/12/14/inside-indias-cashless-revolution/#f9a42dd4d124
- 8. Sivathanu, B. (2017). Adoption of Digital Payment Systems in the Era of Demonetization in India. *Journal of Science and Technology Policy Management*. doi:10.1108/JSTPM-07-2017-0033
- 9. Venkatesh, M. (2017, July 19). *Hindustan Times*. Retrieved April 16, 2018, from www.hindustantimes.com: https://www.hindustantimes.com/india-news/post-demonetisation-cash-use-back-in-vogue-despite-e-payment-push/story-K9MPFmMKcm1YrbrjDSJFuK.html
- 10. Walter Engert, B. S. (2018, October). Is a Cashless Society Problematic? Canada: Currency Department, Funds Management Department, Bank of Canada.

REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

Dear Readers

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce, Economics & Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue as well as, on the journal as a whole, on our e-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries, please feel free to contact us on our e-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward to an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-

Co-ordinator

DISCLAIMER

The information and opinions presented in the Journal reflect the views of the authors and not of the Journal or its Editorial Board or the Publishers/Editors. Publication does not constitute endorsement by the journal. Neither the Journal nor its publishers/Editors/Editorial Board nor anyone else involved in creating, producing or delivering the journal or the materials contained therein, assumes any liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information provided in the journal, nor shall they be liable for any direct, incidental, special, consequential or punitive damages arising out of the use of information/material contained in the journal. The journal, neither its publishers/Editors/ Editorial Board, nor any other party involved in the preparation of material contained in the journal represents or warrants that the information contained herein is in every respect accurate or complete, and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such material. Readers are encouraged to confirm the information contained herein with other sources. The responsibility of the contents and the opinions expressed in this journal are exclusively of the author (s) concerned.

ABOUT THE JOURNAL

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active cooperation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.







