

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE, ECONOMICS & MANAGEMENT

I
J
R
C
M



A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

Indexed & Listed at:

Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, ProQuest, U.S.A., Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A., Google Scholar,

Indian Citation Index (ICI), J-Gate, India [link of the same is duly available at Inlibnet of University Grants Commission (U.G.C.)],

Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland with IC Value of 5.09 (2012) & number of libraries all around the world.

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than 7144 Cities in 197 countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

Ground Floor, Building No. 1041-C-1, Devi Bhawan Bazar, JAGADHRI – 135 003, Yamunanagar, Haryana, INDIA

<http://ijrcm.org.in/>

CONTENTS

| Sr. No. | TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S) | Page No. |
|------------|---|-------------|
| 1. | <p>DEMOGRAPHIC VARIABLES AND CUSTOMER LOYALTY IN RETAIL BANKING IN KENYA</p> <p><i>DANIEL ONWONGA AUKA</i></p> | 1 |
| 2. | <p>A STUDY OF INDIVIDUAL INVESTOR BEHAVIOUR FOR STOCKS AND GOLD IN ANAND CITY</p> <p><i>Dr. ASHISH C. MEHTA & ABHA MORADIA</i></p> | 8 |
| 3. | <p>FINANCING OF MSME - A STUDY ON FACTORS THAT IMPACT PREFERENCE OF FUNDING BY PRIVATE INVESTORS</p> <p><i>REJITHA R</i></p> | 17 |
| 4. | <p>VALUE CREATION THROUGH MERGERS AND ACQUISITIONS IN SELECTED INDIAN COMPANIES IN 21ST CENTURY</p> <p><i>ABHISHEK HALDER</i></p> | 25 |
| | REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK & DISCLAIMER | 33 |

FOUNDER PATRON**Late Sh. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL**

Former State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana
 Former Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri
 Former President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

CO-ORDINATOR**Dr. BHAVET**

Former Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Engineering & Technology, Urjani

ADVISOR**Prof. S. L. MAHANDRU**

Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

EDITOR**Dr. NAWAB ALI KHAN**

Professor & Dean, Faculty of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

CO-EDITOR**Dr. G. BRINDHA**

Professor & Head, Dr.M.G.R. Educational & Research Institute (Deemed to be University), Chennai

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD**Dr. TEGUH WIDODO**

Dean, Faculty of Applied Science, Telkom University, Bandung Technoplex, Jl. Telekomunikasi, Indonesia

Dr. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Professor, School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

Dr. JOSÉ G. VARGAS-HERNÁNDEZ

Research Professor, University Center for Economic & Managerial Sciences, University of Guadalajara, Guadalajara, Mexico

Dr. CHRISTIAN EHIOBUCHÉ

Professor of Global Business/Management, Larry L Luig School of Business, Berkeley College, USA

Dr. SIKANDER KUMAR

Vice Chancellor, Himachal Pradesh University, Shimla, Himachal Pradesh

Dr. BOYINA RUPINI

Director, School of ITS, Indira Gandhi National Open University, New Delhi

Dr. MIKE AMUHAYA IRAVO

Principal, Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture & Tech., Westlands Campus, Nairobi-Kenya

Dr. SANJIV MITTAL

Professor & Dean, University School of Management Studies, GGS Indraprastha University, Delhi

Dr. D. S. CHAUBEY

Professor & Dean (Research & Studies), Uttaranchal University, Dehradun

Dr. A SAJEEVAN RAO

Professor & Director, Accurate Institute of Advanced Management, Greater Noida

Dr. NEPOMUCENO TIU

Chief Librarian & Professor, Lyceum of the Philippines University, Laguna, Philippines

Dr. RAJENDER GUPTA

Convener, Board of Studies in Economics, University of Jammu, Jammu

Dr. KAUP MOHAMED

Dean & Managing Director, London American City College/ICBEST, United Arab Emirates

Dr. DHANANJOY RAKSHIT

Dean, Faculty Council of PG Studies in Commerce and Professor & Head, Department of Commerce, Sidho-Kanho-Birsha University, Purulia

Dr. SHIB SHANKAR ROY

Professor, Department of Marketing, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi, Bangladesh

Dr. S. P. TIWARI

Head, Department of Economics & Rural Development, Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia Avadh University, Faizabad

Dr. SRINIVAS MADISHETTI

Professor, School of Business, Mzumbe University, Tanzania

Dr. ABHAY BANSAL

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engg. & Tech., Amity University, Noida

Dr. ARAMIDE OLUFEMI KUNLE

Dean, Department of General Studies, The Polytechnic, Ibadan, Nigeria

Dr. ANIL CHANDHOK

Professor, University School of Business, Chandigarh University, Gharuan

RODRECK CHIRAU

Associate Professor, Botho University, Francistown, Botswana

Dr. OKAN VELI ŞAFAKLI

Professor & Dean, European University of Lefke, Lefke, Cyprus

PARVEEN KHURANA

Associate Professor, Mukand Lal National College, Yamuna Nagar

Dr. KEVIN LOW LOCK TENG

Associate Professor, Deputy Dean, Universiti Tunku Abdul Rahman, Kampar, Perak, Malaysia

Dr. BORIS MILOVIC

Associate Professor, Faculty of Sport, Union Nikola Tesla University, Belgrade, Serbia

SHASHI KHURANA

Associate Professor, S. M. S. Khalsa Lubana Girls College, Barara, Ambala

Dr. IQBAL THONSE HAWALDAR

Associate Professor, College of Business Administration, Kingdom University, Bahrain

Dr. DEEPANJANA VARSHNEY

Associate Professor, Department of Business Administration, King Abdulaziz University, Saudi Arabia

Dr. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, Government College, Hodal

Dr. BIEMBA MALITI

Associate Professor, School of Business, The Copperbelt University, Main Campus, Zambia

Dr. ALEXANDER MOSESOV

Associate Professor, Kazakh-British Technical University (KBTU), Almaty, Kazakhstan

Dr. VIVEK CHAWLA

Associate Professor, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra

Dr. FERIT ÖLÇER

Professor & Head of Division of Management & Organization, Department of Business Administration, Faculty of Economics & Business Administration Sciences, Mustafa Kemal University, Turkey

Dr. ASHOK KUMAR CHAUHAN

Reader, Department of Economics, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra

Dr. RAJESH MODI

Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

YU-BING WANG

Faculty, department of Marketing, Feng Chia University, Taichung, Taiwan

Dr. SAMBHAVNA

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

Dr. KIARASH JAHANPOUR

Dean of Technology Management Faculty, Farabi Institute of Higher Education, Karaj, Alborz, I.R. Iran

Dr. TITUS AMODU UMORU

Professor, Kwara State University, Kwara State, Nigeria

Dr. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE

Faculty, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

Dr. BHAVET

Former Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Engineering & Technology, Urjani

Dr. THAMPOE MANAGALESWARAN

Faculty, Vavuniya Campus, University of Jaffna, Sri Lanka

Dr. VIKAS CHOUDHARY

Faculty, N.I.T. (University), Kurukshetra

SURAJ GAUDEL

BBA Program Coordinator, LA GRANDEE International College, Simalchaur - 8, Pokhara, Nepal

Dr. DILIP KUMAR JHA

Faculty, Department of Economics, Guru Ghasidas Vishwavidyalaya, Bilaspur

FORMER TECHNICAL ADVISOR

AMITA

FINANCIAL ADVISORS

DICKEN GOYAL

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

NEENA

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

LEGAL ADVISORS

JITENDER S. CHAHAL

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

SUPERINTENDENT

SURENDER KUMAR POONIA

CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to the recent developments & practices in the areas of Computer Science & Applications; Commerce; Business; Finance; Marketing; Human Resource Management; General Management; Banking; Economics; Tourism Administration & Management; Education; Law; Library & Information Science; Defence & Strategic Studies; Electronic Science; Corporate Governance; Industrial Relations; and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Rural Economics; Co-operation; Demography; Development Planning; Development Studies; Applied Economics; Development Economics; Business Economics; Monetary Policy; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Political Science; Continuing Education; Labour Welfare; Philosophy; Psychology; Sociology; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law; Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labour Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; International Relations; Human Rights & Duties; Public Administration; Population Studies; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism & Hospitality; Transportation Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic; Web Design and emerging paradigms in allied subjects.

Anybody can submit the **soft copy** of unpublished novel; original; empirical and high quality **research work/manuscript** **anytime** in **M.S. Word format** after preparing the same as per our **GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION**; at our email address i.e. infoijrcm@gmail.com or online by clicking the link **online submission** as given on our website ([FOR ONLINE SUBMISSION, CLICK HERE](#)).

GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT

1. **COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:**

DATED: _____

THE EDITOR

IJRCM

Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF _____.

(e.g. Finance/Mkt./HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, please specify)

DEAR SIR/MADAM

Please find my submission of manuscript titled ' _____ ' for likely publication in one of your journals.

I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore, it has neither been published anywhere in any language fully or partly, nor it is under review for publication elsewhere.

I affirm that all the co-authors of this manuscript have seen the submitted version of the manuscript and have agreed to inclusion of their names as co-authors.

Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I agree to comply with the formalities as given on the website of the journal. The Journal has discretion to publish our contribution in any of its journals.

| | |
|--|---|
| NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR | : |
| Designation/Post* | : |
| Institution/College/University with full address & Pin Code | : |
| Residential address with Pin Code | : |
| Mobile Number (s) with country ISD code | : |
| Is WhatsApp or Viber active on your above noted Mobile Number (Yes/No) | : |
| Landline Number (s) with country ISD code | : |
| E-mail Address | : |
| Alternate E-mail Address | : |
| Nationality | : |

* i.e. Alumnus (Male Alumni), Alumna (Female Alumni), Student, Research Scholar (M. Phil), Research Scholar (Ph. D.), JRF, Research Assistant, Assistant Lecturer, Lecturer, Senior Lecturer, Junior Assistant Professor, Assistant Professor, Senior Assistant Professor, Co-ordinator, Reader, Associate Professor, Professor, Head, Vice-Principal, Dy. Director, Principal, Director, Dean, President, Vice Chancellor, Industry Designation **etc.** **The qualification of author is not acceptable for the purpose.**

NOTES:

- a) The whole manuscript has to be in **ONE MS WORD FILE** only, which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript. ***pdf. version is liable to be rejected without any consideration.***
 - b) The sender is required to mention the following in the **SUBJECT COLUMN of the mail:**
New Manuscript for Review in the area of (e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, please specify)
 - c) There is no need to give any text in the body of the mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any **specific message** w.r.t. to the manuscript.
 - d) The total size of the file containing the manuscript is expected to be below **1000 KB**.
 - e) Only the **Abstract will not be considered for review** and the author is required to submit the **complete manuscript** in the first instance.
 - f) **The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email within twenty-four hours** and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of the manuscript, within two days of its submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending a separate mail to the journal.
 - g) The author (s) name or details should not appear anywhere on the body of the manuscript, except on the covering letter and the cover page of the manuscript, in the manner as mentioned in the guidelines.
2. **MANUSCRIPT TITLE:** The title of the paper should be typed in **bold letters, centered and fully capitalised**.
 3. **AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS:** Author (s) name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline number (s), and email/alternate email address should be given underneath the title.
 4. **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:** Acknowledgements can be given to reviewers, guides, funding institutions, etc., if any.
 5. **ABSTRACT:** Abstract should be in **fully Italic printing**, ranging between **150 to 300 words**. The abstract must be informative and elucidating the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a **SINGLE PARA**. **Abbreviations must be mentioned in full.**
 6. **KEYWORDS:** Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of **five**. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stop at the end. All words of the keywords, including the first one should be in small letters, except special words e.g. name of the Countries, abbreviations etc.
 7. **JEL CODE:** Provide the appropriate Journal of Economic Literature Classification System code (s). JEL codes are available at www.aea-web.org/econlit/jelCodes.php. However, mentioning of JEL Code is not mandatory.
 8. **MANUSCRIPT:** Manuscript must be in **BRITISH ENGLISH** prepared on a standard A4 size **PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER**. **It should be free from any errors i.e. grammatical, spelling or punctuation. It must be thoroughly edited at your end.**
 9. **HEADINGS:** All the headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
 10. **SUB-HEADINGS:** All the sub-headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
 11. **MAIN TEXT:**

THE MAIN TEXT SHOULD FOLLOW THE FOLLOWING SEQUENCE:**INTRODUCTION****REVIEW OF LITERATURE****NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY****STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM****OBJECTIVES****HYPOTHESIS (ES)****RESEARCH METHODOLOGY****RESULTS & DISCUSSION****FINDINGS****RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS****CONCLUSIONS****LIMITATIONS****SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH****REFERENCES****APPENDIX/ANNEXURE****The manuscript should preferably be in 2000 to 5000 WORDS, But the limits can vary depending on the nature of the manuscript.**

12. **FIGURES & TABLES:** These should be simple, crystal **CLEAR, centered, separately numbered** & self-explained, and the **titles must be above the table/figure. Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure. It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text.**
13. **EQUATIONS/FORMULAE:** These should be consecutively numbered in parenthesis, left aligned with equation/formulae number placed at the right. The equation editor provided with standard versions of Microsoft Word may be utilised. If any other equation editor is utilised, author must confirm that these equations may be viewed and edited in versions of Microsoft Office that does not have the editor.
14. **ACRONYMS:** These should not be used in the abstract. The use of acronyms is elsewhere is acceptable. Acronyms should be defined on its first use in each section e.g. Reserve Bank of India (RBI). Acronyms should be redefined on first use in subsequent sections.
15. **REFERENCES:** The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. **The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript** and they may follow Harvard Style of Referencing. **Also check to ensure that everything that you are including in the reference section is duly cited in the paper.** The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
- All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
 - Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
 - When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc., in chronologically ascending order.
 - Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
 - The title of books and journals should be in italic printing. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
 - For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parenthesis.
 - **Headers, footers, endnotes and footnotes should not be used in the document.** However, **you can mention short notes to elucidate some specific point**, which may be placed in number orders before the references.

PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:

BOOKS

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

- Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

- Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

CONFERENCE PAPERS

- Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–23

UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS

- Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

ONLINE RESOURCES

- Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

WEBSITES

- Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 <http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp>

DEMOGRAPHIC VARIABLES AND CUSTOMER LOYALTY IN RETAIL BANKING IN KENYA

DANIEL ONWONGA AUKA
PROFESSOR
DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION
EGERTON UNIVERSITY
KENYA

ABSTRACT

The banking industry operates in a competitive and dynamic environment. This has resulted in great transformation in banking business to accommodate the increasingly demanding customers. Many service providers have changed their focus from being product-oriented to being customer-oriented so as to achieve and maintain customer loyalty as a means of creating competitive advantage. Thus the main aim of this study is to determine the influence demographic variables on customer loyalty in retail banking in Kenya. It examines the effect of demographic profiles such as age, gender, education, occupation and income on customer loyalty. To achieve this purpose, data was collected from a sample of 381 current customers of commercial banks on how their demographic profiles determined their repeat business with their banks. A questionnaire was used to gather data. Correlation and regression analyses were used to determine the relationship between demographic variables and customer loyalty. The results indicate that customer demographic profiles have a positive and significant influence on customer loyalty in retail banking. This finding reinforces the need for bank managers to put emphasis on the attributes that create favorable customer perceptions of value in order to build and maintain customer loyalty. It is therefore recommended that banks should adopt the model consisting of the three constructs to create and maintain customer loyalty so as to improve performance and create competitive advantage.

KEYWORDS

customer loyalty, demographic variables, retail banking, social exchange.

JEL CODES

M30, M31, M39.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Customer loyalty is very significant in the creation and maintenance of competitive advantage in retail banking. There are economic advantages associated with retaining loyal customers as opposed to recruiting new ones (Ndubisi, 2007). In order to remain competitive, commercial banks need to build and enhance customer relationships that deliver value necessary to create service loyalty (Zineldin, 2006). Customer loyalty often results in improved firm performance, profitability, business referral and publicity, customer share and competitive positioning (Hennig-Thurau et al., 2002). In the banking sector, loyal customers are more profitable because they are attached to the bank and thus are easier to serve than those who are non-loyal.

Loyalty is considered the key in the survival of service business. Studies show that 5% of customer retention increases profitability in the range 25% - 85%. Loyalty generates a positive recommendation to the impact on the behavior of other potential clients. In addition, faithful and loyal customers are less likely to change supplier, the place of purchase or brand because of the price ((Hoisington and Naumann, 2003).

The banking industry globally is facing a very competitive environment that is forcing it to enhance the development and sustainability of loyalty opportunities. The banking sector in Kenya has experienced drastic changes that have resulted in deregulation and liberalization; declining interest margins due to customer pressure, increased demand for non-traditional services including the automation of a large number of services and a move towards emphasis on the customer rather than the product; and the introduction of non-traditional players who now offer financial services products. Many of these trends have resulted in mergers, acquisitions and reorganizations in the banking sector. This trend has been characterized by a low customer base and declining profitability (Levesque and McDougall, 1996). There are also significant developments in the banking sector that are affecting the marketing of their products. Firstly, the changing customer behavior that is characterized by longer life spans, increasing urbanization, more women in employment, increased home ownership, higher incomes and increased living standards. Customers are more demanding, more financially educated or informed, more cost and price conscious than hitherto. Secondly, reduced prices of services, interest rates and profit margins due to deregulation and globalization of financial markets. This has ensued fierce competition resulting in mergers, acquisitions, and a number of major structural reorganizations that include retrenchment and elimination of unprofitable activities as well as closure of some branch operations. Majority of multinational banks such as Barclays Bank Ltd and Standard Chartered Ltd have shifted their focus from retail to corporate customers as a survival strategy. Thirdly, technological innovations such as Automated Teller Machines (ATM), electronic banking such as internet and phone banking are helping to broaden the resources and ability of the institutions to compete in this volatile industry. New products, new payments systems, new forms of distribution and delivery and enhanced management information systems such as customer database systems are being used by some banks to create differential advantage. All these changes have led to increased attention to customer demographic profiles as a way of attracting, maintaining and enhancing long-term relationship.

In fact, as the products of many banks are very identical or slightly differentiable, loyal customers have a huge value since they are likely to spend and buy more, spread positive word of mouth, resist competitors' offers, wait for a product to be available and recommend others to their service provider. Banks and other service organizations now focus on the development and maintenance of long-term relationships with loyal customers as a means of achieving competitive advantage. There is a positive relationship between customer loyalty and business performance (Reichheld and Sasser, 1990). One of the main challenges of commercial banks has been on establishing and maintaining customer loyalty. Commercial banks have been experiencing high degree of customer switching from one bank to another. Service managers are noticing more frequently a lack of retention and loyalty even among satisfied and delighted customers. This situation has been referred to as "the satisfaction trap". Studies on customer loyalty indicate that there are many customers who are devoutly loyal to products even when they are substandard compared to the alternatives. In fact, they are devoutly loyal to the extent that they routinely pay premium prices for these products. This perplexing phenomenon may be attributed to lack of understanding of the determinants of customer retention and loyalty in many service industries. This has attracted a lot of scholars in marketing theory and practice to examine the subject of customer loyalty.

2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW**2.1 Customer Loyalty**

Customer loyalty is a deeply held commitment to rebuy or repatronize a preferred product or service consistently in the future, thereby causing repetitive purchasing of the same brand, despite situational influences and marketing efforts. It can also be defined as the degree to which a customer exhibits repeat purchasing behavior from a service provider, possesses a positive attitudinal disposition toward the provider, and considers using this provider when a need for this service arises. Loyalty is therefore an attitude or behavior that customers explicitly vocalize or exhibit (Bendall-Lyon and Powers (2002).

Loyalty has both behavioral and attitudinal dimensions. The behavioral repurchase consists of repeated purchase of product while attitudinal loyalty refers to attitudinal commitment or favorable attitude toward a product resulting in repeat purchasing behavior. It is a biased purchase response resulting from an evaluative attitude favoring the purchase. Loyalty is thus viewed as the customer's demonstration of faithful adherence to an organization despite the occasional error or indifferent services. Dick and Basu (1994) conceptualize loyalty as the strength between repeat patronage and relative attitude which results from comparing

a particular brand with competing brands. Customer loyalty is strong when a high relative attitude leads to repeat buying. A low relative attitude leads to low repeat purchase which equals no loyalty. Loyalty in service businesses refers to the customer's commitment to do business with a particular organization, purchasing their products repeatedly and recommending others to the organization's products.

Anderson and Jacobson (2000) say that customer loyalty is actually the result of an organization creating a benefit for customer so that they will maintain or increase their purchases from the organization. They indicate that true loyalty is created when the customer becomes an advocate for the organization without incentives.

There are several factors that attempt to explain customer loyalty in service organizations but this study specifically focused on demographic profiles (age, gender, education, income, religion, occupation, and marital status). These antecedents were included in the conceptual model in order to assess how they affect customer's loyalty towards service providers. Demographic characteristics such as gender, educational level, age, marital status, occupation and income have been found to have a relationship on customers' intentions to stay with or switch among alternative banks. Previous research studies indicate that customers' age groups and educational level contributed to explaining customers' propensity to stay with their bank. Other studies have considered separately the influence of demographic variables on customer loyalty to service providers. It is essential for bank managers to focus on bank various demographic attributes contribute to the increase of customer retention rates and loyalty so that banking sector can remain competitive.

Loyalty has both behavioral and attitudinal dimensions. The behavioral repurchase consists of repeated purchase of product while attitudinal loyalty refers to attitudinal commitment or favorable attitude toward a product resulting in repeat purchasing behavior. It is a biased purchase response resulting from an evaluative attitude favoring the purchase. Loyalty is thus viewed as the customer's demonstration of faithful adherence to an organization despite the occasional error or indifferent services. Dick and Basu (1994) conceptualize loyalty as the strength between repeat patronage and relative attitude which results from comparing a particular brand with competing brands. Customer loyalty is strong when a high relative attitude leads to repeat buying. A low relative attitude leads to low repeat purchase which equals no loyalty.

Loyalty in service businesses refers to the customer's commitment to do business with a particular organization, purchasing their products repeatedly and recommending others to the organization's products. Anderson and Jacobson (2000) say that customer loyalty is actually the result of an organization creating a benefit for customer so that they will maintain or increase their purchases from the organization. They indicate that true loyalty is created when the customer becomes an advocate for the organization without incentives. Besides understanding customer loyalty, it is very useful to characterize a demographic profile that may have an association with customer loyalty.

Loyalty is making a commitment to doing business for organizations working on specific customer and purchase goods and services repeatedly (Elahi and Heydari, 2005). Customer loyalty also helps the company in terms of protecting its marketing share and customers from being stolen from the competitors (Bank of Thailand, 2016). Customer loyalty is considered the key factor in gaining and maintaining market share and responsible for a firm's long-term financial performance (Pont and McQuilken 2005). Customers see themselves as loyal through feelings of high satisfaction and positive attitudes toward a firm, translating to their willingness to repurchase from the firm (Hennig-Thurau and Hansen 2000).

Customer loyalty has an important role in a company as it leads to reduced influence of attacks from competitors of similar companies, not just the competition in terms of product, but also the competition in terms of perception. In addition, loyal customers can encourage the development of the company by providing ideas or suggestions to the company in order to improve the quality of their products (Lovelock & Wirtz, 2011). Loyalty is a commitment that is held firmly by service users to buy again or subscribe to certain other services in the future even though there is an influence of the situation and marketing efforts that have the potential to cause behavior switching (Kotler 2009). Hurriyati (2005) states that customer loyalty (customer loyalty) is a very important drive to create sales. In this study customer loyalty was conceptualized in terms of repurchase behavior, business referral, advocacy, willingness to pay premium price, identification behavior, less switching behavior and co-production

2.2 Demographic Variables and Customer Loyalty

The market place has been drastically becoming diverse in terms of needs and wants as well as available resources (Sheth, Sisodia, Sharma 2000). It has also become increasingly diverse in terms of demographic profiles such as festyle, ethnicity, income, gender, religion, education, and age. Individual demographic profiles have been linked with customer satisfaction and loyalty. Demographic characteristics, such as age, gender and education also play a great role in loyalty. Klopotan et al (2014) confirmed that there is significant statistical difference in customers' loyalty regarding demographic factors. Thus this study focused on how demographics-specifically, age, gender, religion, education, marital status, occupation and income influence customer loyalty in retail banking in Kenya.

Religion is known as one of the most important cultural force and major influence individual behavior and character of an individual (Eid, 2013; Zamani Farahani & Henderson, 2010; ZamaniFarahani & Moses, 2012). According to Ateeq Ur-Rehman (2010), Religion affect the use of the product by Muslim consumers through the influence of their beliefs about what products they should use. Furthermore, Alam, Mohd, and Hisham (2011) found that Muslims regard Islam as a source guide their lives. They found that religion plays a role in the individual to create satisfaction and loyalty in using a product marketed by marketers.

Previous studies have found that loyalty is not the same during different stages of a customer's life or ages (Homjitr, Sato and Srivastava). More mature customers show a higher level of loyalty than those who are younger. More senior customers are less interested in changing service providers, mainly due to the social benefits they may receive, exceptional customer service, and the strong relationship based on trust that has been created. Belas et al (2014) stated that older customers believe that the organization's offer is the best. Older customers are more satisfied with their service; their perceptive value is greater.

The concept of cognitive age (as opposed to chronological) has become increasingly important in research, as cognitively young people tend to be more willing to try new brands (Szmigin and Carrigan 2001). It could also mean that cognitively younger customers might be satisfied but not necessarily more loyal, as younger segments might be more amenable to switching if there is a better offer elsewhere. Younger customers may be less easy to please, while older customers may be more easily satisfied.

Studies have established that younger customers are more likely to search for information and switch brands than older customers. Younger customers are at a stage in their life cycle that requires investments in consumption knowledge, while older consumers tend to be more loyal to a brand due to their accumulated investments in brand specific knowledge (Mittal & Kamakura, 2001). In particular, loyalty increases when a person's social network narrows down (children leave parental homes, individual retires, etc.). Older customers tend to be more critical towards change and tend not to have a strong desire to try new things, which leads to a direct and positive correlation between age and loyalty. Taking into consideration all of the factors mentioned above, it can be said that loyalty grows stronger as the age of a customer increases

Occupation may be considered the single best predictor of social strata that exist in a particular society. The job you do greatly affects your lifestyle, and is the single most important basis for according honor, prestige, and respect. Doctors in our country, for example, are accorded more respect and usually high financial reward. Occupation is a good predictor of social class

in industrialized societies, because varying levels of status and respect accrue to different jobs. It is not so much the status, however, that affects attitudes and behavior, as the work itself.

Higher status occupations are defined in terms of ownership, control of the means of production, and control over the labor power of others (Kohn et al., 1990). People who function in higher status occupations have characteristic personalities, motives and values that set them apart from those in less prestigious positions. It appears that the relative degree of occupational self-direction may lead to psychological differences among members of the various classes (Kohn, et al., 1990). The values, attitudes and motives that arise from greater levels of occupational self direction underlie behavior beyond the workplace, extending to all phases of existence, including buying behavior. Thus, buyers in different social classes may approach the buying situation differently, with decision agendas leading to predictable variations in relative evaluative criteria importance across classes.

Whereas female customers are relatively more loyal to individuals, such as individual service providers, male customers are relatively more loyal to groups and group like entities, such as companies. Gender has a direct effect on outcome variables such as loyalty (Noble et al., 2006). In terms of purchasing behavior, women are thought to be more involved in purchasing activity than are men and pay more attention to the consulting services of sales people (Homburg and Giering 2001). It also has been suggested that females are more able to be influenced (Darley and Smith 1995) and more likely to conform than males. These concepts

would suggest that females might respond more positively to the sales scripting protocol used for relationship customers. In another study, it was found that women tend to be more loyal than men (Dolinsky and Caputo 1990). Homburg and Giering (2001) found that gender might moderate the relationship between product satisfaction and loyalty

It is possible that females pay more attention to the relationship, and that because of that relationship, are more likely to be satisfied and loyal customers than their male counterparts. These assessments also indicate that females are more inclined to maintain a relationship with a company to avoid the emotional difficulty of switching to another company, while men are less interested in the relationship and more interested in the best offer. The one-on-one relationships that a financial institution establishes with its female customers, then, should be more appealing to them than they are to their male counterparts. They should result in greater customer satisfaction and, subsequently, greater loyalty rates for females than for males

Income levels have positive relationships with customer loyalty. Income has a relationship with purchasing decisions, thus high income customers gather information prior to buying a product and this may have an influence on satisfaction (Homburg & Giering, 2001). Earlier studies found that individuals with higher incomes suggest that people with higher incomes are more comfortable with more information processing prior to making a purchase decision. Evanschitzky and Wunderlich (2006) suggest that higher income customers have more choices to evaluate, they tend to have higher expectations of services. This could cause them to be less satisfied and less loyal than their lower income counterparts who may have fewer alternatives from which to choose, and they may take longer to commit to a firm even if satisfied. It has been argued that affluence affects a consumer's "shopping personality," determining whether they are more likely to shop around before making a purchase versus remaining loyal to a retailer that meets their needs.

Marital status has a big influence on customer purchase behavior. Past studies have demonstrated that married individuals are very likely to influence each other's loyalty to products or organizations. According to Ogden & Ogden (2005) the most important demographic information is 'marital status' because it shows if customers are buying for themselves, for a spouse, or a family with children.

Education level is an important demographic information because as customers' become more educated they demand different products and different levels of service (Kent & Omar, 2003). In this research context, customer education is defined as all and any educational initiatives undertaken by a company to better educate, inform, and develop the knowledge and skills of the customers in order to unlock the full value of the products at end use.

3.0 HYPOTHESIS TESTING

HO: Demographic variables have no significance effect on customer loyalty in retail banking in Kenya.

4.0 THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS

The study on the relationship between customer demographic variables and loyalty in retail banking was based the social exchange theory. The theoretical model adopted for this study was derived from the social exchange theory (Homans, 1958), which posits that all human relationships are formed by the use of cost-benefit analysis and comparisons of alternatives. Homans suggested that when an individual perceives the cost of a relationship outweighs the perceived benefits, then the person will choose to leave the relationship. The Theory further states that persons that give much to others try to get much from them, and persons that get much from others are under pressure to give much to them. The social exchange relationships between two parties develop through a series of mutual exchanges that yield a pattern of reciprocal obligations to each party.

The theory is pegged on the social exchange relationship between the parties which develop through mutual exchanges and fulfillment of promises. The theory is applicable in this study for the bank will develop long-term and profitable relationships with their customers if they offer quality services, communicated effectively and observed trust in the banking industry. Social exchange theory indicates that individuals are willing to maintain relationships because of the expectation that to do so will be rewarding. Individuals voluntarily sacrifice their self- benefits and contribute these benefits to other individuals with the expectation for more future gains. Thibaut and Kelly (1959) propose that whether an individual retains a relationship with another one depends on the comparison of current relationship, past experience and potential alternatives. The constant comparison of social and economic outcomes between a series of interactions with current partners and available alternatives determines the degree of an individual's commitment to the current relationship.

The theory was appropriate for this study because service encounters can be viewed as social exchanges with the interaction between the service provider and customer being a crucial component of satisfaction and providing a strong reason for continuing a relationship (Barnes, 2002). Social exchange theory indicates that individuals are willing to maintain relationships because of the expectation that is rewarding. This is applicable in this study for the bank customers will maintain relationship with the bank after they are offered services qualities that are reliable, prompt and timely services. Social exchange theory attempts to account for the development, growth and even dissolution of social as well as business relationships. This means people or business firms evaluate their reward when deciding whether or not to maintain a relationship. This is applicable in this study for the bank customer evaluates how the bank quality service, its communication effectiveness and its trust levels rewards them before having a long term relationship with them.

5.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS

A simple randomized ex-post facto design was adopted to investigate and analyze the research problem. Ex post facto design is a form of survey research where independent variables are selected rather than being manipulated and observations and analyses of relationships among the variables carried out in their natural settings. The method was preferred because it allows ascertaining wide spread opinions under natural conditions. The design also allows investigating possible relationships between variables. The design was chosen because other similar studies on customer service have been successfully used in the past (Masinde, 1986; Mwendwa, 1987).

5.1 SAMPLE SIZE AND SAMPLING PROCEDURE

TABLE 1: SAMPLE SIZE DETERMINATION

| | No. Customers (Population Size) | Sample Size |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| Public Sector: | | |
| Kenya Commercial Bank | 8,000 | 64 |
| Co-Operative Bank | 10,000 | 79 |
| Private Domestic Sector : | | |
| Equity Bank | 20,000 | 158 |
| Trans-National Bank | 1000 | 8 |
| Foreign Banks | | |
| Standard Chartered Bank | 4,0000 | 32 |
| Barclays Bank | 5,0000 | 40 |
| Total | 48,000 | 381 |

The commercial banks for this study were purposively selected to capture three categories of ownership: public/state owned banks, private domestic banks and foreign-owned banks. This categorization was to assist to investigate whether the type of bank influenced service quality, customer satisfaction, and customer value and customer loyalty. Drawing a sample from across these categories of commercial banks ensured adequate representativeness of the various characteristics. Stratified random sampling was used to obtain the sample size. The population was stratified according to ownership (public sector, private sector and foreign), market share and period of operation within the municipality. A subsample size was determined for each stratum. The total sample size (Table 1) for the study was obtained using the following formula:

$$S = (P (1-P)) / (A2)$$

$$S = (Z2 + (P (1-P))) / (N))$$

Where S = Sample size required; N = Number of people in the population; P = preliminary estimate of percentage of people in the population who possess attributes of interest. The conservative estimate and one that is often used is 50%. (0.5 will be used in this formula); A = Accuracy (or precision) desired, expressed as a decimal (0.05 for 5% is used in this formula); Z = The number of standard deviations of the sampling distribution (Z units) that corresponds to the desired confidence level, 1.96 for 95% confidence level, 1.6449 for 90% confidence level and 2.5758 for 99%. The total sample size of 381 respondents was determined.

The sub-sample size for each bank was determined using the formula by Krejcie and Morgan (1970) given as:

$$s = pS / (P)$$

Where: s = Sub-sample size for each bank; P = Sub population of customers in each bank; S = Total sample size for the study; P = Total population for all the banks. The formula was also preferred for its acceptable level of accuracy in generating a representative sample size at 0.05 level of confidence. After the population was stratified and the sample size for each stratum determined, individual respondents were selected through systematic sampling. This was achieved by picking the k th customer from each stratum coming to the bank, which is an acceptable method according to Zikmund (2003). This technique was used because it ensured representative and reliable coverage of all elements being considered in the study. The data were collected using a questionnaire over a period covering one month to ensure inclusiveness of customers who come to the bank on different dates of the month. The questionnaires were administered during working hours from 9 a.m. to 3 p.m., Monday through Friday. This is the period when the banks have peak traffic and hence it was easier to get customers to respond to the questionnaires. It is also the time when banks experience long queues and the respondents are likely to give more "true" and "rational" assessment of the quality of service received, level satisfaction and value for their money. The total number of items that measured the criterion (dependent) variable were 64 and were operationalized using a five – point likert scale, ranging from (1= strongly disagree) to (5 = strongly agree). The scale was useful in measuring the strength of the respondents' responses on these items.

5.2 INSTRUMENTATION

Data was collected using a questionnaire. The instrument was used to collect data on demographic variables such as age, gender, education, marital status, occupation, religion and income and to measure the levels of customer loyalty of the respondents. The number of items that measured the criterion (dependent) variable were operationalized using a five–point likert scale, ranging from (1= strongly disagree) to (5 = strongly agree). The scale was useful in measuring the strength of the respondents' responses on these items.

The items were constructed based on the literature on demographic variables and customer loyalty. Customer loyalty (dependent variable) items were selected based on observable behavior characteristics that included repurchase, referrals, citizenship behavior, co-production, willingness to pay premium price, less switching behavior, mentoring other customers and advocacy or word of mouth. The selection of these items ensured completeness in covering all the key aspects of loyalty outcome behaviors.

5.3 VALIDITY AND RELIABILITY OF THE STUDY

The study attempted to ensure that the findings were both valid and reliable. McMillan (1992) views validity as the extent to which the test-items measure what they purport to do. The instrument's content validity was assessed using expert judgment by four faculty academic staff experts in the Department of Business Administration, in Egerton University. Reliability refers to the consistency of a score from one occasion to the next. The relevance of the content used in the questionnaire in relation to the objectives of the study was assessed using a cross-bridge matrix where by the items in the questionnaire were checked against the objectives of the study to ensure adequate content coverage. Through the expert judgment, construct validity was assessed to establish the extent to which the instruments measured special respondent attributes like perceptions, attitudes and opinions towards the effect of demographic variables on customer loyalty. Cronbach's coefficient alpha was used to test reliability or to assess the quality of the measurement (Churchill, 1979). An acceptance level of 0.70 of Cronbach's alpha was tested for internal consistency for each of the constructs as recommended. The overall reliability test using Cronbach's test had an alpha coefficient of 0.911 which was higher than the recommended 0.7 threshold (Hair et al., 2006).

5.4 OPERATIONALIZATION OF THE STUDY VARIABLES

The constructs identified in this research were demographic variables particularly age, gender, religion, education, occupation, income and marital status. The dependent variable was customer loyalty and was measured in terms of outcome behaviors. The outcome behaviors of loyal customer considered in this study were repurchase, advocacy (word-of-mouth), less switching behavior, citizenship behavior, mentoring other customers, willingness to pay more and business referrals. The individual measures were ordinal but were weighted to yield the total loyalty score or index, which represented a measure of loyalty on an interval scale.

5.5 DATA ANALYSIS

The study used both descriptive and inferential analyses. Descriptive analysis involved the computation of frequency distribution, mean, and standard deviation, which were useful to identify differences among groups. Inferential analysis assisted in understanding relationships between the study variables. In order to meet the research objectives of this study, all valid responses were assessed using regression analysis.

Multiple regression analysis was used to determine the contribution of each of the independent variables to dependent variable. Regression analysis describes the way in which a dependent variable is affected by a change in the value of one or more independent variable. This technique was preferred because it tests the relative contribution of the independent variables on customer loyalty was achieved through multiple regression. Regression helps to predict the value of a dependent variable using one or more independent variables (Kometa (2007) and is used for the investigation of relationships between variables (Sykes,1993). This analysis was also useful in quantifying the influence of various simultaneous effects on a single dependent variable (Sykes, 1993).

In order to test the strength of the relationship between the dependent and independent variables, regression coefficients were used to evaluate the strength of the relationship between the independent variables and the dependent variable. Chu (2002) claims that the beta coefficients of the independent variables can be used to determine its derived importance to the dependent variable compared with other independent variables in the same model. Chu (2002) indicates that the beta coefficients of the independent variables can be used to determine their derived importance to the dependent variable compared with other independent variables in the same model. In general, the relationship of the independent variable with the dependent variable will be positive if the beta coefficient is positive. In contrast, if the beta coefficient is negative, the relationship between the independent and dependent variables will become negative. Of course, the beta coefficient equaling zero implies that there is no relationship between both of the independent and dependent variables.

R^2 was the multiple correlation, which represented the percent of variance in the dependent variable (customer loyalty) explained collectively by all of the independent variables (Garson, 2008). Thus the R^2 value in the model provided a measure of the predictive ability of the model. The closer the value to 1, the better the regression equation fit the data. The following study multiple linear regression model was tested:

$$CL = \beta_0 + \beta_1CA + \beta_2CG + \beta_3CI + \beta_4CO + \beta_5CM + \beta_6CR + e$$

Where: β_0 = Intercept or constant

β_1 = customer's age, β_2 = customer's gender, β_3 = customer's income, β_4 = customer's occupation, β_5 = customer's marital status, β_6 = customer's religion.

$\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, \beta_5$ & β_6 = Regression coefficients or slope of the regression line of the independent variables 1 to 6. They indicate the relationship between the independent variables and the dependent variable

In regression analysis, the decision rule is: Reject null hypothesis if F calculated $>$ F critical at $\alpha = 0.05$ (5% level of significance). However, if F calculated $<$ F critical, we do not reject the null hypothesis. Another way of drawing conclusion on the significance of the regression is that if the p -value (probability) calculated by the regression is less than our significance level (0.05) then it means the probability of drawing another sample from the population that gives similar results and satisfies the null hypothesis is so low that we reject the null hypothesis. A p -value is a probability that provides a measure of the evidence against the null hypothesis provided by the sample. Smaller p -values indicate more evidence against the hypothesis (Anderson et al., 2009). Hence if p -value of the regression (population) $<$ 0.05, we reject the null hypothesis but if p -value $>$ 0.05, then do not reject the null hypothesis.

6.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

6.1 PRELIMINARY RESULTS

With the initial descriptive analysis, the results showed that all the 381 respondents in the sample provided their responses. The response rate was 100% since the method of data collection involved giving the respondent questionnaire and waiting for it to be filled out before moving to the next respondent. Most respondents (57.0%) were male and majority of the respondents (44.0%) were below 30 years. In terms of educational background, 64.2% of the respondents had a university degree. In addition, most of the respondents (49.3%) were married while majority (40.2%) was businesspeople. As for income distribution, most of the respondents earned less than Ksh 25,000 and 55.5 % were Protestants.

6.2 DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILES OF RESPONDENTS

The study sought to investigate the impact of demographic characteristics on customer loyalty. Information on respondents' demographic profiles was sought on age, gender, religion, marital status, education, occupation and income. This following section describes each of the demographic profiles:

6.2.1 Gender Distribution of respondents

Table 4.1 shows that 55.7 % of the respondents were male while 43% were female and 1.3 % did not indicate their gender. This indicates that there were more male than female respondents and suggests that more men have bank accounts than their female counterparts.

6.2.3 Age distribution of respondents

Table 4.1 shows that majority (44.1%) of the respondents were below 30 years followed by those between 30 and 40 years (31.5 %). A cross all the banks, there was a very small number of customers over 60 years (2.9 %). The age bracket of the majority of the respondents was under 30 years. This age group is usually very demanding from their banks and financial institution and is most likely to switch from banks that do not meet their needs more than other age groups. This study showed that most (75.6%) of the customers of the retail banks were young and middle aged people.

TABLE 2: DISTRIBUTION OF RESPONDENTS BY DEMOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS

| Characteristics | Frequency | (%) |
|-----------------------|-----------|------|
| Gender | | |
| Male | 165 | 43.5 |
| Female | 215 | 56.5 |
| Age | | |
| Less Than 30 | 168 | 44.1 |
| 30 TO 40 | 120 | 31.5 |
| 40 To 50 | 64 | 16.8 |
| 50 To 60 | 18 | 4.7 |
| Over 60 | 11 | 2.9 |
| Occupation | | |
| Teacher | 34 | 9 |
| Lecturer | 17 | 4.5 |
| Farmer | 38 | 10.1 |
| Businesspersons | 152 | 40.2 |
| Homemakers | 30 | 7.9 |
| Other | 107 | 28.3 |
| Income | | |
| Less Ksh 25000 | 142 | 41.4 |
| 25000- 50000 | 110 | 32.1 |
| 50000- 75000 | 60 | 7.5 |
| 75000- 100000 | 21 | 6.1 |
| Over 100000 | 10 | 2.9 |
| Marital Status | | |
| Single | 151 | 39.6 |
| Married | 188 | 49.3 |
| Divorced | 26 | 6.8 |
| Separated | 16 | 4.2 |
| Religion | | |
| Catholic | 129 | 33.9 |
| Moslem | 19 | 5.0 |
| Protestants | 211 | 55.5 |
| Other | 21 | 5.5 |
| Education | | |
| Illiterate | 3 | 0.8 |
| Primary | 15 | 4.0 |
| Secondary | 117 | 31 |
| University | 242 | 64.2 |

6.2.2 Distribution of respondents by occupation

The professions of the respondents across the banks were: teachers (9 %), lecturers (4.5 %), farmers (10.1%), businesspeople (40.2 %), homemakers (7%) and others (28.3%) as shown in table 4.1. This clearly shows that majority of the respondents were in business.

6.2.3 Income distribution of respondents

The monthly distribution of income of respondents in table 4.1 revealed that most (41.4 %) of them earned below ksh 25,000 followed by those between ksh 25,000 to ksh 50,000 (32.1%) while only 2.9 % earned over ksh100, 000. The study found that most of customers who were businesspeople did not earn more than ksh 50,000. This is consistent with the fact that most income earners in the informal sector are small scale business operators.

6.2.4 Marital status of respondents

In Table 4.1 the marital status of the respondents was represented by 49.3 %, 39.6 %, 6.8 % and 4.2 % for married, single, divorced and separated respectively.

6.2.5 Education Level of respondents

The results in table 4.1 showed that 64.2% of the respondents had university education and 31% had secondary education. Only 4 % said they had acquired primary school education and 0.8 % were illiterate. This reflects the cosmopolitan nature of the Municipality and the high presence of higher institutions of learning that creates a highly educated market segment. This is consistent with most studies on bank loyalty. A similar study of the Taiwanese banks by Tsung-Chi & Li-We (2007) produced similar results (less than or equal to a high school education, (16%), secondary education (33.2 %); university degree (50.6 %)

6.3 THE EFFECT OF DEMOGRAPHIC VARIABLES ON CUSTOMER LOYALTY

6.3.1 Results of One Way ANOVA Test

The study sought to examine the effect of various demographic variables on customer loyalty. In order to establish whether customer loyalty varied due to demographic profiles of customers, ANOVA was used to test differences in customer loyalty according to demographic characteristics. The objective of this was to find out if there were any significant differences in customer loyalty due to demographic variables. The demographic variables considered were age, gender, occupation, income, marriage, religion and education.

One way- ANOVA test was carried out using the model given below:

$$\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu_4 = \mu_5 = \mu_6 = \mu_7$$

Where μ_1 to μ_7 represented the demographic variables: μ_1 = Age, μ_2 = Gender, μ_3 = Occupation, μ_4 = Income, μ_5 = Marital status, μ_6 = Religion and μ_7 = Education. The one-way Analysis of Variance results in table 4.2 do not indicate any significant differences in customer loyalty due to age (F=.883, p=.730), gender (F=.944, P=.604), income (F=.732, P=.945), marital status (F=.822, P=.843) and religion (F= 1.757, P=.200). There was significant difference in customer loyalty due to the respondent's occupation (F=2.43, P=.000) and education (F=1.381, P=.032). Thus, it can be concluded that customer loyalty did not significantly vary due to differences in customer demographic variables.

TABLE 3: ANOVA RESULTS ON DEMOGRAPHIC VARIABLES

| | | ANOVA | | | | |
|------------|----------------|----------------|-----|-------------|-------|------|
| | | Sum of Squares | Df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| Age | Between Groups | 68.797 | 73 | .942 | .883 | .736 |
| | Within Groups | 330.988 | 310 | 1.068 | | |
| | Total | 399.785 | 381 | | | |
| Gender | Between Groups | 16.941 | 73 | .232 | .944 | .608 |
| | Within Groups | 76.225 | 310 | .246 | | |
| | Total | 93.166 | 381 | | | |
| Occupation | Between Groups | 211.098 | 73 | 2.892 | 1.381 | .032 |
| | Within Groups | 649.224 | 310 | 2.094 | | |
| | Total | 860.322 | 381 | | | |
| Income | Between Groups | 55.242 | 73 | .757 | .732 | .945 |
| | Within Groups | 320.466 | 310 | 1.034 | | |
| | Total | 375.708 | 381 | | | |
| Marriage | Between Groups | 35.386 | 73 | .485 | .822 | .843 |
| | Within Groups | 182.913 | 310 | .590 | | |
| | Total | 218.299 | 381 | | | |
| Religion | Between Groups | 82.141 | 73 | 1.125 | 1.157 | .200 |
| | Within Groups | 301.396 | 310 | .972 | | |
| | Total | 383.537 | 381 | | | |
| Education | Between Groups | 57.489 | 73 | .788 | 2.430 | .000 |
| | Within Groups | 100.453 | 310 | .324 | | |
| | Total | 157.942 | 381 | | | |

TABLE 4: REGRESSION RESULTS

| Model Summary ^b | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------|----------|-------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|----------|-----|-----|---------------|---------------|
| Model | R | R Square | Adjusted R Square | Std. Error of the Estimate | Change Statistics | | | | | Durbin-Watson |
| | | | | | R Square Change | F Change | df1 | df2 | Sig. F Change | |
| 1 | .228 ^a | .052 | .031 | 13.57037 | .052 | 2.450 | 7 | 313 | .019 | 2.092 |

a. Predictors: (Constant), education, gender of customer, occupation of customer, religion, customer age, monthly income, marital status
 b. Dependent Variable: loyindex

TABLE 5: REGRESSION COEFFICIENTS

| Coefficients ^a | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|---------------------------|--|-------|------|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|-------|
| Model | | Unstandardized Coefficients | | Standardized Coefficients | | t | Sig. | 95.0% Confidence Interval for B | | Collinearity Statistics | |
| | | B | Std. Error | Beta | | | | Lower Bound | Upper Bound | Tolerance | VIF |
| 1 | (Constant) | 19.422 | 5.865 | | | 3.312 | .001 | 7.883 | 30.961 | | |
| | Customer age | .515 | .919 | .036 | | .560 | .576 | -1.294 | 2.323 | .715 | 1.399 |
| | Gender of customer | .182 | 1.561 | .006 | | .116 | .908 | -2.891 | 3.254 | .970 | 1.031 |
| | Occupation of customer | .643 | .530 | .069 | | 1.211 | .227 | -.401 | 1.686 | .943 | 1.061 |
| | Monthly income | 2.922 | .837 | .222 | | 3.493 | .001 | 1.276 | 4.568 | .753 | 1.329 |
| | Marital status | -1.148 | 1.212 | -.062 | | -.947 | .344 | -3.532 | 1.237 | .696 | 1.436 |
| | Religion | -.575 | .769 | -.041 | | -.748 | .455 | -2.088 | .937 | .986 | 1.014 |
| | Education | .780 | 1.196 | .037 | | .652 | .515 | -1.574 | 3.134 | .927 | 1.079 |

a. Dependent Variable: loyindex

6.3.2 Results of Regression Analysis

The regression results from the model are shown in Table 3 and indicated that demographic variables and customer loyalty were positively. However the coefficients show that only customer income was positively and significantly related to customer loyalty in retail banking.

R2 was 0.052 or 5.2 % which was significant at 0.001 level. This implied that demographic variables explained about 5.2 % of the variation in customer loyalty. This study established that customer loyalty to commercial banks was influenced by demographic variables upto 5.2% in this model. This was a clear indication that other variables not included in the research model such as perceived value and service quality contribution to the 94.8 % that was not accounted for in this study. In terms of contribution towards loyalty in the research model, income contributed the most (.222) followed by customer occupation (.069), education (.037), customer age (.036), customer gender (.06) customer religion (-.041) and marital status (-.062).

7.0 CONCLUSION

Results obtained from the study of the impact of demographic factors toward customer loyalty especially with regard to the influence of age, gender, religion, occupation, marital status and income could help retail banks to choose the most appropriate strategy to market their products in the future. As a conclusion, this study established that customer's income is a very important positive contributor towards customer loyalty as compared to the other five variables ; age, gender,

occupation, religion and marital status. However, banks should not ignore the importance of studying the five variables which can be useful to them to plan other business strategies apart from customer loyalty such as in sales management, customer relationship management marketing operation and human resource.

REFERENCES

1. A.E. Kent, Tony Kent, Ogenyi Omar. "Retail trade" Palgrave Macmillan, 2003 p 526.
2. AL Dolinsky, RK Caputo, The role of health care attributes and demographic characteristics in the determination of health care satisfaction, *Marketing Health Services*, 1990, Volume10, Issue 4, p31
3. Alam, S.S., R. Mohd and B. Hisham, (2011). "Is Religiosity an Important Determinant on Muslim Consumer Behaviour in Malaysia?" *Journal of Islamic marketing*, 2(1):83-96
4. Anderson, G., O. Linton, and Y.-J. Wang (2009). "Nonparametric estimation of a polarization measure". *Cemmap Working Papers*, CWP14/09.
5. Anderson, H. & Jacobsen P. Φ., 2000, *Creating Loyalty: Its Strategic Importance in Your Customer Strategy*. In S. A. Brown, ed., *Customer Relationship Management*, Ontario, John Wiley, 2000, pp. 55-67.
6. Barnes, D. (2002), "Operations management: an international perspective", Thomson Learning, London
7. Belas, J., Chochoľakova, A., Gabcova, L. (2015). "Satisfaction and loyalty of banking customers: a gender approach". *Economics & Sociology*, 8(1): 176–188.
8. Bulmer, M. (ed.). (2004). *Questionnaires*. London: SAGE
9. Belás, J., Gabčová, L. (2014). "Reasons for satisfaction and dissatisfaction of bank customers". Study from Slovakia and the Czech Republic. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Knowledge*. Issue 1/2014, Vol. 2, pp. 4-13.
9. Brian T. Ratchford, Debabrata Talukdar and Myung-Soo Lee (2007), "The Impact of the Internet on Consumers' Use of Information Sources for Automobiles: A Re-Inquiry", *Journal of Consumer Research* 34(1):111-1
10. Churchill, G.A.Jr. (1979). "A Paradigm for Developing Better Measures of Marketing Constructs". *Journal of Marketing Research*, 16(1), 64-73.
11. D. Bendall-Lyon and T. L. Powers, "The impact of gender differences on change in satisfaction over time," *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, Vol. 19, No. 1, pp. 12–23, 2002.
12. Dick Allan and Busa kunai: customer loyalty: "Toward an integrated conceptual framework", *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science* volume 22, pp 99–113
13. Elahi, Sh & Heydari, B. (2005). "CRM", Business Publishing Company Affiliated to the Institute of Business Study and Research,
14. Heiner Evanschitzky & Maren Wunderlich, "An examination of moderator effects in the four-stage loyalty model", *Journal of Service Research*(2006), vol 8, issue 4, pp330-345
15. Hennig-Thurau et al (2002), "Understanding Relationship Marketing Outcomes: An Integration of Relational Benefits and Relationship Quality," *Journal of Service Research*, Volume 4, No. 3, February 2002 230-247
16. Hoisington, S. & Naumann, E. (2003). "The loyalty elephant. *Quality Progress*", 36(2), 33-4
17. Homans G.C., (1958). "Social Behavior: Its Elementary Forms", Hartcourt, and Brace & World, New York
18. Homburg, C., and Giering, A., (2001). "Personal characteristics as moderators of the relationship between customer satisfaction and loyalty: An empirical analysis". *Psychology and Marketing*, Volume 18 (1): 43-66
19. Isabelle Szmigin and Marylyn Carrigan (2002), "Learning to love the older consumer", *Journal of consumer Research*, vol 10 pp 23-24
20. Klopotaň, I., Buntak, K., Drožděk, I. (2014). Impact of Education, Gender and Age on Consumer Loyalty. *International Journal for Quality Research*, Vol.8, No.4, pp. 481-494. 13.
21. Kometa, S. (2007). How to Perform and Interpret Regression Analysis. Available <http://www.ncl.ac.uk/iss/statistics/docs/regression.php>, visited on January 01, 2021
22. Kotler, P. (2011). "Reinventing marketing to manage the environmental imperative," *Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 75, No. 4, pp. 132-135.
23. Levesque, T. and McDougall, G.H.G. (1996), "Determinants of customer satisfaction in retail banking", *International Journal of Bank Marketing*, Vol. 14 No. 7, pp. 12-20.
24. Lovelock CH & Wirtz J. (2004). *Services Marketing*, Pearson Prentice Hall, USA.
25. Masinde, C.K. (1986). "Perceived Quality of Service: The Case of Kenya Airways", University of Nairobi Press, June 1986
26. Mwendwa, A.M. (1987). "Perceived Service Quality: The Case of Kenya Ports Authority", University of Nairobi Press, June 1987.
27. Ndubisi, N. (2007), "Relationship marketing and customer loyalty", *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*, Vol. 25 No. 1, pp. 98-106
28. Ogden and Ogden(2005), "Do demographics influence customer service quality perceptions? A comparative study of Indian and Foreign Banks", *Journal of services Research*, vol 6, no 1, pp14
29. Oliver, R. L. (1999). Whence consumer loyalty, *Journal of Marketing*. 63, 33-44
30. Sharma, P., Chen, I. S., & Luk, S. T. (2012). "Gender and age as moderators in the service evaluation process". *Journal of Services Marketing*, 26(2), 102-114.
31. Sykes, A. O. (1993). An Introduction to Regression Mittal, V., and Kamakura, W. (2001). "Satisfaction, repurchases intent, and repurchases behavior: investigating the moderating effect of customer characteristics": *Journal of Marketing Research*, Volume 38(1): 131-142.
32. Szmigin, I. and Bourne, H. (1998), "Consumer equity in relationship marketing", *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, vol. 15, No. 6, pp. 544-557.
33. Szymanski, D.M. and Henard, D.H. (2001), "Customer Satisfaction: A Meta-Analysis of the Empirical Evidence", *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 29 (1), pp. 16-35
34. Thibault, J. W. and Kelly, H. H. (1959). *The Social Psychology of Groups*. Wiley & Sons, New York
35. Tsung-Chi & Li-We (2007), "Customer Retention and Crossover Buying in the Banking Industry", *Journal of Financial Services Marketing* vol. 12 (2). 132- 145
36. Vikas Mittal and Wagner A. Kamakura, Satisfaction, "Repurchase Intent, and Repurchase Behavior: Investigating the Moderating Effect of Customer Characteristics", *Journal of Marketing Research*, Vol. 38, No. 1 (Feb., 2001), pp. 131-142
37. William K. Darley and Robert E. Smith (1995), "Gender Differences in Information Processing Strategies: An Empirical Test of the Selectivity Model in Advertising Response," *Journal of Advertising* 24(1):41-56
38. Zineldin, Mosad (2006), "The royalty of loyalty: CRM, quality and retention," *The Journal of Consumer Marketing*, Vol. 23 No. 7, pp. 430437.

REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

Dear Readers

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce, Economics & Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue as well as, on the journal as a whole, on our e-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries, please feel free to contact us on our e-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward to an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-

Co-ordinator

DISCLAIMER

The information and opinions presented in the Journal reflect the views of the authors and not of the Journal or its Editorial Board or the Publishers/Editors. Publication does not constitute endorsement by the journal. Neither the Journal nor its publishers/Editors/Editorial Board nor anyone else involved in creating, producing or delivering the journal or the materials contained therein, assumes any liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information provided in the journal, nor shall they be liable for any direct, indirect, incidental, special, consequential or punitive damages arising out of the use of information/material contained in the journal. The journal, neither its publishers/Editors/ Editorial Board, nor any other party involved in the preparation of material contained in the journal represents or warrants that the information contained herein is in every respect accurate or complete, and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such material. Readers are encouraged to confirm the information contained herein with other sources. The responsibility of the contents and the opinions expressed in this journal are exclusively of the author (s) concerned.

ABOUT THE JOURNAL

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active co-operation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.

Our Other Journals

