INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE, IT & MANAGEMENT



A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed (Refereed/Juried) Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

Indexed & Listed at:

Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, ProQuest, U.S.A., Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A., Google Scholar,

n Citation Index (ICI), J-Gage, India [link of the same is duly available at Inflibnet of University Grants Commission (University Grants Commission (Unidex Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland with IC Value of 5.09 (2012) & number of libraries all around the world.

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than 6575 Cities in 197 countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

Ground Floor, Building No. 1041-C-1, Devi Bhawan Bazar, JAGADHRI – 135 003, Yamunanagar, Haryana, INDIA

CONTENTS

Sr. No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page No.	
1.	A REVIEW ON FACTORS AFFECTING ADOPTION OF MOBILE BANKING		
	SHILPA BIDANI & Dr. M. S. SENAM RAJU		
2.	EFFECT OF NON-PERFORMING ASSETS ON BANKING SECTOR: A STUDY ON STATE BANK OF INDIA Dr. JYOTIRMOY KOLEY	6	
3.	A STUDY ON THE PROVISIONS OF NOTIONAL RENT IN INDIAN INCOME TAX	10	
	VARANASI. RAHUL		
4.	A STUDY OF CUSTOMER BEHAVIOR TOWARDS TECHNOLOGY IN BANKING SECTOR: A STUDY ON DHARWAD CITY	13	
	PAVANKUMAR U D & MEGHA G PATIL		
5.	IMPACT OF PANDEMIC INDUCED LOCKDOWN ON STOCK MARKET PERFORMANCE WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO INDIAN EQUITY MARKET	19	
	Dr. RAVINDRA		
	REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK & DISCLAIMER	23	

FOUNDER PATRON

Late Sh. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Former State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana Former Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri Former President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

CO-ORDINATOR

Dr. BHAVET

Former Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Engineering & Technology, Urjani

ADVISOR.

Prof. S. L. MAHANDRU

Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

EDITOR.

Dr. PARVEEN KUMAR

Professor, Department of Computer Science, NIMS University, Jaipur

CO-EDITOR

Dr. A. SASI KUMAR

Professor, Vels Institute of Science, Technology & Advanced Studies (Deemed to be University), Pallavaram

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

Dr. S. P. TIWARI

Head, Department of Economics & Rural Development, Dr. Ram Manohar Lohia Avadh University, Faizabad

Dr. CHRISTIAN EHIOBUCHE

Professor of Global Business/Management, Larry L Luing School of Business, Berkeley College, USA

Dr. SIKANDER KUMAR

Vice Chancellor, Himachal Pradesh University, Shimla, Himachal Pradesh

Dr. JOSÉ G. VARGAS-HERNÁNDEZ

Research Professor, University Center for Economic & Managerial Sciences, University of Guadalajara, Guadalajara, Mexico

Dr. TEGUH WIDODO

Dean, Faculty of Applied Science, Telkom University, Bandung Technoplex, Jl. Telekomunikasi, Indonesia

Dr. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Professor, School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

Dr. A SAJEEVAN RAO

Professor & Director, Accurate Institute of Advanced Management, Greater Noida

Dr. D. S. CHAUBEY

Professor & Dean (Research & Studies), Uttaranchal University, Dehradun

Dr. CLIFFORD OBIYO OFURUM

Professor of Accounting & Finance, Faculty of Management Sciences, University of Port Harcourt, Nigeria

Dr. KAUP MOHAMED

Dean & Managing Director, London American City College/ICBEST, United Arab Emirates

Dr. VIRENDRA KUMAR SHRIVASTAVA

Director, Asia Pacific Institute of Information Technology, Panipat

Dr. MIKE AMUHAYA IRAVO

Principal, Jomo Kenyatta University of Agriculture & Tech., Westlands Campus, Nairobi-Kenya

Dr. SYED TABASSUM SULTANA

Principal, Matrusri Institute of Post Graduate Studies, Hyderabad

Dr. BOYINA RUPINI

Director, School of ITS, Indira Gandhi National Open University, New Delhi

Dr. NEPOMUCENO TIU

Chief Librarian & Professor, Lyceum of the Philippines University, Laguna, Philippines

Dr. SANJIV MITTAL

Professor & Dean, University School of Management Studies, GGS Indraprastha University, Delhi

Dr. RAJENDER GUPTA

Convener, Board of Studies in Economics, University of Jammu, Jammu

Dr. SHIB SHANKAR ROY

Professor, Department of Marketing, University of Rajshahi, Rajshahi, Bangladesh

Dr. SRINIVAS MADISHETTI

Professor, School of Business, Mzumbe University, Tanzania

Dr. NAWAB ALI KHAN

Professor & Dean, Faculty of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

MUDENDA COLLINS

Head, Operations & Supply Chain, School of Business, The Copperbelt University, Zambia

Dr. EGWAKHE A. JOHNSON

Professor & Director, Babcock Centre for Executive Development, Babcock University, Nigeria

Dr. A. SURYANARAYANA

Professor, Department of Business Management, Osmania University, Hyderabad

P. SARVAHARANA

Asst. Registrar, Indian Institute of Technology (IIT), Madras

Dr. MURAT DARÇIN

Associate Dean, Gendarmerie and Coast Guard Academy, Ankara, Turkey

Dr. ABHAY BANSAL

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engg. & Tech., Amity University, Noida

Dr. YOUNOS VAKIL ALROAIA

Head of International Center, DOS in Management, Semnan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Semnan, Iran

WILLIAM NKOMO

Asst. Head of the Department, Faculty of Computing, Botho University, Francistown, Botswana

Dr. JAYASHREE SHANTARAM PATIL (DAKE)

Faculty in Economics, KPB Hinduja College of Commerce, Mumbai

SHASHI KHURANA

Associate Professor, S. M. S. Khalsa Lubana Girls College, Barara, Ambala

Dr. SEOW TA WEEA

Associate Professor, Universiti Tun Hussein Onn Malaysia, Parit Raja, Malaysia

Dr. OKAN VELI ŞAFAKLI

Professor & Dean, European University of Lefke, Lefke, Cyprus

Dr. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, Government College, Hodal

Dr. BORIS MILOVIC

Associate Professor, Faculty of Sport, Union Nikola Tesla University, Belgrade, Serbia

Dr. LALIT KUMAR

Course Director, Faculty of Financial Management, Haryana Institute of Public Administration, Gurugram

Dr. MOHAMMAD TALHA

Associate Professor, Department of Accounting & MIS, College of Industrial Management, King Fahd University of Petroleum & Minerals, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia

Dr. V. SELVAM

Associate Professor, SSL, VIT University, Vellore

Dr. IQBAL THONSE HAWALDAR

Associate Professor, College of Business Administration, Kingdom University, Bahrain

Dr. PARDEEP AHLAWAT

Associate Professor, Institute of Management Studies & Research, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak

Dr. ALEXANDER MOSESOV

Associate Professor, Kazakh-British Technical University (KBTU), Almaty, Kazakhstan

Dr. ASHOK KUMAR CHAUHAN

Reader, Department of Economics, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra

Dr. BHAVET

Former Faculty, Shree Ram Institute of Engineering & Technology, Urjani

YU-BING WANG

Faculty, department of Marketing, Feng Chia University, Taichung, Taiwan

SURJEET SINGH

Faculty, Department of Computer Science, G. M. N. (P.G.) College, Ambala Cantt.

Dr. TITUS AMODU UMORU

Professor, Kwara State University, Kwara State, Nigeria

Dr. RAJESH MODI

Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Dr. SAMBHAVNA

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

Dr. THAMPOE MANAGALESWARAN

Faculty, Vavuniya Campus, University of Jaffna, Sri Lanka

Dr. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE

Faculty, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga **SURAJ GAUDEL**

BBA Program Coordinator, LA GRANDEE International College, Simalchaur - 8, Pokhara, Nepal

FORMER TECHNICAL ADVISOR

AMITA

FINANCIAL ADVISORS

DICKEN GOYAL

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

NEENA

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

LEGAL ADVISORS

JITENDER S. CHAHAL

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

SUPERINTENDENT

SURENDER KUMAR POONIA

1.

Nationality

CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to the recent developments & practices in the areas of Computer Science & Applications; Commerce; Business; Finance; Marketing; Human Resource Management; General Management; Banking; Economics; Tourism Administration & Management; Education; Law; Library & Information Science; Defence & Strategic Studies; Electronic Science; Corporate Governance; Industrial Relations; and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Rural Economics; Co-operation; Dewelopment Planning; Development Studies; Applied Economics; Development Economics; Business Economics; Monetary Policy; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Political Science; Continuing Education; Labour Welfare; Philosophy; Psychology; Sociology; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law; Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labour Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; International Relations; Human Rights & Duties; Public Administration; Population Studies; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism & Hospitality; Transportation Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic; Web Design and emerging paradigms in allied subjects.

Anybody can submit the **soft copy** of unpublished novel; original; empirical and high quality **research work/manuscript anytime** in **M.S. Word format** after preparing the same as per our **GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION**; at our email address i.e. **infoijrcm@gmail.com** or online by clicking the link **online submission** as given on our website (**FOR ONLINE SUBMISSION, CLICK HERE**).

GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT

	<u> </u>
COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:	2.552
	DATED:
THE EDITOR	
IJRCM	
Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF	
(e.g. Finance/Mkt./HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/	/IT/ Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, please
specify)	
DEAR SIR/MADAM	
Please find my submission of manuscript titled 'your journals.	
I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore fully or partly, nor it is under review for publication elsewhere.	e, it has neither been published anywhere in any language
I affirm that all the co-authors of this manuscript have seen the submitted v their names as co-authors.	ersion of the manuscript and have agreed to inclusion of
Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I agree to comply with the formalitie discretion to publish our contribution in any of its journals.	es as given on the website of the journal. The Journal has
NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR	:
Designation/Post*	:
Institution/College/University with full address & Pin Code	:
Residential address with Pin Code	:
Mobile Number (s) with country ISD code	:
Is WhatsApp or Viber active on your above noted Mobile Number (Yes/No)	:
Landline Number (s) with country ISD code	:
E-mail Address	:
Alternate E-mail Address	:

^{*} i.e. Alumnus (Male Alumni), Alumna (Female Alumni), Student, Research Scholar (M. Phil), Research Scholar (Ph. D.), JRF, Research Assistant, Assistant Lecturer, Lecturer, Senior Lecturer, Junior Assistant Professor, Assistant Professor, Senior Assistant Professor, Co-ordinator, Reader, Associate Professor, Professor, Head, Vice-Principal, Dy. Director, Principal, Director, Dean, President, Vice Chancellor, Industry Designation etc. <u>The qualification of author is not acceptable for the purpose</u>.

NOTES:

- a) The whole manuscript has to be in **ONE MS WORD FILE** only, which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript. <u>pdf.</u> <u>version</u> is liable to be rejected without any consideration.
- b) The sender is required to mention the following in the SUBJECT COLUMN of the mail:
 - **New Manuscript for Review in the area of** (e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Mgt./Engineering/Economics/Computer/IT/Education/Psychology/Law/Math/other, please specify)
- c) There is no need to give any text in the body of the mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any **specific message** w.r.t. to the manuscript.
- d) The total size of the file containing the manuscript is expected to be below 1000 KB.
- e) Only the **Abstract will not be considered for review** and the author is required to submit the **complete manuscript** in the first instance.
- f) The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email within twenty-four hours and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of the manuscript, within two days of its submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending a separate mail to the journal.
- g) The author (s) name or details should not appear anywhere on the body of the manuscript, except on the covering letter and the cover page of the manuscript, in the manner as mentioned in the guidelines.
- 2. MANUSCRIPT TITLE: The title of the paper should be typed in **bold letters**, **centered** and **fully capitalised**.
- 3. AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS: Author (s) name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline number (s), and email/alternate email address should be given underneath the title.
- 4. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS: Acknowledgements can be given to reviewers, guides, funding institutions, etc., if any.
- 5. **ABSTRACT**: Abstract should be in **fully Italic printing**, ranging between **150** to **300 words**. The abstract must be informative and elucidating the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a **SINGLE PARA**. **Abbreviations must be mentioned in full**.
- 6. **KEYWORDS:** Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of **five**. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stop at the end. All words of the keywords, including the first one should be in small letters, except special words e.g. name of the Countries, abbreviations etc.
- 7. **JEL CODE:** Provide the appropriate Journal of Economic Literature Classification System code (s). JEL codes are available at www.aea-web.org/econlit/jelCodes.php. However, mentioning of JEL Code is not mandatory.
- 8. **MANUSCRIPT:** Manuscript must be in <u>BRITISH ENGLISH</u> prepared on a standard A4 size <u>PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER</u>. It should be free from any errors i.e. grammatical, spelling or punctuation. It must be thoroughly edited at your end.
- 9. **HEADINGS**: All the headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
- 10. **SUB-HEADINGS**: All the sub-headings must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
- 11. MAIN TEXT:

THE MAIN TEXT SHOULD FOLLOW THE FOLLOWING SEQUENCE:

INTRODUCTION

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

OBJECTIVES

HYPOTHESIS (ES)

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

RESULTS & DISCUSSION

FINDINGS

RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS

CONCLUSIONS

LIMITATIONS

SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

REFERENCES

APPENDIX/ANNEXURE

The manuscript should preferably be in **2000** to **5000 WORDS**, But the limits can vary depending on the nature of the manuscript.

- 12. **FIGURES & TABLES:** These should be simple, crystal **CLEAR**, **centered**, **separately numbered** & self-explained, and the **titles must be above the table/figure**. **Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure**. *It should be ensured that the tables/figures are*referred to from the main text.
- 13. **EQUATIONS/FORMULAE:** These should be consecutively numbered in parenthesis, left aligned with equation/formulae number placed at the right. The equation editor provided with standard versions of Microsoft Word may be utilised. If any other equation editor is utilised, author must confirm that these equations may be viewed and edited in versions of Microsoft Office that does not have the editor.
- 14. **ACRONYMS**: These should not be used in the abstract. The use of acronyms is elsewhere is acceptable. Acronyms should be defined on its first use in each section e.g. Reserve Bank of India (RBI). Acronyms should be redefined on first use in subsequent sections.
- 15. **REFERENCES**: The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. *The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript* and they may follow Harvard Style of Referencing. Also check to ensure that everything that you are including in the reference section is duly cited in the paper. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
- All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
- Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
- When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc., in chronologically ascending order.
- Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
- The title of books and journals should be in italic printing. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
- For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parenthesis.
- Headers, footers, endnotes and footnotes should not be used in the document. However, you can mention short notes to elucidate some specific point, which may be placed in number orders before the references.

PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:

BOOKS

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

• Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

• Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

CONFERENCE PAPERS

• Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19–23

UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS

Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

ONLINE RESOURCES

Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

WEBSITES

• Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp

A STUDY ON THE PROVISIONS OF NOTIONAL RENT IN INDIAN INCOME TAX

VARANASI. RAHUL ASST. PROFESSOR DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE K.B.N. DEGREE COLLEGE VIJAYAWADA

ABSTRACT

This paper is a study on the applicability of the provisions of section 22 of income tax law, to analyse whether the exemption under the provision is applicable to the partner owner of the firm, i.e the individual whose property is occupied by the firm, in which he was a partner, and provide that premises at free of cost. The paper studies the provisions of section 22 of Indian Income Tax law, legal decisions in favour and opposing the decisions of allowing exemptions under above said section, and grounds of final judgement given by Kolkata court in Prodip Kumar Bothra v. CIT (2011) case in this regard.

KEYWORDS

exemption under section 22, owner - partner, deemed rent, notional rent.

JEL CODE

H21

INTRODUCTION

he provisions of Sec.22 of Income Tax Act,1961, provides that, annual value of property occupied by the assesse for his own business and such business income is chargeable to Income tax, then the annual value of that property held for own business shall be exempted from chargeability under Income tax law.

But in the present case, the question is that, whether the above exemption is applicable equally to the partner of a partnership firm who provides his own residential property at free of rent to the partnership firm in which he is a partner.

Lot of discussions were done in different legal case studies on the above question. Different decisions and different views were presented by different legal authorities. But at last in 2011, judgement given in Prodip Kumar Bothra Vs. Commissioner of Income-Tax, Kolkata Income Tax Appeal No. 742 Of 2004 (15-07-2011), it was held that Annual value of the property which was rented even at free of cost to the firm, by the individual who is also a partner in the firm, shall be taxable in the hands of that partner and no exemption U/s 22 is available to him.

The reasons behind the legal decisions of allowing the exemptions of that individual partner was quoted by Mr. Banerjee, who acted as Advocate on behalf of Revenue. And it is advisable to individual partner to receive rent for such property, from the partner firm, even though he is a partner in that firm. Provisions of Income tax law has allowed such rent as deduction for that firm, which is also a indirect financial benefit for the partner who is the owner of the Property occupied.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Karnataka vs. K.N. Guruswamy, reported in (1983) 146 ITR 34: If the property or a portion of it, owned by an assessee, is occupied by him for the purpose of his own business or profession, or a property owned by a firm is used for its business, such assessee is entitled to exemption under section 22. In the view that we have taken, the contention urged for the Revenue should prevail, and the Tribunal's finding that the assessee is entitled to exemption under Section 22 should be rejected.

Commissioner of Income-tax vs. K.M. Jagannathan, reported in (1989) 180 ITR 191:....the tribunal was right in the view it took for the purpose of sec 22. Of the Act, the business carried on by the firm should be regarded as being carried all the partners and therefore, no income from the property should be computed in respect of the portion of the property in respect of the portion under the occupation of the firm in the computation of the income from the property in the hands of the assessee – partner.

Commissioner of Income-tax vs. P.M. Thomas, reported in (1990) 181 ITR 256:.....the assessee is carrying on business in partnership the profits of which are chargeable to income tax. It cannot be stated that the assessee is not occupying the building for the purpose of his business. The business is done in partnership, the other partners are occupying the ground floor along with the assessee and the partnership business is carried on by him along with all the partners. Therefore, we are of the view that the annual letting value of the ground floor of the building belonging to the assessee which is in occupation of a firm in which the assessee is a partner is not includable in the income of the assessee under section 22 of the Act and, consequently, the reopening of the assessment is not suitable.

Commissioner of Income-tax vs. Rabindranath Bhol, reported in (1995) 211 ITR 799: in the case in hand, we are of the considered opinion that the literal construction put by Karnataka high court to sec 22 of Income tax act works out gross injustice and does not subserve the object of the legislation. In the aforesaid premises, we respectively differ with the views expressed by the Karnataka High court and agree with the conclusion and reasoning's adopted by the Gujarat High court, and hold that the income from a house property owned by the assessee-partner and used in the business carried on by the partnership firm in which the assessee is a partner would qualify for exemption provided in sec 22 of the income tax act 1961.

Prodip Kumar Bothra v. CIT (2011) 62 DTR (Cal) 47:after taking into consideration the overall position of a partnership firm in the light of the Income tax Act, 1961 we are of the view that the exemption under Section 22 of the Act in respect of a property not owned by the partnership firm cannot be availed of by an individual co-owner merely because he happens to be a partner of a firm in occupation of a part of the property.

RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

- 1. To study the provisions of sec 22 of Indian Income Tax Act, 1961.
- 2. To study the applicability of exemption under sec. 22 of IT Act, 1961 to Partner owner.
- 3. To suggest to the Partner owner in case of non applicability of sec. 22 of IT Act, 1961.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The present research study is a blend of secondary data and the major source of data was used from different websites of legal decisions, government portal of Income tax, website of business magazines etc. and it is a descriptive and exploratory research method which has been used to analyses the secondary data collected, in order to conclude whether the provisions of section 22 are applicable to owner partner of a firm.

STUDY ON THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 22, OF INDIAN INCOME TAX LAW, REGARDING ALLOWANCE OF EXEMPTION ON ANNUAL VALUE OF PROPERTY OF THE PARTNER, WHICH WAS OCCUPIED BY THE FIRM IN WHICH HE IS A PARTNER

As per section 22 of Income Tax Act, 1961, "The annual value of property consisting of any buildings or lands appurtenant thereto of which the assessee is the owner, other than such portions of such property as he may occupy for the purposes of any business or profession carried on by him the profits of which are chargeable to income-tax, shall be chargeable to income-tax under the head "Income from house property".

Here we have to have a look on some vital points, which are as follows;

- 1) What is taxable?
- 2) Who is charged to tax?
- 3) When it will be exempted?

Unlike charging section of other heads of income, the charging section of House property income provides the provisions for both taxability and exemption.

Two peculiar points what we can observe in the above charging section 22 of IT Act, 1961 are, firstly, the income charged to Taxability is Annual value of the property, but not the income or profit as per the provisions of charging sections of other heads of income.

And the second point was that, the owner of the property shall be charged to tax, even though he is not the actual receiver of the income, as provided in the charging section of the head. "Income from House property".

So, as discussed above, what is taxable is the Annual Value of the property after deductions allowed were adjusted. And who is charged to tax, is the owner of the property. The exemption which is available to the owner, only if he carries on business in his own premises, which profit is subject to income tax.

It is clear that exemption can be claimed by the assessee, if he occupies his property for his own business, so the annual value of such property occupied for his business purpose is not charged to tax.

But the key point under the study of the present paper is, the question raises the same exemption is available to the owner of the house property, if that house is occupied by the partnership firm, in which the owner one of the partners.

Legal provisions in favor of applicability of exemption to such owner – partner are,

As per the provisions of section 4 of Partnership act, 1932 - "Partnership" is the relation between persons who have agreed to share the profits of a business carried on by all or any of them acting for all. And also, with reference to provisions of Section 5 of Partnership Act, 1932- status of partner, the relation of partnership arises from contract and not from status;

By elaborating the above provisions of partnership act, it was clear that, a Partner ship firm is formed by a contract between two or more partners to carryon the same business. And it did not have any separate legal status or legal entity. So, partner and partnership firm both and same and not distinct persons.

As per the provisions of section 25, of Partnership act, 1932 - Every partner is liable jointly with all the other partners and also severally, for all acts of the firm done while he is a partner. And as per the provisions of section 26 of Partnership act, 1932,- Where, by the wrongful act or omission of a partner acting in the ordinary course of the business of a firm or with the authority of his partners, loss or injury is caused to any third party, or any penalty is incurred, the firm is liable therefor to the same extent as the partner.

According to the provisions of section 25 and 26 of the said partnership act, 1932 – Firm is liable for conduct of a partner and at the same time partner is also liable for the conduct of Firm. They both are liable for mis conduct of each other. And in the same way, it seems to be that, they both are not distinct persons. As they both are liable for the misconduct of any of them, either the firm or a partner, the exemption under section 22 cannot be disallowed by showing the partner and firm as distant persons.

The same was held in, Commissioner of Income-tax vs. Rabindranath Bhol, reported in (1995) - in the eye of law, a firm is compendious expression indicate that several persons constituting that firm are carrying on a business. But the compendious expression cannot give to the firm a legal entity or a legal existence.

And as per the provisions of section 28, of partnership act, 1932 - a partner is the agent of the firm for the purposes of the business of the firm.

It was also held in the same case, that – one partner is agent of the other in carrying out that business. Consequently, when a partnership carries on business, each partner therefor must be said to be carrying out that business.

One of the crucial characteristics of Partnership firm is "Unlimited liability", according to which a partner is personally liable for losses of a firm. So, as per just and equity, if the losses of firm have to be borne by individual partner, then the exemption of section 22 which is said to be allowed to partner in individual status only, should also be allowed to the partnership firm, in which he is a partner. And the unlimited liability characteristic also makes the sense that partner and firm are not distinct.

Legal decisions of court of law, against the applicability of exemption to such owner – partner are,

As per the judgement given in Prodip Kumar Bothra v. CIT (2011) case, a partner who is the owner of the property occupied by the firm, in which he was also a partner, exemption under section 22, of the Income Tax Act, 1961 is not allowed.

The following are the grounds under which above legal decision was given.

- 1) To get exemption under section 22, the property should be owned by the partnership firm, but not by the partner.
- 2) The owner and occupier must be same person.
- 3) To claim exemption, the property occupied by the owner for business, and such business profit shall be chargeable under the hands of that owner.

In the legal judgment of, Commissioner of Income-Tax, vs K.N. Guruswamy, the court has followed the decisions of Delhi court in Bhai Sunder Dass & Sons v. CIT and also the decision of Calcutta high court in, Sarvamangala Properties Ltd. v. CIT [1973] 90 ITR 267, that, "property owned by a firm has to be treated as property of the firm and liable to be taxed in respect of income from property in its assessment and not in the assessment of its partners.

Based on the above issues, raised by Mr. Banerjee, Advocate who argued on behalf of Revenue department, Court has issued the judgement, that, the exemption under section 22 of the act in respect of property not owned by the partnership firm cannot be availed, by an individual co-owner merely because he is the partner of the firm, which occupied for its business operations.

A COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF PROVISIONS APPLICABLE BETWEEN AN INDIVIDUAL AND SAME INDIVIDUAL AS PARTNER IN A FIRM

Case A: - If an individual Mr. Z is Carrying his business in a portion of his house, and the business is chargeable to income tax, then the provisions of Section 22 of Income Tax Act, 1961 shall be applicable and Mr. Z will get exemption from taxability of Annual value of the property used for carrying his business.

And he shall not be eligible for claiming deduction under section 30, because charging rent for own property is a notional rent and such notional rent is disallowed for claiming deduction by Mr. Z.

Case B: - If the same individual Mr. Z is carrying his business in leased property, there is no question of Section 22 and he can deduct the amount of rent paid to the owner under section 30 of Income Tax Act, 1961.

Case C: - If the individual Mr. Z is a partner in a firm M/S XYZ, and Allowed a portion of his own house property to carryon business of same firm and not charging any amount of rent, then the annual value of that portion shall be taxable as "Deemed income" and he cannot claim exemption under section 22 of Income Tax, Act,1961 as per the judgement given in, Shri Prodip Kumar Bothra v. Commissioner of Income-Tax, Kolkata case.

Here in this case, Mr. Z will not get any rental income, but he shall be chargeable to house property tax as deemed income.

Case D: - If the individual Mr. Z, constitute a firm M/S XYZ in which he is a partner, and allowed a portion of his own property to carryon business of same firm but charged some amount of rent from the firm, then deduction of rent paid under section 30 shall be allowed to the firm and the annual value of the property shall be taxable under House property to Mr. Z as in above case.

Here both the firm and partner will get benefited and can avoid negative effect of the provisions of "deemed rent".

ANALYSIS OF TAX SAVINGS UNDER SEC 22, AVAILABLE TO AN ASSESSEE IN INDIVIDUAL STATUS AND PARTNERSHIP STATUS

On comparing all the above four cases, A, B, C and D, the last one is beneficial to both the individual Mr. Z and the firm M/S XYZ. But when it will be beneficial to both. That means, at what amount of rent if Mr. Z charges from M/S XYZ, both will get benefited. It must be more than the annual value of the property but at what amount.

RECOMMENDATION AND SOLUTION

For a total income more than Rs. 10 lakhs after deduction of notional rent at an amount of more than Rs. 52100, per month, which is allowable as deduction to the firm, then the tax liability of the Partnership firm will be less than the tax liability of the individual owner partner.

Test check of above solution

In case the individual Mr. Z carried on his business in his own premises in individual capacity: -

TABLE 1

Individual Assessee (Mr. Z)	Amount (Rs.)	
Total Income Before deduction of notional rent	15,00,000	(More than Rs. 10,00,000)
Less:- Notional rent (not allowed as deduction)	nil	
Total income After deduction of notional rent	15,00,0000	
Tax liability of Individual Assessee Mr. Z	2,62,500	Refer tax calculation, Table: - 2

TABLE 2

Tax calculation;	Amount (Rs.)	
up to Rs. 2,50,000 nil		
Rs. 2,50,000 to Rs. 5,00,000 tax at a rate of 5%	12,500	(5,00,000 – 2,50,000) X 5%
Rs. 5,00,000 to Rs. 10,00,000 tax at a rate of 20%	1,00,000	(10,00,000 – 5,00,000) X 20%
Above Rs. 10,00,000 tax will be at a rate of 30%	1,50,000	(15,00,000-5,00,000) X 30%
Total tax liability	2,62,500	

In case the individual Mr. Z constituted a firm and carry on his business in his own premises charging rent for the premises:

TABLE 3

Assessee as a partnership firm (M/S XYZ)	Amount (Rs.)		
Total Income Before deduction of notional rent	15,00,000	(More than Rs. 10,00,000)	
Less: - Notional rent (allowed as deduction)	6,36,000	(monthly rent of Rs.53000 more than Rs.52100)	
Total income After deduction of notional rent	8,64,000		
Tax liability of Individual Assessee Mr. Z	2,59,200	(30% of Rs. 8,64,000)	

So, here, tax liability of Firm Rs.2,59,200 is less than the tax liability of Individual assessee Rs.2,62,500.

So, it was proved that the suggestion of constituting a firm and leasing the property to that firm is beneficial to individual assessee and firm, rather than continuing the business as individual status.

SUGGESTIONS

It is common in practice of partnership firm, providing some partner's premises as principal place of business, to reduce the rental expenditure to be paid it the same business operations were carried in any other building or it may block more capital in property, if any building was purchased.

Here, according to the layman knowledge, who thinks firm's business as his own business, in ignorance of law, the owner partner will not charge any rent for the building occupied by the firm to carry on its business operations. But as said in the above case law of, Prodip Kumar Bothra v. CIT (2011), the annual value of such rented property shall be charged to house property tax in the hands of that partner-owner as deemed income.

So, to avoid the negative effects of this deemed income provisions, we can adopt the provisions of section 30 of income tax act,1961, under the head "Profit or gains from business or profession". Which allows deductions of rent paid to the partner for occupying his premises for business operations. And such rent should be more than the annual value of the property.

It benefits to the firm for claiming deduction and get tax savings and for the partner owner, as he is getting rent amount, even though it is taxable under the head "House property"

REFERENCES

- Commissioner of Income-tax vs. K.M. Jagannathan, reported in (1989) 180 ITR 191. Viewed as on 08-09-2020 https://www.casemine.com/judge-ment/in/5608ffb5e4b0149711152755
- Commissioner of Income-tax vs. P.M. Thomas, reported in (1990) 181 ITR 256. Viewed as on 08-09-2020 https://www.casemine.com/judge-ment/in/56094e80e4b014971128151e
- Commissioner of Income-tax vs. Rabindranath Bhol, reported in (1995) 211 ITR 799. Viewed as on 08-09-2020 https://www.casemine.com/judge-ment/in/560988bfe4b014971137eae3
- 4. Commissioner Of Income-Tax,vs K.N. Guruswamy. Viewed as on 08-09-2020 https://indiankanoon.org/doc/1900047/
- 5. Section 22, Income-tax Act, 1961-2020. viewed as on 08-09- 2020 https://www.incometaxindia.gov.in/pages/acts/income-tax-act.aspx
- 6. Section 30, Income-tax Act, 1961-2020. viewed as on 08-09- 2020 https://www.incometaxindia.gov.in/pages/acts/income-tax-act.aspx
- 7. Shri prodip kumar bothra v. commissioner of income-tax, Kolkata, viewed as on 08-09- 2020 https://www.casemine.com/judge-ment/in/56ea808b607dba36fd0b7153#:~:text=Commissioner%200f%20Income%2DTax%2C%20Kolkata,-The%20Judg-ment%20of&text=Bhaskar%20Bhattacharya%2C%20J.%3A%E2%80%94,tax%20Appeal%20being%20ITA%20No.
- 8. V.K Subramani (2011): "Unending dispute on property income", Business line, Published as on November 27th, 2011.

REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

Dear Readers

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce, IT & Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue, as well as on the journal as a whole, on our e-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries, please feel free to contact us on our e-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward to an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-

Co-ordinator

DISCLAIMER

The information and opinions presented in the Journal reflect the views of the authors and not of the Journal or its Editorial Board or the Publishers/Editors. Publication does not constitute endorsement by the journal. Neither the Journal nor its publishers/Editors/Editorial Board nor anyone else involved in creating, producing or delivering the journal or the materials contained therein, assumes any liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information provided in the journal, nor shall they be liable for any direct, incidental, special, consequential or punitive damages arising out of the use of information/material contained in the journal. The journal, neither its publishers/Editors/ Editorial Board, nor any other party involved in the preparation of material contained in the journal represents or warrants that the information contained herein is in every respect accurate or complete, and they are not responsible for any errors or omissions or for the results obtained from the use of such material. Readers are encouraged to confirm the information contained herein with other sources. The responsibility of the contents and the opinions expressed in this journal are exclusively of the author (s) concerned.

ABOUT THE JOURNAL

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active cooperation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.

Our Other Fournals





