

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF RESEARCH IN COMMERCE, IT & MANAGEMENT

I
J
R
C
M



A Monthly Double-Blind Peer Reviewed Refereed Open Access International e-Journal - Included in the International Serial Directories

Indexed & Listed at:

Ulrich's Periodicals Directory ©, ProQuest, U.S.A., EBSCO Publishing, U.S.A., Index Copernicus Publishers Panel, Poland,

Open J-Gate, India [link of the same is duly available at Infibnet of University Grants Commission (U.G.C.)]

as well as in Cabell's Directories of Publishing Opportunities, U.S.A.

Circulated all over the world & Google has verified that scholars of more than Hundred & Thirty Two countries/territories are visiting our journal on regular basis.

Ground Floor, Building No. 1041-C-1, Devi Bhawan Bazar, JAGADHRI – 135 003, Yamunanagar, Haryana, INDIA

www.ijrcm.org.in

CONTENTS

Sr. No.	TITLE & NAME OF THE AUTHOR (S)	Page No.
1.	BRAND THEOLOGY: CONDITIONING AND CONFIGURING CONSUMER BEHAVIOUR ANM FARUKH	1
2.	IMPACT OF EMPLOYEE SATISFACTION AND UNION – MANAGEMENT RELATION ON ENHANCED CUSTOMER SATISFACTION- REGRESSION ANALYSIS: A STUDY OF ANDHRA PRADESH STATE ROAD TRANSPORT CORPORATION (A.P.S.R.T.C) A. R. VIJAYA CHANDRAN, DR. MOHAMMED ABBAS ALI & DR. V. M. PRASAD	5
3.	A STUDY OF THE ATTITUDE OF THE YOUTH TOWARDS ADOPTION OF INTERNET ENTERPRENEURSHIP IN NIGERIA DR. I C NWAIZUGBO & V N O AGHARA	7
4.	THE IMPACT OF SMALL BUSINESS MANAGEMENT ON SOCIETAL MARKETING PRACTICES IN LAGOS MEGA CITY, NIGERIA DR. HALIRU BALA	11
5.	IMPACT OF HUMAN ERROR IN MAINTENANCE MANAGEMENT AND MINIMIZING METHODOLOGY N. K. K. PRASANNA & TUSHAR N. DESAI	15
6.	INTERPERSONAL RELATIONSHIP-AN ATTEMPT AT QUANTIFYING IT T K PARAMESWARAN NAIR	21
7.	PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL SYSTEM IN TEXTILE INDUSTRY WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO TIRUPUR- AN EXPLORATORY STUDY DR. S. KUPPUSAMY, E.DEEPA & M. STELLA	27
8.	MANAGERIAL PERCEPTION TOWARDS INDUSTRIAL SUBSIDY AND ITS IMPACT ON INDUSTRIALIZATION IN UTTARAKHAND: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY DR. D S CHAUBEY, SIDHESWAR PATRA & PRAVEEN KUKRETI	33
9.	EMPLOYEE'S DISSONANCE TOWARDS SAFETY, HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT (SHE) IN CONFECTIONERY INDUSTRY DR.MU.SUBRAHMANIAN & P. RENGANATHAN	40
10.	ACCEPTANCE AND USAGE OF MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM (MIS) IN SMALL SCALE INDUSTRIES C.G. RAMACHANDRA & T.R. SRINIVAS	43
11.	DEVELOPING RIGHT HUMAN EQUATION BY SELF KNOWLEDGE FOR CHANGE MANAGEMENT: LEARNING FROM INDIAN MYTHOLOGY DR. K. V. ALIAS. BALAJI, DR. M.SIVAGNANASUNDARAM & BIDYANAND JHA	47
12.	A STUDY ON WORK- LIFE BALANCE AMONG WOMEN TEACHERS WORKING IN SELF-FINANCING ENGINEERING INSTITUTIONS S.PATTU MEENAKSHI & DR. K. RAVICHANDRAN	51
13.	THE EFFECT OF TEAM PROCESS AND KEY COMPENSATION FACTORS WHILE MOTIVATING HIGH PERFORMANCE IN PHARMACEUTICAL SALES TEAMS DR. SURENDRA KUMAR	56
14.	SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT IN TWO WHEELER INDUSTRY - A STUDY ON HERO HONDA AND BAJAJ AUTO SUPPLY CHAIN PRACTICES R.VENKATESHWAR RAO.	61
15.	OPTIMUM PERFORMANCE OF TURMERIC EXTRACTION FIRMS: AN INPUT-OUTPUT ANALYSIS V.ABIRAMI & DR. HANSA LYSANDER MANOHAR	67
16.	ANALYSIS OF PERSISTENCY IN THE MONTHLY COIMBATORE RAINFALL TAMIL SELVI .S & SAMUEL SELVARAJ. R	71
17.	PROS AND CONS OF IMPLEMENTING EMPLOYEE EMPOWERMENT IN SERVICE SECTOR- A META ANALYSIS OF RESEARCH LITERATURE ELIZABETH GEORGE & DR. ZAKKARIYA K.A.	73
18.	STUDY OF CONSUMER AWARENESS ABOUT E-BANKING SERVICES AND ITS APPLICATION IN SELECT AREA OF PUNE CITY KRISHNA MOHAN SHARMA & VINEETA DEOLIA	77
19.	CSR – A NEW ROLE ENTRUSTED TO EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS PRAGATI CHAUHAN & YOGITA SHARMA	80
20.	A STUDY ON EFFECTIVENESS OF CAPITAL STRUCTURE AMONG SELECTED PRIVATE TEXTILE COMPANIES IN INDIA VIVEK SUBRAMANIAM	84
21.	IMPACT OF GLOBAL FINANCIAL CRISIS ON BUSINESS CYCLES IN DEVELOPING ASIA AND THE DECOUPLING HYPOTHESIS DR. RAVI SINGLA	91
22.	SYSTEMATIC RISK AND RETURN ANALYSIS IN SECURITY MARKET NIVEDHITA.J & REVATHI.P	97
23.	ASSETS FORMATION AND BUSINESS IN PUNJAB NATIONAL BANK: A CASE STUDY NAMITA MAINI	102
24.	GOVERNANCE AND RESPONSIBILITY - A JOINT VENTURE (WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO TATA) RADHAKRISHNA MISHRA & MALAVIKA PATTNAIK	105
25.	FACTORS EFFECTING READING DECISION OF PRINT ADVERTISEMENT: AN EXPLORATORY AND EXPERIMENTAL STUDY ANUPAMA SUNDAR & JATIN PANDEY	108
26.	WORKING CAPITAL MANAGEMENT AND PROFITABILITY –A CASE STUDY OF BALRAMPUR CHINNI MILLS LIMITED DR. P. C. NARWARE	111
27.	ROLE OF ICT MICRO ENTERPRISES ON WOMEN DEVELOPMENT IN KERALA DR. C.S. SIVA PRAKASH	115
28.	ENTREPRENEURSHIP AMONG RURAL WOMEN -A STUDY IN ANDHRA PRADESH DR. NANU LUNAVATH	122
29.	BUSINESS EXCELLENCE MODELS: QUANTIFYING THE IMPLEMENTATION AND MATURITY LEVEL – A STATISTICAL APPROACH RUCHIK GANDHI & JUBIN MEHTA	130
30.	STUDENT'S ATTITUDE TOWARDS APPLICATION OF STATISTICS: A STUDY OF UNIVERSITY OF JAMMU ANJU THAPA & ANKUSH BHARTI	135
	REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK	138

CHIEF PATRON

PROF. K. K. AGGARWAL

Chancellor, Lingaya's University, Delhi
Founder Vice-Chancellor, Guru Gobind Singh Indraprastha University, Delhi
Ex. Pro Vice-Chancellor, Guru Jambheshwar University, Hisar

PATRON

SH. RAM BHAJAN AGGARWAL

Ex. State Minister for Home & Tourism, Government of Haryana
Vice-President, Dadri Education Society, Charkhi Dadri
President, Chinar Syntex Ltd. (Textile Mills), Bhiwani

CO-ORDINATOR

AMITA

Faculty, Government M. S., Mohali

ADVISORS

DR. PRIYA RANJAN TRIVEDI

Chancellor, The Global Open University, Nagaland

PROF. M. S. SENAM RAJU

Director A. C. D., School of Management Studies, I.G.N.O.U., New Delhi

PROF. M. N. SHARMA

Chairman, M.B.A., Haryana College of Technology & Management, Kaithal

PROF. S. L. MAHANDRU

Principal (Retd.), Maharaja Agrasen College, Jagadhri

EDITOR

PROF. R. K. SHARMA

Professor, Bharti Vidyapeeth University Institute of Management & Research, New Delhi

CO-EDITOR

DR. BHAVET

Faculty, M. M. Institute of Management, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana

EDITORIAL ADVISORY BOARD

DR. RAJESH MODI

Faculty, Yanbu Industrial College, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

PROF. SANJIV MITTAL

University School of Management Studies, Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

PROF. ANIL K. SAINI

Chairperson (CRC), Guru Gobind Singh I. P. University, Delhi

DR. SAMBHAVNA

Faculty, I.I.T.M., Delhi

DR. MOHENDER KUMAR GUPTA

Associate Professor, P. J. L. N. Government College, Faridabad

DR. SHIVAKUMAR DEENE

Asst. Professor, Dept. of Commerce, School of Business Studies, Central University of Karnataka, Gulbarga

MOHITA

Faculty, Yamuna Institute of Engineering & Technology, Village Gadholi, P. O. Gadholi, Yamunanagar

ASSOCIATE EDITORS**PROF. NAWAB ALI KHAN**

Department of Commerce, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, U.P.

PROF. ABHAY BANSAL

Head, Department of Information Technology, Amity School of Engineering & Technology, Amity University, Noida

PROF. A. SURYANARAYANA

Department of Business Management, Osmania University, Hyderabad

DR. ASHOK KUMAR

Head, Department of Electronics, D. A. V. College (Lahore), Ambala City

DR. SAMBHAV GARG

Faculty, M. M. Institute of Management, Maharishi Markandeshwar University, Mullana, Ambala, Haryana

PROF. V. SELVAM

SSL, VIT University, Vellore

DR. PARDEEP AHLAWAT

Reader, Institute of Management Studies & Research, Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak

S. TABASSUM SULTANA

Associate Professor, Department of Business Management, Matrusri Institute of P.G. Studies, Hyderabad

SURJEET SINGH

Asst. Professor, Department of Computer Science, G. M. N. (P.G.) College, Ambala Cantt.

TECHNICAL ADVISOR**AMITA**

Faculty, Government H. S., Mohali

MOHITA

Faculty, Yamuna Institute of Engineering & Technology, Village Gadholi, P. O. Gadholi, Yamunanagar

FINANCIAL ADVISORS**DICKIN GOYAL**

Advocate & Tax Adviser, Panchkula

NEENA

Investment Consultant, Chambaghat, Solan, Himachal Pradesh

LEGAL ADVISORS**JITENDER S. CHAHAL**

Advocate, Punjab & Haryana High Court, Chandigarh U.T.

CHANDER BHUSHAN SHARMA

Advocate & Consultant, District Courts, Yamunanagar at Jagadhri

SUPERINTENDENT**SURENDER KUMAR POONIA**

CALL FOR MANUSCRIPTS

We invite unpublished novel, original, empirical and high quality research work pertaining to recent developments & practices in the area of Computer, Business, Finance, Marketing, Human Resource Management, General Management, Banking, Insurance, Corporate Governance and emerging paradigms in allied subjects like Accounting Education; Accounting Information Systems; Accounting Theory & Practice; Auditing; Behavioral Accounting; Behavioral Economics; Corporate Finance; Cost Accounting; Econometrics; Economic Development; Economic History; Financial Institutions & Markets; Financial Services; Fiscal Policy; Government & Non Profit Accounting; Industrial Organization; International Economics & Trade; International Finance; Macro Economics; Micro Economics; Monetary Policy; Portfolio & Security Analysis; Public Policy Economics; Real Estate; Regional Economics; Tax Accounting; Advertising & Promotion Management; Business Education; Management Information Systems (MIS); Business Law, Public Responsibility & Ethics; Communication; Direct Marketing; E-Commerce; Global Business; Health Care Administration; Labor Relations & Human Resource Management; Marketing Research; Marketing Theory & Applications; Non-Profit Organizations; Office Administration/Management; Operations Research/Statistics; Organizational Behavior & Theory; Organizational Development; Production/Operations; Public Administration; Purchasing/Materials Management; Retailing; Sales/Selling; Services; Small Business Entrepreneurship; Strategic Management Policy; Technology/Innovation; Tourism, Hospitality & Leisure; Transportation/Physical Distribution; Algorithms; Artificial Intelligence; Compilers & Translation; Computer Aided Design (CAD); Computer Aided Manufacturing; Computer Graphics; Computer Organization & Architecture; Database Structures & Systems; Digital Logic; Discrete Structures; Internet; Management Information Systems; Modeling & Simulation; Multimedia; Neural Systems/Neural Networks; Numerical Analysis/Scientific Computing; Object Oriented Programming; Operating Systems; Programming Languages; Robotics; Symbolic & Formal Logic and Web Design. The above mentioned tracks are only indicative, and not exhaustive.

Anybody can submit the soft copy of his/her manuscript **anytime** in M.S. Word format after preparing the same as per our submission guidelines duly available on our website under the heading guidelines for submission, at the email addresses: infoijrcm@gmail.com or info@ijrcm.org.in.

GUIDELINES FOR SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT

1. COVERING LETTER FOR SUBMISSION:

DATED: _____

THE EDITOR
IJRCM

Subject: SUBMISSION OF MANUSCRIPT IN THE AREA OF _____.

(e.g. Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/Engineering/Mathematics/other. **please specify**)

DEAR SIR/MADAM

Please find my submission of manuscript entitled ' _____ ' for possible publication in your journals.

I hereby affirm that the contents of this manuscript are original. Furthermore, it has neither been published elsewhere in any language fully or partly, nor is it under review for publication elsewhere.

I affirm that all the author (s) have seen and agreed to the submitted version of the manuscript and their inclusion of name (s) as co-author (s).

Also, if my/our manuscript is accepted, I/We agree to comply with the formalities as given on the website of the journal & you are free to publish our contribution in any of your journals.

NAME OF CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Designation:

Affiliation with full address, contact numbers & Pin Code:

Residential address with Pin Code:

Mobile Number (s):

Landline Number (s):

E-mail Address:

Alternate E-mail Address:

NOTES:

- a) The whole manuscript is required to be in **ONE MS WORD FILE** only (pdf. version is liable to be rejected without any consideration), which will start from the covering letter, inside the manuscript.
- b) The sender is required to mention the following in the **SUBJECT COLUMN** of the mail:
New Manuscript for Review in the area of (Finance/Marketing/HRM/General Management/Economics/Psychology/Law/Computer/IT/Engineering/Mathematics/other, please specify)
- c) There is no need to give any text in the body of mail, except the cases where the author wishes to give any specific message w.r.t. to the manuscript.
- d) The total size of the file containing the manuscript is required to be below **500 KB**.
- e) Abstract alone will not be considered for review, and the author is required to submit the complete manuscript in the first instance.
- f) The journal gives acknowledgement w.r.t. the receipt of every email and in case of non-receipt of acknowledgment from the journal, w.r.t. the submission of manuscript, within two days of submission, the corresponding author is required to demand for the same by sending separate mail to the journal.

2. **MANUSCRIPT TITLE:** The title of the paper should be in a 12 point Calibri Font. It should be bold typed, centered and fully capitalised.

3. **AUTHOR NAME (S) & AFFILIATIONS:** The author (s) **full name, designation, affiliation (s), address, mobile/landline numbers**, and **email/alternate email address** should be in italic & 11-point Calibri Font. It must be centered underneath the title.

4. **ABSTRACT:** Abstract should be in fully italicized text, not exceeding 250 words. The abstract must be informative and explain the background, aims, methods, results & conclusion in a single para. Abbreviations must be mentioned in full.

5. **KEYWORDS:** Abstract must be followed by a list of keywords, subject to the maximum of five. These should be arranged in alphabetic order separated by commas and full stops at the end.
6. **MANUSCRIPT:** Manuscript must be in **BRITISH ENGLISH** prepared on a standard A4 size **PORTRAIT SETTING PAPER**. It must be prepared on a single space and single column with 1" margin set for top, bottom, left and right. It should be typed in 8 point Calibri Font with page numbers at the bottom and centre of every page. It should be free from grammatical, spelling and punctuation errors and must be thoroughly edited.
7. **HEADINGS:** All the headings should be in a 10 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised. Leave a blank line before each heading.
8. **SUB-HEADINGS:** All the sub-headings should be in a 8 point Calibri Font. These must be bold-faced, aligned left and fully capitalised.
9. **MAIN TEXT:** The main text should follow the following sequence:

INTRODUCTION**REVIEW OF LITERATURE****NEED/IMPORTANCE OF THE STUDY****STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM****OBJECTIVES****HYPOTHESES****RESEARCH METHODOLOGY****RESULTS & DISCUSSION****FINDINGS****RECOMMENDATIONS/SUGGESTIONS****CONCLUSIONS****SCOPE FOR FURTHER RESEARCH****ACKNOWLEDGMENTS****REFERENCES****APPENDIX/ANNEXURE**

It should be in a 8 point Calibri Font, single spaced and justified. The manuscript should preferably not exceed **5000 WORDS**.

10. **FIGURES & TABLES:** These should be simple, centered, separately numbered & self explained, and **titles must be above the table/figure**. Sources of data should be mentioned below the table/figure. It should be ensured that the tables/figures are referred to from the main text.
11. **EQUATIONS:** These should be consecutively numbered in parentheses, horizontally centered with equation number placed at the right.
12. **REFERENCES:** The list of all references should be alphabetically arranged. The author (s) should mention only the actually utilised references in the preparation of manuscript and they are supposed to follow **Harvard Style of Referencing**. The author (s) are supposed to follow the references as per the following:
 - All works cited in the text (including sources for tables and figures) should be listed alphabetically.
 - Use (ed.) for one editor, and (ed.s) for multiple editors.
 - When listing two or more works by one author, use --- (20xx), such as after Kohl (1997), use --- (2001), etc, in chronologically ascending order.
 - Indicate (opening and closing) page numbers for articles in journals and for chapters in books.
 - The title of books and journals should be in italics. Double quotation marks are used for titles of journal articles, book chapters, dissertations, reports, working papers, unpublished material, etc.
 - For titles in a language other than English, provide an English translation in parentheses.
 - The location of endnotes within the text should be indicated by superscript numbers.

PLEASE USE THE FOLLOWING FOR STYLE AND PUNCTUATION IN REFERENCES:**BOOKS**

- Bowersox, Donald J., Closs, David J., (1996), "Logistical Management." Tata McGraw, Hill, New Delhi.
- Hunker, H.L. and A.J. Wright (1963), "Factors of Industrial Location in Ohio" Ohio State University, Nigeria.

CONTRIBUTIONS TO BOOKS

- Sharma T., Kwatra, G. (2008) Effectiveness of Social Advertising: A Study of Selected Campaigns, Corporate Social Responsibility, Edited by David Crowther & Nicholas Capaldi, Ashgate Research Companion to Corporate Social Responsibility, Chapter 15, pp 287-303.

JOURNAL AND OTHER ARTICLES

- Schemenner, R.W., Huber, J.C. and Cook, R.L. (1987), "Geographic Differences and the Location of New Manufacturing Facilities," Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 21, No. 1, pp. 83-104.

CONFERENCE PAPERS

- Garg, Sambhav (2011): "Business Ethics" Paper presented at the Annual International Conference for the All India Management Association, New Delhi, India, 19-22 June.

UNPUBLISHED DISSERTATIONS AND THESES

- Kumar S. (2011): "Customer Value: A Comparative Study of Rural and Urban Customers," Thesis, Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra.

ONLINE RESOURCES

- Always indicate the date that the source was accessed, as online resources are frequently updated or removed.

WEBSITE

- Garg, Bhavet (2011): Towards a New Natural Gas Policy, Political Weekly, Viewed on January 01, 2012 <http://epw.in/user/viewabstract.jsp>

INTERPERSONAL RELATIONSHIP-AN ATTEMPT AT QUANTIFYING IT

T K PARAMESWARAN NAIR

RETIRED SCIENTIST, DEFENCE R & D ORGN, MINISTRY OF DEFENCE, GOVT OF INDIA

RETIRED PROFESSOR & DEAN, ENGG STAFF COLLEGE OF INDIA, INSTN OF ENGRS (I)

ERNAKULAM


ABSTRACT

Interpersonal Relationship is proposed to be basically composed of two components, namely, Affinity & Kinship of which the former is the non-genetic part and the latter genetic. Affinity is analyzed and its constituent attributes identified. It is pointed out that the genetic part plays a comparatively secondary role in the intensity of a Relationship, contrary to what is generally perceived. It is proposed that the various attributes of Affinity are present in different proportions in different forms of Affinity/Relationship. These different forms are grouped into clusters based on broad similarities so that the proportions or weightages of these attributes could be reasonably generic within such clusters. A methodology is presented which enables representing Relationships numerically as a factor between 0 & 1. This facilitates comparison of the intensities of different relationships. Most importantly it enables monitoring of the need for correctional measures in familial, organizational & social relationships and also helps lay finger on which attribute needs correction and thus channelises such measures.

KEYWORDS

Affinity, kinship, interpersonal, relationship, quantifying.

INTRODUCTION

 Numerous papers have appeared in journals of social psychology, behavioural sciences, management studies and the like, delving deep into the various aspects of the subject of 'Interpersonal Relationship' per se. (The snapshot appearing at the end of this paper under References gives a flavour.) They have no doubt thrown a lot of light on the complex subject in diverse scenarios and helped us gain a veritable insight into the understanding of human interactions in different settings, which is so vital for effectively handling them in various familial, social and organizational contexts. But there has been hardly any work so far, to the extent this author has been able to track, that is directed towards the possibility of quantitatively expressing/measuring interpersonal relationship, which is the quintessential tenor of a scientific approach. The present effort is to seek a way of accomplishing this goal, which this author believes is important in making it possible to compare different relationships which may be apparently not comparable, to monitor their growth/degradation over time and to modulate them in an informed way in order to accomplish contextual goals.

METHODOLOGY

The methodology adopted is to search for the basic components/constituents that contribute towards a relationship, which by its very nature is complex and hence not directly amenable to handle, and then propose a way of combining these comparatively simpler components, with suitable weightages, to generate the particular relationship under study. The presence of the constituents and their weightages are determined by empirical considerations by experts in the field. The approach also tries to give due weightage to genetical predispositions in familial relationships. The particular relationship under study is given a quantitative maximum value of '1', its components a value of '0' or '1' depending on their absence or presence respectively in contributing to the relationship, their weightages a value between '0' and '1' as empirically assessed in the specific context. Below we develop the approach.

APPROACH

We start by taking a close look at 'Relationship'. 'Relationship' between two individuals is proposed to be made up of two components which we shall term as *Affinity* and *Kinship*. *Affinity* is simply the liking for the other person, just the same as its literal meaning. *Kinship* on the other hand is the genetic/ 'genetic-derived' (explained later) component of the relationship. The attempt here is to analyze these and quantify as a number between 0 & 1, in other words to express them as a factor in relation to a possible maximum of 1. This may evoke an opinion that such essentially emotional elements are not amenable to quantification and hence to quantitative analysis. But, pause for a moment and recall how readily we respond to a doctor's request to grade our pain or well-being in the scale of 0 to 10. Hence, though it can be admitted that the quantification we are talking about may be subjective, we must realize that the emotion in question is itself essentially subjective.

Continuing with the earlier string of thought, let us call the three elements as the R-factor (R), the A-factor (A) & the K-factor (K) respectively.

Out of the two components of 'R' proposed above, 'A' must be the dominant one. Otherwise how come some friendships are stronger than blood relationships such as brother-brother, brother-sister or parent-child? We all know that the relationship between a young man and a young woman may be so intense as to thwart brother-sister or parent-child relationships which might come in the way. We can express this as follows:

$$R = A \text{ augmented by } K \quad \dots(1)$$

The nature of the qualifier 'augmented by' we shall arrive at later.

AFFINITY

Affinity for a person presumably derives from 10 major attributes proposed below. Obviously they cannot have equal contribution in determining affinity. So an appropriate weightage is to be assigned to each one of them. The attributes are listed below along with the symbols we shall be using for representing them and for their weightages of contribution.

TABLE-1

Attributes	Symbol for Attribute	Symbol for Weightage
Dependability in distress	Ad	Wd
Commonality of interests	Ai	Wi
Temperamental compatibility	At	Wt
Character compatibility	Ach	Wch
Cultural compatibility	Ac	Wc
Sexual/aesthetic attraction	Asa	Wsa
Usefulness in realizing one's goals	Ag	Wg
Ability to arouse one's protective instinct	Ap	Wp
Admirable accomplishments	Aacc	Wacc
Admirable achievements	Aach	Wach

A-factor can now be expressed as follows:

$$A = Wd \times Ad + Wi \times Ai + Wt \times At + Wch \times Ach + Wc \times Ac + Wsa \times Asa + Wg \times Ag + Wp \times Ap + Wacc \times Aacc + Wach \times Aach \dots (2)$$

Here 'x' is multiplication sign and it has precedence over '+' as is customary in any algebraic expression. The weightages $Wd, \dots, Wach$ and the attributes $Ad, \dots, Aach$ will all have values between 0 & 1 so that A is also within the same range consistent with what we postulated in the beginning. 'Ach' refers broadly to one's major personality traits such as belief systems, integrity etc. 'Ac' refers broadly to social background. A-factor between individuals C & D may generally differ in either direction. That is to say, the affinity of C-to-D may differ from that of D-to-C.

Note that the emphasis here is on relative attributes as perceived by the initiator of the relationship, which fact is expressed by terms like 'compatibility', 'attraction', 'being useful' or 'being admirable', and not on the absolute attribute per se. This facilitates the applicability of the expression to all categories of people, regardless of their class or virtues, be it a person of noble descent or a heinous criminal.

The weightages 'W' can not be uniform for all kinds of relationships. For example 'Ws,a' will generally be high in affinity between youth of opposite sex compared with that between senior citizens and youth. Or 'Wp' will generally be prominent in an adult-child affinity as compared to adult-adult affinity. Hence they have to be chosen appropriately for different affinity groups. The first thing to do in this context is to identify the distinct affinity groups. The following is a proposed list.

TABLE-2

Affinity Groups	Symbol
Age/Gender-based Groups:	
-Child group (Upto 10 years)	$C \rightarrow C$
-Youth same-sex group (11 to 50 years)	$Y_{ss} \rightarrow Y_{ss}$
-Youth male-female group & its inverse (11 to 50 years)	$Y_m \rightarrow Y_f$ $Y_f \rightarrow Y_m$
-Senior group (51 years and above)	$S \rightarrow S$
-Senior/Youth-Child group & its inverse	$S/Y \rightarrow C$ $C \rightarrow S/Y$
-Senior/Youth & its inverse	$S \rightarrow Y$ $Y \rightarrow S$
Workplace-based Group:	
-Employer-Employee & its inverse	$E_r \rightarrow E_e$ $E_e \rightarrow E_r$

Employer-Employee affinity will of course be influenced by the Age/Gender-based affinity also. For simplicity we deliberately ignore it here, but shall talk about it when we analyze the relationship.

Now let us choose the weightages as realistically as possible for each of these groups. The weightages so selected will make possible to have a generic base-line expression over which one can assign the specific ratings of the attributes in any particular case in order to yield the measure of the corresponding affinity targeted.

TABLE-3

Values for various Affinity Groups											
Weightages	$C \rightarrow C$	$Y_{ss} \rightarrow Y_{ss}$	$Y_m \rightarrow Y_f$	$Y_f \rightarrow Y_m$	$S \rightarrow S$	$S \rightarrow C$ $Y \rightarrow C$	$C \rightarrow S$ $C \rightarrow Y$	$S \rightarrow Y$	$Y \rightarrow S$	$E_r \rightarrow E_e$	$E_e \rightarrow E_r$
Wd	0.1	0.2	0.05	0.2	0.2	0	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.05	0.1
Wi	0.3	0.1	0.05	0.05	0.2	0	0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Wt	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1
Wch	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Wc	0.2	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05
Ws,a	0	0.05	0.3	0.2	0.05	0.2	0	0.1	0.05	0.05	0.05
Wg	0	0.2	0.05	0.05	0.05	0	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2
Wp	0.1	0.05	0.05	0	0.05	0.3	0	0	0.1	0.05	0
Wacc	0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0	0.1	0.15	0.15	0.15
Wach	0	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0	0	0.05	0.15	0.15	0.2

It is of course to be admitted that there is some degree of arbitrariness in the choice of the above values for the weightages.

We shall come back to the topic for specific relationships a bit later, after taking a look at Knship.

KINSHIP

Kinship is genetic/'genetic-derived' as stated before. Let us derive the K-factor for the more prominent kinships. To begin this process, we need to take note of the chromosomal combinations that result in gender difference. These are, as is well-known, the following:

$$M = X_{mo} + Y_{fa} \dots (3)$$

$$W = X_{fa} + X_{mo} \dots (4)$$

These are of course not algebraic equations but genetic strings with the '+' representing the string symbol.

Here 'M' stands for man, 'W' for woman, 'X' stands for X-chromosome, 'Y' stands for Y-chromosome, suffix 'fa' for father, 'mo' for mother.

In man, considering the commonality of his gender with his father Y_{fa} can be assumed to be dominant. Similarly for woman. Emphasizing this fact, the above-mentioned combinations can be more effectively represented with visual emphasis as follows with bold Y_{fa} for man and bold X_{mo} for woman:

$$M = X_{mo} + \mathbf{Y_{fa}} \dots (3')$$

$$W = X_{fa} + \mathbf{X_{mo}} \dots (4')$$

The major kinships represented by 'K' can be reasonably hypothesized to be quantifiable as given below:

$$\text{Father-Son (F-S)} \quad K_{fs} = 0.52 \text{ due to dominant } Y_{fa}$$

$$\text{Father-Daughter (F-D)} \quad K_{fd} = 0.48 \text{ due to non-dominant } X_{fa}$$

Similarly

$$\text{Mother-Son (M-S)} \quad K_{ms} = 0.48$$

$$\text{Mother-Daughter (M-D)} \quad K_{md} = 0.52$$

Brother-Brother (B-B) $K_{bb} = 0.9$

Brother-Sister (B-S) $K_{bs} = 0.8$

Similarly

Sister-Sister (S-S) $K_{ss} = 0.9$

Axiom-1: K-factors are multiplicative when deduced for a chain of kinships.

Thus

Paternal Uncle-Nephew $K_{pune} = K_{bb} \times K_{fs} = 0.9 \times 0.52 = 0.47$

Paternal Uncle-Niece $K_{puni} = K_{bb} \times K_{fd} = 0.9 \times 0.48 = 0.43$

Similarly for parallel mother-routed kinships.

It may appear somewhat distorted to find that K_{ms} & K_{pune} are nearly equal. But remember that we are talking about *kinship* only at this stage and not about *relationship*. We shall resolve it later.

'Genetic-derived' kinship is that between parents as illustrated below:

Unrelated Husband-Wife $K_{hw} = 0$

With 1 progeny 'genetic derivation' of K-factor starts.

Thus $K_{hw} = K_{fs} \times K_{ms} = K_{fd} \times K_{md} = 0.52 \times 0.48 = 0.25$

Axiom-2: K-factor contributed by each progeny in H-W relationship is additive.

So, for 4 progenies $K_{hw} = 0.25 + 0.25 + 0.25 + 0.25 = 1$, the possible maximal value.

Note that this does not mean that the 2-children norm is detracting from a fuller husband-wife relationship, as we are presently talking about *kinship* only like earlier and not *relationship*.

RELATIONSHIP

Now we come back to the formula (1) viz.

$R = A$ augmented by K

What is the nature of this 'augmentation'?

When we have already postulated, based on general observation, that Affinity is the over-riding element in a Relationship, it is logical to propose that this augmentation should be in proportion to the extent to which A-factor falls short of attaining the maximal value of R-factor viz. 1. This is taken care of as follows:

$R = A + (1 - A)K$ (1')

Here '+' and '-' are the familiar arithmetic operational symbols

Now let us start deriving R for some major relationships.

First we must select the attribute values that make up A-factors.

FATHER-SON VS. FATHER-DAUGHTER

These relationships need to be analyzed recognizing the possibility of different age-groups the two individuals may belong to. Thus, in the light of our discussion of different affinity-groups above, we need to consider the following cases:

Father-Son (F-S):
 $S/Y \rightarrow C$
 $Y_{ss} \rightarrow Y_{ss}$
 $S \rightarrow Y$
 $S \rightarrow S$

Similarly

Father-Daughter (F-D):
 $S/Y \rightarrow C$
 $Y_m \rightarrow Y_f$
 $S \rightarrow Y$
 $S \rightarrow S$

Let us assign the attribute values in each case in the tabular form like we did earlier which has the advantage of facilitating a comparison among the different groups. Values of attributes selected are for typical relationships.

TABLE-4

Weightages & Attributes	Values for different Affinity Groups							
	F-S: $S/Y \rightarrow C$	$Y_{ss} \rightarrow Y_{ss}$	$S \rightarrow Y$	$S \rightarrow S$	F-D: $S/Y \rightarrow C$	$Y_m \rightarrow Y_f$	$S \rightarrow Y$	$S \rightarrow S$
Wd	0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0	0.05	0.2	0.2
Ad	0	0.9	0.9	0.9	0	0.8	0.9	0.9
Wi	0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0	0.05	0.1	0.2
Ai	0	0.7	0.7	0.7	0	0.7	0.7	0.7
Wt	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
At	0.9	0.8	0.6	0.5	0.9	0.8	0.6	0.5
Wch	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Ach	0.9	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.7
Wc	0.1	0.05	0.05	0.1	0.1	0.05	0.05	0.1
Ac	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.9	1.0	1.0	1.0
Wsa	0.2	0.05	0.1	0.05	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.05
Asa	0.8	0.8	0.6	0.4	0.9	0.9	0.6	0.4
Wg	0	0.2	0.1	0.05	0	0.05	0.1	0.05
Ag	0	0.8	0.8	0.6	0	0.6	0.8	0.6
Wp	0.3	0.05	0	0.05	0.3	0.05	0	0.05
Ap	0.8	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.9	0.5	0.4	0.3
Wacc	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
Aacc	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9	0.8	0.9	0.9	0.9
Wach	0	0.05	0.05	0.05	0	0.05	0.05	0.05
Aach	0	0.8	0.9	0.9	0	0.7	0.9	0.9
A-factor	0.85	0.77	0.77	0.76	0.89	0.79	0.77	0.76
R-factor	0.93	0.89	0.89	0.88	0.94	0.89	0.89	0.88

Compare the first two columns of F-S and F-D. Observe that even though the R-factors are nearly identical the A-factors lean towards the daughters in their childhood and youth. That explains, in general, why fathers' darlings are daughters despite lower kinship.

Similar will be the result for mother-son relationship.

PATERNAL UNCLE-NEPHEW VS. MOTHER SON RELATIONSHIP

Axiom-3: While deriving a chain of relationships the A-factor to be taken into account will be that between the terminally linked individuals only.

In PU-Ne relationship the chain of relationships involved is B-B followed by F-S. According to the above axiom we won't consider A-factors for B-B and for F-S. We shall only consider A-factor between PU and Ne.

$$\text{So, } R_{\text{pune}} = A_{\text{pune}} + (1 - A_{\text{pune}}) K_{\text{pune}}$$

$$K_{\text{pune}} = K_{\text{bb}} \times K_{\text{fs}} = 0.9 \times 0.52 = 0.47$$

Treating it exhaustively will need us to consider the different age-groups. It should suffice here to consider a single age-group, say, $S/Y \rightarrow C$.

TABLE 5

Weightages & Attributes	Values for	
	PU→Ne	M→S
Wd	0	0
Ad	0	0
Wi	0	0
Ai	0	0
Wt	0.2	0.2
At	0.7	0.9
Wch	0.1	0.1
Ach	0.7	0.9
Wc	0.1	0.1
Ac	0.9	0.9
Wsa	0.2	0.2
As,a	0.7	0.9
Wg	0	0
Ag	0	0
Wp	0.3	0.3
Ap	0.7	1
Wacc	0.1	0.1
Aacc	0.7	0.7
Wach	0	0
Aach	0	0
A-factor	0.72	0.91
R-factor	0.85	0.95

Thus the R-factor is convincingly leaning towards the mother-son relationship unlike the K-factor.

HUSBAND-WIFE RELATIONSHIP

Ahw can be assumed to be at least 0.5. A lesser value obviously doesn't justify marriage.

Before producing a progeny $R\text{-factor} = A_{\text{hw}} + (1 - A_{\text{hw}}) \times K_{\text{hw}} = 0.5 + (1 - 0.5) \times 0 = 0.5$

After 1 progeny $K_{\text{hw}} = 0.25$ as shown earlier.
Corresponding $R_{\text{hw}} = 0.5 + (1 - 0.5) \times 0.25 = 0.63$

After 2 progeny $K_{\text{hw}} = 0.25 + 0.25 = 0.5$
Corresponding $R_{\text{hw}} = 0.5 + (1 - 0.5) \times 0.5 = 0.75$

After 3 progeny $K_{\text{hw}} = 0.25 + 0.25 + 0.25 = 0.75$
Corresponding $R_{\text{hw}} = 0.5 + (1 - 0.5) \times 0.75 = 0.88$

After 4 progeny $K_{\text{hw}} = 0.25 + 0.25 + 0.25 + 0.25 = 1$
Corresponding $R_{\text{hw}} = 0.5 + (1 - 0.5) \times 1 = 1$

However, R_{hw} can be 1 right at the time of marriage in an intensely romantic man-woman relationship where A_{hw} could equal 1.

EMPLOYER EMPLOYEE & INVERSE RELATIONSHIP

Typical attribute values for a good affinity are being considered below:

TABLE 6

Weightages & Attributes	Values	
	Er→Ee	Ee→Er
Wd	0.05	0.1
Ad	0.3	0.8
Wi	0.1	0.1
Ai	0.8	0.8
Wt	0.1	0.1
At	0.8	0.8
Wch	0.1	0.1
Ach	0.7	0.7
Ws,a	0.05	0.05
As,a	0.5	0.5
Wg	0.2	0.2
Ag	0.9	0.8
Wp	0.05	0
Ap	0.8	0
Wacc	0.15	0.15
Aacc	0.8	0.8
Wach	0.15	0.15
Aach	0.6	0.8
A-factor	0.7	0.62
R-factor	0.7	0.62

We haven't considered here the influence of age/gender-based affinities which is quite a possibility in real-life Employer-Employee and the inverse relations as long as the people involved are normal human beings. In order to derive such a realistic measure we need to augment this result with the affinity that derives from those aspects also. The group affinities that operate here are $S \rightarrow Y$, $Y \rightarrow S$, $Y_m \rightarrow Y_f$, $Y_f \rightarrow Y_m$ whichever is applicable in the specific instance. The weightages to be assigned for the two may reasonably be assumed as indicated below, for an uncorrupt relationship.

A-factor = 0.7x A-factor of pure Er-Ee/Ee-Er affinity + 0.3x A-factor of age/gender- based group applicable(5)

APPLICATIONS

The above discussion may appear to be at best a theoretical exposition of the subject albeit from an unconventional point of view. However, if one takes a serious look one will realize that the analysis attempted affords a better comprehension of the essentially instinctive process of developing a relationship and helps place one's finger on what aspect of the relationship needs to be improved for higher satisfaction. In an organization a confidential feedback form for eliciting the various attributes will help the Human Resources personnel to improve the Employer-Employee/inverse relationships. In the same way, in a family environment a counselor will be able to get valuable clues as to the shortcomings in internal family relationships from these parameters obtained through a feedback that would enable him to enhance such relationships. Of course to facilitate action in this direction a definition of what is the norm and what is the lower bound is needed with regard to such affinities/relationships. We have already accepted a prescription in the above discussion for husband-wife relationship viz. 0.5 for affinity to justify marriage. Let us look at this issue more comprehensively:

TABLE 7

Relationship	A-factor Lower Bound	A-factor Norm
H-W & inverse	0.5	0.7
F-S, F-D, M-S, M-D B-B, B-S & invers	0.7	0.8
Grand Parent-Grand Child & inverse	0.6	0.7
Uncle/Aunt-Nephew/Niece & inverse	0.5	0.6
Cousins	0.6	0.7
Employer-Employee & inverse	0.5	0.7

CONCLUSION

What has been attempted above is to broadly spell out a modality of approach if we wish to analyze human relationship with the intention of expressing it quantitatively which is of course a radical departure from the usual qualitative approach. Along the way, we have identified not only two fundamental constituents of relationship but have gone much deeper in search of the attributes of the affinity that two individuals might feel for each other. Ten such attributes have been proposed. Their impacts will be in different proportions. With that realization their weightages were proposed in order to evolve a mathematical expression for affinity. The values of these weightages will differ in different affinities/relationships. Several such affinity groups were identified based on age, gender & workplace and different sets of weightages were assigned to characterize them. The kinship factors for fundamental kinships were also assigned values. Certainly there is some degree of subjectivity in the enumeration of such affinity groups. It is also the case with the values of weightages, of kinship factors for basic kinships, of lower bounds & norms of affinities and of age-group bounds. Professionals from Social Psychology & Behavioural Sciences are definitely better-placed to come out with more realistic notions and estimates on these issues.

REFERENCES

1. Abbas Rakhshani, Satyapriya Maharana, Nagarathna Raghuram, Hongasandra R. Nagendra Padmalatha Venkatram (2010), "Effects of Integrated Yoga on Quality of Life and Interpersonal Relationship of Pregnant Women", *Quality Life Research* Vol. 19, pp 1447-1455
2. Christine E. Wade, Bruce A. Cameron, Kari Morgan and Karen C. Williams (2011), "Are Interpersonal Relationships Necessary for Developing Trust in Online Group Projects?", *Distance Education* Vol. 32, No. 3, pp 383-396
3. Graham R. Massey, Elias Kyriazis (2007), "Interpersonal Trust Between Marketing and R&D During New Product Development Projects", *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 41 No. 9/10, pp 1146-1172
4. Holloman, Charles R (1973), "Characteristics of Interpersonal Relations in Municipal Government", *Academy of Management Journal* Vol.16, No. 4, pp 691-695
5. Just, Karen (1999), "Sharp Interpersonal Skills: Your Key to Business Success", *Hospital Materiel Management Quarterly*, Vol. 20, No. 4, pp 84-87
6. Kirkpatrick, Forrest H (1944), "Interpersonal Relations", *Personnel Journal*, Vol. 23, pp73-76
7. Louise C, Hawkley, John T Cacioppo (2010), "How Can I Connect with Thee: Measuring and Comparing Satisfaction in Multiple Relationship Domains", *The Journal of Individual Psychology*, Vol. 66, No.1, pp 43-67
8. Luthans, Fred, Hodgetts, Richard M (1969), "Managerial Analysis of Doctoral Candidates and Professors: Research Attitudes and Interpersonal Relations", *Academy of Management Journal*, Vol.12, No. 2, pp213-221
9. Mary Bambacas, Margaret Patrickson (2008), "Interpersonal Communication Skills that Enhance Organizational Commitment", *Journal of Communication Management*, Vol. 12, No. 1, pp. 51-72
10. Paulo Guenzi, Ottavia Pelloni (2004), "The Impact of Interpersonal Relationships on Customer Satisfaction and Loyalty to the Service Provider", *Journal of Service Management*, Vol 15, No. 3, pp 365-384
11. Satoshi Moriizumi (2010), "The Relationships Between Japanese Interpersonal Conflict Styles and Their Language Expressions", *The Journal of Social Psychology*, Vol 50, No. 5, pp 520-539
12. Tinneke Timmermans, Iven van Mechelen, Peter Kuppens, "The Relationship Between Individual Differences in Intraindividual Variability in Core Affect and Interpersonal Behaviour", *European Journal of Personality*, Vol. 24, pp623-638
13. Willits, Robin D (1967), "Company Performance and Interpersonal Relations", *Industrial Management Review*, Vol 8, No. 2, pp 91-107

REQUEST FOR FEEDBACK

Dear Readers

At the very outset, International Journal of Research in Commerce, IT and Management (IJRCM) acknowledges & appreciates your efforts in showing interest in our present issue under your kind perusal.

I would like to request you to supply your critical comments and suggestions about the material published in this issue as well as on the journal as a whole, on our E-mails i.e. **infoijrcm@gmail.com** or **info@ijrcm.org.in** for further improvements in the interest of research.

If you have any queries please feel free to contact us on our E-mail infoijrcm@gmail.com.

I am sure that your feedback and deliberations would make future issues better – a result of our joint effort.

Looking forward an appropriate consideration.

With sincere regards

Thanking you profoundly

Academically yours

Sd/-

Co-ordinator

ABOUT THE JOURNAL

In this age of Commerce, Economics, Computer, I.T. & Management and cut throat competition, a group of intellectuals felt the need to have some platform, where young and budding managers and academicians could express their views and discuss the problems among their peers. This journal was conceived with this noble intention in view. This journal has been introduced to give an opportunity for expressing refined and innovative ideas in this field. It is our humble endeavour to provide a springboard to the upcoming specialists and give a chance to know about the latest in the sphere of research and knowledge. We have taken a small step and we hope that with the active co-operation of like-minded scholars, we shall be able to serve the society with our humble efforts.

Our Other Journals

