INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE, ECONOMICS & MANAGEMENT



A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

Indexed & Listed at:

Ulrich's Periodicals Directory @, ProQuest, U.S.A., EBSCO Publishing, U.S.A., Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A.

The American Economic Association's electronic bibliography. EconLit. U.S.A..

Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland with IC Value of 5.09 & number of libraries all around the world.

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than 4255 Cities in 176 countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

Ground Floor, Building No. 1041-C-1, Devi Bhawan Bazar, JAGADHRI – 135 003, Yamunanagar, Haryana, INDIA

CONTENTS

| Sr. No. | TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S) | Page No. |
|-------------|---|-------------|
| | STUDY ON EXPLORING ASE EMPLOYEES JOB SATISFACTION | |
| 1. | CHENG-WEN LEE & TSAI-LUN CHO | 1 |
| 2. | APPLICABILITY OF INFORMATION SYSTEM TECHNIQUES: A STUDY OF PUBLIC AND PRIVATE | 7 |
| | POWER SECTOR | • |
| | VIJAY PRATAP SINGH & DR. G.S BATRA | |
| 3. | CONSTRAINTS OF MGNREGA AS A TRANSFORMATIVE SOCIAL PROTECTION POLICY: AN | 12 |
| | EMPIRICAL STUDY IN ASSAM | |
| | REHANA AHMED & SUBHRANGSHU SHEKHAR SARKAR | |
| 4. | EFFECT OF ECONOMIC ENVIRONMENT ON INTERNATIONAL TOURISM REVENUE: A CO- | 16 |
| | INTEGRATION APPROACH | |
| _ | CHENG-WEN LEE & WEN-CHUAN FU AN EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS OF THE IMPACT OF ECOPRENEURIAL ORIENTATION, ENVIRONMENTAL | 22 |
| 5. | CONCERN AND GOVERNMENTAL MEASURES ON ECOPRENEURIAL PRACTICES OF WOMEN | 23 |
| | ENTREPRENEURS IN MALAPPURAM DISTRICT | |
| | NISHA K.M & DR. MOHD ASIF KHAN | |
| 6. | SOCIAL ENTERPRISES: INTERPRETATION AND MARKETING STRATEGIES | 29 |
| | PRAMA VISHNOI & NAMITA PADHY | |
| 7 . | IMPLICATION OF WORK LIFE BALANCE AND JOB STRESS | 34 |
| | ANURAG MAURYA, GAURAV TALAN & KANCHAN SEHRAWAT | |
| 8. | TRENDS IN INFORMALITY IN INDIA | 39 |
| _ | NIDHI PANDE | |
| 9. | EMPLOYEE MOTIVATION: ANALYSIS OF SELECT SMALL SCALE UNITS IN MYSURU | 48 |
| 10 | DIVYACHETHANA S & AASHISH C I A STUDY ON YOUNG ADULT CONSUMER BEHAVIOR TOWARDS ADVENTURE TRAVEL WITH | |
| 10. | SPECIAL REFERENCE TO HYDERABAD | 51 |
| | DR. ANDAL AMMISETTI | |
| 11. | PARTICIPATION OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT SCHEMES IN INDIA | 53 |
| | DR. T. VIJAYARAGAVAN | |
| 12. | THE GROWTH OF GOLD LOAN NBFCS IN INDIA: A CASE STUDY ON MUTHOOT FINANCE | 57 |
| | JESWIN D.J & GURUDATT KAMATH B | |
| 13 . | WOMEN'S STATUS IN THE ECONOMY OF INDIA | 61 |
| | DR. AJAB SINGH & DEEPSHIKHA B. | |
| 14. | TREND ANALYSIS OF IMPACTS OF CARGO PILFERAGE RISK ON POST CONCESSION CARGO | 67 |
| | THROUGHPUT PERFORMANCE OF NIGERIAN SEAPORT TERMINALS T. C. NWOKEDI, G. C. EMEGHARA & C. IKEOGU | |
| 15. | CHANGING LANDSCAPE OF FINANCE IN INDIA DURING THE PAST DECADE | 71 |
| 13. | K.MADHAVA RAO | /1 |
| 16. | IMPACT OF CELEBRITY ENDORSEMENT ON CONSUMER BEHAVIOUR | 79 |
| | NAMITA PADHY & PRAMA VISHNOI | |
| 17. | TO TAX OR NOT TO TAX: THE DILEMMA OF ABOLISHING INCOME TAXES IN INDIA | 85 |
| | K SREEHARI NAIR & VIDYA AVADHANI | |
| 18 . | THE ACT NO. 9 OF 1995 ABOUT SMALL SCALE ENTERPRISE: IMPLICATION TOWARDS SMALL | 88 |
| | SCALE BUSINESS SELF RELIANCE IN STRENGTHEN NATIONAL ECONOMY STRUCTURE (EMPIRICAL | |
| | STUDY TO SMALL SCALE AGRIBUSINESS INDUSTRY IN SOUTH SUMATERA-INDONESIA) | |
| 10 | M. SYAHIRMAN YUSI EFFECT OF EDUCATIONAL ON EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES FOR PEOPLE LIVING WITH | 05 |
| 19. | DISABILITIES IN SELECTED UNIVERSITIES IN KENYA | 95 |
| | JOHN WEKESA WANJALA, DR. SUSAN WERE & DR. WILLY MUTURI | |
| 20. | IMPLEMENTATION OF NATIONAL SOCIAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAMME IN JORHAT DISTRICT OF | 100 |
| | ASSAM | |
| | PALLABI GOGOI | |
| | REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK & DISCLAIMER | 105 |

CHIEF PATRON

PROF. K. K. AGGARWAL

Chairman, Malaviya National Institute of Technology, Jaipur

(An institute of National Importance & fully funded by Ministry of Human Resource Development, Government of India)

Chancellor, K. R. Mangalam University, Gurgaon

Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Faridabad

Founder Vice-Chancellor (1998-2008), Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi

Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

FOUNDER PATRON

LATE SH. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Former State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana Former Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri Former President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

CO-ORDINATOR

DR. BHAVET

Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Engineering & Technology, Urjani

ADVISORS

PROF. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Director A. C. D., School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

PROF. M. N. SHARMA

Chairman, M.B.A., HaryanaCollege of Technology & Management, Kaithal

PROF. S. L. MAHANDRU

Principal (Retd.), MaharajaAgrasenCollege, Jagadhri

EDITOR

PROF. R. K. SHARMA

Professor, Bharti Vidyapeeth University Institute of Management & Research, New Delhi

FORMER CO-EDITOR

DR. S. GARG

Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Business & Management, Urjani

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

DR. RAJESH MODI

Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

PROF. SIKANDER KUMAR

Chairman, Department of Economics, Himachal Pradesh University, Shimla, Himachal Pradesh

PROF. SANJIV MITTAL

UniversitySchool of Management Studies, GuruGobindSinghl. P. University, Delhi

PROF. RAJENDER GUPTA

Convener, Board of Studies in Economics, University of Jammu, Jammu

PROF. NAWAB ALI KHAN

Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

PROF. S. P. TIWARI

Head, Department of Economics & Rural Development, Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia Avadh University, Faizabad

DR. ANIL CHANDHOK

Professor, Faculty of Management, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana

DR. ASHOK KUMAR CHAUHAN

Reader, Department of Economics, KurukshetraUniversity, Kurukshetra

DR. SAMBHAVNA

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

DR. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, P.J.L.N.GovernmentCollege, Faridabad

DR. VIVEK CHAWLA

Associate Professor, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra

DR. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE

Asst. Professor, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

PROF. ABHAY BANSAL

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity University, Noida

PARVEEN KHURANA

Associate Professor, MukandLalNationalCollege, Yamuna Nagar

SHASHI KHURANA

Associate Professor, S.M.S.KhalsaLubanaGirlsCollege, Barara, Ambala

SUNIL KUMAR KARWASRA

Principal, AakashCollege of Education, ChanderKalan, Tohana, Fatehabad

DR. VIKAS CHOUDHARY

Asst. Professor, N.I.T. (University), Kurukshetra

FORMER TECHNICAL ADVISOR

AMITA

Faculty, Government M. S., Mohali

<u>FINANCIAL ADVISORS</u>

DICKIN GOYAL

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

NEENA

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

LEGAL ADVISORS

JITENDER S. CHAHAL

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

SUPERINTENDENT

SURENDER KUMAR POONIA

Nationality

CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to recent developments & practices in the areas of Computer Science & Applications; Commerce; Business; Finance; Marketing; Human Resource Management; General Management; Banking; Economics; Tourism Administration & Management; Education; Law; Library & Information Science; Defence & Strategic Studies; Electronic Science; Corporate Governance; Industrial Relations; and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Rural Economics; Co-operation; Demography: Development Planning; Development Studies; Applied Economics; Development Economics; Business Economics; Monetary Policy; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Political Science; Continuing Education; Labour Welfare; Philosophy; Psychology; Sociology; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law; Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labour Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; International Relations; Human Rights & Duties; Public Administration; Population Studies; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism & Hospitality; Transportation Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic; Web Design and emerging paradigms in allied subjects.

Anybody can submit the soft copy of unpublished novel; original; empirical and high quality research work/manuscript anytime in M.S. Word format after preparing the same as per our GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION; at our email address i.e. infoijrcm@gmail.com or online by clicking the link online submission as given on our website (FOR ONLINE SUBMISSION, CLICK HERE).

| GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION O | OF MANUSCRIPT |
|---|--|
| COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION: | |
| | DATED: |
| | |
| THE EDITOR | |
| IJRCM | |
| Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF | |
| (e.g. Finance/Mkt./HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/ | /IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, please |
| specify) | |
| | |
| DEAR SIR/MADAM | |
| Please find my submission of manuscript entitled 'one of your journals. | |
| I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Further | rmore, it has neither been published elsewhere in any |
| language fully or partly, nor is it under review for publication elsewhere. | |
| I affirm that all the co-authors of this manuscript have seen the submitted inclusion of names as co-authors. | ed version of the manuscript and have agreed to their |
| Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I agree to comply with the formalitied discretion to publish our contribution in any of its journals. | es as given on the website of the journal. The Journal has |
| discretion to publish our contribution in any of its journals. | |
| NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR | |
| Designation | |
| Institution/College/University with full address & Pin Code | - |
| Residential address with Pin Code | |
| Mobile Number (s) with country ISD code | |
| | |
| Is WhatsApp or Viber active on your above noted Mobile Number (Yes/No) | • |
| Landline Number (s) with country ISD code | : |
| E-mail Address | • |
| Alternate E-mail Address | : |

NOTES:

- a) The whole manuscript has to be in **ONE MS WORD FILE** only, which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript. <u>pdf. version</u> is liable to be rejected without any consideration.
- b) The sender is required to mention the following in the **SUBJECT COLUMN of the mail**:
 - **New Manuscript for Review in the area of** (e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, please specify)
- c) There is no need to give any text in the body of mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any **specific message** w.r.t. to the manuscript.
- d) The total size of the file containing the manuscript is expected to be below 1000 KB.
- e) Abstract alone will not be considered for review and the author is required to submit the complete manuscript in the first instance.
- f) The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email within twenty four hours and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of manuscript, within two days of submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending a separate mail to the journal.
- g) The author (s) name or details should not appear anywhere on the body of the manuscript, except the covering letter and the cover page of the manuscript, in the manner as mentioned in the guidelines.
- 2. MANUSCRIPT TITLE: The title of the paper should be **bold typed**, **centered** and **fully capitalised**.
- 3. AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS: Author (s) name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline number (s), and email/alternate email address should be given underneath the title.
- 4. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS: Acknowledgements can be given to reviewers, guides, funding institutions, etc., if any.
- 5. **ABSTRACT**: Abstract should be in **fully italicized text**, ranging between **150** to **300 words**. The abstract must be informative and explain the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a **SINGLE PARA**. **Abbreviations must be mentioned in full**.
- 6. **KEYWORDS**: Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of **five**. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stop at the end. All words of the keywords, including the first one should be in small letters, except special words e.g. name of the Countries, abbreviations.
- 7. **JEL CODE**: Provide the appropriate Journal of Economic Literature Classification System code (s). JEL codes are available at www.aeaweb.org/econlit/jelCodes.php, however, mentioning JEL Code is not mandatory.
- 8. **MANUSCRIPT**: Manuscript must be in <u>BRITISH ENGLISH</u> prepared on a standard A4 size <u>PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER</u>. It should be free from any errors i.e. <u>grammatical</u>, spelling or <u>punctuation</u>. It must be thoroughly edited at your end.
- 9. **HEADINGS**: All the headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
- SUB-HEADINGS: All the sub-headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
- 11. MAIN TEXT:

THE MAIN TEXT SHOULD FOLLOW THE FOLLOWING SEQUENCE:

INTRODUCTION REVIEW OF LITERATURE NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM OBJECTIVES HYPOTHESIS (ES) RESEARCH METHODOLOGY RESULTS & DISCUSSION FINDINGS RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS CONCLUSIONS LIMITATIONS SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH REFERENCES APPENDIX/ANNEXURE

The manuscript should preferably range from 2000 to 5000 WORDS.

- 12. **FIGURES & TABLES**: These should be simple, crystal **CLEAR**, **centered**, **separately numbered** & self explained, and **titles must be above the table/figure**. **Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure**. *It should be ensured that the tables/figures*are referred to from the main text.
- 13. **EQUATIONS/FORMULAE:** These should be consecutively numbered in parenthesis, horizontally centered with equation/formulae number placed at the right. The equation editor provided with standard versions of Microsoft Word should be utilised. If any other equation editor is utilised, author must confirm that these equations may be viewed and edited in versions of Microsoft Office that does not have the editor.
- 14. **ACRONYMS:** These should not be used in the abstract. The use of acronyms is elsewhere is acceptable. Acronyms should be defined on its first use in each section: Reserve Bank of India (RBI). Acronyms should be redefined on first use in subsequent sections.
- 15. **REFERENCES**: The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. *The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript* and they are supposed to follow Harvard Style of Referencing. Also check to make sure that everything that you are including in the reference section is duly cited in the paper. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
- All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
- Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
- When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc, in chronologically ascending order.
- Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
- The title of books and journals should be in italics. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
- For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parenthesis.
- Headers, footers, endnotes and footnotes should not be used in the document. However, you can mention short notes to elucidate some specific point, which may be placed in number orders after the references.

PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:

BOOKS

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

• Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

• Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

CONFERENCE PAPERS

• Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–23

UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS

• Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

ONLINE RESOURCES

Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

WEBSITES

• Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp

TRENDS IN INFORMALITY IN INDIA

NIDHI PANDE ASST. PROFESSOR UNIVERSITY OF DELHI DELHI

ABSTRACT

The study of informal sector and informal employment has been in the limelight for the past few years with the recognition that informal economy is integrally linked to the formal economy and contributes to the overall economy. The definition of both is very wide and covers a range of activities. There has been a recent interest among researchers to identify patterns in the informal economy in both developing and developed countries. This has increased the need for better statistics on the informal economy. With its large labour force and economy India is one of the prime regions for studying the informal economy. Recent media coverage has highlighted facts like an overwhelming share of non-agricultural employment is in the informal sector, half of India's economy is informal and informal workers do not have job security and social security benefits. In this paper, using NSSO reports as the base, I examine trends in the informal sector and in informal employment across various states in India.

KEYWORDS

Informal sector, Informal employment.

1. INTRODUCTION

Informality in economy has gained prominence in the last few decades. The term informal economy is used by the ILO as including the informal sector as well as informal employment, and that as a supplement to the System of National Accounts 1993 an international conceptual framework for measurement of the non-observed economy already exists, which distinguishes the informal sector from underground production, illegal production, and household production for own final use (NSSO 2014: 37). There has been an increase in the number of countries collecting data on informal sector and informal employment as countries have adopted the International Conference of Labour Statisticians (ICLS) recommendations for defining informal employment and the informal sector (ILO, 1993; ILO, 2003). A recent International Labour organization (ILO) report on the informal economy (ILO, 2013) pegs informal employment at 83.6% of non-agricultural employment for India in 2009-10. Furthermore, the bulk of informal employment of men is concentrated in employment in the informal sector while that of women is outside the informal sector, for instance, domestic work. For example, in India, 26.2% of employed women are in informal employment outside the informal sector in contrast to 14.7% of men. The report also mentions that between 2004-05 and 2011-12, the total informal employment and informal employment outside the informal sector as share of non-agricultural employment; the shares of employment in the informal sector and informal employment outside the informal sector were 66.8% and 17.9% respectively.

There are links between working informally and being poor. Average incomes are lower in the informal economy than in the formal economy. As a result, a higher percentage of people working in the informal sector, relative to the formal sector, are poor. Informal incomes worldwide tend to decline as one moves across the following types of employment: from employer to self-employed to informal and casual wage workers to industrial outworker (Chen et al., 2002). Informal workers often face greater exposure to general risks (illness, property loss, disability, old age, and death) given the nature of their work and living conditions. They also face greater work-related risks than those who work in the formal sector. Dependent informal workers face little assurance or guarantee of work, low wages or earnings, few worker benefits, and unsafe or unhealthy working conditions. Independent informal workers often have insufficient market information, skills, or power and, therefore, less access to existing or emerging market opportunities. Additionally, those who work in the informal sector typically have fewer mechanisms including access to formal financial instruments for dealing with risk than those who work in the formal sector do (Chen et al., 2002). Thus the quality of employment is generally lower in informal jobs and informal employment is on the rise. Using the National Commission for Enterprises in the Unorganised Sector (NCEUS) definition of formal and informal sectors for the NSSO data Dhanya (2013) indicates that in India around 91% workforce is involved in informal employment. He also concludes that the equation of formal and informal workers within formal sector has changed over the years with more informal workers compared to formal workers.

The National Sample Survey offices (NSSO) has been collecting data on the informal sector in the Employment & Unemployment surveys that are generally conducted every five year from the 55th round (1999-2000). In the 55th round coverage for proprietary and partnership enterprises was limited to the entire non-agricultural sector only. In 61st round also proprietary and partnership enterprises were taken as Informal Sector for all practical purposes but this time they belonged not only to the entire non-agricultural sector but also a part of the agricultural sector. Exactly same coverage in respect of informal sector and conditions of employment was taken in the 68th round. Over the last three rounds of 2004-05 (61st), 2009-10 (66th) and 2011-12 (68th), separate reports on comparable estimates have been published by the NSSO, titled Informal Sector and Conditions of Employment in India (Rustagi , 2015). In this paper I use the 61st and 68th round reports of NSSO to examine trends in the informal sector and in informal employment across various states in India. To the best of my knowledge this is one of the first papers to examine the trend across states. The only other paper that does something similar is by Naik (2009). He however only looks at the average annual growth rate by state between 1999-2000 and 2004-05 of informal sector workers and informal workers.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 talks about the concept of informality. Section 3 examines the trends across states. Section 4 concludes.

2. CONCEPT OF INFORMALITY

The international statistical definition of the informal sector was outlined by the 15th ICLS in 1993. A definition of informal employment was proposed and discussed by the International Labour Conference (ILC) in 2002 and endorsed by the 17th ICLS in 2003. As per 15th ICLS, the informal sector is broadly characterized as consisting of:

- a. Units engaged in the production of goods or services with the primary objective of generating employment and incomes to the persons concerned. These units typically operate at a low level of organization, with little or no division between labour and capital as factors of production and on a small scale. Labour relations where they exist are based mostly on casual employment, kinship or personal and social relations rather than contractual arrangements with formal guarantees.
- b. Production units of the informal sector have the characteristic features of household enterprises. The fixed and other assets used do not belong to the production units as such but to their owners. The units as such cannot engage in transactions or enter into contracts with other units, nor incur liabilities, on their own behalf. The owners have to raise the necessary finance at their own risk and are personally liable, without limit, for any debts or obligations incurred in the production process. Expenditure for production is often indistinguishable from household expenditure. Similarly, capital goods such as buildings or vehicles may be used indistinguishably for business and household purposes.

Thus, for statistical purposes, the informal sector, as per guidelines of 15th ICLS is regarded as a group of production units which, according to the definitions and classifications provided in the United Nations System of National Accounts, form part of the household sector as household enterprises or, equivalently, unincorporated enterprises owned by households.

According to the 17th ICLS framework, informal employment is identified by jobs classified by status of employment of the workers and the types of production units in which they were employed. The different types of production units are:

- a. formal sector enterprises
- b. informal sector enterprises
- c. households which produce goods for their own final use and/or those employing domestic workers

The status of employment of the workers performing the jobs may beown account workers

- employers
- b. contributing family workers
- c. employees
- d. member of informal producers co-operatives

The employment may be considered as formal or informal depending upon the type of enterprises in which the workers are engaged or the nature of work they perform.

The informal employment comprises jobs held by:

- a. own-account workers and employers who have their own informal sector enterprises
- b. contributing family workers, irrespective of whether they work in formal or informal sector enterprises
- c. employees who have informal jobs¹ whether employed by formal sector enterprises, informal sector enterprises, or as paid domestic workers by households
- d. members of informal producers cooperatives
- e. persons engaged in the own-account production of goods exclusively for own final use by their household, such as subsistence farming or do-it-yourself construction of own dwellings

Informal sector enterprises in NSSO reports mean proprietary and partnership (P & P) type enterprises within the non-agriculture and agriculture sector excluding growing of crops, plant propagation, combined production of crops and animals without a specialized production of crops or animals (AGEGC) sectors (NSSO 2014: 49). NSSO uses information on employment status, type of enterprise, type of job contract, availability of paid leave, availability of social security benefits, nature of employment, existence of union/ association, etc. for identification of informal employment (NSSO 2014: 27).

3. TRENDS

3.1 TRENDS IN INFORMAL SECTOR

The percentage of workers in the informal sector (defined as percentage of workers engaged in P & P enterprises among workers engaged in AGEGC and non-agriculture sectors) has decreased over time from 78% in 2004-05 (61st round) to 72% in 2011-12 (68th round) (calculated from statement 3.8 of NSSO (2014: 51)). The decline for males was about 3 percentage points and that for females was about 11 percentage points. Looking at the state wise decline from Table 1, we see that most states show a decline in percentage of workers engaged in the informal sector. Bihar shows a decline of 14.6 percentage points while Haryana, Nagaland and Rajasthan show a decline of nearly 10 percentage points. Union Territories (Dadra and Nagar Haveli and Daman and Diu) show a significant increase in percentage of workers in the informal sector. Some states also show an increase in percentage of workers in the informal sector. The most significant increase is for Arunachal Pradesh (15 percentage points). The change is usually higher for females than for males across most of the states.

The proportion of workers in the informal sector among workers engaged in AGEGC and non- agriculture sectors for different statuses in employment² across states is presented in Table 2. Between 2004-05 and 2011-12, among the self-employed in AGEGC and non-agriculture sectors, the proportion of workers employed in the informal sector increased by about 1 percentage point in both rural and urban areas (NSSO 2014: 55). The change in percentage of informal sector workers across the two surveys shows a mixed pattern for among those who are self employed among most of the states but it has increased at the all India level. For regular wage/salaried employees the change in percentage of informal sector workers broadly shows a decline across most states/union territories. It has also declined at the all India level by about 1 percentage point.

3.2 TRENDS IN INFORMAL EMPLOYMENT

To get an idea about the volume of informal employment in the country, NSSO collects information on different conditions of employment of the employees (i.e., regular wage/salaried employees and casual labourers) in the usual status like, whether there was any written job contract in the employment, whether employees were eligible for the paid leave, whether employees were covered under social security benefits, etc., for those employed in AGEGC and non-agriculture sectors (NSSO 2014: 71). To see the trends in informal employment I examine the change across states in the two rounds for some of these variables. I begin by examining the percentage of employees with a written job contract. At the all India level there has been an increase in percentage of employees with no written job contracts in the non-agricultural and AGEGC enterprises. There has been an increase across males and females even though the percentage point increase for males is higher than that for females. In 2004-05 the percentage was nearly same for males and females while it is marginally higher by about 2 percentage points for males in 20011-12. Most states show an increasing trend in males and females without formal job contracts with the increase being higher for males. Table 3 presents the results across the states/union territories.

I then look at the change in nature of employment across the two surveys. Table 4 presents the trends across states and the 2 surveys. Nature of employment was defined to be permanent if the person was, in normal course, likely to continue in the same employment (NSSO 2014: 76). The percentage of temporary employees in the in the AGEGC and non-agriculture sectors decreased from 46% in 2004-05 to 42% in 2011-12. Not all states show this decrease though: Assam, Bihar, Chhattisgarh, Haryana, Punjab and Uttarakhand show an increase in the percentage of temporary employees with Chhattisgarh showing the highest increase of 14 percentage points. Additionally, the decrease is more uniform for males than it is for females across states. Some states that show a decrease or a marginal increase for males show a large increase in percentage of females in temporary employment. In the survey paid leave included the cases of leave during sickness, maternity or such leaves as the employee was eligible to take without loss of pay as per the conditions of employment. This is a good indicator of the informal nature of employment. Table 5 presents the comparison of percent of workers eligible for paid leaves across the states in the two survey years. At all India level there has been an increase in the percentage of employees (66% to 71%) not eligible for paid leave pointing towards a rise in informal employment. Manipur shows a very steep increase (30 percentage points) followed by Uttar Pradesh and Jammu & Kashmir. This increase is nearly uniform for males and females across states. Finally I look at the percentage of employees not eligible for any social security benefits. The survey considers Provident Fund (PF) scheme, gratuity and, health care & maternity benefits as forms of social security benefits. Non- availability of social security benefits is a measure of

¹ Employees are considered to have informal jobs if their employment relationship is, in law or in practice, not subject to national labour legislation, income taxation, social protection or entitlement to certain employment benefits (advance notice of dismissal, severance pay, paid annual or sick leave, etc.). The reasons may be the following: non- declaration of the jobs or the employees; casual jobs or jobs of a limited short duration; jobs with hours of work or wages below a specified threshold (e.g. for social security contributions); employment by unincorporated enterprises or by persons in households; jobs where the employees place of work is outside the premises of the employers enterprise (e.g. outworkers without employment contract); or jobs, for which labour regulations are not applied, not enforced, or not complied with for any other reason. The operational criteria for defining informal jobs of employees are to be determined in accordance with national circumstances and data availability.

² Status in employment (code): self-employed - 11, 12, 21; regular wage/ salaried employees 31; casual labour in public works - 41; casual labour in works other than public works - 51.

³ The term Provident Fund included General Provident Fund, Contributory Provident Fund, Public Provident Fund (PPF), Employees Provident Fund, etc. If an employee operated a PPF account in his/her individual capacity and the employer was not contributing to that account, it was not considered as availability of social security benefit. A scheme, in which both the employee and the employer contributed, was considered a social security benefit. Similarly, if an employee was eligible for paid leave for a specified period of pre-natal/childbirth/post-natal stages or if the expenditure for maternity care or childbirth was met by the employer as per the conditions of employment, then such benefits were considered as health care and maternity benefits.

insecurity/informality in the job one performs. The percentage of employees not eligible for any social security benefits in the non-agricultural and AGEGC enterprises has increased marginally by about 1 percentage point across the 2 survey years. The increase is the same for both males and females but there is no distinct pattern across the states.

4. CONCLUSIONS

A large percentage of the workforce in India is either in the informal sector or is informally employed. In 2011-12 72% of workers were employed in the informal sector with a larger proportion of males as compared to females working in the informal sector. In terms of status of employment the percentage of self-employed workers in the informal sector shows a slight increase between the two survey years while the percentage of regular wage/salaried workers shows a comparable decrease. Working conditions seem to have worsened for informally employed workers. Per cent of the employees in the AGEGC and non-agriculture sectors with no written job contract has increased and so has the proportion of employees not eligible for paid leave. The proportion of employees with no social security benefits has also increased between 2004-05 and 2011-12. The pattern is similar for males and females but is not so uniform across states. All this points towards a rise in informal employment. Given the large labour force in India, policy makers need to think about ways to provide skills to workers and also aid transition into the formal sector/economy. Further research can compare states that have experienced a decline in informality with those that have experienced an increase and look into policies/schemes that might have caused this.

REFERENCES

- 1. Chen, M. A., Jhabvala, R., and Lund, F. (2002), "Supporting Workers in the Informal Economy: A Policy Framework," ILO Employment Sector Working Paper on the Informal Economy, No 2002/2, International Labour Organization, Geneva.
- 2. Dhanya M.B (2013), "Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and Informal Economy in India: Trends, Initiatives and Challenges," NLI Re- search Studies Series No. 105/2013
- 3. International Labour Organization. (1993), Resolution concerning statistics of employment in the informal sector in Fifteenth International Conference of Labour Statisticians (Geneva 19-28 January 1993) Report of the Conference. Geneva: ILO.
- International Labour Organization. (2003), Guidelines concerning a statistical definition of informal employment in Seventeenth International Conference
 of Labour Statisticians (Geneva 24 November 3 December 2003), Report of the Conference (Geneva, doc. ICLS 17/2003/R.) International Labour
 Organization and WIEGO. (2013) Women and Men in the Informal Economy: A Statistical Picture (Second Edition). Geneva: ILO.
- 5. Naik, Ajaya Kumar. (2009)," Informal Sector and Informal Workers in India," Paper Prepared for the Special IARIW-SAIM Conference on Measuring the Informal Economy in Developing Countries Kathmandu, Nepal.
- 6. NSSO. (2007): Informal Sector and Conditions of Employment in India: NSS 64th Round (July 2004-June 2005), Report no 519 (Parts 1 and 2), National Sample Survey Office (NSSO), Government of India.
- NSSO. (2014): Informal Sector and Conditions of Employment in India: NSS 68th Round (July 2011-June 2012) Report no 557, National Sample Survey Office (NSSO), Government of India.
- 8. Rustagi, P. (2015), "Informal Employment Statistics: Some Issues, Economic and Political Weekly," Volume 50 no. 6, February 2015



TABLES

TABLE 1: PERCENTAGE OF WORKERS ENGAGED IN P&P ENTERPRISES AMONG WORKERS OF AGEGC AND NON-AGRICULTURE SECTORS

| | 61 st round (2004-05) | | | | 68 th round (2011-12) | | |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|--------|---------------|------|----------------------------------|---------------|--|
| State | Male | Female | Total Persons | Male | Female | Total Persons | |
| Andhra Pradesh | 81.8 | 81.4 | 81.7 | 76.2 | 74 | 75.6 | |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 14.2 | 8.5 | 13.2 | 30.2 | 18.5 | 28.3 | |
| Assam | 62.2 | 48.2 | 60.4 | 62.6 | 40.1 | 60.3 | |
| Bihar | 81.6 | 82.6 | 81.7 | 67.1 | 67.7 | 67.1 | |
| Chhattisgarh | 70.7 | 70.2 | 70.6 | 67.8 | 58.6 | 65.3 | |
| Delhi | 69.3 | 32.4 | 65 | 66.1 | 43.3 | 62.8 | |
| Goa | 67 | 55 | 63.9 | 40.9 | 20.6 | 35.8 | |
| Gujarat | 76.5 | 84.4 | 78.9 | 74.4 | 77.9 | 75.1 | |
| Haryana | 71.4 | 92.1 | 78.8 | 65.8 | 77.8 | 68.3 | |
| Himachal Pradesh | 50.7 | 70.3 | 55.9 | 45.4 | 57.4 | 48.8 | |
| Jammu & Kashmir | 63.9 | 83.5 | 68.1 | 68.7 | 84.6 | 72.4 | |
| Jharkhand | 67.7 | 68.2 | 67.8 | 69.7 | 70 | 69.7 | |
| Karnataka | 78.6 | 76.4 | 78 | 69.8 | 65.3 | 68.8 | |
| Kerala | 82.5 | 70.6 | 78.8 | 82.1 | 57.5 | 74.7 | |
| Madhya Pradesh | 67.9 | 61.3 | 66.4 | 69 | 65.5 | 68.3 | |
| Maharashtra | 71.9 | 64.2 | 70.2 | 66.4 | 58 | 64.7 | |
| Manipur | 48 | 70.2 | 56.2 | 57.1 | 49 | 53.8 | |
| Meghalaya | 52.5 | 47 | 50.3 | 55.7 | 43.6 | 51.8 | |
| Mizoram | 33.7 | 58.7 | 41.6 | 38.1 | 54.4 | 43.5 | |
| Nagaland | 45.5 | 58.8 | 48.9 | 36.6 | 48.4 | 38.8 | |
| Odisha | 74.3 | 79.9 | 75.9 | 71.4 | 74.4 | 72.1 | |
| Punjab | 79 | 90 | 83.2 | 80.8 | 86.1 | 82.3 | |
| Rajasthan | 83.6 | 89 | 85.3 | 77 | 69.6 | 74.9 | |
| Sikkim | 52.6 | 51.4 | 52.3 | 49.7 | 50.4 | 49.9 | |
| Tamil Nadu | 80.9 | 81.2 | 81 | 77.7 | 58.1 | 71.2 | |
| Tripura | 48.4 | 35.8 | 46.8 | 60.9 | 25.3 | 50.5 | |
| Uttarakhand | 66.8 | 86.5 | 72.5 | 76.6 | 68 | 75.4 | |
| Uttar Pradesh | 81.5 | 90.5 | 83.9 | 79.2 | 86.2 | 80.6 | |
| West Bengal | 78.8 | 77.7 | 78.5 | 79.7 | 75.4 | 78.6 | |
| A & N Islands | 53.6 | 43.3 | 51.5 | 54.1 | 40.5 | 50.6 | |
| Chandigarh | 56 | 33.6 | 52.1 | 59.8 | 45.2 | 57.6 | |
| Dadra & Nagar Haveli | 41.7 | 59.2 | 45.6 | 31.6 | 16.2 | 29.2 | |
| Daman & Diu | 59.2 | 54 | 58.2 | 23 | 45.6 | 25 | |
| Lakshadweep | 51.4 | 50.9 | 51.3 | 47.8 | 30.3 | 45.3 | |
| Puducherry | 75.1 | 77.2 | 75.7 | 71.3 | 64.4 | 69.6 | |
| All India | 76.7 | 79.7 | 77.5 | 73.4 | 69.2 | 72.4 | |

Source: Statement 3.8.1 NSSO (2014: 102) and Statement 3.8.1 NSSO (2007: 74)



TABLE 2: PERCENTAGE OF INFORMAL SECTOR (P & P) WORKERS AMONG TOTAL WORKERS ACCORDING TO USUAL STATUS IN NON-AGRICULTURAL SECTOR
AND AGEGC SECTOR FOR EACH DETAILED USUAL EMPLOYMENT STATUS

| | 61 st round (2004-05) 68 th roun | | | | | ıd (2011-12) | | |
|----------------------|--|------|------|---------|------|--------------|--|--|
| | Status in Employment | | | | t | | | |
| State | 11 - 21 | 31 | 51 | 11 - 21 | 31 | 51 | | |
| Andhra Pradesh | 96.4 | 48.4 | 86.8 | 97.6 | 48.4 | 87.2 | | |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 34.9 | 4.1 | 30.4 | 71.7 | 7 | 35.1 | | |
| Assam | 93.1 | 19.4 | 34.2 | 89.6 | 20.1 | 39.6 | | |
| Bihar | 90.6 | 37.9 | 80 | 91.3 | 29.9 | 49.6 | | |
| Chhattisgarh | 94.4 | 37.9 | 80.8 | 93.3 | 29.7 | 80.6 | | |
| Delhi | 98.4 | 45.9 | 73.1 | 96.5 | 46.1 | 53.2 | | |
| Goa | 100 | 38.4 | 80.3 | 94.2 | 13 | 19.3 | | |
| Gujarat | 99.8 | 43.9 | 86.4 | 99.5 | 49.6 | 80 | | |
| Haryana | 97.3 | 43 | 69.5 | 97.6 | 30.5 | 78.2 | | |
| Himachal Pradesh | 94.2 | 18.6 | 46.6 | 94.9 | 18.9 | 41.8 | | |
| Jammu & Kashmir | 96.4 | 25.1 | 65.2 | 97.3 | 32.9 | 85.5 | | |
| Jharkhand | 91.7 | 27.5 | 64.4 | 93.7 | 27.8 | 74 | | |
| Karnataka | 98.4 | 43.9 | 83.1 | 98.3 | 34.7 | 82.3 | | |
| Kerala | 97.3 | 42.3 | 84.2 | 98 | 44.8 | 84.7 | | |
| Madhya Pradesh | 93 | 23.3 | 72.8 | 98.7 | 32 | 68.3 | | |
| Maharashtra | 96.9 | 41.8 | 83 | 98 | 37.1 | 82.6 | | |
| Manipur | 81 | 10.7 | 67.2 | 94.5 | 13.8 | 55.9 | | |
| Meghalaya | 86 | 8.8 | 67.3 | 88.6 | 11 | 59.1 | | |
| Mizoram | 87.6 | 5.7 | 64.3 | 93.3 | 10.7 | 75 | | |
| Nagaland | 81.1 | 6.5 | 52 | 94 | 5.1 | 37.4 | | |
| Odisha | 94.4 | 29.9 | 72 | 96.8 | 31.6 | 63.7 | | |
| Punjab | 98.1 | 52.6 | 82.7 | 98.6 | 53.9 | 93.1 | | |
| Rajasthan | 98.9 | 52.5 | 85.1 | 98 | 45.7 | 81.4 | | |
| Sikkim | 98.1 | 25.7 | 76.3 | 99.6 | 23.7 | 53.3 | | |
| Tamil Nadu | 99.8 | 51.9 | 91.1 | 99.2 | 50.1 | 88.5 | | |
| Tripura | 80.1 | 16.4 | 34.2 | 94.5 | 21 | 54.6 | | |
| Uttarakhand | 94.3 | 26.5 | 84.3 | 99.5 | 35.8 | 86.5 | | |
| Uttar Pradesh | 95.3 | 47.9 | 83.9 | 97.9 | 44.2 | 82.4 | | |
| West Bengal | 95.7 | 36.1 | 83.1 | 98.4 | 39 | 81.3 | | |
| A & N Islands | 99.8 | 6.5 | 68.9 | 99.2 | 25.9 | 75.1 | | |
| Chandigarh | 96.8 | 29.6 | 75.8 | 98.5 | 27.5 | 84.2 | | |
| Dadra & Nagar Haveli | 100 | 14.9 | 75.9 | 100 | 13.3 | 94.2 | | |
| Daman & Diu | 99.7 | 24.8 | 35.4 | 100 | 11.7 | 82.3 | | |
| Lakshadweep | 97 | 0 | 82.6 | 72.8 | 7.1 | 88.1 | | |
| Puducherry | 99.8 | 45.3 | 87.8 | 100 | 42.1 | 98.2 | | |
| All India | 96.3 | 42.3 | 80.7 | 97.4 | 40.9 | 78.7 | | |

Source: Statement 3.10.1 NSSO (2014: 111) and Statement 3.10.1 NSSO (2007: 83)

11-21:self employed, 31:regular wage/ salaried employees, 51:casual labour in works other than public works



TABLE 3: PERCENTAGE OF EMPLOYEES WITH NO WRITTEN JOB CONTRACT AMONG EMPLOYEES ENGAGED IN THE NON-AGRICULTURAL AND AGEGC ENTERPRISES

| | 61 st round (2004-05) | | 68 th round (2011-12) | | | |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|--------|----------------------------------|------|--------|---------------|
| State | Male | Female | Total Persons | Male | Female | Total Persons |
| Andhra Pradesh | 77.5 | 79.4 | 77.9 | 85.8 | 87.1 | 86.1 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 43.8 | 51.8 | 45.3 | 54.4 | 56.2 | 54.7 |
| Assam | 67.6 | 67.5 | 67.6 | 74.1 | 58 | 72 |
| Bihar | 66.9 | 63.7 | 66.5 | 79.3 | 44.1 | 77.2 |
| Chhattisgarh | 69.3 | 77.7 | 71 | 70.5 | 73.4 | 71.4 |
| Delhi | 78 | 62.3 | 75.9 | 62.6 | 60.2 | 62.2 |
| Goa | 75 | 76.9 | 75.5 | 73.4 | 72.7 | 73.2 |
| Gujarat | 76.9 | 82 | 77.9 | 82.4 | 77.3 | 81.6 |
| Haryana | 78.4 | 74.8 | 78 | 77.7 | 63.5 | 76 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 74.7 | 59.5 | 72.4 | 65.3 | 50.2 | 62.3 |
| Jammu & Kashmir | 78.3 | 78.7 | 78.3 | 80.4 | 73.6 | 79.6 |
| Jharkhand | 74.9 | 80.6 | 75.8 | 81.1 | 77.5 | 80.7 |
| Karnataka | 71.9 | 63.4 | 70.1 | 76.9 | 76.6 | 76.8 |
| Kerala | 83.4 | 68.9 | 79.5 | 86.8 | 68.5 | 81.2 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 67.1 | 81.9 | 70.4 | 73.4 | 81.2 | 74.8 |
| Maharashtra | 61.5 | 63.1 | 61.8 | 63.4 | 71.5 | 65 |
| Manipur | 57 | 48.3 | 55.2 | 58.8 | 70.7 | 63.7 |
| Meghalaya | 73.6 | 69.2 | 72.1 | 72.2 | 57.3 | 67.8 |
| Mizoram | 41.9 | 36.3 | 40.7 | 55.2 | 57.7 | 55.9 |
| Nagaland | 48.3 | 56 | 49.7 | 45 | 57.7 | 46.7 |
| Odisha | 69.5 | 75.5 | 70.7 | 78.2 | 80.2 | 78.6 |
| Punjab | 79.1 | 72.7 | 78.2 | 85.2 | 73.4 | 83.5 |
| Rajasthan | 78.8 | 77.5 | 78.6 | 87.1 | 87.5 | 87.2 |
| Sikkim | 45.6 | 44.3 | 45.3 | 40 | 42.3 | 40.5 |
| Tamil Nadu | 77 | 81.2 | 78.1 | 87.6 | 87.8 | 87.7 |
| Tripura | 70.7 | 68 | 70.3 | 83.6 | 83.5 | 83.6 |
| Uttarakhand | 64.6 | 58 | 63.6 | 69.5 | 48.6 | 67.4 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 77.1 | 66.7 | 76.2 | 86.2 | 71.1 | 84.8 |
| West Bengal | 73.9 | 78.2 | 74.7 | 76.1 | 72.2 | 75.4 |
| A & N Islands | 39.3 | 38 | 39 | 52.4 | 47.4 | 51.1 |
| Chandigarh | 96.6 | 99.9 | 97.3 | 68 | 63.8 | 67.4 |
| Dadra & Nagar Haveli | 98.9 | 100 | 99.1 | 84.8 | 68 | 81.9 |
| Daman & Diu | 74.7 | 91.4 | 77.1 | 89.1 | 70.7 | 87.8 |
| Lakshadweep | 53.7 | 14.6 | 51 | 52.2 | 25.7 | 48.3 |
| Puducherry | 78.6 | 87.3 | 80.3 | 84.7 | 78.3 | 83.2 |
| All India | 73.7 | 73.6 | 73.7 | 79.3 | 77.1 | 78.9 |

Source: Statement 3.19.1 NSSO (2014: 138) and Statement 3.19.1 NSSO (2007: 107)



TABLE 4: PERCENTAGE OF TEMPORARY EMPLOYEES AMONG EMPLOYEES ENGAGED IN THE NON-AGRICULTURAL AND AGEGC ENTERPRISES

| | 61 st round (2004-05) | | | | 8 th round (| |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|--------|---------------|------|-------------------------|---------------|
| State | Male | Female | Total Persons | Male | Female | Total Persons |
| Andhra Pradesh | 39.5 | 38.2 | 39.2 | 34.1 | 42 | 35.9 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 37.2 | 40.6 | 37.8 | 31.3 | 45.6 | 33.6 |
| Assam | 36.8 | 42.4 | 37.7 | 46.5 | 37.6 | 45.3 |
| Bihar | 49.1 | 36.2 | 47.5 | 56.6 | 49 | 56.1 |
| Chhattisgarh | 28.2 | 32 | 29 | 42.7 | 46.7 | 43.9 |
| Delhi | 57.1 | 50.6 | 56.2 | 42.6 | 47.9 | 43.5 |
| Goa | 55.1 | 62.2 | 57.1 | 29.3 | 43.9 | 33.5 |
| Gujarat | 42 | 63.6 | 46.3 | 38.3 | 48.5 | 40 |
| Haryana | 53.4 | 52.2 | 53.3 | 57.2 | 43.9 | 55.6 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 36.4 | 42.9 | 37.4 | 15.6 | 28.5 | 18.3 |
| Jammu & Kashmir | 37.9 | 33.9 | 37.6 | 38.1 | 38.7 | 38.2 |
| Jharkhand | 47.9 | 57.2 | 49.5 | 41.6 | 47 | 42.2 |
| Karnataka | 45 | 49.6 | 45.9 | 27.6 | 32.8 | 28.7 |
| Kerala | 50.4 | 46.7 | 49.4 | 39.8 | 44.7 | 41.3 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 44.1 | 50.6 | 45.6 | 56 | 65.2 | 57.6 |
| Maharashtra | 40.9 | 43.9 | 41.5 | 31.4 | 33.8 | 31.9 |
| Manipur | 17.6 | 31.6 | 20.4 | 17.4 | 41.5 | 27.2 |
| Meghalaya | 23.2 | 29.6 | 25.4 | 24.4 | 19.8 | 23 |
| Mizoram | 15.5 | 16.1 | 15.6 | 16.2 | 44.5 | 23.9 |
| Nagaland | 12.4 | 26.4 | 15.1 | 7.7 | 30 | 10.8 |
| Odisha | 49.4 | 59.1 | 51.4 | 33.6 | 52.1 | 37 |
| Punjab | 48 | 50.2 | 48.3 | 53.6 | 37.4 | 51.3 |
| Rajasthan | 51.7 | 61 | 53.2 | 43.5 | 53.5 | 45.8 |
| Sikkim | 45.9 | 42.7 | 45.2 | 16.9 | 19.6 | 17.5 |
| Tamil Nadu | 38.8 | 42.4 | 39.7 | 42.5 | 57.4 | 47.3 |
| Tripura | 29.3 | 34.1 | 30 | 20.3 | 35.8 | 25.6 |
| Uttarakhand | 27.4 | 31.5 | 28 | 35.5 | 26.5 | 34.6 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 50.1 | 51.9 | 50.2 | 48.7 | 51 | 48.9 |
| West Bengal | 47.1 | 54.9 | 48.5 | 37.8 | 45.1 | 39.1 |
| A & N Islands | 42.3 | 39.8 | 41.8 | 34.2 | 37.1 | 35 |
| Chandigarh | 41 | 42.3 | 41.3 | 23.1 | 16.5 | 22.2 |
| Dadra & Nagar Haveli | 36.5 | 87.1 | 46.3 | 24.6 | 34.7 | 26.3 |
| Daman & Diu | 49.6 | 79.3 | 53.9 | 4.4 | 24.5 | 5.8 |
| Lakshadweep | 43.2 | 25.3 | 41.9 | 46.3 | 51.1 | 47 |
| Puducherry | 72 | 86.2 | 74.8 | 55.5 | 65.3 | 57.9 |
| All India | 44.9 | 48.2 | 45.5 | 41.1 | 46.4 | 42.1 |

Source: Statement 3.21.1 NSSO (2014: 141) and Statement 3.21.1 NSSO (2007: 110)



TABLE 5: PERCENTAGE OF EMPLOYEES NOT ELIGIBLE FOR PAID LEAVE AMONG EMPLOYEES ENGAGED IN THE NON-AGRICULTURAL AND AGEGC ENTERPRISES

| | 61 st round (2004-05) | | | 68 th round (2011-12) | | |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|--------|---------------|----------------------------------|--------|---------------|
| State | Male | Female | Total Persons | Male | Female | Total Persons |
| Andhra Pradesh | 68.8 | 72.4 | 69.7 | 69.3 | 79 | 71.5 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 20.3 | 24.9 | 21.1 | 24 | 38.2 | 26.4 |
| Assam | 53.5 | 59.7 | 54.5 | 64.5 | 60.7 | 64.1 |
| Bihar | 62 | 65.5 | 62.4 | 73.9 | 55.9 | 72.8 |
| Chhattisgarh | 58.4 | 65.9 | 60 | 70.7 | 73.5 | 71.5 |
| Delhi | 66.6 | 45.7 | 63.8 | 51.2 | 36 | 48.6 |
| Goa | 52.9 | 57 | 54 | 36.3 | 46.8 | 39.4 |
| Gujarat | 63.3 | 74.7 | 65.6 | 73.7 | 69.8 | 73.1 |
| Haryana | 65.1 | 64 | 65 | 65.8 | 50.3 | 64 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 64.3 | 44.8 | 61.3 | 68.2 | 71 | 68.8 |
| Jammu & Kashmir | 55.9 | 54.1 | 55.7 | 68.3 | 58.2 | 67.2 |
| Jharkhand | 70.6 | 81.3 | 72.4 | 77.6 | 68.4 | 76.6 |
| Karnataka | 64.2 | 61.7 | 63.7 | 58 | 53.1 | 57 |
| Kerala | 79.5 | 61.9 | 74.8 | 81.3 | 67.4 | 77 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 62.2 | 75.5 | 65.2 | 69.3 | 76.3 | 70.6 |
| Maharashtra | 59.6 | 62.1 | 60.1 | 60.6 | 66.8 | 61.8 |
| Manipur | 27.5 | 43.2 | 30.6 | 46.8 | 87.9 | 63.7 |
| Meghalaya | 45.1 | 30.9 | 40.3 | 53.4 | 32.8 | 47.3 |
| Mizoram | 20.6 | 24.6 | 21.4 | 30.5 | 49.2 | 35.6 |
| Nagaland | 9.7 | 16.3 | 10.9 | 13.7 | 27.2 | 15.6 |
| Odisha | 64.6 | 73 | 66.3 | 76.3 | 76.4 | 76.3 |
| Punjab | 69.8 | 53.5 | 67.4 | 79.3 | 69.4 | 77.9 |
| Rajasthan | 76.9 | 78.4 | 77.2 | 80.6 | 84.9 | 81.6 |
| Sikkim | 52.1 | 48.3 | 51.3 | 44.4 | 45.2 | 44.6 |
| Tamil Nadu | 68.5 | 73.2 | 69.6 | 70 | 77.8 | 72.5 |
| Tripura | 68.4 | 64.9 | 67.9 | 83.1 | 89.6 | 85.3 |
| Uttarakhand | 51.5 | 47.8 | 51 | 64.3 | 46.2 | 62.4 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 70.2 | 56.9 | 69 | 83.6 | 74.7 | 82.8 |
| West Bengal | 61 | 58.6 | 60.6 | 70.2 | 65.1 | 69.3 |
| A & N Islands | 44.7 | 48.1 | 45.3 | 58.6 | 65.8 | 60.5 |
| Chandigarh | 39.5 | 37.4 | 39.1 | 45.9 | 24.2 | 42.9 |
| Dadra & Nagar Haveli | 70.3 | 93.9 | 74.8 | 81.3 | 68 | 79.1 |
| Daman & Diu | 57 | 77.1 | 59.9 | 52.7 | 37.1 | 51.6 |
| Lakshadweep | 54.6 | 13.7 | 51.8 | 52.1 | 37.6 | 50 |
| Puducherry | 69.3 | 79.4 | 71.3 | 68.8 | 84.8 | 72.7 |
| All India | 65.9 | 66.2 | 66 | 71.3 | 70.7 | 71.2 |

Source: Statement 3.22 NSSO (2014: 144) and Statement 3.22.1 NSSO (2007: 113)



TABLE 6: PERCENTAGE OF EMPLOYEES NOT ELIGIBLE FOR ANY SOCIAL SECURITY BENEFIT AMONG EMPLOYEES ENGAGED IN THE NON-AGRICULTURAL AND AGEGC ENTERPRISES

| | 61 st round (2004-05) | | 68 th round (2011-12) | | | |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|--------|----------------------------------|------|--------|---------------|
| State | Male | Female | Total Persons | Male | Female | Total Persons |
| Andhra Pradesh | 75.6 | 72.3 | 74.8 | 69.3 | 80.1 | 71.8 |
| Arunachal Pradesh | 24.5 | 38.5 | 27.1 | 20.8 | 30.7 | 22.5 |
| Assam | 55 | 61.7 | 56.1 | 58.6 | 54.6 | 58 |
| Bihar | 65.2 | 74.9 | 66.4 | 69.6 | 59.1 | 69 |
| Chhattisgarh | 66.9 | 75.2 | 68.6 | 75.7 | 85.9 | 78.7 |
| Delhi | 66.9 | 54.8 | 65.2 | 53.2 | 39.3 | 50.8 |
| Goa | 52.3 | 55.2 | 53.1 | 33.5 | 45.4 | 36.9 |
| Gujarat | 69.3 | 85.6 | 72.5 | 74.6 | 76.2 | 74.8 |
| Haryana | 69.1 | 73.1 | 69.5 | 66.4 | 56.8 | 65.2 |
| Himachal Pradesh | 65.2 | 55.2 | 63.7 | 67.8 | 61.1 | 66.4 |
| Jammu & Kashmir | 54.8 | 59.8 | 55.3 | 68.5 | 65.8 | 68.2 |
| Jharkhand | 71 | 82.4 | 72.9 | 76.3 | 71.5 | 75.8 |
| Karnataka | 69.3 | 67.1 | 68.9 | 61.1 | 56.9 | 60.2 |
| Kerala | 81.9 | 67.4 | 78 | 83.7 | 70.3 | 79.6 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 69.2 | 84.9 | 72.7 | 68.7 | 77.7 | 70.3 |
| Maharashtra | 64.7 | 70 | 65.8 | 62.2 | 68.4 | 63.4 |
| Manipur | 30.2 | 53.7 | 34.9 | 38.1 | 77.4 | 54.2 |
| Meghalaya | 49.2 | 40.6 | 46.3 | 50.8 | 40 | 47.6 |
| Mizoram | 19.7 | 23.9 | 20.6 | 28.5 | 30.9 | 29.1 |
| Nagaland | 10.9 | 26.8 | 13.9 | 11.8 | 31.6 | 14.6 |
| Odisha | 66.9 | 77.4 | 69.1 | 72.7 | 79.5 | 73.9 |
| Punjab | 75 | 65.8 | 73.7 | 79.7 | 74.8 | 79 |
| Rajasthan | 79.8 | 84.3 | 80.5 | 81.9 | 86.3 | 82.9 |
| Sikkim | 54.1 | 50.7 | 53.4 | 50.6 | 53.3 | 51.2 |
| Tamil Nadu | 72.2 | 77.1 | 73.4 | 73.7 | 80.8 | 76 |
| Tripura | 71 | 70.4 | 70.9 | 83 | 89.4 | 85.2 |
| Uttarakhand | 56.7 | 60.9 | 57.3 | 66.9 | 56 | 65.8 |
| Uttar Pradesh | 73.7 | 73 | 73.6 | 80.4 | 81.5 | 80.5 |
| West Bengal | 67.9 | 78 | 69.7 | 74.7 | 76.9 | 75.1 |
| A & N Islands | 45.8 | 49.2 | 46.5 | 56.5 | 65.6 | 58.9 |
| Chandigarh | 46.7 | 44.8 | 46.3 | 49 | 28.2 | 46.1 |
| Dadra & Nagar Haveli | 71.6 | 93.1 | 75.7 | 37.1 | 70.4 | 42.7 |
| Daman & Diu | 66.1 | 77.1 | 67.7 | 17.4 | 58.8 | 20.2 |
| Lakshadweep | 51 | 13.7 | 48.4 | 55.4 | 60.1 | 56.1 |
| Puducherry | 66.5 | 74.4 | 68.1 | 68.4 | 82.5 | 71.8 |
| All India | 70.3 | 73.7 | 70.9 | 71.8 | 74.3 | 72.2 |

Source: Statement 3.24.1 NSSO (2014: 147) and Statement 3.24.1 NSSO (2007: 116)



REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

Dear Readers

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce, Economics & Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you tosupply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue as well as on the journal as a whole, on our E-mailinfoijrcm@gmail.com for further improvements in the interest of research.

If youhave any queries please feel free to contact us on our E-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-

Co-ordinator

DISCLAIMER

The information and opinions presented in the Journal reflect the views of the authors and not of the Journal or its Editorial Board or the Publishers/Editors. Publication does not constitute endorsement by the journal. Neither the Journal nor its publishers/Editors/Editorial Board nor anyone else involved in creating, producing or delivering the journal or the materials contained therein, assumes any liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information provided in the journal, nor shall they be liable for any direct, indirect, incidental, special, consequential or punitive damages arising out of the use of information/material contained in the journal. The journal, neither its publishers/Editors/Editorial Board, nor any other party involved in the preparation of material contained in the journal represents or warrants that the information contained herein is in every respect accurate or complete, and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such material. Readers are encouraged to confirm the information contained herein with other sources. The responsibility of the contents and the opinions expressed in this journal are exclusively of the author (s) concerned.

ABOUT THE JOURNAL

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active cooperation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.







